

Disciplinary record required child study team evaluation over refusal of parents to give consent. *Ewing Township v. J.R.*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 94.

6A:14-2.9 Student records

(a) All student records shall be maintained according to N.J.A.C. 6:3-6.

(b) The parent, adult student or their designated representative shall be permitted to inspect and review the contents of the student's records maintained by the district board of education under N.J.A.C. 6:3-6 without unnecessary delay and before any meeting regarding the IEP.

(c) Any consent required for students with disabilities under N.J.A.C. 6:3-6 shall be obtained according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-1.3 "consent" and 2.3(a) and (b).

Case Notes

Due process hearing held to contest child study team's proposal to remove child from residential school into home and local school programs; determination of appropriate placement. *Geis v. Bd. of Ed., Parsippany-Troy Hills, Morris Cty.*, 589 F.Supp. 269 (D.N.J.1984), affirmed 774 F.2d 575 (3rd Cir.1985).

Federal due process requirements (citing former N.J.A.C. 6:28-1.9). *Levine v. State Dept. of Institutions and Agencies*, 84 N.J. 234, 418 A.2d 229 (1980).

No parental right to pupil records under Right to Know Law absent governing regulations from State Board of Education (citing former N.J.A.C. 6:28-2.4). *Robinson v. Goodwin*, 1975 S.L.D. 6.

Local board policy to permit parental access to classification records only by way of oral, interpretive conferences proper exercise of board's discretion (citing former N.J.A.C. 6:28-1.3 and 2.4). *D.N. Sr. v. Bd. of Ed., Closter Boro., Bergen Cty.*, 1974 S.L.D. 1332.

6A:14-2.10 Reimbursement for unilateral placement by parents

(a) Except as provided in N.J.A.C. 6A:14-6.1(a), the district board of education shall not be required to pay for the cost of education, including special education and related services, of a student with a disability if the district made available a free, appropriate public education and the parents elected to enroll the student in a nonpublic school, an early childhood program, or an approved private school for the disabled.

(b) If the parents of a student with a disability, who previously received special education and related services from the district of residence, enroll the student in a nonpublic school, an early childhood program, or approved private school for the disabled without the consent of or referral by the district board of education, an administrative law judge may require the district to reimburse the parents for the cost of that enrollment if the administrative law judge finds that the district had not made a free, appropriate public education available to that student in a timely manner prior to that enrollment and that the private placement is appropriate. A parental placement may be found to be appropriate by a court of competent jurisdiction or an administrative law judge according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-6.5,

even if it does not meet the standards that apply to the education provided by the district board of education.

(c) The parents must provide notice to the district board of education of their concerns and their intent to enroll their child in a nonpublic school at public expense. The cost of reimbursement described in (b) above may be reduced or denied:

1. If at the most recent IEP meeting that the parents attended prior to the removal of the student from the public school, the parents did not inform the IEP team that they were rejecting the IEP proposed by the district;
2. At least 10 business days (including any holidays that occur on a business day) prior to the removal of the student from the public school, the parents did not give written notice to the district board of education of their concerns or intent to enroll their child in a nonpublic school;
3. If prior to the parents' removal of the student from the public school, the district proposed a reevaluation of the student and provided notice according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(e) and (f) but the parents did not make the student available for such evaluation; or
4. Upon a judicial finding of unreasonableness with respect to actions taken by the parents.

(d) The cost of the reimbursement for enrollment in a nonpublic school may not be reduced or denied if the parents failed to provide the required notice described in (c)1 and 2 above if:

1. The parent is illiterate and cannot write in English;
2. Compliance with the notice requirement in (c)1 and 2 above would likely result in physical or serious emotional harm to the student;
3. The school prevented the parent from providing such notice; or
4. The parent had not received written notice according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(e) and (f) of the notice requirement that is specified in (c)1 and 2 above.

Amended by R.2000 d.230, effective June 5, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 755(a), 32 N.J.R. 2052(a).

In (a), inserted reference to early childhood program; rewrote (b).

Case Notes

School board pays for private school program where individualized placement program fails to meet special student's needs. *M.E. v. Ridgewood Board of Education*, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 27.

SUBCHAPTER 3. SERVICES

Case Notes

State special education regulation limiting procedural safeguards applicable to disciplinary suspensions of students not yet receiving

special education services to those students with respect to whom school district had already determined that evaluation for eligibility for services was warranted improperly narrowed scope of protections available under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA); under IDEA, procedural safeguards applied as soon as parent requested evaluation of a student or one of student's teachers expressed concern about student's behavior or performance to director of special education or other school district personnel. *Baer v. Klagholz*, 771 A.2d 603 (2001).

6A:14-3.1 General requirements

(a) Child study team members, specialists in the area of disabilities, school personnel and parents as required by this subchapter shall be responsible for identification, evaluation, determination of eligibility, development and review of the individualized education program, and placement.

(b) Child study team members shall include a school psychologist, a learning disabilities teacher-consultant and a school social worker. All child study team members shall be employees of a district board of education, have an identifiable, apportioned time commitment to the local school district and shall be available during the hours students are in attendance.

(c) Specialists in the area of disability may include, but not be limited to, child study team members, as well as speech-language specialists, occupational therapists, physical therapists, audiologists, school nurses, advance practice nurses and physicians who are appropriately certified and/or licensed to carry out activities under this chapter. Where an educational certificate and a license are required to carry out activities under this chapter, the professional shall be certified and licensed.

(d) Child study team members and, to the extent appropriate, specialists in the area of disability:

1. Shall participate in the evaluation of students who may need special education programs and services according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3 and 3.4;
2. Shall participate in the determination of eligibility of students for special education programs and services according to N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.5;
3. May deliver appropriate related services to students with disabilities;
4. May provide preventive and support services to non-disabled students; and
5. May provide services to the general education staff regarding techniques, materials and programs for students experiencing difficulties in learning. Services include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i. Consultation with school staff and parents; and
 - ii. The design, implementation and evaluation of techniques to prevent and/or remediate educational difficulties.

Case Notes

Modifying individualized educational program without consulting child study team was not improper. *Fuhrmann on Behalf of Fuhrmann v. East Hanover Bd. of Educ.*, C.A.3 (N.J.)1993, 993 F.2d 1031, rehearing denied.

The District board of education could not abolish employee's social worker position while maintaining child study team (CST) and contracting outside to replace employee's position. *Vicenzino v. Bedminster Tp. Bd. of Educ.*, 312 N.J.Super. 243, 711 A.2d 904, 126 Ed. Law Rep. 1092 (N.J.Super.A.D. 1998).

Child study team evaluation requested by one parent was not required for progressing student in joint custody after divorce when opposed by other parent. *R.F. v. Saddle Brook Board*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 187.

School district did not improperly abolish Child Study Team. *Mullin v. Boonton Town Board of Education*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 583.

Parent must allow child with reading disabilities to be evaluated by child study team. *Board of Educ. of Voorhees Tp. v. S.W.*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDS) 107.

A guidance counsellor is not automatically a member of the child study team, which consists of the school psychologist, social worker and a learning disabilities teacher-consultant (citing former N.J.A.C. 6:28-1.3). *Childs v. Union Twp. Bd. of Ed.*, 3 N.J.A.R. 163 (1980), affirmed per curiam Dkt. No. A-3603-80 (App.Div.1982).

6A:14-3.2 Case manager

(a) A case manager shall be assigned to a student when it is determined that an initial evaluation shall be conducted. Child study team members or speech-language specialists when they act as members of the child study team shall be designated and serve as the case manager for each student with a disability.

(b) The case manager shall coordinate the development, monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of the IEP. The case manager shall facilitate communication between home and school and shall coordinate the annual review and reevaluation process.

(c) The case manager shall:

1. Be knowledgeable about the student's educational needs and program;
2. Be knowledgeable about special education procedures and procedural safeguards;
3. Have an apportioned amount of time for case management responsibilities; and
4. Be responsible for transition planning.

6A:14-3.3 Location, referral and identification

(a) Each district board of education shall develop written procedures for students age three through 21, including students attending nonpublic schools, who reside within the local school district with respect to the location and referral of students who may be disabled due to physical, sensory, emotional, communication, cognitive or social difficulties.