2018-2019

How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

# Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

# **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Atlantic
District	Egg Harbor City School District
Principal Name	Mrs. Adrienne Shulby
Address	601 BUFFALO AVE EGG HARBOR CITY, NJ 08215-2105
Phone Number	609-965-1034
Email Address	ashulby@ehcs.k12.nj.us
Website	https://www.ehcs.k12.nj.us



2018-2019

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

# Enrollment Trends by Grade

# Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK	59	51	54
KG	51	72	52
1	57	54	65
2	45	61	48
3	48	46	54
Total	260	284	273

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	45.4%	46.5%	46.9%
Male	54.6%	53.5%	53.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	78.1%	78.9%	68.9%
Students with Disabilities	26.2%	21.5%	24.5%
English Learners	6.9%	6.0%	4.8%
Homeless Students	1.9%	2.8%	1.8%
Students in Foster Care	1.9%	1.8%	0.7%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	29.2%	24.6%	23.4%
Hispanic	38.5%	39.8%	41.0%
Black or African American	27.3%	28.9%	27.5%
Asian	0.8%	1.4%	1.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	4.2%	5.3%	6.2%

## Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	0	0	0
PK - Full Day	59	51	54
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	51	72	52

### Enrollment by Home Language


This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students				
English	90.5%				
Spanish	7.7%				
Chinese	1.1%				
Other Languages	0.7%				



## English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	96.2%	100.0%	96.5%	96.2%	100.0%	96.6%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	12.2%	*	13.2%	14.6%	11.6%	20.8%
Annual Target	21.5%	24.6%	27.6%	19.5%	22.7%	25.9%
Met Annual Target?	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Target†
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



## English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	53	96.5	13.2	14.7	57.9	13.2	27.6	Not Met
White	*	*	*	*	66.9	*	**	**
Hispanic	18	100.0	11.1	12.6	43.9	11.1	**	**
Black or African American	20	100.0	15.0	*	38.5	15.0	N	Ν
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	82.9	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	Ν	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	24	100.0	12.5	16.9	64.8	12.5		
Male	29	93.7	13.8	12.8	51.3	13.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	95.6	*	*	40.0	*	21.4	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	100.0	*	*	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	14	93.7	*	*	22.7	*	**	**
Students without Disabilities	39	97.6	*	*	65.1	*		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	Ν	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	30.4	Ν		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



## English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	54	701	701	748	59%	*	19%	*	*	13%	50%
White	11	715	715	757	*	*	*	*	*	18%	60%
Hispanic	18	702	702	734	61%	*	*	*	*	11%	36%
Black or African American	20	697	697	731	65%	*	*	*	*	15%	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	25	702	702	753	60%	*	*	*	*	12%	55%
Male	29	701	701	743	59%	*	*	*	*	14%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	43	695	695	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	725	725	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	14	676	676	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	40	710	710	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%




### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	53	96.6	20.8	12.3	44.5	20.8	25.9	Met Target <del> </del>
White	*	*	*	*	54.1	*	**	**
Hispanic	18	100.0	16.7	*	28.8	16.7	**	**
Black or African American	20	100.0	15.0	*	23.0	15.0	N	Ν
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	76.5	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	24	100.0	16.7	11.7	44.9	16.7		
Male	29	93.9	24.1	12.8	44.2	23.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	95.7	16.7	*	26.3	16.7	23.8	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	100.0	36.4	*	54.9	36.4		
Students with Disabilities	14	93.7	*	*	17.4	*	**	**
Students without Disabilities	39	97.6	*	*	50.0	*		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	N	23.3	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



## Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	55	712	712	752	45%	20%	*	*	*	20%	55%
White	11	732	732	760	*	*	*	*	*	45%	66%
Hispanic	19	719	719	739	*	*	*	*	*	16%	40%
Black or African American	20	701	701	735	60%	*	*	*	*	15%	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	749	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Female	25	703	703	751	56%	*	*	*	*	16%	54%
Male	30	720	720	752	37%	*	*	*	*	23%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	706	706	737	*	*	*	*	*	16%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	736	736	761	*	*	*	*	*	36%	67%
Students with Disabilities	14	680	680	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students without Disabilities	41	723	723	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	Ν	754	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	28%



## Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- ${\bf N}\,$  No Data is available to display

Narrative

**†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

## **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*

# English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the <u>NJSLA-Science website</u> for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the <u>assessment reports page</u> for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summa	ary	NJ	SLA Science /	Assessm	ent: Gra	de	
This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA S assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered profici	Science ient.	This table shows how s assessment, both overa are considered proficien	all and by studen	ed this yea t group. S	tudents sc	JSLA Scier oring at Le	nce vel 3 or 4
100%		Student Gr	oup	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
80%							
60%	LEVEL 4						
40%	LEVEL 2						
20%							
0%							




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	33	14.8	9.6	Not Met
White	7	14.0	9.6	Not Met
Hispanic	17	19.1	9.6	Not Met
Black or African American	8	12.5	9.6	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	16	15.2		
Male	17	14.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	24	15.9	9.6	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	12	21.8	9.6	Not Met
English Learners	1	7.7	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		




# Days Absent



Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

# Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Total Unique Incidents	0
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.00

# **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0



The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	Ν	N	Ν
Religion	Ν	N	Ν
Ancestry	Ν	N	Ν
Gender	N	N	Ν
Sexual Orientation	N	N	Ν
Disability	N	N	Ν
Other	N	N	Ν
No Identified Nature	N		Ν

## **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*	21
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	*	*	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	



Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:20 AM
Typical End Time	3:00 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 40 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 10 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 10 Mins.

# **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

## Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	24	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	10.1	12.1
Average years experience in district	9.3	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	58.3%	75.3%

# Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	22.0	16.0
Average years experience in district	16.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	100.0%	76.9%

## Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	11:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	273:1	130:1
Teachers to Administrators	24:1	13:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		260:1
Students to Counselors		260:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		173:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	46.9%	95.8%	100.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	53.1%	4.2%	0.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	23.4%	91.7%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	41.0%	4.2%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	27.5%	4.2%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

# Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).


Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	85.4%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	100.0%	87.9%

## **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present		
2018-19	96.4%		

# Bachelor's Degree





# Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Ν
Category of Identification	Ν
Year Eligible to Exit Status	Ν
Student Group Status: White	Ν
Student Group Status: Hispanic	Ν
Student Group Status: Black or African American	Ν
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	Ν
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	Ν
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	Ν
Student Group Status: English Learners	Ν

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

# ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	12.2%	*	13.2%
Math Proficiency	14.6%	11.6%	20.8%
ELA Growth	N	N	N
Math Growth	Ν	N	N
4-Year Graduation Rate†	Ν	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	Ν	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		100.0%	*
Chronic Absenteeism	21.0%	19.6%	14.8%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Not Met	Met Target†	**	**	**	Not Met	No
White	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	N	N	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Not Met	Met Target†	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview	Demographic Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment Staff Per-Pupil Expenditures Accountability Narrative						
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020)Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table2018-2019						
		School Narrative						
This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.								
	Highlights:	<ul> <li>Fully aligned curriculum to the New Jersey Student Learning Standards in all subjects and Next Gen Science Standards.</li> <li>Newly implemented extra-curricular activities including Safety Patrol and Art Club.</li> <li>Low student teacher ratio in classes allows for individualized learning.</li> </ul>						
	Mission, Vision, Theme:	The Board shall provide each pupil the educational opportunity and support that will enable him or her to develop the skills necessary to function politically, economically and socially as a responsible and contributing citizen in a democratic society. The Board will provide a planned program of learning that incorporates into its curriculum the lessons and experiences, within and without the classroom needed to allow each pupil to achieve the New Jersey Common Core at all grade levels.						
	Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:	The Spragg School has been the recipient of numerous Atlanticare Grants that enable the school to promote health and wellness to staff and students. The school also has been recognized as a leader in recycling being named honorable mention for the past 5 years.						

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT		Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table</li> </ul>		
				Schoo	l Narrative			
	This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.							
		Curriculum, uction:	Reading assessment include ru technology and interactive Sma achievement by using research the Spragg School are exposed through the NJCTL.	artboards n-based a	. The Progressive Math Init approaches as outlined by the	iative (PMI) conti he New Jersey C	nues with th enter for Te	e goal of increasing aching and Learning. Students at
C.	Clubs and	d Activities:	Families are encouraged to tak ' Night", and literacy workshops lessons that focus on anti-bully promote a positive school clima	s. Charac ing, gooc	ter education is part of the manners and respect. Pos	daily curriculum a	at the Sprage	

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		Grades	<b>Sprag</b> -1300-02 Offered: 018-2019	20) : PK-03	** Acco <b>N</b> No E	<b>t Key:</b> a is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ountability calculations require 20 or more students Data is available to display indicates a table specific note,see note below table	
				School	Narrative			
			hare highlights, achievements, a information provided in the narra				ivities, and services that are offered in the ectly.	ir
	Before a School P	nd After rograms:					was offered as a before school activity fo by patrol. The school also offers before and	
2	Staff Profes Lear	sional		ong staff.	Staff work closely with the		Grade level articulation takes place frequented the needs of students and	ntly

Overview	Demographic Academic Achievemer	t Climate and Environment Staff Per-Pupil Expenditures Accountability Narrative
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020)* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy * Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table2018-2019
This costic	an allows schools and districts	School Narrative offered in their of share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their
		he information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.
	Student Supports ar Services:	The school offers a wide range of resources for students and their families. Counseling services, I & RS teams as well as the Child Study Team all work together for the success of our students. Additionally, the district offers a complete Child Study Team with an LDTC, School Social Worker, and Speech Therapist. ELL services are also provided for those who require it.
Ċ	Student Health and Wellness:	Health and wellness is a priority at the Spragg School. Free breakfast is given to all students. The school partners with Atlanticare and is able to offer a variety of health and wellness programs and incentives to both staff and students.
	Parent and Community Involvement:	The Spragg School recognizes the importance of family engagement and encourages all families to be actively engaged in their child's education. Families are encouraged to visit the school during National Education Week as well as to participate in the many activities that are open to families. Family members who want to be involved with their child's education are welcome in all classrooms. Students also take part in field trips to museums, plays and places of interest and also experience in-house presentations by dance troupes, the Philadelphia Zoo, Ronald McDonald Corp. and others. The school is supported year round by the Egg Harbor City Education Foundation, Inc. and the district's Parent Teacher Organization.

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>		
					Narrative			
			share highlights, achievements information provided in the na				vities, and services that are offered in their ectly.	
	Fac	ilities:	The facilities at Spragg are m Grounds Supervisor.	naintained b	by a comprehensive buildin	gs and grounds s	staff, supervised by the Buildings and	
0	Schoo	ol Safety:	School safety is a priority at t -19 school year.	he Spragg	School. The district hired a	School Resource	e Officers for both buildings starting in the 18	

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative		
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		Charles L. Spragg School (01-1300-020) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019			Report Key:* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy** Accountability calculations require 20 or more studentsN No Data is available to display† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table			
					Narrative				
			share highlights, achievements, information provided in the nar					ervices that are offered in their	
Technology and STEM are integrated into classroom instruction. A focus on STEM is seen in the 3rd grade where of departmentalized and taught by highly qualified educators.						e 3rd grade where classes are			
A B C	A       The district offers full day preschool to 3 and 4 year old students. The school promotes an inclusion model where 3 old students are in the same classroom, regular and special education students. Each classroom is run by a certific and has a full-time aide.         B       Early Childhood Education:								



# How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

# Navigating through the reports:


- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

# **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:

Narrative



Address Phone Number

Email Address

Website

730 Havana Avenue EGG HARBOR CITY, NJ 08215-1547

609-965-1034

jgriffith@ehcs.k12.nj.us

https://www.eggharborcityschools.com



Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

### Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
Female	48.9%	48.3%	48.4%	
Male	51.1%	51.7%	51.6%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	78.5%	79.8%	72.0%	
Students with Disabilities	28.3%	31.2%	24.8%	
English Learners	3.9%	2.3%	2.8%	
Homeless Students	3.4%	3.4%	3.3%	
Students in Foster Care	1.3%	1.5%	0.8%	
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	25.8%	25.5%	27.6%
Hispanic	41.6%	39.5%	39.0%
Black or African American	25.8%	26.2%	24.0%
Asian	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	4.7%	7.2%	7.7%

### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students				
English	86.6%				
Spanish	11.8%				
Other Languages	1.6%				


Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
4	60	50	53
5	41	66	46
6	39	44	64
7	49	43	41
8	44	59	42
Total	233	263	246


3



### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	27	32	38	41	51	47
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

## Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	38	38	50	Not Met	47	47	50	Met Standard
White	48	48	50	Met Standard	54.5	54.5	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	36	36	49	Not Met	45	45	47	Met Standard
Black or African American	34	34	45	Not Met	49.5	49.5	43	Met Standard
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	59	**	*	*	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	Ν	51.5	**
Two or More Races	36	36	49	**	28	28	52	**
Female	44	44	53	N	48.5	48.5	50	Ν
Male	34	34	47	N	46	46	51	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	37	37	48	Not Met	45	45	46	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	33	33	43	Not Met	47	47	45	Met Standard
English Learners	35.5	35.5	52	**	42.5	42.5	50	**
Homeless Students	*	*	43	N	*	*	44	Ν
Students in Foster Care	*	*	42	N	*	*	44	Ν
Military-Connected Students	*	*	49	N	*	*	51	Ν
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	47	Ν	Ν	Ν	51	N



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

# Student Growth by Performance Level


ELA


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.

100%

80%

### Student Growth by Grade








#### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	100.0%	97.4%	97.6%	100.0%	96.7%	96.9%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	11.5%	11.2%	15.1%	13.3%	10.8%	10.3%
Annual Target	23.9%	26.8%	29.8%	17.3%	20.6%	23.9%
Met Annual Target?	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	232	97.6	15.1	14.7	57.9	15.1	29.8	Not Met
White	61	93.0	26.2	*	66.9	25.5	46.7	Not Met
Hispanic	93	100.0	12.9	12.6	43.9	12.9	26	Not Met
Black or African American	55	100.0	*	*	38.5	*	19.2	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	Ν	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	N	N
Female	112	96.7	17.9	16.9	64.8	17.9		
Male	120	98.5	12.5	12.8	51.3	12.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	178	97.9	13.5	*	40.0	13.5	24	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	54	96.6	20.4	*	67.9	20.4		
Students with Disabilities	61	95.5	*	*	22.7	*	15.2	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	171	98.4	*	*	65.1	*		
English Learners	16	100.0	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	216	97.5	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	27.6	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	52	714	714	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
White	17	718	718	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Hispanic	19	709	709	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	20	720	720	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Male	32	709	709	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	39	711	711	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	722	722	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	46	733	733	756	*	*	41%	28%	0%	28%	58%
White	13	750	750	764	*	*	*	*	*	62%	68%
Hispanic	15	725	725	743	*	*	*	*	*	13%	44%
Black or African American	10	727	727	739	*	*	*	*	*	20%	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	26	739	739	761	*	*	*	*	*	27%	64%
Male	20	725	725	750	*	*	*	*	*	30%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	15	704	704	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Students without Disabilities	31	747	747	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	11%
Non-English Learners	46	733	733	758	*	*	41%	28%	0%	28%	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	62	718	718	754	24%	37%	24%	*	*	15%	56%
White	16	729	729	762	*	*	*	*	*	31%	65%
Hispanic	30	717	717	743	*	47%	*	*	*	10%	43%
Black or African American	10	694	694	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	29	719	719	762	*	*	*	*	*	14%	64%
Male	33	717	717	748	*	*	*	*	*	15%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	45	715	715	740	*	*	*	*	*	11%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	17	726	726	763	*	*	*	*	*	24%	67%
Students with Disabilities	13	697	697	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	49	724	724	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	44	708	708	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
White	10	724	724	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Hispanic	18	708	708	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Black or African American	12	699	699	741	*	*	0%	*	*	17%	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	65%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Female	22	711	711	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Male	22	705	705	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	704	704	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	721	721	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Students with Disabilities	14	700	700	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	30	712	712	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	42	719	719	762	36%	*	*	26%	0%	26%	63%
White	*	*	*	770	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Hispanic	15	711	711	747	*	*	*	*	*	33%	49%
Black or African American	14	722	722	741	*	*	*	*	*	14%	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	794	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Female	18	737	737	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Male	24	706	706	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	772	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Students with Disabilities	13	696	696	721	*	*	*	*	*	15%	22%
Students without Disabilities	29	729	729	770	*	*	*	*	*	31%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%




### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	232	96.9	10.3	12.3	44.5	10.3	23.9	Not Met
White	60	91.7	16.7	*	54.1	15.9	35	Not Met
Hispanic	94	100.0	*	*	28.8	*	21.5	Not Met
Black or African American	55	98.4	*	*	23.0	*	16.3	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	10.5	*	53.3	10.5	N	N
Female	113	96.7	10.6	11.7	44.9	10.6		
Male	119	97.0	10.1	12.8	44.2	10.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	178	97.0	*	*	26.3	*	19.6	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	54	96.6	*	*	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	60	92.5	*	*	17.4	*	15.2	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	172	98.4	*	*	50.0	*		
English Learners	17	100.0	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	215	96.6	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	N	23.3	Ν		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.




2018-2019

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	53	722	722	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
White	18	731	731	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Hispanic	19	724	724	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	Ν	776	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	747	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	20	721	721	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Male	33	723	723	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	40	719	719	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	730	730	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	47	723	723	747	*	32%	34%	*	*	15%	47%
White	13	738	738	755	*	*	*	*	*	38%	58%
Hispanic	16	719	719	735	*	*	*	*	*	13%	30%
Black or African American	10	713	713	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	747	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	27	724	724	747	*	*	*	*	*	15%	47%
Male	20	723	723	747	*	*	*	*	*	15%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	15	701	701	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	32	734	734	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%



This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	61	716	716	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
White	16	729	729	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Hispanic	30	714	714	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Female	29	716	716	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Male	32	716	716	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	712	712	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	17	727	727	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	12	705	705	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	49	719	719	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
English Learners	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%



This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	44	722	722	744	*	41%	25%	*	*	16%	42%
White	10	736	736	751	*	*	*	*	*	40%	53%
Hispanic	18	720	720	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Black or African American	12	712	712	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	22	728	728	744	*	*	*	*	*	18%	42%
Male	22	716	716	743	*	*	*	*	*	14%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	716	716	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	741	741	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	14	712	712	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	30	726	726	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
English Learners	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	24	698	698	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
White	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Hispanic	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	714	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	29%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Female	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Male	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Students with Disabilities	10	678	678	707	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Students without Disabilities	14	712	712	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	709	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	12%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	709	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	32%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	701	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	16%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	17	742	742	744	0%	*	65%	*	*	29%	42%
White	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	775	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	744	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Male	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	710	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	17	742	742	745	0%	*	65%	*	*	29%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	718	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

\*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table



# Egg Harbor City Community School

(01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019

### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
4	N	N
5	N	N
6	N	N
7	N	N
8	*	*

# English Language Progress to Proficiency

**N** No Data is available to display

**Report Key:** 

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation

Staff


### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

# NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.


Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	60	28	11	2
White	38	31	23	8
Hispanic	69	19	13	0
Black or African American	70	30	0	0
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	67	22	11	0
Male	50	35	10	5
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	80	13	7	0
Students without Disabilities	50	34	13	3
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



# NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	45	55	0	0
White	*	*	*	*
Hispanic	60	40	0	0
Black or African American	46	54	0	0
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	39	61	0	0
Male	50	50	0	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	67	33	0	0
Students without Disabilities	36	64	0	0
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	N



Information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards can be found on the NJDOE website.

# Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	74
7	0	0	47
8	19	0	28
Total	19	0	149

# World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
7	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
8	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0




## Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	29	11.4	8.5	Not Met
White	7	9.9	8.5	Not Met
Hispanic	12	12.2	8.5	Not Met
Black or African American	6	9.8	8.5	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	8.5	Not Met
Female	11	9.2		
Male	18	13.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	24	13.4	8.5	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	12	17.6	8.5	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

**Days Absent** 






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

# Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	3
Weapons	1
Vandalism	0
Substances	2
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	5
Total Unique Incidents	11
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	4.47

# **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	1
Vandalism	0
Substances	2
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	1



The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations


This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	0	1
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	1	1
Sexual Orientation	0	1	1
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	4	4
No Identified Nature	0		0

## **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School
In-School Suspensions	20	8.1%	Suspensions
Out-of-School Suspensions	29	11.8%	140
Any Suspension	38	15.4%	
Removal to other education program	*	*	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	



### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School	
Typical Start Time	8:20 AM	
Typical End Time	3:00 PM	
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 40 Mins	
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 10 Mins	
Shared Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 10 Mins.	

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1.8:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	28	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	8.1	12.1
Average years experience in district	7.2	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	57.1%	75.3%

# Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	22.0	16.0
Average years experience in district	16.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	100.0%	76.9%

### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	62:1	130:1
Teachers to Administrators	7:1	13:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		260:1
Students to Counselors		260:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		173:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	48.4%	60.7%	50.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	51.6%	39.3%	50.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	27.6%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	39.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

# Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).


Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	85.4%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	100.0%	87.9%

### Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.


School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	96.6%

### Bachelor's Degree





# **Doctoral Degree**





# Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Comprehensive Support and Improvement
Category of Identification	Overall Low Performing
Year Eligible to Exit Status	2021
Student Group Status: White	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: Hispanic	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	Low Performing Student Group (ATSI)
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

# ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	11.5%	11.2%	15.1%
Math Proficiency	13.3%	10.8%	10.3%
ELA Growth	27	32	38
Math Growth	41	51	47
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	17.8%	14.3%	11.4%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	**	Not Met	No
White	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Hispanic	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	N	N	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative		
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			(01-13) Grades Of	<b>Community School</b> 00-030) fered: 04-08 8-2019	<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>					
					hool Narrative						
					other important information abo e section, please contact the sch			s that are offered	l in their		
	High		Science • Nationa	Standards, Readers	gressive Math Initiative from the s/Writers Workshop, and STEM. w Jersey DEP and Keep Americ school program for students.						
					n, Chromebooks are 1-1, using (						
		n, Vision, eme:	intellectual dev prevails. Instru	velopment, learn bes iction at the middle le	rn. Middle-level children, becaus t in a supportive, active, goal-ori evel should appropriately challer ce, self-concept, cooperation, cr	ented senge, mot	etting where a safe, secure tivate, and involve students	, friendly atmospl while allowing th	here		
		Recognition, lishments:	of 1291 schoo	ty Community Schoo Is across the USA.	I has been named the NJ State	Champi	ions of the Keep America B	eautiful Recycle-	Bowl out		

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative		
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		Egg Harbor City Community School (01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019				<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>				
				Sc	chool Narrative						
					other important information at e section, please contact the se			s that are offered	l in their		
		Curriculum, uction:	Students in EL using Google		nts use NJ's Center for Teach teracy each day using Reader re 1-1.						
<b>%</b>	Sports ar	nd Athletics	Both girls and	· -	Girls), Cheerleading (Boys & Cape-Atlantic Junior High Ath		ue in basketball.				
C	Clubs an	d Activities:	Center's enrich Council, Dram part in orchest	nment program, calle a Club, and the acad	ecreational sports and intramur ed REACH, open to all student demic program, National Junio n concerts and community par	s. Also, st r Honor So	udents are able to be in Ye	arbook Club, Stu	dent		

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative			
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Egg Harbor City Community School (01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>					
					chool Narrative							
					other important information ab e section, please contact the sc			s that are offered	in their			
		and After Programs:	collaborated t	o bring vital programr	chool, in cooperation with the 2 ning to our students and familia a better character for a better t	es. We ar	e dedicated to delivering q					
2	Profe	ff and ssional arning:	academic stre further accom teachers. This	engths and learning st modate students with approach allows tea	tyles of their students, different o unique learning needs, one E uchers to work closely with sma	ve classrooms. Teachers can come to know the personalities, erentiating lessons and materials to meet individual needs. To ne ELA and one math class at each grade level are taught by two smaller groups and individual students. Our staff's professional on and use of data and activating the cycle of inquiry.						

Overview	Demographic Stude Grow		College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative		
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANC REPORT	E	Egg Harbor City Community School (01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table</li> </ul>				
				chool Narrative						
				other important information at e section, please contact the s			s that are offered	in their		
	Student Support Services:	learning. Ou learning, beh additional as	school operates a ve avioral or health diffic	ts with disabilities, and basic sl ry productive Intervention and ulties. Students are encourage	Referral S	Services team to help stude	nts who are expe	riencing		
	Student Health Wellness:	and partner i right tone ea results: a de dinner daily.	egularly with health or ch day, all Community	s that proper nutrition along wir rganizations and wellness prov School students receive free l d student absences. Furthermo	iders to as preakfast (	ssist in meeting the needs during homeroom, a progra	of our students. T am that has show	o set the n positive		
<b>U</b> II	Parent and Community Involvemen	program call large variety Facebook ar	ed Class Dojo to keep	ts' grades 24/7 using our parer families engaged in student le to feel welcome in our school c	arning. Th	nroughout the school year,	parents are invite	ed to a		

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		Egg Harbor City Community School (01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:         <ul> <li>Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
					hool Narrative					
					other important information at e section, please contact the s			s that are offered	in their	
	Climate S	Surveys:	survey was giv	ven to all stakeholder	no is surveyed: Students, Pare rs in October 2018. The instrur (CREP) and prepared by Man	ment used	was developed by the Uni	versity of Memphi		
	Facili	ties:	Community So art music, com	chool opened to stud	vo-story, high-tech Egg Harbor ents in January 2010 and featu , a TV studio, two technology k /ellness Garden, chicken coop	ures all SN abs and m	MART Board-equipped clas nore. Outside, the natural a	srooms, WiFi, sta	te of the	
0	School S	Safety:	additional safe	ety and security befor	n very seriously at the Commu e, during and after school. Fur tatus and discuss all necessar	thermore,	the school's Safety Team			

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative		
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Egg Harbor City Community School (01-1300-030) Grades Offered: 04-08 2018-2019			<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table</li> </ul>					
				Sc	hool Narrative						
					other important information ab e section, please contact the sc			s that are offered	in their		
The Egg Harbor City Community School is committed to supporting excellence in science, technology, engineering, and math ("STEM") education. STEM education is at a critical point in the United States. The U.S. lags behind other nations in STEM education at the elementary and secondary levels. A large variety of STEM lessons and activities are infused in all classrooms and grades.								ГЕМ			