

of the parties, enforcement may not be sought with respect to that part of the decision.

(u) Pending the outcome of a due process hearing, including an expedited due process hearing, or any administrative or judicial proceeding, no change shall be made to the student's classification, program or placement unless both parties agree, or emergency relief as part of a request for a due process hearing is granted by the Office of Administrative Law according to (m) above or as provided in 20 U.S.C. §1415(k)4 as amended and supplemented. (See chapter Appendix A.)

1. If the decision of the administrative law judge agrees with the student's parents that a change of placement is appropriate, that placement shall be treated as an agreement between the district board of education and the parents for the remainder of any court proceedings.

(v) Any party may appeal the decision of an administrative law judge in a due process hearing.

1. Any appeal of a final decision of an administrative law judge in a due process hearing shall be filed within 90 days of the date of issuance the final decision. Interim decisions of an administrative law judge in a due process hearing, including determinations on requests for emergency relief or determinations with respect to procedural issues, including discovery or scheduling, shall not be subject to the 90-day limitations period for filing appeals, and are instead subject to applicable requirements pertaining to filing interlocutory appeals to courts of appropriate jurisdiction.

(w) Requests for a due process hearing with respect to issues concerning Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §794a, shall be processed in accordance with this section, except as follows:

1. There shall be no resolution period or opportunity for a resolution meeting pursuant to (h) above with respect to requests for a due process hearing and issues concerning Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, regardless of whether the request for a due process hearing is filed by a parent or a district board of education. However, the parties may agree to participate in a mediation conference and, if so, mediation shall be scheduled in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.6; and

2. The provisions of (d), (e) and (f) above are not applicable with respect to requests for a due process hearing filed concerning issues involving Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Amended by R.1998 d.527, effective November 2, 1998.

See: 30 N.J.R. 2852(a), 30 N.J.R. 3941(a).

Rewrote (d)3ii.

Amended by R.2000 d.137, effective April 3, 2000.

See: 31 N.J.R. 4173(a), 32 N.J.R. 1177(a).

In (a), changed N.J.A.C. reference.

Amended by R.2000 d.230, effective June 5, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 755(a), 32 N.J.R. 2052(a).

Rewrote the section.

Amended by R.2002 d.79, effective March 18, 2002.

See: 33 N.J.R. 3715(a), 34 N.J.R. 1265(a).

In (b), inserted "or a" preceding "reevaluation", and deleted "implement an initial IEP" preceding "or to release".

Amended by R.2003 d.387, effective October 6, 2003.

See: 35 N.J.R. 1991(a), 35 N.J.R. 4714(c).

In (a), substituted "Appendixes A and D" for "Appendix" in the third sentence, and amended N.J.A.C. reference at the end.

Amended by R.2006 d.315, effective September 5, 2006.

See: 38 N.J.R. 2253(a), 38 N.J.R. 3530(b).

Rewrote the section.

Case Notes

New Jersey limitations did not bar parents from seeking retroactive reimbursement. *Bernardsville Bd. of Educ. v. J.H.*, D.N.J.1993, 817 F.Supp. 14.

Parents did not waive right to reimbursement by unilaterally placing student in private school and failing to initiate review proceedings. *Bernardsville Bd. of Educ. v. J.H.*, D.N.J.1993, 817 F.Supp. 14.

Parents exhausted administrative remedies. *Woods on Behalf of T.W. v. New Jersey Dept. of Educ.*, D.N.J.1992, 796 F.Supp. 767.

Stipulation of settlement reached in suit under IDEA seeking residential placement did not bar action for funding of residential placement and for compensatory education. *Woods on Behalf of T.W. v. New Jersey Dept. of Educ.*, D.N.J.1992, 796 F.Supp. 767.

Parents of emotionally disturbed student were "prevailing parties" entitled to recover attorney fees; services performed at administrative level. *Field v. Haddonfield Bd. of Educ.*, D.N.J.1991, 769 F.Supp. 1313.

Forty-five day deadline provided in state special education regulations for expedited hearings in disciplinary matters upon the request of a parent was not arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable, despite fact that deadline for non-expedited hearings was also 45 days; deadline for expedited hearings allowed for no exceptions or extensions, providing for final decision within the accelerated time frame. *Baer v. Klagholz*, 771 A.2d 603 (2001).

Parents of disabled students failed to sustain their burden of demonstrating that state special education regulations were arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable, or were violative of Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), federal regulations, or state special education laws. *Baer v. Klagholz*, 771 A.2d 603 (2001).

State special education regulations requiring parent seeking emergency relief as part of expedited hearing in connection with student disciplinary matter to demonstrate entitlement to emergency relief did not violate provision of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requiring state educational agency (SEA) and local educational agency (LEA) to arrange for expedited hearing upon a parent's request, where emergency relief process and expedited hearing process were separate and were not redundant, and where request for emergency relief did not slow expedited hearing process. *Baer v. Klagholz*, 771 A.2d 603 (2001).

Administrative law judge lacked jurisdiction to conduct "due process" hearing to determine financial responsibility of State Department of Human Services for special education costs of blind, retarded child. *L.P. v. Edison Bd. of Educ.*, 265 N.J.Super. 266, 626 A.2d 473 (L.1993).

Superior Court, Law Division did not have jurisdiction to conduct "due process" hearing to determine financial responsibility for special education costs of blind, retarded child. *L.P. v. Edison Bd. of Educ.*, 265 N.J.Super. 266, 626 A.2d 473 (L.1993).

School district has burden of proving that proposed individualized education program is appropriate. *Lascari v. Board of Educ. of Ramapo Indian Hills Regional High School Dist.*, 116 N.J. 30, 560 A.2d 1180 (1989).

Parents awarded private education reimbursement following improper placement by child study team entitled to interest on expenses from date of disbursement; counsel fee award not permitted (citing former N.J.A.C. 6:28-1.9). *Fallon v. Bd. of Ed., Scotch Plains-Fanwood School District, Union Cty.*, 185 N.J.Super. 142, 447 A.2d 607 (Law Div.1982).

Emergency relief for special education student denied. *C.Y. v. Deerfield Township Board of Education*, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 59.

No change may be made in placement of handicapped pre-schooler without concurrence of both parties. *C.W. v. Bernards Township Board of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 359.

District failed to show emergency which would justify summary declassification of pupil currently classified as perceptually impaired. *Southern Gloucester Regional School District v. C.W.*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 357.

State-operated school offering special education was not proper party in due process hearing regarding implementation of individualized education program (IEP). *A.B. v. Jersey City Board of Education and Office of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 295.

Untimely request precluded reimbursement due process hearing for unilateral enrollment of child in private school. *J.F. v. West Windsor-Plainsboro Board of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 119.

Special education student subject to regular school disciplinary process if different standard not applicable. *M.G. v. Brick Township Board of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 82.

School district may evaluate potentially educationally disabled student over parent's objection. *Morris School District v. V.S.*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 37.

Father's unexcused failure to appear following notice required dismissal of request for due process hearing on disciplined student's individualized education program. *G.M. v. Vineland Board*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 233.

Inappropriate, aggressive and hostile behavior necessitated an order permitting school district to test and evaluate child despite lack of consent from parents. *Jersey City Board v. T.W.*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 211.

Child study team evaluation requested by one parent was not required for progressing student in joint custody after divorce when opposed by other parent. *R.F. v. Saddle Brook Board*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 187.

Student with serious behavioral and educational problems required evaluation without parental consent. *Jersey City Board v. C.F.*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 113.

Absence of evidence that student would regress; speech and language therapy summer session. *K.K. v. Washington Township Board of Education*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 171.

12-year old student was given an emergency relief due process hearing and ordered to undergo a Child Study Team Evaluation. *Quinton Township Board of Education v. S.W.*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 130.

Petitioner's claim barred; settlement agreement. *J.L. v. Elizabeth Board of Education*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 119.

Application by parents for emergent relief to return their emotionally disturbed daughter to high school transitional program pending hearing was denied. *S.H. v. Lenape*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 87.

Mother's changing her residence precluded entitlement to due process hearing challenging refusal to place son as tuition student. *N.A. v. Willingboro Board of Education*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 19.

Law Review and Journal Commentaries

Stay-Put Provision and Its Implications to Practitioners. George M. Holland, 222 N.J.L.J. 35 (2003).

6A:14-2.8 Discipline/suspension/expulsions

(a) For disciplinary reasons, school officials may order the removal of a student with a disability from his or her current educational placement to an interim alternative educational setting, another setting, or a suspension for up to 10 consecutive or cumulative school days in a school year. Such suspensions are subject to the same district board of education procedures as nondisabled students. However, at the time of removal, the principal shall forward written notification and a description of the reasons for such action to the case manager and the student's parent(s).

1. Notwithstanding (a) above, preschool students with disabilities shall not be suspended, long-term or short-term, and shall not be expelled.

2. The district board of education is not required by 20 U.S.C. §§1400 et seq. or this chapter to provide services during periods of removal to a student with a disability who has been removed from his or her current placement for 10 school days or less in that school year, provided that if services are provided to general education students for removals of 10 or fewer days duration, students with disabilities shall be provided services in the same manner as students without disabilities during such time periods for removals of 10 or fewer days.

(b) School district personnel may, on a case-by-case basis, consider any unique circumstances when determining whether or not to impose a disciplinary sanction or order a change of placement for a student with a disability who violates a school code of conduct.

(c) Removals of a student with a disability from the student's current educational placement for disciplinary reasons constitutes a change of placement if:

1. The removal is for more than 10 consecutive school days; or

2. The student is subjected to a series of short-term removals that constitute a pattern because they cumulate to more than 10 school days in a school year and because of factors such as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student is removed and the proximity of the removals to one another.

i. School officials in consultation with the student's case manager shall determine whether a series of short-term removals constitutes a pattern that creates a change of placement.

(d) Disciplinary action initiated by a district board of education which involves removal to an interim alternative educational setting, suspension for more than 10 school days