

974.901

P 153

C. 2

PROPERTY OF
NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

NOV 16 1973

185 W. State Street
Trenton, N. J.

A N N U A L R E P O R T

State of New Jersey

Department of Institutions and Agencies

Division of Correction and Parole

BUREAU OF PAROLE

135 West Hanover Street, Trenton

(July 1, 1972 - June 30, 1973)

Nat R. Arluke, Chief
Bureau of Parole

William R. Faulkner
Supervising Parole Officer

I N D E X

Introduction	Page 1
Goals and Objectives	Page 1
Developments	Page 1
Personnel	Page 3
Training	Page 5
Volunteer Lawyer Project	Page 6
Parole Resource and Orientation Facility	Page 6
Specialized Caseload Project	Page 7
Parolee Earnings	Page 7
Discharged Prior to Expiration of Maximum	Page 7
Economic Opportunity Act	Page 8
Caseloads	Page 8
Returns to Institutions	Page 9
Missing Cases	Page 9
Supervision	Page 10

INTRODUCTION

The Bureau of Parole has the responsibility to conduct investigations for both parole and clemency matters, to provide supervision and submit reports concerning persons paroled from training schools, correction and penal institutions in New Jersey, and persons paroled from similar institutions of other states to reside in New Jersey. In addition, the Bureau is responsible for periodical investigations and recording of inmates involved in the Work-Release and Furlough programs and, as a result of the Morrissey v. Brewer decision, for conducting the "Probable Cause" section of the revocation process.

In order to execute its responsibilities the Bureau maintains nine district offices throughout the State, a parole office in each institution, and a community residential facility in Jersey City.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

1. Reduction of caseloads may reach the goal of 1:54 ratio if all of the budget approved positions are filled. For the first time in five years, the total caseload is increasing at a decreasing rate.

2. Streamlining of paper work processes continues at a slow pace as a result of the concentration on the construction of workable procedures for the implementation of the Morrissey v. Brewer decision.

3. The phased-out specialized caseloads financed by Title I funds were providentially replaced by two S.L.E.P.A. projects which now provide for a narcotic caseload in each of the nine district offices and for two short-term community orientation caseloads for releases at expiration of maximum sentence.

4. The initiating of another PROOF-type residential, office, and orientation facility in the Newark area has reached the stage of agreement to financial terms, and barring unforeseen circumstances, hopefully should be in operation in the near future.

5. A similar facility for females has not been focused to date in view of the higher priority of males resulting from the increased caseload sizes.

DEVELOPMENTS

Specialized Caseloads continue to provide optimistic indications that supervision by trained and experienced parole officers of caseloads composed of homogenous types of offenders should be augmented. In this regard projects have been submitted to U.S. Department of Health, Education & Welfare and to S.L.E.P.A. for approval.

Volunteers in Parole have successfully demonstrated the value of lawyer-volunteers in the field of parole. Benefits in the vast majority of cases have accrued to the lawyer-volunteer, the parole staff, and to the parolee.

Unionization of the parole officers and senior parole officers has developed into a joining with the AFSCME, Union #2311, AFL-CIO.

Bureau Credit Union has taken form as a result of the individual efforts of certain staff members and the subsequent wholehearted support of every level of the staff. To date the Credit Union has over 100 active members and has built up its assets to permit loans of up to \$250 at this time.

Professional Staff Review Committee has been reinitiated from all levels of the parole staff to provide a comprehensive study and recommendations regarding salary proposals, title changes, promotional and educational opportunities. The study is being revised and should be released shortly.

Increases in Personnel should begin to exert an appreciable affect on caseload size as vacant positions are filled. A delay in the processing of the Civil Service certifications by that agency has slowed the actual filling of the positions; however, the matter finally has been cleared and vacancies will be filled as a priority item.

Security consideration in each district office must be considered seriously in view of the number of breaking and entries in our offices and the subsequent theft of typewriters and dictating equipment. There is no insurance provision for the replacing of this equipment and no funds have been located which can be tapped for the replacement, nor have we been able to locate any funds which would permit us to install burglar alarm systems.

Vehicle shortages, a perennially persistent problem, are being neutralized. With a Bureau goal of three days of field work as against two days of office work, the availability of adequate transportation, once critical, has now reached a much more workable level. Vandalization of vehicles in overnight parking areas is still a serious problem. Solutions for consideration include (1) State garaging facilities, (2) private garaging of vehicles by staff members, or (3) use of privately-owned vehicles at the going mileage rate.

Realignment of district offices will have to be considered to balance caseload overloads. The possibility of establishing an additional office in the North Jersey area may have to be determined. A shifting of some of the cases in Bergen County has offered only a temporary measure in this regard.

Parole Manual committee continues to try to produce a viable source of information for the use of staff members. Reproduction and distribution should be completed within the year.

PERSONNEL

At the end of the prior fiscal year there were 248 staff members in the Bureau. During the fiscal year 1973, nine Federally Funded Title I positions (six parole officers and three clerks) were phased out, leaving a total of 239 positions, to which were added 33 new positions; two supervising parole officers, eight parole officers and five clerical positions were approved by the State Budget Bureau. The remaining 18 positions are Federally Funded positions and are broken down as follows:

- 1 Coordinator (Volunteers in Parole Program)
- 1 Project Director Analyst (Specialized Caseloads)
- 11 Senior Parole Officers (Specialized Caseloads)
- 5 Clerk Stenographers (Specialized Caseloads)

On June 30, 1973, the staff consisted of the following:

Chief	1
Supervising Parole Officer	7
District Parole Supervisor	9
Assistant District Parole Supervisor	11
Project Director Analyst (Federally Funded) ...	1
Coordinator, Volunteers in Parole Program (Federally Funded)	1
Senior Parole Officer - Field (including 11 Federally Funded)	20
Senior Parole Officer - Institutional	7
Parole Officer - Field	120
Parole Officer - Institutional	5
Parole Officer - PROOF	6
Clerical (including 5 Federally Funded)	<u>84</u>
Total.....	<u>272</u>

In addition to the 272 budgeted positions, we have been assigned nine temporary EEA positions - seven Parole Aides and two clerical positions.

The attached Personnel Chart gives the distribution of the 272 staff members as of the end of the fiscal year.

PERSONNEL CHART

	Chief	SPO	Proj. Dir.	VIPP	DPS	ADPS	Sr.P.O.	P.O.	Clerical	Total
C.O.	1	7	1	1					6	16
DO#1					1	1	2	16	8	28
DO#2					1	2	2	15	8	28
DO#3					1	1	3	15	7	27
DO#4					1	1	2	15	9	28
DO#5					1	1	2	11	6	21
DO#6					1	1	3	11	5	21
DO#7					1	1	2	12	7	23
DO#8					1	1	2	10	5	19
DO#9					1	2	2	15	9	29
PROOF								6	1	7
Sub-totals	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>126</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>247</u>
IPO-TSG							1		1	2
IPO-TSB							1		1	2
IPO-YCIA							1		1	2
IPO-YCIB							1		1	2
IPO-YRCC							1	2	4	7
IPO-CIW							1		1	2
IPO-NJSP							<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>
Sub-totals							<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>25</u>
GRAND TOTALS	<u>1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>131</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>272</u>

SPO - Supervising Parole Officer
 DPS - District Parole Supervisor
 ADPS - Assistant District Parole Supervisor
 Sr.PO - Senior Parole Officer
 PO - Parole Officer

During the year there were two retirements, 17 resignations received from the professional staff for the following reasons:

Eight went to better paying positions in allied service agencies. Of these, three were with other units in the Division of Correction and Parole, and one went to S.L.E.P.A.

Two were determined to be unsuitable for parole work.

Two returned to school to obtain graduate degrees.

Two resigned for personal reasons.

One accepted a better paying position in private industry.

One moved out of New Jersey.

One moved to Canada but returned and was reemployed.

Of the 17 resignations, eight left the Bureau for better paying positions; this is approximately six percent of the total number of parole officer positions (down from ten percent last year). The total number of parole officer separations for all reasons amounted to 12 percent of the total number of parole staff as against 24 percent for the previous year. It should be noted that there were no resignations submitted by the female parole officers.

TRAINING

Goals, objectives and suggested content of training are reflected in the Bureau-wide training guide which separates professional development needs into groups of various levels of experience and training.

Group I. Seventeen new parole officer trainees attended a full three-day Bureau Orientation program designed to give basic information and form a common frame of reference.

Thirty-seven new employees, including clerical, attended one-day Division Orientation programs.

Group II. Regional Training Workshops were held on nine occasions during the year primarily for all professional personnel with under five years of service. Various topic matter was used in programming including specialized programs concerning the Morrissey v. Brewer decision in general and probable cause hearing procedures specifically.

Group III. Professional staff members attended area sessions for the purpose of indoctrination in the Morrissey v. Brewer process. Supervising Parole Officers assigned as Bureau Hearing Officers as well as the State Parole Board Hearing Officer participated as discussants.

Two hundred and forty correction officers, as well as thirty experienced observers, were processed by the Parole District Offices for participation in parole officer field trips as part of the Division of Correction and Parole Officers' Training School. The Bureau also furnished a Supervising Parole Officer, Mr. Fred Holley, as an instructor for each correction officer training cycle.

Group IV. Ten parole officers participated in knowledge improvement by spending days at various Institutional Parole Offices.

The female parole officers held a general "rap" meeting with inmates at one of the institutions for females.

Three parole officers completed courses in Behavior Modification and seventeen others attended a Symposium on Correction at Rider College.

Group V. The entire Bureau professional staff attended the annual meeting in November, chaired by the Chief of the Bureau. The Commissioner and the Director discussed "Direction of the Correctional Program in New Jersey."

Six members of the Specialized Narcotics staff attended a Narcotics Institute in Chicago.

Two members of that staff also attended the State Police Narcotics School at Sea Girt for one week. All members will eventually complete that course.

Nine members of the Bureau attended the annual conference of the Middle Atlantic States Conference of Correction.

Group VI. Seventeen staff members responsible for management and decision-making attended various Management and Training courses during the year.

One Secretarial Assistant III and two Principal Clerk Stenographers completed the Executive Secretarial Course offered by Civil Service. One of the Principal Clerk Stenographers also completed a course on "Interviewing and Dealing with the Public."

PAROLE RESOURCE OFFICE AND ORIENTATION FACILITY (PROOF)

Jersey City. Ninety-four residents were serviced at the Bureau's residential facility during 1972-73. In addition, the facility has housed four Rahway work releasees and one inmate on furlough.

A Rutgers University student conducted a feasibility study with respect to parolees assisting residents of the low-income housing project in which PROOF is located. We anticipate receiving the results of the study.

Newark. In April, 1973, two years of intricate and difficult negotiating was culminated by the Newark Housing Authority's approval of a PROOF II in the Archbishop Walsh Homes of that city.

Lease negotiations are now underway and rebuilding and opening should not be too far in the future.

VOLUNTEER-LAWYER PROJECT

This Project, in the second year of activity, has proven successful to the Bureau of Parole and to the New Jersey State Bar Association. Two hundred and sixty-five attorney volunteers have been trained to date and have assisted 269 parolees in the community.

To date, 140 parolees have been terminated from the program. Reasons are listed below:

1. Committed for new offense	9 (3.3%)
2. Returned as parole violator	12 (4.4%)
3. Attorney volunteer withdrew	34
4. Parolee requested termination	6
5. Parolee absconded	10
6. Parolee failed to cooperate	6
7. Parolee discharged from parole	25
8. Other (i.e. parolee or volunteer moved; volunteer requested termination, etc.)...	<u>38</u>
Total	<u>140</u>

There are at present three non-attorney volunteers working with our parolees in the community. It is anticipated that this phase of the Project will be expanded in the future.

This program has proven successful and it is hoped that the Bureau will be in a position of assimilating the Project after the S.L.E.P.A. budget terminates.

SPECIALIZED CASELOAD PROJECT

The Bureau was advised that the financial support for the specialized caseloads, provided through Title I funds (Educational) would be withdrawn during the year. Fortunately, we were advised almost simultaneously that a S.L.E.P.A. proposal submitted some time ago, would be funded effective about July, 1973. Plans were made to transfer the phased out personnel of the Title I funds to the S.L.E.P.A. project which would implement a total of 11 specialized caseloads.

PAROLEE EARNINGS

During the calendar year 1972, 10,930 parolees under supervision in New Jersey earned \$16,634,586, an increase of over four million dollars over last year's earnings. Fifty-six percent of the parolees under supervision during the year were classified as "employed." This figure represents an increase over the prior year of two percent.

DISCHARGED PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF MAXIMUM

The following number of parolees were discharged from parole prior to the expiration of their maximum sentences as the result of recommendations by the Bureau of Parole:

State Prison Complex	33
Youth Correctional Complex - Yardville	177
-Bordentown	167
-Annandale	209

Training School Complex, Jamesburg (Male)	109
Trenton (Female)	46
Correctional Institution for Women	<u>46</u>
Total	<u>787</u>

The 787 discharged from further supervision would represent an average total caseload for any one of our nine district offices or would represent caseloads for approximately 15 parole officers. Compared to last year, there was an increase of 170 cases discharged (27 percent). Discharge approvals were more than doubled from the State Prison complex and from the Correctional Institution for Women, compared to last year.

ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY ACT

As a result of referrals to various agencies including the Job Corps, Neighborhood Youth Corps, Manpower Development & Training, etc., it was determined that at the end of June, 1973, 1880 parolees had been accepted in one of the E.O.A. programs. This represents an increase of 678 cases (56 percent) over last year. Ten cases were rejected for a variety of reasons including overage, being arrested, unacceptable criminal history, etc.

CASELOADS (See Table #1 and #1A Attached)

As of June 30, 1973, there were 8168 cases under supervision in New Jersey, an increase of 846 cases (8.9 percent) over the caseload of a year ago. In addition to cases under supervision in New Jersey, 418 cases are being supervised in other states for New Jersey; 87 special cases are the responsibility of Central Office, making a total of 8673 cases for which the Bureau of parole has responsibility. Of the 8168 cases, 391 are supervised in New Jersey for other states. Also, 477 are female, resulting in an average caseload per female officer (supervising caseloads) of 53. The average male caseload was 66 per male officer. The Bureau handled 1,168 more cases (12,852 in 1972-73) than the preceding year (11,684 in 1971-72). This is an increase of 38.2 percent over the 1968-69 figure.

It is expected that the total caseload will continue to rise, especially in view of noticed accelerated release procedures over the past five years (average growth of 5.48 percent).

Throughout the 1972-73 fiscal year, the Bureau continued to operate with a lack of adequate personnel with respect to increasing caseloads and activity, i.e. accelerated release procedure adopted by the State Parole Board, continued increase in work-release and furlough investigations and reports, and accelerated releases for returned violators.

A. Under Supervision in New Jersey. At the end of the 1971-72 fiscal year, there were 7322 parolees under supervision in New Jersey. This year, 4786 parolees were added making a total of 12,108 supervised. This represents a 9.2 percent increase over the total number supervised in New Jersey the year before.

B. New Jersey Cases Being Supervised by Other States. During the fiscal year 1972-73, 265 cases were added to the 418 being supervised in other states at the end of the 1971-72 fiscal year. A total, therefore, of 623 cases were supervised during the year. This is an increase of 6.7 percent over the prior year. On June 30, 1973, there were 417 parolees from New Jersey under supervision in other states. This is an increase of 8.5 percent.

C. Central Office Special File. This category is composed of cases not the responsibility of any New Jersey District Office or any other state, therefore, responsibility falls upon Central Office. The group is composed of cases paroled from other states who subsequently absconded, persons paroled to out-of-state warrants, some cases incarcerated in out-of-state and Federal institutions, and deportation cases. There were 73 cases in COSF (Central Office Special File) at the end of the 1972 fiscal year. Fourteen cases were added, making a total of 87 cases in this category as of June 30, 1973.

RETURNS TO INSTITUTIONS (See Tables #2, #2A, and #2B)

Returns to institutions by new commitments and technical violations during the 1972-73 fiscal year decreased 2.6 percent in relation to the total caseload (12.6 percent as compared to 15.2 percent in 1971-72).

There was an 0.7 decrease (0.6 increase in 1971-72) in returns for new commitments.

In 1972-73, 6.1 percent were returned for technical violations (8.5 percent in 1971-72). This is the lowest percentage rate of return of any of the past five years (previous low 6.6 percent in 1969).

MISSING CASES (See Tables #3 and #3A).

For the fourth straight year the actual number of missing cases increased - 530 to 582 to 762 to 795. This year, however, the percentage in relation to Bureau caseload, dropped to the 9.1 percent it was four years ago and 0.7 percent less than last year. Parolees from the Training School for Girls continued to account for the largest percentage of missing cases (16 percent) in relation to respective caseloads. Next is Youth Correctional Institution, Bordentown, 13.6 percent; Youth Reception and Correction Center, 9.4 percent; Psychiatric Hospitals (sex offenders), 8.8 percent; Correctional Institution for Women, Training School for Boys (Jamesburg), and State Prison, all had an 8 percent figure. Youth Correctional Institution, Annandale, reached 7 percent.

SUPERVISION (See Table #4).

In performing their supervisory and investigatory responsibilities in 1972-73, parole officers made 553,789 contacts as compared to 532,799 in 1971-72. This is an increase of 20,790.

Of the total contacts, 58,675 were home visits (56,761 in 1971-72); 65,942 community contacts excluding employment and school contacts (66,683 in 1971-72); 4,128 employment and 711 school contacts (3,380 and 839 respectively in 1971-72).

Parole Officers submitted a total of 59,129 reports in 1972-73 including 45,218 supervision reports, 8,465 investigation reports, and 5,446 summaries, as contrasted to a total of 53,190 reports in 1971-72.

The districts reported travelling 602,781 miles in performance of their duties as compared to 551,919 miles in 1971-72.

jm
October 9, 1973
Att.

TABLE # 1

TOTAL CASES UNDER SUPERVISION - 1972 - 1973 (By Institutions)

	IN NEW JERSEY				IN OTHER STATES				CENTRAL OFFICE SPECIAL FILE				TOTAL
	UNDER SUPER-VISION 7/1/72	TOTAL CASES ADDED	TOTAL NO. SUPER-VISED 1972-1973	UNDER SUPER-VISION 6/30/73	UNDER SUPER-VISION 7/1/72	TOTAL CASES ADDED	TOTAL NO. SUPER-VISED 1972-1973	UNDER SUPER-VISION 6/30/73	UNDER SUPER-VISION 7/1/72	TOTAL CASES ADDED	TOTAL NO. SUPER-VISED 1972-1973	UNDER SUPER-VISION 6/30/73	UNDER SUPER-VISION 6/30/73
Training School for Girls	100	46	146	85	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	86
Correctional Institution for Women	286	249	535	375	34	21	55	35	2	4	6	2	412
Training School for Boys, Jamesburg	496	213	709	432	4	6	10	5	0	0	0	0	437
Youth Correctional Institution Complex													
Annandale	1424	1059	2483	1623	39	31	70	53	4	4	8	6	1682
Bordentown	1747	1058	2805	1920	87	55	142	95	12	15	27	19	2034
Youth Reception & Correction Ctr.	1364	852	2216	1432	67	43	110	60	11	6	17	15	1507
State Prison	1402	1160	2562	1870	120	109	229	163	43	19	62	46	2079
Psychiatric Hospitals (Sex Offenders)	46	1	47	40	5	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	45
Out-of-State Cases in N. J.													
Female	20	5	25	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Male	437	143	580	374	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	374
Total	7322	4786	12,108	8168	358	265	623	417	73	48	121	88	8673
Under Supervision 7/1/72	7322				358				73				7753
Total Cases Added		4786				265				48			5099
Total No. Supervised 1972-1973			12,108				623				121		12,852
Under Supervision 6/30/73				8168				417				88	8673

TABLE # 1A

NUMBER OF PAROLEES SUPERVISED
5 Year Comparison - (1969-1973)

1968 - 1969	1969 - 1970	1970 - 1971	1971 - 1972	1972 - 1973
9,292	9,444	10,410	11,684	12,852
+3.4%	+1.6%	+10.2%	+12.2%	+10.0%
+38.2%				

TABLE # 2
NUMBER AND PER CENT OF VIOLATORS
BY DISTRICT AND SEX
Based on Total Number Supervised
1972 - 1973

Male

DISTRICT OFFICE	TOTAL NUMBER SUPERVISED DURING YEAR*	NUMBER AND PER CENT OF VIOLATORS				TOTALS	
		COMMITTED OR RECOMMENDED		RETURNED AS TECHNICAL VIOLATOR		NUMBER	PER CENT
1. Clifton	1,674	174	10.4%	92	5.5%	266	15.9%
2. East Orange	1,467	85	5.1%	106	7.2%	191	12.3%
3. Red Bank	1,579	128	8.1%	140	8.8%	268	16.9%
4. Jersey City	1,628	120	7.3%	120	7.3%	240	14.6%
5. Elizabeth	1,052	66	6.2%	98	9.3%	164	15.5%
6. Trenton	1,033	54	5.2%	60	5.8%	114	11.0%
7. Camden	1,280	62	4.1%	149	11.6%	211	15.7%
8. Atlantic City	926	59	6.3%	83	8.7%	142	15.0%
9. Newark	1,371	109	7.8%	56	4.0%	165	11.8%
10. In Other States	566	3	.5%	11	1.9%	14	2.4%
11. Central Office (Special File)	64	1	1.5%	5	7.9%	6	9.4%
TOTAL MALE	12,640	861	6.8%	920	7.1%	1781	13.9%

Female

1. Clifton	133	6	4.5%	12	9.0%	18	13.5%
2. East Orange	108	3	2.8%	3	2.8%	6	5.6%
3. Red Bank	104	0	0	5	4.8%	5	4.8%
4. Jersey City	43	4	9.3%	1	2.3%	5	11.6%
5. Elizabeth	42	0	0	3	7.1%	3	7.1%
6. Trenton	63	0	0	6	9.5%	6	9.5%
7. Camden	51	0	0	3	5.9%	3	5.9%
8. Atlantic City	85	0	0	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
9. Newark	121	2	1.6%	4	3.3%	6	4.6%
10. In Other States	57	0	0	1	1.8%	1	1.8%
11. Central Office (Special File)	7	0	0	2	0	2	2.8%
TOTAL FEMALE	814	15	1.8%	41	5.0%	56	6.8%
GRAND TOTAL	13,454	876	6.5%	961	6.1%	1837	12.6%

*Figures include inter-office transfers of cases.

TABLE # 2 A

**PERCENTAGE OF RETURNS TO INSTITUTIONS
BASED ON TOTAL NUMBER SUPERVISED**

By District

1972 - 1973

DISTRICT OFFICE	TOTAL NUMBER SUPERVISED	COMMITTED OR RECOMMENDED	TECHNICAL VIOLATORS	TOTAL
1. Clifton	1,807	9.9%	5.8%	15.7%
2. East Orange	1,575	5.6%	6.9%	12.5%
3. Red Bank	1,683	7.6%	8.6%	16.2%
4. Jersey City	1,671	7.4%	7.2%	14.6%
5. Elizabeth	1,094	6.0%	9.3%	15.3%
6. Trenton	1,096	4.9%	6.0%	10.9%
7. Camden	1,331	4.7%	11.4%	16.1%
8. Atlantic City	1,011	5.8%	8.3%	14.1%
9. Newark	1,492	7.4%	4.0%	11.4%
10. In Other States	623	4.8%	1.9%	6.7%
11. Central Office (Special File)	71	1.4%	9.9%	11.3%
TOTAL	13,454	6.5%	6.1%	12.6%

TABLE # 2 B

**PERCENTAGE OF RETURNS TO INSTITUTIONS
BASED ON TOTAL NUMBER SUPERVISED**

5 Year Comparison

1969 - 1973

COMMITTED OR RECOMMENDED					TECHNICAL VIOLATORS					TOTAL				
1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973
5.9	5.4	6.1	6.7	6.5	6.6	8.7	10.2	8.5	6.1	12.5	14.0	16.3	15.2	12.6

TABLE # 3
RECORD OF MISSING CASES
By Institution
1972 - 1973

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
INSTITUTION	MISSING AS OF 6/30/72	BECAME MISSING BETWEEN 7/1/72 AND 6/30/73	TOTAL MISSING	ACCOUNTED FOR BETWEEN 7/1/72 AND 6/30/73	TOTAL MISSING ON 6/30/73	NET DIFFERENCE	PER CENT OF INCREASE	PER CENT OF MISSING IN RELATION TO CASELOAD ON 6/30/73
Training School for Girls	24	10	34	20	14	-10	-42.0%	16.0%
Correctional Institution for Women	41	43	84	51	33	-8	-19.5%	8.0%
Training School for Boys, Jamesburg	44	41	85	50	35	-9	-20.4%	8.0%
Youth Correctional Institution Complex								
Annandale	101	212	313	193	120	+19	+18.8%	7.0%
Bordentown	253	282	535	257	278	+25	+9.8%	13.6%
Youth Reception & Correction Ctr.	130	211	341	199	142	+12	+9.2%	9.4%
State Prison	163	165	328	160	168	+5	+3.1%	8.0%
Psychiatric Hospitals (Sex Offenders)	4	2	6	2	4	0	0	8.8%
Out-of-State								
Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	2	10	12	11	1	-1	-50.0%	2.4%
TOTAL	762	976	1738	943	795	+33	+3.0%	9.1%

TABLE #3A
RECORD OF MISSING CASES

By District

1972 - 1973

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DISTRICT	MISSING AS OF 6/30/72	BECAME MISSING BETWEEN 7/1/72 AND 6/30/73	TOTAL MISSING	ACCOUNTED FOR BETWEEN 7/1/72 AND 6/30/73	TOTAL MISSING ON 6/30/73	NET DIFFERENCE	PER CENT OF INCREASE	PER CENT OF MISSING IN RELATION TO CASELOAD ON 6/30/73
1. Clifton	100	177	277	159	118	+18	+11.0%	10.6%
2. East Orange	131	152	283	177	106	-25	-8.3%	11.9%
3. Red Bank	75	81	156	68	88	+13	+11.7%	8.3%
4. Jersey City	121	132	253	141	112	-9	-9.2%	9.8%
5. Elizabeth	85	76	161	75	86	+1	+10.1%	11.0%
6. Trenton	51	67	118	63	55	+4	+10.8%	7.4%
7. Camden	44	59	103	43	60	+16	+13.6%	6.8%
8. Atlantic City	49	77	126	64	62	+13	+12.6%	9.3%
9. Newark	74	99	173	77	96	+12	+12.9%	9.6%
10. Central Office (Special File)	32	56	88	76	12	-20	-3.6%	13.0%
TOTAL	762	976	1738	943	795	+33	+10.4%	9.1%

TABLE #4
SUMMARY DAILY RECORD OF ACTIVITIES
 Fiscal Year 1972-1973

DISTRICT NO.	FIELD AND OFFICE CONTACTS												REPORTS SUBMITTED						SUMMARIES SUBMITTED					HOURS		MILEAGE	
	TYPE OF CONTACT (1)							SUPERVISION (2)			INVESTIGATION (3)		SUPERVISION (4)		INVESTIGATION (5)			SUMMARIES SUBMITTED (6)					OFFICE	FIELD	STATE	PERSONAL	
	C	E	H	N	O	S	T	P	PO	R	P	N	F-19	F-21	AR	PP	SR	DR	OA	PV	TR	TS					
DO 1	5914	158	5943	1316	8383	82	5834	10959	13193	729	1071	483	2690	3993	6	730	220	138	9	280	99	158	11174	10252	87471	521	
DO 2	8169	361	7535	2519	8099	66	9165	12369	18380	1734	1509	2683	2873	3194	—	660	262	74	58	265	195	164	12463	12909	38641	2220	
DO 3	9848	435	7761	2293	9426	42	11547	15517	22803	2205	2177	602	2642	2797	7	821	644	124	50	227	125	108	10788	13301	123558	7268	
DO 4	9158	781	7095	545	10463	82	9789	12534	16673	2082	3695	881	2099	3378	40	870	334	72	31	367	111	133	11044	11805	62696	350	
DO 5	7375	590	5906	1650	5040	112	6241	8726	14313	497	1195	945	1597	2777	—	483	224	55	77	200	97	82	7004	8624	64962	798	
DO 6	4160	244	3753	1121	3754	53	5493	6643	10732	1346	689	223	997	1396	36	451	288	47	23	153	123	80	7101	6906	31247	2445	
DO 7	6951	447	5684	1232	7304	92	8106	10836	16408	2458	1081	464	2155	3808	3	600	42	67	33	279	123	109	10914	9044	67322	76	
DO 8	6733	797	5227	1720	5819	164	5432	8769	15473	2178	796	541	1412	1722	—	466	72	104	70	132	74	59	7215	7199	79646	335	
DO 9	7634	315	9771	1205	7212	18	4870	10857	18307	1471	1189	339	2473	3215	1	633	572	56	16	301	147	151	9593	13114	29300	3925	
TOTAL	65942	4128	58675	13601	65500	711	66477	97210	146282	14700	13402	7161	18938	26280	93	5714	2658	737	367	2204	1094	1044	87296	93154	584843	17938	
GRAND TOTAL	275034							258192			20563		45218		8465			5446					180450		602781		

Legend:

(1) C — Community Contact other than E H or S
 E — Employment Contact
 H — Home Contact
 N — Visit Made — No Contact
 O — Office Contact
 S — School Contact
 T — Telephone Contact (Significant)

(2) P — Positive Contact with Parolee
 PO — Positive Contact other than Parolee
 R — Case Review with or without Parolee

(3) P — Positive Contact
 N — Negative Contact

(4) F-19 — Chronological Report
 F-21 — Special Report

(5) AR — Admission Report Supplemental
 PP — Pre-Parole Report
 SR — Special Report

(6) DR — Discharge Summary
 OA — Other Agency Summary
 PV — Violation Summary
 TR — Transfer Summary
 TS — Termination Summary