The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.state.nj.us


## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PK | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| KG | 45 | 55 | 40 |
| 1 | 57 | 46 | 52 |
| 2 | 44 | 63 | 49 |
| 3 | 37 | 40 | 61 |
| 4 | 39 | 39 | 38 |
| Ungraded | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 244 | 262 | 255 |

## PreK and K - Full Day and Half Day

This table shows the full day and half day enrollment for Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) students in this school.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PK - Half Day | 20 | 17 | 15 |
| PK - Full Day | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KG - Half Day | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KG - Full Day | 45 | 55 | 40 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Male | $56 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| English Learners | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $2 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $83.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $8.2 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $4.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $2.4 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $2.0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | $\%$ of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | $93.7 \%$ |
| Spanish | $3.1 \%$ |
| Bengali | $1.2 \%$ |
| Other | $2.0 \%$ |
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance





 system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 88 | 92.9 | 59.10 | 44.80 | 54.90 | 57.5 | 45.4 | Met Target |
| White | 74 | 91.7 | 56.70 | 45.50 | 63.90 | 54.6 | 49.2 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | * | 39.80 | * | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | 80.70 | * | ** | ** |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 38 | 93.2 | 65.80 | 51.80 | 62.20 | 64.1 |  |  |
| Male | 50 | 92.7 | 54.00 | 38.70 | 48.10 | 52.6 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 30 | 91.4 | 46.70 | 29.40 | 36.20 | * | 34.8 | Met Target |
| Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students | 58 | 93.7 | 65.50 | 53.20 | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 87.5 | 23.10 | 13.70 | 20.50 | 21 | ** | ** |
| Students without Disabilities | 75 | 94.0 | 65.30 | 53.20 | 61.90 | 64.5 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 26.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | * | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
† Target was met within a confidence interval
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 58 | 761 | 761 | 749 | * | * | 29\% | 55\% | * | 60\% | 50\% |
| White | 49 | 758 | 758 | 759 | * | * | 31\% | 53\% | * | 57\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 775 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Female | 24 | 767 | 767 | 754 | * | * | * | 54\% | * | 67\% | 55\% |
| Male | 34 | 757 | 757 | 745 | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 56\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 20 | 753 | 753 | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 38 | 765 | 765 | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | 66\% | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 10 | 733 | 733 | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 48 | 767 | 767 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 67\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5 : Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 33 | 758 | 758 | 753 | * | * | 33\% | 42\% | * | 58\% | 56\% |
| White | 27 | 759 | 759 | 762 | * | * | 37\% | 37\% | * | 56\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 777 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 17 | 765 | 765 | 758 | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% | 61\% |
| Male | 16 | 751 | 751 | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 11 | 747 | 747 | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | 46\% | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 22 | 764 | 764 | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | 64\% | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 759 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 62\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | 33 | 758 | 758 | 755 | * | * | 33\% | 42\% | * | 58\% | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

## Mary Bray Elementary School
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


Mary Bray Elementary School
2016-2017
Grade Span PK-04

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance





 these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 88 | 92.9 | 48.80 | 35.70 | 43.50 | 47.6 | 49 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| White | 74 | 91.7 | 48.60 | 38.10 | 52.40 | 46.8 | 53.8 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | * | 27.60 | * | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | 75.60 | * | ** | ** |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 38 | 93.2 | 52.60 | 37.50 | 44.10 | 51.3 |  |  |
| Male | 50 | 92.7 | 46.00 | 34.20 | 42.90 | 44.8 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 30 | 91.4 | 23.30 | 17.60 | 25.10 | * | 29.6 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students | 58 | 93.7 | 62.00 | 45.60 | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 87.5 | 23.10 | * | 16.50 | 21 | ** | ** |
| Students without Disabilities | 75 | 94.0 | 53.40 | * | 48.80 | 52.7 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 16.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | * | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
† Target was met within a confidence interval

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 58 | 752 | 752 | 751 | * | * | 38\% | 47\% | * | 55\% | 53\% |
| White | 49 | 753 | 753 | 759 | * | * | 35\% | 47\% | * | 57\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 779 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 53\% |
| Female | 24 | 754 | 754 | 751 | * | * | * | 42\% | * | 58\% | 52\% |
| Male | 34 | 750 | 750 | 751 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 53\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 20 | 736 | 736 | 736 | * | * | 55\% | * | * | 30\% | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 38 | 760 | 760 | 761 | * | * | 29\% | * | * | 68\% | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 10 | 736 | 736 | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 48 | 755 | 755 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met <br> Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 33 | 748 | 748 | 747 | * | * | 39\% | 39\% | * | 46\% | 47\% |
| White | 27 | 747 | 747 | 755 | * | * | 44\% | * | * | 41\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 774 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 17 | 754 | 754 | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% | 47\% |
| Male | 16 | 741 | 741 | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | 38\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 11 | 738 | 738 | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 22 | 753 | 753 | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 33 | 748 | 748 | 749 | * | * | 39\% | 39\% | * | 46\% | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 723 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $*$ | $*$ |
| 4 | N | N |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students <br> Tested | \% Students with <br> Overall Score <br> Below 4.5 | \% Students with <br> Overall Score of <br> 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 2 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 3 | $*$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| $5+$ | N | N | N |

 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $53 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| White | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | $18 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | N | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| English Learners | N | N | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level
Low Growth: Less than 35

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the $2016-17$ school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: <br> District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 44 | 39.5 | 50 | Met Target | 28 | 39.5 | 50 | Not Met |
| White | 45 | 41 | 50 | Met Target | 37 | 43 | 52 | Not Met |
| Hispanic | * | 50 | 49 | ** | * | * | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | 45 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 27.5 | 29.5 | 47 | ** | 27 | 27 | 46 | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 41 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| English Learners | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.

## ELA



MATH


## Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.



This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> 2016-17 <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 5.40 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| White | 5.00 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | N | $\mathrm{N}^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | 9.50 | 8.70 | Not Met |
| Students with Disabilities | 12.10 | 8.70 | Not Met |
| English Learners | N | $* *$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |

${ }^{* *}$ ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K - 12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.
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## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $8: 30$ AM |
| Typical End Time | $3: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 5 Hrs. 50 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | $*$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 0 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 0 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.00 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1.3: 1$ | 231.5 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total | $\$ 626$ | $\$ 14,223$ | $\$ 14,849$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 19 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 13.1 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $68 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 3 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 16.7 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 5.0 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $33 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $13: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $85: 1$ | $144: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | N |
| Nurses |  | $216: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | N |
| Child Study Team |  | $216: 1$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

Teacher N
Admin N

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $79 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $50 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $94 \%$ |
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## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

| Accountability Indicator | Indicator Score | Indicator Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts Proficiency | 45.3 | 17.5\% |
| Mathematics Proficiency | 38.2 | 17.5\% |
| English Language Arts Growth | 23.7 | 25.0\% |
| Mathematics Growth | 4.3 | 25.0\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 60.6 | 15.0\% |
| Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018) | W 4 | $\mathrm{X} 4$ |
| Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights |  | 30.7 |
| Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score |  | 20.4 |
| Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile |  | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.
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## Accountability Summary by Student Group





 average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 30.7 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | No |
| White | 27.1 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Not Met | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Not Met | ** | ** | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.
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## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

No narrative was submitted.

The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.state.nj.us
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## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 49 | 40 | 41 |
| 6 | 49 | 49 | 43 |
| 7 | 38 | 45 | 49 |
| 8 | 40 | 45 | 44 |
| Ungraded | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| Total | 181 | 183 | 177 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $48 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Male | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $22 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| English Learners | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $2 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $1 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| White | $84.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $7.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $4.5 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $2.8 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | $98.3 \%$ |
| Other | $1.8 \%$ |

## Raymond W. Kershaw School

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 153 | 92.2 | 36.60 | 44.80 | 54.90 | 35.3 | 32.7 | Met Target |
| White | 128 | 90.7 | 39.10 | 45.50 | 63.90 | 37 | 34.4 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 11 | 100.0 | 27.30 | * | 39.80 | 27.3 | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | * | 80.70 | N | ** | ** |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 74 | 93.3 | 44.60 | 51.80 | 62.20 | 43.4 |  |  |
| Male | 79 | 91.2 | 29.10 | 38.70 | 48.10 | 27.9 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 55 | 87.9 | 20.00 | 29.40 | 36.20 | * | 19.1 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students | 98 | 94.7 | 45.90 | 53.20 | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 38 | 83.0 | 10.50 | 13.70 | 20.50 | * | 11.7 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Students without Disabilities | 115 | 95.5 | 45.20 | 53.20 | 61.90 | * |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 26.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | * | * | * | * | 24.80 | * |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | State \% of Testers Met (Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 38 | 750 | 750 | 756 | * | * | 34\% | 47\% | * | 50\% | 59\% |
| White | 29 | 756 | 756 | 763 | * | * | * | 55\% | * | 59\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 743 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 779 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 15 | 762 | 762 | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | 67\% | 66\% |
| Male | 23 | 741 | 741 | 750 | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 16 | 745 | 745 | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | 38\% | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 22 | 753 | 753 | 765 | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 66\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 39 | 734 | 734 | 752 | * | * | 41\% | * | 0\% | 23\% | 54\% |
| White | 32 | 736 | 736 | 758 | * | * | 44\% | * | 0\% | 25\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 38\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 776 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 81\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 18 | 741 | 741 | 758 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 33\% | 61\% |
| Male | 21 | 728 | 728 | 746 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 14\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 10 | 722 | 722 | 737 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 10\% | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 29 | 738 | 738 | 761 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 28\% | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 722 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 758 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 61\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 39 | 734 | 734 | 753 | * | * | 41\% | * | 0\% | 23\% | * |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | * | * | * | 727 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 55\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 48 | 747 | 747 | 756 | * | * | 38\% | 25\% | * | 42\% | 59\% |
| White | 43 | 750 | 750 | 764 | * | * | 37\% | 26\% | * | 44\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 38\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 784 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 85\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 59\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% |
| Female | 28 | 747 | 747 | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | 43\% | 68\% |
| Male | 20 | 747 | 747 | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 15 | 731 | 731 | 739 | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 33 | 755 | 755 | 766 | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% | 70\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 719 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 763 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 67\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 701 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 758 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 64\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 40 | 741 | 741 | 757 | * | * | 25\% | 30\% | * | 43\% | 59\% |
| White | 33 | 742 | 742 | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | 42\% | 68\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 786 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 86\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 758 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Female | 21 | 755 | 755 | 766 | * | * | * | * | * | 57\% | 68\% |
| Male | 19 | 726 | 726 | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | 26\% | 50\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 16 | 724 | 724 | 739 | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 24 | 753 | 753 | 766 | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 17 | 712 | 712 | 718 | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% | 18\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 23 | 763 | 763 | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% | 67\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 701 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 40 | 741 | 741 | 759 | * | * | 25\% | 30\% | * | 43\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 61\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance





 these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 153 | 92.2 | 28.10 | 35.70 | 43.50 | 27.1 | 28.9 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| White | 128 | 90.7 | 32.00 | 38.10 | 52.40 | 30.4 | 29.3 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 11 | 100.0 | * | 20.00 | 27.60 | * | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | * | 75.60 | N | ** | ** |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 74 | 93.3 | 29.80 | 37.50 | 44.10 | 28.9 |  |  |
| Male | 79 | 91.2 | 26.60 | 34.20 | 42.90 | 25.4 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 55 | 87.9 | 14.50 | 17.60 | 25.10 | * | 19.1 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students | 98 | 94.7 | 35.70 | 45.60 | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 38 | 83.0 | * | * | 16.50 | * | 11.7 | Not Met |
| Students without Disabilities | 115 | 95.5 | * | * | 48.80 | * |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 16.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | * | * | * | * | 15.10 | * |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table
 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | $\qquad$ | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 38 | 737 | 737 | 747 | * | 32\% | 26\% | 29\% | * | 32\% | 46\% |
| White | 29 | 743 | 743 | 754 | * | * | * | 38\% | * | 41\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 735 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 774 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 15 | 754 | 754 | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% | 47\% |
| Male | 23 | 725 | 725 | 746 | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 16 | 729 | 729 | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 22 | 742 | 742 | 756 | * | * | * | * | * | 41\% | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 748 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met <br> Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 39 | 734 | 734 | 743 | * | * | 41\% | * | * | 26\% | 44\% |
| White | 32 | 736 | 736 | 751 | * | * | 41\% | * | * | 25\% | 54\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 771 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 77\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 744 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 46\% |
| Female | 18 | 736 | 736 | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | 28\% | 45\% |
| Male | 21 | 733 | 733 | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | 24\% | 43\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 10 | 725 | 725 | 728 | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% | 24\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 29 | 738 | 738 | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | 24\% | 56\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 748 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 39 | 734 | 734 | 745 | * | * | 41\% | * | * | 26\% | * |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 719 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 14\% |
| Students in Foster Care | * | * | * | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 14\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 48 | 744 | 744 | 741 | * | * | 42\% | 33\% | * | 38\% | 40\% |
| White | 43 | 745 | 745 | 748 | * | * | 40\% | 35\% | * | 40\% | 49\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 730 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 726 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 764 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 72\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 741 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 45\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Female | 28 | 740 | 740 | 743 | * | * | 36\% | * | * | 36\% | 41\% |
| Male | 20 | 748 | 748 | 740 | * | * | 50\% | * | * | 40\% | 38\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 15 | 733 | 733 | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% | 22\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 33 | 748 | 748 | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | 49\% | 50\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 716 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 746 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 45\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 712 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 722 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 43\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 40 | 730 | 730 | 728 | * | * | 35\% | * | * | 25\% | 28\% |
| White | 33 | 729 | 729 | 736 | * | * | 30\% | * | * | 27\% | 35\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 721 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 715 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Female | 21 | 737 | 737 | 730 | * | * | * | * | * | 24\% | 30\% |
| Male | 19 | 723 | 723 | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 26\% | 26\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 16 | 715 | 715 | 719 | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% | 19\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 24 | 741 | 741 | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | 33\% | 34\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 17 | 706 | 706 | 705 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Students without Disabilities | 23 | 749 | 749 | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 703 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 40 | 730 | 730 | 729 | * | * | 35\% | * | * | 25\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 11\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 705 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| White | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Hispanic | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 24\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 19\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | 774 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 736 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 741 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 41\% |
| Female | N | N | N | 744 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 43\% |
| Male | N | N | N | 741 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Students with Disabilities | N | N | N | 714 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 10\% |
| Students without Disabilities | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 47\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 742 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 37\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | N | N |
| 6 | N | N |
| 7 | $*$ | $*$ |
| 8 | N | N |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students <br> Tested | \% Students with <br> Overall Score <br> Below 4.5 | \% Students with <br> Overall Score of <br> 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | N | N | N |
| 2 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| 3 | N | N | N |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| $5+$ | N | N | N |

## Raymond W. Kershaw School

2016-2017

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 8

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | $\%$ Advanced <br> Proficient | $\%$ Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $27 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $16 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| White | $19 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Hispanic | N | N | $*$ |
| Black or African American | N | N | $*$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | $7 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | N | $36 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| English Learners | N | N | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 8

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


## Raymond W. Kershaw School

2016-2017

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels
Low Growth: Less than 35
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: <br> District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 39 | 39.5 | 50 | Not Met | 43 | 39.5 | 50 | Met Target |
| White | 39.5 | 41 | 50 | Not Met | 46 | 43 | 52 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | * | 50 | 49 | ** | * | * | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | 45 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 30.5 | 29.5 | 47 | Not Met | 27 | 27 | 46 | Not Met |
| Students with Disabilities | 27.5 | * | 41 | Not Met | 53 | * | 43 | ** |
| English Learners | * | * | 53 | ** | * | * | 51 | ** |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.
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Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.

ELA



## Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.


Math
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This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards on our website and more details about the data in this section in our Reference Guide.

## Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in Mathematics courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. Students counted in the other math category may be enrolled in grade level math courses, intervention courses, or other advanced math courses.

| Grade | Algebral | Geometry | Grade Level and Other Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Schoolwide | 0 | 0 | 139 |

## World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in World Languages courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom row of the table shows students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV).

| Grade | Spanish | French | Italian | Latin | German | Chinese | Other Languages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolwide | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |

## Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.

## Grades 6-8:

Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes


Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:


## Raymond W. Kershaw School

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | 2016-17 <br> Target | Met <br> 2016-17 <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 7.90 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| White | 7.30 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | 13.00 | 8.30 | Not Met |
| Students with Disabilities | 12.00 | 8.30 | Not Met |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.
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## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Typical End Time | $3: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 6 Hrs. 27 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | $*$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $4.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $16.9 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $20.9 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 0 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 0 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.00 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1.1: 1$ | 231.5 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total | $\$ 626$ | $\$ 14,223$ | $\$ 14,849$ |

## Raymond W. Kershaw School <br> 2016-2017

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 15 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 12.6 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 10.1 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $60 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 3 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 16.7 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 5.0 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $33 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $12: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $177: 1$ | $144: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | N |
| Nurses |  | $216: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | N |
| Child Study Team |  | $216: 1$ |
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This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

Teacher N
Admin N

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $79 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $50 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $96 \%$ |

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

| Accountability Indicator | Indicator Score | Indicator Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts Proficiency | 9.9 | 17.5\% |
| Mathematics Proficiency | 10.5 | 17.5\% |
| English Language Arts Growth | 8.5 | 25.0\% |
| Mathematics Growth | 16.4 | 25.0\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 37.9 | 15.0\% |
| Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018) | $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{S}$ |  |
| Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights |  | 15.5 |
| Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score |  | 5.8 |
| Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile |  | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.
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## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 15.5 | 11.9 | Targeted | Met Target | Met Target† | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | No |
| White | 17.1 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 10.8 | 11.9 | Targeted | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target $\dagger$ | Not Met | Not Met | Not Met | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 18.4 | 11.9 | No | Met Target $\dagger$ | Not Met | Not Met | Not Met | ** | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^4]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

Demographic
Academic Achievement

## School General Info

| School General Info |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: | Mr. Hunter | Email Address: | mhunter@mtephraimschools.com |
| Address: | 125 SOUTH BLACK HORSE PIKE MOUNT EPHRAIM, NJ 08059-1803 | Website: | http://www.mtephraimschools.com/our-schools/r-w-kershaw-middle-school |
| Phone: | (856)931-1634 | Facebook: | https://www.facebook.com/Mount-Ephraim-Public-Schools-366880683326654/ |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | - Curriculum includes STEM for 7th/8th grade students, Mindfulness and Keyboarding for 5th/6th grade students |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Technology is part of each school day, with all students utilizing one to one personal Chromebooks |  |
| - Advanced 8th grade students take Algebra I and Spanish I |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | The Mount Ephraim Public School District has curriculum aligned with the New Jersey State Standards, including the <br> most recent Next Generation Science Standards. We are pleased to offer a Gifted \& Talented Program to our 5th <br> through 8th grade students. We are also pleased to offer Algebra I and Spanish I to our advanced 8th grade students. <br> Each student in the building has 1:1 technology, using thier Chromebooks for daily instruction. <br> Instruction: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clubs and Activities: | The Raymond W. Kershaw School offers a few clubs to our students. Our students are offered: Student Council, Battle <br> of the Books, National Junior Honor Society, Yearbook Club. Our students participate in sports with Audubon Jr/Sr. <br> High School. |
| Before and After <br> School Programs: | We have a locally run after school program for our 5th and 6th grade students. We call the program, "Shining Star". All <br> information could be found on our website. |

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional | The professional staff meet regularly to review student progress using benchmarking data as well as PARCC data. The <br> staff create their SGO's based on the results of the PARCC data. They look for the areas of weakness and make it a <br> priority in the new school year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and <br> Services: | The Child Study Team at Raymond W. Kershaw school are second to none. Not only do they have their CST <br> responsibilities, but they are also the school counselors. They work very closely with students with IEP's and 504 's. <br> Meetings are held annually for each student. |
| Wellness: | Students are offered "Breakfast after the Bell". This program is supported by our Nutri-Serve representatives. They <br> offer balanced meals daily to our students. In recent years, our lunch counts have increased because of the new <br> offerings that are available each day. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | We have a very strong PTO organization within our district. Their fundraising efforts are a benefit to our students. They <br> are able to sponsor outside programs to visit our schools annually. We have a student information system that allows <br> for our parents to have access to their child's grades, attendance, lunch accounts, and discipline. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | Is a Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students, Parents, Administrators, Teachers <br> Our school uses the New Jersey Department of Education approved School Climate Survey. It is filled out annually in <br> the spring by students, parents, staff and administrators. The results of the survey are shared with the School <br> Climate/Culture Committee. They utilize the data to help determine the best course of action to address areas of need. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Facilities: | Our school building had an addition put on during the 1990's. It houses our media center, nurse and guidance suite, <br> multi-purpose room (gymnasium, cafeteria, and stage) as well as a science lab. We purchased the town library a few <br> years ago, and now utilize as our music building. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.


During the 2017-2018 school year, we modified the schedule for the middle school to allow for more teaching time in math and language arts. Our students would leave the elementary building with 90 minutes daily of math and language arts, but enter the middle school and receive only 45 minutes daily. We would see a decline in state assessments in these areas, but we attribute those to the loss in instructional time. Working together, the teachers and administration created a schedule that now allows for 75 minutes of daily instruction in math and language arts. We are looking forward to the new data next year to see how the increased time impacts our data. We continue to strive to become better at providing our students with the tools to become great high school students and to help prepare them for success in the 21st century.


[^0]:    **Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^1]:    **Grade 7 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^2]:    **Grade 8 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^3]:    **Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

[^4]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

