

Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



## Mary Bray Elementary School

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

#### **Navigating through the reports:**

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

#### Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <a href="mailto:reportcard@doe.nj.gov">reportcard@doe.nj.gov</a> with any questions about the reports

otes from the New Jersey Department of Education:	1
	ı
	ı
	ı
	ı



Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

- Report Key:

  \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display

  † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### **School Contact Information**

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Camden
District	Mt. Ephraim School District
Principal Name	Mr. Michael Hunter
Address	225 WEST KINGS HIGHWAY MOUNT EPHRAIM, NJ 08059-1803
Phone Number	856-931-7807
Email Address	mhunter@mtephraimschools.com
Website	http://www.mtephraimschools.com/
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/MtEphraimPTO/



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

#### **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK	16	24	25
KG	40	41	40
1	52	41	46
2	49	47	41
3	61	46	54
4	38	54	42
Total	256	253	248

### **Enrollment Trends by Student Group**

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	50.0%	50.6%	50.4%
Male	50.0%	49.4%	49.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	29.7%	29.6%	25.4%
Students with Disabilities	13.3%	16.2%	21.4%
English Learners	1.6%	2.0%	0.8%
Homeless Students	1.6%	1.2%	0.4%
Students in Foster Care	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	83.2%	83.4%	83.1%
Hispanic	8.2%	8.3%	6.0%
Black or African American	4.3%	4.3%	4.4%
Asian	2.3%	2.0%	2.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	2.0%	2.0%	4.0%

# Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	16	24	25
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	40	41	40

### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	95.2%
Bengali	1.2%
Spanish	1.2%
Other Languages	2.4%




(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019


#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### **Student Growth Trends and Progress**

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	44	31	47	28	47.5	35
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met Standard	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

#### Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	47	38	50	Met Standard	35	36	50	Not Met
White	46.5	38.5	50	Met Standard	37	35	52	Not Met
Hispanic	*	19	49	**	*	61	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	*	59	**	N	*	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	52	**
Female	36.5	39	53	N	57	42	50	N
Male	49	38	47	N	25	30	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	29	48	**	35	38	46	**
Students with Disabilities	*	38	43	**	*	38	45	**
English Learners	N	N	52	**	N	N	50	**
Homeless Students	N	*	43	N	N	*	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



(07-3420-030)Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


### Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19

statewide assessment growth.

### Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.








(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	92.9%	96.2%	100.0%	92.9%	96.2%	100.0%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	57.5%	61.2%	65.0%	47.6%	57.1%	60.0%
Annual Target	45.4%	47.2%	49.1%	49.0%	50.7%	52.3%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	100	100.0	65.0	53.1	57.9	65.0	49.1	Met Target
White	86	100.0	66.3	53.4	66.9	66.3	52.5	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	43.9	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	52	100.0	69.2	66.7	64.8	69.2		
Male	48	100.0	60.4	40.9	51.3	60.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	100.0	57.7	44.3	40.0	57.7	39.5	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	74	100.0	67.6	56.9	67.9	67.6		
Students with Disabilities	23	100.0	34.8	26.6	22.7	34.8	N	N
Students without Disabilities	77	100.0	74.0	61.7	65.1	74.0		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	*	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.




(07-3420-030)Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	54	763	763	748	*	*	20%	*	*	70%	50%
White	46	765	765	757	0%	*	*	*	*	74%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	33	764	764	753	*	*	*	*	*	67%	55%
Male	21	760	760	743	*	*	*	*	*	76%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	761	761	731	*	*	*	*	*	67%	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	39	763	763	759	*	*	*	*	*	72%	61%
Students with Disabilities	14	751	751	719	*	*	*	*	*	57%	24%
Students without Disabilities	40	767	767	754	*	*	*	*	*	75%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	43	760	760	755	*	*	26%	*	*	63%	57%
White	37	760	760	763	*	*	27%	*	*	62%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	19	757	757	760	*	*	*	*	*	74%	62%
Male	24	763	763	750	*	*	*	*	*	54%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	744	744	740	*	*	*	*	*	45%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	32	766	766	765	*	*	*	*	*	69%	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	43	760	760	758	*	*	26%	*	*	63%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	100	100.0	60.0	47.3	44.5	60.0	52.3	Met Target
White	86	100.0	60.5	47.5	54.1	60.5	56.5	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	28.8	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	52	100.0	55.8	56.9	44.9	55.8		
Male	48	100.0	64.6	38.7	44.2	64.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	100.0	46.2	38.0	26.3	46.2	35	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	74	100.0	64.9	51.4	54.9	64.9		
Students with Disabilities	23	100.0	30.4	25.0	17.4	30.4	N	N
Students without Disabilities	77	100.0	68.8	54.6	50.0	68.8		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.




(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



<sup>† 2018-19</sup> results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	54	756	756	752	*	*	24%	*	*	63%	55%
White	46	758	758	760	*	*	28%	*	*	63%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Female	33	753	753	751	*	*	*	*	*	55%	54%
Male	21	761	761	752	*	*	*	*	*	76%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	750	750	737	*	*	*	*	*	53%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	39	758	758	761	*	*	*	*	*	67%	67%
Students with Disabilities	14	748	748	731	*	*	*	*	*	50%	31%
Students without Disabilities	40	758	758	756	*	*	*	*	*	68%	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	43	753	753	749	*	*	23%	*	*	60%	51%
White	37	753	753	757	*	*	*	*	*	62%	62%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	19	748	748	749	*	*	*	*	*	58%	50%
Male	24	757	757	749	*	*	*	*	*	63%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	743	743	734	*	*	*	*	*	36%	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	32	757	757	759	*	*	*	*	*	69%	63%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Non-English Learners	43	753	753	751	*	*	23%	*	*	60%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	*	*

#### **English Language Progress to Proficiency**

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within one standard deviation

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	N	N	N
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*

Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

00% —		
80% —		
60% —		LEVEL 4
40% —		LEVEL 3 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 1
20% —		
0%		

#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	13	5.7	9.2	Met
White	9	4.8	9.2	Met
Hispanic	2	14.3	**	**
Black or African American	2	18.2	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	10	8.5		
Male	3	2.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	8.2	9.2	Met
Students with Disabilities	5	10.0	9.2	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		

#### Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Total Unique Incidents	N
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	N

#### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	N	N	N
Religion	N	N	N
Ancestry	N	N	N
Gender	N	N	N
Sexual Orientation	N	N	N
Disability	N	N	N
Other	N	N	N
No Identified Nature	N		N

#### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	N

#### Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	N	N
Out-of-School Suspensions	N	N
Any Suspension	N	N
Removal to other education program	N	N
Expulsion	N	N
Arrest	N	N

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Day**

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:30 AM
Typical End Time	3:00 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 45 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 45 Mins.

#### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	20	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	13.0	12.1
Average years experience in district	10.3	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	75.0%	75.3%

### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	3	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	17.0	16.0
Average years experience in district	4.5	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	50.0%	76.9%

#### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	12:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	124:1	136:1
Teachers to Administrators	10:1	13:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		N
Students to Nurses		204:1
Students to Counselors		N
Students to Child Study Team Members		204:1



(07-3420-030)Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	50.4%	100.0%	50.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	49.6%	0.0%	50.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	83.1%	100.0%	50.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	4.4%	0.0%	50.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

**Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

# Bachelor's Degree



#### Master's Degree

Admin

N/A



### **Doctoral Degree**

reacher	0%
Admin	0%

### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	89.5%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	66.7%	87.9%

#### **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.0%



Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <a href="NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information">NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</a>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <a href="User Friendly Budget">User Friendly Budget</a> and the <a href="Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)">CAFR)</a> and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

#### **ESSA Accountability Status**

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

<sup>†</sup> This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

**Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group**: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

#### **ESSA Accountability Progress**

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
ELA Proficiency	57.5%	61.2%	65.0%	
Math Proficiency	47.6%	57.1%	60.0%	
ELA Growth	44	31	47	
Math Growth	28	48	35	
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*	
Chronic Absenteeism	5.4%	9.4%	5.7%	

<sup>†</sup> This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

**Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group**: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Not Met	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	N	N	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Mission, Vision, Theme:

Mount Ephraim Public School District is a community school that prepares our students for high school, higher education, and careers. We use an innovative curriculum, relevant academic programming, and personalized learning so that all students discover the best version of themselves.



Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:

We recognize our students academic accomplishments throughout the school year. We have students that achieve near perfect scores on the NJ Assessments. We recognize students of the month based on specific character themes. We also have service award winners, such as most helpful, best citizen, and most motivated.



Demographic Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**


(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.





Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

	Before and After School Programs:	Shining Star is our wonderful, locally run, before / after school program. We use a humanistic approach and support the education our children receive in the classrooms. More information can be found on our website.
<b>13</b> 1	Staff and Professional Learning:	In Mount Ephraim, education is a collaborative process between faculty, students, and the community. Our children will be successful when we provide them with an environment that celebrates learning. This is evident in all our classrooms.
	Postsecondary Information:	Students develop the confidence to succeed when they are supported emotionally and socially. They fully use our technology, STEM initiatives, and integrated units. This gives them a well-rounded approach and ensures their success in the future.



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**

(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Student Supports an Services:	At Mary Bray, our guidance counselors conduct lessons in every classroom on social skills, self-esteem, cooperation, and kindness.
Student Health and Wellness:	We have an excellent health program that helps students be improve and develop. Our students are well cared for and our student to nurse ratio is one of the lowest in the state.
Parent and Community Involvement:	We are truly a community school that listens carefully to parents, children, and community leaders.



(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

	<u>'</u>	william provided in the narrative decision, produce defined the defined of distinct directly.
	Climate Surveys:	Is a Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students, Parents, Administrators, Teachers Our school uses the New Jersey Department of Education approved School Climate Survey. Students, parents, staff and administrators fill it out annually in the spring. The results of the survey are shared with the School Climate/Culture Committee. They utilize the data to help determine the best course of action to address areas of need.
	Facilities:	The Mary Bray School has a recent addition that provides the students with state of the arts gym, music room, and media center.
0	School Safety:	School safety is one of our highest priorities. By consistently working with the Mount Ephraim Police Department we are confident are schools are safe. Our Police Department works with our students on a daily basis - using a high profile approach and positive, constructive methods.



Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### **Mary Bray Elementary School**


(07-3420-030) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.





Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



#### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

#### **Navigating through the reports:**

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

#### Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

ľ	Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:						
l							
l							
l							
l							



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Contact Information**

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Camden
District	Mt. Ephraim School District
Principal Name	Dr. Laura Venello
Address	125 SOUTH BLACK HORSE PIKE MOUNT EPHRAIM, NJ 08059-1803
Phone Number	856-931-1634
Email Address	lvenello@mtephraimschools.com
Website	http://www.mtephraimschools.com/
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/mountephraimpublicschools



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

#### **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
5	41	36	51
6	43	31	35
7	49	41	33
8	44	50	40
Total	177	158	159

### **Enrollment Trends by Student Group**

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	49.7%	50.6%	45.3%
Male	50.3%	49.4%	54.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	39.0%	31.0%	32.1%
Students with Disabilities	26.6%	20.3%	20.8%
English Learners	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Homeless Students	1.7%	2.5%	2.5%
Students in Foster Care	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	84.7%	85.4%	84.9%
Hispanic	7.9%	8.2%	9.4%
Black or African American	4.5%	3.8%	3.1%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	2.8%	2.5%	1.9%

#### **Enrollment by Home Language**

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	96.9%
Spanish	1.3%
Other Languages	1.9%




(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	39	34	33	43	37	36
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	Not Met
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019 **Report Key:** 

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

#### Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	33	38	50	Not Met	36	36	50	Not Met
White	34	38.5	50	Not Met	32	35	52	Not Met
Hispanic	23.5	19	49	**	68	61	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	59	**	*	*	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	52	**
Female	39	39	53	N	38	42	50	N
Male	31	38	47	N	31	30	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	27.5	29	48	Not Met	38.5	38	46	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	43	38	43	Met Standard	41	38	45	**
English Learners	N	N	52	**	N	N	50	**
Homeless Students	*	*	43	N	*	*	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


### Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19


statewide assessment growth.

# Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.



2017-18 PARCC Level






(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	92.2%	91.7%	98.8%	92.2%	91.7%	98.8%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	35.3%	47.2%	45.6%	27.1%	33.4%	39.4%
Annual Target	32.7%	35.2%	37.7%	28.9%	31.6%	34.3%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	160	98.8	45.6	53.1	57.9	45.6	37.7	Met Target
White	137	98.6	45.3	53.4	66.9	45.3	39.2	Met Target
Hispanic	13	100.0	46.2	*	43.9	46.2	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	71	98.6	64.8	66.7	64.8	64.8		
Male	89	98.9	30.3	40.9	51.3	30.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	53	98.2	37.7	44.3	40.0	37.7	25.5	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	107	99.1	49.5	56.9	67.9	49.5		
Students with Disabilities	41	97.7	22.0	26.6	22.7	22.0	18.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	119	99.2	53.8	61.7	65.1	53.8		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	27.6	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.




(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	51	741	741	756	*	*	55%	*	*	27%	58%
White	42	740	740	764	*	*	60%	*	*	24%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Female	20	760	760	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Male	31	729	729	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	732	732	740	*	*	*	*	*	28%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	746	746	766	*	*	*	*	*	27%	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	51	741	741	758	*	*	55%	*	*	27%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	759	759	754	0%	*	*	*	*	61%	56%
White	32	758	758	762	0%	*	*	*	*	59%	65%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	17	769	769	762	0%	*	*	*	*	65%	64%
Male	19	749	749	748	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	753	753	740	0%	*	*	*	*	60%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	761	761	763	0%	*	*	*	*	62%	67%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	36	759	759	756	0%	*	*	*	*	61%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	739	739	761	*	*	*	*	*	45%	63%
White	28	744	744	769	*	*	*	*	*	50%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	790	N	N	N	N	N	N	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	68%
Female	16	746	746	769	*	*	*	*	*	50%	71%
Male	17	732	732	753	*	*	*	*	*	41%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	727	727	743	*	*	*	*	*	29%	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	748	748	771	*	*	*	*	*	58%	73%
Students with Disabilities	10	723	723	720	*	*	*	*	*	30%	22%
Students without Disabilities	23	745	745	769	*	*	*	*	*	52%	71%
English Learners	N	N	N	706	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	33	739	739	763	*	*	*	*	*	45%	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	41	746	746	762	*	*	*	*	*	54%	63%
White	36	745	745	770	*	*	*	*	*	53%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	794	N	N	N	N	N	N	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Female	19	772	772	771	*	*	*	*	*	79%	71%
Male	22	723	723	753	*	*	*	*	*	32%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	720	720	743	*	*	*	*	*	36%	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	27	759	759	772	*	*	*	*	*	63%	72%
Students with Disabilities	15	705	705	721	*	*	*	*	*	20%	22%
Students without Disabilities	26	769	769	770	*	*	*	*	*	73%	71%
English Learners	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	41	746	746	764	*	*	*	*	*	54%	65%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	160	98.8	39.4	47.3	44.5	39.4	34.3	Met Target
White	137	98.6	39.4	47.5	54.1	39.4	34.6	Met Target
Hispanic	13	100.0	38.5	*	28.8	38.5	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	71	98.6	57.7	56.9	44.9	57.7		
Male	89	98.9	24.7	38.7	44.2	24.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	53	98.2	34.0	38.0	26.3	34.0	25.5	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	107	99.1	42.1	51.4	54.9	42.1		
Students with Disabilities	41	97.7	22.0	25.0	17.4	22.0	18.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	119	99.2	45.4	54.6	50.0	45.4		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.




(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



<sup>† 2018-19</sup> results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	51	736	736	747	*	*	*	33%	0%	33%	47%
White	42	734	734	755	*	33%	*	*	*	31%	58%
Hispanic	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	20	747	747	747	*	*	*	*	*	55%	47%
Male	31	729	729	747	*	*	*	*	*	19%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	736	736	732	*	*	*	*	*	39%	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	736	736	757	*	*	*	*	*	30%	59%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	51	736	736	749	*	*	*	33%	0%	33%	49%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	736	736	741	*	31%	31%	*	*	33%	41%
White	32	736	736	749	*	31%	31%	*	*	31%	51%
Hispanic	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	769	N	N	N	N	N	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Female	17	745	745	742	*	*	*	*	*	59%	42%
Male	19	728	728	740	*	*	*	*	*	11%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	727	727	726	*	*	*	*	*	20%	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	740	740	750	*	*	*	*	*	38%	53%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
English Learners	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	36	736	736	743	*	31%	31%	*	*	33%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	740	740	744	*	*	*	*	*	42%	42%
White	28	745	745	751	*	*	*	*	*	50%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	16	749	749	744	*	*	*	*	*	50%	42%
Male	17	732	732	743	*	*	*	*	*	35%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	730	730	731	*	*	*	*	*	29%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	748	748	751	*	*	*	*	*	53%	53%
Students with Disabilities	10	726	726	718	*	*	*	*	*	30%	13%
Students without Disabilities	23	747	747	749	*	*	*	*	*	48%	48%
English Learners	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	33	740	740	745	*	*	*	*	*	42%	44%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	25	722	722	728	*	*	*	*	*	24%	29%
White	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Hispanic	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Black or African American	N	N	N	714	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Female	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Male	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	709	709	719	*	*	*	*	*	18%	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	732	732	735	*	*	*	*	*	29%	36%
Students with Disabilities	14	710	710	707	*	*	*	*	*	21%	10%
Students without Disabilities	11	736	736	734	*	*	*	*	*	27%	35%
English Learners	N	N	N	706	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	25	722	722	730	*	*	*	*	*	24%	30%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	16	766	766	744	0%	*	0%	*	*	88%	42%
White	14	764	764	752	0%	*	0%	*	*	86%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	775	N	N	N	N	N	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Male	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	16	766	766	745	0%	*	0%	*	*	88%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
5	N	N
6	*	*
7	*	*
8	Ν	N

#### English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	N	N	N

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within one standard deviation

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	N	N	N
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	37	47	14	2
White	38	45	14	2
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	24	48	29	0
Male	47	47	3	3
Economically Disadvantaged Students	53	41	6	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	29	50	18	3
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Non-English Learners	37	47	14	2
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	61	37	2	0
White	61	39	0	0
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	42	58	0	0
Male	77	18	5	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	77	15	8	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	54	46	0	0
Students with Disabilities	88	13	0	0
Students without Disabilities	44	52	4	0
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Non-English Learners	61	37	2	0
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards can be found on the NJDOE website.

### Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	36
7	0	0	33
8	16	0	25
Total	16	0	94

### World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	36	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	107	0	0	0	0	0	0



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:


- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


## Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.




Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes





Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	18	11.9	8.7	Not Met
White	17	13.3	8.7	Not Met
Hispanic	1	7.7	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	N	N	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	7	9.9		
Male	11	13.8		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	7	15.2	8.7	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	8	30.8	8.7	Not Met
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		

#### Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	1
Vandalism	1
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	2
Total Unique Incidents	4
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	2.52

#### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	2	2
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	0		0

#### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	1
Vandalism	1
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

### Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	*	*
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*
Any Suspension	*	*
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School	
Typical Start Time	8:30 AM	
Typical End Time	3:00 PM	
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins	
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 45 Mins	
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 45 Mins.	

#### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1.0:1



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	18	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	12.0	12.1
Average years experience in district	10.8	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	72.7%	75.3%

### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	3	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	17.0	16.0
Average years experience in district	4.5	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	50.0%	76.9%

#### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	159:1	136:1
Teachers to Administrators	18:1	13:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		N
Students to Nurses		204:1
Students to Counselors		N
Students to Child Study Team Members		204:1



(07-3420-020)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	45.3%	66.7%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	54.7%	33.3%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	84.9%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

#### Bachelor's Degree



### Master's Degree



#### **Doctoral Degree**

Tooobor

reacher	0 70
Admin	0%

00/

#### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	89.5%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	66.7%	87.9%

#### **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.3%

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

**Narrative** 



#### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

**Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group**: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

#### **ESSA Accountability Status**

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Comprehensive Support and Improvement
Category of Identification	Overall Low Performing
Year Eligible to Exit Status	2022
Student Group Status: White	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: English Learners	

<sup>†</sup> This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

**Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group**: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

### **ESSA Accountability Progress**

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	35.3%	47.2%	45.6%
Math Proficiency	27.1%	33.4%	39.4%
ELA Growth	39	34	33
Math Growth	43	37	36
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	N
Chronic Absenteeism	7.9%	23.6%	11.9%

<sup>†</sup> This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	Ν	Not Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	**	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

**Narrative** 



### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

#### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Mission, Vision, Theme:

Mount Ephraim Public School District is a community school that prepares our students for high school, higher education, and careers. We use an innovative curriculum, relevant academic programming, and personalized learning so that all students discover the best version of themselves.



Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:

We recognize our student academic accomplishments throughout the school year. We have students that achieve near perfect scores on the NJ Assessments. We recognize students of the month based on specific character themes. We also have service award winners, such as most helpful, best citizen, and most motivated.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# **School Narrative**

Courses, Curriculum, Instruction:	The faculty of Kershaw school has developed rigorous programs in English, mathematics, and science. We use a collaborative partnership among our students, staff, parents/guardians, and the community.
Sports and Athletics:	Sports Offered: Cross Country (Boys & Girls), Field Hockey (Girls), Soccer (Boys & Girls), Track and Field - Spring (Boys & Girls), Wrestling (Boys)
Clubs and Activities:	The Raymond W. Kershaw students participate with Audubon Junior High School in Team Sports: Boys/Girls Soccer, Field Hockey, Boys/Girls Cross Country, Boys/Girls Basketball, Wrestling, and Boys/Girls Track and Field.



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic **Achievement** 

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

	Before and After School Programs:	Shining Star is our wonderful, locally run, before / after school program. We use a humanistic approach and support the education our children receive in the classrooms. More information can be found on our website.
<b>!!!</b>	Staff and Professional Learning:	In Mount Ephraim, education is a collaborative process between faculty, students, and the community. Our children will be successful when we provide them with an environment that celebrates learning. This is evident in all our classrooms.
	Postsecondary Information:	Students develop the confidence to succeed when they are supported emotionally and socially. They fully use our technology, STEM initiatives, and integrated units. This gives them a well-rounded approach and ensures their success in the future.



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic **Achievement** 

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



# Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
\*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

## **School Narrative**

	Student Supports and Services:	The Child Study Team at Raymond W. Kershaw School is the best. Not only do they have their CST responsibilities, they are also school counselors. Students are supported emotionally and socially with individual counseling, group counseling, and events such as Week of Respect / Great Kindness Challenge.
Ci-	Student Health and Wellness:	We have an excellent health program that helps students be improve and develop. Our students are well cared for, our student to nurse ratio is one of the lowest in the state.
(dil)	Parent and Community Involvement:	We are truly a community school that listens carefully to parents, children, and community leaders.



(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# **School Narrative**

	Climate Surveys:	Is a Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students, Parents, Administrators, Teachers Our school uses the New Jersey Department of Education approved School Climate Survey. Students, parents, staff and administrators fill it out annually in the spring. The results of the survey are shared with the School Climate/Culture Committee. They utilize the data to help determine the best course of action to address areas of need.
	Facilities:	The Raymond W. Kershaw School is comprised of two buildings. Our main building, which houses our sixth through eighth grades and a preschool building. Our students have a music lab/room for class and lessons. We have a multi-purpose room, which is our gym, stage and cafeteria. Our schedule is unique so that it could be utilized for all functions.
0	School Safety:	School safety is one of our highest priorities. By consistently working with the Mount Ephraim Police Department we are confident are schools are safe. Our Police Department works with our students on a daily basis - using a high profile approach and positive, constructive methods.



Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



#### Raymond W. Kershaw School

(07-3420-020) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

## **School Narrative**

