# APPENDIX



# Comments

# Before the Senate Legislative Oversight Committee

# Regarding S3066- Provides transparency and accountability reforms at the PANYNJ

# September 24, 2015

On the behalf of the members of the New Jersey Motor Truck Association (NJMTA) we'd like to express our appreciation to Senators Gordon and Weinberg and this committee for your efforts to provide for the much needed changes at the Port Authority of New York New Jersey (PANYNJ).

We strongly support S3066 which will help to bring oversight and reform to an agency that has an enormous economic impact on the public and businesses that must use their facilities.

The trucking industry has no problems with paying for the infrastructures we use. We do, however, take issue when our toll dollars are diverted or misused.

The trucking industry is the largest toll-payer at Port Authority's bridges and tunnels. For example, an average 5-axle truck crossing during peak hours (6-10 am and 4-6 pm) is charged \$80.00 with E-ZPass or \$95.00 cash. On December 6, 2015 the tolls will increase again to \$90 with E-ZPass or \$105 cash – just to cross a bridge!

While we support your proposed legislation we would appreciate your considering several additional items:

**Representation on the Board:** Toll payers don't have a seat at the table to insure that their toll dollars are being spent appropriately. The board should include representatives from the trucking industry and commuters.

**Roles and Responsibilities**: There should be a requirement that all positions that have base salaries of \$150,000 or more must have a job description including skills and knowledge requirements that made available to the public. Also those hired should actually have those skills and knowledge. In particular the leadership of the PANYNJ should have backgrounds in transportation and/or infrastructures.

**Clarify what is NOT Allowed:** There should be a clear rewording of the compact that states that economic/ regional and or state development projects are NOT allowed. The entire purpose and focus of the Port Authority is to move people and freight through bistate transportation networks. The primary focus should be to maintain, upgrade or replace, if needed, the transportation infrastructures that the Port Authority already owns and operates.

**Meetings**: Since the majority of the toll payers reside or operate in New Jersey, there should be minimally 50% of all PANYNJ board meetings held in New Jersey to give those impacted by the Port Authority decisions an opportunity to participate.

**Cost Containment**: All efforts to reduce expenses should be a priority. This should include a study to determine if it would be more cost effective for the PANYNJ to relocate headquarters to New Jersey.

**Toll Increases**: Since toll increases can have an enormous impact on the public and businesses, it should not be an easy process. Hearings for the public regarding rate increases do not allow for any conversation or discussion. Hearings are merely an opportunity for the public to get before a microphone and a few Port Authority board members (who do not speak) to state their opinion – there is no discussion.

We propose that prior to the first hearings on any proposed toll or fare increase the Port Authority will provide all information available to the public regarding the toll/fare increase at least 10 business days before the hearings – not 72 hours. This would give toll payers time to review documentation.

Prior to any final vote on a toll increase, the PANYNJ should be required to prove to both the New Jersey and New York state legislators before special hearings open to the public that the increase is needed and that they are just and reasonable. The PANYNJ should provide representatives that can answer any and all questions and provide documentation that any legislator may request.

There needs to be some sort of mechanism that would provide toll payers recourse when the rates charged are not just and reasonable as required by federal law.

**Future Projects:** Any future projects must take into consideration the current financial obligations of the PANYNJ and the existing infrastructure it needs to maintain and the revenue generated.

For example, we understand and agree that critical infrastructures, like the proposed Gateway Tunnel is needed however, we are concerned that the multi-billion dollar project will require higher tolls.

XX

Please keep in mind as you move forward on this project that while it may be a need and everyone wants it – the captive toll payers who have no other options and are already paying for the past mismanagement of the Port Authority cannot keep paying higher and higher tolls. At \$105 to cross a bridge – the tolls are already too high.

All efforts should be made to find the funds needed within the existing budget so that tolls do not have to be raised again.

### **Priorities:**

Sell the World Trade Center

Repair the nation's worst traffic bottleneck that is located in Fort Lee, New Jersey, where Interstate 95 and state Road 4 merge just west of Manhattan's George Washington Bridge (American Transportation Research Institute survey)

In closing, we thank you for the opportunity to provide our input and recommendations.

		Contraction	George Washington	Prior Bridy	2	The P 012 to	ort Aut 2015 T	The Port Authority of NY & NJ 2012 to 2015 TOLL RATE TAE	ATE TA	& NJ TABLE		c					
		5		PEN PEN	T HOURS	5: Weekda 0FF for Trucks	PEAK HO	Deventioned unconstruction for more interest, botterings, buterionage crossing, beyonde broge PEAK HOURS: Weekdays 6 - 10 a.m., 4 - 8 p.m. Sat, 8 a.m. 11 a.m 9 p.m. OPF-PEAK HOURS: All other times OVERNIGHT HOURS for Trucks: Sunday-Thursday 10 p.m 6 a.m. the following morning	n Sat. & Sat. & D Sat. & D D. p.m 6	outerbric bun. 11a.m.	- 9 p.m. - 9 p.m. lowing moi	rning	e bridge				
			<b>3eginning</b>	Dec. 2, 2012			Dec.	, 2013		1999 88 C. 12	S Dec. 7	2014	10.000		Control Dec. 1	5,2015.~	5-22-#85%C
	VEHICLE TYPE			s i		<b>K</b> 4	NH F	<b>9</b>				6					
		Off-Peak	Peak	Overnight	Cash	Off-Peak	Peak	Overnight	Cash	Off-Peak	Peak	Overnight	Cash	Off-Peak	Peak	Trk Overnight	Cash
225IO																	
	Vehicles with Two Axles and Single Rear Wheels	\$8.25	\$10.25	N/A	\$13.00	\$9.00	\$11.00	N/A	\$13.00	\$9.75	\$11.75	N/A	\$14.00	\$10.50	\$12.50	N/A	\$15.00
2	Vehicles with Two Axles and Dual Rear Wheels**	\$22.00	\$24.00	\$19.00	\$30.00	\$26.00	\$28.00	\$23.00	\$34.00	\$30.00	\$32.00	\$27.00	\$38.00	\$34.00	\$36.00	\$31.00	\$42.00
ы	Vehicles with Three Axles**	\$33.00	\$36.00	\$28.50	\$45.00	\$39.00	\$42.00	\$34.50	\$51.00	\$45.00	\$48.00	\$40.50	\$57.00	\$51.00	\$54.00	\$46.50	\$63.00
4	Vehicles with Four Axles**	\$44.00	\$48.00	\$38.00	\$60.00	\$52.00	\$56.00	\$46.00	\$68.00	\$60.00	\$64.00	\$54.00	\$76.00	\$68.00	\$72.00	\$62.00	\$84.00
2	Vehicles with Five Axles **	\$55.00	\$60.00	\$47.50	\$75.00	\$65.00	\$70.00	\$57.50	\$85.00	\$75.00	\$80.00	\$67,50	\$95:00	\$85.00	\$90.00	\$77,50	\$105.00
φ+	Vehicles with Six Axles or more** Each add'l Axle	\$66.00 \$11.00	\$72.00 \$12.00	\$57.00 \$9.50	\$90.00 \$15.00	\$78.00 \$13.00	\$84.00 \$14.00	\$69.00 \$11.50	\$102.00 \$17.00	\$90.00 \$15.00	\$96.00 \$16.00	\$81.00 \$13.50	\$114.00 \$19.00	\$102.00 \$17.00	\$108.00 \$18.00	\$93.00 \$15.50	\$126.00 \$21.00
~	Class 1 or 11 with Trailer and Recreational Vehicles		\$17.50	N/A	\$25.00	\$17.00	\$19.00	N/A	\$27.00	\$18.50	\$20.50	N/A	\$30.00	\$20.00	\$22.00	N/A	\$33.00
+	Each add! Axle	\$7.25	\$7.25	100 miles	\$12.00	\$8.00	\$8.00	a second and a second	\$13.00	\$8.75	\$8.75		\$15.00	\$9.50	\$9.50		\$17.00
Ø	Buses and Mini Buses with Two Axles (Seating Capacity = 10 or more)***	\$10.75	\$10.75	NA	\$21.00	\$11.50	\$11.50	۹.	\$22.00	\$12.25	\$12.25	<b>NN</b>	\$23.00	\$13.00	\$13.00	Ŋ	\$24.00
თ	Buses and Mini Buses with Three Axles (Seating Capacity = 10 or more)**	\$10.75	\$10.75	NA	\$21.00	\$11.50	\$11.50	٩N N	\$22.00	\$12.25	\$12.25	NIA	\$23.00	\$13.00	\$13.00	NIA	\$24.00
5	Motorcycles	\$7.25	\$9.25	N/A	\$12.00	\$8.00	\$10.00	N/A	\$13.00	\$8.75	\$10.75	N/A	\$14.00	\$9.50	\$11.50	N/A	\$15.00
1/11	Carpool Plan Class 1 or 11 vehicles with 3 or more people	\$4.25	\$4.25	N/A	N/A	\$5.00	\$5.00	N/A	N/A	\$5.75	\$5.75	N/A	N/A	\$6.50	\$6.50	N/A	N/A
~	Carpool Plan Class 7 Vehicles with 3 or more people	<del>5</del>		N/N	N/A	\$13.00	\$13.00	N/A	N/A	\$14,50	\$14.50	N/A	N/A	\$16.00	\$16.00	N/A	N/A
+	Each add'i Axie	57.25 1985 - 19	\$7.25 ST-25			S8.00	<b>38.00</b>	States.	14 17 XV 44	\$8.75 9465000	\$8.75	Contract and a street	1999 AN 1999	\$9.50	\$9.50	the set the subsection	a an the states
Ę	GREENPass Eligible Jow-emission Class 1 vehicles	\$4.75	\$10.25	<b>N</b>	NA	\$5.50	\$11.00	NA	MA	\$6.25	\$11.75	NVA	NA	\$7.00	<b>\$12.50</b>	NA	NA
	GREENPass Efigible low-emission Class 7 vehicles Each add'I Axle	\$12.00 \$7.25	\$17.50 \$7.25	SN .	<b>X</b>	\$13.50	\$19.00 \$8.00	SN .	N.	\$15.00 \$8.75	\$20.50 \$8.75	· <b>\$</b>	NIA	\$16.50 \$9.50	\$22.00 \$33.50	NA	ş
1/11		\$5.25	\$5.25	N/A	N/A	\$5.50	\$5.50	NIA	N/A	\$6.00	\$6.00	NIA	N/A	\$6.25	\$6.25	N/A	N/A
~ +	StB Discount Plan* Class 7 Vehicles Each add'l Axle	\$12.50 \$7.25	\$12.50 \$7.25	N/A	N/A	\$13.50 \$8.00	\$13.50 \$8.00	N/A	N/A	\$14.75 \$8.75	\$14.75 \$8.75	N/A	AIN	\$15.75 \$9.50	\$15.75 \$9.50	N/A	NIA
•	The Port Authority of New York & New Jersey Staten Island Bridges Plan requires the use of 3 trips in a calendar month at the Goethals Bridge. Outerbridge Crossing or Bayonne Bridge. This reduced rate is available to all E-ZPass customers in Class 1, 7, and 11 vehicles with non-commercial plates, who enroll in the Plan at the NY or NJ E-ZPass Customer Service Centers.	lersey State 3ayonne Bric s, who enroll	en Island dge. This I in the Pla	Bridges Pl <i>a</i> reduced rate in at the NY	n requires is availabi or NJ E-ZF	the use of : le to all E-Z ass Custor	trips in a Pass custo ner Service	calendar mo mers in Clas è Centers,	nth at the is 1, 7,		Tolls are	Tolls are collected for a round trip when entering New York	for a round	trip when	entering Ne		
: 	Truck Volume Discount: The Truck Volume Discount Program provides E-ZPass commercial accounts in NY and NJ with whicks in Classes 2 lo 6 and old discount on all non-peak tips of 100 or more in any monthly account statement cycle in the form of an account credit, provided the account is in anod standing.	me Discount int on all nor	t Program n-peak trip od standir	provides E- is of 100 or i	ZPass corr nore in any	imercial ac	ounts in N count state	Y and NJ wi	th in the								
<u> </u>	Class 8 & Class 9. The Port Authority defines a bus/mini bus as any vehicle with two or more axies designed with a sealing	ines a bus/mi	ini bus as	any vehicle	with two or	more axles	designed	with a seatin	- 6								Τ
	capacity of ten of more persons, including th	the driver. I	his inclua	es, but is no	t limited to:	buses, mir	i buses, va	ins. and limc	)S.								

THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NY & NJ

# 2014 Monthly Traffic and Percent of E-ZPass Usage

		1		A				CICCIIC OI E-ELASS USAGE	2000	ע			
TUNNELS AND BRIDGES													
(Eastbound Traffic)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	lut	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
All Crossings													
Automobiles	7,581,418	6,785,435	8,524,299	8,680,283	9,269,061	9,099,134	9,264,996	9,554,892	8,766,974	8,970,784	8,578,702	8,888,799	103,964,777
Buses	225,163	205,029	240,845	249,720	259,101	254,437	266,166	262,970	247,833	265,523	234,049	247,547	2,958,383
	564,243	505,101	597,809	615,686	630,217	599,563	606,175	584,882	599,664	635,092	555,789	600,272	7,094,493
	8,370,824	7,495,565	9,362,953	9,545,689	10,158,379	9,953,134	10,137,337	10,402,744	9,614,471	9,871,399	9,368,540	9,736,618	114,017,653
e (%)	82.9%	82.8%	82.2%	82.1%	81.6%	81.1%	80.7%	80.2%	82.3%	82.7%	82.2%	82.2%	81.9%
Sector Washington and			ななが、高大学の										
Automobiles	3,255,715	2,891,336	3,667,843	3,772,933	4,059,315	3,924,976	4,041,566	4,188,938	3,821,762	3,900,970	3,753,086	3,857,135	45,135,575
Buses	30,449	28,186	35,065	35,147	38,502	37,885	41,886	40,298	34,689	37,246	33,410	33,041	425,804
Trucks	282,924	253,385	300,536	310,050	314,436	284,772	283,532	274,569	285,184	308,543	276,542	300.437	3.474.910
Total Vehicles	3,569,088	3,172,907	4,003,444	4,118,130	4,412,253	4,247,633	4,366,984	4,503,805	4,141,635	4,246,759	4,063,038	4,190,613	49,036,289
E-ZPass Usage (%)	81.8%	81.4%	80.8%	80.6%	80.1%	79.4%	79.0%	78.6%	80.9%	81.3%	80.7%	80.8%	80.4%
Automobiles	1,159,422	1,050,042	1,312,849	1,322,246	1,389,716	1,363,822	1,326,877	1,369,748	1,306,417	1,368,904	1,294,683	1,332,199	15,596,925
Buses	168,063	152,260	176,087	182,730	185,988	181,313	187,178	186,013	180,691	193,430	171,803	185,247	2,150,803
	82,023	75,157	85,649	89,825	90,594	90,193	88,994	87,456	89,193	96,094	82,058	86,001	1,043,237
Total Vehicles	1,409,508	1,277,459	1,574,585	1,594,801	1,666,298	1,635,328	1,603,049	1,643,217	1,576,301	1,658,428	1,548,544	1,603,447	18,790,965
E-ZPass Usage (%)	84.5%	84.6%	83.9%	83.7%	83.2%	82.7%	82.4%	81.5%	83.7%	84.1%	83.8%	83.4%	83.4%
Release Annales										Active and the state of			
Automobiles	1,132,938	1,017,220	1,261,875	1,221,890	1,303,867	1,289,702	1,306,025	1,337,589	1,256,700	1,279,894	1,229,097	1,278,468	14,915,265
Buses	14,519	13,351	16,173	17,915	18,857	19,446	20,614	20,355	18,136	18,877	15,447	15,644	209,334
Trucks	34,605	30,971	36,328	37,050	38,252	38,558	40,187	39,121	38,934	40,419	34,451	37,123	445,999
I otal Vehicles	1,182,062	1,061,542	1,314,376	1,276,855	1,360,976	1,347,706	1,366,826	1,397,065	1,313,770	1,339,190	1,278,995	1,331,235	15,570,598
E-2Pdss Usage (%)	19.1%	/9.8%	%1.6/	/9.1%	78.5%	78.3%	77.6%	76.9%	79.3%	79.9%	79.3%	79.3%	78.9%
Stelling State Co.													
Automobiles	878,738	789,584	995,437	1,051,963	1,138,786	1,114,883	1,154,540	1,208,704	1,074,889	1,080,707	1,045,803	1,083,542	12,617,576
Buses	7,699	7,186	8,699	9,074	10,037	10,102	10,418	10,473	9,521	10,408	8,641	8,914	111,172
	89,229	79,953	95,669	95,572	100,432	101,973	105,861	98,970	100,095	102,307	85,352	92,313	1,147,726
	9/2/600	8/6,/23	1,099,805	1,156,609	1,249,255	1,226,958	1,270,819	1,318,147	1,184,505	1,193,422	1,139,796	1,184,769	13,876,474
E-LE day Usdge (70)	<b>03.U%</b>	83.3%	%C.28	87.2%	81./%	81.2%	80.7%	80.3%	82.6%	83.0%	82.5%	82.2%	82.0%
Automobiles	936.987	845 583	1 057 894	1 088 41 <b>2</b>	1 165 785	1 175 200	1 205 200	1 JE4 07F	1 100 477	1 44F 040	1.000.000		
Buses	3.044	2.835	3.438	3.556	4.749	4 180	4,503,200 A A 5 8	C/0'TC7'T	2,4/0,4/3 2,51/1	012'CTT'T	750,500,1 5 125	195,121,1	13,134,688
Trucks	58,413	51.082	61.896	66.537	69.147	66 536	49 385	4,042 68 023	4TC'C	70 03E	C24/C	105,0	44,/00
Total Vehicles	998.439	899.500	1.123.228	1.158.505	1 239 181	1 246 114	1 770 123	1 274 550	1 107 445	1 100 251	1 130 250	1103 810	12 0CL 104
E-ZPass Usage (%)	87.4%	87.5%	1	86.9%	86.8%	86.6%	2777 2772 86 3%	2/22/2000	701 68	702 40	706 40	DTO'CCT'T	+00'coc'cT
Bayonne Bhdge 👘 👘	STATES OF STATES		第二日 日本の 日本の 日本		中心になるという				NT. CO.	0/0: 10	0/7:10	01.2.10	0.10
Automobiles	217,623	191,670	228,401	222,839	211,592	230,353	230,708	198.838	198.731	224.999	192.936	216.058	2 564 748
Buses	1,389	1,211	1,383	1,298	1,468	1,511	1,612	1,289	1,282	1,456	1.325	1,340	16.564
Trucks	17,049	14,553	17,731	16,652	17,356	17,531	18,216	15,833	15,804	16,894	13,546	15,346	196,511
Total Vehicles 23	236,061	207,434	247,515	240,789	230,416	249,395	250,536	215,960	215,817	243,349	207,807	232,744	2,777,823
E-ZPass Usage (%)	87.9%	87.7%	87.6%	87.7%	87.9%	87.0%	86.8%	87.4%	88.3%	88.4%	87.9%	87.9%	87.7%

**5**x

1 1

.

TUNNELS AND BRIDGES Jan   Existiound Trafife) Jan   Automobiles 7,644,253   Buses 220,716   Trucks 538,771   Trucks 538,771   Total Vehicles 8,403,740   E-ZPass Usage (%) 8,403,740   E-ZPass Usage (%) 8,103,740   Trucks 23,311,360   Buses 23,311,360   E-ZPass Usage (%) 8,103,740   Trucks 27,272   Trucks 27,272   Trucks 27,272   Trucks 27,272   Trucks 27,272   Trucks 27,272   Automobiles 3,613,894   E-ZPass Usage (%) 81,6%   Buses 1,160,866   Buses 1,53,414   Trucks 7,53,44   Trucks 3,513,804   E-ZPass Usage (%) 84,965   Buses 1,160,866   Buses 1,099,574   Buses 1,146,262   Fuctorobiles 1,146,262   Fuctorobiles 1,146,262	n Feb 53 7,182,428 11 512,400 71 512,400 0% 83,3% 60 3,083,340 60 3,083,340 60 3,083,340 66 3,083,558 6% 81,7% 66 1,112,701 66 1,112,701 68 1,34,534 88 1,34,534		Apr 8,791,886 252,918 6,257,045 1,257,045 1,257,045 1,257,045 1,257,045 1,323,430 3,11,44 4,186,615 3,124 3,1256 3,11,256 1,1257 1,320,491 1,320,4		Jun				Dec	Year-to-Date
obiles ehicles			91,886 91,886 1,52,038 1,52,045 1,2,201 82,8% 139,751 11,443 82,8% 86,615 81,2% 81,2% 81,2% 81,2% 91,411 90,411			Jul Aug	Sep	Oct Nov		Contraction of the second s
ehiclesass Usage (%)ass Usage (%)ass Usage (%)asss Usage (%)		201256	22,958 (12,201 (12,201 (12,201 (12,201 (12,204) (11,434 (11,434)\\(11,434)\\(		9 136 614					20 KOZ (2
ehicles		1 DARRE 1 DARRE 1	112,201 57,045 139,751 35,430 11,434 86,615 81,2% 81,2		258,920					1.447.363
ehicles ass Usage (%) (V. h) (*)		DATE:	557,045 1 82.8% 39,751 35,430 111,434 86,615 81.2% 81.2% 20,491 20,491 25,596 40,411		641,848					3,526,350
ass Usage (%) (hiles ehicles ass Usage (%) (hinth) (hiles ehicles ass Usage (%) (hiles ehicles ass Usage (%) (hiles (hiles) (h		DATES FISHING	82.8% 139,751 35,430 111,434 86,615 81,2% 81,2% 20,491 20,491 82,596 411		10,037,382					55,508,548
obiles ehicles		4666 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		82.0%	82.4%					82.7%
ehicles ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) (ehicles ass Usage (%) tobiles chicles 2ass Usage (%) 2ass Usage (%) 2ass Usage (%) 2ass Usage (%) 2ass Usage (%)					·		and the second second			
ehicles ass Usage (%) (hith the cobiles ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) (hith the cobiles (hithes ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) abiles		CONTRACT OF CONTRACT		4,118,4/3 20150	4,UZ7,Z36					22,002,272
ehicles		100 DOLD		309 045	38,111					205,189
ass Usage (%) obiles ehicles ass Usage (%) di Timit() obiles ehicles ass Usage (%) abiles				4.465,676	4.387.567		-			73 997 703
obiles obiles ehicles		CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.	1,320,491 185,596 90.411	80.3%	80.7%		*****			81.1%
obiles ehicles ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) obiles		1	1,320,491 185,596 90.411							
ehicles ass Usage (%) a 1000 (%) obiles ehicles ass Usage (%) ass Usage (%) obiles		1	185,596 90.411	1,395,215	1,329,203			NAMES IN ANALYSIS OF GROUP, WAS RECOMMENDED IN A COMPANY AND A LIVER AN ADVANCE AND A LIVER AND		7,629,827
ehicles			90 411	182,975	186,037					1,059,747
ehicles ass Usage (%) obiles ehicles ass Usage (%) At Stiff (2-				90,996	95,582					515,230
'ass Usage (%) 		1,582,275	1,596,498	1,669,186	1,610,822	***************************************		******		9,204,804
obiles 1,05 ehics 1,05 ehicles 1,14 esites (%) 1,14 ebiles 99	10 Pillon	84.9%	84.8%	84.0%	84.3%					84.7%
Port [ehicles ] 1,1,2 [	77A 1 056 917	1 JOE ATO	1 340 100	1 230 455	1 273 203					
ehicles 1,12 ass Usage (%) 1,12 Mit 3011(7-24) 99		15,778	17,087	17,872	18,266					7,2U3,8U8 96.0R6
ehicles 1,14 ass Usage (%) 114 31,117 2 (%) 114 31,117 2 (%) 114 31,117 2 (%)			37,243		39,389					216,533
ass Usage (%) N. 8711192 - N. 8711192 - 93 Obiles 93	1,1(	1,258,086	1,302,519	1,375,465	1,330,957					7,516,427
als Britge obiles 9:		. 1			79.5%					79.9%
obiles 9:										
	ö	1,036,220	1,111,197	1,147,791	1,129,225					6,249,049
~	133 8,303 768 80,624	9,755 94 DD5	10,131 97 77	10,323 97 818	10,899 103 958					57,544
ehicles1,C	6			1.255.932	1.244.082					530,445 6 863 038
(%)			83.1%	82.8%	83.0%				*****	83.2%
Grossing										
iobiles 91	8	1,043,156	1,114,199	1,217,858	1,205,559					6,420,676
		3,599	3,680	4,531	4,550					22,158
Trucks bu, /86	786 52,152 777 042 518	62,364 1 100 110	67,169 1 105 040	70,345	12,427					388,243
1,01 1 2,01			87 4%	40 JAD 38	055,202,1 205 78		****			6,831,U//
				A STREET STREET	21.5%					01.4%
	586 153,817	180,071	158,059	181,481	172,089					1.029.203
Buses 1,151		1,262	1,034	1,105	1,057					6,639
		13,390	8,672	9,234	8,272					64,617
Total Vehicles 198,710	710 166,023	194,723	167,765	191,820	181,418	1,100,459				1,100,459
		89.2%	90.0%	88.6%						89.1%

(04



137 West Hanover Street Trenton, NJ 08618 (609) 393-0008 *Tel.* (609) 393-1189 *Fax* www.njfuture.org

# STATEMENT

Testimony on proposed Port Authority of New York and New Jersey reform legislation

Senate Legislative Oversight Committee

Sept. 24, 2015

Contact: Tim Evans, Research Director, 609-393-0008 ext. 103

# Expansion of Trans-Hudson Commuting Capacity – Both Rail and Bus – Critical to Economies of Both New Jersey and New York

Dear members of the committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

New Jersey Future is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that brings together concerned citizens and leaders to promote responsible land-use policies that, among other things, help provide more transportation choices beyond cars, expand access to safe and affordable neighborhoods and fuel a prosperous economy. Our testimony today focuses on those goals.

New Jersey Future has long supported transit-oriented development (TOD) as both a means of directing travel off of New Jersey's overburdened road network and onto public transportation and as an economic-development tool for towns hosting transit stations. But capturing a greater percentage of travel on transit requires that the transit system has the capacity to accommodate additional riders. Towns can't promise potential new residents (and businesses) the benefits of TOD if the buses and trains won't be able to get these new residents to jobs in Manhattan, the primary destination of transit commuters. And because demographic and market forces are currently conspiring to make transit-accessible living more desirable, New Jersey as a state stands to lose out to other metropolitan areas if its transit stations cannot be leveraged to take advantage of these pro-transit trends.

The commuter rail system is, by some reckonings, already operating at capacity in terms of the number of people it can carry across the Hudson every day to Manhattan. A new trans-Hudson commuter rail tunnel is desperately needed. The Port Authority Bus Terminal, likewise, is in need of expansion. Consider that more than 70 percent of New Jerseyans who work in Manhattan ride transit to work, roughly 40 percent by rail and a little more than 30 percent by bus. Unless the capacity of both modes is expanded, New Jerseyans seeking to fill new jobs in Manhattan will either 1) get in their cars and drive, further congesting our roads, or 2) elect not to take these jobs at all, leaving them to be filled by residents of other parts of the New York metropolitan area. Either way, New Jersey loses.

Both a new trans-Hudson rail tunnel and an expansion of the Port Authority Bus Terminal stand to benefit the economies of both New Jersey and New York. New Jerseyans get access to new jobs being created by the economic engine that is Manhattan, and New York gets access to a workforce to fill those jobs. This is more the case than ever now that the new development on Manhattan's far West Side is coming online; Hudson Yards will put additional jobs within reach of New Jersey commuters, but only if there is the sufficient capacity for them to reach those jobs by transit.

For these reasons, construction of a new trans-Hudson rail tunnel lends itself to being overseen by the Port Authority, whose purview is explicitly bi-state projects. New Jersey Future supports the prioritization of both the Amtrak Gateway rail tunnel and the expansion of the Port Authority Bus Terminal in the Port Authority's capital plan.

Thank you for your consideration.

Working for Smarter Growth...More Livable Places and Open Spaces

# ADDITIONAL APPENDIX MATERIALS SUBMITTED TO THE

# SENATE LEGISLATIVE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE for the SEPTEMBER 24, 2015 Meeting

## Submitted by Research Staff, Office of Legislative Services:

Shawn Boburg, "Analysis: Port Authority's role in paying for tunnel in doubt," *The Record*, September 22, 2015, ©2015 North Jersey Media Group.

Shawn Boburg, "Port Authority officials expected to back proposal to move bus terminal one block west," *The Record*, September 24, 2015.

Stephen Sweeney, "Obama administration should fork over \$10B to construct Gateway rail tunnel," *The Star-Ledger*, September 23, 2015.

Mike Davis, "See how NJ Transit slammed by cash woes," *Asbury Park Press*, July 31, 2015.