2017-2018

## How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

## Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

## **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative		
Ş	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT			MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) des Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	<ul><li>** Accountability ca</li><li>No Data is availa</li></ul>	alculations able to dis	der to protect student p require 20 or more st play ific note,see note belo	udents		
				School Contact Info						
This table c	ontains contact	information includi	ng principal name, address	s, phone number, email address, an	nd social media information, if	provide	d.			
	Туре			Contact Information						
	County			CAMDE	N					
	District			MAGNOLIA	BORO					
	Principal Name			MR. JOHN	ISON					
	Address			420 NORTH WARWICK RD MA						
	Phone Number			(856)783-4	4763					
	Email Address			RJOHNSON@MAGNOL	IASCHOOLS.ORG					

http://www.magnoliaschools.org

Website

College and Career Readiness

**Report Key:** 



MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050)Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018

**Enrollment Trends by Student Group** 

## **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK	26	25	26
KG	52	39	43
1	57	53	36
2	47	58	50
3	37	47	60
4	45	34	38
5	42	45	34
6	45	39	47
7	44	42	42
8	39	46	46
Total	434	428	422

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	47.7%	46.3%	44.3%
Male	52.3%	53.7%	55.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	43.5%	43.5%	40.5%
Students with Disabilities	21.0%	20.1%	20.9%
English Learners	1.2%	0.5%	0.9%
Homeless Students		0.0%	0.5%
Students in Foster Care		0.7%	0.9%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

# Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

**N** No Data is available to display

Staff

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	53.2%	55.4%	52.6%
Hispanic	14.1%	16.1%	18.0%
Black or African American	23.3%	20.1%	21.1%
Asian	2.5%	2.6%	2.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	6.9%	5.8%	6.2%

## Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	26	25	26
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	52	39	43

#### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	100.0%



Economically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

English Learners

40

27.5

\*

40

27.5

\*

48

41

54

Met Standard

Not Met

\*\*

34

33

\*

34

33

\*

47


43

51

Not Met

Not Met

\*\*



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


# Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.








#### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance


This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	257	95.9	51.4	51.4	56.7	51.4	41.2	Met Target
White	131	98.5	52.7	52.7	65.6	52.7	48.2	Met Target
Hispanic	44	89.8	50.0	50.0	42.5	47.2	31.7	Met Target
Black or African American	61	95.3	45.9	45.9	37.3	45.9	31.3	Met Target
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.3	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	52.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	118	98.3	66.9	66.9	64.5	66.9		
Male	139	94.0	38.2	38.2	49.4	37.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	116	93.5	38.8	38.8	38.5	38.2	28.7	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	141	97.9	61.7	61.7	67.5	61.7		
Students with Disabilities	56	91.8	*	*	21.6	*	13	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	201	97.1	*	*	63.9	*		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	27.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	59.4	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	26.3	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.1	N		


+ Target was met within a confidence interval.




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	58	743	743	750	*	21%	*	*	*	48%	52%
White	33	746	746	759	*	*	*	52%	0%	52%	61%
Hispanic	12	732	732	736	*	*	*	*	*	33%	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	29	745	745	756	*	*	*	*	*	48%	57%
Male	29	740	740	744	*	*	*	*	*	48%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	25	732	732	733	*	*	*	*	*	36%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	751	751	762	*	*	*	*	*	58%	64%
Students with Disabilities	10	691	691	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	48	754	754	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	712	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	15%
Non-English Learners	58	743	743	753	*	21%	*	*	*	48%	55%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	38	749	749	756	*	*	34%	*	*	47%	58%
White	18	742	742	764	0%	*	*	*	*	33%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	782	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	Ν	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Female	18	764	764	762	*	*	*	*	*	78%	63%
Male	20	735	735	751	*	*	*	*	*	20%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	738	738	740	*	*	*	*	*	39%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	758	758	767	*	*	*	*	*	55%	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%
Non-English Learners	38	749	749	759	*	*	34%	*	*	47%	61%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	28%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	31	751	751	755	*	*	*	*	*	61%	58%
White	17	750	750	763	*	*	*	*	*	59%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	14	757	757	762	*	*	*	*	*	71%	66%
Male	17	745	745	749	*	*	*	*	*	53%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	739	739	739	*	*	*	*	*	50%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	758	758	766	*	*	*	*	*	68%	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	31	751	751	757	*	*	*	*	*	61%	60%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	731	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	45	758	758	754	*	*	*	*	*	67%	56%
White	22	765	765	761	*	*	*	*	*	77%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Black or African American	13	744	744	737	*	*	*	*	*	54%	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	23	770	770	761	*	*	*	*	*	83%	64%
Male	22	745	745	748	*	*	*	*	*	50%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	748	748	739	*	*	*	*	*	53%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	765	765	764	*	*	*	*	*	77%	68%
Students with Disabilities	11	712	712	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	34	773	773	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	712	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	45	758	758	755	*	*	*	*	*	67%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	729	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	36%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	743	743	760	*	*	25%	*	*	50%	63%
White	27	750	750	768	*	*	*	*	*	56%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	Ν	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Female	13	755	755	769	*	*	*	*	*	62%	72%
Male	27	737	737	752	*	*	*	*	*	44%	54%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	718	718	742	*	*	*	*	*	27%	44%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	25	758	758	771	*	*	*	*	*	64%	73%
Students with Disabilities	11	702	702	721	*	*	*	*	*	18%	22%
Students without Disabilities	29	758	758	768	*	*	*	*	*	62%	71%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	705	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	40	743	743	762	*	*	25%	*	*	50%	64%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	729	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	32%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	64%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%





Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	46	737	737	759	*	24%	*	*	*	39%	60%
White	15	731	731	767	*	*	*	*	*	33%	70%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Black or African American	19	739	739	739	*	*	*	*	*	42%	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	789	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	759	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Female	21	753	753	768	*	*	*	*	*	67%	69%
Male	25	723	723	751	*	*	*	*	*	16%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	27	729	729	740	*	*	*	*	*	33%	42%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	748	748	769	*	*	*	*	*	47%	71%
Students with Disabilities	12	692	692	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	34	753	753	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	703	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	46	737	737	761	*	24%	*	*	*	39%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	760	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.






## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance


This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	252	93.4	27.0	27.0	45.0	26.6	32.3	Not Met
White	129	97.0	28.0	28.0	54.1	28.0	36.7	Not Met
Hispanic	43	84.3	27.9	27.9	29.2	24.7	25.7	Met Target†
Black or African American	60	93.7	23.4	23.4	23.4	23.0	24	Met Target†
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	77.0	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.5	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	117	96.7	33.4	33.4	46.0	33.4		
Male	135	90.7	21.4	21.4	43.9	20.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	114	91.2	16.7	16.7	26.6	16.0	23.1	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	138	95.2	35.5	35.5	55.9	35.5		
Students with Disabilities	53	86.9	*	*	17.1	*	13	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	199	95.2	*	*	50.5	*		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	24.6	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.9	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	16.2	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	Ν		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.7	N		


† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	741	741	752	18%	*	25%	*	*	43%	53%
White	32	742	742	760	*	*	*	*	*	44%	64%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	29	741	741	752	*	*	*	*	*	41%	53%
Male	27	742	742	751	*	*	*	*	*	44%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	24	732	732	736	*	*	*	*	*	29%	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	32	748	748	762	*	*	*	*	*	53%	66%
Students with Disabilities	10	693	693	730	*	*	*	*	*	10%	29%
Students without Disabilities	46	752	752	756	*	*	*	*	*	50%	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Non-English Learners	56	741	741	754	18%	*	25%	*	*	43%	56%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%



Islander				//4							80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	18	744	744	748	*	*	*	*	*	50%	50%
Male	20	727	727	748	*	*	*	*	*	10%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	723	723	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	745	745	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	722	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	16%
Non-English Learners	38	735	735	750	*	32%	34%	*	*	29%	52%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	722	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	18%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	748	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	N	731	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	32%



							1				
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	14	740	740	749	*	*	*	*	*	36%	50%
Male	18	723	723	747	*	*	*	*	*	22%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	719	719	733	*	*	*	*	*	25%	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	737	737	758	*	*	*	*	*	30%	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Non-English Learners	32	730	730	750	*	*	34%	*	*	28%	51%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	43	731	731	744	*	*	40%	26%	0%	26%	44%
White	22	734	734	751	*	*	45%	*	*	23%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	12	725	725	726	*	0%	*	*	*	33%	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Female	23	737	737	745	*	*	*	*	*	30%	45%
Male	20	725	725	742	*	*	*	*	*	20%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	722	722	729	*	*	*	*	*	21%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	24	738	738	753	*	*	*	*	*	29%	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	43	731	731	745	*	*	40%	26%	0%	26%	45%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	39	730	730	743	*	36%	41%	*	*	18%	43%
White	27	733	733	750	*	*	44%	*	*	22%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	39%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	12	734	734	745	*	*	*	*	*	17%	45%
Male	27	728	728	741	*	*	*	*	*	19%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	719	719	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	25	736	736	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Students with Disabilities	10	715	715	717	*	*	*	*	*	10%	12%
Students without Disabilities	29	735	735	748	*	*	*	*	*	21%	50%
English Learners	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	10%
Non-English Learners	39	730	730	744	*	36%	41%	*	*	18%	45%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8


This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	27	696	696	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
White	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Hispanic	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Black or African American	12	692	692	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Female	10	690	690	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Male	17	699	699	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Students with Disabilities	12	689	689	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	15	701	701	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	705	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	10%
Non-English Learners	27	696	696	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Homeless Students	N	Ν	N	708	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	734	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	31%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	703	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	10%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	18	746	746	746	0%	*	56%	*	*	39%	46%
White	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Hispanic	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	746	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Female	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Male	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	N	716	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	13%
Students without Disabilities	18	746	746	752	0%	*	56%	*	*	39%	52%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	710	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	*
Non-English Learners	18	746	746	749	0%	*	56%	*	*	39%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	718	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	16%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

**College and Career Readiness** 



MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

## **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	N	N
5	N	N
6	N	N
7	N	N
8	Ν	N

#### English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	Ν	Ν	N

† Target was met within one standard deviation

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.


Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	Ν	N	N
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

## NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

## Mathematics - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	47
7	0	0	41
8	18	0	28
Total	18	0	116

## World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
7	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
8	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0





#### Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism


This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	44	11.2	9.1	Not Met
White	18	9.0	9.1	Met
Hispanic	9	12.3	9.1	Not Met
Black or African American	10	11.5	9.1	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	9.1	Not Met
Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	19.3	9.1	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	12	15.2	9.1	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**



# Days Absent


This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses


This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	2
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	3
Total Unique Incidents	5
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.18

**Police Notifications** 

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0



The

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

#### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

¢

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	1	2
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	1	1
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	1	1
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	1		1

who stude susp other arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-ofschool suspension for all students during the school year.

**Student Disciplinary Removals** 

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School
In-School Suspensions	*	*	Suspensions
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*	11
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT			MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) des Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	** Accountability ca <b>N</b> No Data is availa	alculations able to disp	ler to protect student p require 20 or more stu blay fic note,see note belo	udents

#### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	8:35 AM		
Typical End Time	3:05 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	Ν		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	Ν		

## **Device Ratios**


This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1.0:1

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$628	\$14,697	\$15,325



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State		
Total Number of teachers	38	117,464		
Average years experience in public schools	14.3	12.0		
Average years experience in district	13.5	10.7		
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	84.2%	75.5%		

## Administrators – Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	21.8	16.0
Average years experience in district	9.0	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	75.0%	76.2%

#### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	11:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	106:1	106:1
Teachers to Administrators	10:1	10:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		422:1
Students to Counselors		422:1
Students to Child Study Team		211:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

#### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).


Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	90.0%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	75.0%	86.2%

#### Faculty Attendance


This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	98.9%

## Bachelor's Degree







Key terms for staff data:

**Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators		
Female	65.8%	50.0%		
Male	34.2%	50.0%		
White	94.7%	75.0%		
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%		
Black or African American	5.3%	25.0%		
Asian	0.0%	0.0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%		

Over	iew Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORI REPORT			MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) des Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	** Accountability ca <b>N</b> No Data is availa	lculations	ler to protect student p require 20 or more stu lay fic note,see note below	udents

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

#### **Comprehensive Status**


This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

uires Comprehensive port during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
No	n/a	n/a

## **Targeted Status**

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a
White	No		
Hispanic	No		
Black or African American	No		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No		
American Indian or Alaska Native	No		
Two or More Races	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No		
Students with Disabilities	No		
English Learners	No		




#### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	32.41	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	12.20	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	30.92	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	11.45	25.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	**	**
Chronic Absenteeism	17.81	15.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	21.07	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	9.24	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



## Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	N	Not Met	No
White	26.91	14.08	No	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	38.22	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	37.70	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21.65	14.08	No	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	15.07	14.08	No	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview Demographic Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	Gra	MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) ides Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	** Accountability ca <b>N</b> No Data is availa	alculations able to disp	ler to protect student p require 20 or more stu blay fic note,see note below	udents
		School Narrative				
This section allows schools and districts to shown words. If there are questions about the in				d service	es that are offered	l in their
Mission, Vision, Theme:		gnolia School District is to develop v ting members of their school and co		nfident I	earners who are p	proud,

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT		Gra	MAGNOLIA (07-2890-050) ades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	<ul><li>** Accountability c</li><li>N No Data is avail</li></ul>	alculations able to disp	der to protect student privacy require 20 or more students blay fic note,see note below table
				School Narrative			
				nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact you		nd servic	es that are offered in their
	Course Instruct	s, Curriculum, ion:	Algebra 1				
3.	Sports	and Athletics:	In addition to our athle	etball (Boys & Girls), Golf (Coed), So etic program, Magnolia feeds into Ste eld hockey, lacrosse, wrestling, and	erling Regional for Cross Cou	nty. Intra	-district sports(SYAA) are
Real Providence	Clubs a	and Activities:	Various clubs are offe Club, Band to name a	red that address many student's inte few.	rest levels. STEAM, Legos, F	lomewor	k, Math, Science, Glee

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative		
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		<b>MAGNOLIA</b> (07-2890-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>					
				School Narrative						
This section own words.	This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.									
			Just Kids program is av	vailable to students.						
		and After Programs:								
2	Staff an Learnin	d Professiona g:	share grade level comr	earning Communities(PLC) are held non prep periods.	d to promote sharing of best p	oractices.	Instructional staf	falso		

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		<b>MAGNOLIA</b> (07-2890-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		<ul> <li><u>Report Key:</u></li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>				
				School Narrative					
				nts, and other important information a narrative section, please contact you		d service	es that are offered	d in their	
			Is a Climate Survey Us	sed: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students	s, Parents, Administrators, Te	achers			
11	Climate	Surveys:							
0	School	Safety:	Safety is our utmost co	oncern. A hard secured two door sys le for visitor's sign in.	tem is in place at entrance, a	long with	n a window that w	as	

(07-2890-050) ** Accountability calculation (07-2890-050) ** Accou	ayed in or							
REPORT     Clades Offered. PK-06     This indicates a tab       2017-2018     2017-2018	<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>							
School Narrative								
This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.								
Magnolia has two half day inclusion programs, an am and pm. Early Childhood Education:								