

(b) An employer, employment agency or labor organization shall select and administer tests concerning employment which accurately reflect, with the benefit of reasonable accommodation, the applicant's or employee's job skills, aptitude or competency, rather than reflecting the applicant's or employee's impaired sensory, manual or speaking skills (except where those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure, and are necessary to perform the job in question).

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.
See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

In (a), substituted references to people with disabilities for references to handicapped individuals throughout.

Case Notes

Termination of car salesman for inadequate sales and performance affirmed. *Casey v. Winner Ford*, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 161.

Dismissal of food service worker was due to her refusal to accept transfer ordered for legitimate financial reasons, and not due to age or handicap discrimination. *Cosimano v. Gardner Merchant Food Services, Inc.*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 53.

Employer that fails to determine whether employee's hearing impairment precludes performance or invites risk to self or others may not dismiss employee because of disability. *Downing v. Hostess Helpers, Inc.*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 11.

Vocational technical school improperly discriminated against instructor; diabetes. *Hawryluk v. Union County Vocational-Technical Schools*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 123.

13:13-2.4 Pre-employment inquiries

(a) It shall be an unlawful practice for an employer, employment agency or labor organization to elicit or attempt to elicit, either verbally or through the use of an application form or request for documentation, any information which would tend to divulge the existence of a disability or health condition, unless required or necessitated by Federal law or regulation. An employer, employment agency or labor organization may inquire whether an applicant is precluded from satisfactorily performing the job duties in question.

(b) It is not unlawful for an employer to invite applicants for employment to identify themselves as a person with a disability:

1. To satisfy the affirmative action requirements of Federal law;
2. To implement a court ordered or other bona fide affirmative action plan to promote the employment of people with disabilities; or
3. To implement a special program which is designed to benefit people with disabilities when a condition for a person's participation in the program is that he or she is a person with a disability.

(c) Employers who request such information must observe requirements under Section 503 of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., regarding the

manner in which the information is requested and used, and the procedure for maintaining such information as a separate, confidential record, apart from regular personnel records.

(d) The act does not prohibit any officially recognized agency from keeping necessary records in order to provide services to individuals requiring rehabilitation or employment assistance.

(e) It is not unlawful for an employer to condition an offer of employment on the results of a medical examination held subsequent to such offer and prior to the employee's entrance on duty, provided that:

1. All entering employees are subjected to such examination; and
2. The results of such an examination are used in accordance with these regulations and are not used to disqualify an applicant except to the extent that any disability discovered would, even with reasonable accommodation, preclude the safe or adequate performance of the job in question, as defined in N.J.A.C. 13:13-2.8. An examination should consider the degree to which the person has compensated for his limitations and the rehabilitation services he has received or is receiving.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.

See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

In (a), substituted a reference to disability for a reference to handicap; and in (b), substituted references to people with disabilities for references to handicapped persons throughout.

13:13-2.5 Reasonable accommodation

(a) All employers shall conduct their employment procedures in such a manner as to assure that all people with disabilities are given equal consideration with people who do not have disabilities for all aspects of employment including, but not limited to, hiring, promotion, tenure, training, assignment, transfers, and leaves on the basis of their qualifications and abilities. Each individual's ability to perform a particular job must be assessed on an individual basis.

(b) An employer must make a reasonable accommodation to the limitations of a employee or applicant who is a person with a disability, unless the employer can demonstrate that the accommodation would impose an undue hardship on the operation of its business. The determination as to whether an employer has failed to make reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

1. Under circumstances where such accommodation will not impose an undue hardship on the operation of an employer's business, examples of reasonable accommodation may include:

- i. Making facilities used by employees readily accessible and usable by people with disabilities;

ii. Job restructuring, part-time or modified work schedules;

iii. Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices; and

iv. Job reassignment and other similar actions.

2. An employer shall consider the possibility of reasonable accommodation before firing, demoting or refusing to hire or promote a person with a disability on the grounds that his or her disability precludes job performance.

3. In determining whether an accommodation would impose undue hardship on the operation of an employer's business, factors to be considered include:

i. The overall size of the employer's business with respect to the number of employees, number and type of facilities, and size of budget;

ii. The type of the employer's operations, including the composition and structure of the employer's workforce;

iii. The nature and cost of the accommodation needed; and

iv. The extent to which accommodation would involve waiver of an essential requirement of a job as opposed to a tangential or non-business necessity requirement.

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.
See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

Substituted references to people with disabilities for references to handicapped persons throughout; and in (a), substituted a reference to people who do not have disabilities for a reference to non-handicapped persons.

Law Review and Journal Commentaries

Discrimination—Collateral Estoppel—Police Officers. Judith Nallin, 138 N.J.L.J. No. 1, 49 (1994).

Case Notes

Neither employee's computer illiteracy or difficulty nor his requested transfer suggested to employer that employee was unable to perform his job duties because of dyslexia and absent knowledge of employee's dyslexia disability, employer did not violate New Jersey Law Against Discrimination. Illingworth v. Nestle U.S.A., Inc., D.N.J. 1996, 926 F.Supp. 482.

Federal regulations did not preempt former employee's handicap discrimination and workers' compensation retaliation claims under New Jersey law. Kube v. New Penn Motor Exp., Inc., D.N.J.1994, 865 F.Supp. 221.

Employee failed to show that city should have allowed her to work at home in her court clerk position in order to accommodate her epilepsy disability as would show that employer's proffered reason for terminating employee was pretext for discrimination under Law Against Discrimination. Melick v. Township of Oxford, 294 N.J.Super. 386, 683 A.2d 584 (A.D.1996).

Municipality was not required to provide second opportunity for rehabilitation to firefighter who tested positive for cocaine and whose reinstatement after first testing positive was conditioned upon abstaining from use of drugs. Matter of Jackson, 294 N.J.Super 233, 683 A.2d 203 (A.D.1996).

Terminated police officer's handicap discrimination suit was precluded by adverse decision of Merit System Board. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

No reasonable accommodation would permit officer to perform essential functions of job; no violation of Law Against Discrimination. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

Adequate consideration given provisions of Law Against Discrimination. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

Fire fighter who was an alcoholic and drug addict was a "handicapped person" under Law Against Discrimination. Matter of Cahill, 245 N.J.Super. 397, 585 A.2d 977 (A.D.1991).

Dismissal of youth worker for mental incapacity was improper absent attempt to reasonably accommodate. Roberts v. Division of Youth and Family Services, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 9.

Employer took reasonable steps to accommodate handicapped computer operator before firing her. O'Hara v. Department of the Treasury, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 273.

Alcoholism which initially led to excessive absenteeism did not warrant tenured teacher's removal once she successfully completed school district's rehabilitation program. Jersey City School District v. Howard, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 301.

Excessive absenteeism provided sufficient cause for school board to terminate employee from her position as a tenured secretary. Matter of Tenure Hearing of Jones, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 285.

Use of illegal amphetamines in breach of drug rehabilitation contract with school board was unbecoming and warranted tenured teacher's dismissal. Matter of Yanniello Tenure Hearing, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 262.

Inability to do assigned tasks of engineering technician warranted termination when psychological disability from which employee was suffering could not be accommodated. Sallie v. Department of Transportation, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 100.

Board of education reasonably accommodated alcoholic teacher; dismissal. State Operated School District of Jersey City v. Howard. 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 556.

Turnpike Authority unlawfully discriminated against employee on basis of his handicap. Troxell v. New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 5.

13:13-2.6 Wages and fringe benefits

(a) An employer's wage scale must be unrelated to the disability of its employees, except where permitted by State or Federal law.

(b) Occupational training and retraining programs, including, but not limited to, guidance programs, apprentice training programs and executive training programs, shall not be conducted in such a manner as to discourage or otherwise discriminate against people with disabilities.