



Water Resources

Priority System,
Project Priority List

974.901 W12.5

And

Responsiveness Summary

For

Federal Fiscal Year 1990

MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER ASSISTANCE ELEMENT



State of New Jersey DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

Eric J. Evenson
Acting Director

CN 029

Trenton, N.J. 08625-0029

(609) 292-1637 Fax # (609) 984-7938

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PRIORITY SYSTEM AND PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection has completed the development of the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System and Project Priority List and has received the United States Environmental Protection Agency's approval of these documents. Priority System establishes the project ranking methodology and funding policies that will govern the award of federal grants in Federal Fiscal Year 1990 and the award of State loans in State Fiscal Year 1991. The Intended Use Plan (IUP) proposal is currently under review by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as a component of the capitalization grant application process. IUP includes information on the timing, use, and distribution of federal funds anticipated to be made available to New Jersey (through a capitalization grant) for use in the State's Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. The Project Priority List identifies those projects eligible to receive State loans in State Fiscal Year Projects will be funded principally with the monies anticipated to be allocated to the State of New Jersey in Federal Fiscal Year 1990 (approximately \$79 million) and funds made available from the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program in State Fiscal Year 1991.

As a result of New Jersey's plan to use up to 100 percent (the maximum amount permitted under the Water Quality Act of 1987) of the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 allotment for State Revolving Fund (SRF) purposes in State Fiscal Year 1991, the award of grants for new project segments is no longer available. Grant increases will be awarded in Federal Fiscal Year 1990 to provide funding based on the low bid building cost for municipalities which received federal grants in previous years. Projects on the Project Priority List (whose sponsor indicated their intent to comply with the project document submittal deadlines) will be eligible to receive loans from New Jersey's State Revolving Fund (SRF) in State Fiscal Year 1991.

Certain changes have been made to the Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Priority List proposal as a result of comments received through the Department's public participation program. A complete

discussion of major issues and the Department's responses are presented in the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Responsiveness Summary. The following revisions have been included in the adopted Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System/List:

- Projects ranked four, seven, nine, sixteen, nineteen, thirty-three, forty-one, forty-two, fifty-one, fifty-five, fifty-eight, sixty-two, sixty-three, eighty-one, ninety-one, one hundred forty-nine, two hundred forty-six and two hundred eighty-one on the Proposed Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority List have been removed as a result of the execution of State loan agreements. Also, project C340390-06, Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority, which was unranked on the List, was awarded a federal grant as per the Water Quality Act of 1987.
- Projects whose local unit's authorized representative indicated 2. their intent to comply with the project document submittal deadlines established in the Proposed Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System by the close of the public comment period are identified as eligible for State Fiscal Year 1991 loan awards on the final Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority List.

In addition, please note that the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Appropriation removes the eligibility limitation of section 201(g)(1) from the Fiscal Year 1990 allotment for Title VI (SRF) projects. Consequently, equivalency funds associated with the 1990 allotment can be used to assist the construction of any project eligible under section 212.

The public comments concerning the development of the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Priority List have been particularly helpful. We wish to thank all of the individuals and organizations that have taken the time to assist the Department in developing this very important aspect of our clean water program.

Sincerely,

Eric J. Evenson Eric J. Evenson

Acting Director

March 1990

Enclosures

MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER ASSISTANCE ELEMENT Federal Fiscal Year 1989 Grant Actions

| Project Number | Grant Recipient | Grant Amount | Award Type | Award Date |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | | - | | |
| C340390-06 | Wanaque VRSA \$ | 3,850,724 | New Grant | 9/29/89 |
| C340416-07-2 | City of Trenton | 130,481 | Increase-Reob. | 9/29/89 |
| C340494-01-1 | Clinton Twp. | 444,136 | Increase-Bids | 9/27/89 |
| C340808-02-1 | Bass River Twp. | 405,538 | Increase-Bids | 9/29/89 |
| C340326-05-1 | Sayreville Boro. | 769,825 | Increase-Bids | 9/22/89 |
| C340607-02-2 | Bordentown S.A. | 866,438(1) | Increase-Bids | 9/29/89 |
| C340399-07-2 | Hudson Co. UA | 1,626,384(2) | Increase | 9/27/89 |
| C340524-03-1 | Camden Co. MUA | 829,153 (3) | Increase | 9/29/89 |
| C340502-03-1 | City of Salem | 390,420(4) | Increase | 9/29/89 |

- (1) I.O.U. of \$2,737,276 Conventional Funds remains.
- (2) Innovative Funding to satisfy I.O.U. made in FFY88.
- (3) Alternative Funding to satisfy I.O.U. made in FFY87.
- (4) Alternative Funding to satisfy I.O.U. made in FFY87.

MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER ASSISTANCE ELEMENT State Fiscal Year 1990 Loan Actions

| Project Number | Loan Recipient | Principal Amount | Award Type | Award Date |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| NJL 447-04-1 | Elizabeth City | \$ 2,880,860 | Supplemental | 11/16/89_ |
| NJL 485-03-1 | Raritan Twp. MUA | 2,935,798 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340449-03-1 | Newton Town | 4,490,390 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340523-03-1 | Caldwell Boro. Twp. | 5,279,070 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340785-03-1 | Livingston Twp. | 2,876,518 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340533-03-1 | Verona Twp. | 3,400,426 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340715-02-A- | | 3,058,514 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| | l Chatham Boro. | 1,794,400 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| S340376-03-1 | Morristown Town | 28,994,392 | Supplemental | 11/16/89 |
| | | | - - | lans. |
| S340578-05 | Manville Boro. | 1,985,194 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340404-02 | Passaic Twp. | 5,484,388 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340548-03 | Roxbury Twp. | 9,533,482 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340810-02 | Lower Twp. MUA | 5,178,600 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340701-04 | W. Milford Twp. MUA | 455,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89- |
| S340701-05 | W. Milford Twp. MUA | 520,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340913-01 | Warren Twp. SA | 6,179,504 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340701-07 | W. Milford Twp. MUA | 325,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89_ |
| S340388-03 | Hanover SA | 16,339,458 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340701-08 | W. Milford Twp. MUA | 65,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89 🖦 |
| NJL 710-02 | Maple Shade Twp. | 12,323,250 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340723-02 | Morris Twp. | 14,703,510 | New Loan | 11/16/89= |
| S340636-03 | Pompton Lakes MUA | 9,347,196 | New Loan | 11/16/89 11/16/89 |
| S340816-01 | Bernardsville Boro. | 4,938,736 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340701-06 | W. Milford Twp. MUA | 325,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89_ |
| S340467-04 | Montville Twp. MUA | 360,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340393-06 | Wayne Twp. | 860,000 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| S340778-02 | W. Paterson Boro. | 2,038,158 | New Loan | 11/16/89 |
| | Total | \$146,671,844 | | Polysia |
| | Total NJL | \$ 18,139,908 | | West . |
| | Total SRF | \$128,531,936 | | |
| | | • | | |

PRIORITY SYSTEM, PROJECT PRIORITY LIST AND RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIBRARY DEPOSITORIES

PRIORITY SYSTEM

| | - | Executive Summary | Page | 1 |
|-------|-----|--|------|----|
| I | - | "Water Use/Water Quality" Segment Priorities | Page | 15 |
| II | - | Project Discharge Priorities | Page | 18 |
| III | - | Population Difference | Page | 21 |
| IV | - | Project List Methodology | Page | 22 |
| V | - | Basis for Continuing Evaluation of Project | Page | 23 |
| | | Status/Project Bypassing | | |
| VI | _ | Reserve Funds | Page | 25 |
| VII | - | General Information | Page | 32 |
| | | | | |
| PROJ: | ECT | PRIORITY LIST | Page | 37 |

PROJECT NARRATIVES

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

LIBRARY INFORMATION CENTERS

| | | • |
|--|--|---|
| ATLANTIC COUNTY | GLOUCESTER | OCEAN COUNTY |
| Atlantic County Library 2 S. Parragut Road Mays Landing, NJ 08330 | West Deptford Public Library Grove Ave. & Crownpoint Rd. Thorofare, NJ 08086 | Ocean County Library 101 Washington Street Toms River, NJ 08753 |
| BERGEN COUNTY | HUDSON COUNTY | PASSAIC COUNTY |
| Johnson Free Public Library 275 Moore St Hackensack, MJ 07601 | Jersey City Pree Public Library 472 Jersey Avenue Jersey City, NJ 07302 | Paterson Free Public Library 250 Broadway Paterson, NJ 07501 |
| BURLINGTON COUNTY | HUNTERDON COUNTY | SALEM COUNTY |
| Burlington County Library Woodlane Road Mt. Holly, NJ 08060 | Hunterdon County Library Rt. 12 Plemington, NJ 08822 | Salem City Free Public Library 112 W. Broadway Salem County, NJ 08079 |
| CAMDEN COUNTY | MERCER COUNTY | SOMERSET COUNTY |
| Camden County Library Reference Department Echelon Urban Center Laurel Road Voorhees, NJ 08043 | Trenton Pree Public Library 120 Academy St. Trenton, NJ 08609 | Somerset County Library North Bridge St. & Vogt Dr. Box 6700 Bridgewater, NJ 08807 |
| CAPE MAY COUNTY | MIDDLESEX COUNTY | SUSSEX COUNTY |
| Cape May County Library Boyd & Mechanic St. Cape May Court House, NJ | Woodbridge Pree Public Library George Prederick Plaza Woodbridge, NJ 07095 | Sussex County Library Rd. #3 Box 76 Newton, NJ 07860 |
| CUMBERLAND COUNTY | MONMOUTH COUNTY | UNION COUNTY |
| Cumberland County Library 800 E. Commerce St. Bridgeton, MJ 08302 | Monmouth County Library Highway #35 - Eastern Branch Shrewsbury, NJ 07701 | Elizabeth City Free Public Library . 11 S. Broad St. Elizabeth, NJ 07202 |
| ESSEX COUNTY | MORRIS COUNTY | WARREN COUNTY |
| Newark Public Library 5 Washington St. Newark, NJ 07102 | Morris County Pree Public Library 30 E. Hanover St. Whippany, NJ 07901 | Phillipsburg Free Public Library 200 Frost Avenue Phillipsburg, NJ 08865 |
| | | |

Priority System for Federal Fiscal Year 1990 New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program Executive Summary

The New Jersey State Department of Environmental Protection (Department) has developed a Priority System to rank municipal water pollution control projects for State funding which, with the exceptions as herein described, is in conformance with the Priority System adopted for Federal Fiscal Year 1989 (FFY89). The Priority System is compatible with the Federal Clean Water Act as amended. The resulting Project Priority List is designed for the use of any unobligated grant monies available to New Jersey's State Revolving Fund, the anticipated FFY90 allotment and funds made available from the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program in State Fiscal Year 1991 (SFY91).

New Jersey's plan is to channel up to 100 percent of the State's FFY90 allotment (the maximum amount permitted under the Water Quality Act of 1987) as a capitalization grant to the Wastewater Treatment Fund administered by the Department, to be used for State Revolving Fund purposes in SFY91 (i.e., July 1, 1990 through June 30, 1991). The details of New Jersey's activities with the federal capitalization grant in the State's Wastewater Treatment Financing Program are discussed further in this document and in the Intended Use Plan.

Since 1972 greater than \$2.3 billion in federal funds have thus far been obligated in the State for the construction of wastewater treatment works. Yet, the 1988 National Needs Survey for New Jersey reports that \$3.4 billion of new investment in wastewater treatment projects in current needs is required throughout the State to allow economic growth, improve water quality and meet clean water In recognition of these significant needs and the availability of limited and ever decreasing federal funds, the State moved to implement an alternative financing program to supplement the water quality progress being made through the federal funding In November 1985, the New Jersey Electorate overwhelmingly approved a bond issue of \$190 million for the purpose of providing low interest loans to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities. As provided by the "Wastewater Treatment Bond Act of 1985" (P.L. 1985, c.329), \$40 million of the \$190 million is allocated for payment to and use by the "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust" and \$150 million to the Wastewater Treatment Fund in providing financial aid to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities. The "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Act" (P.L. 1985, c.334) indicates that the list of projects to receive assistance from this program shall be developed in conformance with the federal Construction Grants ranking methodology. Therefore, those local government units

who wish to pursue financial (loan) assistance in SFY91 are required to be placed and ranked on the FFY90 Project Priority List (List).

By April 14, 1989 (the end of the public comment period), local government units listed or eligible for listing on the Proposed Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority List were required to advise the Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element (in writing, with a copy to the Acting Assistant Director, Wastewater Facilities Management Element) whether they intended to commit to meet the established planning, design and application deadlines of July 3, 1989, January 16, 1990 and March 1, 1990, respectively (See Appendix A for sample commitment letter). Failure of the local government unit to advise the Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element in writing of their commitment to the established project document submittal schedule by the close of the comment period for the Proposed Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List was interpreted by the Department as a decision by the local government unit to not pursue funding in SFY91. This resulted in those projects being bypassed on the FFY90 Project Priority List.

An acceptable planning documentation submittal must consist of a complete project report, the appropriate environmental planning documentation for the level of environmental review determined applicable by the Department, complete cultural resources survey documentation, documentation of completed public participation activities, and the results of preliminary coordination activities with lead agencies regarding environmental and permit concerns. In addition, as part of the planning documentation submittal, proof of a final NJPDES permit or a Discharge Allocation Certificate for the project which the applicant is requesting funding assistance must be submitted. In this way, all final effluent conditions will be known and agreed upon at the time of the submittal of the planning documentation.

Further, it should be noted that the Department is currently developing regulations governing the award of planning and design grants for combined sewer overflow abatement facilities pursuant to the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act (P.L. 1988, c. 90). It is anticipated that the ranking criteria of the Priority System will also be utilized in determining project priority. Thus, any project sponsor interested in such funding should have requested inclusion on the Project Priority List prior to the close of the comment period (April 14, 1989). Project sponsors will receive separate notification in advance of any application deadlines established by the Department applicable to such planning and design grants.

Water Quality Act of 1987

Several substantial changes have been made to the overall Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program which impact the development of the Priority System. These changes were established by the Water Quality Act of 1987 (amendments to the federal Act). The following is a listing of the major changes in the New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program that affected the development of the State's Priority System and funding policies:

- A. A new title (Title VI State Water Pollution Control Revolving Funds) was added to the Clean Water Act establishing the policies and procedures governing the use of federal monies for State Revolving Fund (SRF) purposes. Of particular note, these policies and procedures of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) include:
 - 1. The fund created with federal capitalization grants shall be used to provide assistance for the construction of publicly owned treatment works, as well as for the implementation of a non-point source management program and the development and implementation of an estuary conservation and management plan. However, all funds in the SRF as a result of federal capitalization grants (i.e., capitalization grants, the State match, interest, and initial repayments from loans made from these grants, and leveraging proceeds from the federal capitalization grant, but not proceeds from State monies in excess of the match) must first be used to assure maintenance of progress towards compliance with the enforceable deadlines, goals and the requirements of the Clean Water Act.
 - 2. The schedule of State capitalization grant payments shall be jointly agreed upon by the Administrator of USEPA and each state and shall be based upon the State's Intended Use Plan and the anticipated binding commitment schedule therein. States are required to deposit in the SRF, from state monies, an amount equal to at least 20 percent of the total amount of all capitalization grants which will be made to the state.
 - 3. Wastewater treatment project categories directly eligible for assistance from the State Revolving Fund (SRF) are limited to secondary treatment (including sludge treatment and disposal), advanced (more stringent) wastewater treatment, infiltration/inflow correction and new interceptors and appurtenances. As required by the Act, an amount equivalent to the federal capitalization grant to be provided to New Jersey must be used for federally eligible project categories (although the Governor's Discretionary Fund will further allow up to 20 percent of the federal funds to be used for otherwise ineligible categories).

These otherwise ineligible categories include collection systems, major sewer system rehabilitation, and correction of combined sewer overflow projects. Since additional State monies (including the required 20 percent match) are anticipated to be made available in SFY91, project categories that would not be directly eligible under the federal requirements may be eligible under New Jersey's Wastewater Treatment Financing Program.

- 4. Monies in the SRF may be used to provide loans at or below market interest rates, for terms not greater than 20 years (after completion of construction). Repayments must begin no later than 1 year after completion of the project and shall be credited to the SRF (principal and interest) for other projects. The recipient of a loan must establish a dedicated source of repayments. The Act also authorizes the use of federal SRF monies to refinance local debt obligations, provide guarantees and purchase insurance.
- 5. States were given the option to use up to 50 percent of its FFY87 allotment, up to 75 percent of its FFY88 allotment and up to 100 percent of its FFY89 and FFY90 allotments for State Revolving Fund purposes. The governor of a state must notify the Administrator of the state's intent to use a portion of its Title II allotment for Title VI purposes. This is consistent with the Water Quality Act of 1987 authorization schedule which establishes the transition from the construction grant program into a loan program through FFY94 to promote the development of self-sufficient state financing programs.
- B. The USEPA Administrator shall reserve each fiscal year, before allotment to the states, one-half of one percent of the sums appropriated under the Water Quality Act of 1987 for grants for the development of waste treatment management plans and for the construction of sewage treatment works to serve Indian tribes. Additionally, the Water Quality Act of 1987 establishes a new national reserve for the implementation of the National Estuary Program.
- C. States must reserve the greater of \$100,000 or one percent of its annual allotment for the development and implementation of non-point source pollution management programs.
- D. The USEPA Administrator is authorized to make a grant to fund all of the costs of the modification or replacement of biodisc equipment (RBCs) in any publicly owned treatment works if the USEPA Administrator finds that such equipment has failed to meet its design performance specifications, (unless such failure is attributable to negligence on the part of any person) and if such failure has significantly increased capital or operation and maintenance expenditures.

- E. Before taking final action on any plans, specifications and estimates, the USEPA Administrator shall enter into a written agreement with the applicant which establishes and specifies which items of the proposed project are eligible for federal grant payments.
- F. The 1987 amendments specifically identified that 75 percent grants are to be made available to the Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority from funds allotted to the State of New Jersey for the construction of treatment works with a capacity of 1,050,000 gallons per day (including a module with capacity of 350,000 gallons per day).

A recent amendment to Title VI of the Clean Water Act (passed within Congress' recent amendment to Title I of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act) requires the states of New York and New Jersey to reserve 10 percent of their federal grant payments and 10 percent of the states' contributions to their revolving funds to assist any governmental entity within those states, that has entered into compliance or enforcement agreements, for the purpose of identifying, developing and implementing alternatives to ocean dumping of sewage sludge. If the state receives insufficient applications (including, the project sponsors' commitments to meet submittal deadlines) for assistance for such projects within 6 months of receipt of its Title VI grant payment, the 10 percent reserve is released and is available for any SRF purposes. This reserve requirement is effective only during Federal Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991.

Other Federal Grant Program Requirements

Also, as in previous years (as per the provisions of the 1981 amendments to Title II of the Act and subsequent federal regulations):

- G. Grant funding levels dropped from 75 percent to 55 percent after October 1, 1984.
- H. After October 1, 1984, the categories eligible for grants include secondary and advanced treatment plants (categories 1 and 2), interceptors (category 4B) and infiltration/inflow correction (category 3A) projects. New collection systems (category 4A), sewer system replacements (category 3B) and combined sewer overflow correction (CSO-category 5) projects are not eligible after October 1, 1984. However, at the request of the governor, a state may use up to 20 percent of its annual allotment after October 1, 1984 for otherwise ineligible categories (i.e., the "Governor's Discretionary Fund"). In addition, the federal regulations further indicate that CSO projects may be funded in excess of 20 percent of the annual allotment, if requested by the governor, in cases where it is documented that these projects are necessary to improve existing impaired uses of receiving waters for fishing and swimming.

Finally, it should also be noted that section 201(n)(2) of the Act establishes a separate funding mechanism for addressing impaired uses or public health risks resulting from CSOs in marine bays and estuaries. A total of four New Jersey projects were approved by the USEPA under the 201(n)(2) marine CSO program in Federal Fiscal Years 1984 and 1985.

- I. After October 1, 1984, reserve capacity for new treatment works is not eligible for grant reimbursement. Eligible capacity is limited to needs existing on the date of the step 3 (construction) grant award, or 1990, whichever is earlier. However, if a project segment was funded prior to October 1, 1984 (for primary, secondary or advanced treatment, I/I correction or interceptors), then remaining segments may be eligible for 20 year reserve capacity (for interceptors partially funded (step 3) before December 29, 1981, 40 year capacity may be eligible). The February 17, 1984 federal regulations include additional information regarding grandfathering of reserve capacity funding.
- J. No new step 1 (planning) or step 2 (design) grants may be awarded (since December 29, 1981). The applicable allowance to offset some of the planning and/or design costs is provided at the time a construction (step 3) grant is given. Federal regulations governing this allowance are included as Appendix B to 40 CFR Part 35 Subpart I, issued February 17, 1984.
- K. Notwithstanding item J above, states can reserve up to 10 percent of their annual allocations as advances to small communities that would otherwise be unable to afford the planning or design costs on their own. Small communities are presently defined as communities with a population of less than 3,500 or as otherwise defined by the state.
- L. At least four percent (to a maximum of seven and one-half percent) of the State's Title II monies must be reserved to award bonuses for innovative or alternative treatment works (at least one-half percent must be spent on innovative systems). Innovative systems are defined as improved wastewater treatment systems that result in substantial life cycle cost savings or significant environmental benefits. Alternative systems are generally wastewater treatment systems which utilize land as a part of the treatment process (e.g., spray irrigation or composting systems).
- M. Up to four percent of the state's annual monies can be used for managing the state's funding programs and certain other state water pollution control programs.
- N. A minimum of \$100,000, up to a maximum of one percent of the allocation, must be used for water quality management planning. This can include any studies necessary to identify solutions or implement plans to meet water quality standards or to determine

the nature and extent of water quality problems (e.g., studies necessary to develop wasteload allocations could be conducted with the planning monies).

- O. No mandatory reserve for project cost increases is required.
- P. There is an increased emphasis to achieve optimum water quality management consistent with state and federal water quality goals and to meet the enforceable requirements of the Clean Water Act and its amendments. The major criteria required in the development of priority systems is the need to give high priority to those projects that provide for water use restoration and public health improvement.

Grant and Loan Program Highlights

As a result of changes in the Clean Water Act and the federal regulations, several changes in the funding program have been included in New Jersey's Priority System. In summary, the following highlights the provisions of New Jersey's Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System:

- 1. Grant funding for new project segments is no longer available.
 - Grant amendments will be awarded in Federal Fiscal Year 1990 to cover the low bid building cost* (i.e., no cost overruns or contingencies), administrative/fiscal/legal costs (limited to one percent of the total eligible low bid building cost), engineering costs, as well as the appropriate allowance. the grant is adjusted as a result of the low bid building cost, and should cost underruns occur during construction which result in grant funds remaining after the completion of construction, budget line item changes may be approved for cost overruns in other cost categories only to the extent that the remaining low bid adjusted grant funds will allow. In this case, administrative/legal/fiscal costs will not be limited to one percent of the final building cost, but a greater eligible amount could be approved should sufficient funds remain in the grant and if sufficient justification and documentation for the higher eligible costs are submitted and approved. Grantees are reminded that administrative/legal/fiscal services, if not provided by the grantee's own staff, are subject to the federal procurement requirements of 40 CFR Part 33.
- * The "low bid building cost" as discussed throughout this document is the actual bid cost from the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

- 2. In anticipation of the reauthorization of the Clean Water Act and the transition of a grants to loan program, New Jersey established its Wastewater Treatment Financing Program (initially capitalized with \$190 million in State general obligation bonds). The regulations governing the program, N.J.A.C 7:22-3.1, 4.1, 5.1, and 10.1 et seq., have been developed to be generally consistent with and complement the federal regulations. The following is a listing of the major policy items therein:
 - a. The recipient's loan assistance will be limited to the cost of the project with a capacity based upon flow records, existing documented unsewered needs and flows anticipated prior to the date of initiation of operation as established in the loan agreement (rather than limiting funding as of the date of grant award, as under the federal grant program). In no case, however, shall the allowable capacity for existing systems exceed 120 gallons per capita per day. Design flows of 70 gallons per capita per day plus a reasonable allowance for infiltration (100 gallons per day per inch diameter per mile of new sewer or less) or 75 gallons per capita per day, whichever is less, shall be allowable for existing unsewered needs and for collection systems being built between the date of the loan award and the date of initiation of operation.

For any project proposing reserve capacity in excess of that provided by this section, all incremental costs shall be paid by the recipient. Incremental costs include all costs which would not have been incurred but for the additional excess capacity (that is, any cost in addition to the most cost effective alternative with allowable capacity as described above).

- b. Planning and design costs of the project are not eligible for loan awards from the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. However, an allowance to assist in defraying the planning and design costs shall be provided to a project as a percentage of the allowable building cost at the time of receipt of a loan for construction of the project.
- c. State loan funding for new project segments will be awarded in SFY91 for up to 100 percent of the allowable project costs to cover the low bid building cost (i.e., no cost overruns or contingencies), administrative/fiscal/legal costs (limited to one percent of the total low bid building cost), engineering costs, as well as the appropriate allowance. However, the initial loan amount is limited to the lesser of (1) the estimated eligible project cost identified on the State Priority List and as approved in the appropriation bills for that funding cycle, (2) the allowable project costs based on the engineer's estimate in the project sponsor's loan application package and (3) funding based on the low bid building cost. The adjustment

to provide funding based on the low bid building cost (as applicable) shall be made only after all project-related contracts have been awarded. Consideration will be given to provide warranted loan increases due to low bid adjustment subject to the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust's approval, legislative approval in the form of an appropriations bill(s) providing such loan monies and the availability of subsequent federal capitalization grants to the State. As a result of this policy, any dollar changes in cost estimates for projects on the List may result in the Department requesting the local unit to provide a third party's concurrence on the dollar change. Should cost underruns occur which result in loan funds remaining after the low bid building cost adjustment and the completion of construction, budget line item changes may be approved for allowable cost overruns. In this case, administrative/legal/ fiscal costs will not be limited to one percent of the final building cost, but a greater amount could be approved should sufficient funds remain in the loan and if sufficient justification and documentation for the higher costs are submitted and approved.

It should also be noted that the State reserves the right to channel funds available from previous years' allotments through a capitalization grant award into its SRF.

- Certain projects are eligible to receive pre-award approvals provided the requirements of the regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:22-3.32 and 4.32) are met. This is a significant difference from the federal grant program, since project sponsors may maintain the eligibility of project costs incurred prior to the execution of formal State loan agreements. However, to maintain the eligibility of such costs, project planning (including the appropriate environmental documentation), design and contract documents must be reviewed and approved by the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element (including authorization to advertise and award contracts for which reimbursement is sought) as well as securing all permits and approvals for the construction of the project. In addition, the receipt of State disbursements for costs incurred prior to the award of the State loan may not be fully disbursed upon award. As a direct result of federal policy, such costs can only be paid in equal amounts over the number of quarters over which the State can receive capitalization grant payments (which will typically be eight quarters).
- e. Project sponsors should be aware that not less than 10 percent of the costs for construction, materials or services for a project must be awarded to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (SEDs) as defined in sections 637(a) and 637(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a) and (d)), and any regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. The Department's SED regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:22-9.1 et seq.), identifies the minority

and women business enterprise (MBE/WBE) utilization requirements that recipients will have to meet.

3. State loans will only be awarded to distinct project segments that will result, in itself, in an operable treatment works (i.e., not relying on award of funds for additional portions of the project).

In addition to the above, the Clean Water Act, and USEPA's National Municipal Policy (NMP) mandates that all wastewater treatment facilities must meet water quality standards by July 1, 1988. Financial assistance awards cannot be made to such NMP projects unless a federal or State court-sanctioned order or State administrative order (only accepted in certain cases) specifying a compliance schedule beyond the July 1, 1988 date has been established. The financial assistance may then be awarded, with the project schedule in compliance with the order. A copy of the judicial or administrative order will be required at the time of submittal of the loan application.

4. The priority list combines the I/A section of the list within the regular projects section. I/A funds will be used to fulfill funding commitments to previously funded federal I/A grant projects. The State's loan/SRF program does not include the requirement to award I/A bonuses.

The Priority List presents the estimated total eligible building costs under the appropriate treatment category. The figure under the "Total Eligible Project Cost" includes the total estimated eligible building costs, the related construction services (i.e., administrative, legal, engineering, inspection, one year start-up services, etc.) and the appropriate allowance. The figure under the "Total State Share" column includes 100 percent of the total eligible project costs and the applicable planning and design allowance for State loan projects. The Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority List serves several purposes:

- a. It identifies the anticipated loan fundable range of the priority list for State Fiscal Year 1991.
- b. By collapsing the I/A projects within the general list, each project's relative ranking is clearly identified.
- c. By listing all projects together, a more complete indication of the State's actual water quality needs is presented.
- 5. In order to channel the federal allocations to the State's Wastewater Treatment Financing Program and thus to the State's highest priority water improvement projects, the Governor's Discretionary Fund for Federal Fiscal Year 1990 will be utilized

to provide funding to otherwise ineligible categories of need and will include:

For grant awards: In terms of the CSO projects, only those CSO projects receiving previous grant awards in FFY84 and FFY85 under the National Marine CSO Program would be eligible to receive grant amendments for low bid adjustments on marine combined sewer overflow projects. Grant amendments for Public Health Hazard (PHH) Bypass projects to provide funding based on the low bid building cost will also be made in FFY90. However, no more than \$1 million will be awarded to PHH Bypass and Marine CSO projects.

For (SRF) loan awards: construction of new collection systems and appurtenances (category 4A), correction of CSO projects (category 5) and major sewer system rehabilitation (category 3B). As allowed by the Act, up to 20 percent of the State's FFY90 allotment may be used for these otherwise ineligible categories. In addition, since up to 20 percent of the FFY90 SRF allotment may be used for these categories, the 20 percent Governor's Discretionary Fund will be taken into consideration in determining the funding requirement of the SRF program.

- 6. The Innovative/Alternative project grant funding bonus will be awarded at the 20 percent level to provide I/A grant increases to cover low bid adjustments for the applicable portions of the project, raising the base grant award from 55 percent to a maximum of 75 percent grants. (10 or 20 percent Innovative/Alternative bonuses will be awarded for qualifying components of projects with 75 or 65 percent previous base grant awards, respectively.)
- 7. The Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System includes the same reserve fund programs as adopted under the Federal Fiscal Year 1989 System, including the: Grant Increase Reserve, State Management Assistance Grant Reserve, Innovative/Alternative Technology Bonus Reserve and the Reserve for Water Quality Management Planning (although the Advance of the Allowance to Small Communities Reserve will be deobligated within the year, if not during FFY89). These reserve fund programs, and the methodology to award funds from these reserves, are presented in Section VI of this document. As a result of changes in the federal and State funding programs, however, policies regarding certain of these reserves have been significantly revised. Specific details of these changes are also discussed within Section VI.
- 8. The grant increase policy is consistent with the policy as adopted in the FFY82-89 Systems. Grants awarded since FFY82 are limited to the low bid building cost (i.e., grants will be adjusted to the 65 or 55 percent level, in conformance with the applicable grant award level). Grants awarded prior to Federal Fiscal Year 1982 (at the 75 percent level) are eligible for

grant increases based upon the overall base grant amounts (i.e., the total of all previous awards, excluding any Innovative/Alternative bonuses). The amount of the increase will be equivalent to the amount necessary to increase the overall grant to 65 percent of the eligible costs. It should, however, be noted that grant increases for pre-FFY82 awards (i.e., 75 percent grants) and post-FFY82 awards may be further limited as a result of the Federal regulations, effective February 10, 1986, which establishes a five percent limit on allowable grant increase awards for each contract of a project.

As in past years, the Department will continue its policy to evaluate the progress of projects within the fundable range on a periodic basis. The Department is continuing to implement the project schedule deadline policy, requiring anticipated loan recipients to submit all planning documents by July 3, 1989, design documents by January 16, 1990 and a complete loan application package by March 1, 1990. A court-sanctioned or administrative order, if applicable, will be required at the time of submittal of the loan application. Where a project is not on schedule or does not meet the planning, design, or loan application submittal deadlines, the Department may defer the project's funding date to a future fiscal year and may award monies to a lower ranked project that is ready to proceed. that the Department intends to notify project sponsors by October 16, 1989 of their eligibility to continue into the design phase based upon the acceptability of the planning documentation submittal of July 3, 1989. Projects with unacceptable planning documentation submittals will be bypassed at this time. This policy is further discussed in Section V of this document.

An acceptable planning documentation submittal must consist of a complete project report, the appropriate environmental planning documentation for the level of environmental review determined applicable by the Department, complete cultural resources survey documentation, documentation of completed public participation activities, and the results of preliminary coordination activities with lead agencies regarding environmental and permit concerns. In addition, as part of the planning documentation submittal, proof of a final NJPDES permit or a Discharge Allocation Certificate for the project which the applicant is requesting funding assistance must be submitted. In this way, all final effluent conditions will be known and agreed upon at the time of the submittal of the planning documentation.

10. As required by the federal regulations, 20 year reserve capacity eligibility for new project segments will be limited to those projects that have received step 3 grants prior to October 1, 1984 for construction of a primary, secondary or advanced treatment facility or interceptors included in the facilities plan (or 40 year reserve capacity for remaining interceptors if

a grant for an interceptor has been awarded prior to December 29, 1981).

Grants for all other projects will be based on the capacity necessary to serve existing needs as of the date of the step 3 grant award or final plan and specification approval for 2 + 3 grants. It should be noted that all projects must be sized in accordance with the cost effectiveness analysis and, as a minimum, to accommodate not only existing needs but also the amount of reserve capacity which is determined to be cost effective over a 20 year planning period. As required by Environmental Protection Agency guidance, in no case will a federal grant be awarded for a project with less than 5 years reserve capacity. However, all incremental costs of reserve capacity beyond the needs existing on the date of federal grant award or final 2 + 3 approvals shall be borne by the grantee.

* * *

The Project Priority List is developed through consideration of a segment category which ranks projects in accordance with the water quality improvements that will be achieved considering the existing water quality conditions, and a discharge category which ranks projects on the basis of the degree of water pollution problems associated with the existing wastewater discharge. Both the segment category and the discharge category assign the highest point values to the most severe water quality conditions. The Project Priority List is developed by adding the segment category point scores to the discharge category point scores and ordering the projects according to total points, highest to lowest. Equivalent total scores are ranked in accordance with the population served by the particular project (i.e., the greater the population, the higher the rank). Each project has been subdivided into operable segments which are independently ranked for water quality impacts and discharge conditions.

The segment category assigns points to projects to reflect the existing water quality conditions that are impacted by each project segment. In addition, the segment category also assigns priorities, in descending order, to projects that adversely impact potable water supplies, primary recreation and shellfish areas, trout production and maintenance areas, public nuisances, nontrout areas, industrial and agricultural water uses. The water quality conditions assign highest priority to areas experiencing unsatisfactory dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform impacts, with lower values for elevated nutrients and toxic substances.

The discharge category assigns highest priority to primary discharges followed by routine raw sewage overflows from inadequate sewer systems, and then inadequate secondary discharges. Sludge treatment and disposal, and new wastewater systems have lower points, followed by projects to upgrade from adequate secondary treatment to advanced wastewater treatment. The lowest value is

assigned to infiltration/inflow (I/I) correction projects which do not result in direct water quality impacts and to correction of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) projects.

The Department has adjusted project costs identified on the List based on staff knowledge and experience of anticipated project costs. Justified revised costs submitted by project sponsors in response to the public hearings/public participation program will be included on the final Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority List.

The Department holds public hearings to ensure that municipalities are adequately notified of the changes to the State's Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program that impact their project(s), and to afford municipalities and the public the opportunity to provide specific comment regarding the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List proposal.

PRIORITY SYSTEM METHODOLOGY FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990

New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program

I. "Water Use/Water Quality" Segment Priorities

As in Federal Fiscal Year 1989, the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority System evaluates wastewater treatment projects individually for their anticipated impacts on existing and potential water uses in combination with present water quality conditions. All wastewater treatment projects eligible for financial assistance are included in this water use/water quality ranking. Each project which is known to affect the following water uses would receive the corresponding points:

| Existing Water Use | Points |
|--|-----------------|
| Public Potable Water Supply | 200 |
| Fishable | |
| Trout Nontrout Shellfish | 75 25 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 |
| Public Nuisance (On-site Systems Only) | 50 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 |

Points were assigned to a project on an all or nothing basis. For instance, whether a project affects a public water supply serving 2,000 or 200,000 people, it received 200 points. Water use determinations were based on available documentation and Departmental staff knowledge. Below are working definitions for each water use evaluated:

Public Potable Water Supply: Consists of existing and projected (based on the State Water Supply Master Plan) surface water, public and non-public community surface supply sources or intakes for water companies or municipalities.

- Fishable:

a. <u>Trout</u>: Includes bodies of fresh water of the State designated for trout production or maintenance by the NJ Water Quality Standards (N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.1 et seq.).

- b. <u>Nontrout</u>: All fresh water classifications of the State that are not designated for trout production or maintenance by the NJ Water Quality Standards, and including all Delaware River fresh water zones as defined above mile point 85 by the Delaware River Basin Commission.
- c. <u>Shellfish</u>: Those bodies of water in the State being monitored for shellfishing by the NJDEP and those waters designated as shellfish growing waters pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:12-1 et seq.
- Recreation (Primary Contact): Waters which contain bathing areas routinely monitored as a public bathing beach; also included is the Delaware upstream of Trenton as this body is widely used for primary recreation purposes.
- <u>Public Nuisance</u>: This category was included to deal with the problem of on-site systems (such as septic systems) where a direct water quality impact was not identified but where on-site failures have been reported.
- Agricultural Water Use: This includes surface waters known to be diverted for agricultural uses such as irrigation and farm ponds. Information was based on the issuance of a State Water Diversion Permit (any surface water diversion greater than 70 gallons per minute is required to have a State-issued permit). In addition, if a water course flows through an extensive agricultural area within which no diversion permits have been issued, then agricultural water use was assumed to be occurring.
- Industrial Water Use: Points were awarded to a project when surface waters were known to be used for industrial cooling and/or process purposes.

An evaluation of existing water quality conditions followed the water use determinations. Surface water quality of the streams within the project's service and planning area, along with receiving waters of a project's treatment plant discharge, were specifically reviewed. Four different water quality indicators (dissolved oxygen, nutrients, fecal coliform and toxics) were compared against the State's Water Quality Standards. Points were given for each indicator, on the basis of the frequency each exceeded its respective water quality standard. Higher points reflected poorer water quality conditions and were given in the following manner:

| <u>Parameter</u> | Meets Standards | Marginally <u>Meets Standards</u> | Does Not Meet Standards |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 |

The points established for the water quality indicators reflect the impact each has on meeting the State's goal to protect and enhance surface water resources, quality criteria and designated water uses. Also included in this determination is the magnitude of the contribution that municipal sewerage facilities have on each of the indicators. Dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform were given the highest points because of their direct impact on the fishable-swimmable water use, coupled with the fact that municipal treatment facilities have been shown to be a major cause of contravening these water quality standards.

Nutrients were given lower points since they may cause conditions that interfere with water uses, but the resulting conditions will usually not significantly effect the established water use. Though municipal treatment plants contribute a large amount of nutrients to the State's water bodies, non-point sources of pollution have also been found to contribute a significant amount of nutrients. Nutrients reflect the presence of phosphorus/phosphates and nitrates/nitrites in the water body. Points were given to nutrients only if the surface waters involved significantly impact the following:

- potable water reservoirs,
- surface water impoundments or lakes,
- public bathing areas, and
- shellfish growing waters.

Points for toxics indicate the relative magnitude of ammonia, metals, pesticides and organic chemicals in the water body. Toxics were also given the lower points since in most cases the significant contributions of toxic substances come from industrial and non-point sources and are therefore not significantly abated by municipal treatment facilities. In the case of the toxicity of ammonia, municipal facilities are usually the main source, but the most significant impact is associated with those streams designated for trout production/maintenance (a category which already receives a high number of points under the "water use" sub-ranking).

The segment points were not awarded to projects where an impact on water quality could not be identified. An example of such a project would be a sewer rehabilitation project which currently does not result in raw discharges and the treatment plant being affected is meeting required discharge limits.

II. Project Discharge Priorities

To assign discharge priority scores, each project was subdivided into distinct project segments. The individual segments received the discharge points based upon the existing water quality impacts associated with each segment. Therefore, a comprehensive project for upgrading an existing inadequate secondary discharge, upgrading a facility within the service area to provide advanced waste treatment and rehabilitating existing sewers would be listed as three separate segments with different discharge point scores assigned to each based upon the existing pollution conditions that would be alleviated.

The methodology involves evaluating the existing discharge conditions to determine which of the following categories most properly describe the existing situation, and are listed in order of priority. If a project fell into more than one category, the highest score, for the significant components of the project, was awarded (e.g., a project to construct regional conveyance and to upgrade a 10 MGD wastewater treatment system to correct an inadequate secondary discharge, which will result in the elimination of a 0.1 MGD primary plant, would be awarded 200 points).

- 1. Primary Treatment Discharges (500 points) - The purpose of the projects in this category is to eliminate existing raw and primary discharges. Projects in this category also include the wastewater treatment facility which provides the capacity for the elimination of these existing discharges and for sufficient reserve capacity, where eligible, based upon the cost effectiveness analysis developed in the facilities plan (in accordance with the federal requirements and the Clean Water Act) or project report (in accordance with the Project Report Requirements for New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program Projects) and sufficient conveyance facilities to eliminate the inadequate discharges and to make the system viable. Where additional flows/conveyance facilities are necessary for the proposed system to be cost effective and operable, these eligible facilities may also be included under the scope of the project.
- 2. I/I Correction Overflowing Sewers (250 points) This category of projects includes projects which will remove quantities of infiltration/inflow (I/I) which can economically be eliminated from the sewer system as determined in a cost effectiveness analysis that compares the cost of correcting the infiltration/inflow conditions to the total cost of transportation and treatment of the infiltration/inflow. The infiltration/inflow of these projects result in raw sewage discharge through intermittent hydraulic overloading of the conveyance system and have documented water quality impacts. Also included in this category are those projects which propose the construction of a new interceptor to relieve a hydraulically overloaded

system which results in a major discharge of raw or inadequately treated wastewater for transport directly to another interceptor or to a treatment plant.

3. Inadequate Secondary Treatment (200 points) - The projects in this category are to upgrade or eliminate existing wastewater treatment facility discharges that cannot meet secondary treatment standards. Included in this category are those facilities which due to process limitations are incapable of meeting secondary treatment standards. Also included in this category are wastewater treatment facilities which are incapable of meeting permit standards for secondary treatment due to intermittent hydraulic overloading. The hydraulic overloading which is a result of infiltration/inflow, and which, as a result of a cost effectiveness analysis that compares the cost of correcting the infiltration/inflow conditions to the total cost of transportation and treatment of the infiltration/inflow, has been determined to be more economical to transport and treat than to eliminate.

The projects have been segmented, where appropriate, such that they will only provide service for existing discharges plus adequate reserve capacity, where eligible, based upon the cost effectiveness analysis. Project segments for new service areas will be included in category 5. Exceptions will be made where additional flows (including flows as a result of the expansion of the existing service area) are necessary for the proposed system to be cost effective and operable. Under these circumstances, eligible conveyance systems may be included within the scope of the financial assistance agreement.

Sludge Treatment and Disposal Facilities (100 points) -- The projects in this category are for the construction of sludge treatment and disposal facilities. This includes projects to serve existing wastewater treatment facilities that received funding under the federal Construction Grants Program but where the sludge treatment/disposal facilities were segmented from the initially funded project(s). These sludge treatment/ disposal projects are for construction of costly ultimate disposal facilities which are approved under a 201 wastewater facilities plan but which were segmented from the previously funded wastewater treatment component of the project pursuant to the provisions of 40 CFR 35.2108(b)(1) -Phased or segmented treatment works, which allows segmenting of projects in cases where "the federal share of the cost of building the treatment works would require a disproportionate share of the State's annual allotment relative to other needs or would require a major portion of the State's annual allotment."

- 5. New Systems (50 points) This category of projects includes new conveyance systems, on-site management projects and new or expanded sewage treatment plants (including all appurtenances) for the primary purpose of providing service for new wastewater flows. This category also includes sludge (exclusive of those projects which qualify under 4. above) and septage treatment facilities, and segments of projects that were initially funded under other categories.
- Advanced (More Stringent) Wastewater Treatment Facilities (1 6. point) - This category includes projects to upgrade adequate secondary facilities to provide advanced wastewater The State is continuing to evaluate all treatment. discharge limitations that require advanced wastewater The benefits derived from upgrading facilities treatment. from secondary to advanced wastewater treatment levels are being carefully analyzed and compared to the costs associated with this type of project. Advanced wastewater treatment will only be required in those cases where the costs of advanced wastewater treatment can be justified by water quality improvements, including maintenance of existing water quality in accordance with the State's anti-degradation policy.
- 7. I/I Correction (1 point) This category includes all infiltration/inflow correction projects which will remove quantities of infiltration/inflow which can be economically eliminated from the sewer system as determined in a cost effectiveness analysis that compares the cost of correcting the infiltration/inflow conditions to the total cost for transportation and treatment of the infiltration/inflow. This category includes all other I/I correction projects for removing excessive system flows that are not included in category 2 or 3 above. Generally, these projects provide reduction in extraneous flows which are not directly associated with water quality benefits.
- 8. Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) projects (1 point) This category includes projects to correct combined sewer overflow conditions. The study of such projects is extremely complex, requiring comparisons of various treatment alternatives and the associated cost with benefits gained in terms of water quality improvements. It is recognized that combined sewer overflow correction projects may provide more water quality benefits than other more highly rated categories of projects. It is the intention of the State to readjust the priority of projects under this category as more information is gained about the water quality benefits associated with specific combined sewer overflow correction projects.

III. Population Difference

After the segment points and the discharge points were established for each project, many projects achieved identical scores. To further rank projects with identical scores, a population differential was used which assessed additional points based upon the population served by the project (i.e., the population of the service area divided by one million). Therefore, projects with identical scores were ranked in descending order and assigned a unit population difference between the initial score and the next highest score. It should be noted that application of the population differential did not cause any project to be ranked above a project with a higher initial score. In cases where both year-round and seasonal (peak) populations are given, the year-round population shall be used for ranking purposes.

IV. Project Priority List Methodology

The projects on the List are ordered according to their sum total of category points, highest to lowest, with tied scores ranked by greatest population served, to result in a final project priority ranking. Projects will be eligible to receive State loans in rank order provided project sponsors comply with the planning, design and application deadlines and requirements. Thus, these rankings reflect, in a comprehensive manner, overall State considerations, the appropriate inputs of national priorities and the EPA's Guidelines.

Listing on the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Project Priority List is the first prerequisite to be considered eligible to receive State loan assistance under the New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Treatment Financing Program for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities in SFY91. The applicant has the responsibility of submitting all the required application material in a timely manner. Additional information on the procedures for applying for a State loan is available from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element, CN-029, Trenton, New Jersey 08625.

V. Basis for Continuing Evaluation of Project Status/Project Bypassing

The Department will continuously evaluate the progress of projects on the Priority List within the fundable range. The primary purpose of this evaluation is to determine whether a project has made sufficient progress to receive monies within the fiscal year the project is scheduled to receive State funding. If it is determined that the project is not on schedule, the funding date may be deferred to a future fiscal year. In addition, the Clean Water Act and EPA's National Municipal Policy mandates that all wastewater facilities meet water quality standards by July 1, 1988. In lieu of a judicial or administrative order (as applicable) specifically allowing an extension to this deadline, funds for construction cannot be awarded to such NMP projects.

To effectuate the timely review and certification of projects, the Department requires that local government units listed or eligible for listing on the Project Priority List comply with the following:

- (1) Prior to the close of the comment period for the Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List, advise the Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element (in writing, with copies to Acting Assistant Director, Wastewater Facilities Management Element) of their commitment to meet the project document submittal deadlines identified in item 2 below.
- (2) In order to receive a State loan award in SFY91, all planning documents must be submitted to the Department by July 3, 1989; design documents by January 16, 1990 and a complete loan application package must be submitted to the Department by March Failure to meet these deadlines may preclude funding 1, 1990. award within the fiscal year, as the Department may, at its option, bypass the project. A court-sanctioned or administrative order, as applicable, specifying a compliance schedule, will be required at the time of submittal of the loan application. acceptable planning documentation submittal must consist of a complete project report, the appropriate environmental planning documentation for the level of environmental review determined applicable by the Department, complete cultural resources survey documentation, documentation of completed public participation activities, and the results of preliminary coordination activities with lead agencies regarding environmental and permit concerns. In addition, as part of the planning documentation submittal, proof of a final NJPDES permit or a Discharge Allocation Certificate for the project which the applicant is requesting funding assistance must be submitted. In this way, all final effluent conditions will be known and agreed upon at the time of the submittal of the planning documentation. Note that the Department intends to notify project sponsors by October 16, 1989 of their eligibility to continue in the design phase based upon the acceptability of the planning documentation submittal of July

3, 1989. Projects with unacceptable planning documentation submittals will be bypassed at this time.

The Department will review each project schedule as required. When it can be determined that a project may be deferred for funding to a future fiscal year, the applicant will be so notified. The submission of accurate project costs and schedules, therefore, will be a key factor in the Department's ability to maintain a viable priority list and funding schedule.

VI. Reserve Funds

In developing the Priority System, the State has established several reserve fund programs. Funds have been set aside for the following purposes:

A. Reserve for Grant Increases:

The Department developed criteria, adopted in the Federal Fiscal Years 1982 through 1989 Priority Systems, for funding grant increases for previously funded projects. The Department will continue to utilize these criteria, with minor changes as herein described, in the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 Priority System to determine eligible grant increase amounts. The Department has elected to reserve a minimum of approximately \$3.0 million from the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 grant allocation for grant increases for cost overruns for projects funded at the 75 percent base grant level and to meet the low bid construction cost for 65 and 55 percent base grant awards. As specifically directed by the Water Quality Act of 1987, the Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority is eligible for a 75 percent construction grant for treatment works with a total capacity of 1,050,000 gpd (including a module with treatment capacity of 350,000 gpd). The federal grant monies for Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority's project will be placed in New Jersey's grant increase reserve. Priority for projects receiving conventional fund grant increases will be based upon the date of the base grant award. For two or more projects which were awarded base grants on the same day, priority for increases will be based upon their rank in the fiscal year in which their grants were awarded. In cases where projects are due conventional or I/A fund grant increases as a result of an appeal, priority will be given based upon the date the determination of the appeal was given.

It is important to note that any requests to provide grant increases for funding based on the low bid building costs must be received by the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element by January 2, 1990 to be processed under the FFY90 Priority System. Any grant increase applications received after this deadline shall be processed in future fiscal years in conformance with the Priority System provisions in effect at that time.

1. For projects with an initial base award of 75 percent, the grant increase policy is as follows:

Grant increases for cost overruns (increased costs with no change in the scope of a project) for prior grant awards will receive additional grant monies when the overall grant amount falls below 65 percent of the overall eligible cost. The overall grant amount is the total of all 75 percent base grants awarded under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act

Amendments of 1972 (i.e., the base grants for step 1, step 2, and step 3 grants and grant increases, which excludes any I/A bonus awards). The overall eligible cost is the total of the eligible costs for all prior grant actions and the eligible cost of any pending cost overrun grant increases, excluding any I/A funding bonuses. The amount of the increase will be equivalent to the amount necessary to increase the overall grant to 65 percent of the overall eligible cost. If the overall grant amount is equal to or exceeds 65 percent of the overall eligible cost, no increase will be awarded. It should, however, be noted that grant increases for pre-Federal Fiscal Year 1982 awards (i.e., 75 percent grants) may be further limited as a result of the federal regulations, effective February 10, 1986, which establishes a five percent limit on allowable grant increase awards for each contract of a project.

Including all previously funded eligible costs in the calculation to determine the overall 65 percent increase will be inequitable for certain grantees. In general, regional systems only include the treatment systems and major conveyance facilities. Collection systems and rehabilitation work are normally constructed by the local municipality. For consistency, the eligible costs of collection and rehabilitation work will not be included in the overall eligible cost calculation where such work has been constructed by the treatment agency. Therefore, in developing the overall 65 percent increase entitlement, cost overruns will be separately considered for treatment and/or conveyance systems and for collection and/or rehabilitation projects.

The amount of any grant increases that will be awarded under the above criteria will be initially determined by the Department. Grantees that can receive additional monies will be so notified. An analysis of the overall grant amount and overall eligible costs will be included with the determination of the additional grant funds that can be offered. The grantee will be afforded an opportunity to review the determination and request appropriate revisions. Upon final determination of the amount of the additional increase monies, the grantee may request an increase, at the way 75 percent funding level, that is equivalent to the grant amount determined through the above criteria. Upon receipt of such request, the Department will certify the increase to EPA for funding. It should be noted that future eligible cost overruns can also be funded for grantees that are eligible for increase monies under this criteria.

Use of excess grant funds - general:

Budget line item changes will continue to be made with excess funds for awarded grants without affecting the funding levels. In addition to budget line item changes, grant monies can also be transferred between existing 75 percent step 3 grants where there are cost overruns in a grant for construction work with no increase in scope and excess monies in the sponsor's other 75 percent step 3 grant without affecting the funding level. However, such adjustments may be further limited as a result of the federal regulations, effective February 10, 1986, which establishes a five percent limit on allowable grant increases for each contract of a project.

2. The Department will fund grant increases for projects that received awards at the 65 percent initial base grant level as follows:

Grants will be adjusted as necessary to cover 65 percent of the low bid building costs and the associated eligible project costs (engineering and one percent administration/ legal/fiscal costs), but will specifically exclude funds for project contingencies and cost overruns. Monies available in the grant increase reserve will be used to award the base grant increases necessary to fund 65 percent of the low bid building costs and the associated eligible projects costs (consistent with the eligible categories of need at the time of the project's grant award). Any I/A bonuses that are due as a result of the low bid building cost adjustment will be provided from the I/A reserve. Monies needed for these purposes will necessarily reduce the amount available to fund additional projects during the fiscal year. All grants that have excess monies will be decreased to 65 percent of the low bid eligible project costs. This decrease will be done unilaterally by the Department if the grantee does not forward the required documentation within 30 days after receipt of the last bid. Further decreases will be made for any excess grant monies remaining if the final work in place is less than the grant amount adjusted as a result of the low bid building costs. Any monies that are deobligated will be placed within the grant increase reserve or be used to award funding to projects on the priority list during this or subsequent fiscal years. (Note: while the grant amount will be limited to the low bid building cost, budget line item changes may be approved within these grants where cost overruns may be covered by underruns in other cost categories to the extent that the remaining grant funds will In this case, administrative/legal/fiscal costs will not be limited to one percent of the final building cost but a greater eligible amount may be approved should sufficient funds remain in the grant and if sufficient justification and documentation for the higher eligible costs are

submitted and approved. However, these services, if not provided by the grantee's own staff, are subject to the federal procurement requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 33 (as of October 1, 1988, 40 CFR Part 31). It should also be noted that such adjustments may be further limited as a result of the federal regulations, effective February 10, 1986, which establishes a five percent limit on allowable grant increases for each contract of a project).

3. The Department will fund grant increases for projects that receive grant awards at the 55 percent initial base level as follows:

Grants will be adjusted as necessary to cover 55 percent of the low bid building costs and the associated eligible project costs (engineering and one percent administration/ legal/fiscal costs), but will specifically exclude funds for project contingencies and cost overruns. Monies available in the grant increase reserve will be used to award the base grant increases necessary to fund 55 percent of the low bid building costs (consistent with the eligible categories of need at the time of the project's grant award). bonuses that are due as a result of the low bid building cost adjustment will be provided from the I/A reserve. Monies needed for these purposes will necessarily reduce the amount available to fund additional projects during the fiscal year. Grant awards in excess of the low bid eligible project costs will be reduced to the 55 percent level. decrease will be done unilaterally by the Department if the grantee does not forward the required documentation within 30 days after receipt of the last bid. Further decreases will be made for any excess grant monies remaining if actual project costs are less than the grant amount adjusted as a result of the low bid building cost, to meet the 55 percent grant level. Any monies that are deobligated will be placed within the grant increase reserve or be used to award funding to projects on the priority list during this or subsequent fiscal years. (Note: while the grant amount will be limited to the low bid building cost, budget line item changes may be approved within these grants where cost overruns may be covered by underruns in other cost categories to the extent that the remaining grant funds will allow. In this case administrative/legal/fiscal costs will not be limited to one percent of the final building cost but a greater eligible amount may be approved should sufficient funds remain in the grant and if sufficient justification and documentation for the higher eligible costs are submitted and approved. However, these services, if not provided by the grantee's own staff, are subject to the federal procurement requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 33 (as of October 1, 1988, 40 CFR Part 31). It should also be noted that such adjustments may be further limited as a result of the federal regulations, effective February 10,

1986, which establishes a five percent limit on allowable grant increases for each contract of a project).

Where additional monies are necessary to fund increases in the scope of work for existing projects (that are not otherwise provided for under the excess funds policy discussed previously), such increased project scopes will be considered to be new projects. Additional project segments will be ranked on the priority list according to the priority system methodology. Any of the grant increase funds not utilized for the above purposes may be converted into SRF funds.

B. Reserve for the State Management Assistance Grant:

The Department has developed an agreement with EPA for delegation of the Construction Grants Program to the State of New Jersey. As provided for by the federal Act, four percent (4%) of New Jersey's share of the Act's authorization (estimated at approximately \$4 million) will be reserved to cover the costs of administering the Construction Grants Program and costs associated with administration for State Revolving Fund purposes. Any reserved funds not utilized for this purpose may be used to fund additional treatment works projects.

C. Reserve for Innovative and Alternative Technologies:

The Clean Water Act requires that not less than four percent (4%) nor more than seven and one-half percent (7.5) of the State's Title II monies be reserved for projects utilizing innovative or alternative technologies, and a minimum of one-half percent (1/2%) specifically for innovative projects. In consideration of the total funding needs in the State, a reserve of up to seven and one-half percent (7.5%) of the FFY90 Title II allotment may be reserved to award as bonuses to projects utilizing innovative or alternative technologies, with a minimum estimated at up to approximately \$0.24 million specifically for innovative projects.

Funds in the reserve for innovative and alternative technologies will be used as follows: 1) first, to provide grantee's with I/A bonuses (as applicable) for previously-funded projects that did not receive the full I/A bonus at the time of the original grant award, and 2) second, to provide bonuses where adjustments due to bid (for 55 or 65 percent grant awards) or grant increases for 75 percent base grant awards warrant additional I/A funds. Twenty percent bonuses will be awarded to 55 and 65 percent base grants, and ten percent bonuses will be awarded to 75 percent grant awards.

Any of the I/A reserve funds over the required minimum may be placed in the grant increase reserve to provide warranted grant increases for conventional technologies or converted into SRF funds.

D. Reserve for Advances of the Allowance to Small Communities:

The Municipal Wastewater Treatment Construction Grant Amendments of 1981 require the State to reserve a reasonable portion of its annual allotment, not to exceed ten percent (10%), for advances of the allowance for small communities. To promote alternative treatment projects and septage management districts in the State, the State established its reserve, and made it available to small communities on a readiness-to-proceed basis.

The amount available from FFY82-84 is \$334 thousand (no funds were reserved for this purpose in FFY85-89). No additional funds will be reserved from the FFY90 allocation. In view of the limited interest for these funds, the State intends to deobligate these monies in FFY90 (if not during FFY89) to provide warranted I/A bonus grant increases to previously funded federal grant projects.

E. Reserve for Water Quality Management:

The Municipal Wastewater Treatment Construction Grants Amendments of 1981 require the State to reserve no less than \$100,000 nor more than one percent (1%) of its annual allotment to carry out water quality management planning. Such planning activities include but are not limited to:

- Identification of the most cost-effective facilities and non-point source controls to meet water quality standards and to develop an implementation plan to obtain financial and regulatory commitments to construct facilities and implement non-point source measures;
- Determination of the nature, extent and causes of water quality problems;
- 3. Determination of those publicly owned treatment works which should be constructed, in which areas, and in what sequence;
- 4. Revisions to the State's Water Quality Standards.

Though many of these issues have been addressed in previous 303e and 208 planning efforts, a number of water quality issues in the State remain unresolved. The funds allocated to this reserve will be used to fund studies that directly impact the water quality management program.

The amount of this reserve will be one percent (1%) of the State's FFY90 allotment (approximately \$1 million). Funds reserved for water quality management planning will be lost to the State if not used for this purpose, unless released for other purposes as permitted under 40 CFR 35.2021(b).

F. Reserve for Non-Point Source Pollution Programs

The Administrator shall reserve each fiscal year for each state 1 percent of the sums allotted and available for obligation to such state or \$100,000, whichever is greater, for the purpose of establishing a non-point source pollution management program. Sums so reserved in a state in any fiscal year for which such state does not request the use of such funds to the extent such sums exceed \$100,000, may be used by such state for other purposes under the Act.

VII. General Information

1. Federal EPA Review

After conducting the public participation program, the Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List is submitted to EPA Region II, for review for procedural completeness. The Regional Administrator reviews the Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List for compliance with the enforceable requirements of the Act and the requirements of the Water Quality Act of 1987 and/or subsequently adopted federal regulations. The Regional Administrator then notifies the State of the acceptance or rejection of the Priority System and Project Priority List (which is required to be within 30 days of receipt from the State).

2. Public Participation Program

The Proposed Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List is forwarded to all municipalities, sewerage authorities, State legislators and other interested groups. The Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Priority List is the subject of public hearings held at least 30 days after the documents are available for public review.

As a result of the public hearings and public comments, appropriate changes to the Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List are made by the Department, and are fully discussed within the Priority System's responsiveness summary. Any significant revisions to the Project Priority List during the fiscal year will also be the subject of a public hearing. The Department will not, however, consider the following revisions to the Project Priority List to be significant:

- a. Bypassing (i.e., deferring funding of) a project to a future fiscal year if all requirements (including compliance with the project document submittal schedule) under the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program have not been satisfied on schedule;
- b. Increases or decreases in allowable project costs that may influence the fundable range of the Priority List;
- c. The addition of project(s) to the fundable range as a result of their qualifying under the Public Health Hazard Bypass criteria;
- d. The revision of the fundable range of the Priority List as a result of a change in the allocation that New Jersey receives and/or as a result of a revision to the total amount of monies made available from the Fund/Trust program;

e. The revision of the fundable range of the Priority List as a result of ensuring the proper dollar amount is obligated to eligible project categories included under the Clean Water Act's reauthorization.

3. Removal From List

A project may be removed from the Priority List if one or more of the following conditions are met:

- a. A financial assistance agreement for the project has been executed;
- b. The project is no longer entitled to funding under the program;
- c. The project is found to be ineligible for funding; or
- d. The project's authorized representative requests the project to be removed from the list.

4. Obligation of Funds

No funds allocated for a given federal fiscal year may be obligated for any project within that fiscal year until the Priority List for that allocation has been approved by the Regional Administrator.

5. State Water Quality Management Planning Process

The Priority System is consistent with the State Water Quality Management process. The segment ranking criteria reflects the priority water quality areas as defined by the Water Quality Management process and the discharge ranking reflects the priority pollution problems identified in the Water Quality Management process. All Water Quality Management plans have been certified to the Environmental Protection Agency prior to fiscal year 1981. All planning areas and population projections for the proposed projects will be fully consistent with the Water Quality Management plans. Where differences are justified, the Water Quality Management plans will be amended in accordance with the State procedures.

6. 100% Modification Replacement (M/R) for I/A Projects

Grant assistance may be awarded to fund 100 percent of the allowable costs of the modification or replacement of any project that received I/A grant funding if it can be demonstrated to Region II USEPA with the approval of the Department that:

a. The innovative or alternative elements of the project have caused the project or significant elements of the

complete waste treatment system of which the project is a part to fail to meet project performance standards;

- b. The failure has significantly increased operation and maintenance expenditures for the project or the complete waste treatment system of which the project is a part, or requires significant additional capital expenditures for corrective action;
- c. The failure has occurred prior to two years after initiation of operation of the project; and
- d. The failure is not attributable to negligence on the part of any person.

Any I/A project adequately demonstrating the above criteria and declared a failure by the Regional Administrator will receive high funding priority over other projects.

7. Public Health Hazard Bypass

Notwithstanding any other provision of the Priority System, in instances where project conditions are determined to constitute a public health hazard by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection in consultation with the Commissioner of Health, the project will receive high funding priority over other projects on the Priority List. However, it should be noted that consideration under this section does not exempt project sponsors from the Department-established deadlines and requirements under the State's loan program. A procedure for reviewing public health hazard bypass eligibility has been adopted as follows:

- a. To assist the Department in evaluating eligibility to be declared a "Public Health Hazard", the following substantiating documentation is to be submitted (note: information regarding each of the following items may not be available for all projects. Bypass applications should comprehensively address these items to the extent practicable, and may include any additional items not specifically listed herein.):
 - 1. Identification of the extent of the wastewater problems. Include a map and a numerical count of problem wastewater conditions. Describe each type of problem, show the percentage of homes for each type, and explain the probable causes of the wastewater problems. Records of previous attempts to correct the problems should be included, where available.
 - 2. Identification of the known incidences of sewage-borne disease in the problem area. List diseases, dates of

occurrence and the name and addresses of affected residents.

- 3. Identification of the number of wells that are contaminated in the problem area. List names and addresses of affected residents. Provide data for concluding that such contamination is the result of malfunctioning wastewater systems, and list the type of contamination (e.g., fecal coliform, nitrate, etc.). Provide data on the availability and/or feasibility of introducing public water supplies into the problem area.
- 4. Identification of the number of homes or other building structures that experience sewage back-up into the occupied areas of the structure. List names and addresses of affected residents. List the degree (duration, frequency, and severity) to which the back-up constitutes a public health hazard and the cause of each back-up situation.
- 5. Identification of the number of homes that experience outdoor surfacing problems. List the number of properties experiencing outdoor surfacing of sewage, the reason for the surfacing, and the degree (duration, frequency, and severity) to which the surfacing constitutes a public health hazard. Identify any surface water bodies being impacted and the type of contamination (e.g. fecal coliform, nitrate, etc.).
- 6. Identification of the number of homes that contribute to ponding of wastewater. List properties where partially or untreated wastewater has run off site and ponded or accumulated in proximity to inhabited areas and/or has resulted in the growth of significant populations of disease vectors (carriers) such that a severe public health nuisance exists.
- b. A preliminary review of all applications will be conducted by staff of the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element (MWAE) in order to screen applications that may not be eligible for funds under the NJ Wastewater Treatment Financing Program requirements or where documentation is inadequate to support the Public Health Hazard Bypass request. Project sponsors which submit documentation that is denied at this stage will be notified, in writing, by the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element, indicating the reasons for the denial. (Note: applications denied on the basis of inadequate documentation may be revised and resubmitted for further Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element review and action.)
- c. A Joint Committee of representatives from both the New Jersey Department of Health and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection has been established to review

documentation forwarded by the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element for the bypass provision.

- d. The Joint Committee will perform a field inspection of the problem area. Applicants will be notified in advance of the visit so that a representative may be present during the inspection.
- e. The Joint Committee shall report its findings from the supporting documentation and site inspection and make a recommendation to the Commissioners of the Departments of Health and Environmental Protection to make the final determination regarding bypass eligibility. The Department of Health may make separate determinations on mandatory or recommended actions to be taken immediately to protect the public health and welfare.

The Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element shall make determinations with respect to the conformance of proposed wastewater projects with the regulatory requirements of the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program regardless of eligibility for the health hazard bypass. No project will be certified or approved unless all requirements of federal and State capital funding programs are met, including development of the most cost effective, environmentally sound and implementable solution to the health hazard conditions. In addition, the priority bypass will only be applicable to those portions of the overall project that will eliminate the health hazard conditions.

As indicated in the Executive Summary of the Priority System, new collection systems and appurtenances (category 4A needs) required to correct public health hazard conditions are included in the Governor's Discretionary Fund for Federal Fiscal Year 1990 and are therefore eligible for State loans. However, in order to permit the Department to award loans to other highly ranked projects in the fundable range of the priority list in a timely manner (consistent with the target certification dates), funding of Public Health Hazard Bypass projects may otherwise be limited.

Requests for Public Health Hazard Bypass eligibility received prior to July 3, 1989 will receive high priority for staff review to permit processing of the bypass and loan award within the fiscal year. All requests should be submitted to:

Nicholas G. Binder, P.E., Assistant Director Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element NJ Department of Environmental Protection P.O. Box CN-029 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

INTENDED USE PLAN FOR NEW JERSEY'S FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 ALLOTMENT

New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program

The New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program is comprised of two elements - the traditional federal Construction Grants Program administered by the State on behalf of the USEPA and the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program (the combined Wastewater Treatment Fund and New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Program) administered by the State. The Wastewater Treatment Financing Program is further broken down into two components - (1) an SRF component comprised of the federal capitalization grants, the required 20% State match and any earnings therefrom and (2) a non-SRF component comprised of State-only monies generated from the Wastewater Treatment Bond Act The funding policies governing the award of grants in FFY90 have not changed significantly from previous years and are discussed in detail in the Priority System. This Intended Use Plan focuses on the portion of the FFY90 allotment which New Jersey plans to channel into the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program to provide loans for projects in SFY91. The Intended Use Plan includes information on the following: (a) the list of projects to be used for funding purposes, (b) the long and short term goals of the New Jersey Municipal Wastewater Assistance Program, (c) the programmatic activities to be supported with the anticipated funds, (d) the timing and use of such funds, (e) the criteria and method of distribution of the funds and (f) provisions for interim reporting (as required by the Regional Administrator at the time of capitalization grant award).

In order to fully understand New Jersey's plan, a brief history of the development of the Wastewater Financing Program is essential. November 1985, the New Jersey Electorate overwhelmingly approved a bond issue of \$190 million for the purpose of providing low interest loans to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities. As provided by the "Wastewater Treatment Bond Act of 1985" (P.L. 1985, c.329), \$40 million of the \$190 million is allocated for payment to and use by the "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust" and \$150 million to the Wastewater Treatment Fund in providing financial aid to local government units for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities. The "New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Act" (P.L. 1985, c.334) indicates that the list of projects to receive assistance from this program shall be developed in conformance with the federal Construction Grants ranking methodology. Therefore, those local government units whose wastewater treatment project is in need of financial (loan) assistance shall be required to have the project ranked and placed on the federal Project Priority List for FFY90. The list of projects eligible to receive New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program funding in SFY91 are those projects listed on the FFY90 Priority List.

The Wastewater Treatment Financing Program is comprised of the Wastewater Treatment Fund (the Fund), and the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust (the Trust), an innovative financing vehicle originally capitalized with \$40 million in State general obligation bonds, with the ability of "leveraging" these funds to increase the amount of available funding. The Fund, originally capitalized with \$150 million in State general obligation bond proceeds, will also utilize approximately \$85 million of the State's anticipated FFY90 allotment. The New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Act and the Wastewater Treatment Bond Act of 1985 together provide the legislative framework for this program. The New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust, a semiautonomous entity "in, but not of" the Department of Environmental Protection, to manage the financial policies of the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust program.

Subsequent to the passage of the above referenced bond act, the Department and the Trust promulgated regulations governing the expenditure of funds. The regulations for the Wastewater Treatment Fund and Trust, Procedures and Requirements and Determination of Allowable Costs (N.J.A.C. 7:22-3, 4 and 5), were developed in consideration of the implementing legislation and the anticipation that federal monies may be combined and included in this program. The regulations were adopted in the New Jersey Register on January 5, 1987. Additionally, project sponsors certified for funding must also comply with the Environmental Assessment Requirements for State Assisted Wastewater Treatment Facilities, N.J.A.C. 7:22-10.

The Department has established the following project document submittal schedule and requires that for SFY91 all local government units listed or eligible for listing on the FFY90 Project Priority List (for SFY91 loan funding) advise the Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element (in writing, with a copy to the Acting Assistant Director, Wastewater Facilities Management Element) by the close of the comment period for the Priority System/Intended Use Plan/Project Priority List proposal whether they will commit to the schedule below.

| Planning Documents | 7/3/89 |
|--------------------|---------|
| Design Documents | 1/16/90 |
| Loan Application | 3/1/90 |

An acceptable planning documentation submittal must consist of a complete project report, the appropriate environmental planning documentation for the level of environmental review determined applicable by the Department, complete cultural resources survey documentation, documentation of completed public participation activities, and the results of preliminary coordination activities with lead agencies regarding environmental and permit concerns. In addition, as part of the planning documentation submittal, proof of a final NJPDES permit or a Discharge Allocation Certificate for the project which the applicant is requesting funding assistance must be submitted. In this way, all final effluent conditions will be known

and agreed upon at the time of the submittal of the planning documentation. Note that the Department intends to notify project sponsors by October 16, 1989 of their eligibility to continue in the design phase based upon the acceptability of the planning documentation submittal of July 3, 1989. Projects with unacceptable planning documentation submittals will be bypassed at this time.

Failure of the local government units to commit in writing to the Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element to the established project document submittal schedule by the close of the comment period (April 14, 1989) shall result in that project being bypassed for funding in SFY91. Thus, the final Priority List for FFY90 to be adopted will reflect those projects whose sponsor has committed to the project document submittal schedule.

Wastewater treatment project categories eligible for assistance from the State Revolving Fund (SRF) may be limited since the SRF must use an amount equal to the federal capitalization grant for the following project categories: secondary treatment (including sludge treatment/disposal), advanced wastewater treatment, infiltration/inflow correction and new interceptors and appurtenances. However, given the Governor's 20% discretionary authority and since State monies in excess of the State match are anticipated to be made available in SFY91, project categories that would not be eligible under the federal requirements may be eligible under the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. These categories include collection systems, major sewer system rehabilitation, and correction of combined sewer overflow projects.

A recent amendment to Title VI of the Clean Water Act (passed within Congress' recent amendment to Title I of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act) requires the states of New York and New Jersey to reserve 10 percent of their grant payments and 10 percent of the states' contributions to their revolving funds to assist any governmental entity within these states (that has entered into compliance or enforcement agreements) for the purpose of identifying, developing and implementing alternatives to ocean dumping of sewage sludge. If the state receives insufficient applications (including, the project sponsors' commitments to meet submittal deadlines) for assistance for such projects within 6 months of receipt of its Title VI grant payment, the 10 percent reserve is released and is available for any SRF purpose. This reserve requirement is effective only during Federal Fiscal Year 1990 and 1991.

Other programmatic requirements imposed by the Water Quality Act of 1987 include:

1. The schedule of State capitalization grant payments shall be jointly agreed upon by the Administrator of USEPA and each state and shall be based upon the State's Intended Use Plan. States are required to deposit in the SRF, from state monies, an amount equal to at least 20 percent of the total amount of all capitalization grants which will be made to the state.

- 2. The fund created with federal capitalization grants shall be used to provide assistance for the construction of publicly owned treatment works, as well as for the implementation of a non-point source management program and the development and implementation of an estuary conservation and management plan. However, all funds in the SRF as a result of federal capitalization grants (i.e., capitalization grants, the State match, interest, and initial repayments from loans made from these grants, and leveraging proceeds, but not proceeds from State monies in excess of the match) must first be used to assure maintenance of progress towards compliance with the enforceable deadlines, goals and the requirements of the Clean Water Act.
- 3. Monies in the SRF may be used to provide loans at or below market interest rates, for terms not greater than 20 years (after completion of construction). Repayments must begin no later than 1 year after completion of the project and shall be credited to the SRF (principal and interest) for other projects. The recipient of a loan must establish a dedicated source of repayments. The Act also authorizes the use of federal SRF monies to refinance local debt obligations, provide guarantees or purchase insurance.

Additionally, the following is a listing of the major State wastewater treatment project funding policies.

- 4. Local government units are required to meet the technical and administrative provisions of the regulations of the Department and the Trust (N.J.A.C. 7:22-3.1, 4.1 and 5.1 et seq.). Disbursement and loan repayment requirements must also be consistent with the regulations. Additionally, project sponsors must comply with the Environmental Assessment Requirements for State Assisted Wastewater Treatment Facilities (N.J.A.C. 7:22-10.1 et seq.).
- 5. The recipient's loan assistance will be limited to the cost of the project with a capacity based upon flow records, existing documented unsewered needs and flows anticipated prior to the date of initiation of operation as established in the loan agreement (rather than limiting funding as of the date of grant award, as under the federal grant program). In no case, however, shall the allowable capacity for existing systems exceed 120 gallons per capita per day. Design flows of 70 gallons per capita per day plus a reasonable allowance for infiltration (100 gallons per day per inch diameter per mile of new sewer or less) or 75 gallons per capita per day, whichever is less, shall be allowable for existing unsewered needs and for collection systems being built between the date of the loan award and the date of initiation of operation.

For any project proposing reserve capacity in excess of that provided by this section, all incremental costs shall be paid by the recipient. Incremental costs include all costs which would not have been incurred but for the additional excess capacity

(that is, any cost in addition to the most cost effective alternative with allowable capacity as described previously).

- 6. Planning and design costs of the project are not eligible for loan awards from the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. However, an allowance to assist in defraying the planning and design costs shall be provided to a project as a percentage of the allowable building cost at the time of receipt of a loan for construction of the project.
- State loan funding for new project segments will be awarded in SFY91 for up to 100 percent of the allowable project costs to cover the low bid building cost (i.e., no cost overruns or contingencies), administrative/fiscal/legal costs (limited to one percent of the total low bid building cost), engineering costs, as well as the appropriate allowance. However, the initial loan amount is limited to the lesser of (1) the estimated eligible project cost identified on the State Priority List and as approved in the appropriation bills for that funding cycle, (2) the allowable project costs based on the engineer's estimate in the project sponsor's loan application package and (3) funding based on the low bid building cost. The adjustment to provide funding based on the low bid building cost (as applicable) shall be made only after all project-related contracts have been awarded. this time, the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program cannot commit to provide loan increases due to low bid adjustment. Consideration will be given to providing warranted loan increases due to low bid adjustment subject to the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust's approval and legislative approval in the form of an appropriations bill(s) providing such loan monies and depending upon the availability of subsequent federal capitalization grants to the State. As a result of this policy, any dollar changes in cost estimates for projects on the List may result in the Department requesting the local unit to provide a third party's concurrence on the cost change. Should cost underruns occur which result in loan funds remaining after the low bid building cost adjustment and the completion of construction, budget line item changes may be approved for allowable cost overruns. In this case, administrative/legal/fiscal costs will not be limited to one percent of the final building cost, but a greater amount could be approved should sufficient funds remain in the loan and if sufficient justification and documentation for the higher costs are submitted and approved.
- 8. Certain projects are eligible to receive pre-award approvals provided the requirements of the regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:22-3.32 and 4.32) are met. This is a significant difference from the federal grant program, since project sponsors may maintain the eligibility of project costs incurred prior to the execution of formal State loan agreements. However, to maintain the eligibility of such costs, project planning (including the appropriate environmental documentation), design and contract documents must be reviewed and approved by the Municipal

Wastewater Assistance Element (including authorization to advertise and award contracts for which reimbursement is sought) as well as securing all permits and approvals for the construction of the project. In addition, the receipt of State disbursements for costs incurred prior to the award of a State loan may not be fully disbursed upon award. As a direct result of federal policy, such costs can only be paid in equal amounts over the number of quarters over which the State can receive capitalization grant payments (which will typically be eight quarters).

It should also be noted that the State reserves the right to channel funds available from previous years' allotments through a capitalization grant award into its SRF.

- 9. Project sponsors should be aware that not less than 10 percent of the costs for construction, materials or services for a project must be awarded to small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (SEDs) as defined in sections 637(a) and 637(d)) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a) and (d), and any regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. The Department and the Trust have adopted the SED regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:22-9.1 et seq.), which identify the SED utilization requirements that project sponsors will have to meet.
- 10. In instances where the project conditions are determined to constitute a public health hazard by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection, in consultation with the Commissioner of the Department of Health, a project may receive funding priority over other projects on the Project Priority List.
- 11. Financial assistance will only be awarded to distinct project segments that will result, in itself, in an operable treatment works (i.e., not relying on award of funds for additional portions of the project).

In addition to the above, the Clean Water Act, and USEPA's
National Municipal Policy (NMP) mandates that all wastewater
facilities must meet water quality standards by July 1, 1988.

Financial assistance awards cannot be made to such NMP projects
unless a federal or state court-sanctioned order or State
administrative order (only accepted in certain cases) specifying a
compliance schedule beyond the July 1, 1988 date has been
established. The financial assistance may then be awarded, with
the project schedule in compliance with the order. A copy of the
judicial or administrative order will be required at the time of
submittal of the loan application.

12. The Clean Water Act requires that all funds in the SRF as a result of capitalization grants, including transferred construction grants funds (Section 205(m)), will first be used to assure maintenance of progress, as determined by the Governor of the State, towards compliance with enforceable deadlines, goals, and

requirements of the Act, including the municipal compliance deadline. Legislative history indicates that the enforceable requirements referred to here are only those pertaining to municipal treatment works, not those that may pertain to non-point sources. Enforceable requirements would include those associated with needs to maintain compliance, to replace failing septic systems, and for other nondischarging facilities such as land treatment systems. Municipal treatment works enforceable requirements include conditions or limitations of NJPDES permits, judicial orders, administrative orders, State orders that have been recognized by EPA as having the equivalent enforceability of orders issued under Section 309, any requirement which would be included in a currently unissued permit when that permit is issued, and where no permit applies, any requirement which the Regional Administrator determines is necessary for the best practicable waste treatment technology to meet applicable criteria.

Compliance with enforceable requirements means that all major and minor facilities in significant noncompliance have received funding or funding commitments or the State can demonstrate that any major or minor POTW in significant noncompliance which will not receive funds is on an enforceable schedule or enforcement action is underway. Once the enforceable requirements provision has been satisfied, the State may use its SRF funds resulting from capitalization grants for any other treatment works project on the State's Project Priority List, or for eligible programs or projects other than treatment works (Section 319 - non-point source control or Section 320 - national estuaries).

* * *

The short term goal of New Jersey's wastewater financing program is to provide funding to needed, high-ranked wastewater treatment facilities (most of which have been on the Priority List anticipating funds for years) to meet the enforceable requirements of the Clean Water Act. The long term goal of the program is to establish a sound, self-sufficient/self-perpetuating financing program to assist communities with the financial burden of providing costly wastewater treatment facilities to meet on-going water quality improvement/ maintenance needs of the State.

As a result of the differences in the State and federal fiscal years, New Jersey's Intended Use Plan proposal for receiving up to 100 percent of the Federal Fiscal Year 1990 allotment for State Revolving Fund purposes does not commence until State Fiscal Year 1991, as follows:

Oct 89 Jan 90 Apr 90 Jul 90 Oct 90 Jan 91 Apr 91 Jul 91
0 0 0 \$85 0 0 0 0
million

The federal monies identified above will be combined with State monies in an amount at least equal to 20 percent (approximately \$15 million total) of the federal capitalization grant. Those monies will be used to provide loans to municipalities for their high ranking wastewater treatment project(s) on the federal Fiscal Year 1989 Project Priority List at approximately one-half the market interest rate for up to 100 percent of the eligible project costs for a term of 20-23 years.

As provided for in the January 1988 Initial Guidance for State Revolving Funds," a state that has deposited monies in a dedicated revolving fund after March 7, 1985 and prior to receiving a capitalization grant, may credit these monies towards the match, binding commitments, and equivalency requirements." Since many of the projects certified by the Department in SFY88 and SFY89 qualify under the criteria to bank monies towards the specific requirements, at least a portion of the State monies may be requested to be qualified as "bankable" to satisfy the SRF's match, binding commitment and equivalency requirements.

In recognition that modifications to the provisions of this Intended Use Plan may occur, the Department may provide updates of the Plan to the USEPA (including updates of actual dates) once the first capitalization grant payment is made to the State. In addition to the above, an Actual Use Plan will be submitted to the USEPA in accordance with the requirements of the Water Quality Act of 1987 and Agency guidance.

NEW JERSEY MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM SCHEDULE

| DATE | ACTION |
|----------------------------------|---|
| July 3, 1989 | -Applicants submit all planning documents (i.e., project report) to DEP, including DAC or final NJPDES permit |
| on or before January 15, 1990 | -DEP/Trust submits list of projects (based on federal Priority System ranking methodology) to Legislature for forthcoming State fiscal year |
| January 16, 1990 | -Applicants submit all design documents to DEP |
| March 1, 1990 | -Applicants submit complete loan application to DEP/Trust |
| on or before April 1 | -Legislature approves appropriations bills for project funding |
| on or before May 15 | -Financial Plan for forthcoming State fiscal year submitted by DEP/Trust to Legislature |
| on or before July 1 | -Legislature acts on financial plan |
| July 3, 1990 | -DEP/Trust transmit draft loan agreements to qualifying applicants |
| August 15, 1990 | -Applicants commit to loan |
| September 15, 1990 | -Trust financial transactions complete |
| | -Execute loan agreements |

| • | |
|---|--|
| | • |
| | 921.8 |
| | |
| | |
| | • |
| | |
| | 1981 |
| | |
| | Marie T |
| | |
| | |
| | - |
| | |
| | www.gr.j. |
| | |
| | |
| | ≠ åy ∰yå |
| | - Milit |
| | No. No. Sp. |
| | ((Reb .) |
| | |
| | ₹'%: |
| | (100) -/ |
| | হল্ট - |
| | |
| | *** |
| | |
| | ent. |
| | *: /d f |
| | SOURCE S |
| | geng agains |
| | ent: |
| | |
| | · **** |
| | |
| | ·*** |
| | |
| | |
| | देशका |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | *inage: |
| | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |
| | |
| | |

PRIORITY LIST

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISUAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE

| 5 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) | | | | | | Total Eligible Project | Total State | Est St Cert | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|-------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Ra nk | Luan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | Cat 3A | | | Cat 4A | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 1 | 340440-03 FLEMINGTON, BOROUGH | | | | | 340 | * | | 400 | | BEYOND90 |
| 2 | MUSCONETCONG SA | 18,000 | 5,000 | | 10,000 | | | | 42,058 | | BEYOND90 |
| 3 | 340598-02 CAPE MAY POINT BOROU | | | | | 2,196 | | | 2,525 | | BEYOND90 |
| 4 | 340488-03 HOPATCONG BORDUGH | 1,141 | | | 2,213 | | 19,921 | | 23,933 | | BEYOND90 |
| 5 * | 340578-05 MANVILLE BOROUGH | | | 500 | | 1,216 | | | 2,099 | 2,099 | *** |
| 6 | 340638-04 BRIDGEWATER TWP. SA | | | 1,054 | | | | | 1,308 | | BEYOND90 |
| 7 | 340747-05 JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP | | | | 2,142 | | 12,780 | | 15,369 | | BEYONDO |
| a # | 340404-02 FASSAIC TWP | | 4,585 | | | | | | 5,517 | 5,517 | ** |
| 9 | 340781-04 RAKITAN BOROUGH | | | 337 | | | | , | 409 | | BEYOND90 |
| 10 # | 340548-03 ROXBURY TWP-LSH | | | | | | 9,377 | | | 10,800 | ** |
| 11 | 340541-03 MOUNT ARLINGTON BORD | UGH | | | 1,171 | | 9,369 | | 12,110 | | BEYOND90 |
| 12 * | 34C874-01 FHILLIPSBURG, TOWN O | | | | | 54 8 | | | 1,053 | 1,053 | T 900730 |

- NOTE * Project(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.
- NOTE ** Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

Ali costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabititation Cat 5 - Correction of Combined Sewer Overtions Cat 2 - Advanced Treatment Cat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction Cat 4B- New Interceptors & Appurtenances

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No | Eligible | Categol y | Lost Bre | akciown (| Total Bu | _ | osts) | Total Eligibl e Project | Total State | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Na IIK | Recipient | | | Lat 3A | Cat 4B | | | A Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 13 | 340712-03 BURLINGTON TOWNSHIP | 2,224 | | | 30-4-1 | | | | 2,666 | | BEYOND90 |
| 14 | 340794-03 DELRAN SA-RIVERSIDE | 1,739 | | | | | | | 2,170 | | BEYOND90 |
| 15 | 340763-04 Triboro-palhyra | 2,948 | | | | | | | 3,425 | · | BEYOND90 |
| 16 | 340763-05 TRIBORO-RIVERTON (RI | | , | | | ann ann an an an an | 1 00 -10 -10 aid oip oin pe dia | | 315 | | BEYOND90 |
| 17 * | 340810-02 LOWER TOWNSHIP MUA | 5,004 | | | | | | | 6,485 | | |
| 18 | 340835-01 GRADELL, BOROUGH OF | | | 204 | | | | | 259 | | BEYOND90 |
| 19 | 340518-02 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (| UGEH/MRR) | | | 2,632 | | | | 2,969 | | BEYOND90 |
| 20 * | 340701-04 WEST MILFORD MUA (CR | ESCENT PAK | 350 (K.) | | | | | | 455 | 455 | ** |
| 21 | 340418-03 DAKLAND, BOROUGH OF | 9,300 | | | | | | | 14,594 | | BEYOND90 |
| 22 | 340418-05 GANLAND, BOROUGH OF | | | | 5,950 | | 26,25 | o | 37,815 | | BEYOND90 |
| 23 | 340547-04 RAHWAY VALLEY SA | | | | | | | 3,873 | 4,454 | | BEYOND90 |
| 24 | 540699-03 KIDDLESEX COUNTY UA | 285,613 | | | | | | | 339,238 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE *> - Froject(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

Ali costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 ~ Secundary/Studge/Septage Treatment . Lat 2 ~ Advanced Treatment . Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction

Pat Mail Bwert Item Built Iron I 4 4AF TW Computer Approximance Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction

Cat 5 ~ Conjection of Combined Sewer Overflows

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT FRIORITY LIST

PAGE

3

| | | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | | | lding Cost | | Total Eligible Project | Total State | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|------------------------------|----------------|----------|--|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | | | | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date | |
| 25 | 340697-03 BAYSHORE REGIONAL SA | 23 ,45 2 A | | | | | | | 27,779 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 26 | 340868-01 ABERDEEN TOWNSHIP M | ua | 6,305 | | | | | | 7,530 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 27 | 340869-01 ABERDEEN TOWNSHIP M | | 2,58v | | | | | | 3,102 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 28 | 340495-03 SPARTA, TOWNSHIP OF | 1,470 | 637 | | | | | | 2,526 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 29 | 340652-02 NO BERGEN TWP | | | 112 | | | | | 135 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 30 | 340412-06 GCEAN TOWNSHIP SA | 9,827 | | | | | | | 11,687 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 31 | 34G747-02 JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP | 1,428 | | | | | | | 1,716 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 32 * | 540483-02 RINGWOOD BOROUGH SA | | 4,205 | | 1,122 | | 4,940 | | 10,866 | 10,866 | T 900730 | |
| 33 * | 340925-01 WRIGHTSTOWN | 4,300 | 2,050 | | | | | | 8,123 | -• | T 900730 | |
| 34 # | 340701-05 WEST MILFORD MUA (AL | WOSTING) | 400 | | | | | | 520 | 520 | ** | |
| 35 | 340720-03 MIDDLE TWP SA (AVALO | DN MANOR) | | | 82 | | 734 | | 834 | | BEYOND90 | |
| 36 ⊭ | 346708-07 CAMBEN COUNTY HUA-D: | | | | | | | 16,230 | 19,077 | 19,077 | T 900730 | |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No | Eligible | Category | Cost Brea | • | (Total Building Costs) Eligible To | | | Total | Est St Cert | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------|----------|
| ra IIK | Recipient | Cat 1 | | Cat 3A | | | Cat 4A | | Costs | Amount | |
| 37 | 340435-04 PERTH AMBOY, CITY OF | | | | | | | 25,786 | 29,654 | | BEYOND90 |
| 38 | 340299-04 LINDEN-ROSELLE SA | | | | 4,199 | | | 5,044 | 10,179 | | BEYOND90 |
| 39 | 340639-05 RIDGEWOOD, VILLAGE O | F | | | 1,520 | | | | 1,826 | | BEYONDO |
| 40 | 340437-02 New Brunswick, City | OF | | | | | | 2,324 | 2,673 | | BEYOND90 |
| 41 H | 346913-01 WARREN, TOWNSHIP OF | | 6,055 | | | | | | 7,002 | 7,002 | ** |
| 42 * | 340701-07 WEST MILFORD MUA (HI | GHVIEW) | 250 | | | | | | 325 | 325 | ** |
| 43 | 340716-02 LITTLE FALLS MUA | 11,333 | 3,815 | | | | | | 18,315 | | BEYONDO |
| 44 | 340503-03 BUBSEX COUNTY MUA (P | 4,080 OCHUCK) | 1,020 | | 3,320 | | | | 9,576 | | BEYOND90 |
| 45 | 340744-02 SUSSEX BOROUGH | | | | | 220 | | | 243 | | BEYOND90 |
| 46 | | 12,241 | | | 2,487 | | | | 17,482 | | BEYOND90 |
| 47 | 340405-03 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (| | | | 14,736 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 17,491 | | BEYOND90 |
| 48 | 540763-03 TRIBORO-CINNAMINSON | 11,221 | | | 1,627 | | | | 15,259 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Project(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Froject(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment That Give a properties a law for a Life law for a grant and a contract and the contract of the contract and the contract of th

7/24/89 STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

6.000

Total Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) Eligible Total Est Project State St Cert Rank Loan /Seq No Cat 2 Cat 3A Cat 4B Costs Amount Date Recipient 340794-04 1.234 3,366 5,255 BEYOND90 DELRAN SA-DELRAN 4,085 4.879 50 340474-03 BEYOND90 NEW PROVIDENCE, BOROUGH OF 34G388-03 18.524 20.707 20,707 51 # -HANDVER SA 340712-06 9,745 12,238 52 * 12,238 T 900730 **BURLINGTON CITY** 340403-02 14,281 17,275 17,275 T 900730 CHATHAM TOWNSHIP 340724-02 2,638 11.775 16.386 16,386 T 900730 MORRIS TOWNSHIP-WOODLAND 50 65 65 340701-08 -WEST MILFORD MUA (BIRCH HILL) 340529-04 17,482 T 900730 17.482 SOMERSET-RARITAN VALLEY SA 57 340B66-01 211 257 BEYOND90 SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TWP OF 340710-02 58 × 10,476 12,323 12,323 ** MAPLE SHADE TOWNSHIP

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satistactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

2,749

NOTE ** - Froject(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

MOORESTOWN TOWNSHIP

FEGUANNOCK, LINCOLN, FAIRFIELD

59 #

ó٥

340912-01

340354-03

Cat 1 — Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B— Major Sewer System Rehabilitation Cat 5 — Correction of Combined Sewer Overflows 7,979

PAGE

7.979 T 900730

BEYOND90

5

T-4-1

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | akdown (| Total Bui | lding Cost | ·s) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | | | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 61 | 340480-03 PEQUANNOCK TOWNSHIP | | | | 577 | | 5,197 | | 5,856 | | BEYOND90 |
| 62 × | 340723-02 HORRIS TOWNSHIP-BUTTE | | y , 750 | | | | | | | 21,260 | |
| 63 × | 34G636-03 POMPTON LAKES MUA | 7,349 | | | | | | | 9,500 | 9,500 | ** |
| 64 * | 340567-03 ALLENTOWN, BOROUGH OF | | ಎಟರ | | | | | | 2,745 | 2,745 | T 900730 |
| 6 5 | | 1,122 (BSTOWN) | 561 | | | | | | 1,923 | | BEYOND90 |
| 66 # | 340573-03 SUSSEX COUNTY MUA-LOW | 715 | 585 | | | | | | 1,557 | 1,557 | T 900730 |
| 67 | 340463-06 EVESHAN MUA (MEDFORD) | -, | 561 | 303 | 1,210 | | | | 4,129 | | BEYOND90 |
| 68 | 340480-05 FEQUANNOCK, TOWNSHIP | | | | 1,874 | | 268 | | 2,771 | | BEYOND90 |
| 69 | 340480-04 PEGUANNOCK, TOWNSHIF | OF | | | 1,000 | | | | 1,305 | | BEYOND90 |
| 70 # | 540578-03 KANVILLE BOROUGH | | | | 5,900 | | | | 7,257 | | T 900730 |
| 71 | 340805-02 MILFORD BOROUGH | 2,604 | | 450 | | | | | 3,431 | | BEYOND90 |
| 72 | 540526-04 GLOUCESTER CO UA (LOG | 8,752 | | | 2,244 | | **** | | 12,561 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 7

- . .

| | i ann (Con Ma | Eligible | Catego y | Cost Bre | ts) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------|--------|------------------------------|---|----------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Rank | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | | | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 73 * | 34G453-03 WARREN CO-PAULINSKI | 551 | 3H0 | | 844 | | | | 2,060 | 2,060 | T 900730 |
| 74 | 340372-11 OCEAN COUNTY UA | | | | 1,000 | | | | 1,340 | | BEYOND90 |
| 75 | 340747-04 JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP | (ROCKAWAY) | | | 1,136 | | 3,796 | | 5,162 | | BEYONDO |
| 76 | 340372-13 GCEAN COUNTY UA | | | | 4,048 | | | | 4,951 | | BEYOND90 |
| 77 | 346832-01 HOWELL TWP MUA | | | | 443 | | 3,992 | | 4,547 | | BEYOND90 |
| 78 | 340761-02 ROOSEVELT, BOROUGH | 422 OF | | . i9 | | | | | 642 | | BEYOND90 |
| 79 × | 340816-01 BERNARDSVILLE BOROL | 3,925 JGH | 75 | | | | | | 4,939 | | ** |
| 30 × | 340927-01 HAMMONTON, TOWNSHIF | OF | ٧,٥٥٥ | | | | | | | | T 900730 |
| 81 | 340684-06 NORTHEAST MONMOUTH | 4,293 RSA | | | | | | | 5,126 | | BEYOND90 |
| a 2 | 340336-03 LONG BRANCH SA | 644 | | | 481 | | | | 1,354 | | BEYOND90 |
| 83 | 340386-04 BERGEN COUNTY UA | | 68,56 7 | | | | e com angle allata allag pippe dario make primi kalib angle i | | 84,098 | | BEYOND90 |
| 84 | 540768-03 BERGEN COUNTY UA | | | | | | Main care. Pris Male care care over 1 Mil cally place of | 12,948 | 14,891 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 70 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ## - Froject(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment

Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation Cat 5 - Correction of Combined Sewer Over Lows

6TATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT FRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seg No | Eligible (| - | | | | Lding Cost | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est 8t Cert |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| | | Cat 1 | | | | | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 85 | 346399-20 HUDSON COUNTY UA(N.B. | 6,851 -CENTRAL) | | | 2,779 | 1,159 | 831 | 14,511 | | BEYOND90 |
| 86 * | 340336-04 LONG BRANCH SA | | | 11,060 | | | | | 12,500 | T 900730 |
| 8 7 | 340463-03 EVESHAN NUA (ELNWOOD) | 2,040 | | | 3,835 | | | | | BEYOND9 (|
| 88 | 340399-19 HUDGON COUNTY UA (SEC | 2,579 (AUCUS) | 6,017 | 1,054 | | | | 12,350 | | BEYOND90 |
| 89 * | 340706-03 - WASHINGTON, BORDUGH O | OF . | 8,389 | | | | | 9,857 | | T 900730 |
| 90 × | 340701-06 WEST MILFORD MUA (OLD | | 2 5 0 | | | | | 325 | 325 | ** |
| 91 | 340409-04 MOUNT LAUREL TWP MUA | | 675 | | 1,122 | | | 12,305 | | BEYOND90 |
| 92 | 540898-01 HAMILTON TOWNSHIP | | 8,095 | | | | | 9,562 | | BEYOND90 |
| 93 | 340526-05 GLOUCESTER CO UA (MUL | 281 | 166 | | | | | 513 | | BEYOND90 |
| 94 | 540822-02 FEAFACK & GLADSTONE E | | 225 | | | | | 2,539 | | BEYOND90 |
| 95 ₩ | 340921-01 MILLVILLE, CITY OF | | 7,000 | | | | | 9,046 | | T 900730 |
| 76 | 340463-04 EVESHAM MUA (MEDFORD | 4,825 TWP) | 1,122 | 1,234 | 3,041 | | | 11,677 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Froject(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

 7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

ersey Page 9

| | (Can No | Eligible | Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) | | | | | | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---|-----|-------|---------|--------|--------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | | | | Cat 4A | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 97 | 340928-01 HUDSON COUNTY UA/JEF | | ±A. | | | 207,390 | | 72,053 | 334,743 | | BEYOND90 |
| 98 | 340399-09 HUDGON COUNTY UA (HC | | | | | | | 10,846 | 12,473 | | BEYOND90 |
| 99 | 34G891-01 WESTERN MONMOUTH UA | | 264 | | | | | | 345 | | BEYOND90 |
| 100 | 340795-02 FAIRLAWN, BOROUGH OF | . | | | 3,252 | | | | 3,889 | | BEYOND90 |
| 101 | 340463-05 EVESHAM MUA (WOODSTE | 2,550 REAM) | 52 | 102 | 2,713 | | | | 6,253 | | BEYOND90 |
| 102 * | | 4,743 | | | 2,635 | | | | 9,056 | 9,056 | T 900730 |
| 103 | 346639-03 RIDGEWOOD, VILLAGE (| OF . | 4,590 | | | | | | 5,480 | | BEYOND90 |
| 104 # | 340692-03 WOOD-RIDGE BOROUGH/I | | 4,784 | | | | | | 5,526 | 5,526 | T 900730 |
| 105 | 340443-04 EDGEWATER, BOROUGH (| | | | | | | 111 | 127 | | BEYOND90 |
| 106 | 340326-07 SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH | OF | | | 3,527 | | | | 4,218 | | BEYOND90 |
| 107 | 340738-03 WYCKOFF, TOWNSHIP OF | . | | | 1,122 | | 10,098 | | 11,898 | | BEYOND90 |
| 108 | 540430-02 FASSAIC VALLEY SC | | | | | | | 79,793 | 91,762 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE will Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Froject Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| | lava (Sma Na | Eligible | Category | COST Bre | akdown (| | ilding Cos | | Total Eligible Project | Total State | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|------------|--------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | | Cat 3A | | | Cat 4A | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 109 | 340926-01 PATERSON, CITY OF | | | | | | | 1,000 | 1,204 | | BEYOND90 |
| 110 | 340399-08 HUDSON COUNTY UA (BA | | | | | | | 11,510 | 13,236 | | BEYOND90 |
| 111 | 340628-03 WOODSTOWN SA | 1,925 | 159 | | | | | | 2,498 | | BEYOND90 |
| 112 * | 340915-01 HIGHTSTOWN, BOROUGH | OF | 4,000 | | | | | | 4,994 | 4,994 | T 900730 |
| 113 | 340917-01 DELAWARE TOWNSHIP MU | | 900 | | | 300 | | | 1,386 | | BEYOND90 |
| 114 | 34G700-03 NORTHWEST BERGEN CO | 6,746 | 19,710 | 4,636 | 1,581 | | | | 35,023 | | BEYOND90 |
| 115 | 340885-01 HOPEWELL TOWNSHIP MU | 015 A | | | | | | | 1,172 | | BEYOND90 |
| 116 | 34G652-01 NO BERGEN TWP | | | | 1,745 | | 1,002 | 169 | | | |
| 117 | 340823-01 GROUGH OF | | | | 83 | | 744 | | 838 | | BEYOND90 |
| 118 * | 340923-01 HACKENSACK, CITY OF | | | | | | | 1,700 | 2,118 | | T 900730 |
| 119 * | 340924-01 CLINTON, TOWN OF | | B,470 | | | | | | 10,017 | 10,017 | T 900730 |
| 126 | 340683-05 PASSAIC VALLEY SC | 105,400 | | | | | | | 125,698 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Project(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

| | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) | | | | | | | | | Total Eligible | Total | |
|-------|----------------------------------|---|-------|--|-------|--|----|------|--|--|-------------------|--------|----------|
| Rank | | Cat 1 | | | | | | | | | Froject Costs | Amount | |
| 121 | 340518-03 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA | 5,270 (UGEH) | | | 5,611 | | | | | | 12,933 | | BEYOND90 |
| 122 * | | 50,000 | | | | | | | | | | | T 900730 |
| 123 * | | 52,700 | | | | | | | | | 62,713 | - | T 900730 |
| 124 | 340887-01 STONY BROOK REGIONA | 3,689 L 8.A. | | | | | | | | | 4,491 | | BEYOND90 |
| 125 * | | 14,465 OARD OF FRE | EHOLD | | | | | | | | 17,113 | 17,113 | T 900730 |
| 126 | 340687-05 BERGEN COUNTY UA | 29,802 | | | | | | | | | 34,530 | | BEYOND90 |
| 127 | 34G686-03 JT MEETING-ESSEX & | 34,618 UNION | | | | | | | | | 40,938 | | BEYOND90 |
| 128 | 340399-15 HUDSON COUNTY UA | 15,603 | | | | | | | | | 18,515 | | BEYOND90 |
| 129 | 34G900-01 HUDSON COUNTY UA (A | REA I) | | | | | 21 | ,091 | | | 24,181 | | BEYOND90 |
| 130 | 340405-04 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA | 3,952 | | | | | | | | | 4,722 | | BEYOND90 |
| 131 * | | 3,356 | | | | | | | | | 3,879 | | T 900730 |
| 132 | 340821-03 ROCKAWAY VALLEY REG | 12,531 SA | | | | | | | | | 14,315 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 FROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra mk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) | | | | | | | | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | | | | Cat Se | Cat 4B | Cat 3 | B Cat | 1 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 133 * | 340878-01 SOMERSET RARITAN VAL | 13,620 LEY SA | | | | | | | | 19,716 | 19,716 | T 900730 |
| 134 | 34C684-04 NORTHEAST MONHOUTH C | 6,851 DUNTY RSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | 340390-05 WANAQUE VALLEY REG S | 2,189 A | | | | | | | | 2,501 | | BEYOND90 |
| 136 | 340886-01 PARSIPPANY-TROY HILL | 1,001 S TWP | | | | | | | | 1,198 | | BEYOND90 |
| 137 + | | 13,590 | | | | | | | | 16,446 | 16,446 | T 900730 |
| 138 | 340775-03 WESTERN MONMOUTH UA | 1,527 | | | | | | | | 1,834 | | BEYOND90 |
| 139 | 340661-06 CAPE MAY COUNTY MUA | 9,457 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140 * | 340365-06 WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF | | | | | | | 740 | | 919 | 919 | T 900730 |
| 141 | 340607-03 N. BURLINGTON CO RSA | 3,264 (SOUTH) | | | 2,754 | | 5, | 304 | | 12,179 | | BEYOND90 |
| 142 | 340820-03 LONG BRANCH SA | 2,824 | | | | | | | | 3,380 | | BEYOND90 |
| 143 | 540819-02 KT HOLLY SA | 3,920 | | | | | | | | 4,683 | | BEYOND90 |
| 144 | 340895-02 WINSLOW TWP | | | | 2,108 | | | 316 | | 2,912 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cal 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 38- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

Lat 2 - Advanced Treatment Lat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances

Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction Cat 4B- New Interceptors & Appurtenances a 「雅口雀 ipperiess parofs proins prevent perions a first a fine a

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEBERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Total Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) Eligible Total Est Loan /Seg No Project 8t Cert Rank Cat 4B Cat 3B Cat 4A Cat 5 Recipient Cat 2 Cat JA Date 250 450 881 T 900730 145 # WEST MILFORD TWP MUA 281 340526-03 1,295 **561** BEYOND90 146 GLOUCESTER CO UA (MONROE) 346897-01 894 147 1,121 BEYOND90 SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TWP, OF 148 # 340B10-04 2,310 3,212 3,212 T 900730 LOWER, TOWNSHIP OF 6.990 340478-05 5,549 1,087 14,897 BEYOND90 149 ROCKAHAY TOWNSHIP 340810-03 2,108 2,595 BEYOND90 150 LOWER TOWNSHIP MUA 151 340875-01 116 1,043 1.176 BEYOND90 VOORHEES TOWNSHIP 527 BEYOND90 152 346883-01 ASBURY PARK, CITY OF 340811-03 3.897 153 BEYOND90 BURLINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF FREEHOLDERS 154 340650-03 687 6,185 7.014 BEYOND90 KANCHESTER TWP MUA 340467-04 2.024 MONTVILLE TWP MUA

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

1.473

NOTE ** - Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

340382-02

BERNARDS TWP SA

156

2.390

4.211

PAGE

13

BEYOND90

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| D4: | 1 - 10 - 10 - No | Eligible Catego | ry Cost Bre | ts) | Total Eligible Evaluat | Total | Est St Cert | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 Cat | 2 Cat JA | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Project Costs | Amount | |
| 157 | 340545-03 GLASSBORO, BOROUGH O | F | | 2,277 | | 569 | | 3,297 | | BEYOND90 |
| 158 | 340905-01 RINGWOOD BOROUGH 8.A | 6,324 | | 1,265 | | 3,162 | | 12,137 | | BEYOND90 |
| 159 | 340745-02 VERNON THP | 5,050 | | | | | | 6,025 | | BEYOND90 |
| 160 | 340919-01 HOLMDEL TOWNSHIP | | | | | 1,933 | | 2,407 | | BEYOND90 |
| 161 | 346434-02 WANAQUE BOROUGH | | | 104 | | 108 | | 226 | | BEYOND90 |
| 162 | 340461-04 GLOUCESTER COUNTY UA | (FRANKLIN TWF) | | 4,216 | | 1,265 | | 6,300 | | BEYOND90 |
| 163 | 340592-03 MAHWAH TOWNSHIP | | | 598 | | 5,386 | | 6,109 | | BEYOND90 |
| 164 | 340526-12 GLOUCESTER CO UA (MA | | | 454 | | 842 | | 1,361 | | BEYOND90 |
| 165 | 340403-04 Chatham Township | | | 2,040 | | 1,326 | | 3,772 | | BEYOND90 |
| 166 | 340372-10 GCEAN COUNTY UA | | | 1,134 | | | | 1,406 | | BEYOND90 |
| 167 | 340634-03 BLOOMINGDALE BOROUGH | | | 250 | | 2,592 | | 3,033 | | BEYOND90 |
| 168 | 340760-03 WANTAGE TWP | 393 | | | | | · - · · | 476 | | BEYOND90 |

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 15

| D | Luan /Seq No Recipient | Eligible Cate | gory Cost Breakdown (| Total Eligible Evologe | Total | Est St Cert | | |
|------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------|----------|
| Rank | | | t 2 Cat 3A Cat 4B | | | Project Costs | Amount | Date |
| 169 | 340879-01 STAFFORD MUA | 943 | 843 | | | 1,860 | | BEYOND90 |
| 170 | 340372-16 OCEAN COUNTY UA | | 8,702 | | | 10,569 | | BEYOND90 |
| 171 | 340852-02 BRANCHBURG, TOWNSH | IIP OF | 49 | 442 | | 565 | | BEYOND90 |
| 172 | 340909-01 UPPER SADDLE RIVER | | 99 | 892 | | 1,201 | | BEYOND90 |
| 173 | 340569-02 Byram Township | 340 | | | | 677 | | BEYOND90 |
| 174 | 34G4O4-O5 PASSAIC TWP | | 1,122 | 10,099 | | 11,449 | | BEYOND90 |
| 175 | 340607-04 N. BURLINGTON CO F | | 5,040 | | | 6,013 | | BEYOND90 |
| 176 | 340537-03 MT DLIVE/WASH TWP | | 15,398 | 6,120 | | 30,074 | | BEYOND90 |
| 177 | 340705-03 CARLSTADT SA | | 374 | 3,652 | | 4,290 | | BEYOND90 |
| 178 | 340737-01 MAHWAH, TOWNSHIP (| 158 | | | | 193 | | BEYOND90 |
| 179 | 340759-02 SUSSEX CO-BRANCHV | 2,213 [LLE/FRANKFORD | | | | 2,556 | | BEYOND90 |
| 180 | 340876-01 CHESTER, BORUUGH (| 949 DF | | | | 1,179 | | BEYOND90 |

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert | | | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|--|--------|--------|----------|
| | | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | Cat 3A | Cat 4B | | | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 181 | 340489-03 WHARTON BOROUGH | | | | 42 | | 379 | | 501 | | BEYOND90 |
| 182 | 340790-02 BERLIN TOWNSHIP | | | | 863 | | 8,769 | | 10,582 | + | BEYOND90 |
| 183 | 340742-02 FRANKFORD TUP | | | | 196 | | 1,767 | | 2,007 | | BEYOND90 |
| 184 | 340857-01 ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS, | BOROUGH OF | | | | 91 | 7 397 | | 1,643 | | BEYOND90 |
| 185 * | 340568-02 BLAIRSTOWN TWP | | | | | | 1,010 | | 1,226 | 1,226 | T 900730 |
| 186 | 340466-03 DENVILLE TOWNSHIP | | | | 1,439 | | 12,737 | | 14,380 | | BEYOND90 |
| 187 | 340648-03 HOPEWELL TOWNSHIF M | 5,461 WA | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 * | 340632-04 RANDOLPH TOWNSHIP M | LIA | | | | | 2,936 | | 3,670 | 3,670 | T 900730 |
| 189 | 343880-01 PEQUANNOCK, LINCOLN | 4,427 , FAIRFIELD | 1 | | | | | | 5,452 | | BEYOND90 |
| 190 | 340498-01 MINE HILL TOWNSHIP | | | | 290 | | 2,838 | | 3,190 | | BEYOND90 |
| 191 | 340860-01 EGG HARBOR TOWNSHIP | | | | 830 | | 3,652 | | 4,685 | | BEYOND90 |
| 192 | 340789-03 EAST BRUNSWICK SA | 1,782 | | | | | | | 2,035 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 17

| • | | Eligible | Category | Cost Brea | 15) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------|
| Rank | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | | Cat 3A | Cat 4B | Cat 3E | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Project Costs | Amount | |
| 193 | 340372-14 OCEAN COUNTY UA | ****** | | | 731 | | | | 910 | | BEYOND90 |
| 194 | 340729-01 RIVERDALE, BOROUGH D | F | | | 112 | | 1,161 | | 1,305 | | BEYOND90 |
| 195 | 340473-01 RIVERDALE, BOROUGH O | F | | | 3,265 | | | | 4,002 | | BEYOND90 |
| 196 | 340592-06 MAHWAH, TOWNSHIP OF | | | | 449 | | 4,039 | | 4,583 | | BEYOND90 |
| 197 * | 340778-03 WEST PATERSON, BOROU | GH OF | | | | | 1,437 | | 1,865 | 1,865 | T 900730 |
| 198 | 340838-01 EVESHAM TWP MUA | 2,747 | | | | | | | 3,288 | | BEYOND90 |
| 199 | 340622-04 WALL TOWNSHIP (MANAS | | | | 688 | | 6,193 | | 7,024 | | BEYOND90 |
| 200 | 340487-02 KINNELON BOROUGH | | | | 673 | | 6,948 | | 8,107 | | BEYOND90 |
| 201 | 340872-01 HOPE TOWNSHIP | 356 | | | | | | | 449 | | BEYOND90 |
| 202 | 340638-03 BRIIGEWATER TWP | | | | 133 | 52 | 1,482 | | 1,686 | | BEYOND90 |
| 203 | 340899-01 BEACHWOOD SEWERAGE A | UTHORITY | | | 211 | | 1,897 | | 2,595 | | BEYOND90 |
| 204 | 340754-03 MIDDLE TWP(RIO GRAND | E) | | | i,054 | | 5,481 | | 6,761 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Project(s) indicating anticipated compriance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 38- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | akdown (| Total Bui | lding Cost | ts) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | | | Cat JA | | | | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 205 | 340624-05 WEST CAPE MAY, BOROU | 407 | | | | | | | 465 | | BEYOND90 |
| 206 | 340485-04 RARITAN TOWNSHIP MUA | | | | | | | | 7,993 | | BEYOND90 |
| 207 | 340619-03 UPPER TWP (STRATHMER | Œ) | | | 171 | | 1,536 | | 1,753 | | BEYOND90 |
| 208 | 340740-02 BRANCHVILLE BOROUGH | | | | 223 | | 2,005 | | 2,263 | | BEYOND90 |
| 209 | 34G892-01 GALLOWAY TWP | | | | 2,108 | | 1,581 | | 4,176 | | BEYOND90 |
| 210 | 340691-03 MIDDLE TWP (DEL HAVE | (N) | | | 422 | | 2,530 | | 3,040 | | BEYOND90 |
| 211 | 340750-03 OCEAN TWP SA | | | | 232 | | 2,087 | | 2,369 | | BEYOND90 |
| 212 | 340831-01 SHAMONG TWP | 1,988 | | | | | | | 2,385 | | BEYOND90 |
| 213 | 340836-01 PLAINSBORD, TWP OF | | | | 153 | | 1,377 | | 1,572 | | BEYOND90 |
| 214 * | 340839-01 FRANKLIN TWP SA | | | | 610 | | 544 | | 1,444 | | T 900730 |
| 215 | 340918-01 CLAYTON SA/SILVER LA | NE | | | | | 490 | | 620 | | BEYOND90 |
| 216 | 340870-01 FENNGVILLE, TOWNSHIP | | | | | | 1,581 | | 1,818 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- hajor Sewer System Rehabilitation

Cat 3B- hajor Sewer System Rehabitication Cat 46-

Lat 2 = Advanced Treatment
Lat 46= New Collectors & Appurtenances

Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction
Cat 4B- New Interceptors & Appurtenances

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 19

| | 1 | | | | | | lding Cost | | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Rank | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | Cat JA | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 217 | 340833-01 BUENA BOROUGH MUA | 509 | | | | | | | 582 | | BEYOND90 |
| 218 | 340629-02 EVESHAM TWP-PINE GRO | 840 WE | | | | | | | 960 | | BEYOND90 |
| 219 | 340918-02 CLAYTON SA/HILLSIDE | | | | 556 | | 94 | | 819 | | BEYOND90 |
| 220 | 340594-02 LINCOLN PARK BOROUGH | | | | 319 | | 2,870 | | 3,234 | | BEYOND90 |
| 221 | 340781-03 KARITAN BOROUGH | 408 | | | 408 | | | | 902 | | BEYOND90 |
| 222 | 340624-04 WEST CAPE MAY, BORDL | JGH OF | | | | | 365 | | 443 | | BEYOND90 |
| 223 | 340433-08 WODDBRIDGE, TOWNSHIF | OF | | | | | 25 | | 30 | | BEYOND90 |
| 224 | 340680-05 MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA | 1,018 | | | | | | | 1,163 | | BEYOND90 |
| 225 | | 1,102 | | | | | | | 1,259 | | BEYOND90 |
| 226 | 340806-03 FARSIPPANY-TROY HILL | 246 .S TWP | | | | | | | 281 | | BEYOND90 |
| 227 | 340809-02 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (| 923 (CSTL.) | | | | | | | 1,054 | | BEYOND90 |
| 228 | 340902-01 GLOUCESTER COUNTY UA | 3,723 | | | | | | | 4,449 | | BEYOND90 |

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT FRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Loan /See No | | | | | | ilding Cos | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----|--------|-------|-----|------------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | | | | | | Cat 4A | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 229 | 340801-03 SOMERSET-RARITAN VAL | 1,054 LEY SA | | | | | | 1,258 | | BEYOND90 |
| 230 | 340804-03 SALEM COUNTY BD OF C | 5,162 | | | | | | 6,158 | | BEYOND90 |
| 231 | 340700-05 NORTHWEST BERGEN CO | | | | | | | 2,020 | | BEYOND90 |
| 232 | 340865-01 WEST ORANGE TOWNSHIF | • | | | 8,466 | 847 | | 11,555 | | BEYOND90 |
| 233 | 346437-03 NEW BRUNSWICK, CITY | OF | | | 896 | | | 1,114 | | BEYOND90 |
| 234 | 340832-02 MANASQUAN RIVER REG | SA | | | 1,159 | | | 1,547 | | BEYOND90 |
| 235 * | 340920-01 PARAMUS, BOROUGH OF | | | | | | 642 | 795 | 795 | T 900730 |
| 236 | 340803-03 HACKETTSTOWN MUA | 451 | | | | | | 516 | | BEYOND90 |
| 237 | 340882-02 LAMBERTVILLE SA | | | | 40 | | 354 | 406 | | BEYOND90 |
| 238 | 540876-02 CHESTER, BOROUGH OF | 718 | 132 | | | | | 1,051 | | BEYOND90 |
| 239 | 340637-04 MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA | | | 15,616 | | | | 18,550 | | BEYOND90 |
| 240 | 340768-02 BERGEN COUNTY UA | | | 15,710 | | | | 18,762 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing ryogram monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Call 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cal 38- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

uat 2 = Advanced Treatment Lat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances हुँ हैं। पह किरारक an off abing lewes है है। है है है

Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction Cat 48- New Interceptors & Appurtenances 7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 21

| | | Etigible | Category | Cost Bre | akdown (| Total Bui | ilding Co | sts) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | | Cat 3A | | | | Cat 5 | | Amount | |
| 241 | 340340-03 JT MEETING-ESSEX & L | MION | | 10,182 | | | | | 12,096 | | BEYOND90 |
| 242 | 340815-02 Newark,CITY OF | | | 5,534 | , | 15,494 | | | 22,385 | | BEYOND90 |
| 243 | 340547-03 RAHWAY VALLEY SA | | | 4,482 | | | | | 5,356 | | BEYOND90 |
| 244 | 34G850-01 PATERSON, CITY OF | | | 274 | | 21,862 | | | 22,195 | | BEYOND90 |
| 245 | 340834-01 NUTLEY TOWNSHIP | # (10 ft) an an an an an an an | | | | 1,549 | | | 1,782 | | BEYOND90 |
| 246 | 340756-02 ROCKAHAY VALLEY REG | SA | | 4,482 | | | | | 5,352 | | BEYOND90 |
| 247 | 340700-06 NORTHWEST BERGEN CO | UA | | 6,076 | | | | | 7,243 | | BEYOND90 |
| 248 | 340843-01 EAST GRANGE, CITY OF | | | 144 | | 1,759 | | | 2,032 | | BEYOND90 |
| 249 | 340844-01 CLIFTON, CITY OF | | an also me one one one one one one | 11,444 | | | | | 11,666 | | BEYOND90 |
| 250 | 340433-04 WOODBRIDGE, TOWNSHIP | · OF | | 807 | | | | | 973 | | BEYOND90 |
| 251 | 340391-05 EWING-LAWRENCE SA | ga man man min and and and and and and and and and an | | 2,387 | | | | | 2,935 | | BEYOND90 |
| 252 | 340890-01 IKVINGTON, TOWN OF | | | 405 | | 1,190 | | | 1,672 | | BEYOND90 |

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| - | | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | akdown (| Total Bui | lding Cos | 5 t 5) | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Ria nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | | Cat 4B | | | | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 253 | 340845-01 PASSAIC, CITY OF | | | 393 | | 3,625 | | | 4,285 | | BEYOND90 |
| 254 * | 340393-06 WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF | | | 1,018 | | | | | 1,226 | 1,226 | ** |
| 255 * | 340393-07 WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF | | | | | 160 | | | 200 | | T 900730 |
| 256 | 340410-04 NEPTUNE THP SA | | | 3,369 | | | | | 4,029 | | BEYOND90 |
| 257 | 340882-01 LAMBERTVILLE SA | | | 40 | | 150 | | | 284 | | BEYOND90 |
| 258 | 340837-01 MONTCLAIR, TOWN OF | | | 540 | | 1,144 | | 95 | 1,579 | | BEYOND90 |
| 259 | 340344-03 ATLANTIC COUNTY UA | | | 4,186 | | | | | 10,250 | | BEYOND90 |
| 260 | 340853-01 FORT LEE, BOROUGH OF | | | | | 481 | | | 553 | | BEYOND90 |
| 261 | 340859-01 ORANGE, CITY OF | | | 392 | | 1,925 | | | 2,399 | | BEYOND90 |
| 262 | 340895-01 WINSLOW TWP | | | 422 | | | | | 530 | | BEYOND90 |
| 263 | 340769-02 BERGEN COUNTY UA (TR | | | 1,150 | | | | | 1,384 | | BEYOND90 |
| 264 | 340639-07 RIDGEWOUD, VILLAGE D | 2,189 F | | 2,375 | | | | | 5,111 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

7/24/89

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

PAGE 23

T - A - 1

| | | | | Cost Bre | | | - | | Total Eligible Project | Total State | |
|-------|------------------------------------|---------|-------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Ra nk | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | Cat 3A | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 265 | 34GB58-01 CRANFORD, TOWNSHIP OF | | | 443 | | | | | 555 | | BEYOND90 |
| 266 | 340715-03 HADISON-CHATHAM JOINT | MEETING | | ಶಿ ವಿತಿ | | | | | 669 | | BEYOND90 |
| 267 | 340443-05 EDGEWATER, BOROUGH OF | | | ఎదర | | 1,935 | | | 2,353 | | BEYOND90 |
| 268 | 340906-03 HILLSIDE, TWP OF | | | 190 | | 601 | | | 834 | | BEYOND90 |
| 269 | 340829-01 BRIDGETON, CITY OF | | | 326 | | | | | 375 | | BEYOND90 |
| 270 | 340881-01 HAWTHORNE, BOROUGH OF | | | 46 4 | | 264 | | | 634 | | BEYOND90 |
| 271 | 340863-01 ELMWOOD PARK, BORDUGH | | | 74 | | 683 | | | 798 | | BEYOND90 |
| 272 * | 340922-01 BUMONT, BOROUGH OF | | | | | 2,717 | | | 3,148 | | T 900730 |
| 273 | 340400-03 STONY BROOK REG SA | | | 393 | | | | | 476 | | BEYOND90 |
| 274 | 340884-01 ASBURY PARK, CITY OF | | | | | | 1,581 | | 1,818 | | BEYOND90 |
| 275 * | 340376-04 MORRISTOWN, TOWN OF | | | 6,500 | | ٤,500 | | | 16,218 | 16,218 | Т 900730 |
| 276 | 340730-01 Ocean City | | | 39 | | | | | 47 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Project(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

T - A - A

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Rank | Loan /Seq No Recipient | Cat 1 | | Lat JA | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Total Eligible Project Costs | Amount | St Cert Date |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 277 | 340580-04 WARREN CO LOPAT SA | | | 1,809 | | | | | 2,170 | | BEYOND90 |
| 276 | 340779-02 PEQUANNOCK RIVER BAS | SIN RSA | | 1,045 | | | | | 1,193 | | BEYOND90 |
| 279 | 340382-03 BERNARDS TOWNSHIP | | | 337 | | | | | 408 | | BEYOND90 |
| 280 | 340889-01 DOVER, TOWN OF | | | 664 | | | | | 807 | | BEYOND90 |
| 281 | 340752-01 PLEAGANTVILLE, CITY | OF | | 112 | | | | | 137 | | BEYOND90 |
| 282 | 340533-04 VERONA, BURDUGH OF | d (200 (200 (200 ass 400 (200 (200 (200 (200 (200 (200 (200 | | 1,054 | | A 644 444 COM LOS COM | , and - 1866 MINI 1899 July 1894 MINI MINI MAN AND | | 1,268 | | BEYOND90 |
| 283 | 340855-01 8PRINGFIELD, TOWNSHI | | | 1,054 | day / day day day day day | | 100 dr 7 500 une min 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | 1,308 | | BEYOND90 |
| 284 | 340385-02 BERKELEY HEIGHTS TOW | MSHIF | | 300 | | | | | 371 | | BEYOND90 |
| 285 | 340717-05 CEDAR GROVE, TWP. OF | . | | 260 | | | | | 366 | | BEYOND90 |
| 286 | 340766-02 PARSIPPANY-TROY HILL | .S TWP | | 3 5 | | | | | 40 | | BEYOND90 |
| 287 # | 340716-04 LITTLE FALLS TWP. | | | 444 | | | | | 540 | | T 900730 |
| 286 | 340381-05 ROXBURY TWP | | * 0°* 1° 0° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 1 | 962 | DT | | | ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang | 1,153 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # = Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the sacrafactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabititation Cat | Cor | pion | Jone | Sew | Ver | |

Cat 2 - Advanced Treatment Lat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction Cat 48- New Interceptors & Appurtenances

| | Eligible | Category | Cost Bre | akdown (| Total Bui | lding Co | osts) | Total Eligible | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|----------|-----------|----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Luan /Seq No Recipient | | | | | | | | Costs | Amount | |
| 340667-01 VENTNOR CITY | | | 1,275 | | | | | 1,530 | | BEYOND90 |
| | | | 1,700 | | | | | 2,171 | 2,171 | *** |
| 340778-01 TOTONA, BORDUGH OF | | | 700 | | | | | 799 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340862-01 WESTWOOD, BOROUGH (| OF | | 1,581 | | | 2,106 | 3 | 4,186 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340841-01 | | | 320 | | | | | 384 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340618-01 SOMERS POINT CITY | | | 64 | | | | | 79 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340780-02 | | BURDUGH | | | 1,000 | | | 1,164 | 1,164 | T 900730 |
| | | | 198 | | | | | 205 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340517-01 FAIRVIEW, BOROUGH (| OF | | 1,027 | | | | | 1,274 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340636-05 FOMPTON LAKES MUA | | | 1,549 | | | | | 1,770 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340827-01 BRIGANTINE, CITY D | F | | 2,215 | | | | | 2,653 | | BEYOND90 |
| 340666-01 MARGATE CITY | | | 602 | | | | | 727 | | BEYOND90 |
| | 340667-01 VENTNOR CITY 340778-02 WEST PATERSON, BORG 340778-01 TOTOMA, BOROUGH OF 340862-01 WESTHOOD, BOROUGH OF 340841-01 RIVER EDGE, BOROUGH 340780-02 WANAQUE VALLEY REG 340780-02 WANAQUE VALLEY REG 340326-04 SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH 340517-01 FAIRVIEW, BOROUGH 340636-05 FOMFTON LAKES MUA 340827-01 WRIGANTINE, CITY OF 340666-01 MARGATE CITY | God Pient Cat 1 340667-01 VENTNOR CITY 340778-02 WEST PATERSON, BOROUGH OF 340778-01 TOTOMA, BOROUGH OF 340862-01 WESTWOOD, BOROUGH OF 340841-01 RIVER EDGE, BOROUGH OF 340618-01 GOMERS POINT CITY 340780-02 WANAQUE VALLEY REG SA/WANAQUE 340326-04 SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH OF 340517-01 FAIRVIEW, BOROUGH OF 340636-05 FOMPTON LAKES MUA 340827-01 BRIGANTINE, CITY OF | Total Cat 1 Seq No Recipient Cat 1 Cat 2 340667-01 VENTNOR CITY 340778-02 WEST PATERSON, BOROUGH OF 340778-01 TOTOWA, BOROUGH OF 340862-01 WESTWOOD, BOROUGH OF 340841-01 RIVER EDGE, BOROUGH OF 340618-01 SOMERS POINT CITY 340780-02 WANAQUE VALLEY REG SA/WANAQUE BURDUGH 340326-04 SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH OF 340517-01 FAIRVIEW, BOROUGH OF 340636-05 FOMPTON LANES MUA 340827-01 BRIGANTINE, CITY OF 340666-01 MARGATE CITY | Cat 1 | Cat 1 | Cat 1 | Cat 1 | Recipient Cat 1 Cat 2 Cat 3A Cat 4B Cat 3B Cat 4A Cat 5 340667-01 | Cat 1 Cat 2 Cat 3a Cat 4b Cat 3b Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat 5a Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat 5a Cat 5a Cat 4a Cat 5a Cat | Eligible Category Cost Breakdown (Total Building Costs) Eligible Total Bate Recipient Project Project State Recipient Project Recipient Reci |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Project Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

NOTE ** - Project(s) anticipated to be certified for potential State Wastewater Treatment Financing in State FY 90.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Studge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation Cat 5 - Correction of Combined Sewer Overtions

Cat 2 - Advanced Treatment
Cat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances

T - 4 - 1

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Ra nk | Luan /Seq No | Eligible L | | | | Total Bui | | | | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|------------------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----|----|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | Cat 1 | Cat 2 | Cat 3A | Cat 4B | Cat 3D | Cat | 46 | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 301 | 340852-01 BRANCHBURG. TOWNSHIP | OF | | 2,108 | | | | | | 2,795 | | BEYOND90 |
| 302 | 340877-01 HADDON HEIGHTS, BOROL | IGH OF | | 041 | | | | | | 800 | | BEYOND90 |
| 303 | 340914-01 BOGOTA, BOROUGH OF | | | 103 | | | | 30 | | 171 | | BEYOND90 |
| 304 | 340861-01 GLEN RIDGE, BOROUGH O |)F | | 46 | | 189 | | | | 244 | | BEYOND90 |
| 305 | 340326-06 SOUTH AMBOY, CITY OF | | | 166 | | | | | | 205 | | BEYOND90 |
| 306 | 340404-04 FASSAIC TWP | | | 100 | | 500 | | | | 757 | | BEYOND90 |
| 307 | 340846-01 MONTVALE, BOROUGH OF | | | 71 | | | | | | 98 | | BEYOND90 |
| 308 | 340816-03 BERNARDSVILLE BOROUGH | 1 | | 409 | | | | | | 872 | | BEYOND90 |
| 309 | 340916-01 DUNELLEN, BOROUGH OF | | | | | 3,000 | | | | 3,450 | | BEYOND90 |
| 310 # | 546426-06 N ARLINGTON - LYNDHUR | ath TC Tax | (NAKL) | 120 | | | | | | 185 | | T 900730 |
| 311 | 340479-02 POINT PLEASANT BEACH, | BOROUGH U |)F | 1,100 | | | | | | 1,371 | | BEYOND90 |
| 312 | 340840-01 SPRING LAKES HEIGHTS, | | | 111 | | | | | | 142 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE * - Froject(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Froject Status/Froject Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

| Rank | Luan /Seq No | | | | | Total Bui | _ | | Total Eligible Project | Total | Est St Cert |
|-------|------------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | Cat 1 | Uat 2 | | Cat 4B | | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | Date |
| 313 | 340911-01 MANASQUAN, BOROUGH OF | - | | 1,391 | | 943 | | | 2,564 | | BEYOND90 |
| 314 | 346901-01 HIGHLANDS, BOROUGH OF | • | | 622 | | | | | 776 | | BEYOND90 |
| 315 | 340664-01 WILDWOOD CITY | | | 400 | | | | | 484 | | BEYOND90 |
| 316 | 34G719-01 WILDWOOD CREST BOROUG | 3H | | 179 | | | 4 | | 218 | ¥ | BEYOND90 |
| 317 * | 340426-05 N ARLINGTON-LYNDHURS | | | 200 | | | | | 246 | 246 | T 900730 |
| 318 * | 340917-02 DELAWARE TOWNSHIP MU | | | | | 300 | | | 387 | | T 900730 |
| 319 | 340842-01 HIGH BRIDGE, BOROUGH | OF | | 236 | | | | | 304 | | BEYOND90 |
| 320 | 340504-02 STANHOPE, BOROUGH OF | | | 287 | | | | | 351 | | BEYOND90 |
| 321 | 340659-01 SEA ISLE CITY | | | 470 | | | | | 569 | | BEYOND90 |
| 322 | 34GB49-01 WOODLYNNE, BOROUGH OF | . | | 1,7/1 | | | | | 2,125 | | BEYOND90 |
| 323 | 340864-01 AVALON, BORDUGH OF | | | 738 | | 158 | | | 1,048 | | BEYOND90 |
| 324 | 346822-01 FEAFACK & GLADSTONE 1 | KOROUGH | | 85 | | | | | 104 | | BEYOND90 |

NOTE # - Project(s) indicating anticipated compliance with FFY 90/SFY 91 project document submittal dates as established per the Project Status/Froject Bypassing provisions of the FFY 90 Priority System. Estimated State certification date for project(s) are pending the satisfactory resolution of all project funding requirements and the availability of State Wastewater Treatment Financing Program monies.

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

STATE OF NEW JERSEY FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

| Rank | Loan /Seq No | Eligible C | ategury (| Cost Bre | | | lding Cost | | Total Eligible Froject | Total | Est St Cert |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | Recipient | | | | Cat 4B | Cat 3B | Cat 4A | Cat 5 | Costs | Amount | |
| 325 | 340873-01 CLINTON TWP SEWERA | GE AUTHORITY | | | | 110 | | | 147 | | BEYONI90 |
| 326 | 340412-08 DEAL, BOROUGH OF | | | 700 | | | | | 1,085 | | BEYOND90 |
| 327 | 340848-01 EAST NEWARK, BORDU | GH OF | | 40 | | 85 | | | 203 | | BEYOND90 |
| 328 | 340907-01 ISLAND HEIGHTS, BO | ROUGH OF | | 63 | | 295 | | | 376 | | BEYOND90 |
| 329 | 346590-02 BAY HEAD BORDUGH | | | 122 | | | | | 149 | | BEYOND90 |
| 330 | 340722-01 STONE HARBOR BORDU | ВН | | 1 18 | | | | | 217 | | BEYOND90 |
| 331 | 340753-01 EGG HARBOR TWP, MU | A | | BO | | | | | 97 | | BEYOND90 |
| 332 | 340665-01 LONGPORT BOROUGH | | | 100 | | | | | 203 | | BEYOND90 |
| 333 | 340691-05 MIDDLE TWP (CAPE M | AY COURT HOUS | E) | 127 | | | 411 | | 559 | | BEYOND90 |
| 334 | 340626-03 WEST WILDWOOD BORON | | | 396 | | | | | 479 | | BEYOND90 |

All costs shown are in thousands (\$1000's)

Cat 1 - Secondary/Sludge/Septage Treatment Cat 3B- Major Sewer System Rehabilitation Cat 2 - Advanced Treatment
Lat 4A- New Collectors & Appurtenances

Cat 3A- Infiltration/Inflow Correction
Cat 4B- New Interceptors & Appurtenances

Cat 5 - Correction of Combined Sewer Overflows

PROJECT NARRATIVES

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : FLEMINGTON, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340440-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$399,993

FY90 RANK : 1.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount \$399,993

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|---|--|------------------|
| 125 to 1 100 to | in so 1834 the spen may spen start start start | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 9 | | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | i | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .00427

TOTAL POINTS 775.00427

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

325

Priority List Rank

Borough of Flemington C340440-03 Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Flemington

Existing Population

4,266

Need for Project

The existing collection system serving the Borough of Flemington is very old and is subject to extreme amounts of infiltration and inflow. Up to 0.5 mgd is bypassed to the Raritan Township MUA's plant on a daily basis. A small amount of flow is treated at the Flemington plant to maintain biological activity. Any flow over the total of 0.65 mgd during the summer and 0.75 mgd during the winter is bypassed to the Bushkill Creek (FW-2-TM), a tributary to the South Branch of the Raritan River and upstream of the existing Elizabethtown Water Company potable intake. This bypassing adversely affects the trout population in both waters. The use of the streams as industrial and agricultural water supplies is also curtailed because of the nutrients and fecal coliform added during bypass operations. Nutrients, fecal coliform, and toxics are all in violation of standards.

Project Description

The major sewer system rehabilitation for the project will consist of the installation of a sanitary sewer line to stop the overflow of raw sewage on East Main Street. The existing 15 inch pipe is undersized for the peak storm flow.

Anticipated

Recipient : CAPE MAY POINT BOROUGH

Project No.: 340598-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,525,047

FY90 RANK : 3.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$2,525,047

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 10 AT 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | . 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 275 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| The many bound of the same | | E-A | 4 ^^ | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | ***** |

Fopulation .00028

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

250

TOTAL POINTS 700.00028

Priority List Rank

Cape May Point Borough C340598-02 Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

County

Cape May

Service Area

Cape May Point Borough

Existing Population

289

2,880 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

The existing Cape May Point Collection System was constructed in 1905 with four and six inch diameter terra cotta pipe. Very flat slopes and infiltration cause the system to clog easily, backing up sewage into the streets. The system has large amounts of infiltration/inflow during periods of rain or high groundwater and exfiltrates raw sewage during dry weather. These conditions, in addition to failing on-site systems, have a detrimental effect on the surrounding water bodies which are classified as primary recreation and shellfish areas. Fecal coliform standards are in violation, with DO and nutrients levels marginally acceptable.

Project Description

This project proposes the replacement of the existing system and extending service to failing on-site systems in order to alleviate the present health hazards associated with the raw sewage overflows.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOPATCONG BOROUGH

FY90 RANK : 4.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$23,932,885

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | F'oints |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 447 (21, 411, 141, 141, 141, 141, 141, 141, 1 | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shettfish | 125 | \cap |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| • | SUBTOTAL | 400 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| *************************************** | **** | **** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \$ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .01509

TOTAL POINTS 675.01509

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

225

Priority List Rank

Borough of Hopatcong C340488-03 Local Wastewater System/Collection

County

Sussex

Service Area

Hopatcong Borough

Existing Population

15,088

Need for Project

This project is necessary to protect the waters of Lake Hopatcong (FW2-TM), a potable water supply. Presently, wastewater treatment in Hopatcong Borough consists of individual sewerage systems. There are reported septic system failures which have been determined to adversely affect fishable trout and primary contact recreation waters. DO and nutrients levels are unacceptable, while fecal coliform and toxic levels are marginally acceptable. If these failures continue, it could create a potential health and ground water problem.

Project Description

According to the 201 Upper Musconetcong Drainage Basin Facilities Plan, the proposed system for the decentralized Northwood area of Hopatcong Borough consists of a cluster of septic tank effluent pump sewer systems with a 6" or less collector gravity line drainage to a mound community drainfield. The proposed Hopatcong Borough STEP sewer system consists of a modified cluster feeder system where the effluent is pumped into main collectors (6" or less gravity line) and is then pumped and transported for treatment to the Musconetcong Sewerage Authority STP.

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC TWP

Project No.: 340404-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,516,860

FY90 RANK : 8.0 County : MORRIS

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$5,516,860

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MI (M) | | **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|
| | *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | F(O) |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|--|-----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1870 Min var vote 1800 Min var vote 1814 (1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 181 | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | ********* |

Population .00764

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

225

200

TOTAL POINTS 675.00764

Priority List Rank

8

Passaic Township

C340404-02

Upgrade and Expansion of STP

County

Morris

. Ser<u>vice Area</u>

Passaic Township

Existing Population

7,644

Need for Project

The Township of Passaic Sewage Treatment Plant currently provides secondary treatment. In May 1984, an amendment to the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan was approved by the NJDEP allowing the STP to be expanded to 0.9 mgd and to provide nitrogen removal. Since Passaic Township cannot meet the deadlines of the Clean Water Act, an Administrative Consent Order (ACO) has been executed requiring the township to meet final effluent limitations by August 1, 1991. The proposed project will enable Passaic Township to comply with the requirements of the ACO.

The Passaic River does not meet standards for toxics and fecal colfirm, and only marginally meets standards for nutrients and dissolved oxygen. Potable water, non-trout fishery, and industrial uses of this water body are impacted by the STP.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--|---------|---------|--------------|------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/l |
| Passaic Township (secondar (NJ0024465) | y) 0.65 | .80 | 88.6 - 18.0 | 79.2- 25.1 |

Project Description

The proposed project includes the installation of a new oxidation ditch, sand filter, and UV disinfection system; modification of existing clarifiers; upgrading of sludge handling facilities; and rehabilitation of a pump station wet well.

Anticipated

Recipient : RARITAN BOROUGH

Project No.: 340781-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$408,541

FY90 RANK : 9.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$408,541

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| NO 161 MAY NOT THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints. | Scored |
| The same same have then one case case case case case case case cas | *********************************** | **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overfiow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *** |

SUBTOTAL 250

Population +00599

TOTAL POINTS 675,00599

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

Raritan Borough C340781-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Somerset

Service Area

Raritan Borough

Existing Population

5,987

Need for Project

Many of the sewers in the Borough are at least 75 years old and have never been rehabilitated. The infiltration/inflow resulting from sewer pipe decay is estimated at 40-50 percent of the total flow from the Borough. This condition results in raw sewage overflows which adversely impact the Raritan River by contributing to the elevated nutrient and bacteriological levels in the River, which is classified as FW2-NT, previously FW-2. Toxic levels marginally meet existing standards. The River is used as an industrial water supply and as a potable water supply for the Elizabethtown Water Company.

Project Description

The project will require rehabilitating much of the existing 20 miles of sewers or replacing that which is beyond repair. It may also be necessary to replace some of the undersized sewers with larger ones. This rehabilitation work will eliminate the sewage overflows from this system and reduce excessive flow into the SRVSA plant.

Anticipated

Recipient: ROXBURY TWP-LSH

FY90 RANK : 10.0

Total State Amount \$10,800,000 : MORRIS County

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 12 107 218 100 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 | **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | 4044 0707 171 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 400 |

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *** *** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 225 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| AND HER THE | *************************************** | **** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

.00491 Population

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 675.00491

Priority List Rank

Township of Roxbury - Landing & Shore Hill Area C340548-03
Alternative Collection

10

County

Morris

Service Area

Landing and Shore Hills area

Existing Population

4,908

Need for Project

At present, sewerage facilities in the Landing and Shore-Hills area of the Township of Roxbury consist of individual septic systems. Investigations have indicated septic system failures, which impact fishable trout, potable, and primary contact recreation waters, and could create a potential health and groundwater problem. Existing water quality (of Lake Hopatcong, FW2-TM) in the Roxbury area marginally meets standards for fecal coliform and toxics, but does not meet standards for D.O. and nutrients.

Project Description

The proposed system for the Landing and Shore Hills area of the Township consists of two types of collection systems: (1) conventional gravity, and (2) grinder pumps in combination with pressure sewers. The effluent is to be pumped to Musconetcong's proposed interceptor system where it will be treated by the Musconetcong Sewerage Authority STP located in Stanhope Borough.

Anticipated

Recipient : MOUNT ARLINGTON BOROUGH

FY90 RANK : 11.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$12,110,426

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| wa / m - 0 bm | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 400 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 225 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population +00366

50

TOTAL POINTS 675.00366

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Mount Arlington C340541-03 Collection System, Int.

County

Morris

Service Area

The portion of Mt. Arlington which lies in the Upper Musconetcong Drainage Basin.

Existing Population

3,662

Need for Project

The Borough of Mt. Arlington has been dealing with its sanitary wastes using individual septic tanks and leaching fields. There have been septic system failures which could create a potential health and ground water problem. The water quality (of Lake Hopatcong, FW2-TM) in the area marginally meets standards for fecal coliform and toxics and does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen and nutrients. Fishable trout, potable water, and primary contact recreational uses of the lake are impacted.

Project Description

The Musconetcong Sewerage Authority performed a 201 Facilities Plan for the Upper Musconetcong Drainage Basin. Mount Arlington had participated in the original study, but decided not to participate in the most recent work. Therefore, the Township will have to complete their portion of the study on their own. This work will include investigation of small community or alternative systems, needs survey, and a facilities plan update.

Contract Documents for a sewer system in Mount Arlington have been prepared under the State Planning Loan Program. If it is determined that a sewer system is, in fact, the most cost effective and environmentally sound alternative, then the plans which were prepared will be utilized. The Collection System would connect into the Regional Musconetcong Sewerage Authority. Other viable alternatives include local wastewater treatment systems.

Anticipated

Recipient : PHILLIPSBURG, TOWN OF

Project No. : 340874-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,052,606

FY90 RANK : 12.0

County : WARREN Total State Amount : \$1,052,606

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | Ö | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 150 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|----------|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | | **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population +01599

250

TOTAL POINTS 650.01599

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Town of Phillipsburg C340874-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Warren

Service Area

The planning area lies in the Delaware Watershed and consists solely of the Town of Phillipsburg.

Existing Population

15,992

Need for Project

A sanitary collection system serves the planning area, with Phillipsburg nearly 100% sewered. The existing Phillipsburg plant (NJ0024716) presently treats domestic and industrial waste from four municipalities. Constructed in 1950 - 1951 with a design capacity of 3.5 MGD, the plant provides secondary treatment by means of an activated sludge process. Present flows average slightly over 2.1 MGD, but peak conditions can result in flows over 6.0 MGD which lead to a by-pass of the plant and direct discharge of untreated effluent into the Lopatcong Creek, FW2-TM (tributary to Delaware River). Additionally, there are known cross connections between the storm and sanitary sewerage systems. These raw discharges result in frequent violations of fecal coliform standards, and marginal degradation from nutrient and toxic impacts. Trout fishery, primary contact recreation, and agricultural and industrial water uses are also adversely impacted. An I/I analysis included in the facilities plan concluded infiltration to be non-excessive, but recommended a Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES @ \$26,000) to study the removal of excessive inflow and the elimination of the system by-passes.

Project Description

A proposed SSES will refine the conclusions of the I/I analysis and make recommendations for I/I correction. The project will allow for the construction and implementation of the cost effective rehabilitation measures. This will result in the abatement of the raw sewage by-pass problem presently experienced both in the collection system and at the plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : BURLINGTON TOWNSHIP

FY90 RANK : 13.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$2,665,627

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 44 - 11 - 12 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - | *************************************** | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | *** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** *** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population +01169

200

TOTAL POINTS 650,01169

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Burlington Township C340712-03 STP

13

County

Burlington

Service Area

Burlington Township

Existing Population

11,686

Need for Project

- Assiscunk Creek (FW2-NT, previously FW-2) Nontrout stream with consistent violations of fecal coliforms, total phosphorus and ammonia- nitrogen. The LaGorce Square STP discharge is near the confluence with the Delaware River and thus affects the water quality at the Philadelphia-Torresdale potable water intake. There are numerous farms along the Assiscunk Creek.
- 2. Delaware River (Zone 2) Nontrout stream with marginal violations of D.O. and fecal coliform standards. The Burlington Township-Central Avenue STP discharge affects the water quality at the Philadelphia-Torresdale potable water intake. There are numerous farms along the Delaware River.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Central Avenue STP (Secondary) (NJ0021709) | 1.28 | 1.16 | 82.7 - 29.0 | 82 - 29.3 |
| LaGorce Square STP (Tertiary) (NJ0021695) | 0.20 | .12 | 91.7 - 19.8 | 94 - 10.5 |

Project Description

Both STP's are proposed for upgrading. The Central Avenue plant will receive an effluent polishing filter.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : DELRAN SA-RIVERSIDE

Project No.: 340794-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,169,780

FY90 RANK : 14.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$2,169,780

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|---|------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MIC 1017 1177 1178 1179 1179 1179 1179 1179 11 | 2000 00 FF 1000 1000 1000 4000 10 10 10 | **************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** |
| | LATOTEUS | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| *** **** **** *** *** *** **** **** **** | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ********* |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|-----------|---|
| Project Di scharge Type | F'o i nts | Scored |
| *** | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | i | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00779

200

TOTAL POINTS 650,00779

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Delran Sewerage Authority-Riverside C340794-03

14

STP, Int, PS, FM, Sludge Management

County

Burlington

Service Area

Riverside Township

Existing Population

7,787

Need for Project

Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT, previously FW-2) - Nontrout stream with severe violations of fecal coliform and marginal violations of D.O., toxics and total phosphorus at the upper mainstream. The Delran STP discharges in the tidal estuary of the Rancocas Creek and adversely affects the water quality of the Delaware River at the Philadelphia Torresdale potable water intake. The tidal estuary of the Rancocas is a source of water for industries along the Delaware River.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| Riverside STP (secondary) (NJ0023507) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 67.6 - 59 | 81.8 40 |

Project Description

The Riverside STP is proposed for upgrading to improve its inadequate secondary treatment to 90% removals. Nitrification may be a new requirement for the Riverside STP if the NJ Water Qualities Studies of the Rancocas Creek determine that it is needed. The project shall also include interceptors, pump stations, force mains, and sludge management facilities. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : TRIBORO-PALMYRA

Project No.: 340763-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,425,000

FY90 RANK : 15.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$3,425,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | ***** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|---|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ****** |
| | | | SURTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

Fopulation .00724

200

TOTAL FOINTS 650,00724

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Tri-Borough - Palmyra C340763-04 Secondary STP, SL MGMT

County

Burlington

Service Area

Borough of Palmyra

Existing Population

7,236

Need for Project

The Borough of Palmyra Wastewater Treatment Plant has been periodically in violation of its respective NJPDES permit requirements concerning BOD and SS and operates with inadequate secondary treatment. The plant is under a local sewer connection ban. The receiving stream is the Delaware River, which is classified as Zone 2, non-trout with industrial water use. The Palmyra Sewage Treatment Plant discharge affects the water quality of the Delaware River for the potable water use including a major potable water supply at Torresdale. The existing water quality of the Delaware River at the plant discharge point marginally meets the dissolved oxygen, nutrients and toxics standards, but does not meet the fecal coliform standards.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | SS Reported |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| Palmyra (Secondary) (NJ0024449) | 0.53 | 0.58 | 63.4 - 61.3 | 80 - 30.1 |

Project Description

The Tri-Borough Wastewater Facilities Plan recommends that the existing Palmyra Borough Sewage Treatment Plant be expanded and upgraded by using the oxidation ditch process to comply with the NJPDES permit requirements. The project shall also include sludge management facilities. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge/septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : TRIBORO-RIVERTON (RIVERTON)

Project No.: 340763-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$314,840

FY90 RANK : 16.0

: BURLINGTON Total State Amount County \$314,840

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|----------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 22 . 15 . 22 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . 25 . | | *** **** *** *** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ******* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Paramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ******** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| THE COST AND A TOP AND A STOR AND A COST AND A COST AND ADDRESS OFFICE AND ADDRESS OFFI AND THE THE THE COST AND ADDRESS AND A | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Population +00294

200

TOTAL POINTS 650,00294

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Tri-Boro - Riverton (Riverton) C340763-05 STP

16

County

Burlington

Service Area

Borough of Riverton

Existing Population

2,935

Need for Project

The Borough of Riverton Wastewater Treatment Plant has been periodically in violation of its respective NJPDES permit requirements concerning BOD and SS. The plant is under a local sewer connection ban. The receiving stream is the Delaware River, which is classified as Zone 1, non-trout with industrial water use. The Riverton Sewage Treatment Plant discharge affects the water quality of the Delaware River for potable water use including a major potable water supply at Torresdale. The existing water quality of the Delaware River at the plant discharge point marginally meets the dissolved oxygen, nutrients and toxics standards, but does not meet the fecal coliform standards.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/1 | # - mg/l |
| Riverton (Secondary) (NJ0021610) | 0.22 | 0.152 | 91.0 - 16.7 | 88.9 - 23 |

Project Description

The Borough of Riverton is under an Administrative Consent Order to comply with its NJPDES permit requirements for Level 4 treatment. The plant currently operates at treatment Level 3 in the winter. The proposed project is to retrofit the existing Riverton Borough Sewerage Treatment Plant to comply with the stipulated Level 4 effluent standards year-round. The ultimate disposal of sludge will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge/septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : LOWER TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340810-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$6,485,221

FY90 RANK : 17.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$6,485,221

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

THE TAXABLE SALE MANA AND ADDRESS OF COME AND ADDRESS OF COME AND ADDRESS OF COME OF C

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MAN 4003 CHE 5111 CHE 4117 THE 5100 CHE 5100 CHE 5111 CHE | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | *** **** *** |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 275

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 150 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints. |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | 1000 4010 4040 4444 0044 cran care may | *** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Primary Bischarge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .02011

200

TOTAL POINTS 625.02011

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority C340810-02

17

Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion and Upgrading

County

Cape May

Service Area

Lower Township, Del Haven Section of Middle Township

Existing Population

20,110

Need for Project

The Lower Township MUA is presently working under an Administrative Consent Order with the Department to upgrade the existing secondary wastewater treatment facilities. Failure of the liquid treatment train has resulted in the carrying over of excessive quantities of suspended solids into the polishing lagoons. This has resulted in excessive sludge build up and odors. The failure of the secondary treatment process is not reflected in the monitoring reports (DMR's) due to the presence of the polishing lagoons. Furthermore, the inadequate design and construction of the sludge drying beds is resulting in contamination of the groundwater.

The receiving body for the treatment plant effluent does not meet water quality standards for nutrients and only marginally meets standards for dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform. Thus, the potential uses for fishing, shellfish harvesting and primary contact recreation are limited.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| | · | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| Lower Twp. MUA (Secondary) (NJ0023809) | 3.0 | 1.56 | 95 - 14 | 95 - 10 |

Project Description

The proposed project will involve the upgrade and expansion of the secondary wastewater treatment facility and the upgrading of the sludge handling facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient : ORADELL, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340835-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$258,644

FY90 RANK : 18.0 County : BERGEN Total State Amount \$258,644

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND 181 AND 1821 AND | **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| *************************************** | | | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Manager Carl Service | ^ | =.v | 100 | EA |

100 Fecal Coliform 50 50 25 50 25 Nutrients 25 25 50 Toxics

II. DISCHARGE TYPE ...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|
| COLD STATE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CASE CAS | | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 2 0 0 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

+00847 Population

TOTAL POINTS 600.00847

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

150

Priority List Rank

18

Oradell, Borough of C340835-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Bergen

Service Area

Oradell Borough

Existing Population

8,469

Need for Project

The Borough of Oradell sewer collection system is subject to excessive infiltration/inflow. Peak flows surcharge several manhole covers, with the raw sewage overflow impacting upon the main intake canal of the Hackensack Water Company. Water quality standards are marginally acceptable for DO, fecal coliform, nutrients, and toxics.

Project Description

Minor rehabilitation techniques are proposed to correct the infiltration/inflow problem and eliminate the raw sewage overflows.

Anticipated

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (UGEH/MRR)

Project No. : 340518-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,968,589

FY90 RANK : 19.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$2,968,589

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foint≡ |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 275 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| " | Meets | - | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL. | 125 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1400 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 | apat 2000) 0000 0000 0101 0101 |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

Population .00682

200

TOTAL POINTS 600.00682

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Atlantic Co. UA - Mullica Region C340518-02 P.S., F.M.

19

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Egg Harbor City

Existing Population

6,820

Need for Project

The existing Egg Harbor City wastewater treatment facility can be characterized as inadequate secondary treatment due to periodic non-compliance with discharge requirements for BOD and suspended solids. In addition, the flow to the plant is more than the design capacity of the plant. The wastewater treatment plant discharges its effluent to Landing Creek (PL), a tributary to the Mullica River. The water quality of the river exhibits high nutrient concentrations and low D.O. levels, localized and periodic elevated coliform counts, and high BOD loadings which can be associated with the wastewater treatment plant discharge. Primary contact recreation, non-trout fishery and shellfish harvesting are also affected.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|---|--------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/1 | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Egg Harbor City (secondary) (NJ0024589) | 0.34 | 0.60 | 84.5 - 35.5 | 68.0 - 37.9 |

Project Description

Egg Harbor City is under a NJDEP Consent Order to abandon the existing sewage treatment plant; the wastewater will be conveyed via a new pump station and force main to the Atlantic County UA regional facility in Atlantic City.

The project, when completed, will improve the water quality of the Mullica River.

Anticipated

Recipient : WEST MILFORD MUA (CRESCENT PARK)

Project No.: 340701-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$455,000

FY90 RANK : 20.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$455,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | ~~ ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 350 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|---|---|---------------|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************************************** | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|--|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| | 1994 1094 1000 td.01 1000 M.02 1004 1000 | ***** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | i | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation

SUBTOTAL

50

200

+00054

TOTAL POINTS 600.00054

Priority List Rank

West Milford MUA (Crescent Park) C340701-04

20

STP

County

Passaic

Service Area

Portion of the Township of West Milford

Existing Population

540

Need for Project

The effluent from the Crescent Park STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit. The Crescent Park STP discharges into Belchers Creek. (a tributary of Pinecliff Lake). This water body is a non-trout fishery, with potable water supply and primary contact recreation uses. Water quality is not meeting standards for nutrients. To comply with the Clean Water Act and NJDEP directives in the recently signed Administrative Consent Order, the West Milford Township MUA must upgrade the STP to meet Level 4 treatment as specified in the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan (amended 1986).

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | · | % mg/1 | % mg/1 |
| Crescent Park (secondary) (NJ0026174) | .064 | .052 | 93.0 - 16.9 | 74.1 - 26.2 |

Project Description

The proposed project includes the construction of a new treatment plant adjacent to the Crescent Park Development. The new STP would be required to meet Level 4 treatment limits; the existing Crescent Park STP will be deactivated. The Bald Eagle STP will also be expanded and upgraded and the existing Crescent Park lift station will be renovated to pump all sewage to the Bald Eagle STP (thus eliminating all flows to the Crescent Park STP). An interceptor connecting the Crescent Park STP and Bald Eagle STP will also be constructed. The upgrading of the Bald Eagle STP includes new RBC units, denitrification units, and disposal beds for in-ground discharge.

Anticipated

Recipient : OAKLAND, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 21.0 County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$14,594,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 20 111 112 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 | ***** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ******** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 350 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 175 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ********** |

Population .01299

50

TOTAL POINTS 575.01299

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Oakland, Borough of C340418-03 STP

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Oakland

Existing Population

12,990

Need for Project

The area is currently served by septic systems and some small package treatment plants. Ground and surface water (Ramapo River, FW2-NT), used for recreation as well as a potable water source, is being degraded by failure of existing disposal facilities, occasioned by high groundwater and impervious soils. Water quality marginally meets standards for toxics, but fecal coliform and nutrient levels do not meet standards.

Project Description

The facilities plan recommends the design and construction of a gravity collection system for the majority of the developed areas of the Borough, interceptor sewers and a treatment facility. This project is for the construction of a treatment facility providing Level IV treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : OAKLAND, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 22.0 County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$37,815,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | ··································· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 350 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| 4174 1175 AARS AND 1751 1752 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0 | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | |

.01298 Population

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

50

TOTAL POINTS 575.01298

Priority List Rank

Oakland, Borough of C340418-05 Collection System

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Oakland

Existing Population

12,990

Need for Project

The area is currently served by septic systems and some small package treatment plants. Ground and surface water (Ramapo River, FW2-NT), used for recreation as well as a potable water source, is being degraded by failure of existing disposal facilities occasioned by high ground water and impervious soils. Fecal coliform and nutrients standards are presently in violation, with toxics levels marginally acceptable. Project has same priority designation as the STP (418-03).

Project Description

The facilities plan recommends the design and construction of a gravity collection system for the majority of the developed areas of the Borough, interceptor sewers and a treatment facility. This project involves the collection and interceptor sewers.

Anticipated

Recipient : RAHWAY VALLEY SA

Froject No.: 340547-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,454,468

FY90 RANK : 23.0

Total State Amount : \$4,454,468 County : UNION

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|----------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Marginally Does Not Meet Foints Meets Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored -----Dissolved Oxygen 0 50 100

100 0 50 100 Fecal Coliform 100 25 0 50 50 Nutrients 25 50 Toxics 50 ... ---

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|---|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | ***** |

Population +02669

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

300

1

TOTAL POINTS 551.02669

Priority List Rank

Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority (City of Rahway)
C340547-04
Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

23

County

Union

Service Area

Rahway City

Existing Population

26,686

Need for Project

The applicant operates a thirty-five (35) million gallon per day (MGD) water pollution control facility which was constructed in 1976.

During storm events the lower portion of the Rahway River receives discharges from five (5) separate points. It appears that an appreciable impact (lower dissolved oxygen, high fecal coliform and nutrient concentration and toxics pollutants) is experienced only during local storms when flows from the river's upper reaches are low. Storms of high intensity and long duration will wash out the pollutant loadings from the discharges within a period of less than twelve hours and thereby have less of an impact. Primary contact recreation and shellfish harvesting are adversely impacted.

Project Description

of the above project area only Rahway City has combined sewers. The combined sewer overflow study was done as part of an I/I study for the overall study area. The study recommends no action regarding combined sewer overflow abatement. Additional funds to conduct a more detailed CSO study will be required in the future.

It is likely that a combination of in-system storage and overflow disinfection may be appropriate for CSO abatement.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340699-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$339,237,984

FY90 RANK : 24.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$339,237,984

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ŏ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 1010 (017 0000 0011 017) 1200 0001 0014 0001 0014 0001 0001 0000 0000 0007 0000 | | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | *** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| PHTY (407 1008 1008 1008 1008 1007 1007 1007 10 | ***** **** \$440 **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population .49657

SURTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

250

50

TOTAL POINTS 550,49657

Priority List Rank

Middlesex County U.A. (MCUA) C340699-03 Outfall

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Borough of Bound Brook, East Brunswick Sewerage Authority, Township of Edison, Franklin Township Sewerage Authority, Township of Greenbrook, Borough of Highland Park, Borough of Metuchen, Borough of Middlesex, Monroe Township, City of New Brunswick, Borough of Milltown, Township of North Brunswick, Old Bridge Township Sewerage Authority, Township of Piscataway, Borough of North Plainfield, Borough of Watchung, Township of Scotch Plains, City of Plainfield, Borough of Sayreville, Borough of South Bound Brook, Township of South Brunswick, Borough of South Plainfield, Borough of South River, Borough of Spotswood, Borough of Dunellen, Borough of Fanwood

Existing Population

496,562

Need for Project

The MCUA treatment plant currently discharges its treated effluent into the Raritan Bay (SE-1) through a submerged outfall constructed in 1958. Despite the initiation of secondary treatment in 1978, this discharge, acting in conjunction with various other discharges, serves to degrade the water quality of the Bay. Fecal coliform and toxics standards are in violation while nutrients and DO levels are marginally acceptable. This water quality degradation ultimately limits the use of the Bay for its intended uses of shellfish harvesting and primary contact recreation.

Project <u>Description</u>

This project entails the construction of an extended outfall to discharge to the Raritan Bay. The point of discharge will be determined to maximum diffusion and dilution. When this project is constructed and the various other primary discharges are connected to MCUA, the Bay should be able to be utilized for its intended uses.

Anticipated

Recipient : BAYSHORE REGIONAL SA

FY90 RANK : 25.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$27,779,392

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|--|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ************************************** | MAN COST COMO NE CO COM CALLER COMO AND COMO | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SURTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|---|------------------|
| | | POTT 2000 01 70 70 50 50 50 10 1000 01 50 1000 01 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 100 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| 775 1111 170 1701 1701 1701 1701 1701 17 | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** | **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction—Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | \Q |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | **** |

Population .06450

200

TOTAL POINTS 550.06450

SUBTOTAL

Bayshore Regional Sewerage Authority C340697-03
Phase III Expansion Program

25

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Boroughs of Union Beach, Keansburg, Keyport, Matawan, Township of Hazlet, Holmdel (northern portion), Marlboro (northern portion)

Existing Population

64,498

Need for Project

The Bayshore Regional Sewerage Authority (BRSA) treatment plant has identified plant deficiencies in a "Task 13" Evaluation Report. Some of these deficiencies have been corrected in projects funded by the authority. The plant is presently operating near 80% of design capacity. The Bayshore area is experiencing growth in residential construction and population; additional growth is predicted by the Bayshore Development Council. The sludge incinerator used at BRSA has energy recovery/conservation possibilities that could lower overall operating costs.

The degradation of water quality in the receiving waters for the treatment plant has limited the potential uses for shellfish harvesting and recreational activities. Marginal violations exist for fecal coliform, toxics, and nutrients.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|---|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/l |
| Bayshore Regional Secondary (NJ0024708) | 8.0 | 7.1 | 79.0 - 34.6 | 86.0 - 26.2 |

Project Description

The proposed project will provide for the most cost-effective, environmentally sound solution to the wastewater treatment needs of Northern Marlboro and a portion of Holmdel, as well as development in the remaining communities. The solution will most likely entail the expansion of the BRSA regional facilities, including the remaining "Task 13" deficiencies and energy conservation considerations of the sludge incinerator. The completion of this project will provide the BRSA service area with adequate secondary wastewater treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : ABERDEEN TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340868-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,530,018

FY90 RANK : 26.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$7,530,018

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** | *********************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ********* |

SUBTOTAL 250

100

200

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | 0000 MINO 4 000 MINO MINO 1000 0000 | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Fopulation .01879

TOTAL POINTS 550.01879

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Aberdeen Township Municipal Utilities Authority C340868-01 STP

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Aberdeen Township

Existing Population

18,789

Need for Project

The Aberdeen Township Municipal Utilities Authority (ATMUA) operates three treatment facilities within the BRSA Service Area. These facilities are experiencing varying degrees of success in meeting their effluent limitations. The overall project need is based upon the inadequate treatment being provided in the BRSA planning area. The degradation of water quality in the receiving waters (SE-1) of the above mentioned treatment plants has placed limitations on the potential uses for shellfish harvesting and recreational activities. Marginal violations exist for fecal coliform, toxics and nutrients. The existing treatment plants in the service area are as follows:

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effluent |
|---|--------|---------|--------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported SS Reported |
| | | | % - mg/1 % - mg/1 |
| ATMUA - River Garden Secondary (NJ0022829) (Matawan Creek) | 0.1 | 0.188 | 42.8 - 63 28.2 - 63.3 |
| ATMUA - Cliffwood Beach Secondary (NJ0022543) (Whale Creek) | 0.75 | 0.396 | 87.8 - 38.5 43 - 63.1 |

Project Description

The proposed project will provide for the most cost-effective, environmentally sound solution to the wastewater treatment needs of Aberdeen Township. The project proposes the abandonment of the existing River Gardens wastewater treatment plant and the construction of a pumping station and forcemain to convey the flows from the River Gardens service area to the Cliffwood Beach Plant. Specific unit process would be subject to upgrading at the Cliffwood Beach Plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : ABERDEEN TOWNSHIF MUA

Project No.: 340869-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,102,190

FY90 RANK : 27.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$3,102,190

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 100 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 | | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | *** *** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Proceedings of the Process of the Pr | Possible | Points |
|--|---|--|
| Froject Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| *************************************** | 4000 0000 10 IN 0000 10 IN 0000 0000 0000 | ## *** *** *** · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | \Q |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .01873

SUBTOTAL

100

200

TOTAL POINTS 550.01878

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Aberdeen Township Municipal Utilities Authority C340869-01

STP

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Aberdeen Township

Existing Population

18,789

Need for Project

The Aberdeen Township Municipal Utilities Authority (ATMUA) operates three treatment facilities within the BRSA Service Area. These facilities are experiencing varying degrees of success in meeting their effluent limitations. The overall project need is based upon the inadequate treatment being provided in the BRSA planning area. The degradation of water quality in the receiving waters (SE-1) of the above mentioned treatment plants has placed limitations on the potential uses for shellfish harvesting and recreational activities. Marginal violations exist for fecal coliform, toxics and nutrients. The existing treatment plant in the service area is as follows:

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/l |
| ATMUA - Strathmore Secondary (NJ0022535) (Mohingson Brook) | 0.8 | 0.69 | 87.5 - 26.3 | 81 - 26.3 |

Project Description

The proposed project will provide for the most cost-effective, environmentally sound solution to the wastewater treatment needs of Aberdeen Township. The original Strathmore Wastewater Treatment Plant will be replaced with an upgraded and expanded wastewater treatment plant at the same site.

Anticipated

Recipient : SPARTA, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340495-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,526,136

FY90 RANK : 28.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$2,526,136

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|---|---|---|
| NA -101 NOT | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *** ··· |

SUBTUTAL 400

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 50

SUBTOTAL

100

.01432

TOTAL POINTS 550.01432

Population

28

County Sussex

Service Area

The study area consists of that portion of Sparta Township (48%) which is situated within the Upper Wallkill River Basin. On-site rehabilitation in conjunction with a Septic System Management District would consider the entire Township as a service area during implementation.

Existing Population 14,319

Need for Project

The failure rate for individual septic systems is averaging about 3% per year and has become a public nuisance. Many of the existing systems (e.g., cesspool) cannot meet the minimum requirements for subsurface disposal and must be replaced. In addition, all existing point source discharges must be addressed. Should these discharges remain in existence, they must be upgraded to meet the effluent limitations needed to protect existing water quality. In cases of discharge to the Wallkill River (FW2-TM) (Plaza and H.S. #1 and #2 plants), advanced wastewater treatment will be required to diminish any impacts to Franklin Pond, a downstream potable water source for Franklin Borough. Primary contact recreation is also adversely impacted by these discharges. The Wallkill river marginally meets standards for fecal coliform, nutrients and toxics.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effluent | |
|---|---------------|---------|---|-------------|
| STP (Level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | $\frac{\text{BOD Reported}}{\$ - \text{mg/1}} \frac{\text{SS Rep}}{\$ - \text{mg}}$ | orted /l |
| Plaza STP NJ0027057 (AST-90%) | .030 | .040 | 91.3 - 12.6 86.0 - | 23.3 |
| Board of EdAlpine School NJ0027065 (AST-95%) | .027 | .008 | 97 - 7.4 93 - | 16 |
| Board of EdH.S. Plant #1 NJ0027073 (AST-95%) | .022 | .005 | 98.6 - 4.14 97 - | 1.44 |
| Board of EdH.S. Plant #2 NJ0027081 (AST-95%) | .027 | .008 | 87.6 - 4.2 98 - | 2.56 |

Project Description

A likely alternative for wastewater disposal in Sparta Township is on-site rehabilitation in conjunction with initiation of septic management district planning. Also, this project would include the upgrading of all existing point source discharges to acceptable treatment levels, thus, precluding development of any future point source discharges in Sparta Township for the 20 year planning period.

Anticipated

Recipient : NO BERGEN TWP

Project No.: 340652-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$134,990

FY90 RANK : 29.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$134,990

1. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| | 200 100 001 010 100 100 100 000 000 | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | **** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|--|------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | ٥ |
| | | ********** |

SUBTOTAL 250

Fopulation .00874

TOTAL POINTS 550,00874

Priority List Rank

Township of North Bergen C340652-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Hudson

Service Area

Portions of the Township of North Bergen

Existing Population

8,748

Need for Project

The Township has proposed improvements to their existing sewer system. There are numerous lines that are constantly surcharging and in turn discharge to the Hackensack River (SE-2), classified for non-trout fishing and industrial water use. DO, fecal coliform, and toxics levels are all unacceptable. Many sanitary connections have been made to storm sewers turning them into combined sewers. These sewers are more than 50 years old and many regulators are inoperative.

Project Description

This proposed project is for the construction of several sewers to alleviate surcharging and to convey additional flow to the existing central treatment plant. The facilities plan is currently being revised.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN TOWNSHIP SA

Project No.: 340412-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$11,687,006

FY90 RANK : 30.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$11,687,006

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 24 110 410 110 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | 712 cm 105 411 cm 112 110 110 cm cm cm cm cm 100 00 | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | come d'anti del lis again art un acces cause prope | ***** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 . | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .02588

TOTAL POINTS 525.02580

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

75

Priority List Rank

Township of Ocean Sewerage Authority C340412-06

30

T STP Expansion

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Township of Ocean, Village of Loch Arbour, Borough of Allenhurst and Borough of Interlaken

Existing Population

25,879

Need for Project

The Township of Ocean Sewerage Authority wastewater facility can be characterized as inadequate secondary discharging into the Atlantic Ocean (SC). Shellfish harvesting and primary contact recreation are adversely impacted, with fecal coliform and nutrients levels marginally acceptable.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Eff | luent |
|------------------|--|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| 440 | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| : (1) | | | | % - mg/l | 5 - mg/1 |
| · ORMA | Township of Ocean SA (Secondary) (NJ0024520) | 3.6 | 4.95 | 81.3 - 37.5 | 81 - 17.3 |

Project Description

The project involves the conversion of the existing wastewater treatment facility from a modified activated sludge process to a covered pure oxygen activated sludge process and increasing the facilities capacity to 7.5 mgd.

Anticipated

Recipient : JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP

Project No. : 340747-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,716,065

FY90 RANK : 31.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,716,065

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints. | Scored |
| *** *** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 4004 0000 My NP 4000 1000 11 01 0000 1000 | **** **** *** *** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .01671

TOTAL POINTS 525.01671

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

125

Jefferson Township C340747-02 STP, Sludge/Septage Management

County

Morris

Service Area

Jefferson Township

Existing Population

16,710

Need for Project

The need for the development of a wastewater management plan for the Township of Jefferson has resulted from problems being experienced with existing on-site disposal systems. These systems are adversely affecting fishable trout and primary contact recreation waters, and the limited ability of four small treatment plants to provide adequate treatment. Fecal coliform and toxics levels are marginally acceptable and nutrients levels are totally unacceptable. Also, the sludge generated is being stored in an old tank on-site at the White Rock Lake STP, which is an unsatisfactory sludge disposal method. The receiving stream is a tributary of Mitt Pond which ultimately flows in the Boonton reservoir. High and Middle School discharges to Russia Brook (FW2-TM).

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| High and Middle School (secondary) | 0.28 | N/A* | 99.6 - 5.96 | 99.9 - 7.15 |
| White Rock Lake (AWT) | 0.155 | 0.0618 | 32.7 - 36.8 | 65.3 - 7.09 |

^{*}Information Not Available

Project Description

Jefferson Township performed a 201 Study for portions of Jefferson which lie both in the Rockaway Basin and Upper Musconetcong Basin (See C340747-05 for description of the Musconetcong plan). The proposed plan for Rockaway consists of expansion and upgrading of the existing White Rock Lake STP to 250,000 gpd and using the oxidation ditch process. Wastewater from the Cozy Lake, Lake Swannomoa and the White Rock Lake areas would be treated there. The proposed facility would include a pumping station, force main, interceptor and collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient : RINGWOOD BOROUGH SA

Project No.: 340483-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,866,357

FY90 RANK : 32.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$10,866,357

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | down 1989 111 |

SUBTOTAL 400

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | **** **** **** | | **** *** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 25 |

SUBTOTAL 75

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 50

.01328

TOTAL POINTS 525.01328

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Ringwood Borough Sewerage Authority C340483-02 STP, Int, Coll

32

County

Passaic

Service Area

Ringwood Borough

Existing Population

13,283

Need for Project

Existing pollution is presently caused by malfunctioning subsurface disposal systems throughout the entire service area and the discharge of inadequately treated wastewater to local streams from two existing treatment facilities. These watercourses are used for potable water supply, trout fishing and primary contact recreation. Their water quality marginally meets standards for fecal coliform and toxics.

| | ${	t Flow}$ | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Ringwood Borough S.A. (NJ0027006) (High Mt. Brook-FW2-TP(C1)) | .040 | .044 | 98.5 - 9.98 | 98.2 - 7.73 |
| Ringwood Shopping Plaza Forsgate Brook (FW2-TM) | | .012 Not Availabl | N/A - 10 | N/A - 20 |

Project Description

The Ringwood Borough Sewerage Authority is currently preparing a Project Report which will address, in detail, its wastewater needs and recommend solutions to problems uncovered during the study. Although a definite solution to Ringwood's wastewater problems is not known at this time, it would appear that collection sewers, conveyance and treatment in combination with an on-site system management district could be a likely solution.

Anticipated

Recipient: WRIGHTSTOWN

Project No.: 340925-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$8,122,961

FY90 RANK : 33.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$8,122,961

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| AND | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SURTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | 40 mm mm ++++. |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| See inch Tiechause Type | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | *********** |

Fopulation .00315

SURTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 525,00315

SUBTOTAL

275

Priority List Rank

Wrightstown, Borough of C340925-01 STP Upgrade

33

County

Burlington

Service Area

Wrightstown

Existing Population

3,146

Need for Project

The 0.2 mgd treatment plant in Wrightstown must be upgraded to meet required water quality standards; the plant will also be expanded. The treatment plant discharges to North Run, thence to Crosswicks Creek. The waters do not meet water quality standards for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, or nutrients, and levels of toxics are only marginally acceptable. Thus, use of these waters for non-trout fishing and agriculture are adversely impacted. Final effluent limitations for the treatment plant will specify 90% (20 mg/L) removal of BOD5 and 85% (20 mg/L) removal of suspended solids. In addition, there will be limits for nitrates and residual chlorine.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Wrightstown STP (NJ0022985) | 0.2 | 0.155 | 84.0-35.4 | 98.0-13.7 |

Project Description

The proposed project will upgrade the plant's secondary treatment processes. A pump station and emergency generator will be installed. The following process units will also be added to the existing facilities: nitrification/denitrification, sludge dewatering and handling, ultraviolet disinfection, and 24-hour composite sample controls.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST MILFORD MUA (AWOSTING)

Project No.: 340701-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$520,000

FY90 RANK : 34.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$520,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 200 1011 1011 1010 1010 1010 1010 1010 | | MENT + next name name mans + 2 a. |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 275

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *************************************** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

SUBTOTAL 50

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|---|------------------|
| *** | *************************************** | ***** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .00039

200

TOTAL POINTS 525,00039

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

West Milford MUA (Awosting) C340701-05 STP 34

County

Passaic

<u>Service Area</u>

Portion of the Township of West Milford

Existing Population

390

Need for Project

The effluent from the Awosting STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit. To comply with the Clean Water Act and NJDEP directives in the recently signed Administrative Consent Order, the West Milford Township MUA must upgrade the STP to meet Level 4 treatment as specified in the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan (amended 1986).

The Awosting STP discharges into the Wanaque Reservoir less than three miles above the headwaters of the newly created Monksville Reservoir, which is used as a potable water supply and is a trout fishery. The high concentrations of nutrients discharged from this plant adversely affect water quality in the reservoir and Wanaque River.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | | SS Reported mg/l |
| Awosting (secondary) (NJ0027669) | .045 | .022 | 85.3 - 26.9 | 72.7 - 26.4 |

Project Description

The proposed project includes the upgrading of the Awosting STP to achieve the required Level 4 treatment limits. Proposed construction includes a new equalization tank, nitrification and denitrification treatment units, and UV disinfection facilities. The existing aeration tank and clarifier will be upgraded.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLE TWP SA (AVALON MANOR)

Project No.: 340720-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$834,297

FY90 RANK : 35.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$834,297

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|---|-------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 1.25 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | *********** |

SUBTOTAL 250

225

.00000

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 525.00000

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Middle Township SA (Avalon Manor) C340720-03 Collection and Interceptor System 35

County

Cape May County

Service Area

The service area is located in the Southeastern portion of New Jersey in Cape May County. It is within the tidal estuary of Townsend Inlet. This area, locally known as Avalon Manor, occupies the small island just west of the City of Avalon.

Existing Population

The population of this area is 80 all year with an increase to 525 in the summer months.

Need for Project

Septic systems in the area are malfunctioning, causing a public nuisance. Efforts have been made to correct this situation with no progress. The failures are attributed to seasonal overuse, a high water table and poor percolation.

The surface waters surrounding the service area are classified as SE-1 by the NJDEP, however, the waters surrounding this area are presently closed to shellfishing. This is attributed to the malfunctioning septic systems within the service area. If a collection system is installed and the problem is alleviated, it will help reduce pollution in the area. At present, water quality does not meet standards for fecal coliform and nutrients, and marginally meet standards for dissolved oxygen and toxics.

The surface waters are also used for recreational bathing and boating. If the failing septic systems in this area are not removed, the pollution problem will increase, thus forcing the waters in this area to be closed for primary recreation purposes.

Project Description

Of several alternatives studied, a vacuum sewage and interceptor system was chosen as the most cost-effective, environmentally sound way to achieve the basic wastewater management objectives. The implementation of this plan means the removal of all septic systems, 30-40% of which are failing. The removal of these systems will have numerous benefits including the removal of a potential health hazard, the probable improvement of surface water quality and the general improvement of the quality of life. Wastes will be conveyed to the Cape May County MUA Seven Mile Beach/Middle Region STP.

Anticipated

Recipient : CAMDEN COUNTY MUA-DIST #1 & #2

Project No.: 340708-07 Eligible Project Cost : \$19,076,767

FY90 RANK : 36.0

Total State Amount : \$19,076,767 : CAMDEN County

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|---|
| 142 and 160 an | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | m 1000 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| *************************************** | | **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | \cap |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 1. |
| | | W-00 2005 |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

250

Population **.4544**0

TOTAL POINTS 501.45440

Priority List Rank

Camden County MUA, District 1 and 2 Control Program Combined Sewer Overflow C340708-07 36

County

Camden

Service Area

Camden and Gloucester City and adjoining municipalities.

Existing Population

454,480

Need for Project

Daily dry weather overflows and storm related overflows contribute excessive amounts of nutrients, solids and toxics into zone 3 of the Delaware River. Fecal coliform levels also do not meet standards, while dissolved oxygen is marginally acceptable. The impact is estimated to equal 60% of the future effluent organic loading from STPs. The Delaware River is used for water supply by the City of Philadelphia and for fishing and industrial uses.

Project Description

The control program includes refurbishment of selected regulators and automation for system control, diversion of flows to eliminate and consolidate overflows, and in-line storage of wastewater. Substantial benefits will be gained by the elimination of dry weather overflows and the prevention of tidal inflow.

Anticipated

Recipient : PERTH AMBOY, CITY OF

Project No.: 340435-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$29,654,027

FY90 RANK : 37.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$29,654,027

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** **** |

SUBTOTAL 250

250

•03733

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|------------------|--|---|---|------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | Man server |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |

SUBTOTAL

Population

TOTAL POINTS 501.03733

Priority List Rank

City of Perth Amboy C340435-04 Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

County

Middlesex

Service Area

City of Perth Amboy

Existing Population

37,330

Need for Project

The City of Perth Amboy has within its system nineteen (19) combined sewer overflows at which a continuous discharge of raw sewage during dry weather as well as wet weather is being experienced. Approximately 4.48 million gallons per day (MGD) of raw sewage is being discharged daily to receiving waterways (Arthur Kill and Raritan Bay). Also, of the 8.0 MGD treated each day approximately 30% (3.0 MGD) is salt water intrusion. The City of Perth Amboy is located at the mouth of the Raritan Bay. These waters were once utilized for shell fishing. Raw sewage discharges have had a detrimental impact on the adjacent waters and thus the shell fishing industry, as well as primary contact recreation. Dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, nutrient, and toxics concentration do not meet water quality standards.

Project Description

The grantee has completed a facility plan which addressed the existing water pollution control facility and the impact of the combined sewer overflows on the receiving waterways. The facility plan recommends that the existing water pollution control facility be abandoned and the wastewater be conveyed to the Middlesex County Utilities Authority for treatment and that a sewer separation program be initiated. Other alternatives included surface area drainage control, in-system storage, off-system storage, etc. The project will be for the construction of the sewer separation alternative.

A part of the city's project for correction of combined sewer overflows has been funded under separate marine CSO funds. However, additional funds for correction of combined sewer overflows located within the city limits will be required in the future. The CSOs not corrected under the MCSO program have had a detrimental impact on the water quality of adjacent waterways.

37

Anticipated

Recipient : LINDEN-ROSELLE SA

Project No.: 340299-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,178,773

FY90 RANK : 38.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$10,178,773

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | \circ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | ŏ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ••• ••• |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .05819

250

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 500,05819

Priority List Rank

Linden-Roselle Sewerage Authority C340299-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

38

County

Union

Service Area

The planning area includes the City of Linden and the Borough of Roselle

Existing Population

58,191

Need for Project

The Authority is required to complete a rehabilitation program to eliminate discharge points 003 and 004, and a sewer separation program to eliminate discharge points 001 and 002 as per the Part IIIb Special Conditions of the existing grant No. C340299-01. These discharges affect the quality of the Rahway River (SE-3). Fishable non-trout and industrial water uses are presently impacted due to fecal coliform, toxics, and DO (marginal) levels being unacceptable.

Project Description

The project is for the construction of relief sewers to enable the LRSA to eliminate discharge points 003 and 004 in the City of Linden. Elimination of these discharge points will improve water quality in the receiving stream. The alignment of relief sewers falls within the Wheeler Park Easement. This project requires the approval from Green Acres.

Anticipated

Recipient: RIDGEWOOD, VILLAGE OF

Project No.: 340639-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,825,558

FY90 RANK : 39.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$1,825,558

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| N. H. S. | acrt 1800) 1907 (1942 4010 4444 4144 2046 | 00 to 1 to 00 00000 areas sees |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥, |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ··· |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 50 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | | 25 | 50 | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

CSO Abatement

| | Fossible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| Project Bischarge Type | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |

Population .02486

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

250

TOTAL POINTS 500,02486

Priority List Rank

39

Ridgewood, Village of C340639-05
Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow I/A

County

Bergen

Service Area

Village of Ridgewood

Existing Population

24,860

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has been completed which documents the existence of excessive I/I within the service area. An additional study will be undertaken to further define the quantity of excessive I/I that can be cost-effectively removed from this system. This project is needed to eliminate periodic overflow, causing discharge of untreated sewage into the HoHoKus and Diamond Brooks (FW2-NT, previously FW-2) which is adversely affecting the quality of these waterways, and posing a potential public health problem. The brooks have fishing and industrial uses. The affected waterways do not meet standards for fecal coliform and toxics, and marginally meets the dissolved oxygen standard.

Project Description

The proposed project will consist of 820 feet of gravity sewers and 8,400 feet of force main. Three pumping stations will be modified - Ridgewood Lawns P.S.; Bellair Road P.S. and Andover P.S. These mechanical improvements will enable the pump stations to handle additional sewage flows previously bypassed. These improvements are currently being designed.

Anticipated

Recipient : NEW BRUNSWICK, CITY OF

Project No.: 340437-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,672,681

FY90 RANK : 40.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$2,672,681

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | · |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ō |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | •••• |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| **** **** * | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | O |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 4 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

225

Population +03986

TOTAL POINTS 476.03986

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Middlesex Co. U.A.-New Brunswick City C340437-02
Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

40

County

Middlesex

Service Area

City of New Brunswick

Existing Population

39,858

Need for Project

Presently, the wastewater from the City of New Brunswick is carried by collection sewers to a main interceptor which transports the flow to the 120 MGD Middlesex Co. STP. There is, however, one combined sewer overflow at Albany Street which discharges in the Raritan River. The Raritan is a nontrout, fishable river which is not currently meeting fecal coliform and nutrients standards and is only marginally meeting D.O. and toxics standards. Shellfish harvesting and primary contact recreation are adversely impacted by poor water quality. Middlesex Co. is currently performing a CSO study to ascertain the CO's impacts on the Raritan. This study will determine where or not a water quality benefit can be derived from the elimination of the CSO.

Project Description

There is an ongoing CSO Study being performed by Middlesex County. Four (4) alternatives for the elimination of the CSO are being investigated. These are sewer separation, in-system storage, off-system storage and chemical treatment. A preliminary assessment has determined sewer separation to be the most cost-effective alternative. Should it be determined that a water quality benefit can be derived from the elimination of the CSO, and that sewer separation is the most cost-effective alternative, the project will be for the construction of the sewer separation alternative.

Anticipated

Recipient : WARREN, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340913-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,001,936

FY90 RANK : 41.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$7,001,936

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | ⊬ossıb ie | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AM 1 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Flamameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 1016 TATE THAT AREA AREA AREA SAME THAN THE AREA THAN A SAME THAN A SAME THAN AN AREA SAME AREA AND AREA SAME A | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 1
Population .00187

SUBTOTAL

225

TOTAL POINTS 476.00187

Priority List Rank

Warren, Township of C340913-01 STP

41

County

Somerset

Service Area

North-central section of Warren Township

Existing Population

1,870

Need for Project

The Stage IV Wastewater Treatment Plant presently provides secondary treatment. The NJPDES permit (#NJ0022497) requires the plant to be upgraded to provide Level 4 treatment by April 1989. This date cannot be met; consequently, an Administrative Consent Order is being prepared by the NJDEP. In May 1984, an amendment to the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan was approved by the NJDEP permitting Warren to expand the Stage IV plant to 0.80 mgd and to provide Level 4 treatment. The upgrading of the Stage IV plant will improve the Dead River/Passaic River for downstream potable, industrial, and non-trout fishery uses. The water bodies do not meet standards for toxics and fecal coliform, and only marginally meet standards for dissolved oxygen and nutrients.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Stage IV (secondary) (NJ0022497) | 0.40 | 0.33 | 93 - 7.1 | 92 - 10.5 |

Project Description

The proposed project includes the construction of two oxidation ditches, two final clarifiers, an intermediate pump station, two polishing sand filters, an UV disinfection facility, a control building, and the conversion of the existing contact stabilization package plant to an aerobic digester. The capacity of the raw sewage pump station will also be increased.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST MILFORD MUA (HIGHVIEW)

Project No.: 340701-07 Eligible Project Cost : \$325,000

FY90 RANK : 42.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$325,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 44 44 M. | | ************ |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 425 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|--|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | MIN **** **** **** **** **** **** **** * | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 1
Population .00037

SUBTOTAL

50

TOTAL POINTS 476.00037

Priority List Rank

West Milford MUA (Highview), C340701-07

42

County

STP

Passaic

Service Area

Portion of the Township of West Milford

Existing Population

370

Need for Project

The effluent from the Highview STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit. To comply with the Clean Water Act and NJDEP directives in the recently signed Administrative Consent Order, the West Milford Township MUA must upgrade the STP to meet Level 4 treatment as specified in the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan (amended 1986).

The Highview STP discharges to Vreeland Pond in the
headwaters of the Macopin River, which flows to Echo Lake,
thence to the Pequannock River, which does not meet
standards for nutrients. The river is a trout fishery, with
potable water supply, primary contact recreation, and
agricultural water uses.

| ***** | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | |
| 1 200 | | | | % mg/1 | % mg/1 |
| | Highview (secondary) | .080 | .056 | 94.5 14.3 | 92.9 - 10.3 |
| | (NJ0027685) | | | | |

Project Description

The proposed project will upgrade the existing Highview treatment plant. In order for the STP to meet Level 4 treatment, it will be necessary to install phosphorus removal equipment, an ammonia nitrogen treatment unit, UV disinfection equipment, and postaeration facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient : LITTLE FALLS MUA

Project No.: 340716-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$18,315,001

FY90 RANK : 43.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$18,315,001

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ATT 410 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| T | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | V | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | ೨ ೦ | 100 | 1.00 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 2m | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ♦ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .01222

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

225

200

TOTAL POINTS 475.01222

Priority List Rank

Little Falls MUA C340716-02

43

STP, PS, FM

County

Passaic

Service Area

- Township of Little Falls and small areas of Cedar Grove and North Caldwell
- Existing Population
- 12,215

Need for Project

The effluent from the Township's plant is presently discharging to the Peckman River. However, preliminary facilities planning has recommended a new outfall to the Passaic River (FW2-NT classification). The plant must be upgraded to provide Level 2 treatment for the discharge of effluent to the Passaic River. Water quality marginally meets standards for toxics, but exceeds standards for DO and fecal coliform, thus impacting nontrout maintenance and industrial water use.

| 40 | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-----|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | |
| 400 | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/1 |
| *** | Little Falls (Secondary) (NJ0024732) | 0.86 | 1.78 | 82.1 - 30.5 | 89.0 - 20.9 |

Project Description

This proposed project is for the construction of primary and secondary settling, conversion to high-rate trickling filters, chemical addition, rotating biological contactors, filtration, post aeration, chlorination, effluent pump station and a new outfall in order to meet Level 2 treatment requirements. The plant will also be expanded from 0.86 million gallons per day (MGD) to approximately 2.0 MGD. This project is presently in the facilities planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient : SUSSEX COUNTY MUA (FOCHUCK)

Project No.: 340503-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$9,576,263

FY90 RANK : 44.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$9,576,263

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | F'oints |
|--|---|-------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | . 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 225 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|--|--|---|---------------|---|
| Paramete | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| , 100 1011 1011 1014 1014 1014 1010 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 | **** ** ** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1177 7777 7777 1771 1772 7777 1772 1772 | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \$ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .01193

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

50

TOTAL POINTS 475.01193

Project Name, Number
Sussex County MUA - Pochuck
C340503-03
STP, PS, FM, INT.

Priority List Rank

County Sussex

Service Area

The study area delineated as the Pochuck River Basin consists of a large section (62%) of Vernon Township and a portion (3%) of Hardyston Township.

Existing Population 11,926

Need for Project

Vernon Township is experiencing an increasing number of septic system failures. Furthermore, a number of existing systems have been found to be inadequate with regard to design and/or construction deficiencies. As evidenced by a local enforcement issue in the Barry Lakes area of Vernon, this situation has the potential of becoming a public health problem. In addition, there are four existing package plants. All STP flows average below their design capacity, however, substantial seasonal flow variations to the plants can impact water quality. Water quality concerns include frequent fecal coliform violations, as well as marginal levels of dissolved oxygen, nutrients, and toxics, and the resultant impact upon the fishing, recreational and agricultural water uses of the Wallkill River (trout waters).

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/1 | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Americana Hotel NJ0023949 | 0.50 | 0.35 | N/A - 5.0 | N/A - 8.3 |
| Vernon Township School Boa | rd 0.035 | .00811 | 97 - 14 | 97 - 4.6 |
| Great Gorge Ski Corp. NJ0021814 | 0.022 | .0094 | 99.7 - 2.7 | 99.7 - 2.82 |
| Vernon Valley Recreation ANJ0023027 | 0.018 | .0094 | 98 - 8.7 | 99 - 11.1 |

Project Description

Assistance to SCMUA for this project would provide for the design and construction of adequate wastewater disposal facilities for the Pochuck Basin. The treatment alternatives are many and varied due to the clustered lakeside communities and relative distances and terrain between them. Options would include but not be limited to: regional as well as decentralized treatment, dual systems, low pressure sewers (w/grinder pump or STEP system), cluster systems, and on-site rehabilitation. This will result in a new conveyance/treatment system to eliminate the septic failures.

Anticipated

Recipient : SUSSEX BOROUGH

Project No.: 340744-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$243,145

FY90 RANK : 45.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$243,145

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ********************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \Q |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *************************************** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00246

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

175

250

TOTAL POINTS 475,00246

Priority List Rank

Sussex Borough C340744-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction -Overflow County

Sussex

Service Area

The service area is located in the Wallkill Watershed and consists exclusively of the Borough of Sussex. The Borough of Sussex presently owns, operates and maintains the only existing collection system and treatment works project within the Lower Wallkill Study area.

Existing Population

2,457

Need for Project

The Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority is preparing a 201 Facilities Plan (C340573-01) for the Lower Wallkill River Basin to evaluate the present and future needs for adequate wastewater treatment and disposal. It is already known that the Borough of Sussex Sewage Treatment Plant frequently encounters peak flows which cause much of the raw sewage flow to by-pass the plant and discharge directly to Clove Brook, FW2-NT (tributary to Papakating Creek which is a tributary to Wallkill River). This raw discharge results in frequent fecal coliform violations and marginally impacts dissolved oxygen and ammonia toxicity. To fullfill Federal requirements, an infiltration/inflow (I/I) study was performed on the Borough of Sussex collection system. This study suggested that much of the by-pass problem can be attributed to I/I problems associated with the advanced age of the collection system, and has proposed a Phase I Sewer System Evaluation Survey to be performed under the existing grant (C340573-01).

Project Description

The SSES will verify and refine the findings of the I/I study and recommend rehabilitation measures to be implemented for the Borough of Sussex collection system. Not only will it be cost effective to remove this excessive flow, but the rehabilitation effected through this project will help alleviate the raw sewage by-pass problem at the Sussex Borough plant. This grant would allow an immediate improvement to the environment and is consistent with the planning efforts to upgrade the Borough of Sussex Sewage Treatment Plant.

45

Anticipated

Recipient : WILLINGBORO MUA

Project No.: 340755-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$17,481,969

FY90 RANK : 46.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$17,481,969

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | () | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|---------------------|
| made rade view view view view view view view vie | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | ********** |

SUBTOTAL

Population .05615

TOTAL POINTS 451.05615

SUBTOTAL

200

Priority List Rank

Willingboro MUA for URANCO C340755-03
STP, Sludge, INT, PS, FM

46

County

Burlington

Service Area

Edgewater Park, Delanco Township, Beverly City, part of Westampton Twp and Willingboro Township

Existing Population 56,146

Need for Project

Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT, previously FW-2) - Nontrout stream with severe violations of fecal coliform and marginal violations of phosphorus,

D.O., and toxics. The Beverly STP discharges to Delaware River and the Willingboro STP discharges to the tidal estuary of the Rancocas Creek, and both affect the water quality of the Delaware River for potable water use including a major potable water supply at Torresdale. Also, there is industrial use of the receiving water. Of the two existing wastewater treatment plants serving the planning area, the Beverly Plant is the older, and historically has performed very poorly. Although recent performance has improved, the plant is only operating at about 50% of design capacity. The existing Beverly and Willingboro plants are facing problems with handling and disposing of sludge. There is also an Infiltration/Inflow problem due to the existence of about 0.50 mgd I/I in the Willingboro MUA sewer system.

| -460 | | Flow | (mgd) | Effli | uent |
|-------|--|---------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|
| · 498 | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| | Willingboro MUA(secondary) | 4.2 | 4.38 | 94.3 - 16.2 | 93.3-21.65 |
| | (NJ0023361) Beverly SA (secondary) (NJ0027481) | 1.0 | .49 | 91.8 - 13.5 | 91.3-19.7 |

Project Description

The project proposes the upgrading and expansion of the existing Willingboro and Beverly plants. Also, sludge management facilities will be constructed so that the current sludge management regulations can be met.

The ultimate sludge disposal plant will be incorporated into the on-going county wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (LGEHRR)

Project No.: 340405-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$17,490,911

FY90 RANK : 47.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$17,490,911

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 300 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|--|-----------|---|---|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *************************************** | *************************************** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \(\) |

SUBTUTAL 1

Fopulation .02297

TOTAL POINTS 451.02297

SUBTOTAL

150

Priority List Rank

ACUA - LGEHRR C340405-03

47

INT., P.S., F.M.

county

- Atlantic

Service Area

Hamilton Township, Weymouth Township and portions of Egg Harbor and Galloway Townships, Estell Manor and Corbin City

Existing Population

22,967

Need for Project

The existing Hamilton Township Municipal Utilities Authority (HTMUA) wastewater treatment facility, which discharges into the Lower Great Egg Harbor River (PL), can be characterized as an inadequate advanced treatment facility because of periodic non-compliance with percentage removal requirements for BOD and suspended solids. The receiving water is classified for potable water, primary contact recreation, shellfish harvesting, nontrout fishing and agricultural uses. Existing water quality is presently marginally acceptable for DO, fecal coliform, nutrients, and toxics. The permit (NJ0021393) requires effluent limits of 13 mg/l for BOD and SS and 95% removal. The present available capacity of HTMUA is approximately 0.08 mgd, or less than 4% of the year 2000 need for centralized service (3.2 mgd) in the study area. The implementation of a regional wastewater management plan will be necessary in order to avoid continued water quality degradation.

| : 1989 | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/1 | | |
| • | | | 6 - mg/1 | 6 - Mg/I | |
| Hamilton Township MUA | 0.65 | 0.67 | 94.1 - 12.0 | 92.3 - 8.4 | |
| (Advanced)) Seasonal | • | 0.71 | 92.9 - 14.3 | 93.6 - 9.8 | |
| (NJ0021393) | | | | | |

Project Description

The proposed project includes the construction of interceptors, pumping stations, and force mains to convey LGEHRR flows to the Atlantic County Utilities Authority coastal regional treatment system.

Anticipated

Recipient : TRIBORO-CINNAMINSON

FY90 RANK : 48.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$15,259,153

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 410 100 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \circ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|---|-----------|--|---|---------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | ***** **** **** *** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .01564

TOTAL POINTS 451.01564

SUBTOTAL

200

Priority List Rank

48

Triboro-Cinnaminson C340763-03

STP, INT, PS, FM, SL MGMT

County

Burlington

Service Area

Township of Cinnaminson

Existing Population

15,642

Need for Project

The Township of Cinnaminson Wastewater Treatment Plant has been periodically in violation of its respective NJPDES permit requirements concerning BOD and SS. The receiving stream is the Delaware River, which is classified as Zone 2, nontrout with industrial water use. The Cinnaminson Sewage Treatment Plant discharge affects the water quality of the Delaware River for the potable water use including a major potable water supply at Torresdale. The existing water guality of the Delaware River at the discharge point marginally meets the dissolved oxygen, nutrients and toxics standards, but does not meet the fecal coliform standards.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | |
|---|------------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | | | SS Reported |
| | | | 8 - | - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| Twp. of Cinnaminson (Secondary) (NJ0024007) | 2.00 | 1.70 | 89 | - 19 | 92 - 20 |

Project Description

The Tri-Borough Wastewater Facilities Plan recommends that the existing Cinnaminson S.A. Sewage Treatment Plant be expanded and upgraded by using the activated sludge process to comply with the NJPDES permit requirements. The project shall also include construction of pump stations, force mains and sludge management facilities.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county wide sludge/septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : DELRAN SA-DELRAN

Project No. : 340794-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,255,179

FY90 RANK : 49.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$5,255,179

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ME THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|--|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 1.00 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL

Population .01417

TOTAL POINTS 451.01417

Priority List Rank

Delran Sewerage Authority-Delran C340794-04 STP, Coll, Int, PS, FM, Sludge Management

County

Burlington

Service Area

Delran Township

Existing Population

14,173

Need for Project

Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT) - Nontrout stream with severe violations of fecal coliform and moderate violations of D.O., toxics and total phosphorus at the upper mainstream. The Delran STP discharges in the tidal estuary of the Rancocas Creek and affects the water quality of the Delaware River at the Philadelphia Torresdale potable water intake. There are several farms along the Rancocas Creek and Delaware River. A Needs Survey indicates that on-site systems in the southeastern area of Delran Township have moderately high failure rates.

| | Flow | (mgd) | | Effl ^e | uent |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|----|-------------------|-------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | | | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Delran STP (secondary) (NJ0023507) | 1.50 | 1.46 | 97 | 24.9 | 91.8 19.6 |

Project Description

The Delran STP is proposed for upgrading in order to meet its NJPDES permit at 90% removal. Nitrification may be a new requirement for the Delran STP if the N.J. Water Quality Studies of the Rancocas Creek determine that it is required. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations. The proposed project also consists of construction of pump stations, collection systems, interceptors, and force mains.

49

Anticipated

Recipient : NEW PROVIDENCE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340474-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,879,230

FY90 RANK : 50.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$4,879,230

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ********* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform Nutrients Toxics | 0 0 0 | 50 50 25 25 | 100 100 50 50 | 100 50 25 25 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type Foints | |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| Frimary Discharge 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment 100 | 0 |
| New Systems 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement 1 | O |

SUBTOTAL :

200

Fopulation .01223

TOTAL POINTS 451.01223

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

50

New Providence C340474-03 STP

County

_Union

Service Area

New Providence

Existing Population

12,228

_Need for Project

The New Providence treatment plant discharges directly to the Passaic River (FW2-NT, previously FW-2), classified for potable water use, and is presently not meeting the NJPDES requirements for advanced treatment. Water quality marginally meets standards for nutrients, toxics and fecal coliform, but dissolved oxygen levels are in violation.

| - Annilla | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|---|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | | SS Reported |
| ; pod | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| "New Providence (secondary) (NJ0021636) | 2.8 | 1.4 | 89.3 - 11.2 | 90.2 -13.3 |

Project Description

The proposed project involves upgrading the treatment plant to Level 3, providing an advanced treatment system. The facilities planning is essentially complete through the Environmental Impact Statement prepared by the USEPA.

Anticipated

Recipient : HANDVER SA

Project No.: 340388-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$20,707,113

FY90 RANK : 51.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$20,707,113

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \cap |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Population .01190

TOTAL POINTS 451.01190

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

1.

Priority List Rank

Hanover Sewerage Authority (HSA) C340388-03

51

County

STP

Morris

Service Area

Township of Hanover

Existing Population

11,902

Need for Project

The effluent from HSA's existing treatment plant is discharged to the Whippany River and the effluent limitations required by the river's FW2-NT, previously FW-2, classification are not being met by the existing facilities at the present time. This project impacts freshwater fisheries (non-trout), industrial and potable water uses. Existing water quality marginally meets standards for fecal coliform, nutrients and toxics, but dissolved oxygen level is in violation of the standards.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| HSA (secondary) (NJ0024902) | 4.0 | 1.6 | 94 - 14.2 | 94.2 - 10.7 |

Project Description

This project is for the upgrading of the treatment plant to achieve a higher level of treatment (Level 4). To provide this higher level of treatment, it will be necessary to provide nitrification, gravity filtration and post aeration.

Anticipated

Recipient : BURLINGTON CITY

FY90 RANK : 52.0

: BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$12,237,500 County

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible. | Foints |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | News over over man area area sacr | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
| *** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** * *** **** **** **** **** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | i | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL Population .01034

SUBTOTAL

200

TOTAL POINTS 451.01034

Priority List Rank

Burlington City C340712-06 STP, Int., P.S., Sludge Mgmt

County

Burlington

Service Area

Burlington City

Existing Population

10,342

Need for Project

Delaware River (Zone 2) - Fishable non-trout stream with violations of fecal coliform standards, and marginally acceptable levels of dissolved oxygen, nutrients, and toxics. The Burlington City STP discharge affects the water quality at the Philadelphia-Torresdale potable water intake. There are numerous farms along the Delaware River.

| | | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|-------------------|---|------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| y - 1-10 2 | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported | SS Reported |
| | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| irrane | | | | | |
| ~ | Burlington City STP (secondary) (NJ0024660) | 3.20 | 1.42 | 89.25 - 28.7 | 93.6 - 18.3 |

Project Description

The Burlington City STP is proposed for upgrading to improve its treatment level to the requirements in the new NJPDES permit. Burlington City has proposed to build high rate trickling filters, new primary clarifiers and new sludge treatment facilities. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

52

Anticipated

Recipient : CHATHAM TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340403-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$17,274,897

FY90 RANK : 53.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$17,274,897

I. SEGMENT POINTS

22-01 20-01 10-02 10-02 20-02

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | • |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 225 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 50 25 |
| 100100 | v | U | 00 | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1. |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .00905

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

225

TOTAL POINTS 451.00906

Priority List Rank

Chatham Township C340403-02 STP

53

County

- Morris

Service Area

Township of Chatham

Existing Population

9,055

. Need for Project

The effluent from the existing STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit conditions. The Chatham Township facility discharges into the Black Brook, FW2-NT (fishable, nontrout), where water quality does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen and nutrients. The discharge from the STP adversely impacts downstream use of the water body for potable water. Fecal coliform and toxic levels marginally meet standards.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| 10,99 | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Rep rted | SS Reported |
| **** | | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/1 |
| sp yl | Chatham Twp. (Secondary) (NJ0020290) | 1.0 | 1.12 | 83 - 31.4 | 86 - 23.0 |

Project Description

The proposed project involves expansion and upgrading to level 4 treatment. The plant should be designed so that nutrient removal may be added to the process before construction begins, if water quality study recommends such measures.

Anticipated

Recipient : MORRIS TOWNSHIP-WOODLAND

Project No.: 340724-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$16,386,048

FY90 RANK : 54.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$16,386,048

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | rossible. | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | 544 C 1000 1000 00 00 1000 1000 00 1000 00 1000 | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \cap |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1. |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | C |

SUBTOTAL 1

Fopulation .00437

TOTAL POINTS 451.00437

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

225

Priority List Rank

Morris Twp.-Woodland C340724-02 STP

54

County

Morris

Service Area

Morris Township

Existing Population

4,370

Need for Project

The existing treatment plant (Woodland) discharges treated effluent into the Loantaka Brook tributary of the Passaic River, FW2-NT.

Current water quality does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen and nutrients. Fecal coliform and toxic levels marginally meet standards. Additionally, the plant discharge impacts nontrout fishing and the potable water uses.

| | | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| *50% | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| HOUP | | • | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| **** | Woodland (secondary) (NJ0024929) | 2.0 | 1.336 | 93.6-12.46 | 99.4-2.25 |

Project Description

The proposed project involves upgrading the treatment plant to meet
 NJPDES permit requirements (NJ00242929) as required by Administrative
 Consent Order issued June 30, 1989.

Anticipated

Recipient : WEST MILFORD MUA (BIRCH HILL)

Project No.: 340701-08 Eligible Project Cost : \$65,000

FY90 RANK : 55.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$65,000

SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | 1000 PROS |

B. Existing Water Quality

| W. | Meets | • | Does Not Meet | Points |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Froject Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| ************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** *** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | i | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

Fopulation .00015

TOTAL POINTS 451,00015

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

400

50

1.

Priority List Rank

West Milford MUA (Birch Hill)

55

C340701-08

STP

__ County

_ Passaic

Service Area

Portion of the Township of West Milford

Existing Population

150

Need for Project

- The effluent from the Birch Hill STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit. To comply with the Clean Water Act and NJDEP directives in the recently signed Administrative Consent Order, the West Milford Township MUA must upgrade the STP to meet Level 4 treatment as specified in the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan (amended 1986).
- The Birch Hill STP discharges to the Wanaque River, which is a trout fishery, also used for primary contact recreation and as a potable water supply. The river does not meet standards for nutrients.

| انس، | | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| Penny | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | |
| - Miles | | | | % mg/l | % mg/1 |
| - | Birch Hill (secondary) (NJ0027541) | .016 | .022 | 94.6 - 10.3 | 94.6 - 9.22 |

Project Description

The proposed project will upgrade the existing Birch Hill treatment plant. In order for the STP to meet Level 4 treatment, it will be necessary to install phosphorus removal equipment, an ammonia nitrogen treatment unit, UV disinfection equipment, and postaeration facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient : SOMERSET-RARITAN VALLEY SA

Eligible Project Cost : \$17,482,175 Project No.: 340529-04

FY90 RANK : 56.0 County : SOMERSET Total State Amount \$17,482,175

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 49 100 100 100 100 100 100 101 401 400 100 401 401 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ************* |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Far ameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|------------------------------------|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL 200

200

Population .08355

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 450.08355

Priority List Rank

Somerset Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority C340529-04

56

Expansion & Upgrading of Existing STP

County

_ Somerset

Service Area

Hillsborough, Branchburg, Raritan, Somerville, Bridgewater, Warren, and the north section of Green Brook.

Existing Population

83,554

Need for Project

Following moderate to heavy rain, the SRVSA treatment plant
experiences excessive increases in flow. Additional secondary
settling capacity is needed to treat this flow. Also, at least 11
million gallons of stormwater storage capacity is needed to handle
stormwater inflow above 40 million gallons per day. Currently, water
quality standards for toxics and fecal coliform are not being met, and
dissolved oxygen is only marginally acceptable.

| - | | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent | |
|---|--|---------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | SS Reported % - mg/l | |
| *************************************** | | | | 3 | • | |
| 100 | Somerset-Raritan Valley SA (NJ0024864) | 16.0 | 15.5 | 77.3 - 11.8 | 65.8 - 19.5 | |

Project Description

Two final settling tanks will be constructed and two unused former

American Cyanamid Co. aeration tanks at the treatment plant site will be converted into storm water storage tanks.

Anticipated

Recipient : SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TWP OF

Project No.: 340866-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$256,554

FY90 RANK : 57.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$256,554

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | H |
| | SUBTOTAL | 25 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | *** ***** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible - | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1915 THE | ***** ***** **** **** ***** ***** ***** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | O |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .02236

TOTAL POINTS 450.02236

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

Priority List Rank

Township of South Brunswick C340866-01 PS, FM

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Township of South Brunswick (Kendall Park)

Existing Population

22,356

Need for Project

The Kendall Park Pump Station, known as Pump Station #7, serves the Township of South Brunswick. Currently, at times of high water table, the normal flow 1.5 million gallons per day of pumpage increases as high as 4 million gallons per day resulting in overflow to the Ten Mile Run, which is a tributary into the Millstone River. This affects the fishable (Nontrout) waters. Fecal coliform standards are in violation, with dissolved oxygen and nutrients standards marginally acceptable.

Project Description

An upgrading of the Kendall Park Pump Station (Pump Station #7) for the elimination of overflow. The upgrading of this Pump Station requires the improvement of the Pump Station itself and the installation of a parallel larger diameter force main to Middlesex County Utilities Authority.

Anticipated

Recipient : MAPLE SHADE TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340710-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,323,250

FY90 RANK : 58.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$12,323,250

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 100 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 | **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

Fopulation .01976

TOTAL POINTS 450.01976

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

58

Maple Shade Township C340710-02 STP, SL MGMT, Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Burlington

Service Area

Maple Shade Township

Existing Population

19,759

Need for Project

All the treatment plant facilities in the Township of Maple Shade have been in violation of their NJPDES permit requirements concerning BOD5 and SS. In addition, the treatment facilities have been hydraulically overloaded and suffer inadequate secondary treatment. The treatment facilities are under a local sewer connection ban. The receiving streams are the South and North Branch of Pennsauken Creek, which is classified as FW2-NT, nontrout and industrial water use. The existing water quality of the Pennsauken Creek at the discharge point marginally meets the dissolved oxygen standards and does not meet fecal coliform and toxics standards.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|--|---------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/1 | SS Reported % - mg/1 |
| Maple Shade #1 (Secondary) (NJ0028738) | 1.00 | 0.89 | 56.2 - 48.1 | 83.7 - 21.3 |
| Maple Shade #2 (Secondary) (NJ0028746) | 0.60 | 1.12 | 53.0 - 83.5 | 78.8 - 6.5 |

Project Description

The 201 Facilities Plan investigated methods for upgrading and/or expanding the existing municipal wastewater treatment facilities to bring the municipal discharges into compliance with their NJPDES effluent limitations and secondary treatment requirements. Alternatives ranged from upgrading the existing local treatment facilities to regionalization approaches, which would phase out one or more of the existing facilities.

A sludge management plan will be prepared for determining the ultimate disposal of sludge solids generated by the public wastewater treatment facilities serving the planning area. The ultimate sludge disposal plan will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations. In addition, I/I studies for the local collection system and industrial pretreatment program will be reported.

Anticipated

Recipient : MOORESTOWN TOWNSHIP

Project No. : 340912-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,978,962

FY90 RANK : 59.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$7,978,962

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Hossible | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NOT THE THE REST AND | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ************ |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \Q |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform Nutrients | 0 | 50 25 | 100 50 | 100 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | O |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 200

SUBTOTAL

200

.01573

TOTAL POINTS 450.01573

Population

Priority List Rank

Moorestown C340912-01 STP, SL MGMT, I/I Correction

County

Burlington

Service Area

Moorestown Township

Existing Population

15,734

Need for Project

The treatment plant in the Township of Moorestown has been in violation of its NJPDES permit requirements concerning BOD5 and SS. In addition, the treatment facilities have been hydraulically overloaded, resulting in inadequate secondary treatment. The treatment facilities are under a local sewer connection ban. The receiving streams are the South and North Branch of Pennsauken Creek, which is classified as FW2-NT, nontrout and industrial water use. The existing water quality of the Pennsauken Creek at the discharge point marginally meets the dissolved oxygen standards and does not meet fecal coliform and toxics standards.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported | |
| | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | % - mg/1 | % - mg/1 |
| Moorestown (Secondary) (NJ0024996) | 2.50 | 2.49 | 61.8 - 52.0 | 74.0 - 42.6 |

Project Description

The proposed project will expand and upgrade the existing treatment facilities from 2.5 mgd to 3.0 mgd and bring the plant discharge into compliance with its NJPDES effleunt limitations. In addition, rehabilitation work may be implemented to reduce excessive infiltration and inflow into the township's collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEQUANNOCK, LINCOLN, FAIRFIELL:

Project No.: 340354-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,462,392

FY90 RANK : 60.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$3,462,392

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Datable Uster Currie | 200 | 200 |
| Potable Water Supply | · · · · | |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | *** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Far ameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | O (Gilder GS | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 150 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| 1817 1000 1001 1000 MIC 1000 MIC 1000 MIC 1000 MIC MIC MIC MICE MICE MICE MICE MICE MI | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population .00313

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 450.00313

Priority List Rank

Pequannock, Fairfield and Lincoln Park SA (Two-Bridges SA) C340354-03 INT., PS, FM 60

County

Morris

Service Area

The southeast and southcentral portions of Pequannock Township

Existing Population

3,036

Need for Project

The existing receiving water, Pompton River (FW2-NT), is classified for potable water supply, nontrout maintenance, and industrial water use. Water quality standards are currently violated for fecal coliform, and marginally meet nutrients and toxics.

| - | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 84 | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| # > | Laurel Home (Secondary) (NJ0022926) | 0.010 | 0.007 | 95.4 - 8.4 | 81.5 - 12.0 |

Project Description

The TBSA has proposed the construction of transmission facilities to convey wastewater from the southeast and southcentral portions of the Township of Pequannock to the TBSA's existing treatment plant. The Laurel Home treatment plant will be eliminated. The proposed transmission facilities will consist of two pump stations, force main and interceptors.

Anticipated

Recipient : FEQUANNOCK TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340480-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,856,303

FY90 RANK : 61.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$5,856,303

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|-------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | ***************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | floes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Paramete | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1012 2020 4120 1020 1020 1020 1021 1021 1021 1 | **** **** *** *** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

Fogulation .00303

TOTAL POINTS 450.00303

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

150

Priority List Rank

Township of Pequannock C340480-03 Coll Sewers

County

Morris

Service Area

Portions of Pequannock Township

Existing Population

3,036

Need for Project

The township has proposed the construction of sewers in the Southeast and Southcentral portions of the Township due to the fact that the soils in this service area are classified by the Soil Conservation Service as severely limiting for on-site disposal. Health surveys performed for this area have indicated an incidence of septic system malfunctions and shallow acquifer contamination. The existing receiving water, Pequannock River (FW2-NT), is classified for potable water supply nontrout maintenance, and industrial water use. Water quality standards are currently violated for fecal coliform, and marginally meets nutrients and toxics.

Project Description

This project involves the construction of 44,100 L.F. of 8-inch gravity pipe, 2000 L.F. of 4-inch force main and 3 pump stations. The Township has an agreement with the Pequannock, Fairfield and Lincoln Park Sewerage Authority (TBSA) to accept the proposed flow from this service area. However, transmission facilities must be constructed to convey the wastewater to the TBSA plant for ultimate treatment (see C340354-03).

Anticipated

Recipient : MORRIS TOWNSHIP-BUTTERWORTH

Project No.: 340723-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$21,259,875

FY90 RANK : 62.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$21,259,875

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | r'ossible | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Hoints. | Scored |
| | ***** ***** *** *** *** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \cap |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|--|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | ****** ****** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| 400 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | i | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Fopulation .01714

175

TOTAL POINTS 426.01714

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Township of Morris - Butterworth C340723-02

62

STP

County

Morris

Service Area

Morris Township (portion), Borough of Morris Plains

Existing Population

17,148

Need for Project

The effluent from the Township's Butterworth plant is discharged to the Whippany River and the effluent limitations required by the River's FW2-NT classification are not being met by this plant at the present time. The project impacts both industrial and potable water uses, in addition to non-trout fishing. Existing water quality marginally meets standards for fecal coliform, dissolved oxygen & toxics, & does not meet standards for nutrients.

| - 694 | | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | | |
| (3116 | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l | |
| | Butterworth (secondary) | 2.0 | 1.7 | 92.2 - 13.84 | 99.24 - 2.33 | |
| | (NJ0024929) | | | | | |

Project Description

This proposed project is for the construction of one additional final settling tank, equalization basin, pressure filters, a combination backwash and chlorine contact tank, post aeration facilities, and a new outfall (36-inch diameter, 8000 L.F.). Sludge treatment facilities will also be constructed. These include aeration and pre-thickening tanks, gravity belt thickener units, polymer addition units, and a sludge pumping station.

Anticipated

Recipient : POMPTON LAKES MUA

FY90 RANK : 63.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$9,500,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** **** |
| | SURTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| 1-11-1000 app. have made ones a sign page poor as to 6000 made ones ones and | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 1
Population .01100

SUBTOTAL.

175

TOTAL POINTS 426.01100

Priority List Rank

Pompton Lakes Municipal Utilities Authority C340636-03

63

County

Passaic

Service Area

Borough of Pompton Lakes

Existing Population

10,995

Need for Project

Currently, the area is approximately 90% sewered and served by the treatment plant which discharges into the Pompton River, a potable water fishable non-trout source classified as FW2-NT. The project is needed to provide advanced wastewater treatment in order to comply with the Authority's NJPDES permit requirements and to mitigate impacts to both industrial and potable water uses. Water quality marginally meets standards for toxics, and exceeds standards for fecal coliform and nutrients.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Pompton Lakes (Secondary) (NJ0023698) | 1.2 | .785 | 89.3 - 23.9 | 89.7 - 21.0 |

Project Description

The project will involve upgrading of the Pompton Lakes plant from secondary to Level IV treatment to meet permit limitations.

Anticipated

Recipient: ALLENTOWN, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 64.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$2,744,660

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Foints |
|---|--|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 411 107 102 102 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 | prope 1000 money gard many pass many block | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| i ai ame cer | o (a naar as | Time (a Calldal a a | a (a naar a a | W. 01 & C |
| *************************************** | | | 0710 4400 4404 \$100 0001 7100 0700 0001 1010 0111 1010 0111 0110 | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | ==AA | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | \$ |
| | | **** |

Population .00740

TOTAL POINTS 425.00740

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

Priority List Rank

Borough of Allentown C340567-03 STP/Sludge Management

64

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Allentown Borough, portions of Upper Freehold Township and Washington
Township

Existing Population

7,398

Need for Project

Presently, the Allentown sewage treatment plant fails to meet the secondary treatment discharge requirements and realizes sewage flows in excess of its permitted capacity. These discharges in concert with non-point source nutrient and bacteria loadings from adjacent farms prevent Doctors Creek (FW2-NT), a non-trout stream, from meeting fecal coliform standards and marginally meeting D.O. and ammonia - nitrogen standards. Fishable non-trout and agricultural uses are impacted.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| - 200 | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| 4900 | | | | | |
| ***** | Allentown STP (Secondary) (NJ0020206) | 0.240 | .252 | 78.1 - 28.5 | 78.2 - 30 |

Project Description

The facilities plan recommends upgrading the STP to adequate secondary treatment and development of a sludge management plan.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER CO UA (GIBBSTOWN)

FY90 RANK : 65.0

: GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$1.922.527 County

T. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | 1944 THE 1944 1944 IN 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 | er he me he neme er es e e e e e |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | F'oint s |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| tier paper 19 ja faute 3 rets gage dage 30 km Mills Faux gans come gans come colle delle | 1982 4044 40 14 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 00 | **** **** **** **** **** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \cap |
| | | **** |

Population .00531

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

200

TOTAL POINTS 425,00531

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County UA-(Gibbstown) C340526-06 STP Upgrading/Sludge Mgmt.

65

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Gibbstown - Greenwich Township

Existing Population

5,318

Need for Project

The Gibbstown plant is discharging to Wiggins Pond, a tributary of the Delaware River. The effluent is not in compliance with the permit requirements. Due to the stringent effluent limitations as established by the Department, discontinuation of the discharge to Wiggins Pond has become necessary. Wiggins Pond (SE-2) is a non-trout stream with severe violations of fecal coliform and marginal violations of D.O. and toxics. Wiggins Pond supports industrial water use. Also, there exists a major problem due to the presence of high-strength chemical wastes. The plant also receives intermittent discharges of slaughtering wastes from a local butchery. The combined effect of these wastes tend to upset the biological processes.

| | Flow | (mgd) | | Efflu | ent | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|-----|-------|-----|----------|
| STP (Level of treatment) | Design | Present | | | | Reported |
| | | | 8 - | mg/l | 8 | - mg/1 |
| Gibbstown (Secondary) (NJ0030333) | 1.0 | 0.77 | 89 | 21.3 | 55 | 79 |

Project Description

The project consists of upgrading the existing Gibbstown plant by using the oxidation ditch process and construction of an outfall for direct effluent discharge to the Delaware River. It also includes the construction of influent and effluent pump stations and force mains.

Anticipated

Recipient : SUSSEX COUNTY MUA-LOW WALL/SUSSEX BORO

Project No.: 340573-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,556,700

FY90 RANK : 66.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$1,556,700

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|----------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | 50-00 0000 ··· |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 175 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| .::: :::: :::: :::: :::: ::: | : :::: :::: :::: ::: | ======================================= | ======================================= |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| | | | |

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | mes **** 110 |

200

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 425.00246

Fopulation +00245

Priority List Rank

Sussex County MUA/Sussex Borough C340573-03 STP

66

County

Sussex

Service Area

Borough of Sussex

Existing Population

2,457

Need for Project

The existing wastewater treatment plant (NJ0021857) is located within and serves the Borough of Sussex. The treatment plant will be evaluated for its ability to comply with discharge permit requirements. It currently exhibits inadequate secondary treatment. As a result, area streams (Clove Brook and Papakating (both FW2-NT) to the Wallkill), classified for non-trout fishing and industrial use, are impacted. Water quality marginally meets standards for dissolved oxygen and toxics, but often violates fecal coliform levels. An executed Administrative Consent Order (ACO) has mandated the upgrade of this facility.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported | SS Reported |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| Sussex Boro STP NJ0021857 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 76.9 - 21.0 | 76.2 - 34.7 |

Project Description

Either the SCMUA or the Borough of Sussex will plan, design, and construct the upgraded facilities. This will include elimination of the inadequate secondary treatment problems experienced by the Borough of Sussex sewage treatment plant and ensure compliance with all applicable permit discharge requirements per the executed ACO. Implementation aspects regarding the designated planning agency must be resolved.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM MUA (MEDFORD LAKES)

Project No.: 340463-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,128,668

FY90 RANK : 67.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$4,128,668

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 1137 1138 1138 1138 1138 1138 1138 1138 | Andre andre at the part globe parts comm and | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 150 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ********** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .00513

TOTAL POINTS 401.00513

STP, INT, IND SYSTEM

Priority List Rank

Evesham MUA (Medford Lakes Boro) C340463-06

67

County

Burlington

Service Area

Medford Lakes Borough

Existing Population

5,013

Need for Project

The Rancocas Creek and, in particular, the lakes in the headwaters of the Haynes Creek are classified as FW2-NT non-trout waters with recreational primary contact. The existing water quality is violated for fecal coliform, nutrients and toxics with marginal violation of dissolved oxygen. The effluent from the existing wastewater treatment facilities have exceeded the assimilative capacity of the stream and the facilities do not afford the degree of treatment to safely accommodate the current and future population within the Project Area. The following is the existing inventory of the wastewater facilities.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | |
|---|------------|---------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | | |
| Medford Lakes Borough (Secondary) (NJ0021326) | .55 | .41 | 95 - 6.7 | 96.7 - 4.5 | |

Project Description

This project is to provide a cost effective regional sewerage system for the Southwest Branch Rancocas Creek drainage basin. Implementation of the project will accomplish the attainment of water quality in area streams, the prevention of health hazards and the protection of the environment. Presently, the facilities planning effort is evaluating the various alternatives which will accommodate the required levels of treatment necessary to alleviate the pollution problem. The alternatives which can be implemented are land disposal discharge to the confluence of the Southwest Branch or discharge at the present location, both including utilization of interceptors and on-site systems.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEQUANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF

Froject No.: 340480-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,770,796

FY90 RANK : 68.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$2,770,798

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Noes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | ~~ ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ***** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL. | 150 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00058

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 401.00058

Priority List Rank

Pequannock, Township of C340480-05

68

Force Main, Pump Station, Collection System

County

__ Morris

- Service Area

- 1. Southeast corner of Pequannock Township, including the area surrounding the intersection of New Jersey Route 33 and Jackson Avenue.
- 2. The residential sections of Riverside Drive and Oak Avenue and the business/commercial district in Newark Pompton Turnpike.

Existing Population

580

Need for Project

- High groundwater levels and poor soil conditions are contributing to the failure of on-site systems in the area near the intersection of
 New Jersey Route 33 and Jackson Avenue. This situation is a public nuisance and potential health hazard.
- The septic systems in the residential and commercial properties in the vicinity of Riverside Drive, Oak Avenue, and Newark Pompton Turnpike must also be eliminated.

| 1006 | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|------|--|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| 988 | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| 4 | Package STP (secondary) (NJ0026514) | .02 | NA | 88.3 - 16.6 | 88.1 16.4 |

NA - Not Available

The package treatment plant dicharges into the Pompton River, which is classified as FW-2 (non-trout), and has potable and industrial water uses. The river does not meet standards for fecal coliform, and only marginally meets standards for nutrients and toxics.

Project Description

A collection system with associated pumping facilities are proposed to serve the area in the vicinity of New Jersey Route 33 and Jackson Avenue. Flows will be conveyed to the Wayne Township STP and the existing 20,000 gpd package treatment plant will be eliminated.

A collection system is proposed for the area in the vicinity of Riverside Drive, Oak Avenue, and the Newark Pompton Turnpike.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEQUANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF

FY90 RANK : 69.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,305,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|---|----------|------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| WH 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | | ********************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | ## ··· |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | C |
| | 1 1 | |

Population .00057

TOTAL POINTS 401.00057

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

250

Priority List Rank

-Pequannock, Township of C340480-04

69.

Force Main and Pump Station

County

Morris

Service Area

The residential sections of Riverside Drive and Oak Avenue and the business/commercial district on Newark Pompton Turnpike in Pequannock.

Existing Population

573

- Need for Project

The existing 0.01 mgd Laurel Homes package wastewater treatment plant is under an administrative consent order from the NJDEP to be improved or replaced. The effluent from the plant is discharged into the Pompton River, which is classified as FW-2 (non-trout), and has potable and industrial water uses. The river does not meet standards for fecal coliform, and only marginally meets standards for nutrients and toxics.

| . 4 | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | lent |
|---------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| ×κ | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| 84 # | Laurel Homes (secondary) (NJ0024465) | NA | NA | 95.3 - 6.65 | 84.4 14.6 |

NA - Not Available

Project Description

A pump station and force main are proposed to convey wastewater flows from the Laurel Homes STP service area to the Wayne Township STP. The Laurel Homes STP will thus be eliminated.

Anticipated

Recipient : MANVILLE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340578-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,257,247

FY90 RANK : 70.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$7,257,247

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible: | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | F'oınts | Scored |
| | **** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | upus Milita +++ |
| | SUBTOTAL | 75 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Paramete | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | **** *** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | ちつ | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \Q |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | \circ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \$ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

Population .01265

TOTAL POINTS 400.01265

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

125

Priority List Rank

Borough of Manville

70

C340578-03

Force Main, Interceptor

County

Somerset

Service Area

Entire portion of the Borough and some portions of Hillsborough Township

Existing Population

12,654

Need for Project

The present facility is hydraulically overloaded. This has resulted in a significant adverse impact on the Raritan River (FW2-NT) leading to the contravention of fecal coliform and toxics standards for this waterway on a frequent basis. Fishable non-trout, industrial, and agricultural uses of the river are impacted.

| -celetim | | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | | |
|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|----------|------|------|----------|
| 3000 | STEP (level of treatments) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | | | Reported |
| :493 | | | | 8 | mg/l | 8 | mg/l |
| ★報車 | Manville STP (secondary) | 0.8 | 1.12 | 73.2 | 51.7 | 74.4 | 46.0 |
| नाम | | | | . • • • | | • - | |

Project Description

The existing Borough treatment plant will be abandoned and a new pump station constructed on-site. A new force main will convey wastewater flows to the Somerset-Raritan Valley Sewage Authority treatment plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : MILFORD BOROUGH

Project No.: 340805-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,430,675

FY90 RANK : 71.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$3,430,675

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | Water 1984 1937 Hall American 1977 Hall | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Mark Acces 1918 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 | *************************************** | | | MM 0000 MM 1230 1750 114 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| L.C. Jack Tupcharde Tabe | FOIRES | 201 ea |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .00610

100

TOTAL POINTS 400,00610

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Milford C340805-02 Sludge & Septage Treatment/Disposal, On-site, I/I Correction

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Milford Borough, Holland Township

Existing Population

6,013

Need for Project

There is serious I/I existing in the sanitary sewer lines in Holland Township, which is causing noncompliance with the suspended solids limitations of the NJPDES permit during periods of excessive rain. In addition, the Milford Borough Sewer Utility, which is classified as a Category D facility, does not have the capability of handling septage and must plan for accepting the septage generated in its service area. Furthermore, alternatives to the treatment plant's current method of disposing of liquid sludge at a Pennsylvania landfill must be evaluated since it is on a short term basis.

Project Description

This project will be classified as a complete wastewater treatment system which includes Holland Township and Milford Borough. The Facilities Plan will develop alternatives for sludge disposal, and evaluate a septic management district. Also, an I/I and cost effectiveness analysis will be performed to determine the extent of excessive I/I in the system.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER CO UA (LOGAN)

Project No.: 340526-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,561,072

FY90 RANK : 72.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$12,561,072

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Hoints. | Scored |
| 78 100 111 112 113 116 111 116 111 111 111 111 111 111 | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | o | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | | 25 | 50 | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | \circ |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation +00687

TOTAL POINTS 376,00689

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County U.A. - (Logan)

C340526-04

STP, Int., P.S., F.M., Sludge Mgmt.

County Gloucester

Service Area

Logan Twp., Swedesboro and Woolwich Township

Existing Population

6,895

Need for Project

Delaware River and Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT) are non-trout streams with severe violations of fecal coliform and marginal violations of D.O. and toxics. These streams support fishing, recreational, agricultural and industrial water uses.

The Swedesboro plant does not consistently meet the effluent limitations for BOD5 and SS. The major contributing factor towards the reduced plant efficiency is the excessive hydraulic loading of the clarifier due to higher daily peak flows. Also, the Swedesboro plant receives some relatively high-strength wastes from a local fruit canning industry. The Logan plant did not meet the effluent limitations in the past and both plants need upgrading in order to meet the stringent effluent limitations of the Department.

| STP (level of treatment) | Flow <u>Design</u> | (mgd) <u>Present</u> | | uent SS Reported % - mg/l |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Logan TWP. MUA (secondary) (NJ0027545) | 1.00 | .48 | 93.0 - 7.3 | 95.6 - 4.3 |
| Swedesboro Borough (secondary) (NJ0022021) | 0.35 | .20 | 97.1 - 15.0 | 97.0 - 15.0 |

Project Description

The selected plan proposes complete regionalization of the wastewater flows from Logan and Woolwich Townships as well as the Borough of Swedesboro. Treatment will be provided at the existing Logan MUA sewage treatment facility. The plant will be expanded first from 2.0 MGD to 3 MGD, and then from 3 MGD to 4.6 MGD to handle the projected flows. Both expansions will utilize the addition of package activated sludge units to provide treatment for the increased flows. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the GCUA's county wide sludge management plan.

The project shall also include construction of pump stations, force mains, and gravity sewers.

Anticipated

Recipient : WARREN CO-PAULINSKILL/BLAIRSTOWN

Project No.: 340453-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,059,799

FY90 RANK : 73.0

County : WARREN Total State Amount : \$2,059,799

I. SEGMENT POINTS

...

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | Q |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 225 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginalty | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *** *** **** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

Population .00489

TOTAL POINTS 376,00489

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

150

Priority List Rank

73

Warren County Paulinskill/Blairstown C340453-03 STP, Int., PS, FM, Sludge/Septage

County

Warren

Service Area

Blairstown Township

Existing Population

4,890

Need for Project

Portions of Blairstown have documented septic failure which may eventually lead to health problems. Water quality sampling will be done in 1988. It was determined that the fecal coliform count violates the water quality standards and marginally meets standards for nutrients and toxics. At present, there are two privately owned and operated STP's in the study area. At the time the regional facilities become available the existing plants will tie into it. North Warren Regional High School is occasionally in noncompliance with their NJPDES permit requirements, which indicates inadequate secondary treatment. Primary contact recreation and agricultural water use are adversely impacted. This project is proposed in order to meet the stringent requirements established for the receiving waters.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | ent |
|------|--|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| - | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| - | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| *990 | Blair Academy (Secondary) | 0.05 | 0.007 | 96.0 - 14.6 | 92.1 - 17.2 |
| | North Warren Regional High School (Secondary) | 0.005 | 0.004 | 91.3 - 13.6 | 88.8 - 13.6 |

Project Description

The selected plan for this project will include a sewage treatment plant, interceptor, pump station, force main and possible sludge/septage management.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340372-11 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,339,815

FY90 RANK : 74.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$1,339,815

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Med (111 July 141) 141, 142 142 143 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 | | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ******** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|----------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | C |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | C |
| · | | |

Fopulation .02000

TOTAL POINTS 375,02000

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

75

Priority List Rank

Ocean County Utilities Authority C340372-11 Inter., FM

74

County

Ocean

Service Area

Pine Beach Borough, portions of Dover Township, and Island Beach communities

Existing Population

20,000 All year around 90,000 Summer

Need for Project

The existing interceptor (CI-13) and pumping station (CPS-14) serving the area are hydraulically overloaded during the Summer months and discharge raw sewage into the Atlantic Ocean(SC). Therefore, alternatives were evaluated to address the most cost effective solution that would relieve the marginally acceptable levels of nutrients and fecal coliform. Shellfishing and primary contact recreation are presently impacted.

Project Description

A parallel interceptor and a high flow pumping station are being proposed to relieve the overloaded situation.

Anticipated

Recipient : JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP (ROCKAWAY)

Project No.: 340747-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,162,476

FY90 RANK : 75.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$5,162,476

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \cap |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 200 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform Nutrients | 0 | 50 50 25 | 100 100 50 | 50 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 SUBTOTAL | 25 125 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | pp sale - +++ |

Population +00787

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 375.00787

Priority List Rank

Jefferson Township (Rockaway) C340747-04 INT, PS, FM, COLL, IND SYSTEM

75

County

Morris

Service Area

Jefferson Township (Rockaway River Basin)

Existing Population

7,878

Need for Project

Some of the on-site systems that exist in the Rockaway River Basin of Jefferson Township have documented repeated failures. Most of the systems are failing due to shallow depth to bedrock, high water tables and steep slopes. Rehabilitation has been attempted on some systems but the results have been generally unsuccessful. Trout fishing and primary contact recreation uses of Russia Brook (FW2-TM) are adversely impacted due to the unacceptable levels of nutrients and marginally acceptable levels of toxics and fecal coliform. These facilities may pose serious health hazards in the future for the township if not corrected.

Project Description

This project includes the design and construction of a local wastewater system for the Rockaway River Basin portion of Jefferson Township. The recommended wastewater management plan for this portion of Jefferson Township consists of two major parts: 1) centralized sewer service for Cozy Lake, Lake Swannanoa and White Pine Lake area in the Rockaway Basin and 2) Septic Management for the remaining portions.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN COUNTY UA

FY90 RANK : 76.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$4,951,072

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MINE SECTION NAME SAME THAT THAT THAT AND THAT THE SAME SAME THAT THAT THAT THAT THAT THAT THAT THA | 2005 65°D 2005 6000 8000 6000 6000 | #** **** **** #** 11* |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shettfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Paramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | Anne provide seem seem ++++ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| COMM CATAL CORT CORT CORT CORT CORT CORT CORT CORT | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50
Population .00657

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

250

75

TOTAL POINTS 375.00657

Priority List Rank

Ocean County Utilities Authority C340372-13 Force Main

76

County

Ocean

Service Area

Mantoloking, Normandy Beach, Lavallette, Ortley Beach, Seaside Heights, and Seaside Park

Existing Population

6,570 Year round 85,000 Summer

Need for Project

The existing force main crossing the Barnegat Bay is experiencing leakage. This leakage is detrimental to the water quality in the Barnegat Bay(SE-1(C1)) and impacts shellfishing and primary contact recreation. Fecal coliform and nutrients levels are marginally acceptable.

Project Description

Facilities planning is being conducted to find the most cost-effective and environmentally sound alternative to this problem. A parallel force main seems to be the most likely solution.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOWELL TWP MUA

Project No.: 340832-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,547,341

FY90 RANK : 77.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$4,547,341

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Mark State And | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \(\) |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|---------------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | were no to been alled and edge alles and | ***** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00192

TOTAL POINTS 375.00192

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

225

100

Priority List Rank

Howell Township MUA C340832-01 Collection System, Int.

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Howell Township

Existing Population

1,925

Need for Project

The Freewood Acres section of Howell Township is currently relying on on-site septic disposal systems for the treatment of wastewater. Many of these existing systems provide inadequate treatment, which has resulted in the degradation of Aldrich Lake. Aldrich Lake experiences high fecal coliform, toxics, and nutrients concentrations. Consequently, trout fishing, primary contact recreation, and farming are impacted.

Project Description

Preliminary investigations indicate that a collection system will need to be constructed in the planning area. The collection system will be connected via an interceptor into the Manasquan River Regional Sewerage Authority conveyance system for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : ROOSEVELT, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340761-02 Eligible Project Cost \$642,461

FY90 RANK : 78.0

: MONMOUTH Total State Amount County \$642,461

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | (|
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE ...

| | Fossible. | Foints |
|--|--|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 411 W 11 W 11 W 11 W 12 W 12 W 12 W 12 W | 1000 Mars 1000 M | , |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00089

TOTAL POINTS 375,00089

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

125

Priority List Rank

Borough of Roosevelt C340761-02 STP, Sludge Management

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Borough of Roosevelt

Existing Population

894

Need for Project

The receiving stream for the Borough of Roosevelt Wastewater Treatment Plant is Assunpink Creek, which is classified as FW2-NT (fishable, nontrout), with agricultural water uses downstream of the STP discharge. The existing treatment plant has been in violation of its NJPDES permit requirement for 90 percent removal of BOD5 and suspended solids. The existing inadequate secondary discharge from this plant has a serious detrimental effect on the water quality of the Assunpink Creek. The existing water quality of the Assunpink Creek marginally meets the dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform and nutrients standards.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | |
|--|------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Borough of Roosevelt (Secondary) (NJ0022918) | 0.25 | .34 | 82.9-15 | 53-17.4 |

Project Description

The project report will investigate methods for upgrading and/or expanding the existing municipal wastewater treatment facilities to bring the municipal discharges into compliance with its NJPDES effluent limitations/wasteload allocation requirements. Alternatives, which include upgrading the existing treatment facilities or a new treatment plant which would phase out the existing treatment plant (or combination of the alternatives) will be evaluated. A sludge and septage management plan will be evaluated to determine the ultimate disposal of sludge solids generated by the public wastewater treatment facilities serving the planning area. Infiltration/inflow studies for local collection systems will be conducted, and rehabilitation may be warranted.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERNARDSVILLE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340816-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,938,736

FY90 RANK : 79.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$4,938,736

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

Population .00668

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SURTOTAL

225

125

TOTAL POINTS 351.00668

Priority List Rank

Bernardsville Borough C340816-01 STP Improvements 79

County

Somerset

Service Area

Borough of Bernardsville

Existing Population

6,675

Need for Project

Major components of the present sewerage treatment plant will reach the end of their useful life during the planning period, though the plant presently meets its NJPDES requirements. Thus, structures and tankage in the plant require extensive renovation or replacement.

This plant discharges into Mine Brook (FW2-NT), a tributary of the North Branch Raritan River. The discharge impacts downstream fishing and potable water uses. Toxics levels are unacceptable, with fecal coliform and nutrients levels marginally acceptable.

| 999 | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-----|---|---------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| uği | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/l | |
| | Bernardsville Borough (Secondary) (NJ0026387) | 0.5 | 0.45 | 93.5 - 9.8 | 89.6 - 17.4 |

Project Description

Priority improvements include: increased sludge treatment capability, improved instrumentation, improved laboratory and office facilities, and provision for energy recovery from the anaerobic digestors.

Anticipated

Recipient : HAMMONTON, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340927-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,796,733

FY90 RANK : 80.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$10,796,733

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AN 44 111 NA AN 417 NA 418 AN | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | max ea ** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 1.75 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| ********************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 3592 2004 2006 1-07 7000 0000 begs to us 1714 0000 John bling gain West ment | 1000 1000 1001 1001 1001 1001 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Fopulation .01235

TOTAL POINTS 326.01235

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Hammonton, Town of C340927-01 Upgrade and Expansion of STP

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Hammonton

Existing Population

12,347

Need for Project

The Hammonton wastewater treatment facility discharges secondary level treated effluent to Hammonton Creek, thence to the Mullica River in the Pinelands. The treatment plant discharge adversely impacts primary contact recreation, non-trout fishing, and agricultural uses of these water bodies. An Administrative Consent Order requires the plant to be upgraded to an advanced wastewater treatment system which will provide an effluent of 5 ppm BOD5, 2 ppm nitrate-N, and 1 ppm phosphorus. Water quality currently does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen or nutrients.

| | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|----------|--|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported | | |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l | |
| Town of Hammonton (NJ0025160) | 1.6 | 0.95 | 88 - 26 | 91 - 22 | |

Project Description

• The Hammonton Wastewater treatment facility will be expanded to 2.5 mgd, to meet future needs, and upgraded to an advanced treatment system to meet the effluent limits imposed by its NJPDES permit.

Anticipated

Recipient : NORTHEAST MONMOUTH RSA

Project No.: 340684-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,126,030

FY90 RANK : 81.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$5,126,030

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 24 24 21 W. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | **************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \circ |
| Shelifish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ********* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|---|--|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** | **** *** *** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Possible Points Project Discharge Type Points Scored Primary Discharge 500 0 I/I Correction-Overflow 250 0 Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 0 Studge Disposal/Treatment 100 0 New Systems 50 50 Advanced Treatment 1 \Diamond I/I Correction 1 0 CSO Abatement 0 1

Population +07416

TOTAL POINTS 325.07416

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

25

Priority List Rank

Northeast Monmouth County RSA C340684-06
Outfall Reconstruction

81

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Eatontown Borough, Fair Haven Borough, Little Silver Borough, Monmouth Beach Borough, Ocean Port Borough, Red Bank Borough, Rumson Borough, Sea Bright Borough, Shrewsbury Borough, Shrewsbury Township, West Long Branch Borough, Tinton Falls (northern portion)

Existing Population

74,160

Need for Project

The 48-inch 2,530 foot spirally welded steel outfall was constructed in 1971. During February 1978, a severe coastal storm completely severed a 300-foot section of the outfall approximately 900 feet from the shoreline. Since that time, treated effluent has been discharged at this point at a depth of 15 feet below the mean low water elevation. This discharge is in violation of the designated uses of the present receiving waters, the Atlantic Ocean, classified as SC by the NJDEP Surface Water Quality Standards. The intended uses of these waters include primary contact recreation activities and shellfish harvesting. Consequently, the existing condition presents a potential health problem.

Project Description

The proposed outfall reconstruction will consist of the original and existing pipeline from the seawall to station 54+00, beyond this point, a new 2,130 foot section, which will be 48 inches in diameter, will be installed and buried in a trench. The last 900 feet of this new section will constitute the diffuser section. Once installed, these diffusers will extend approximately 3 feet above the ocean Stabilization of the outfall will be provided by backfilling of the trench with sand up to the springline, followed next by the placement of cement-filled nylon fiber bags on the crown of the new pipe continuously along its length. Finally, stones will be used to fill the remainder of the trench. In operation, treated effluent will be continuously discharged into waters designated CW-2 by NJDEP, at a depth of between 25 to 30 feet below the mean low water elevation and a distance of 1600 to 2500 feet from the shoreline. As such, there will be no interference with the intended uses of the receiving waters.

Anticipated

Recipient : LONG BRANCH SA

Project No. : 340336--03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,353,585

FY90 RANK : 82.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$1,353,585

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | r'ossible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| THE CASE AND | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \circ |
| Shettfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | *** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Ct a man an an an an an | Meets | | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| *************************************** | | ***** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .03000

TOTAL POINTS 325.03000

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

250

25

Long Branch Sewerage Authority C340336-03
Joline Ave. Force Main, P.S. and Grit Handling Facilities

82

County Monmouth

Service Area

City of Long Branch, Borough of West Long Branch (small portion)

Existing Population 30,000

- Need for Project

The Long Branch Sewerage Authority is confronted with two wastewater treatment problems. The first problem is the insufficient useable capacity of the existing Joline Avenue Ocean Outfall (capacity 13 mgd). Under normal operation, the existing gravity sewer and outfall pumping station (capacity 8.5 mgd) provide adequate discharge capacity for the treated effluent. However, under emergency and peak flow conditions, an added capacity was formerly provided by a 14 inch force main connected to the North Broadway Ocean Outfall. In June of 1978, a coastal storm completely destroyed the North Broadway Outfall. - Without replacement of the lost effluent capacity and with flows in excess of 8.5 mgd, the treatment units are at times overtopped, discharging partially treated wastewater. The second problem stems from the increased amount of grit and sand reaching the plant since the plant was designed. The grit and sand have caused extensive and downtime to the primary settling tanks and, in addition, have caused excessive wear on the equipment. The overflow condition coupled with ** the inadequate secondary treatment caused by the extensive downtime of the primary settling tanks has a negative impact upon the intended uses (i.e, shellfish harvesting and recreation) of the coastal waters _ (SC) in this area.

| 49AA | Flow | (Mgd) | Efflu | ient |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/1 | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| Long Branch SA | | | 5 Mg/1 | ° 1119/1 |
| (secondary) (NJ0024783) | 5.4 | 4.60 | 91.1 - 16.5 | 92.4 - 15.5 |

Project Description

The project proposes to solve the effluent capacity problem by the construction of 3,500 feet of 20 inch force main between the existing treatment plant and the Joline Avenue Ocean Outfall. The force main will be used for emergency or peak flows in excess of the capacity of the Joline Ave. effluent facilities. Some modifications of the existing pumping facilities at the treatment plant will also be required. This scheme will allow the full 13 mgd capacity of the Joline Ave. Ocean Outfall to be utilized. The excessive grit and sand problem will be corrected by the construction of an appropriate grit removal facility. The completion of these projects will insure adequate secondary wastewater treatment within the Long Branch SA service area.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERGEN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340386-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$84,098,024

FY90 RANK : 83.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$84,098,024

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE PART WITH THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE T | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | Λ | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | ŏ | 50 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |

SUBTOTAL 1

250

Population .37675

TOTAL POINTS 301.37675

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bergen County Utilities Authority C340386-04 AWT/PS, FM

83

County

Bergen

Service Area

The service area consists of 50 municipalities in Bergen County.

Existing Population

376,750

Need for Project

The effluent from the BCUA treatment plant is discharged into the Hackensack River SE waters, which is a tidal estuary below the Oradell Reservoir dam. Water quality in the Hackensack River violates standards for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform and toxics. In addition, the NJDEP has indicated that the tidal Hackensack River is "water quality limited". Therefore, better than secondary treatment will be required for continued discharging into the Hackensack River.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD5 Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/1 |
| BCUA (secondary) (NJ0020028) | 75 | 68 | 58.9-44.9 | 80.9-23.2 |
| * Plant expansion work con | ntinuing | | | |

Project Description

BCUA has developed a facilities plan to identify and evaluate the most cost-effective system to meet the desired water quality standards. Considered for implementation was upgrading the BCUA treatment plant to Level 3 and continue discharging into Hackensack River versus remaining at present treatment capabilities and constructing a trunk line discharging into the Hudson River. The Hudson River discharge was recommended as most cost effective.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERGEN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340768-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$14,890,649

FY90 RANK : 84.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$14,890,649

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|---|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | \cap |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | No. 20 20 24 24 |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 250 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ○ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 1 |
| | | |

Population .37252

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL FOINTS 301.37252

Priority List Rank

Bergen County U.A., Combined Sewer Overflow and Peak Flow Management Program C340768-03

County

Bergen

Service Area

Bergenfield, Bogota, Carlstadt, Cliffside Park, Closter, Cresskill, Demarest, Dumont, East Rutherford, Emerson, Englewood, Englewood Cliffs, Fairview, Fort Lee, Hackensack, Harrington Park, Hasbrouck Heights, Haworth, Hillsdale, Leonia, Little Ferry, Maywood, Montvale, Moonachie, New Milford, Northvale, Norwood, Oradell, Palisades Park, Paramus, Park Ridge, Ridgefield, Ridgefield Park, River Edge, River Vale, Rochelle Park, South Hackensack, Teaneck, Tenafly, Teterboro, Washington, Westwood, Woodcliff Lake

Existing Population

372,518

Need for Project

Due to system capacity limitations, combined sewage surcharges and overflows into SE2 and FW2-NT waters. This results in excessive solids and bacteria levels, and floating sewage during wet and dry weather conditions.

Project Description

Elimination of dry weather overflows in combined and separate sewer systems, and repairs to tide gates, would be the first order of priority to mitigate the impact on the receiving streams. Secondly, there is a need to provide more sewage capacity to handle peak flows, which might require diversion of stream sewage flows to interceptors with available capacity, or parallel sewers, to relieve downstream surcharging and overflows. Any recommended improvements to the combined sewer regulators in Fort Lee, Hackensack and Ridgefield Park will be a part of the overall project.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA(N.B.-CENTRAL)

Project No.: 340399-20 Eligible Project Cost : \$14,511,455

FY90 RANK : 85.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$14,511,455

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | 18aa 4886 arga 45aa 18aa 2872 aana 4441 | ···· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | span 1000 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | ಕಂ | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| ************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | i . | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1
Population .03540

SUBTOTAL

250

TOTAL POINTS 301.03540

Priority List Rank

85

Hudson County Utilities Authority

North Bergen

C340399-20

wwTF-Upgrade

County

Hudson

Service Area

Township of North Bergen-Central WWTF Service Area

Existing Population

35,401

Need for Project

The Township of North Bergen-Central WWTF will be required to meet "Level 3" Treatment for their Cromakill Creek Tributary-Hackensack River (SE-2) (Estuary) discharge. The DO and fecal coliform levels are presently unacceptable, with toxics levels marginally acceptable. The advanced treatment requirement will apply to existing flow (approximately 5.43 MGD-ADF) and all future flows. The existing Township of North Bergen - Central WWTF operating characteristics are as follows:

Effluent Characteristics-1984

| | <u>Facility</u> | Design Capacity | ADF-1984 | BOD5 (conc/ % removal) | TSS (conc/ % removal) |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| • | Twp. of North Bergen-Central WWTF NJ0034339 | - | 4.8 mgd | 20mg/l 82% | 20mg/l 82% |

Project Description

Upgrade of the North Bergen-Central WWTF to AWT ("Level 3"). Sludge considerations are to be included in HCUA Project No. C340399-15.

Anticipated

Recipient : LONG BRANCH SA

Project No.: 340336-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,500,000

FY90 RANK : 86.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$12,500,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| *** | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 125 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 275 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| | **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Hossible Hoints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | O |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | O |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | |

Fopulation .03000

TOTAL POINTS 301.03000

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Long Branch Sewerage Authority
C340336-04
Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

86

County

Monmouth

Service Area

City of Long Branch, Borough of West Long Branch (small portion)

Existing Population

30,000

Need for Project

Approximately 70% of the sewer lines in the planning area were constructed prior to 1930 and were constructed primarily of terra

cotta or asbestos cement pipe. During periods of rainfall and/or high

groundwater, flows in excess of 10 mgd have been recorded at the plant

greatly exceeding the theoretical wastewater design flow of 3.6

mgd. These high flows exceed the hydraulic capacity of the plant

washing out the activated sludge from the aeration tanks. The

overflow condition has a potentially detrimental effect upon the water uses (i.e., fishing, shellfishing and primary contact recreation) of the

Atlantic Ocean, SC-1. Completion of this project will help

eliminate the sewage overflows presently being experienced in the community during the rainfall and/or high groundwater conditions.

Project Description

The project will consist of the minor rehabilitation that is found to be cost effective during the Sewer System Evaluation Survey. Common methods of rehabilitation are 1) grouting of joints and cracked pipes; 2) slip-lining of select reaches of sewer; and 3) total replacement of select reaches of sewer.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM MUA (ELMWOOD)

FY90 RANK : 87.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$6,711,643

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Poss≀ble | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | . 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 25 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ********** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--|------------------|
| 4445 **** **** 1 100 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** 1000 **** | 00 00 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 | *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

Population .02867

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

275

TOTAL POINTS 301.02867

Priority List Rank

Evesham MUA (Elmwood) C340463-03 STP, INT, IND SYSTEM

87

County

Burlington

Service Area

Evesham Township (Elmwood)

Existing Population

28,670

Need for Project

The South Branch of the Rancocas Creek is classified as PL and FW2-NT waters. The existing water quality is in violation for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, and toxics with marginal violation of nutrients. The effluent from the existing wastewater treatment facilities have exceeded the assimilative capacity of the stream and the facilities do not afford the degree of treatment to safely accommodate the current and future population within the Project Area. The following is the existing inventory of the wastewater facilities.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------|------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/1 |
| Elmwood (Secondary) (NJ0024031) | 1.5 | 1.3 | 94.6 - 10.4 | 96.6 - 6.4 |

Project Description

This project is to provide a cost effective regional sewerage system for the Southwest Branch Rancocas Creek drainage basin.

Implementation of the project will accomplish the attainment of water quality in area streams, the prevention of health hazards and the protection of the environment. Presently, the facility planning effort is evaluating the various alternatives which will accommodate the required levels of treatment necessary to alleviate the pollution problem. The alternatives which can be implemented are land disposal, discharge to the confluence of the Southwest Branch, or discharge at the present location.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendation.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA (SECAUCUS)

FY90 RANK : 88.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$12,350,370

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | 40.00 Million 10000 10000 10000 1000 1000 1000 | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| W-7 1-7 107 107 100 111 1101 1101 1101 11 | **** **** **** **** #** **** #** **** | 1880 term 1800 - 1.17 term tape 2107 \$440 \$574 \$500 tests tests tests apay | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL

250

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .01525
TOTAL POINTS 301.01525

Priority List Rank

Hudson County Utilities Authority-Secaucus C340399-19

88

WWTF-Expansion/Upgrade-AT

County

Hudson

Service Area

Jurisdiction of Secaucus Municipal Utilities Authority

Existing Population

15,253

Need for Project

Under a NJPDES permit issuance effective May 1, 1985, the Secaucus Municipal Utilities Authority is required to provide advanced wastewater treatment (Level 3) effective May 1, 1988, for 2.8 MGD. The permit requirements necessitate an expansion and upgrade of the Secaucus Municipal Utilities Authority WWTF to satisfy water quality requirements for the Mill Creek discharge (tributary to the Hackensack River (Estuary)), classification SE-2. Fishable non-trout and industrial water uses are impacted due to unacceptable levels of DO, fecal coliform, and toxics. The existing Secaucus-WWTF performance is as follows:

| | | | Effluent | |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Facility Description | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/1 |
| Seacaucus MUA-WWTF (NJ0032921) | 2.25 | 2.4 | 88 - 18 | 88 - 18 |

Project Description

The Hudson County Utilities Authority will implement the expansion and upgrade of the existing Secaucus Municipal Utilities Authority WWTF in accordance with required permit conditions. Sludge treatment/disposal associated with this project is to become part of the Hudson County Utilities Authority - Sludge Management Plan (Federal Project No. C340399-15).

Anticipated

Recipient: WASHINGTON, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 89.0

: WARREN Total State Amount County \$9,856,925

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 100 100 101 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 100 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | • | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 2011 2000 com 1411 com 1410 tom 1410 com 1410 com 1410 com 1410 com 1410 com | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | ***** |

Population .01110

TOTAL POINTS 301.01110

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

89

Washington Borough C340706-03

County

Warren

· Service Area

The planning area lies in the Delaware Watershed and consists of Washington Borough and Washington Township. Sewerage service is currently provided in Washington Borough and portions of Washington Township.

Existing Population

11,095

Meed for Project

- The Borough of Washington owns, operates, and maintains the only sewer collection system and treatment works in the study area. The existing sewerage treatment plant is a secondary facility with a polishing
- ** lagoon. Algal build-up in this lagoon has, at times, caused treatment deficiencies with regard to BOD5, SS, and also fecal coliform.
- Effluent from the treatment plant results in the violation of water
- quality standards for fecal coliform and toxics, with dissolved oxygen levels marginally acceptable. Agricultural water use is adversely
- impacted. The discharge is to the Shabbecong Creek, a tributary to Pohatcong Creek (trout waters).

| _ | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD5 Reported | SS Reported |
| *** | | | % - mg/l | 8 - mg/1 |
| Washington Borough STP (NJ002113) | 0.85 | 0.58 | 95.0 - 8.5 | 94.0 - 13.0 |

Project Description

The treatment plant will be expanded and upgraded to provide nutrient removal and increase reliability. The outfall will be extended to discharge the effluent directly to Pahatcong Creek.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST MILFORD MUA (OLDE MILFORD)

Project No.: 340701-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$325,000

FY90 RANK : 90.0

: PASSAIC Total State Amount ř \$325,000 County

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .00061

250

50

TOTAL POINTS 301.00061

Priority List Rank

West Milford MUA (Olde Milford)
C340701-06
STP

90

County

Passaic

Service Area

- Portion of the Township of West Milford

Existing Population

610

Need for Project

The effluent from the Olde Milford STP is not meeting water quality standards required under the NJPDES permit. To comply with the Clean Water Act and NJDEP directions in the recently signed Administrative Consent Order, the West Milford Township MUA must upgrade the STP to meet Level 4 treatment as specified in the Northeast Water Quality Management Plan (amended 1986).

The Olde Milford STP discharges to the Wanaque River, which does not meet standards for nutrients. The river is a non-trout fishery, with potable water supply and agricultural uses.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | 1 | Efflue | nt | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Repor | | | |
| - | | | | 8 1 | ng/l | ક | mg/l |
| • | Olde Milford (secondary) (NJ0027677) | .170 | .047 | 91.8 - | 14.7 | 91.0 - | 11.0 |

Project Description

The proposed project will upgrade the existing Olde Milford treatment plant. In order for the STP to meet Level 4 treatment, it will be necessary to install phophorus removal equipment, nitrification facilities, UV disinifection equipment, and postaeration facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient : MOUNT LAUREL TWP MUA

Project No.: 340409-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,304,774

FY90 RANK : 91.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$12,304,774

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | f'ossible | Foints |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE RESIDENCE AND | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 75 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 1.00 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Short lands Tileshaman Trees | Fossible | Points |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population +02455

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

50

TOTAL FOINTS 300.02455

Priority List Rank

91

Mount Laurel Township M.U.A. C340409-04 STP, INT, PS, FM, Sludge Management

County

... Burlington

Service Area

~ Mount Laurel Township

Existing Population

24,551

Need for Project

tidal Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT), North Branch of Pennsauken Creek (FW2-NT) South Branch of Rancocas Creek (FW2-NT), and Parker's Creek (FW2-NT). These non-trout streams, with severe violations of fecal coliform and marginal violations of D.O. and toxics, have agricultural and industrial water uses. Four existing plants were not meeting the NJPDES and State permits requirements. As a result, the NJPDES and State permitsincluded a provision for abandonment of these plants (except Hartford) and transportation of their flows to the regional Hartford plant when the regional facilities will be available. Although these existing plants are presently achieving

- The receiving streams of the four existing treatment plants are the

about 94% BOD5 and SS removals flowing at half their design flows, they may not meet the current permit requirements at full design flows, since these plants were not originally designed for 90% removal.

| | | | Flow (| mgd) | Efflue | ent |
|---------|----------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------|
| 2 foots | STP (level of treatr | nent) Des. | ign P | resent | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| | | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| 7990 | | | | | | |
| -2444 | 1. Hartford Interim | (Secondary) | 1.40 | 1.23 | 94 - 7.3 | 92.0 - 17.6 |
| | 2. Ramblewood | 11 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 93.7 - 14.7 | 90.7 - 22.6 |
| - | 3. Rancocas Woods | n | 0.12 | 0.043 | 94.2 - 9.37 | 96.2 - 3.4 |
| ÷890 | 4. N.J. Turnpike | if | 0.06 | 0.03 | 96.1 - 9.4 | 99.0 - 3.4 |

Project Description

The draft facilities plan proposed that the existing Hartford plant would be upgraded and expanded from 1.4 mgd to 2.95 mgd to achieve

90% reduction of ultimate BOD5 and NOD. The remaining three (3)

plants shall be abandoned and their flows shall be transported to the Hartford regional facilities through proposed interceptors, force

mains, and pump stations.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : HAMILTON TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340898-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$9,562,320

FY90 RANK : 92.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$9,562,320

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | • |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 75 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | 1905 1915 can't 1991 out t 4000 major me appe stree 1999 cape 1995 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | *** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | 20 AM 4040 4040 cores 40 AM Apple 2000 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1. |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation +09542

200

TOTAL POINTS 276,09542

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Hamilton Township Advanced Treatment C340898-01

County

Mercer

Service Area

Hamilton Township, Washington Township, 31% of West Windsor Township

Existing Population

95,421

Need for Project

The effluent from the existing treatment plant is not meeting water quality standards for ammonia, required under the NJPDES permit conditions. The Hamilton Township facility discharges into Crosswicks Creek (FW2-NT) classified as fishable, non-trout which also has agricultural and industrial uses; this water body does not meet standards for fecal coliform and nutrients and is marginally acceptable for dissolved oxygen.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|--|--------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| Hamilton Twp. (Secondary) (NJ 0026301) | 16.0 | 10.2 | % - mg/l 86.3 21.6 | % - mg/l 89.5 14.4 |

Project Description

The proposed project involves the construction of an addition to the existing wastewater treatment plant for treatment of ammonia to comply with water quality standards.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER CO UA (MULLICA HILL)

Project No.: 340526-05 Eligible Project Cost \$512,739

FY90 RANK : 93.0

County # GLOUCESTER Total State Amount \$512,739

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 125 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE ...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | O |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1. |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTUTAL

75

.00386

TOTAL POINTS 276,00386

Population

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County UA (Mullica Hill) C340526-05 STP, Sludge Management

93

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Harrison Township

Existing Population

3,863

Need for Project

Raccoon Creek (FW2-NT) is a fishable non-trout stream with marginal violations of fecal coliform and toxics and supports recreational, agricultural, and industrial water uses. Based on the ammonia toxicity analysis done by the Department as part of the State's allocation procedure, the Department has given the following effluent limitations (30 day and 7 day averages): NH3 - N - 2 and 3 mg/l, BOD5 - 7 and 10 mg/l, and SS - 8 and 12 mg/l. The plant is presently not meeting these limitations. As a result, the facility needs upgrading to meet advanced levels of treatment including nitrification.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effl | uent |
|---|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | SS Reported |
| | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/ 1 |
| Mullica Hill-Harrison (Secondary) (NJ0020532) | 0.40 | .09 | 89.0 - 33.0 9 | 5.2 - 7.0 |

Project Description

The selected plan for this area is the upgrading of the existing Mullica Hill/Harrison Sewage Treatment Plant. In order for the existing facility to meet the advanced treatment levels, it will be necessary to make operational changes in the activated sludge process. Modifications to the raw sewage inlet channel and effluent weirs to the settling zone will be required. Nitrification will be achieved by resizing the existing blowers or by addition of new blowers. The ultimate sludge disposal facilities shall be incorporated into the Gloucester County U.A.'s county-wide plan.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEAPACK & GLADSTONE BOROUGH

FY90 RANK : 94.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$2,538,514

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Hossible: | F'oints |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| | **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *** *** *** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | was *** · · · |
| | SUBTOTAL | 275 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** ** * | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | F'o ints | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** ***** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | |

Population +00213

4

TOTAL POINTS 276,00213

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Peapack-Gladstone C340822-02 STP Expansion and Upgrading

94

County

Somerset

Service Area

Entire Borough of Peapack-Gladstone

Existing Population

2,128

Need for Project

The existing wastewater treatment plant is unable to meet projected effluent limitations and has insufficient capacity for projected growth. The plant is located upstream of the intake of Elizabethtown Water Company, and discharges to Peapack Brook (FW2-TP (C1)), a potable water supply and a fishable trout stream.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Effluent |
|---|--|--------|---------|--------------------------|
| • | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported SS Reported |
| | | | | % - mg/l % - mg/l |
| • | Peapack-Gladstone (Secondary) (NJ0021881) | 0.200 | .092 | 90.2 - 26.8 89.5 -14.3 |

Project Description

The project is for expansion and upgrading of the existing secondary Peapack-Gladstone treatment plant from 0.20 to 0.34 mgd advanced treatment facility. This project will prevent degradation and ensure the maintenance of the high quality of Peapack-Brook and the North Branch Raritan River, thus, providing the health promulgation of the aquatic environment, while servicing the needs of the Borough.

Anticipated

Recipient : MILLVILLE, CITY OF

FY90 RANK : 95.0

: CUMBERLAND Total State Amount County \$9,046,378

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NOT THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH | Mes best seed same 6000 rate seem prov | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 25 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | 14. |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets : |

| | Meets | • | Noes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|--|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | **** 1000 **** **** 500 **** **** **** * | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | පර | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ***** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible: | Points |
|---|-----------|--------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| AND THE THE SAME AND THE SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAM | | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 200 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \circ |
| New Systems | 50 | \(\) |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ********* |

SUBTOTAL 200 Fopulation +02575

TOTAL POINTS 275.02575

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

95

Millville, City of

C340921-01

STP Upgrade

County

Cumberland

Service Area

Millville

Existing Population

25,751

Need for Project

Upgrading of the existing WWTP is required as a result of NJPDES permit modifications which impose more stringent effluent discharge limitations. The City of Millville has signed an Administrative Consent Order which requires upgrading the treatment plant. Water quality currently does not meet standards for nutrients and adversely impacts non-trout fishing uses of the water.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| • | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | | |
| • | Millville City STP (NJ0029467) | 3.5 | 2.90 | 84.0-22.0 | 81.0-24.3 | |

Project Description

The existing secondary level WWTP will be upgraded to achieve biochemical oxygen demand and suspended solids removal. The proposed upgraded facilities will include improved biological treatment and the addition of tertiary filters.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM MUA (MEDFORD TWF)

Project No.: 340463-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$11,676,787

FY90 RANK : 96.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$11,676,787

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 25 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | PRICE 1800 West | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ** 30 ***** ***** **** **** **** **** * | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | i | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .02027

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

50

TOTAL POINTS 275.02027

Priority List Rank

Evesham MUA - (Medford Township) C340463-04 STP, INT, IND SYSTEM

96

County

Burlington

Service Area

Medford Township

Existing Population

20,271

Need for Project

The Southwest Branch of Rancocas Creek is classified as PL waters (fishable nontrout). The existing water quality marginally meets dissolved oxygen and violates fecal coliform and toxics standards. The effluent from the existing wastewater treatment facilities have exceeded the assimilative capacity of the stream and the facilities do not afford the degree of treatment to accommodate the current and future population within the Project Area. The following is the existing inventory of the wastewater facilities:

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Medford Township (Secondary) (NJ0026832) | 1.3 | 1.23 | 91.3 - 21.6 | 92.4 - 19.7 |

Project Description

This project is to provide a cost effective regional sewerage system for the Southwest Branch Rancocas Creek drainage basin. Implementation of the project will accomplish the attainment of water

quality in area streams, the prevention of health hazards and the protection of the environment. Presently, the facilities planning effort is evaluating the various alternatives which will accommodate the levels of treatment necessary to alleviate the pollution problem. The alternatives which can be implemented are land disposal, discharge to the confluence of the Southwest Branch or discharge at the present

location.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA/JERSEY CITY SA

Froject No.: 340928-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$334,743,093

FY90 RANK : 97.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$334,743,093

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | H'ossible | Foints |
|--|--|--|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | MON MON / 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | ······································ |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ◊ | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | > |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | O |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

.31609

TOTAL FOINTS 251.31609

Population

Priority List Rank

Hudson County Utilities Authority/ Jersey City Sewerage Authority C340928-01 CSO Abatement

County

Hudson

Service Area

Jersey City, Kearny, North Bergen, Secaucus

Existing Population

The combined sewer overflows in the planning area discharge to the Hackensack and Hudson Rivers, and Upper New York Bay. The designated uses for these waters include secondary contact recreation, propagation and maintenance of fish and wildlife populations, the migration of anadromous fish, industrial water supply and other reasonable uses. The water quality is defined as poor due to the presence of high concentrations of indicator oganisms such as fecal coliforms and toxic substances, and the observation of depressed levels of dissolved oxygen. The unsatisfactory water quality has been atributed to high pollutant loadings from inadequately treated municipal and industrial effluents, combined sewer overflows and non-point sources.

Project Description

The proposed project includes in-line storage of combined sewer overflow by the construction of barriers in trunk sewers, regulator automation, and utilization of available interceptor space. Also, the project consists of the construction of screening and disinfection facilities at the 33 Jersey City Sewerage Authority combined sewer overflow points. In addition, extension of the following outfalls is proposed:

- 1. Mill Creek
- 2. Richard Street
- 3. Clendenny Avenue
- 4. Secaucus Road
- 5. Sip Avenue

Some rehabilitation of chambers, relocation of regulators and outfall line has been completed under National Marine CSO funds. The major portion of the project in this planning area has not been funded.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA (HOBOKEN)

Project No.: 340399-09 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,472,509

FY90 RANK : 98.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$12,472,509

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

**** 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 1-1-* 100-* 100-* 100-* 100-* 1-1-* 1

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| P | ~~~ | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | **** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ******* |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .20609

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 251,20609

Priority List Rank

Hudson County Utilities Authority C340399-09 Planning Area III - Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement 98

County

Hudson

Service Area

Guttenberg, Hoboken, North Bergen, Union City, Weehawken, West New York

Existing Population

206,092

Need for Project

The combined sewer overflows in the planning area discharge to the Hudson River. The designated water uses for the Hudson River include secondary contact recreation, propagation and maintenance of fish and wildlife populations, the migration of anadromous fish, industrial water supply and other reasonable uses. The water quality has been defined as poor due to the presence of high concentrations of indicator organisms such as fecal coliforms and toxic substances, and the observation of depressed levels of dissolved oxygen. unsatisfactory water quality has been attributed to the continuous diversion of dry and wet weather sanitary sewer flows to the river. The combined sewer system, which is 100+ years old, contains collapsing sewer lines, and regulators and tide gates that are This condition has resulted in diversions of deteriorated and stuck. sewage flows to the river and intrusion of tidal flows into the system and treatment works.

Project Description

The construction of in line storage facilities utilizing existing sewers is planned for Hoboken. In West New York, the construction of swirl concentrators is proposed. Studies indicate that facilities to abate pollution in Union City, Weehawken and Woodcliff would not be cost effective.

Although this project has not been formally adopted by HCUA, studies indicate that this work is the most cost effective solution to pollution abatement.

The rehabilitation of tide gates and regulation in Hoboken, Weehawken and Union City has been funded under Marine CSO funds. However, the major portion of the project in this planning area has not been funded.

Anticipated

Recipient : WESTERN MONMOUTH UA

Project No.: 340891-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$345,208

FY90 RANK : 99.0

\$345,208 : MONMOUTH Total State Amount County .

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | f'ossible | Points |
|--|-----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| *************************************** | | | | _ | |
|---|------|---|------|----|--|
| | | 1 | Mee: | t≘ | |
| | | | | | |

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ***** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 200 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | <u> </u> |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | O |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Fopulation .05356

TOTAL POINTS 251.05356

Priority List Rank

Western Monmouth Regional Sewerage Authority C340891-01 99

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Manalapan Township and Marlboro Township; Englishtown and part of Freehold Township are customer communities.

Existing Population

53,558

Need for Project

Currently, water quality does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen and nutrients, and fecal coliform levels are only marginally acceptable. The water is used for non-trout fishing and agricultural purposes. More stringent NJPDES Permits require the effluent to be dechlorinated.

Project Description

The project calls for the construction of a dechlorination unit.

Anticipated

Recipient: FAIRLAWN, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340795-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,889,106

FY90 RANK : 100.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$3,889,106

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible: | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shelifish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Paramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|
| | | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | 1976 1976 1870 1970 1971 1971 1971 1971 1971 1971 19 | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | F1.00 2000 411 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL :

200

Fopulation .03130

TOTAL POINTS 251.03130

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Fairlawn, Borough of C340795-02

100

County

Bergen

<u>Service Area</u>

Borough of Fairlawn

Existing Population

31,297

Need for Project

The existing wastewater treatment plant discharges into the Saddle River, Stream Classification FW2-NT, a fishable non-trout stream with industrial use. There exists periods of time when the plant's capacity is exceeded. This project is needed so that the requirements of the NJPDES permit are met. Water quality marginally meets standards for dissolved oxygen and violates standards for fecal coliform and toxics.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| **** | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| 9 44 | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| *** | Fairlawn (Secondary) (NJ0023671) | 3.3 | 2.74 | 90.4 - 14.5 | 87.9 - 17.7 |

Project Description

This project involves construction of an interceptor to transport the above flows to PVSC. Another alternative that is being evaluated is the expansion and upgrading of the treatment plant to Level III, advanced treatment, to meet NJPDES permit requirements.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM MUA (WOODSTREAM)

Project No.: 340463-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$6,253,463

FY90 RANK : 101.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$6,253,463

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

.... ...

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | r'ossible | Points |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE RESIDENCE AND THE RESIDENCE AND THE THE THE RESIDENCE AND | 1001 0000 0000 0000 over over con- | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .02867

TOTAL POINTS 251.02867

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Evesham MUA (Woodstream) C340463-05 STP, INT, IND SYSTEM, Sewer System Rehab

101

County

Burlington

Service Area

Evesham Township (Woodstream)

Existing Population

28,670

Need for Project

The South Branch of the Pennsauken Creek is classified as FW2-NT nontrout waters with industrial water use. The existing water quality is violated for fecal coliform and toxics with marginal violation of dissolved oxygen. The effluent from the existing wastewater treatment facilities have exceeded the assimilative capacity of the stream and the facilities do not afford the degree of treatment to safely accommodate the current and future population within the Project Area. The following is the existing inventory of the wastewater facilities:

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|----------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/l |
| Woodstream (secondary) (NJ0024040) | 1.25 | .91 | 96.0 - 10.46 | 97.1 5.5 |

Project Description

This project is to provide a cost effective regional sewerage system for the Southwest Branch Rancocas Creek drainage basin. Implementation of the project will accomplish the attainment of water quality in area streams, the prevention of health hazards and the protection of the environment. Presently, the facility planning effort is evaluating the various alternatives which will accommodate the required levels of treatment necessary to alleviate the pollution problem. The alternatives which can be implemented are land disposal, discharge to the confluence of the Southwest Branch or discharge at the present location. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going countywide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : CAMDEN COUNTY MUA

Project No.: 340640-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$9,056,384

FY90 RANK : 102.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$9,056,384

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible - | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 200 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \cap |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** |
| | SURTOTAL | 250 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards

Dissolved Oxygen 0 50 100 Fecal Coliform 0 50 100 0 Nutrients 0 25 50 0 25 Toxics 0 50 0

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL.

Foints.

Scored

0

Population .02780

TOTAL POINTS 251.02780

Priority List Rank

Camden County MUA (Atlantic) C340640-04 STP, INT

102

County

Camden

Service Area

Township of Waterford, Chesilhurst Borough and Winslow Township

Existing Population

27,809

Need for Project

The existing wastewater facility owned and operated by the Waterford Township Municipal Utilities Authority (WTMUA) does not comply with their effluent nitrate concentration limit of 2 (two) mg/l. Final disposal of the effluent is currently through the use of spray irrigation fields.

The Borough of Chesilhurst and portions of Waterford and Winslow Township are presently utilizing individual on-site disposal systems, which may be contaminating the groundwater due to shallow groundwater and very permeable soils.

These areas have been designated as environmentally sensitive by the Pinelands Commission.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | <u>Present</u> | BOD Reported | SS Reported |
| Waterford Township STP (Secondary) | .75 | .35 | Land Applic | ation |
| Winslow Township STP (Secondary) | .7 | .32 | Recharge Be | ds |

The Delaware River, to which the wastewater is proposed to be discharged, has industrial and potable water supply uses, and is a non-trout fishery.

Project Description

The proposed project involves the construction of a conveyance system. Wastewater collected in Chesilhurst Borough, Waterford Township and Winslow Township will be conveyed through a system of pump stations and interceptors to the Delaware No. 1 WPCF for treatment and disposal. The existing Waterford Township and Winslow Township sewage treatment plants will be abandoned upon diversion of sewage flows.

| ı | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| ., | | | | |
| | | | | |
| -te # | | | | |
| ∞# | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 44 | | | | |
| - 14.000 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| v-0.00 | | | | |
| ******* | | | | |
| ***** | | | | |
| 1988 | | | | |
| *** | | | | |
| ************************************** | | | | |
| ••• | | | | |
| ************************************** | | | | |
| 9 900 | | | | |
| ************************************** | | | | |
| | | | | |
| recell | | | | |
| anesette. | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| V | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| . ref f | | | | |
| Population | | | | |
| elaborati | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |

Anticipated

Recipient: RIDGEWOOD, VILLAGE OF

Project No. : 340639-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,479,775

FY90 RANK : 103.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$5,479,775

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible: | Points |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points. | Scored |
| | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ********* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | *************************************** | | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | **** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

200

Fopulation +02486

TOTAL POINTS 251.02486

Priority List Rank

Ridgewood, Village of C340639-03

103

County

Bergen

Service Area

Village of Ridgewood

Existing Population

24,860

Need for Project

The area is currently sewered and served by a treatment plant which discharges into the HoHoKus Brook classified as FW2-NT, a non-trout fishable and industrial use stream. The project is needed to provided advanced wastewater treatment to comply with the NJPDES permit. Water quality marginally meets standards for dissolved oxygen and violates standards for fecal coliform and toxics.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | lent |
|------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | (AWT) | | | € - mg/1 | % - mg/l |
| 7500 | Ridgewood Village (NJ0024791) | 5.0 | 2.78 | 93.4 - 14.0 | 93.3 - 12.2 |

Project Description

The project will upgrade the plant by providing advanced wastewater treatment (Level III). The facilities plan is currently under review.

Anticipated

Recipient: WOOD-RIDGE BOROUGH/BCUA

Project No. : 340692-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,525,800

FY90 RANK : 104.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$5,525,800

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shetlfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|--------------------------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | కం | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | ***** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| 1997 AND 1997 AND 1998 AND 1997 AND 199 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ···· *** *** · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | *********** |

Fopulation .00796

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

TOTAL POINTS 251.00796

Priority List Rank

Wood-Ridge/BCUA C340692-03 STP, Sludge

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Wood-Ridge

Existing Population

7,961

Need for Project

The Borough's wastewater treatment plant was designed to provide secondary treatment. However, the plant frequently violates its NJPDES permit requirements. The discharge to Berry's Creek (SE-2, a fishable non-trout stream with industrial use) has contributed to violations of water quality standards for fecal coliform and toxics. Berry's Creek marginally meets standards for dissolved oxygen levels. Therefore, it will be necessary to upgrade the treatment level or redirect the effluent to other regional treatment facilities. In addition, the Borough has been under orders to propose an acceptable sludge disposal plan.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/l | |
| Wood-Ridge (secondary) (NJ0025186) | 0.9 | 0.63 | 86.8 - 26.9 | 85.2 - 28.5 |

Project Description

The facilities plan has evaluated the alternatives for upgrading the existing STP to treatment level 3 versus abandonment of the plant and conveyance of sewage flows to the Bergen County Utilities Authority's regional treatment facilities in Little Ferry.

Anticipated

Recipient : EDGEWATER, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340443-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$127,271

FY90 RANK : 105.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$127,271

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | **************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ♦ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTUTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** *** *** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | ·································· |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \(\) |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

Population .00469

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

200

1

TOTAL POINTS 251,00469

Priority List Rank

Edgewater, Borough of C340443-04

Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Edgewater

Existing Population

4,688

Need for Project

A combined sewer overflow (CSO) study was completed in July, 1981, as part of the Borough of Edgewater Facilities Plan. This study recommended cleaning and replacing equipment at CSO regulators and minor repairs to leaky tide gates. This area of the Hudson River is classified as an SE-2 watercourse and is currently used for nontrout fishing. During overflow events, the Water Quality Standards for dissolved oxygen and fecal coliforms are violated for the Hudson River. Significant concentrations of toxics are also present in the Hudson River.

Project Description

It is anticipated that upon completion of the benefits analysis of the Hudson River, the CSO study will recommend a combination of off-line storage and swirl concentrator facilities. Also, regulator rehabilitation will be accomplished as part of transport facilities improvements.

Anticipated

Recipient : SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340326-07 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,217,710

FY90 RANK : 106.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$4,217,710

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \circ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \circ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ···· ··· |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 250 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Population .00442

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

250

TOTAL POINTS 250.00442

Priority List Rank

Borough of Sayreville C340326-07 Infiltration/Inflow Correction -Overflow

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Morgan Section (South and West)

Existing Population

4,428

Need for Project

The southern and western portions of the Morgan Section of the Borough of Sayreville are presently served by a series of six municipal pump stations and one privately owned pump station which convey the wastewater flow to the Middlesex County Utilities Authority's secondary treatment facility. The gravity collection system downstream of the discharge of a major basin pumping station is subject to surcharge to the point of overflow during dry weather, peak flow periods. Anticipated growth in the area will additionally stress the already overloaded conveyance facilities.

Project Description

The proposed project calls for the construction of a gravity interceptor, regional pump station and force main, and the upgrading of four existing sub-regional pump stations. The wastewater flow will ultimately discharge directly to the proposed Middlesex County Utilities Authority gravity sewer which would run parallel to the New York and Long Branch railroad.

Anticipated

Recipient: WYCKOFF, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No. : 340738-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$11,898,229

FY90 RANK : 107.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$11,898,229

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | PP-76 04.44 04.04 04.14 04.04 04.05 04.05 04.05 04.05 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ACAD 4000 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | *800 7000 101 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|
| *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | i | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .00190

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

150

50

TOTAL POINTS 250,00190

Priority List Rank

Wyckoff, Township of C340738-03 Collection System, Int.

County

Bergen

Service Area

Township of Wyckoff

Existing Population

1,900

Need for Project

The area is served by on-site septic systems. The majority of these systems do not function satisfactorily, primarily due to poor soil conditions and the age of the individual systems. Health records along with on-site inspections and surveys indicate failing septics are contributing to the degradation of HoHoKus Brook (FW2-NT), consequently impacting fishable non-trout and industrial uses. Water quality in the area does not meet standards for fecal coliform and toxics.

Project Description

Project is currently in the design stage and will involve construction of 71,600 lineal feet of lateral sewer and interceptors to replace septic systems. This project, along with C340739-02 (collection system, P.S.) and C340482-02 (pump station, force main, and interceptor) provides an integrated collection system for the Township. Flows will be conveyed to the Northeast Bergen County Utilities Authority interceptor sewer (under construction).

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC VALLEY SC

Project No.: 340430-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$91,762,031

FY90 RANK : 108.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$91,762,031

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | ***** **** ***** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| 75-00 COTTO COMO ARRES 140-0 FASOS 1400 COMO 1500 SALVA SALVA FASOS 150-0 COMO COMO COMO COMO COMO COMO COMO COM | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type Points | |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Primary Discharge 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction—Overflow 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 | 0 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment 100 | 0 0 |
| New Systems 50 | 0 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 1 |

SUBTOTAL 1
Fopulation .46497

TOTAL POINTS 226,46497

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners (PVSC) C340430-02 Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

108

County

Essex, Hudson and Passaic

Service Area

East Newark, Harrison, Kearny, Newark, Paterson

Existing Population

464,972

Need for Project

Wastewater generated in the PVSC service area is treated at its secondary treatment facility located in the City of Newark. During significant rain events, the PVSC interceptor sewers, adjacent to the Passaic River, overflow into this watercourse resulting in the violation of Water Quality Standards for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform. High levels of toxics are also experienced. The Passaic River in the project area is classified as SE-2 and SE-3. Currently, the river is used for non-trout fishing and industrial water use. This study will determine the extent of the impacts on the Passaic River resulting from combined sewer overflows and develop solutions to those detrimental impacts documented during the study.

Project Description

The first phase of the combined sewer overflow facility plan for the PVSC District has been completed. Phase II of the facility plan will include detailed analysis and design of alternative control measures. Control alternatives analyzed in Phase I included best management practices, minor regulator modifications, inline storage, offline storage, swiss and helical bond regulators, and instream aeration. Alternative evaluation during the second phase of the facility plan will include the computer analysis and final plan selection. The first phase of the facility plan showed that the major loadings to the Lower Passaic River originated from the Upper Passaic and Saddle Rivers and stormwater runoff in non-CSO areas. The selected plan will provide a solution of the impaired water was (industrial water use and non-trout fishing) which result from CSO's.

Anticipated

Recipient : PATERSON, CITY OF

Project No.: 340926-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,203,637

FY90 RANK : 109.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,203,637

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ALT ME ME ME ME INC DOC 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | Marie 10014 10000 service 100 00 00000 service 10000 | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | ***************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

Population .13800

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

175

TOTAL POINTS 226.13800

Priority List Rank

Paterson, City of C340926-01 Combined Sewer Overflow

County

Passaic

Service Area

Paterson

Existing Population

138,000

Need for Project

Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) from the City of Paterson discharge to the Passaic River. These overflows represent a public nuisance due to floatables and unacceptable fecal coliform levels. Water quality does not meet standards for nutrients and the level of toxics is only marginally acceptable. The discharges adversely impact the non-trout fishing and industrial uses of the Passaic River.

Project Description

The proposed project will study the CSO problems within the existing sewer system and will evaluate CSO abatement alternatives. The selected corrective measures will then be implemented.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA (BAYONNE)

FY90 RANK : 110.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$13,236,132

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| | ****************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shetlfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | 10,00 0000 111 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1
Population .06270

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

25

200

TOTAL POINTS 226.06270

Priority List Rank

Hudson County Utilities Authority C340399-08
Planning Area II - Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement

County

Hudson

Service Area

City of Bayonne

Existing Population

62,695

Need for Project

The combined sewer overflows of the planning area discharge to Upper New York Bay, Kill Van Kull and Newark Bay. The designated water uses for these waters include secondary contact recreation, propagation and maintenance of fish and wildlife populations, the migration of anadromous fish, and industrial supply and other reasonable uses.

The water quality has been defined as poor due to the presence of high concentrations of indicator organisms such as fecal coliforms, and toxic substances, and the observation of depressed levels of dissolved oxygen.

The unsatisfactory water quality has been attributed to high pollutant loadings from inadequately treated municipal and industrial effluents, combined sewer overflows and non-point sources. The deteriorated condition of the combined sewer system, which consists of approximately 95% of the sewage system of the planning area, has resulted in a continuous diversion of sewage to the receiving waters and the introduction of tidal waters into the collection system and treatment works.

Project Description

The construction of an aggregate off-line storage volume of about 1,733,000 cubic feet of storage distributed among 12 overflows located with remote monitoring and control, some conduit, regulator and tidegate repair, and the construction of remote disinfection facilities.

Flows up to 22 mgd would receive secondary treatment. Flows in excess of 22 mgd would receive primary treatment varying with respect to the flow rate. The system will involve centralized monitoring of the interceptor and storage basin levels, and remote control of the basin emptying back into the interceptor during periods of reduced flow.

The stored combined flows, which are returned to the system, will then receive secondary treatment at the plant.

Although the project has not been formally adopted by HCUA at this time as the selected plan, the preliminary studies indicate that the project described above is the most cost effective solution with respect to CSO load reduction and pollution abatement.

| 448 | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| *** | | | |
| 9300% | | | |
| ~e# | | | |
| - 694 | | | |
| 5ml | | | |
| | | | |
| 61906 | | | |
| • स्था | | | |
| + 335 | | | |
| ंपन्तर्व | | | |
| 26番 | | | |
| zilniz | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Archi | | | |
| *** | | | |
| ** | | | |
| - 16 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| hulling | | | |
| .011 | | | |
| 4397 40 | | | |
| 200 | | | |
| - | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| 1998 | | | |
| -160 | | | |
| (****** | | | |
| · 400 0) | | | |
| we d | | | |
| - 1904A | | | |
| | | | |
| in at | | | |
| - Parille | | | |
| - Angreit | | | |
| +350 | | | |
| | | | |

Anticipated

Recipient : WOODSTOWN SA

Project No.: 340628-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,497,934

FY90 RANK : 111.0

County : SALEM Total State Amount : \$2,497,934

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|------------------|
| | 441 **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | who was see and who has me are two see one one | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| POINTS | Scored |
|--------|-------------------|
| 500 | 0 |
| 250 | 0 |
| 200 | ٥ |
| 100 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | O |
| 1 | 0 |
| | 250 200 100 |

SUBTOTAL

Population .00616

TOTAL POINTS 226.00616

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Woodstown Sewerage Authority C340628-03 STP

111

County

Salem

Service Area

Woodstown, Pilesgrove Township

Existing Population

6.164

Need for Project

The existing wastewater treatment plant provides for secondary level of treatment. The effluent is discharged to Salem Creek (FW2-NT/SE1), a tributary to Salem River. This is classified as fishable non-trout with agricultural use. The seven day, ten year low flow for the Salem Creek is 0.492 cubic feet per second, therefore, the assimilative capacity of the stream is water quality limited and higher than secondary level of treatment is needed to meet the water quality goals. At present, nutrient levels are unacceptable, with DO, fecal coliform and toxics marginally acceptable. The collection system in Woodstown is more than 60 years old consisting of clay pipes. The pipes are cracked and the joints are weak, which leads to infiltration. The storm water catch-basin and roof drain connections to the sanitary sewers contribute to heavy inflow during wet weather.

| | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflue | nt |
|--------|--|--------|---------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5 Reported % - mg/l | SS Reported % - mg/l |
| i R | Woodstown Sewerage Authority (Secondary) | 0.3 | 0.28 | 93.5 - 15.6 | 94.7 - 15.9 |

Project Description

The selected plan is to upgrade and expand the plant by modifying the screens, installing a comminutor, grit removal facilities, an equalization tank, RBC units, modifying the disinfection facilities and anaerobic digestion.

Anticipated

Recipient : HIGHTSTOWN, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 112.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$4,993,736

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ***** ** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| *************************************** | *************************************** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1. |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .00454

175

TOTAL POINTS 226,00454

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Hightstown, Borough of C340915-01 STP Upgrade

112

County

Mercer

Service Area

Borough of Hightstown

Existing Population

4,537

Need for Project

Upgrading of the existing treatment plant is required to maintain the water quality of the Millstone River. The river is currently used for agricultural purposes and as a non-trout fishery. The river does not meet standards for nutrients and fecal coliform, and only marginally meets standards for toxics. Completion of this project should improve the water quality of the Millstone River.

The Borough of Hightstown is currently operating under an Administrative Consent Order to upgrade their treatment facility. Increases in flow are also anticipated due to proposed development in the Borough.

| e. | Flow (mgd) | | Effluent | | |
|----|------------------------------------|--------|----------|--------------|------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| • | | | | % - mg/l | % - mg/l |
| • | Hightstown (secondary) (NJ0029475) | 1.0 | .53 | 88.7 - 19.5 | 92.0- 15.3 |

Project Description

The existing trickling filter plant is to be upgraded to Level 5 treatment. Modifications are to include the addition of activated sludge process, tertiary sand filtration, phosphorus removal, dechlorination, and postaeration facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient : DELAWARE TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340917-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,385,650

FY90 RANK : 113.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$1,385,650

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 100 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|--|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | 1950 corp 1950 cree core and core and and area of a prop 1950 area of a prop 1964 area | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 50 0 | 0 |
| I/I Correction—Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .00100

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

125

TOTAL POINTS 226.00100

Priority List Rank

Delaware Township MUA C340917-01

113

County

STP

Hunterdon

Service Area

Seargentsville (including light business district)

Existing Population

1,000

Need for Project

The Delaware Township MUA is facing an Administrative Consent Order for compliance with the July 1, 1988 deadlines for meeting effluent limits stipulated under the Clean Water Act. An existing treatment facility must be upgraded to meet NJPDES permit limitations; an increase in treatment capacity is also being considered. The Delaware Township MUA also plans to rehabilitate portions of the existing sewer system.

The treatment plant discharges into the Wickecheoke Creek in the Delaware River Basin. This water body is a trout fishery with agricultural water uses; water quality standards for fecal coliform are not being met, while those for toxics are only marginally accpetable.

| | Flow | (mgd) | | Efflue | ent | |
|--|---------------|---------|----|---------|------|--------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | ep rted | | ported |
| | | | 96 | mg/1 | 8 | mg/1 |
| Delaware Townhip MUA (Secondary) (NJ0020290) | .027 | NA | 93 | 13 | 87.3 | 16.9 |

NA - Not Available

Project Description

One alternative under consideration to upgrade the STP is to convert the existing secondary treatment facility into a larger Sequential Batch Reactor System.

Anticipated

Recipient : NORTHWEST BERGEN CO UA

FY90 RANK : 114.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$35,022,691

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \circ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | MAN (414) (414) 1.111 AND THE SERVICE OF THE THE TAX TAX TO SERVICE OF THE TOTAL SERVICE OF THE TAX TO SERVICE OF TAX TO SERVICE OF THE TAX TO SERVICE OF | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .09171

TOTAL POINTS 201.09171

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Northwest Bergen County Utilities Authority - (NWBCUA)

114

C340700-03

STP

County

Bergen

Service Area

This project will serve the residents of the Boroughs of Franklin Lakes, Ramsey, Upper Saddle River, Saddle River, Allendale, Waldwick, Midland Park and HoHokus, and the Townships of Mahwah and Wyckoff.

Existing Population

91,706

Need for Project

The effluent from the NWBCUA plant is discharged to the HoHokus Brook and the effluent limitations required by the Brook's FW2-NT classification are not being met by the existing facilities at the present time. Existing water quality does not meet standards for fecal coliform and toxics. The receiving water body classified for nontrout maintenance and industrial water use.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effluent | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|------------|------------------------|--|--|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | | d SS Reported % - mg/l | | |
| NWBCUA (secondary) (NJ0024813) | 8.5 | 6.81 | 92.70-11.8 | 94.74-12.0 | | |

Project Description

This project will consist of construction of the necessary facilities to achieve advanced secondary (Level 3) treatment as well as the expansion of the plant from 8.5 million gallons per day (MGD) to 11.2 MGD to accommodate the projected development within this service area.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOPEWELL TOWNSHIF MUA

Project No.: 340885-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,172,033

FY90 RANK : 115.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$1,172,033

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 100 MM 400 MM 100 MM 200 MM 100 MM 100 MM 110 MM 100 MM 10 | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|---|---------------|-------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | *** *** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL. | 150 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ***** \$7577 5150 5150 5150 4440 4440 5140 | **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Bischarge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Tr eatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | *********** |

Fopulation .00040

1

TOTAL POINTS 201:00040

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Hopewell Township MUA 115 C340885-01 Interceptor, Pumping Station and Force Main

County

Mercer

Service Area

Princeton Farms

Existing Population

400

Need for Project

The existing Princeton Farms wastewater treatment plant was originally constructed in the early 1960's. With the exception of normal maintenance replacements, there has been no major construction activity at the treatment plant to either improve treatment efficiency or capacity. In 1976, the Hopewell Township MUA was forced by a developer through court action to assume responsibility for the operation of the plant. On May 1, 1979 a new discharge permit (No. NJ0022560) was issued. Included as treatment conditions were a seasonal ammonia nitrogen standard and dechlorination standard. Since the plant was never built for these conditions, these standards could not be met. There also have been periods when other treatment conditions (dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform and nutrients) have not been met.

These conditions impact the non-trout fishing and agricultural water use in the area

| | Flow | (mgd) | Effluent | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------|------|------|------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Project | BOD Reported % - mg/l % - | | | |
| Princeton Farms (NJ0022560) | 0.06 | 0.025 | 77.0 | 22.2 | 94.6 | 8.25 |

Project Description

The proposed project will consist of removing the existing package treatment plant, rehabilitating the existing collection system, and constructing an interceptor and pump station. Wastewater flows will be conveyed to the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority's Hopewell facilities for treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : NO BERGEN TWP

Project No.: 340652-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,264,980

FY90 RANK : 116.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$3,264,980

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | MERT 1500 to 11 1000 cc | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | Mass 8000 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | Marie 1000 |

Fopulation +00874

SUBTUTAL

SUBTOTAL

100

50

TOTAL POINTS 200,00874

Priority List Rank

North Bergen Township C340652-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Hudson

Service Area

Portions of the Township of North Bergen

Existing Population

8,748

Need for Project

The Township has proposed improvements to their existing sewer system to service several new areas. Also a new 1.4 million gallon per day (MGD) pump station is proposed approximately 200 feet north of the Woodcliff plant. This will replace an existing pump station that is causing overflows into the Hackensak River, a nontrout river with agricultural use. Fecal coliform levels are presently unacceptable.

Project Description

This project is for the construction of gravity pipe ranging in size from 8-inch to 18-inch diameter, a new 12-inch storm sewer and a 1.4 MGD pump station. The project report for this project is presently being completed.

Anticipated

Recipient: WATCHUNG, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340823-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$837,991

FY90 RANK : 117.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$837,991

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

**** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | ********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 25 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Standards | Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 100 |
| 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |
| | 0 | 0 50 0 50 0 50 0 25 | 0 50 100 0 50 100 0 25 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Froject Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| *** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | *************************************** |

Fopulation .00038

TOTAL POINTS 175,00038

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

100

Priority List Rank

Borough of Watchung C340823-01 East End Collection and Interceptor System

County

Somerset

Service Area

The planning area consists of the unsewered area of the East End Section of the Borough of Watchung.

Existing Population

380

Need for Project

The geological conditions make it extremely difficult to meet the NJ State Standards for individual on-site disposal system. In some portions of the project area, seasonally high water tables are common. Malfunctioning on-site systems, and potentially failing septic systems (based on percolation tests) adversely impact the Stony Brook (FW2-NT), which is used for nontrout fishing. Fecal coliform levels are unacceptable.

Project Description

This project will provide a collection and interceptor system for the 101 lots comprising the East End section of the Borough and consists of two phases for the construction of a gravity sewer system. One phase involves four separate extensions in the East End area. These extensions will tie into the MCUA through the Plainfield Joint Meeting sewer system. The other phase consists of two separate extensions in the East End area. These two extensions will tie into the Beverly Heights System.

Anticipated

Recipient : HACKENSACK, CITY OF

FY90 RANK : 118.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$2,118,126

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 1 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL

Population +03595

TOTAL POINTS 151.03595

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Hackensack, City of C340923-01 CSO Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Hackensack

Existing Population

35,954

Need for Project

The existing regulators date from the 1930's and cannot handle the "dry weather flow" definitions currently being used by NJDEP and the growth in the service area. The City has been cited by NJDEP for illegal CSO discharges and has reached an agreement on the design capacity for new equipment to handle projected flows through 2020. Water quality is only marginally acceptable for fecal coliform, nutrients, and toxics. The non-trout fishing and industrial uses of the Hackensack River are adversely impacted by the CSO discharges.

Project Description

The existing regulators will be replaced by Vortex valves. In addition, a flap gate will be installed to seal off any discharges except under specified conditions. This gate will allow the treatment of the "first flush" effluent of a storm with the bypassing of subsequent excess flows. The design incorporates a capacity for treatment of all flows occurring 24 hours after a storm's cessation.

In addition, a new meter chamber is required at Anderson Street to discharge the increased flows directly to a sewer trunk line owned and operated by the Bergen County Utilities Authority. Sufficient capacity exists at Court Street for use of the existing meter station.

Anticipated

Recipient : CLINTON, TOWN OF

Project No.: 340924-01 Eligible Project Cost \$ \$10,016,500

FY90 RANK : 119.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount \$10,016,500

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 75 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 100 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Paramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
| | | | | ****** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 300 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

.01055 Population

TOTAL POINTS 151.01055

Priority List Rank

119

Clinton, Town of C340924-01 STP Upgrade

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Town of Clinton, Borough of High Bridge, and portions of Clinton Township, Lebanon Township, Franklin Township, and Union Township

Existing Population

10,550

Need for Project

The existing Town of Clinton currently owns and operates secondary treatment facilities which provide regional treatment for the identified service area under NJPDES Permit No. NJ0020389. Treated effluent is discharged to the South Branch of the Raritan River which is classified as FW-2, Trout Maintenance waters. Trout fishing and agricultural uses of the river are adversely impacted by the treatment plant discharge. Water quality is only marginally acceptable for nutrients and toxics. The proposed project is needed to provide an upgraded (advanced) level of treatment as required by an executed Administrative Consent Order (ACO). The service area of the Town of Clinton facility is presently under a sewer connection ban.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | <u>Design</u> | Present | BOD Reported % - mg/1 | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Town of Clinton (NJ0020389) | 2.03 | 1.055 | 93.1-10.9 | 92.9-14.0 |

Project Description

Under consideration are alternatives for construction modifications to the existing Town of Clinton STP to provide for upgraded phosphorus, ammonia, and TKN removals. The completion of this project will result in permit and ACO compliance, and will improve water quality in the South Branch Raritan River.

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC VALLEY SC

Project No.: 340683-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$125,698,300

FY90 RANK : 120.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$125,698,300

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints. |
|--|----------|--------------------|
| Water Use | F'o ints | Scored |
| | ~~~ | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \rightarrow |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|---|-----------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| MAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A | | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | ********** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | 5000 eren 1660 6000 6000 5000 5000 | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | *** |

Population 1.31950

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

100

TOTAL POINTS 101.31950

Priority List Rank

Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners C340683-05
Sludge Treatment and Disposal

120

County

Essex

Service Area

Various communities in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, and Passaic counties.

Existing Population

1,319,504

Need for Project

Present dumping of sludge by the 300 mgd PVSC treatment plant into New York Bight must cease, as mandated by Federal legislation (PL 95-153).

Project Description

Construction of sludge incinerators, with energy recovery facilities, will take the place of ocean dumping of sludge.

Anticipated

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (UGEH)

Project No. : 340518-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,932,761

FY90 RANK : 121.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$12,932,761

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 25 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 25 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 50

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | MIN NO 00 1000 / 1 10 1000 1000 1000 1000 100 | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 50 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|---|---|
| AND THE THE COST THE | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | > |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 1 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 1
Fopulation .00370

50

TOTAL POINTS 101.00370

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Atlantic Co. UA -Upper Great Egg Harbor C340518-03 Upgrading of STP

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Buena Borough

Existing Population

3,669

Need for Project

The Buena MUA wastewater treatment facilities discharge effluent to the Egg Harbor River, which is classified for non-trout fishing and agricultural use. The river does not meet standards for nutrients, and high BOD5 loadings occur which can be associated with municipal point discharges. The Egg Harbor River is designated as PL by NJDEP. The upgrading of the wastewater treatment plant is necessary in order to avoid continued water quality degradation.

| | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD Reported | |
| | | | % - mg/1 | % - mg/1 |
| Buena MUA (Secondary) (NJ0021717) | 0.4 | 0.36 | 94.0 - 12.3 | 95.9 - 12.0 |

Project Description

The proposed project has progressed to the plant selection/preliminary engineering phase of facilities planning. The Atlantic County Utilities Authority recommended plan includes the elimination of the Buena Borough MUA WWTF Egg Harbor River tributary discharge via the construction of a spray irrigation system for effluent disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA

Project No. : 340680-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$58,016,000

FY90 RANK : 122.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount \$58,016,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginatty Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| *************************************** | | *************************************** | **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Population .72272

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

100

TOTAL POINTS 100,72272

Priority List Rank

Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA) C340680-04
Sludge Treatment and Disposal

122

County

Middlesex, Union, Somerset

Service Area

31 municipalities and 10 industries in the above mentioned counties.

Existing Population

722,723

Need for Project

Present ocean dumping of sludge by MCUA into New York Bight must cease, as mandated by Federal legislation (PL 95-153). Currently, there are 120 dry tons of sludge dumped every day. The ocean dumping of sewage sludge must be eliminated by March 17, 1991.

Project Description

Sludge containing 4% solids will be dewatered using centrifuges or belt filter presses to 25% solids. Dewatered sludge will be chemically fixed to produce a sludge derived synthetic soil product. After four days of curing, the product will be used as daily and intermediate cover at Edgeboro Landfill in East Brunswick.

Anticipated

Recipient : CAMDEN CO MUA

Project No.: 340708-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$62,713,000

FY90 RANK : 123.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$62,713,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | Ö |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | may |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

Population .48867

100

TOTAL POINTS 100.48867

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority C340708-05
Sludge Management Plan

123

County

Camden

Service Area

The study area for this project includes all municipalities of Camden County.

Existing Population

488,672

Need for Project

Presently, Camden County MUA utilizes three short-term methods of sludge treatment and disposal for the sludge generated at the Delaware No. 1 Water Pollution Control Facilites (WPCF). These methods include composting at the City of Philadelphia Southwest Water Pollution Control Plant, incineration at the Atlantic County Utilities Authority Coastal Region Plant and hauling to out of state landfills. The available capacity of the present treatment and disposal methods will not be capable of accomodating future sludge generation rates anticipated for the Delaware No. 1 WPCF when the expansion to 80 MGD is complete.

Project Description

Planning studies have recommended the construction of a single in-vessel composting facility at the Delaware No. 1 WPCF to treat and dispose of sludge generated in both the Delaware and Atlantic Basin facilities.

Anticipated

Recipient: STONY BROOK REGIONAL S.A.

Project No.: 340887-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,491,200

FY90 RANK : 124.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$4,491,200

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | f'oınts | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Diamond |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \cap |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oint # |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** ** 10 *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | Ö |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation .44679

TOTAL POINTS 100.44679

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Stony Brook R.S.A. C340887-01 Sludge Treatment and Disposal

County

Mercer

Service Area

Princeton Borough, Princeton Township, Hopewell Township (60%), Hopewell Borough, Pennington Borough, West Windsor Township (69%), South Brunswick (part)

Existing Population

44,679

Need for Project

Disposal of sewage sludge in landfills has been banned throughout New Jersey, which has caused a sludge crisis for many communities. Long term alternative methods of disposal must be found; one such alternative is a regional sludge incineration facility. Stony Brook currently incinerates sludge from its own service area, plus some outside sources. In order to accept sludge from additional sources, the sludge receiving and storage facilities must be expanded.

Project Description

This project is to increase liquid sludge handling capabilities by converting the existing sludge storage tank to a gravity thickener, and to add one or more gravity thickeners. It also includes installation of covers and odor control systems on the new thickeners, and on the two existing thickeners that do not have them.

In addition, the Authority is considering building sludge cake receiving facilities which would utilize the Authority's second multiple hearth incinerator. An underground storage bin and special high solids content pumps would be required to implement this plan.

124

Anticipated

Recipient : BURLINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF FREEHOLD

FY90 RANK : 125.0

: BURLINGTON Total State Amount County : \$17,112,705

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | 1982 1885 MIN 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| **** | | | | ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible - | Foints |
|---|------------|---|
| Project Di scharge Type | f'o i nts | Scored |
| *** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | PROD COM | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

.38246

100

SUBTOTAL

Population

r. . . .

Priority List Rank

Burlington County Board of Chosen Freeholders C340818-03

125

Regional Sludge/Septage Disposal Fac.

County

Burlington

Service Area

All municipalities within the Burlington County.

Existing Population

382,462

Need for Project

Forty-five municipal sewage treatment plants are currently operating in Burlington County within fifteen "201" wastewater facility planning areas. These facilities generated 10,431 dry tons of sewage sludge in 1980 and are expected to produce 12,801 dry tons by the year 2000. The "201's" are presently proceeding with residuals planning and management on a individual basis, but until this grant was awarded, no one was evaluating regionalized solutions. Additionally, approximately 7 million gallons of septage is generated in the County annually from individual septic systems. This quantity should remain approximately constant to the year 2000 and disposal of this material will be evaluated in the facilities planning.

Historically, the primary method of sewage sludge and septage disposal has been by sanitary landfilling. However, recent amendments to the Solid Waste Management Act prohibits the disposal of liquid septage in a landfill after March 15, 1982, unless the landfill is lined and has an acceptable leachate collection system. Furthermore, as of March 15, 1985, sludge was no longer allowed to be landfilled unless said landfill has the above controls. The County presently disposes of most of its sludge at Grows Landfill in Pennsylvania. This short-term solution is not reliable under the political climate in Pennsylvania. The remainder of the sludge is being applied at several permitted land disposal sites. The county's septage is being disposed of at the Mt. Holly STP and at out-of-state STPs.

Project Description

The primary objective of this project is to identify and evaluate various County-wide sludge/septage disposal options and compare the cost-effectiveness of that option(s) with the selected individual "201" area alternatives.

The preliminary report of the sludge and septage management plan indicates that the most cost-effective technology will be co-composting of sludge and refuse at the County Solid Waste Facilities Complex.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERGEN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340687-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$34,529,980

FY90 RANK : 126.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$34,529,980

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 100 1111 1002 1001 1000 1007 1000 1007 1007 | ***** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |

SUBTOTAL 0

B. Existing Water Quality

... ...

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation .37675

Priority List Rank

Bergen County Utilities Authority C340687-05 Sludge Treatment and Disposal

126

County

Bergen

Service Area

The service area consists of 50 municipalities in Bergen County

Existing Population

376,750

Need for Project

Present ocean dumping of sludge by the BCUA must cease, as mandated by Federal legislation (PL 95-153).

Project Description

The proposed project will offer a long-term solution to discontinue present ocean dumping practices. After the possible implementation of interim disposal measures, the long term facilities will be placed in operation. This proposal may utilize multiple hearth furnaces as a thermal reduction of the dewatered sludge.

Anticipated

Recipient : JT MEETING-ESSEX & UNION

Project No.: 340686-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$40,938,069

FY90 RANK : 127.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$40,938,069

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NA | ***** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| -01- Mrt 4-0 | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ******* |

SUBTOTAL 100
Population .33497

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties C340686-03 Sludge treatment and disposal

127

County

Union

Service Area

16 communities in Essex and Union Counties encompassing 65 square miles.

Existing Population

334,967

Need for Project

Present dumping of sludge by the Joint Meeting into New York Bight must cease, as mandated by Federal legislation (PL 95-153).

Project Description

JMEUC operates a 75 mgd secondary sewage treatment plant which produces 35 dry tons per day of anaerobically digested sludge which is being barged to New York Bight 12-mile site for disposal. A Step 1 grant was awarded in 1977 for a land-based sludge management study, which recommended that the use of a plate and frame filter press to dewater the sludge, followed by fluidized bed incineration, would be the most cost-effective alternative.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340399-15 Eligible Project Cost : \$18,515,130

FY90 RANK : 128.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$18,515,130

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| 101 M33 M34 M34 115 115 M44 M44 116 116 M44 M47 M47 M47 M47 M47 M47 M47 M47 M47 | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** ***** ** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | Ö |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25° | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 11 5 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction—Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 1.00 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | |

Population +22135

TOTAL POINTS 100.22135

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

100

Priority List Rank

Hudson County Utilities Authority C340399-15 Sludge treatment and disposal

128

County

Hudson

Service Area

Guttenberg, Hoboken, North Bergen Township, Secaucus, Union City, Weehawken, West New York

Existing Population

221,345

Need for Project

Landfilling of sludge in Pennsylvania is the primary means of sludge disposal for the Hudson County treatment plants. However, this is a short term solution only.

Project Description

This project is the third segment of the Hudson County Utilities Authority's segmented sludge management plant. The proposed project is the construction of a fluidized bed incinerator that is to accept municipal sludge generated within the county.

Anticipated

Recipient : HUDSON COUNTY UA (AREA I)

FY90 RANK : 129.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount : \$24,180,620

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Hossible Hoints | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| m | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \rightarrow |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SURTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .21858

TOTAL POINTS 100.21858

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

Priority List Rank

Hudson County UA (City of Jersey City) C340900-01

129

County

Hudson

Service Area

City of Jersey City

Existing Population

218,576

Need for Project

The construction of sewers are necessary to replace failing and malfunctioning septic systems in the unsewered areas of the City of Jersey City. These systems are old, inadequate, and are known to cause a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project proposes the construction of a new collection system to service that portion of the City of Jersey City that is unsewered. It will convey the wastewater of this unsewered area of the City to PVSC for wastewater treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA

FY90 RANK : 130.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$4,721,945

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| WITH CHARLE SHEET | **** **** **** **** *** *** *** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Diamond |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 0

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | loes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|---|
| 40 ALT ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL | 1000 1000 0000 0000 1000 1000 0000 000 | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \$ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation +20631

Priority List Rank

Atlantic County Utilities Authority C340405-04 Sludge/Septage Treatment and Disposal

130

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Absecon City, Atlantic City, Brigantine City, Buena Borough, Buena Vista Township, Corbin City, Egg Harbor City, Egg Harbor Township, Estelle Manor City, Folsom Borough, Galloway Township, Hamilton Township, Hammonton Town, Linwood City, Longport Borough, Margate City, Mullica Township, Northfield City, Pleasantville City, Port Republic City, Somers Point City, Ventnor City, Wymouth Township

Existing Population

206,318

Need for Project

Fifteen municipal and institutional sewage treatment plants are currently operating in Atlantic County within three "201" wastewater facilities planning areas. These facilities generate approximately 12,000 dry tons of sewage sludge annually and dispose of this sludge on an individual basis. The majority of the treatment plants dispose of their sludge using Pennsylvania landfills or incineration, both on a short term basis only. Additionally, approximately 5 million gallons of septage are generated in the County each year. The current methods of sludge disposal by the majority of the County's treatment plants cannot be considered a viable alternative on a long-term basis. Because of these circumstances, ACUA was awarded a grant to plan for the ultimate disposal of sludge on a long-term basis.

Project Description

ACUA is developing a sludge management plan to determine the most cost-effective county-wide ultimate sludge disposal alternative. The use of a transfer station(s) for septage generated in the western portion of the County is also being assessed. Alternatives for sludge disposal will emphasize land-based options as well as expansion of ACUA's incinerator.

Anticipated

Recipient : RAHWAY VALLEY SA

Project No.: 340547-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,879,078

FY90 RANK : 131.0

County : UNION/MIDDLESETotal State Amount : \$3,879,078

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| HIS THE THE TWO THE | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4 | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | *** |

Fopulation +17373

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

 \Diamond

0

100

Priority List Rank

Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority (RVSA) C340547-05 Sludge Dewatering and Stabilization

131

County

Union

Service Area

Springfield, Mountainside, Westfield, Kenilworth, Winfield, Garwood, Cranford, Roselle Park (Part), Scotch Plains, Clark, Woodbridge (Part) and Rahway

Existing Population

173,729

Need for Project

To dewater and stabilize sludge to allow for implementation (separate project) of an acceptable land based sludge management alternative to eliminate ocean disposal and allow compliance with P.L. 100-688 (Federal Mandate).

Project Description

Rehabilitation of an existing dewatering facility (belt filter press), lime stabilization and chlorination as components of the 35 mgd (Design Flow) RVSA wastewater treatment facility operating under NJPDES Permit No. NJ0024643.

Anticipated

Recipient : ROCKAWAY VALLEY REG SA

Project No.: 340821-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$14,314,589

FY90 RANK : 132.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$14,314,589

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| as 11, 11, 21, 21, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, | | ······································ |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|--|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| | P400+ 0004 101+ 0004 0000 +100+ 0004 0+100 | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Population .12063

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

100

Priority List Rank

Rockaway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority C340821-03 Sludge Treatment/Disposal

132

County

Morris

Service Area

Boonton Town, Boonton Township, Denville, Dover, Jefferson (48%), Kinnelon (32%), Mine Hill (65%), Randolph (78%), Rockaway Borough, Rockaway Township, Roxbury, Victory Gardens, Wharton

Existing Population

120,633

Need for Project

Rockaway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority has recently signed a 15 year service contract (5 year breakout option) with Wayne Township for incinerating RVRSA's sludge at Wayne Township's incinerators at the cost of \$45 per 1000 gallons. Prior to this arrangement, the RVRSA was hauling sludge to Kinsley's Landfill in Gloucester County. There appears to be available capacity within the Wayne Township's incinerators which are physically located within 7 miles of RVRSA treatment plant.

Project Description

The RVRSA prepared a facilities plan for sludge disposal. The plan shows that in-vessel composting is cost-effective. However, after recent development of negotiations with Par-Troy and Wayne and subsequent contract with Wayne Township, incinerating at Wayne now appears most cost-effective option available for RVRSA. It was recommended that the RVRSA continue to dispose of its sludge at the Wayne Township's incinerators on a interim basis and pursue long term sludge disposal option. The precise disposal alternative will be determined by the on-going study.

Anticipated

Recipient : SOMERSET RARITAN VALLEY SA

Project No.: 340878-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$19,715,835

FY90 RANK : 133.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$19,715,835

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | F'ossible | Foints |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ATT THE SET OF THE SET | | *********************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 0

0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ♦ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| THE SAME NAME AND A REAL PRINCE SAME SHOPE AND A DEPT AND ADDRESS WAS ABOUT AB | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation .08355

Priority List Rank

Somerset-Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority C340878-01 Sludge Receiving and Incinerator

133

County

Somerset

Service Area

Hillsborough, Branchburg, Raritan, Somerville, Bridgewater, Warren, and the north section of Green Brook.

Existing Population

83,554

Need for Project

The existing sludge incinerator at SRVSA is 20 years old. When the incinerator is out-of-service for maintenance and repair, SRVSA must pay a premium price to have sludge treated at other facilities; a back-up incinerator would solve this problem. In addition, the ban on ocean dumping has created an additional need for sludge handling facilities.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of the construction of a 16-foot diameter fluidized bed sludge incinerator and rehabilitation of the existing 12-foot diameter sludge incinerator. Additional sludge handling facilities, a recirculating blend tank, and ash receiving facilities will also be constructed.

Anticipated

Recipient : NORTHEAST MONMOUTH COUNTY RSA

Project No.: 340684-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$8,264,113

FY90 RANK : 134.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount \$8,264,113

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NO. 1412 1412 1412 1412 1412 1412 1412 141 | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ******* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | APP 1007 4000 1000 1077 1007 1077 2000 2000 1107 1107 | 0700 0000 000 0 anns 1710 411 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Project Discharge Type | F'oınts | Scored |
| AND SOLD AND SOLD THE | 10740 00070 40040 0000 4000 4000 AVEL | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 1.00 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | \Q |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | ********* |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation .07416

0

Priority List Rank

Northeast Monmouth County Regional S.A. C340684-04 Sludge Treatment and Disposal 134

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Eatontown, Fairhaven, Little Silver, Monmouth Beach, Ocean Port, Red Bank, Rumson, Sea Bright, Shrewsbury Borough, Shrewsbury Township, Tinton Falls (portion), West Long Branch, Fort Monmouth and Camp Charles Woods.

Existing Population

74,160

Need for Project

The facility presently disposes of sludge by an incinerator, however, this is on a short term basis only. The authority needs to identify a long term sludge disposal solution.

Project Description

The NEMRSA is in the process of selecting the ultimate sludge disposal. The two processes under consideration for the ultimate sludge disposal are sludge melting furnace or cogeneration facilities. At present, NEMRSA is landfilling sludge at the Ocean County landfill.

Anticipated

Recipient : WANAQUE VALLEY REG SA

Project No. : 340390-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,500,588

FY90 RANK : 135.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$2,500,588

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| HR 118 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | *************************************** | **** *** **** **** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | ************ |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| and the second s | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Froject Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 100

.07030

0

TOTAL POINTS 100.07030

Population

SURTOTAL

Wanaque Valley Regional SA C340390-05 Sludge/Septage Treatment and Disposal

135

County

Passaic and Morris

Service Area

The Regional Sludge Management Facility includes the service area of Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority (Wanaque, Ringwood and West Milford) and Pequannock River Basin Regional Sewerage Authority (Butler, Bloomingdale, Kinnelon, Riverdale and West Milford).

Existing Population

70,304

Need for Project

At present, the sludge produced by the local treatment plants in the Pequannock RBRSA is being transported off-site to the incinerator at Parsippany-Troy Hills STP. Sludge from the WVRSA area is being disposed of at the Two Bridges Wastewater Treatment Plant's incinerator. Both incinerator authorities charge disposal fees by the gallon (whether liquid or thickened), resulting in excessive sludge disposal costs. In addition, as long term disposal contracts have not been executed, continued receiving capacity at either incinerator is not assured and may be discontinued with minimal notice (which could result in an immediate disposal emergency for the sludge generators).

There are currently no facilities within WVRSA's service area licensed to accept and treat septage wastes. The Two Bridges Sewerage Authority Treatment Plant is currently identified as short term disposal site for septage generated in these areas. As with sludge, continued treatment capacity for the area's septage wastes is not assured at the Two Bridges' incinerator, and disposal costs are high.

The WVRSA and the PRBRSA are required by the regulations and requirements promulgated under PL 92-500 and by the USEPA to develop a 20 year sludge management plan that is the most cost-effective, environmentally sound and implementable solution to the disposal problem.

Project Description

The results of the alternative cost analysis for the operation of the WVRSA and PRBRSA service areas on a combined basis indicate that incineration of a dewatered or thickened sludge is the most cost effective and promising alternative at this time.

In addition, acceptance of septage at the local treatment plants rather than directly at the incinerator facility will reduce homeowner's disposal costs. The selected plan, soon to be completed, will provide a long term sludge management system that will accommodate the quantity of sludge generated within the

Anticipated

Recipient : PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWE

Project No.: 340886-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,198,100

FY90 RANK : 136.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,198,100

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| HIT HIE 1882 1882 1882 1882 1882 1882 1882 188 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .06310

100

TOTAL POINTS 100.06310

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

Parsippany-Troy Hills Twp. C340886-01 New Sludge Handling Facilities

136

County

Morris

Service Area

Parsippany Troy Hills, Mountain Lakes Borough, East Hanover Township

Existing Population

63,096

Need for Project

This project is required to provide an additional sludge blending tank and grit removal equipment including belt filter press for dewatering with associated piping and pumping equipment. It should be noted that the present facilities for handling sludge waste generated from other sewage treatment plants are inadequate. The project is necessary to insure that additional sludge facilities for existing and future development is in conformance with approved facilities plan.

Project Description

Parsippany-Troy Hills has completed an NJDEP approved facilities plan which recommends construction of facilities that include an additional sludge blending tank, grit removal equipment and belt filter press for dewatering with associated piping and pumping equipment.

Anticipated

Recipient : LINDEN-ROSELLE SA

FY90 RANK : 137.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$16,446,195

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ## - ## - ## - ## - ## - ## - ## - ## | ****** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | Ment close care in case cases | 2010 0000 0000 0100 0100 0000 0000 0000 | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Pari i mana a Tri a mina a mana | ************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .05819

100

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

Linden-Roselle Sewerage Authority C340682-05 Sludge

137

County

Union

Service Area

City of Linden, Borough of Roselle

Existing Population

58,191

Need for Project

Present dumping of sludge by LRSA must cease in the near future, as mandated by Federal Law PL 95-153. A land based sludge management plan will determine the most cost effective, environmentally sound method of stabilizing the sludge and disposing of the residue.

Project Description

A belt filter press for sludge dewatering and either a fluidized bed incinerator or a refuse-fired thermal sludge reducer will be constructed.

Anticipated

Recipient : WESTERN MONMOUTH UA

Project No.: 340775-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,834,406

FY90 RANK : 138.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$1,834,405

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 100

SUBTOTAL

٥

Population +05356

Priority List Rank

Western Monmouth Utilities Authority C340775-03
Sludge Treatment and Disposal

138

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Englishtown, Marlboro, Manalapan, parts of Freehold Township

Existing Population

53,558

Need for Project

The Authority is presently contracting out to a sludge hauler operating a NJPDES permitted land application facility. However, continued disposal by the hauler on a long-term basis is not assured.

Project Description

Continuing the present method of sludge disposal has been found to be the most cost-effective short term solution. However, land application on dedicated land has been proposed as the most cost-effective, environmentally sound long-term solution and is to be implemented when the short term solution becomes unavailable or is no longer cost-effective.

Anticipated

Recipient : CAPE MAY COUNTY MUA

FY90 RANK : 139.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$11,696,737

I. SEGMENT POINTS

... ...

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | Ö |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | ************* |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** *** |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 100 Hopulation +05014

0

Priority List Rank

Cape May County Municipal Utilities Authority C340661-06 Sludge/Septage Management Plan

139

County

Cape May

Service Area

Cape May County MUA - Wildwood/Lower Region and CMCMUA - Seven Mile/Middle Region

Existing Population

50,145

Need for Project

The project is required to provide sludge/septage treatment and disposal for the Cape May County MUA - Wildwood/Lower Regional and Seven Mile/Middle Regional Wastewater Systems.

Project Description

The project is the second phase of the Cape May County MUA - County-wide enclosed vessel mechanical composting system. This component is planned to service combined primary and secondary belt filter press dewatered sludge from the 14.18 mgd Wildwood/Lower Regional WWTF and the 7.67 mgd Seven Mile/Middle Region WWTF. The septage treatment facilities will be incorporated into the CMCMUA Seven Mile/Middle Regional WWTF.

Anticipated

Recipient : WAYNE, TOWNSHIF OF

Project No.: 340365-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$919,000

FY90 RANK : 140.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$919,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \rightarrow |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | wide come or an about come oppo 2000 come | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | O |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Fopulation +04955

TOTAL POINTS 100.04955

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

Priority List Rank

Township of Wayne C340365-06 Int., Coll

140

County

Passaic

Service Area

This project will serve various areas throughout the Township.

Existing Population

49,549

Need for Project

Many areas of the Township presently served by on-site systems have exhibited problems and/or malfunctions as evidenced by foul odors and numerous homeowner complaints, thus causing a public nuisance. As determined by the soil conservation service, these areas correspond to soils with severe limitations for on-site disposal.

Project Description

This project is for the construction of interceptor and collector gravity sewers within problem areas of the Township. All of the areas will tie into existing transmission lines for ultimate disposal at the Mountain View treatment plant. This project is presently in the planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient: N. BURLINGTON CO RSA (SOUTH)

Project No.: 340607-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,178,875

FY90 RANK : 141.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$12,178,875

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| water one | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ŏ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL 50

SUBTOTAL

٥

.03382

TOTAL POINTS 100.03382

Population

Priority List Rank

Northern Burlington Co. Reg. SA (South) C340607-03

141

STP, INT, PS, FM, Collection

County

Burlington

Service Area

North Hanover, New Hanover, Plumsted Township, Wrightstown

Existing Population

33,822

Need for Project

- A recent study performed for the service area has determined that overflowing and malfunctioning septic systems, poor soils and high density housing conditions exist in the outlying communities in the planning area.
- 2. A septic system survey found 81% of the residents in Plumsted Township and 61% of the residents in North Hanover and New Hanover Townships are affected by their or others malfunctioning on-site systems.

| 1.4 | | Flow | (mgd) | Efflu | ent |
|--------------|---|--------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | STP (level of treatment) | Design | Present | BOD5Reported | |
| | Spartan Village Mobile Home (secondary) | 0.030 | 0.034 | % - mg/l N/A - 113 | % - mg/l N/A - 61 |
| | California Village Mobile Home (secondary) | 0.032 | 0.015 | 94.4 - 10.7 | 96.4 - 5.7 |
| 3 4 | New Egypt School (secondary) | 0.020 | 0.0025 | 96 - 6.8 | 97 - 4.3 |
| *100 | Hanover Mobile Home (secondary) | 0.020 | 0.008 | 83.3 - 32 | 85.6 - 23.5 |
| - PEG | Wrightstown (secondary) (NJ0022985) | 0.200 | 0.20 | 94.7 - 12.8 | 96.6 - 6.2 |

Project Description

The proposed alternative is a Regional secondary 0.9 MGD treatment plant (specification will provide planning for addition of tertiary treatment) which will be located in New Egypt and discharged into Crosswicks Creek for areas where on-site systems were found to be unacceptable. STP's at Spartan Village, California Villa, Hanover Mobile Home and New Egypt School will be eliminated at the end of their useful life. The selected alternative provides for both conventional and small diameter gravity collector sewers. The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going County wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations.

Anticipated

Recipient : LONG BRANCH SA

Project No.: 340820-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,380,387

FY90 RANK : 142.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$3,380,387

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Foints Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored -----Dissolved Oxygen 50 100 0 50 Fecal Coliform 0 100 0 Nutrients 0 25 50 0 Toxics 0 25 50 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | foints | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** (221) 1090 1090 2000 1000 1000 1000 | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Fopulation +03006

TOTAL POINTS 100.03006

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Long Branch Sewerage Authority C340820-03 Sludge Treatment and Disposal

142

County

Monmouth

Service Area

City of Long Branch, West Long Branch (portion)

Existing Population

30,066

Need for Project

Long Branch Sewerage Authority is presently disposing of sludge by incineration, however, this is on a short term basis only. This project is necessary to identify a long term disposal alternative for disposal of the 1235 lbs. of sludge (dry weight basis) produced at the plant each day.

Project Description

The project report for this project is essentially complete and has recommended a long term sludge management plan which calls for in-vessel composting with distribution. After resolution of several minor issues, the project report may be approved.

Anticipated

Recipient : MT HOLLY SA

Project No.: 340819-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,683,357

FY90 RANK : 143.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$4,683,357

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| Man 7417 Fine care with mile (Mr. 1900 700 Mile care Man 1000 401 Atta 1000 401 Atta 1000 401 Atta 1000 Mile Man 1000 Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shettfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 0

0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \circ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------|
| | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 100

Fopulation .02659

TOTAL POINTS 100.02659

Priority List Rank

Mount Holly Sewerage Authority C340819-02 Sludge Management/Treatment

143

County

Burlington

Service Area

Mount Holly, Eastampton, Lumberton, Hainesport, part of Westampton

Existing Population

26,587

Need for Project

This is a sludge management project. The Mount Holly Sewerage Authority Wastewater Treatment Plant has been expanded and upgraded from 2.0 mgd to 5.0 mgd flow under USEPA/State project no. C340251 grant. The (201) Facilities Plan recommended that ultimate sludge disposal would be to a licensed landfill.

Subsequent to the completion of this original facilities plan and the initiation of construction of the plant expansion, the State of New Jersey enacted new laws relative to the treatment and disposal of sludge. Accordingly, the Mount Holly Sewerage Authority was required to prepare a sludge management plan in accordance with the current state guidelines for the preparation of sludge management plans.

Project Description

The project consists of construction of sludge management facilities at the existing Mount Holly SA Sewage Treatment Plant site. This is a short term solution only.

The ultimate sludge disposal will be incorporated into the on-going county-wide sludge and septage management plan recommendations. Mt. Holly will subsequently become a contingency back-up facility for sludge/septage treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : WINSLOW TWP

Project No.: 340895-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,911,560

FY90 RANK : 144.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$2,911,560

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| AN THE RESIDENCE OF THE | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | desk ***** 7** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform Nutrients Toxics | o o o | 50 50 25 25 | 100 100 50 50 | 0 0 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | south ratio did set each state rates rates rates | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population .02527

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.02527

Priority List Rank

Winslow Township C340895-02 Collection System, Int. 144

Camden

Service Area

Winslow Township

Existing Population

25,277

Need for Project

A <u>portion</u> of Winslow Township is presently served by on-site systems.

Many of these systems are malfunctioning; consequently, there is potential for groundwater contamination.

Project Description

The project consists of the construction of a gravity collection system and interceptors for conveyance of sewage to the Winslow wastewater facilities for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST MILFORD TWF MUA

Project No.: 340701-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$881,415

FY90 RANK : 145.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$881,415

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *********************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ♦ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ♦ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | | *************************************** | | ······································ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | ◊ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ********** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population +02511

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.02511

Priority List Rank

West Milford Township MUA C340701-03 Coll. System, P.S., and Int., Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

West Milford Township

Existing Population

25,106

Need for Project

Approximately 90% of the township is served by on-site septic systems. Many of these systems are malfunctioning, creating a public nuisance and potential public health problems.

Project Description

In addition to rehabilitating the existing sewers, the township has proposed to construct a sewer collection system to serve the entire township. A Sewer System Evaluation Survey will also be conducted. This survey will include flow metering, physical inspection of sewers and manholes, rainfall and groundwater investigations, repairing/replacing sewer pipes and manholes, and I/I analyses.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER CO UA (MONROE)

Project No.: 340526-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,576,085

FY90 RANK : 146.0
County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount \$2,576,085

I. SEGMENT POINTS

**** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Francisco de mon | Meets | • | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50 Population .02314

SUBTOTAL

0

TOTAL POINTS 100,02314

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County Utilities Authority (Monroe) C340526-03 INT, PS, FM, Collection System, Sludge Management

146

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Monroe Township

Existing Population

23,143

Need for Project

Failure of on-site sewage disposal systems within the township, surrounding Victory, Timber and Sunset Lakes have created a public nuisance, and have contributed to water quality degradation in the area.

Project Description

The facilities plan recommends the construction of a collection system, interceptor, pump stations and force mains. Sewage will flow to GCUA for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient: SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TWF. OF

Project No.: 340897-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,120,513

FY90 RANK : 147.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$1,120,513

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ő |
| Shellfish | 125 | Ö |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | Ö |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .02236

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100.02236

Priority List Rank

South Brunswick Township C340897-01 Coll.

147

County

Middlesex

Service Area

This project will serve existing and new development along the Major Road area of the Township.

Existing Population

22,356

Need for Project

Approximately 36 homes are served by on-site systems, a significant portion of which have exhibited problems and/or malfunctions, thus causing a public nuisance. The Soil Conservation Service has determined that the Major Road area corresponds to soils with severe limitations for on-site disposal systems. South Brunswick Township will apply under the Public Health Hazard Bypass provisions of the priority system.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the construction of approximately 4,500 feet of ten inch sewer line and 1,450 feet of eight inch sewer line. The project also calls for the reconstruction of approximately 834 feet of the existing "Town Center Sewer". Approximately 31 of the existing properties could make gravity connection to the sewer line and the other 5 homes would have to utilize small grinder pumps to tie into the proposed project.

Anticipated

Recipient : LOWER, TOWNSHIF OF

Project No.: 340810-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,212,200

FY90 RANK : 148.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount \$3,212,200

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| the American Street | Possible Points | Foints |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | F01115 | Scored |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Froject Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .01978

100

TOTAL POINTS 100.01978

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Lower, Township of C340810-04 Sludge

County

Cape May

Service Area

Lower Township and Del Haven section of Middle Township

Existing Population

19,784

Need for Project

The Lower Township MUA is presently working under an Administrative Consent Order with the Department to upgrade the existing wastewater treatment plant. The solids handling facilities were separated from Project No. S340810-02 (upgrade and expansion of the STP). The solids handling facility and laboratory facility improvements are the second phase of the overall STP upgrade and expansion, and are needed to process sludge from the expanded 4 mgd treatment plant in accordance with the Statewide Sludge Management Plan objectives.

The interim plan for sludge disposal consists of two options: (1) haul sludge to the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority for incineration, or (2) dewater sludge and haul to the Atlantic County Utilities Authority for incineration.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of a new sludge dewatering building which will house thickening and dewatering equipment, pumps, and chemical feed equipment. Additional facilities may include a sludge holding tank, a thickened sludge pump station, and new laboratory, storage, and operations facilities to handle increased testing and monitoring requirements.

Anticipated

Recipient : ROCKAWAY TOWNSHIF

FY90 RANK : 149.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount \$14,896,979

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| No. 201. 101. 102. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103. 103 | ADDA 2000 1880 2880 0000 0000 0000 0000 | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1017 4052 ¹⁷⁵⁶ -1 ⁵⁶ 2007 2007 4007 4005 4017 2018 4017 1018 1017 1019 1017 1019 | | ************ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 . | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | *************************************** |

•01956 Population

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,01956

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Rockaway Township C340478-05 Collection System, Int., On-site

County

Morris

Service Area

Rockaway Township

Existing Population

19,559

Need for Project

Certain areas of the Township have malfunctioning septic systems which have been creating a potential health hazard situation and public nuisance.

Project Description

It is anticipated that this project will be for a collection system or other alternative system in White Meadow Lake and remaining unsewered areas of the Township. This project will alleviate potential health hazard caused by malfunctioning septic systems.

Anticipated

Recipient : LOWER TOWNSHIP MUA

FY90 RANK : 150.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$2,595,361

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|--|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** | MED 1002 AUD -174 TARK 1004 1004 1004 AUT VI OF \$100 TOTAL 1004 1004 1004 | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|---|---|------------------------|
| the time that the the the the the the the the two too too the the the the the two too too too too too too too too too | *************************************** | ********************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | mes mes |

TOTAL POINTS 100.01953

SUBTOTAL

Population

SUBTOTAL

0

50

.01953

Priority List Rank

Lower Township MUA C340810-03 CS, PS, Int.

County

Cape May

Service Area

Lower Township

Existing Population

19,533

Need for Project

Studies performed by the Cape May County Health Department have identified two communities served by private homeowner wells that are experiencing high concentrations of nitrates. The residences have shallow private wells and septic systems on areas subject to high groundwater. The short circuiting effect of the groundwater has been identified as a contributory cause of high nitrate concentrations. This condition creates a public nuisance. The residents have been directed not to drink the water.

Project Description

The proposed solution is to construct a local collection system to serve the two (2) areas, and an associated interceptor and pump station.

Anticipated

Recipient : VOORHEES TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340875-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,175,900

FY90 RANK : 151.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$1,175,900

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--------------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | *********** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | \circ |
| Nutrients | O | and the second | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | \(\rightarrow\) |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | ٥ |

SUBTUTAL 50

SUBTOTAL

0

.01945

TOTAL POINTS 100.01945

P'opulation

Priority List Rank

Voorhees Township C340875-01 Sanitary Sewer System

County

Camden

Service Area

Unsewered areas of Voorhees Township

Existing Population

19,450

Need for Project

The on-site systems located along Route 73 in the Voorhees Township are presently experiencing a high malfunction rate, causing a public nuisance. There is potential problem of groundwater pollution due to failure of on-site systems.

Project Description

The project consists of construction of pumping station, force main and 30,000 feet of collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient : ASBURY PARK, CITY OF

Project No.: 340883-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$659,324

FY90 RANK : 152.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$659,324

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | ross (ble | Foints |
|--|---|--|
| Water Use | Hoints. | Scored |
| | *************************************** | ······································ |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Sheltfish | 125 | \circ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ******* |
| | SUBTUTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | loes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|--|---------------|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | ······································ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | . 💠 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 1.00 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .01625

1.00

TOTAL POINTS 100.01625

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Asbury Park City C340883-01 Sludge Management Plan

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Asbury Park City

Existing Population

16,247

Need for Project

The sludge generated at the City's wastewater treatment facilities is trucked to a landfill; this is not a viable long term method for sludge disposal. Additional sludge will be generated when the secondary treatment facilities currently under construction are completed.

Project Description

This project consists of land application of sludge.

Anticipated

Recipient: BURLINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF FREEHOLDERS

Project No.: 340811-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,896,945

FY90 RANK : 153.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$3,896,945

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ~···· |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | Ö |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Far ameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| *************************************** | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

Fopulation .01616

SUBTOTAL.

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,01616

Priority List Rank

Burlington County Board of Freeholders (South) 153 C340811-03 Alternative Wastewater Systems

County

Burlington

Service Area

Bass River Township (outside of the Off Shore Manor Development), Shamong Township (outside of the Fawn Lake and Barton's Lake Development), Tabernackle Township, Washington Township, Woodland Township

Existing Population

16,163

Need for Project

Within the study area, there exist numerous documented examples of surface and groundwater contamination attributable to the failure of individual on-site systems. Potable water has been periodically trucked into various residences due to excessive nitrates found in local water supplies. Additionally, malfunctioning septic systems have been shown to contribute excess nutrients and bacteria to the study area's surface waters. A case in point is where segments of tributaries to the Mullica River have been closed to shellfish harvesting during the summer months as a result of high bacteriological levels. It is speculated that local malfunctioning subsurface disposal systems are a major contributor to this contamination. In addition, eutrophication and/or the presence of pathogenic organisms may limit the recreational, economic and intrinsic value of the study area's streams and lakes.

Project Description

The plan recommends construction of small cluster systems and rehabilitation of on-site systems in the project area.

Anticipated

Recipient : MANCHESTER TWP MUA

Project No. : 340650-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,014,039

FY90 RANK : 154.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$7,014,039

I. SEGMENT POINTS

1447 1777 ADMG 0407 1446 1877 1877 2707 1777 1777 1788 4000 4004 0404 0404 0404 0404 0400 0400 0400

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| MM NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF TH | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | **** **** **** **** ** ** **** **** **** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|---|
| NI 20 20 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | MANUAL SERVICE | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | \Q |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .01540

SUBTOTAL

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100.01540

Priority List Rank

Manchester Township M.U.A. C340650-03

154

Collection System, Alt. Sys., PS, FM, Int.

County

Ocean

Service Area

Parts of Manchester Township

Existing Population

15,409

Need for Project

The tremendous rate of growth in the planning area, coupled with an increasing number of septic tanks, has placed a severe strain on the very permeable sandy soils to adequately treat the domestic wastes. Because of generally high groundwater table and the reported high percentage of septic system failures, pollution of the groundwater is suspected. The pollution to surface water in the planning area has already been documented in the Ocean County Areawide Water Quality Management Plan. The continuation of current septic tank problems poses a potential threat to the groundwater in the region. Therefore, a proper sewage disposal system is necessary to alleviate the existing pollution in the area.

Project Description

Based upon economic, environmental and engineering aspects, the construction of the following collection system, has been recommended.

Summit Park - 13,282 feet of gravity sewers.

Savannah Acres - Low pressure/grinder pump system, with 17,085 feet of 2" PVC low pressure piping.

Cedar Glen Homes - 16,000 feet of gravity sewers.

Richard and Whitney Estates - 1 1/2" and 2" low pressure sewers.

Cedar Glen West - 3,900 feet of 8" and 15" gravity sewers, a pumping station and 8,700 feet of 6" force main.

The flow from the area will be conveyed via an interceptor into Ocean County Utilities Authority's treatment facility for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : MONTVILLE TWP MUA

Project No.: 340467-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,024,400

FY90 RANK : 155.0 County : MORRIS Total State Amount \$2,024,400

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--------------|
| Far ameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | \(\) |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | f'ossible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |

Population +01534

TOTAL POINTS 100.01534

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Montville Township Municipal U.A. C340467-04 Collection System

155

County

Morris

Service Area

Montville Township

Existing Population

15,339

Need for Project

An expansion of the collection system is needed to replace inadequate wastewater disposal facilities in the areas of the Norrland STP, Brook Valley STP and Forest Park STP. Existing on-site systems have an overall malfunction rate of 32% and there are severe soil limitations in 74% of the planning area. Many of the wells in the service area are polluted and levels of coliform, nitrates, and chlorides do not meet state standards.

Project Description

This project will involve construction of lateral sewers to relieve septic systems. This project, along with C340467-04 (P.S., F.M., Int. and collection system), provides an intergrated collection system for the remaining areas of the township. Wastewater flows will be conveyed to the Parsippany Troy-Hills Treatment Plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERNARDS TWP SA

FY90 RANK : 156.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$4,211,312

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Mee t Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| ATEM TOWN 1517 1577 1577 1574 1554 1557 1557 1557 | | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ◊ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL O

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| CORD STOR MAT DESCRIPTION CORD CORD CORD CORD CORD CORD CORD CORD | Milder 9-000 00000 00010 00-00 0-000 00-00 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

.01530

SUBTOTAL

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.01530

F'opulation -

Priority List Rank

156

Bernards Twp. S.A. C340382-02 Collection System, Int, P.S., F.M.

County

Somerset

Service Area

Bernards Township

Existing Population

15,303

Need for Project

Some of the septic systems of the Township are malfunctioning and thus causing potential environmental or health hazard situations and creating a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project involves construction of new sewerage system facilities including interceptors, pump stations, force mains, and collection systems, or other alternatives for wastewater disposal problems in the areas of West Millington, Brookside, Fieldstone, and Riverside. The wastewater will be conveyed to the 1.2 MGD capacity treatment plant (under construction). The facilities planning work needs to be completed for the collection system project.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLASSBORO, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340545-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,297,380

FY90 RANK : 157.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$3,297,380

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| #1 | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | • |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

.01439

٥

TOTAL POINTS 100.01439

Population

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Glassboro, Borough of C340545-03

157

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Borough of Glassboro

Existing Population

14,391

Need for Project

The on-site systems that exist in the southern part of the Borough of Glassboro have an extremely high failure rate. The failing septic systems and overflowing cesspools are a health hazard and represent a significant source of pollution to the streams and aquifers in the area. Many of the homes in this area derive their water supplies from shallow wells and pollution by this raw sewage renders the shallow groundwaters unusable. If not corrected, these facilities may pose serious health hazards for the Borough.

Project Description

This project includes the design and construction of a local wastewater system including new collection sewers, new interceptors and appurtenances for a portion of the Borough of Glassboro. The collection system will be connected via an interceptor into the Gloucester County Utilities Authority Regional system for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : RINGWOOD BOROUGH S.A.

Project No.: 340905-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,136,900

FY90 RANK : 158.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$12,136,900

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | Ö |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1707 2000 0050 1.110 2000 5100 07W 100A 100A 110A 100A 100A 100A 100A 100 | | 30-00 FT 00 FF 00 SF 00 DOWN 1.5 F |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | AT DE 12-10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 50

0

Population .01328

TOTAL POINTS 100.01328

Priority List Rank

Ringwood Borough Sewerage Authority C340905-01 Small Alternative Wastewater System

158

County

Passaic

Service Area

Ringwood Borough

Existing Population

13,283

Need for Project

The existing pollution is presently caused by malfunctioning subsurface disposal systems throughout the entire service area. Failures of septic systems have created health hazard situations and have been polluting groundwater and lakes. All residents of the service area will benefit from eventual construction of this project, since it will alleviate health hazards and pollution of local waterways due to inadequate septic tank systems. The proposed project will consist of new collection sewers and new interceptors where on-site individual sewage disposal systems and small diameter effluent sewers have been found to be unsuitable. A preliminary infiltration/inflow analysis has concluded that excessive I/I exists within the small portion of Ringwood Borough Sewer System.

Project Description

Provide an alternative wastewater collection system (small diameter pressure sewers) with treatment at the Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority treatment plant. Also, provide collection systems and interceptors where on-site systems are polluting groundwater and surface water resources and where conventional collection sewers are clearly more cost effective than any other alternative. The proposed project will also correct I/I in the existing sanitary sewer system. The correction procedures would include internal grouting.

Anticipated

Recipient : VERNON TWP

Project No.: 340745-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$6,025,025

FY90 RANK : 159.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$6,025,025

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 400 1 101 Mar 1000 Mar 100 Mar 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | *************************************** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | ********* |

Population .01108

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.01108

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Vernon Township C340745-02 Alternative Wastewater Systems

County

Sussex

Service Area

The service area consists of the portion (62%) of Vernon Township which lies in the Pochuck Basin.

Existing Population

11,000

Need for Project

Vernon Township is comprised of clusters of lakeside communities (including Barry Lakes) that have developed from seasonal vacation homes to year-round dwellings. This fact, coupled with the restrictions of small, undersized lots located on soils with severe limitations for on-site systems, has resulted in an overburdening of the soil stratum. This has led to an increasing number of septic system failures which previously had functioned satisfactorily. In addition, a number of existing systems are known to be inadequate through design and/or installation deficiencies. This situation poses public health as well as nuisance problems to the Township. The needs of Vernon Township as identified under the Sussex County Step I Study (C340503-01) are to be resolved on a local level through this project.

Project Description

This project would implement the wastewater management recommendations of the Sussex County-Pochuck Facilities Plan on a local level. Collection, low pressure, and/or cluster systems may be involved in this project. On-site rehabilitation in conjunction with the establishment of a septic management district(s) may also be a viable alternative. The construction of this project should satisfy the wastewater needs of Vernon Township, as well as maintaining water quality and safeguarding the public health.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOLMDEL TOWNSHIF

Eligible Project Cost Froject No. : 340919-01 \$2,407,057

FY90 RANK : 160.0

: MONMOUTH Total State Amount County \$2,407,057

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|--|------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| WALLEST COLUMN TIME THE TIME THE TOTAL THE THE THE TIME T | 1844 FOR 2041 0000 8506 Will come 2064 | ···· |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ****** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | lioes Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | ****************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|
| 110700000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 50

Population .01068

TOTAL FOINTS 100.01068

Priority List Rank

Holmdel Township C340919-01 Collection System 160

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Estates of Holmdel and Hills of Holmdel portions of Holmdel Township.

Existing Population

10,677

Need for Project

The Estates of Holmdel and Hills of Holmdel are currently relying on on-site septic disposal systems.

These 150 septic systems have been failing in recent years. Many residents have noted public nuisances and a potential health hazard as a result of these problems.

Project Description

Preliminary investigations indicate that a pressure sewer system would be most cost-effective to replace the existing on-site systems. The pressure system would discharge into an existing interceptor on Holland Road. The wastewater would ultimately be treated and disposed of at the Bayshore Regional Sewerage Authority Facility.

Anticipated

Recipient : WANAQUE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340434-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$226,137

FY90 RANK : 161.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$226,137

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ō |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginalty | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | \Q |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 . | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

Population .01058

TOTAL POINTS 100.01058

Priority List Rank

Wanaque Borough C340434-02 Coll. System, Int.

County

Passaic

Service Area

Wanaque Borough

Existing Population

10,579

Need for Project

This project is necessary for the construction of a sewer extension. This is the second phase of the Borough's collection system project. The first phase (75,130 l.f.) has already been constructed. In several instances, septic systems have been malfunctioning, creating potential health hazard situations and a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project involves the construction of 4251 linear feet of collector and interceptor sewer and a pump station, which will convey wastewater to the proposed Wanaque Valley Regional Sewerage Authority treatment plant (0.68 MGD capacity). The planning work for the collection system needs to be addressed.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER COUNTY UA (FRANKLIM TWF)

FY90 RANK : 162.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$6,299,900

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | - |
|------|-------|

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| The basis of the desire Course is a | 200 | 0 |
| Fotable Water Supply Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 200 75/25 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|-----------|-----------------|---|---|
| Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | |
| 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | Standards | Standards Meets Standards O 50 O 50 O 25 | Standards Meets Standards Standards 0 50 100 0 50 100 0 25 50 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

0

Population .01055

TOTAL POINTS 100.01055

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County Utilities Authority (Franklin Township) C340461-04 INT, PS, FM, Collection System

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Franklin Township

Existing Population

10,550

Need for Project

The project area in Franklin Township consists of Franklinville, Malaga, and Newfield, which are existing developments served by individual on-lot systems. There is public nuisance associated with these on-site disposal systems in the area.

Project Description

The selected plan of the GCUA Facilities Plan calls for the complete regionalization of the proposed sewer collection systems for Franklin Township and the Borough of Newfield with an interceptor system to convey wastewater to the Landis Sewerage Authority Plant for treatment and ultimate disposal. The selected plan basically involves the construction of three pump stations, interceptors, and force mains to convey the wastewater to the Landis SA plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : MAHWAH TOWNSHIF

FY90 RANK : 163.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$6,108,886

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Hossible Hoints | Foints Scored |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | ***************************** | ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \circ |
| Shetlfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |

SUBTUTAL 50

Foints

Scored

0

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet
Farameter Standards Meets Standards Standards
Dissolved Oxygen O 50 100

0 Fecal Coliform 0 50 100 ٥ 0 25 Nutrients 50 0 0 25 Toxics 50 ٥

SUBTOTAL. 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

CSO Abatement

Project Discharge Type Points Scored

Primary Discharge 500 I/I Correction-Overflow 250 0 Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 0 Sludge Disposal/Treatment 100 0 New Systems 50 50 Advanced Treatment 1 \Diamond I/I Correction 0 1

SUBTUTAL 50

Population +01048

TOTAL POINTS 100,01048

Priority List Rank

Mahwah Township C340592-03 Collection System, Interceptor

County

Bergen

Service Area

Township of Mahwah (Portion)

Existing Population

10,476

Need for Project

The area is currently served by septic systems; failures appear to be contributing to the pollution of groundwater, a potable water source. This could result in health problems in the community. The project would also convey wastewater from package plants in the Township. The water quality in the area marginally meets standards for fecal coliform and nutrients.

Project Description

The project involves construction of a collection system and interceptor to serve the Fardale Section of Mahwah Township. The wastewater will be conveyed through the Northwest Bergen County Utilities Authority interceptors and then to the treatment plant in Waldwick. The project report has not been submitted by the Township.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER CO UA (MANTUA)

FY90 RANK : 164.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$1,360,573

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

...

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| -90 - 111 - 110 - | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | 2020 Server +++ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|------------------|
| , | ***** | *************************************** | , cold cold cold cold 1000 1001 mad mad diffe med April 1001 cold cold | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | **** |

Population .00995

TOTAL FOINTS 100,00995

SUBTOTAL.

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

0

Priority List Rank

Gloucester County Utilities Authority (Mantua) C340526-12 PS, FM, Collection System

164

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Mantua Township

Existing Population

9,951

Need for Project

The existing individual sewage disposal systems of the Mantua Township areas are causing public nuisance due to their malfunctioning. Many of the homes in the older section of Mantua are located on small lots. During 1981, a sanitary survey was conducted in the Mantua area by the County Health Department to determine the extent of problems which exist with the on-site systems. The results of this survey and the complaint records of the County Health Department indicates that there exists a definite problem with the on-site systems of the Mantua Township area. As a result, construction of a collection system and conveyance of the sewage to the GCUA Regional Plant will improve the water quality of the area.

Project Description

The project consists of construction of sewage collection systems, pump stations, and force mains in order to collect the sewage from the Mantua area for treatment and disposal at the GCUA Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : CHATHAM TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340403-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,771,638

FY90 RANK : 165.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$3,771,638

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|--|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ALV \$100 ALV AND NOW AND \$100 | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \cap |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SURTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| | | 411 411 110 -111 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00906

50

SUBTOTAL.

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00906

Priority List Rank

Chatham Township C340403-04 Interceptors & Collection System

County

Morris

Service Area

Township of Chatham

Existing Population

9,055

Need for Project

Certain areas of the Township have malfunctioning septic systems which have been creating potential health problems and public nuisance.

Project Description

It is anticipated that this project will be for a collection system and interceptors or other alternatives for unsewered areas of the Township. This project will alleviate potential health problems caused by malfunctioning septic systems. The facilities plan work has not been initiated.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340372-10 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,406,350

FY90 RANK : 166.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$1,406,350

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| | *** **** *** *** *** *** *** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Points Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored Dissolved Oxygen 0 50 100 0 Fecal Coliform 0 50 100 0 Nutrients 0 25 50 0 Toxics 0 25 50 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | unes 1004 111 |

SUBTOTAL 50

0

Fopulation .00900

TOTAL POINTS 100,00900

<u>Project Name, Number</u>
Ocean County Utilities Authority
C340372-10
Interceptor

Priority List Rank

County

Ocean

Service Area

Northwest portion of Manchester Township

Existing Population

9,000

Need for Project

The existing residents are being serviced by on-site systems for wastewater disposal. This may be polluting the surface and ground water, and is causing a public nuisance. This area is tributary to the Ridgeway Branch.

Project Description

Facilities planning is being undertaken, which proposes that an interceptor (known as Ridgeway Interceptor) be constructed to convey the area's wastewater to the OCUA's Central STP for treatment and disposal. This interceptor is planned to be 24" in diameter and 1,200 feet in length.

Anticipated

Recipient : BLOOMINGDALE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340634-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,032,705

FY90 RANK : 167.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$3,032,705

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-----------|--|---|---------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| 0010 1011 1311 1984 5894 2005 2500 2500 2500 2500 2500 FF28 4500 5800 5800 5800 5800 | **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | mint ex-1 part exec eres come pass mile same sure tout book | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | \(\) |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Project Discharge Type | foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | i | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | ********** |

Fopulation .00805

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

50

٥

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00806

Priority List Rank

Bloomingdale Boro C340634-03 Collection System, Int., P.S., F.M.

County

Passaic

Service Area

Bloomingdale

Existing Population

8,058

Need for Project

Some of the septic systems in the township are malfunctioning and causing potential environmental or health hazard situations and creating a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project involves construction of new sewerage system facilities including interceptors, pump stations, force mains, and collection systems and/or other alternatives for wastewater disposal problems in the areas of Morse Lake, Union Avenue, Hillside Drive and Vreeland Avenue. The wastewater would be conveyed to the Butler Bloomingdale Sewage treatment plant, which is to be upgraded and expanded to 2.5 MGD. The facilities plan work for the collection system project is currently under review.

Anticipated

Recipient : WANTAGE TWP

Project No.: 340760-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$476,042

FY90 RANK : 168.0 County : SUSSEX

Total State Amount : \$476,042

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| 12 10 10 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·· | **** | | **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|---|------------------|
| That there game a law a | 4000 topes poor erid core topes in appr | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .00800

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00800

Priority List Rank

Wantage Township C340760-03 Alternative Wastewater Systems

County

Sussex

Service Area

Wantage Township

Existing Population

8,009

Need for Project

The entire Township of Wantage is currently using on-site wastewater disposal. However, in several areas there are failing systems which qualify as a public nuisance.

Project Description

The Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority is developing a complete wastewater treatment system which includes upgrading of the Sussex Borough STP. The Sussex Borough STP may be available to serve new systems within Wantage Township. This project includes the design and construction of a local collection system and possible upgrading of existing on-site systems for the Wantage Township area, pending submittal and approval of the Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority-Lower Wallkill 201 Facilities Plan (No. C340573-01).

Anticipated

Recipient : STAFFORD MUA

Project No.: 340879-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,859,660

FY90 RANK : 169.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$1,859,660

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| AND 1011 CAN 1012 AND 1011 CAN 1011 CAN 1011 AND 1011 AND 1011 AND 1011 AND 1011 CAN | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Points Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored

| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 50
Population .00797

SUBTOTAL

0

TOTAL POINTS 100,00797

Priority List Rank

Stafford Municipal Utilities Authority C340879-01 Modifications and replacement for Vacuum Wastewater Collection System

County

Ocean

Service Area

Bayside and Bonnet Island area of Stafford Township.

Existing Population

7,970

Need for Project

The Stafford Municipal Utilities Authority Vacuum Collection System was funded under USEPA Construction grants. It was constructed during 1979-1982 with a majority of the valves on-line by July 1983. area of vacuum collection system is on the Manahawkin Bay in Ocean County, and has ground surface elevation close to sea level. area has historically experienced flooding and high groundwater. SMUA has experienced problems with vacuum valves. Envirovac, manufacturer of vacuum valves for SMUA, documented that these valves cannot operate submerged in water, and the "watertight" housings have consistently failed to be watertight. In order to minimize the potential environmental danger existing with the system, the SMUA is planning to replace Envirovac valves with new vacuum valves that are capable of operating submerged in water. The Authority has received approval from regulatory agencies pending final determination of negligence, with respect to modification/replacement monies for failed I/A Technology facilities which provides 100% reimbursement.

Project Description

The SMUA will continue to collect wastewater using a vacuum collection system. The wastewater will continue to be discharged to the Ocean County Utilities Authority force main. This project involves: replacing each of the existing valves, pits and appurtenances; replacing the vacuum pumps in each collection station; installing additional instrumentation and monitoring equipment at each collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340372-16 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,569,444

FY90 RANK : 170.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$10,569,444

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | 2004 could do 1440 0107 7700 0000 0100 | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Ma | ***** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | ************ |

SUBTOTAL 50

Fopulation .00787

TOTAL POINTS 100,00787

Priority List Rank

Ocean County Utilities Authority C340372-16 Interceptor

170

County

Ocean

Service Area

Central and Western portions of Jackson Township

Existing Population

7,876

Need for Project

The area is being serviced by septic systems which have experienced malfunctions and may be polluting the surface and ground waters. This area is tributary to the Toms River.

Project Description

To relieve the public nuisance of septic tank overflows, an interceptor (known as North Branch of Toms River Interceptor) can be built to convey the sewage to OCUA's Central STP for treatment. This interceptor will be approximately 15 miles in length.

Anticipated

Recipient: BRANCHBURG, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340852-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$564,839

FY90 RANK : 171.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$564,839

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| HIR TIP BY AT AN AN THE TOTAL HE | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ******** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ~~ ~~ ~~ ·~ ·~ ·~ ·~ ·~ ··· ··· ··· ··· | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 |

| Dissolved Oxygen | o | 56 | 100 | 0 |
|---------------------|---|----------|----------|---|
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL O

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00785

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

50

Points Scored

TOTAL POINTS 100,00785

Priority List Rank

Branchburg Township Collection System C340852-02

County

Somerset

Service Area

Cedar Grove Road, Frewert Lane, Jeffrey Court, Nassau Court, Preston Drive

Existing Population

7,846

Need for Project

Forty-one homes in Cedar Grove Road, Frewert Lane, Jeffrey Court, Nassau Court and Preston Drive area are plagued with failing septic systems.

Project Description

The project involves the construction of approximately 4,025 L.F. of 8-inch sewer line to service forty-one homes with failing septic systems. The project is completely designed and is awaiting NJDEP approval of an application for exemption from a sewer ban.

Anticipated

Recipient: UPPER SADDLE RIVER, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340909-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,200,979

FY90 RANK : 172.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$1,200,979

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| HV 111 HV 201 HV 100 HV | *** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| ************* | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | ♦ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Fig. 1. Supply Westman Washington Williams | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ŏ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | Ō |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | ****** |

Fopulation +00784

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00784

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Upper Saddle River, Borough of C340909-01

172

County

Bergen

Service Area

Southwest portion of Upper Saddle River

Existing Population

7,845

Need for Project

Sanitary sewers from the commercial buildings in the southwest portion of Upper Saddle River drain into the Ramsey Brook Interceptor. The Ramsey Brook Interceptor was not originally designed to handle flow from the southwest portion of Upper Saddle River. As a result, the line runs near capacity with some surcharging. Continuing commercial development in this area necessitates an alternate drainage source. Construction of a sanitary sewer collection system, which would drain into the northwest Bergen Interceptor, to serve both the expanding commercial and residential (currently served by septic system) communities in the southwest portion of Upper Saddle River has been proposed.

Project Description

Construction of a sanitary sewer collection system and interceptor. The sewer system would contain 9800 linear feet of 8-inch and 1000 linear feet of 10-inch diameter sanitary sewers.

Anticipated

Recipient : BYRAM TOWNSHIP

Froject No.: 340569-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$676,877

FY90 RANK : 173.0

Total State Amount : \$676,877 : SUSSEX

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | | **** ** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ç | 50° | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

Fopulation .00781

TOTAL POINTS 100.00781

Priority List Rank

173

Byram Township C340569-02 Alternative Wastewater Systems

County

Sussex

Service Area

The study area for this project consists of the portion of Byram that falls within the Upper Musconetcong Drainage Basin (93%), Upper Wallkill Basin (3%) and Pequest Basin (4%).

Existing Population

7,814

Need for Project

Both the Upper Wallkill and Musconetcong portions of Byram Township were included under their respective Step I Facilities Planning studies.

In the Upper Wallkill Basin the high density development in poor quality soils surrounding Lake Mohawk, a seasonally high groundwater table, and reported septic system failures, have produced the need to evaluate and eliminate these septic impacts. Therefore, a 201 study was initiated in Byram Township.

In addition, the portion of Byram which falls in the Upper Musconetcong Drainage Basin was originally studied under the Regional 201 facilities plan for the Musconetcong Sewerage Authority. This portion along with the rest of Byram will have to be reconsidered in the future to determine the specific needs and establish a solution to the public nuisance of failing septic systems in the Township.

Project Description

A 201 Facilities Plan has been prepared for Lake Mohawk - Wallkill Drainage Basin. As described above, the need to eliminate the septic leachate entering Lake Mohawk is the principle concern of this study. Pursuant to the Plan of Study developed for this project, alternatives for collection were evaluated. Collection with regional treatment by the Upper Wallkill wastewater treatment plant, as originally envisioned, does not appear to be a viable alternative at this time. However, all septage generated within the Township will be conveyed for treatment at the Upper Wallkill facilities. On-site rehabilitation, to alleviate public nuisance, may be warranted for portions of Byram Township.

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC TWP

FY90 RANK : 174.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$11,448,672

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 1.25 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Project Discharge Type | P'o i nts | Scored |
| ************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** | ************* |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00765

SUBTUTAL

SUBTOTAL

Ö

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00766

Priority List Rank

Passaic Township C340404-05 Collection System, Interceptor

County

Morris

Service Area

Passaic Township

Existing Population

7,644

Need for Project

Certain areas of Passaic Township have malfunctioning septic systems which have been creating potential health hazard situations and a public nuisance.

Project Description

It is anticipated that this project will be for a collection system including interceptors, or other alternative system to alleviate the existing septic system problems. The project report plan work has not been initiated.

Anticipated

Recipient: N. BURLINGTON CO RSA (NORTH)

Project No.: 340607-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$6,013,482

FY90 RANK : 175.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$6,013,482

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE REAL PLAN THE | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Diamond |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ************ |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| 1000 1100 1110 1100 1100 1100 1100 110 | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSG Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | |

Population +00740

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100.00740

Priority List Rank

Northern Burlington County Regional Sewerage Authority - North C340607-04 Alternative Wastewater System 175

County

Burlington

Service Area

Mansfield Township, Chesterfield Township

Existing Population

7,397

Need for Project

A study performed for the service area has determined that overflowing and malfunctioning septic systems, poor soils and high density housing conditions exist in the outlying communities in the planning area.

A septic system survey found 60% of the residents of Chesterfield, Village of Crosswicks, and 57% of the residents of Mansfield, Village of Columbus, are experiencing problems with their or other malfunctioning on-site systems, which is causing septic tank overflows.

Project Description

The proposed alternative from the 201 facilities plan for areas where individual systems were found unacceptable in the Township of Chesterfield and Mansfield is a small diameter sewer system with grinder pumps and subsequent treatment and disposal at the proposed Northern Regional Treatment Plant.

Anticipated

Recipient: MT OLIVE/WASH TWP MUA

Project No.: 340537-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$30,074,153

FY90 RANK : 176.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$30,074,153

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shettfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | \Q |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |

Fopulation .00663

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

 \circ

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00663

Priority List Rank

Mt. Olive Township/Washington Twp. MUA C340537-03 STP, INT, COLL. SEWER, FM

176

County

Morris

Service Area

Approximately 4,000 acres around Budd Lake

Existing Population

6,630

Need for Project

The Budd Lake area contains approximately 2,200 homes with density of 2.2 dwelling units per acre and is being served exclusively by individual septic systems which have been experiencing severe failing incidents and causing a public nuisance. This may be polluting Budd Lake, which is the head water of the South Branch Raritan River, affected portions of which are classified as trout waters. A secondary level treatment of sewage is at least required. The on-going facilities plan will also address the most cost-effective sludge disposal alternative.

Project Description

A sewage collection system is needed to alleviate groundwater and surface water contamination and to eliminate public nuisance problems caused by failing septic systems due to unsuitable soil conditions and densely congregated dwelling units. A sewage treatment plant and interceptor will also have to be built to accommodate this waste flow.

Anticipated

Recipient : CARLSTADT SA

Project No.: 340705-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,289,917

FY90 RANK : 177.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$4,289,917

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ************************************** | **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** **** **** ** ** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 . | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | **** *** |

Population .00612

TOTAL POINTS 100,00612

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

0

Priority List Rank

Carlstadt Sewerage Authority C340705-03 Int/Coll

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Carlstadt

Existing Population

6,115

Need for Project

There are malfunctioning and inadequate on-site systems which cause a public nuisance in unsewered areas of Carlstadt. Additionally, a portion of Carlstadt's sewered flows are currently conveyed to the Rutherford/Carlstadt's Joint Meeting STP for treatment prior to discharge to Berry's Creek (FW2-NT/SE-2). This plant has been under a court order on consent to be abandoned in favor of regional treatment by the Bergen County Utilities Authority (BCUA). Existing water quality violates standards for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, and toxics.

Project Description

The facilities plan has evaluated alternative methods for the diversion of all or part of the flow from the Joint Meeting plant to the BCUA regional treatment facilities. The plan also identifies additional sanitary facilities needed to collect sewage in developed and developing areas of Carlstadt.

Anticipated

Recipient : MAHWAH, TOWNSHIF OF

Project No.: 340737-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$192,619

FY90 RANK : 178.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$192,619

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *** ···· . |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

...

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | \Q |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Fopulation .00600

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00600

Priority List Rank

Mahwah, Township of C340737-01 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Bergen

Service Area

Mahwah Township (unsewered portion)

Existing Population

6,000

Need for Project

Facilities planning in Mahwah Township has identified areas of low density development which will remain unsewered for the planning period. However, areas of soils with limitations to on-site disposal exist, and on-site systems continue to fail in these areas. These malfunctions are considered to be public nuisances. and must be addressed. It is, therefore, necessary to create a management program to address the correction of malfunctioning systems in unsewered areas.

Project Description

This project is still in the planning stages and the specifics of the septic management program to be adopted by the Township have not yet been determined. However, at a minimum, the program must provide for inspections of systems every three years.

Anticipated

Recipient : SUSSEX CO-BRANCHVILLE/FRANKFORD

Project No. : 340759-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,555,610

FY90 RANK : 179.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$2,555,610

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 112 117 117 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 | **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *** | **** **** **** **** **** **** | have and the second control of the second co | *** | ······································ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|---|
| ************************************** | ************************************** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

Fopulation +00596

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.0059&

Priority List Rank

179

Sussex County MUA - Paulinskill (Phase II) Branchville/Frankford Area C340759-02 STP, PS, FM, Int.

County

Sussex

Service Area

The study area is delineated as the entire drainage basin (nearly 110 miles) of the Paulinskill River in Sussex County and includes the Newton and Branchville/Frankford areas. The service area for this Phase II - Branchville/Frankford project consists of Branchville Borough and Frankford Township.

Existing Population

5,955

Need for Project

The proposed service area is a clustered community which currently relies on on-site septic disposal systems for the treatment of wastewater. Many of these systems appear inadequate and are a public nuisance to the community. An on-going Step 1 study is presently being conducted under the Sussex County-Paulinskill Step I planning grant (C340449-01), to determine the nature, severity, and extent of the wastewater disposal problems for the 201 areas.

Project Description

The current Step I Facilities Plan will thoroughly evaluate existing wastewater treatment throughout the 201 area; and specifically the nature, severity, and extent of all disposal problems that are present. Based upon need, alternative solutions for the treatment and disposal of wastewater will be discussed. These alternatives may include on-site rehabilitation, small community systems, or a limited collection system. A state loan would be needed to implement the recommended wastewater treatment/disposal alternative for the Branchville/Frankford area.

Anticipated

Recipient: CHESTER, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340876-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,178,316

FY90 RANK : 180.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,178,316

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|--|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | ï | 0 |

Fopulation .00564

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00564

Priority List Rank

Borough of Chester C340876-01 STP, PS, FM

County

Morris

Service Area

Borough of Chester

Existing Population

5,642

Need for Project

The only means of wastewater treatment/disposal in the Borough is via septic systems. Some of the systems in the Borough contribute to water quality degradation in Tiger Brook, tributary to Peapack Brook (Classification FW2-TP(C1)).

Project Description

The Borough proposes the construction of a 0.075 mgd WWTF with land application to service the Borough. The project includes the required collection and conveyance system components to eliminate the failing on-site systems.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERLIN TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340790-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,582,000

FY90 RANK : 182.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$10,582,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Mark 1717 (Mark 1917 1718 1917 1917 1917 1917 1917 1917 | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 100 1701 MW 1007 M I 110 1704 Lane (174 100) 170 ART Opps and 170 1000 top (174 also top (174 also top) and (170 top) and (170 top) and (170 top) | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ******* |

Population +00554

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00554

Priority List Rank

Berlin Township C340790-02 Sewage Collection and Interceptor System

County

Camden

Service Area

Berlin Township

Existing Population

5,546

Need for Project

At present there is no sewer service to the residents of Berlin Township. Well-drained, sandy soils, and a shallow groundwater table increase the possibility of contamination to ground waters by individual septic tank disposal systems. In addition, contamination of the head waters of the Great Egg Harbor River (PL and FW2-NT) and nitrogen contamination of wells has been attributed to septic sources in Berlin Township. The systems are also creating a public nuisance.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of the construction of a new collection system to service a portion of the Township of Berlin. The Berlin Borough plant (the activated sludge treatment process) would also have to be upgraded and expanded from its present .6 mgd capacity to 1.1 mgd. Conveyance of these flows to the CCMUA District #1 plant is also being evaluated.

Anticipated

Recipient : FRANKFORD TWP

Project No.: 340742-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,006,553

FY90 RANK : 183.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$2,006,553

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | ··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | mes mes -s |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | **** **** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ø | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** **** |

Population +00510

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL FOINTS 100.00510

Priority List Rank

Frankford Township C340742-02 Collection System, Int.

County

Sussex

Service Area

Frankford Township

Existing Population

5,101

Need for Project

The Frankford Township area is currently relying on on-site septic disposal systems for the treatment of wastewater. Many of these existing systems appear inadequate. This is creating a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project is part of a wastewater treatment system to be developed by the Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority, and consists of a proposed regional sewage treatment plant which will serve Frankford Township along with Branchville Borough. It includes the design and construction of a collection and interceptor system for the Frankford Township area pending completion and approval of the Phase II Facilities Plan for the Sussex County - Paulinskill 201 study area (C34449-01).

Anticipated

Recipient: ATLANTIC HIGHLANDS, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 184.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$1,643,089

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Market and the control of the contro | ***************************** | mm |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

.

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | 1244 1544 1449 \$441 2011 Ford \$100 \$100 2000 | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ◊ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|--|---|
| Project Bischarge Type | Foints | Scored |
| *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** ********************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .00498

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00498

Priority List Rank

Atlantic Highlands Borough C340857-01 Collection System

184

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Unsewered portions of Atlantic Highlands Borough

Existing Population

4,976

Need for Project

Failing septic systems in the unsewered areas of the Borough are causing a public nuisance.

Project Description

A gravity collection system is proposed to replace the existing failing septic systems. It is also proposed to rehabilitate the sanitary sewer system, which is approximately 60 years old, and has a severe infiltration and inflow problem.

Anticipated

Recipient : DENVILLE TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340466-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$14,380,470

FY90 RANK : 186.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$14,380,470

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| No. 410 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | ***** | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | ***** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | \(\) |
| Nutrients | Ó | Control of the contro | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | 3000 cabe - · |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | ********* |

Population +00450

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

TOTAL POINTS 100,00450

Priority List Rank

Township of Denville C340466-03 Collection, PS, FM.

County

Morris

Service Area

A segment of Denville Township.

Existing Population

4,500

Need for Project

The use of on-site systems is the primary method of wastewater disposal in the Township, however, it is causing a public nuisance. The on-site systems exhibit a high rate of malfunctions, which correspond to soils with severe limitations for on-site disposal as determined by the Soil Conservation Service.

Project Description

This project is for the first segment of sewering the entire Township. This segment is for the construction of approximately 68,000 L.F. of 8-inch to 14-inch gravity sewers, 2 pump stations and approximately 12,000 L.F. of 6-inch and 10-inch force main. Wastewater from the Township will be conveyed to the existing Rockaway Valley Regional Sewage Authority Treatment Plant for ultimate disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOPEWELL TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340648-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,813,726

FY90 RANK : 187.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$5,813,723

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | 1911 5000 0000 1001 1111 0018 1010 1010 10 | | | ************ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Õ | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ó | 2E | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ö | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | C |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .00435

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00435

Priority List Rank

Hopewell Township MUA C340648-03 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Mercer

Service Area

Hopewell Township

Existing Population

4,357

Need for Project

At present, sewage is disposed predominately by means of conventional subsurface units on individual properties. Failure of these systems in some areas have created potential health hazards and have been a public nuisance through surface breakout and by polluting the groundwater. There is only one sewer connection in the study area: Pitman-Moore Associates on the north side of Jacobs Creek has a sewer (publicly owned) which crosses the creek into the Ewing Township Collection System. By contract between the two Authorities, no other connections are allowed to the sewer line.

Project Description

The Hopewell Township MUA facilities plan has recommended the implementation of a Small Community System serving a limited service area (Small Service Area) containing neighborhoods with the most severe onsite system problems. Five small community systems will be built consisting of a pressure sewer system (small diameter pressure sewers with pumps located on each property) with pretreatment and land disposal at sites located near the neighborhoods served. The remainder of the study area will continue to use onsite sewage disposal systems with any necessary corrections or replacements made by individual homeowners under the supervision of the Township Health Officer.

Anticipated

Recipient : RANDOLPH TOWNSHIF MUA

FY90 RANK : 188.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$3,670,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NAT 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 18 | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | \Q |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00432

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

 \Diamond

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00432

Priority List Rank

188

Randolph Township MUA S340632-04 Collection System, Int.

County

Morris

Service Area

Randolph Township

Existing Population

4,320

Need for Project

The existing residents in the Mount Fern area are serviced by individual septic systems which have experienced a high failure rate. This is causing groundwater and surface water pollution and creating potential health hazard situations. The septic system failures are attributable to unsuitable soil conditions in the planning area.

Project Description

Planning for the project proposes a collection system to alleviate the existing septic system problems in the Mount Fern area tributary to Wallace Brook. Wastewater from this area will be conveyed to the existing Rockaway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority Treatment Plant for ultimate disposal.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : PEQUANNOCK, LINCOLN, FAIRFIELD

Project No.: 340880-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,452,100

FY90 RANK : 189.0

: MORRIS Total State Amount \$5,452,100 County

Ι. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| *************************************** | | *************************************** | | **** ***** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |

| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
|--------------------------------|-----|----------|
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 100 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

.00313 Population

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Ö

0

100

TOTAL FOINTS 100.00313

Priority List Rank

Pequannock, Fairfield and Lincoln Park SA (Two Bridges S.A.) C340880-01 Sludge

County

Morris

Service Area

Pequannock, Fairfield and Lincoln Park.

Existing Population

3,136

Need for Project

TBSA has only one interceptor; there is no backup or standby during incinerator shutdowns. Operation of a single incinerator for sludge disposal is unreliable for both sludge generated by the TBSA treatment plant and sludge delivered by outside customers. When the Pequannock River Basin Regional Sewerage Authority completes its interceptor project and commences discharging to the TBSA treatment plant, sludge storage capacity will be 5-6 weeks during shutdowns. Therefore, TBSA will be forced to dispose of its sludge at another facility. The second incinerator would not only improve reliability, but would also allow TBSA to consider entering into long term sludge disposal contracts for liquid sludge. The addition of a sludge cake (dewatered sludge) receiving facility will allow more feasible transporation of sludge and permit the addition of sludge cake customers.

Project Description

The TBSA has proposed the construction of a second fluidized bed incinerator, inside the existing facilities, which would be designed to accept dewatered sludge cake and provide storage capacity for sludge cake.

Anticipated

Recipient : MINE HILL TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340498-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,190,499

FY90 RANK : 190.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$3,190,499

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | F'oints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 20 CH 20 RE 20 CH | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform Nutrients | 0 | 50 25 | 100 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ŏ | 25 | 50 | ŏ |

SUBTOTAL O

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | foints | Scored |
| yang daga tang kang kang pang pang pang pang pang pang pang kang kang tang tang tang tang tang tang tang t | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \cap |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Population .00311

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100.00311

Priority List Rank

Mine Hill Township C340498-01 Collection System, Int.

County

Morris

Service Area

Mine Hill Township (Passaic Drainage area)

Existing Population

3,110

Need for Project

The Township has proposed the construction of a collection system for certain areas where septic systems have been experiencing problems and creating a public nuisance.

Project Description

It is anticipated that this project will be for a collection and interceptor system. The wastewater from the project area will be conveyed to the existing RVRSA treatment plant. The project report has not been initiated.

Anticipated

Recipient : EGG HARBOR TOWNSHIF MUA

Project No.: 340860-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,684,785

FY90 RANK : 191.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$4,684,785

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shettfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL 50

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Foints Parameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored Dissolved Oxygen 0 50 100 0 50 Fecal Coliform 0 100 0 25 Nutrients 0 50 0

SUBTOTAL O

25

0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Toxics

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|--------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** 101 101 101 101 001 001 001 001 001 | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

50

0

Fopulation .00300

TOTAL POINTS 100,00300

Priority List Rank

Egg Harbor Township MUA C340860-01 PS, Int., Coll.

191

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Southeastern portion of Egg Harbor Township, approximately 1.7 square miles which includes the following developments:

Zion Park Fairview Manor Woodside Park Deerfield Estates Mitchell Park Mitchell Park West Harborwood Vella La Vella

Existing Population

3,000

Need for Project

The existing residents in the area are serviced by individual septic systems which have experienced a high frequency of failing. This is causing a public nuisance and possibly contaminating the Patcong Creek and Lake Creek. This is attributable to the densely congregated dwelling units and unsuitable soil conditions.

Project Description

Collector sewers, force main, and interceptors are being proposed to convey the area's wasteflow to Atlantic County Utilities Authority's Coastal Region STP for ultimate treatment and disposal. This STP has sufficient capacity to accommodate this flow.

Anticipated

Recipient : EAST BRUNSWICK SA

Project No.: 340789-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,035,362

FY90 RANK : 192.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$2,035,362

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|--|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 110 to 10 to 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | \$600 FOR \$100 MAY WELL AM \$ 6000 Avec | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | mas |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|---|--|--|------------------------|
| **** **** **** *** *** *** **** **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Project Discharge Type

Primary Discharge

500 0 I/I Correction-Overflow 250 0 Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 0 Studge Disposal/Treatment 100 0 New Systems 50 50 Advanced Treatment 1 0 I/I Correction 0 1 CSO Abatement 1 0

SUBTOTAL 50

Population .00260

TOTAL POINTS 100,00260

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

Possible

Foints

50

Points

Scored

Priority List Rank

East Brunswick Sewerage Authority C340789-03 Alternative Wastewater System

192

County

Middlesex

Service Area

East Brunswick Township

Existing Population

2,600

Need for Project

Past management of on-site systems in the Planning Area has not assured the protection of aquifer recharge water quality. On-site systems have been constructed on soils that are severely limited to on-site disposal without adequate design considerations for the respective soils limitations. Sewering alternatives have been demonstrated to be excessive cost remedies to the existing failures. Therefore, proper management of on-site systems appears to represent the most feasible alternative to correct existing failures and protect the quality and quantity of the aquifers.

Project Description

Some form of on-site management district is proposed for the planning area. As a minimum, this will include:

- Rehabilitation of existing on-site failures;
- 2. Establishment of a management agency to schedule routine cleaning and repairs of all systems and assure proper design and installation of new systems; and
- 3. Development of a voucher system to assure proper disposal of septage.

Creation of this management district assures timely correction of on-site failures and will assure routine pumping of tanks to prevent clogging drain fields, and proper disposal of septage. These functions are not presently being performed in East Brunswick. Creation of an on-site program will be one of the first such instances in the State.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340372-14 Eligible Project Cost : \$910,373

FY90 RANK : 193.0

Total State Amount County : OCEAN \$910,373

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| water com | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | 10 to 40 00 × 14.0 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *************************************** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| - 141 MI 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 | enns rated there have being on he nade. | **** **** **** **** ** ** ** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | \(\) |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | 4994 8444 - 144 |

Population .00253

TOTAL POINTS 100,00253

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Ocean County Utilities Authority C340372-14 Interceptor

193

County

Ocean

Service Area

Northern portion of Jackson Township

Existing Population

2,532

Need for Project

There are malfunctioning septic systems in the study area which are causing a public nuisance. The area is a tributary to the South Branch of Metedoconk River.

Project Description

A proposed solution is the construction of 4,000 feet of interceptor, known as NI-23, extending from NP5-6 Jackson Villanova Pumping Station to Lake Enno, to convey the area's sewage to the OCUA's northern treatment plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : RIVERDALE, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340729-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,304,924

FY90 RANK : 194.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,304,924

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MA 111 MI WA ME ARE 111 THE 111 THE 111 THE 111 THE 111 THE ME AND ME ARE ME ARE ME THE 111 THE ME AND THE ME AND THE TEN AND THE | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | Maga 2000 427 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | HOR MAN ALVE 2000 0000 42 00 1014 12 44 | ****************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Population .00242

TOTAL POINTS 100.00242

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Borough of Riverdale C340729-01 Collection System, Int.

County

Morris

Service Area

The service area consists of the Borough of Riverdale.

Existing Population

2,421

Need for Project

The area is served entirely by individual septic systems discharging to the ground water table. Failures appear to be contributing to surface and subsurface pollution of the ground and groundwater, posing a potential health problem and public nuisance.

Project Description

The project consists of a collection system and interceptor for the major portion of the Borough. This will significantly reduce the potential for water pollution from malfunctioning septic systems and reduce the public health hazard. The project report has not yet been submitted.

Anticipated

Recipient : RIVERDALE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340473-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,002,412

FY90 RANK : 195.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$4,002,412

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ****** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | **** **** **** *** *** **** **** | | | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| : :::: :::: ::: ::: ::: ::: :: | # ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Project Discharge Type Points Score | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| Primary Discharge 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment 100 | 0 |
| New Systems 50 5 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 50

SUBTOTAL

Population .00241

TOTAL POINTS 100.00241

Priority List Rank

195

Borough of Riverdale C340473-01 Interceptor

County

Morris

Service Area

The service area consists of the Borough of Riverdale.

Existing Population

2,421

Need for Project

The area is served entirely by individual septic systems discharging to the groundwater table. Failures appear to be contributing to surface and subsurface pollution of the ground and groundwater, posing a potential health problem and public nuisance.

Project Description

The project consists of construction of an interceptor sewer to provide a complete collection system for the major part of the Borough. This will significantly reduce the potential for water pollution from malfunctioning septic systems and reduce the public health hazard. The project report work has not yet been initiated.

Anticipated

Recipient : MAHWAH, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340592-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,582,708

FY90 RANK : 196.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$4,582,708

I. SEGMENT POINTS

...

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | - | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** *** *** | ************************************* | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 100 101 411 401 101 no mo les ano les de mo a estra en me los un en | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | ****** |

Population .00235

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00235

Priority List Rank

Mahwah Township C340592-06 Collection System, Int.

County

Bergen

Service Area

Portion of the Township of Mahwah

Existing Population

2,350

Need for Project

The area is currently served by septic systems; failures appear to be contributing to the pollution of groundwater, a potable water source, however this has yet to be documented. This could result in health problems in the community. The project would also convey wastewater from package plants in the Township.

Project Description

The project involves construction of collection system to serve Craigmere and Oak Hills sections of Mahwah Township. The wastewater will be conveyed through the Northwest Bergen County Sewer Authority interceptors and then to the treatment plant in Waldwick. The facilities plan work has not been submitted by the Township.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST PATERSON, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340778-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,865,000

FY90 RANK : 197.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,865,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| She Ltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** **** *** *** **** **** **** **** | ······································ | 1000 1000 1000 1000 1011 1000 1010 1010 1010 1011 1011 1011 | mp- +4++ +4++ +4++ +++ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | ****** |

Population .00220

50

TUTAL PUINTS 100,00220

SUBTUTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

West Paterson, Borough of C340778-03 Collection System 197

County

Passaic

Service Area

Southeast section of the Borough of West Paterson

Existing Population

2,200

Need for Project

The Southeast section of the Borough of West Paterson has malfunctioning septic systems which have been creating a public nuisance and are a potential health hazard.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of the construction of approximately 8,000 LF of 8-inch gravity sewer and a small pumping station to convey the wastewater to the existing municipal sewer system. All existing and future sewage flows within this area are to be conveyed to the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners wastewater treatment plant located in Newark, for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM TWP MUA

Project No.: 340838-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,288,152

FY90 RANK : 198.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$3,288,152

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | <u></u> |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| She Ltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ****** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | ප 0 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Possible Foints Project Discharge Type Points Scored Primary Discharge 500 I/I Correction-Overflow 250 \Diamond Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 ٥ Sludge Disposal/Treatment 100 \circ New Systems 50 50 Advanced Treatment 1 \circ I/I Correction 0 1 CSO Abatement 1 O

Population .00210

SUBTOTAL

SUBTUTAL

50

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00210

Priority List Rank

Evesham Twp. Municipal Utilities Authority C340838-01 Alternative Wastewater Systems 198

County

Burlington

Service Area

Highly dispersed areas of Evesham Township including the Marlton Lakes area.

Existing Population

2100

Need for Project

The Marlton Lakes area of Evesham Township has experienced on-site disposal problems for the past seven years. Monitoring of potable water wells has shown high nitrate readings and the lake has shown indications of eutrophication from elevated nitrate levels.

Approximately 850 developed properties exist in the southern two thirds of the Township, with about 40% concentrated in the Marlton Lakes area. A review of the more concentrated areas of development shows that on-site systems are located on Lakewood and Evesboro soils that have severe limitations for septic systems due to high permeability. Many homes in the highly dispersed areas of the Township have also experienced on-site disposal problems. In the northern portions of the Township, outside of the existing sewer service areas, many homes experiencing problems are located on Kresson and Marlton soils, having severe limitation for on-site disposal due to poor permeability.

Project Description

The recommended plan for wastewater management for southern Evesham Township has been divided into two regions based primarily on the need for wastewater management and population density:

- o Marlton Lakes Community: Small Diameter/STEP sewer system with centralized wastewater treatment utilizing the Carrousel process followed by effluent disposal in a series of Rapid Infiltration basins.
- o Remainder of Southern Evesham Township: Privately owned publicly managed septic system management district entailing periodic septic tank pump-out and septic system inspection. Pump-out and maintenance/repair costs would be the responsibility of the owner.

Anticipated

Recipient: WALL TOWNSHIP (MANASQUAN PARK)

Project No.: 340622-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,024,103

FY90 RANK : 199.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$7,024,105

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ø | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | ************ |

Population .00190

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00190

Priority List Rank

Wall Township C340622-04 Coll, Int.

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Manasquan Park Section of Wall Township

Existing Population

1,900

Need for Project

This project is proposed for the elimination of ground and surface water pollution as a result of malfunctioning on-site wastewater systems. Due to the development density in portions of the planning area, rehabilitation of these systems would be discouraged by the department. Poor soil types along with seasonal high groundwater result in a public nuisance such as sewage seeping out of the ground. Sewage collected by this proposed system will be conveyed to the South Monmouth Regional Treatment Facility located on Eighteenth Avenue in Wall Township.

Project Description

The proposed collection system consists of approximately 58,090 feet of 8" sewer line, 2,355 feet of 12" sewer line, 100 feet of 15" sewer line, 213 manholes, pumping stations, 3,240 feet of 4" force main, 10,810 feet of 6" force main and 2,500 feet of 8" force main.

Included in this project are house connections, 5 highway crossings, easements, pumping station sites, pavement restoration, environmental restoration and individual grinder pump units. The sewage collected by this system will be transmitted to the South Monmouth Regional Treatment Facility via the southeast section of Wall Township collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient : KINNELON BOROUGH

Project No.: 340487-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$8,106,811

FY90 RANK : 200.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$8,106,811

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

**** **** **** **** **** **

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| 100 to 10 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| *************************************** | ****************************** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | ************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | O |
| | | *** *** *** |

SUBTOTAL 50

.00170

TOTAL POINTS 100.00170

F'opulation

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Kinnelon C340487-02 Collection, PS, FM, INT.

County

Morris

Service Area

A portion of the Borough of Kinnelon

Existing Population

1,696

Need for Project

The Borough has proposed the construction of a collection system for a small area of the Borough where on-site disposal systems have been experiencing problems/malfunctions. Water quality standards are currently marginally meeting fecal coliform and nutrients.

Project Description

It is anticipated that this project will be for the construction of one pump station, force main, and approximately 48,000 L.F. of gravity sewer. The Borough's wastewater will be conveyed by interceptor to the existing Butler-Bloomingdale Sewerage Authority's treatment plant. This project is presently in the facility planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient : HOPE TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340872-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$448,855

FY90 RANK : 201.0

County : WARREN Total State Amount : \$448,855

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

.....

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Paramete | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL

Population

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

50

+00166

TOTAL POINTS 100.00166

Priority List Rank

Hope Township C340872-01 Individual Systems

County

Warren

Service Area

The study area for this project consists of Hope Township within the Pequest Basin.

Existing Population

1,657

Need for Project

The majority of the township is currently using cesspools as a means of on-site wastewater disposal. Failures of these systems have created potential health hazards. In the Silver Lake area, the water table is high and wells are shallow. Cesspools and septic systems have been a public nuisance through surface failures and groundwater pollution. The septic malfunctions have been threatening the health and welfare of the local residents. Because of the existing small lot size, soil limitations and lack of adequate planning for runoff management, there is significant potential for future environmental and health problems within the community as well as problems of the degradation of water quality in Silver Lake.

Project Description

This project is still in early stage of planning and proposes small diameter gravity system connected to a community-based leaching field as an alternative solution to malfunctioning septic systems. Also included is a sub-surface drainage system to be installed to collect and divert high, seasonal groundwater and run-off to protect the disposal bed from flooding.

Anticipated

Recipient : BRIDGEWATER TWF

Project No.: 340638-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,685,801

FY90 RANK : 202.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$1,685,801

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MAR 1017 ALM 1017 CHE | | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | *********************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ***** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

+00133

TOTAL POINTS 100,00133

Population

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bridgewater Township C340638-03 Collection System, Int.

County

Somerset

Service Area

Bridgewater Township (various portions)

Existing Population

1,338

Need for Project

Poor soil conditions and shallow depth to bedrock are causing a significant number of septic systems in the service area to malfunction and cause a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project involves construction of collector and interceptor sewers in eight sections of Bridgewater Township. These collectors will tie into existing trunk sewers which lead to the Somerset-Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority treatment plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : BEACHWOOD SEWERAGE AUTHORITY

Project No.: 340899-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,595,400

FY90 RANK : 203.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$2,595,400

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

... ...

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Paramete: | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \cap |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .00132

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00132

Priority List Rank

Beachwood Sewerage Authority C340899-01 Collection System

203

County

Ocean

Existing population

1,316

Service Area

Portion of the Borough of Beachwood

Need for Project

376 houses in the Borough rely on on-site septic systems for sewage disposal. These septic systems appear inadequate and pose a pollution threat to ground water and a nuisance to the residents.

Project Description

A collection system is proposed which consists of 5.3 miles of 8 to 10-inch diameter gravity pipe, 0.3 miles of force main, and two pump stations. This collection system will serve a total population of 2,464 (1,316 existing). The wastewater will be conveyed to the Ocean County Utilities Authority's Central Plant for treatment and disposal.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLE TWP(RIO GRANDE)

FY90 RANK : 204.0 County : CAPE MAY \$6,760,760 Total State Amount

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | *** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | () |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \(\) |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ♦ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ED-10 5000 101 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ······································ | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ø. | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | O |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

50 SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

+00128 Population

TOTAL POINTS 100.00128

Priority List Rank

Middle Township (Rio Grande) C340754-03 Collection and Interceptor System 204

County

Cape May County

Service Area

The service area includes the region located in Cape May County known as Rio Grande. The area is located approximately three miles west of the City of Wildwood in Middle Township.

Existing Population

The permanent population of this area is 1,288; the summer population increases to 4,500--4,750.

Need for Project

Approximately 40 percent of the septic systems in the area are malfunctioning. Efforts have been made to correct this situation with no progress. The failures are attributed to high population density and poor soils that are not suitable for septic systems. These facilities create a public nuisance.

Project Description

Of several alternatives studied, a collection and interceptor system to the Cape May County MUA Seven Mile Beach/Middle Region STP was chosen as the best way to achieve the basic wastewater management objectives. The implementation of this plan requires the removal of all septic systems, 40 percent of which are failing. The benefits include the removal of a potential health hazard, the probable improvement of surface water quality, and the general improvement of the quality of life.

Anticipated

Recipient : WEST CAPE MAY, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340624-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$465,226

FY90 RANK : 205.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$465,226

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ## 177 167 167 168 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SURTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginatly | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|--|---|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ċ. | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | •••• |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| ***** * | 1000 1700 1100 1100 1000 1000 1000 1000 | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00125

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00125

Priority List Rank

Borough of West Cape May C340624-05 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Cape May

Service Area

Borough of West Cape May

Existing Population

1,258

Need for Project

High groundwater levels and poor soil conditions are contributing to the failure of existing on-site systems. As a result, frequent flooding of these systems occurs, creating offensive odors and/or otherwise unhealthy sanitary conditions.

Project Description

Provide proper wastewater collection and treatment to roughly 31 homes in the unsewered Fow Track area of the Borough. Wastewater will be treated by on-site treatment or a collection system alternative.

Anticipated

Recipient : RARITAN TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340485-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$7,993,393

FY90 RANK : 206.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$7,993,393

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|-------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shetlfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *********** |

SUBTOTAL 50

0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ○ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | \Q |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

.00114

TOTAL FOINTS 100.00114

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

206

Raritan Township MUA (RTMUA) C340485-04 Alternative Collection, Alternative Systems

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Raritan Township

Existing Population

1,442

Need for Project

This project is proposed for the elimination of malfunctioning septic systems. Existing water quality in the area does not meet standards for dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform and marginally meets standards for nutrient and toxics.

Project Description

According to the 201 Facilities Plan for the Middle South Branch of the Raritan River collection systems were proposed for Sand Hill Road, Sunny Hills Estates, Old Craton Road, Raritan Gardens, Broad Acres and Ridge Road areas, individual & mound systems for the Deerpath Village and Southridge areas, and a STEP system for Bridgeview Estates. The septic tank effluent from the effluent collectors and STEP System will be treated at the Raritan Township MUA.

Anticipated

Recipient : UPPER TWP (STRATHMERE)

Project No.: 340619-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,752,682

FY90 RANK : 207.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$1,752,682

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ALL WITH THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE T | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | ********** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 . | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .00091

50

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00091

Priority List Rank

Upper Township (Strathmere) C340619-03 Sewage Collection and Interceptor System

County

Cape May

Service Area

Strathmere Section of Upper Township

Existing Population

919

2,576 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

This project is proposed for the elimination of public nuisance associated with ground and surface water pollution resulting from malfunctioning individual on-site wastewater treatment systems. The Strathmere section of Upper Township is a recreational island community characterized by high summer population densities and high groundwater levels.

Project Description

Upper Township proposes the planning, design and construction of a new sanitary sewage collection and interceptor system for the elimination of the existing health hazards. Wastes collected in this system will be pumped into the Avalon system for transmission to the proposed 7.67 mgd Seven Mile/Middle regional treatment facility. The collection and treatment of wastes generated by the Strathmere section of Upper Township were included in the Seven Mile/Middle regional plans.

Anticipated

Recipient : BRANCHVILLE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340740-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,263,306

FY90 RANK : 208.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$2,263,306

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 40 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 1 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *********** |

SUBTOTAL 50

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ø | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| | | ** |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | O |

Population .00085

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00085

Priority List Rank

208

Branchville Borough C340740-02 Collection System, Int.

County

Sussex

Service Area

Branchville

Existing Population

854

Need for Project

The Branchville area is currently relying on on-site septic disposal systems for the treatment of wastewater. Many of these existing systems appear inadequate and are becoming a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project is part of a wastewater treatment system to be developed by the Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority and consists of a proposed regional sewage treatment plant which will serve Frankford Township along with Branchville Borough. It concludes the design and construction of a collection and interceptor system for the Branchville Borough area pending completion and approval of the Phase II Facilities Plan for the Sussex County - Paulinskill 201 study area (C340449-01).

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : GALLOWAY TWP

Project No.: 340892-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,176,360

FY90 RANK : 209.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$4,176,360

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \(\) |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

...

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

.... ...

| | Hossible - | Points |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | ********** |

Population .00081

TOTAL POINTS 100.00081

SUBTOTAL

SUBTUTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Galloway Township C340892-01 Collection System, Pumping Stations

County

Atlantic

Service Area

South Egg Harbor City

Existing Population

809

Need for Project

The present disposal system for the area is a septic system, which is failing and may pose a treat to health and may also contaminate surface and groundwaters.

The Pinelands Commission has recently adopted a new ordinance that affects this area. The zoning of the South Egg Harbor City Section of the Township reflects this change and conforms to the Pinelands comprehensive management plan.

Project Description

The proposed sanitary sewer extension project consists of approximately 60,000 linear feet of 8-inch, 10-inch, or 12-inch diameter gravity sanitary sewer lines to service the existing population of the area. The system is designed to consist of 231 unsewered dwelling units (@ 3.5 persons per dwelling unit).

Two pumping stations will also be necessary. One is planned to be located along Pittsburg Avenue, the other along Bella Donna Street.

The wastewater flow from this area will the conveyed via the ACUA Pomona Pump Station to the ACUA Coastal Plant for secondary treatment, with effluent discharge to the Atlantic Ocean.

Recipient : MIDDLE TWP (DEL HAVEN)

Project No.: 340691-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,040,160

FY90 RANK : 210.0 County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$3,040,160

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Hoints. | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \(\) |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | \(\) |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ******* |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | ··· |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|---|
| M1 N1 1 N1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | O |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

+00068 F'opulation

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00068

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Middle Township (Del Haven) C340691-03 Collection and Interceptor System 210

County

Cape May County

Service Area

The service area includes the region located in Cape May County known as Del Haven. The area is located on the Delaware Bay near the border of Middle and Lower Townships.

Existing Population

The permanent population of this area at the present time is 682. The expected summer population is 2,657.

Need for Project

Septic systems in the area are malfunctioning, creating a public nuisance. Efforts have been made to correct this situation, with no progress. The failures are attributed to high population density and poor soils conditions that are not suitable for septic systems, and impact the estuaries.

Project Description

Of several alternatives studied, a collection and interceptor system to the Cape May County MUA Seven Mile Beach/Middle Region STP was chosen as the most cost-effective, environmentally sound way to achieve the basic wastewater management objectives. The implementation of this plan requires the removal of all septic systems which are failing. The removal of these systems will have numerous benefits including the removal of a potential health hazard, the probable improvement of surface and shellfish water quality, and the general improvement of the quality of life.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN TWP SA

Project No.: 340750-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,369,276

FY90 RANK : 211.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$2,369,276

I. SEGMENT POINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| Made 1979 and that have seen along and the seen that here are the best made and the control of the seen and the terr and the seen and t | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *********** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O. | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .00064

TOTAL FOINTS 100,00064

SUBTOTAL.

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Township of Ocean Sewerage Authority C340750-03 Collection System, Int.

211

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Unsewered areas of Ocean Township

Existing Population

640

Need for Project

The unsewered areas of Ocean Township are presently experiencing a high malfunction rate and public nuisance problem with septic systems. These areas are located in the west and northwest areas of the Township, have a high groundwater table, and are on the headwaters of small streams. There is a potential major problem of continued groundwater pollution due to the high failure rate of these septic systems.

Project Description

The following alternatives will be evaluated:

- 1. Upgrading or expansion of existing on-site wastewater systems.
- 2. Modifications to the existing system.
- Collection sewers and interceptors.
- 4. Pressure sewers and interceptors.

Any of the above, or a combination of these alternatives, will eliminate the current existing public nuisance problems.

Anticipated

Recipient : SHAMONG TWP

Project No.: 340831-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,384,686

FY90 RANK : 212.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$2,384,686

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ú | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | Ö | 25 | 50 | \(\) |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | C |
| | | *********** |

Fopulation .00061

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00061

Priority List Rank

Shamong Township C340831-01 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Burlington

Service Area

Barton's Lake and Fawn Lake Villages in Shamong Township

Existing Population

617

Need for Project

The Fawn Lake and Barton's Lake areas of Shamong Township have been experiencing on-site disposal problems for the past ten years. Barton's Lake Village is a development of 77 homes adjacent to Indian Mills Lake. This development is experiencing failures due to high groundwater and soil constraints.

The Fawn Lake Village is a mobile home park with approximately 114 units, served by combined septic systems. Problems experienced in the development appear to be associated with undersized systems and soil constraints. The Fawn Lake Village is adjacent to Springers Brook, a tributary of the Mullica River.

Project Description

The facilities plan indicates that two septic tank effluent collection systems, which will convey partially treated wastewater to two community leachfields, will be the most cost-effective solutions for the two problem areas.

Anticipated

Recipient: PLAINSBORO, TWP OF

Project No.: 340836-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,571,756

FY90 RANK : 213.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$1,571,753

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| No. 100-110-120-120-120-120-120-120-120-120- | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Sheltfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standard≘ | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ċ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .00045

TOTAL POINTS 100,00045

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Plainsboro Township C340836-01 Coll, Int.

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Township of Plainsboro

Existing Population

450

Need for Project

A portion of Plainsboro Township is presently served by on-site systems. Many of these systems are malfunctioning and result in the surfacing of sewage and sewage back-ups into homes. This problem is a potential health threat and public nuisance to the residents in that area.

Project Description

The preliminary solution to the malfunctioning septic systems is to install a gravity collection system and interceptor for treatment at the Lin Pro Utility Company STP and discharge into Cranbury Brook, a tributary to the Millstone River.

Anticipated

Recipient : FRANKLIN TWP SA

Project No.: 340839-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,444,283

FY90 RANK : 214.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$1,444,283

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | rossible. | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | **** **** **** | | 1644 1514 1004 5044 0140 ++2 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ó | 56 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | *** *** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| (177 CUT) WHI 1301 1301 1371 1371 1371 1372 1372 1372 1372 137 | \$504 6057 0000 00 50 Area 54000 0056 9500 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | C |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | |

Population .00042

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00042

Priority List Rank

Township of Franklin Sewerage Authority C340839-01 Pump Station, Interceptor, Force Main

214

County

Somerset

Service Area

East Millstone Section (120 homes) of Franklin Township

Existing Population

420

Need for Project

East Millstone section of Franklin Township has been experiencing on-site disposal problems due to high groundwater and shallow depth to bed rock. In addition, problems experienced in the development appear to be associated with smaller lots and soil constraints. In the 1800's and early 1900's, these limitations were overcome by discharging septic tank effluent directly into the Delaware and Raritan Canal which is now a major source of potable water for surrounding areas. Some of these connections may still exist today. Overflow from failed systems run into the canal. A preliminary survey revealed several failed septic systems in this section.

Project Description

The proposed project will include the construction of a new pump station, upgrading an existing pump station, and construction of approximately 8,700 LF of 8-inch gravity sewer and 9,000 LF of force main.

Anticipated

Recipient : CLAYTON SA/SILVER LAKE

Project No.: 340918-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$619,863

FY90 RANK : 215.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$619,863

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| No. 100 for 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 10 | **************** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | ********** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| r., | Meets | • | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | 4.25.25 | ^ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ċ. | 50 | 1.00 | Ċ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | Ò | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Č |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 . | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Population +00041

50

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 100,00041

Priority List Rank

Clayton S.A./Silver Lake C340918-01 Collection System

215

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Silver Lake Area of Clayton

Existing Population

410

Need for Project

There are 104 homes within the proposed service area (Silver Lake) which have experienced problems and/or failures with their individual disposal systems. This situation has created a public nuisance.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the construction of collector sewers for the Silver Lake service area. The wastewater will be conveyed via an existing Gloucester County Utilities Authority interceptor to the regional plant in Paulsboro for treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : PENNSVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340870-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,818,150

FY90 RANK : 216.0

County : SALEM Total State Amount : \$1,818,150

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| MIC 1441 1411 1411 1411 1411 1411 1411 14 | *** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|--|-----------|---|---------------|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *** | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 55 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1001 Mars Mars 1015 1011 Mars 1011 M | being depart debug only to the being states | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

.00040

TOTAL POINTS 100,00040

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Pennsville Township C340870-01 Collection System

County

Salem

Service Area

A portion of the Township of Pennsville

Existing Population

400

Need for Project

The Hook Road and Sapawna Lane areas of the Township of Pennsville contain a large percentage of septic overflow problems, resulting in the existence of a public health nuisance.

Project Description

This project will consist of the installation of a low pressure collection system for the Hook Road and Sapawna Lane sections of the Township.

Anticipated

Recipient : BUENA BOROUGH MUA

Project No.: 340833-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$581,532

FY90 RANK : 217.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$581,532

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 24 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | mes **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|---|--|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | m |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q. | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

SUBTOTAL (

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| 120 CHT 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 10 | 1887 1710 1710 1710 1710 1811 1811 1911 1911 | **** *** *** *** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | :1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

Fopulation .00035

50

TOTAL POINTS 100,00035

Priority List Rank

Borough of Buena MUA C340833-01 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Borough of Buena

Existing Population

350

Need for Project

A high water table and poor soil conditions contribute to the failure of the existing on-site systems. Continued reliance on inadequate systems may degrade groundwater quality in the area. In addition, the potential for ponding septage and other problems associated with system failure may create a public health problem.

Project Description

The project report will evaluate all feasible collection and on-site treatment alternatives in order to determine the most cost-effective and environmentally acceptable alternative to treat wastewater in those areas of Buena which are presently unsewered.

Anticipated

Recipient : EVESHAM TWP-PINE GROVE

Project No.: 340629-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$959,638

FY90 RANK : 218.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$959,638

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | Depart desire about 10.000 about 00.000 about 00.000 | *********************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \(\) |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shettfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|--|---|---|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | made 3.000 4000 alles 2015 augu para mene daru beter daşê casa çand | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ö | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| WIT ALSO MAD AND THE | 5100 feet feet feet to 1000 eet eet | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .00029

TOTAL POINTS 100.00029

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Evesham MUA-(Pine Grove Area) C340629-02 Alternative Wastewater Systems

County

Burlington

Service Area

Evesham Township-Pine Grove Area

Existing Population

290

Need for Project

Individual septic systems are used for sanitary waste disposal. The proximity of the Southwest Branch of Rancocas Creek, the high water table and the poor percolation of the soils have resulted in citations by the County Board of Health and NJDEP because of overflow and pollution of the stream. Individual or on-site systems are recommended for the planning area. The current disposal/discharge priority is marginally acceptable for a complete treatment system, but is causing a public nuisance problem.

Project Description

The Step 1 grant for this area has been conditionally completed, and further study of the existing on-site wastewater disposal systems has been warranted. Based on the needs determined from this study, selection of alternatives would be made on a cost effective basis for location and layout. The alternative found to be most cost effective with regard to environmental and economic factors will be recommended for the selection by reviewing agencies.

Anticipated

Recipient : CLAYTON SA/HILLSIDE

Project No.: 340918-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$819,263

FY90 RANK : 219.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$819,263

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | ********************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Points

| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 1.00 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ******* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Fossible | Points |
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | ***************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |

Population .00015

TOTAL POINTS 100,00015

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Clayton S.A./Hillside C340918-02 Collection System

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Hillside Avenue Area of Clayton

Existing Population

150

Need for Project

There are 40 homes within the proposed service area (Hillside Avenue) which have experienced problems and/or failures with their individual disposal systems. This situation has created a public nuisance.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the construction of collector sewers for the Hillside Avenue service area. The wastewater will be conveyed via an existing Gloucester County Utilities Authority interceptor to the regional plant in Paulsboro for treatment.

Anticipated

Recipient : LINCOLN PARK BOROUGH

Project No.: 340594-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,234,094

FY90 RANK : 220.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$3,234,094

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-----------|-----------------|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| MM 400 (M) | | | **** *** #** **** #** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | | |
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| ************************************** | ***** ***** ***** **** **** **** **** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | ********** |

Fopulation .00014

TOTAL POINTS 100,00014

SUBTOTAL.

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Borough of Lincoln Park C340594-02 Collection System, Int., PS, FM

County

Morris

Service Area

Borough of Lincoln Park (portion)

Existing Population

150

Need for Project

There are approximately 67 homes within the proposed service area (Jacksonville Road) which have experienced problems and/or failures with their individual disposal systems. This has created a public nuisance.

Project Description

This project is for the construction of a pump station, force main, interceptor and collector sewers for this service area. These facilities will convey the Borough's wastewater to an existing interceptor of the Pequannock, Fairfield and Lincoln Park Sewerage Authority (TBSA) for ultimate disposal at the existing TBSA's treatment plant. This project is presently in the facilities planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient : RARITAN BOROUGH

Project No.: 340781-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$902,226

FY90 RANK : 221.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$902,224

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Ph. I. I. I. J. | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \Q |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \circ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ç | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ********** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | ********************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSG Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00013

TOTAL POINTS 100.00013

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

50

Priority List Rank

Borough of Raritan C340781-03 Alternative Wastewater System

County

Somerset

Service Area

Vones Lane Section of Raritan Borough

Existing Population

100

Need for Project

The Vones Lane Section of Raritan Borough is experiencing a high degree of septic tank failures (70%) overflows due to poor soil and high groundwater. This has resulted in septage flowing onto yards and streets.

Project Description

The cost-effective solution to this problem is the installation of pressure sewers. The flow from each house will be pumped by grinder pumps through a force main and into an existing sewer to be treated by the Somerset-Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority.

Anticipated

Recipient : WEST CAPE MAY, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340624-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$442,657

FY90 RANK : 222.0

: CAPE MAY Total State Amount ¥ \$442,659 County

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | Ō |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Froject Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1980 COTO COTO COTO COTO COTO COTO COTO COT | 5000 TTTP (TOTAL TABLE SEED SEED SEED | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .00003

50

TOTAL POINTS 100.00008

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of West Cape May C340624-04 Collection System

County

Cape May

Service Area

Part of the Borough of West Cape May. It is located northwest of Cape May City in the southernmost part of Cape May County.

Existing Population

The permanent population of this area is 81; however, this is a resort community and summer populations increase to approximately 200.

Need for Project

This project has been proposed in order to eliminate the problems and public nuisance resulting from malfunctioning individual septic systems. Possible groundwater pollution, as well as pollution of shellfishing waters and recreation areas, are a result of the present individual systems. The major concern is seasonal overuse.

Project Description

The Cape May County MUA proposed the planning, design, and construction of a sanitary sewer collection system. This system will eventually connect into Cape May MUA's system through Cape May City sewer system. It will contribute a flow of about 2,200 gallons per day. The construction of this sewer collection system will eliminate potential health hazards and generally improve the quality of life. This project consists of a collection and interceptor system and tie-in to the Cape May MUA interceptor.

Anticipated

Recipient: WODDBRIDGE, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340433-08 Eligible Project Cost : \$30,000

FY90 RANK : 223.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$30,000

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 50 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 50 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Manginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|---|------------------|
| | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** ***** ***** ***** *** *** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | ··· ··· |

SUBTOTAL 50

.00002

TOTAL POINTS 100,00002

Population

Priority List Rank

Woodbridge, Township of C340433-08 Collection System

223

County

Middlesex

Service Area

A portion of the Township of Woodbridge

Existing Population

24

Need for Project

Septic systems on Florida Grove Road have been experiencing problems and/or failures and causing a public nuisance.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the construction of a sewer system to serve homes on Florida Grove Road.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340680-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,163,064

FY90 RANK : 224.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$1,163,064

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** **** **** **** **** ** ** ** *** **** | **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | seen seen diplê fillê seen diplê vijijê enin enin seen sees en a apra | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population 1.78184

50

TOTAL POINTS 51.78184

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Middlesex County Utilities Authority C340680-05 Septage Treatment Facilities 224

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Bound Brook Borough, Carteret Borough, Chatham Township (C), Clark Township (C), Cranford Township (C), Dunellen Borough, East Brunswick Township, Edison Township, Elizabeth City (C), Fanwood Borough, Franklin Township, Garwood Borough (C), Helmetta Borough, Highland Park Borough, Hillside Township (C), Irvington (C), Jamesburg Borough, Kenilworth Borough, Linden (C), Maplewood Township (C), Middlesex Borough, Millburn Township (C), Milltown Borough, Monmouth County (C), Monroe Township, Mountainside Township, New Brunswick, New Providence (C), North Plainfield, Old Bridge Township, Perth Amboy, Piscataway Township, Plainfield, Plainsboro Township, Rahway (C), Roselle (C), Roselle Park (C), Sayreville, Scotch Plains Township, South Bound Brook Borough, South Brunswick Township, South Orange Village, South Plainfield Borough, South River Borough, Spotswood Borough, Springfield Township (C), Summit (C), Watchung, Westfield (C), Winfield Township (C), West Orange (C), Woodbridge

(C) - Contracted for Septage Disposal

Existing Population

1,781,839

Need for Project

This project will provide the necessary septage receiving facilities to ensure the proper treatment and disposal of septage from MCUA's designated septage service area. The project will provide clean-up facilities for any spills during unloading and will enable all septage haulers to utilize the disposal site. Operations at the plant will be greatly improved and the waiting period which haulers are frequently subject to will be eliminated. This will reduce the illegal disposal of septage.

Project Description

The new receiving facilities will consist of a paved receiving area for septage trucks, a piping and walkway structure, a quality control and receiving station, and new septage pumps installed in the existing grit chamber control building basement. The receiving station will be designed to adequately handle up to 120,000 gallons of septage per day.

Anticipated

Recipient : MT HOLLY SA

Project No.: 340817-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,258,546

FY90 RANK : 225.0

County : BURLINGTON Total State Amount : \$1,258,546

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shetlfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| *************************************** | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *************************************** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ********** |

11. DISCHARGE TYPE

... ...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | 1000 0000 1407 0000 0000 6000 6000 4007 4040 | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ***** |

Population .35829

50

TOTAL POINTS 50.35829

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

County Burlington

Service Area

Bass River Township, Bordentown City, Bordentown Township, Burlington Township, Chesterfield Township, Cinnaminson Township, Delanco Township, Delran Township, Eastampton Township, Edgewater Park Township, Evesham Township, Fiedsboro Borough, Florence Township, Hainsport Township, Lumberton Township, Mansfield Township, Maple Shade Township, Medford Lakes Borough, Medford Township, Moorestown Township, Mount Holly Township, Mount Laurel Township, New Hanover Township, North Hanover Township, Palmyra Borough, Pemberton Township, Riverside Township, Riverton Borough, Shamong Township, Southampton Township, Springfield Township, Tabernackle Township, Washington Township, Westampton Township, Willingboro Township, Wrightstown Borough

Existing Population 358,288

Need for Project

The State of New Jersey has mandated that all septic tank wastes (septage) be banned from disposal in unlined landfills as of March 15, 1985. Subsequently, as there are no adequate landfills in the County, an agreement has been reached between Burlington County and the Mount Holly Sewerage Authority in which the latter would act as the lead agency to dispose of the County's septage on an interim basis. However, the Authority's facility is not designed to accept septage and the septage management plan concluded that treatment plant modifications are necessary.

Since there are no adequate means of septage disposal in the County, initial investigations indicate that septage is being dumped illegally and, in all likelihood, is resulting in localized surface and ground water degradation.

Project Description

The proposed project will treat and dispose of all the septage generated in the County on an short term basis at relatively minor costs. The county-wide sludge/septage study (C340818-01) will plan for septage disposal on a long-term basis. The latter study may conclude that the Authority should dispose of septage also for the long-term. The plans and specifications recently submitted will provide for the following:

- 1. Screening and Grit Removal Equipment
- 2. Comminutor
- 3. Aerated Holding Tanks
- 4. Chlorination Equipment
- 5. Pumps
- 6. Odor Control
- 7. Prefabricated Structure

Anticipated

Recipient : PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWE

Project No.: 340806-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$281,421

FY90 RANK : 226.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$281,421

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| | *************************************** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | foints: | Scored |
| | | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ··· |

Population .25737

TOTAL POINTS 50.25737

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

226

Parsippany-Troy Hills Twp. C340806-03 Septage Treatment/Disposal

County

Morris

Service Area

Boonton Town, Boonton Township, Denville Township, Dover Town, East Hanover Township, Hanover Township, Mine Hill Township, Montville Township, Mountain Lakes Borough, Mount Arlington Borough, Mount Olive Township, Netcong Borough, Parsippany-Troy Hills Township, Randolph Township, Rockaway Borough, Rockaway Township, Roxbury Township, Victory Gardens Borough, Washington Township, Wharton Borough, Florham Park Borough

Existing Population

257,371

Need for Project

Disposal of septage in unlined landfills was prohibited effective March 15, 1985 to prevent the danger of groundwater contamination. An alternative disposal method is to use existing treatment facilities. Therefore, the municipalities indicated above have been assigned to the Parsippany-Troy Hills treatment plant as designated in Appendix A of the Statewide Septage Management Plan. The project is necessary to insure that septage disposal for existing and future development is in conformance with said plan.

The Township of Parsippany-Troy Hills is in the last stages of completing the construction of a 16 mgd advanced wastewater treatment facility. The present septage handling facilities were adequate to handle the flows from just the immediate service area but they are not adequate to handle the quantity and quality of wastes directed to this facility by the Department.

It is the intent of this project to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to evaluate the capability of the treatment facility to accept additional septage wastes and to recommend necessary modifications be constructed.

Project Description

Parsippany-Troy Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant will have responsibility of treating an estimated 54,000 GPD of septage. In order to handle these wastes, Parsippany-Troy Hills has completed an NJDEP approved septage management plan which recommends construction of facilities that include the truck receiving area, gravel trap, coarse bar screens, holding tank, and associated appurtenances.

Anticipated

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA (CSTL)

FY90 RANK : 227.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$1,054,132

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Water Use | F'oınts | Scored |
| 100 AMA (100) 100 1 100 AMA (100) | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | sect ones +++ |

Fopulation .20261

50

TOTAL POINTS 50,20261

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Atlantic County Utiliites Authority C340809-02 Septage Treatment/Disposal

227

County Atlantic

Service Area

Absecon City, Atlantic City, Brigantine City, Buena Vista Township, Corbin City, Egg Harbor City, Egg Harbor Township, Estelle Manor City, Folsom Borough, Galloway Township, Hamilton Township, Hammonton Town, Linwood City, Longport Borough, Margate City, Mullica Township, Northfield City, Pleasantville City, Port Republic City, Somers Point City, Ventnor City, Weymouth Township

Existing Population 202,610

Need for Project

The State of New Jersey has mandated that all septic wastes (septage) be banned from disposal at unlined landfills as of March 15, 1985. Subsequently, the Atlantic County Utilities Authority has been designated as the responsible agency to dispose of all septage generated in Atlantic County in order to satisfy this mandate.

Currently, the Atlantic County Utilities Authority treatment facility is incapable of accepting all of the County's septage due to excessive wear and tear on the system from the grit in the waste. The completed septage management plan concluded that, without septage pretreatment, upset of the treatment system would recur when large quantities of septage are accepted into the liquid stream, temporarily violating the permit requirements. Separation of the septage inflow and subsequent pretreatment employing alternative technology will rectify this situation.

At present, it is likely that because there are no adequate provisions for septage disposal in the County, septage is being pumped less frequently than desired from individual systems and septage is being dumped illegally in woods and deserted areas. In both cases, a negative impact on water quality may result and potable water supplies can be threatened.

Project Description

The septage management plan proposes the addition of septage treatment facilities to the existing Atlantic County Utilities Authority treatment plant. Plans and specifications are being prepared to consist of the following:

- 1. Septage Receiving Station
- 2. Air Flotation/Coagulation Unit
- 3. Septage Float Receiving Station
- 4. Holding Tank Modifications
- 5. Piping
- 6. Pre-Fabricated Building
- 7. Odor Control

Anticipated

Recipient : GLOUCESTER COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340902-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,449,128

FY90 RANK : 228.0

County : GLOUCESTER Total State Amount : \$4,449,128

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 44 .77 40 . 77 . 70 . 70 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 | ***************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | Q |
| | | wat **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | | | | | | •••• | | | | | | |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | Ö |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | <u> </u> |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ō |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Population .15977

50

TOTAL POINTS 50.15977

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

228

Gloucester County UA C340902-01 Sludge Management Consolidated Region

County

Gloucester

Service Area

Clayton Boro, Deptford Twp., Glassboro Boro., Mantua Twp., Monroe Twp., National Park Boro., Paulsboro Boro, Washington Twp., Wenonah Boro, W. Deptford Twp., Westville Boro, Woodbury City, and Woodbury Hts Boro

Existing Population

159,777

Need for Project

The Gloucester County Utilities Authority (GCUA) is presently disposing of sludge by incineration. The design capacity of the GCUA incinerator is inadequate to handle the 15 tons of sludge (dry weight basis) produced at the GCUA Regional Plant. As a result, the Authority proposes to add another fluidized bed to the existing incinerator in order to increase its design capacity.

The approved (201) Facilities Plan for the GCUA consolidated region calls for static pile composing as a long term sludge management alternative. Therefore, the Authority may be required to amend the facilities plan, should they intend to employ incineration as the most cost effective, environmentally sound and implementable long term sludge management alternative.

Project Description

The project will consist of the addition of a fluidized bed to the existing incinerator of the GCUA Regional Plant for disposal of all the sludges produced in the GCUA-consolidated region.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : SOMERSET-RARITAN VALLEY SA

Project No.: 340801-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,257,640

FY90 RANK : 229.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$1,257,640

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 100 HE 21, 100 HE 100 H | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | - |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | **** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Taxics | ٥ | - ७= | 50 | Λ |

SUBTOTAL SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| pr , pr , | Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Charles Trimak name | E-AA | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | i | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 50

٠13836

O

TOTAL POINTS 50.13836

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Somerset-Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority C340801-03
Septage Treatment and Disposal

229

County

Somerset

Service Area

Bedminster Township, Bernards Township, Bernardsville Borough, Branchburg Township, Bridgewater Township, Far Hills Borough, Hillsborough Township, Manville Borough, Millstone Borough, Montgomery Township, Peapack Gladstone Borough, Raritan Borough, Rocky Hill Borough, Somerville Borough, Warren Township

Existing Population

138,363

Need for Project

Disposing of septage in unlined landfills was banned on March 15, 1985 pursuant to amendments of the Solid Waste Management Act to prevent the danger of groundwater contamination. An alternative disposal method is the utilization of existing treatment facilities. Therefore, the municipalities indicated above have been assigned to the Somerset-Raritan Valley SA treatment plant as designated in Appendix A of the Statewide Septage Management Plan. The present facilities at SRVSA for handling septage wastes are inadequate to handle the quantity and quality of wastes proposed to be accepted. This project is necessary to ensure that septage disposal for existing and future development is in conformance with said plan.

Project Description

This project is for the construction of septage facilities at the SRVSA plant so that it may dispose of the septage generated in Somerset County. The septage management plan recommends that the design capacity of the septage receiving station at the SRVSA plant be 28,000 gallons per day. In addition, the plan is addressing management and implementation considerations. The septage plan will provide SRVSA with a septage treatment and disposal method that will enable the Authority to accept all the wastes from its designated areas while also meeting its discharge requirements.

Anticipated

Recipient : SALEM COUNTY BD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS

Project No.: 340804-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$6,158,049

FY90 RANK : 230.0

County : SALEM Total State Amount : \$6,158,049

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| HIS CASE WAS 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 181 | ***** | **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform Nutrients Toxics | 0 0 0 | 50 50 25 25 | 100 100 50 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population .05688

TOTAL POINTS 50.05688

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Salem County Board of Chosen Freeholders C340804-03 Septage Treatment and Disposal Small Alternative Wastewater System 230

County

Salem

Service Area

Allowlay Township, Carney's Point Township, Elmer Borough, Elsinboro Township, Lower Alloways Creek Township, Mannington Township, Oldmans Township, Pennsville Township, Pilesgrove Township, Pittsgrove Township, Quinton Township, Salem City, Upper Pittsgrove Township

Existing Population

56,884

Need for Project

Approximately 30 percent of County residents employ on-lot individual septic systems to treat their wastewater. Currently, numerous problems exist with these systems as a result of their installation in inappropriate soils and due to inadequate design. This situation leads to nuisance conditions, possibility of public health problems, and localized groundwater quality degradation.

The problems in Salem County are further aggravated by the lack of adequate facilities to accept and properly dispose of septage pumpouts. The State of New Jersey mandated that septage be banned from all but lined landfills with leachate control effective March 15, 1985. There are no such facilities in the planning area. It is presumed hat a good deal of illegal septage dumping is occurring in the County and that surface and groundwater quality degradation may result.

Project Description

The planning for this project is to provide two basic responses to the problem outlined above. The first response is to plan for the adequate treatment and disposal for all the septage generated within the County. The second is to develop an overall management system of the County where on-lot systems currently exist. These solutions would involve the application and innovation of advanced technologies to resolve these problems. The facilities plan will consist of the following components:

- 1. County-wide Septage Disposal Plan
- 2. On-lot Septic Tank Management Plan
- 3. Environmental Analysis
- 4. Full-scale Public Participation Program

Implementation of the selected plan will resolve the problem indicated above in a cost-effective, environmentally sound manner.

Anticipated

Recipient : NORTHWEST BERGEN CO UA

Project No.: 340700-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,020,483

FY90 RANK : 231.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount \$2,020,483

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 1844 1844 1844 1845 1845 1845 1845 1845 | **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ertr eten -ret |

SUBTOTAL 0

0

50

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE ...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ARRO 1888 - 194 |

F'opulation -+05055

TOTAL POINTS 50.05055

Priority List Rank

Northwest Bergen County Utilities Authority C340700-05 Septage Disposal Facility 231

County

Bergen

Service Area

Allendale Borough, Franklin Lakes Borough, HoHoKus Borough, Mahwah Township, Midland Park Borough, Ramsey Borough, Saddle River Borough, Upper Saddle River Borough, Waldwick Borough, Wyckoff Township

Existing Population

50,548 (unsewered)

Need for Project

Although the unsewered areas are included in the Planning Area for Northwest Bergen County Utilities Authority, there is presently no formal provision to treat septage from these areas. Septage from NWBCUA is presently being taken by informal agreement to Bergen County Utilities Authority treatment plant. The distance to this facility creates a climate for illegal dumping. The lack of a long term formal arrangement with Bergen County is unacceptable, and the lack of quality assurance is unacceptable.

Project Description

This project will provide for the construction of septage handling facilities to receive all septage generated within the planning area for treatment at the Northwest Bergen treatment plant. The septage facilities will provide for quality assurance to prevent treatment plant upset, and will provide odor control. The construction of septage facilities in conjunction with the initiation of a voucher system for tracking septage from "cradle to grave" will assure control, collection, disposal, and treatment of septage wastes from approximately 50,000 people in the planning area, thereby eliminating present unacceptable trucking and disposal of septage.

Anticipated

Recipient : WEST ORANGE TOWNSHIF

Project No.: 340865-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$11,555,263

FY90 RANK : 232.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$11,555,263

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|--------|
| Water Use | F'oınts | Scored |
| | 10 cc contr reser case cres case case case | M |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| She Ltf i sh | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Flar ameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Population +04082

TOTAL POINTS 50.04082

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

West Orange Township C340865-01 Interceptors and Appurtenances

County

Essex

Service Area

West Orange Township

Existing Population

40,816

Need for Project

The existing sewerage system in West Orange has inadequate capacity to allow for future growth potential. New interceptors and appurtenances are required to provide the needed reserve capacity for both planned and proposed sewer connections. The Township of West Orange is included within the sewer service area of the Essex/Union Joint Meeting. Since this project will have no direct impact upon existing water quality, only discharge category points for new systems can be justified.

Project Description

Proposed is the construction of new interceptors consisting of both gravity sewers and pump station/force main and appurtenances to provide additional capacity for the First and Second Mountain areas of West Orange and Livingston. An old interceptor line (PS/FM and Gravity) is also proposed for replacement as part of a major sewer system rehabilitation effort.

Anticipated :

Recipient : NEW BRUNSWICK, CITY OF

Project No.: 340437-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,113,620

FY90 RANK : 233.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$1,113,628

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water | Use | | | | | |
|-------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|

Scored Points 200 Potable Water Supply 0 Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) 75/25 0 Shellfish 125 O Recreation (Primary Contact) 125 0 Agricultural Water Use 25 0 Industrial Water Use 0 25 50

Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) 50 0

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| 2010 1070 com 1 cases haden cates apon grass neces neces haden comes artist pates being access | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 50

Fopulation .03986

TOTAL POINTS 50.03986

Possible

SUBTUTAL

Points

Priority List Rank

City of New Brunswick C340437-03 Interceptor and Appurtenances

County

Middlesex

Service Area

City of New Brunswick

Existing Population

39,858

Need for Project

The existing Triangle Interceptor Sewer has capacity to serve present needs and some future growth, however, there is insufficient capacity to serve all of the potential growth in the tributary area. The City of New Brunswick is included within the sewer service area of the Middlesex County Utilities Authority. Since this project will have no direct impact upon existing water quality, only category points for new systems are justified.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the construction of approximately 4,600 linear feet of new interceptor along the same route of the existing Triangle Interceptor Sewer. In addition, the upper reaches of the existing interceptor sewer require rehabilitative work consisting of providing adequate cover for protection of the pipe and elimination of root intrusion.

Anticipated

Recipient : MANASQUAN RIVER REG SA

Eligible Project Cost : \$1,546,903 Project No. : 340832-02

FY90 RANK : 234.0 County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount \$1,546,906

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** *** *** *** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | *** *** *** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|--|--|------------------|
| 1000 000 1700 00 to 1000 1000 total cold cold cold send 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | Line 6742 2500 2500 1075 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1 | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| 100 Mars 100 | atte 1880 1800 eres tres 1800 over 1999 | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | |

Population .03900

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

C

0

50

TOTAL POINTS 50.03900

Priority List Rank

Manasquan River Regional Sewerage Authority C340832-02 234

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Howell Township, Farmingdale, Freehold Borough, Freehold Township and Wall Township

Existing Population

38,995

Need for Project

The project will eliminate point sources of pollution in the below mentioned areas (Project Description). Along the southern Route 9 corridor, the need for onsite septage systems will be eliminated. The Lone Pine Landfill is on the Superfund list. Arthur Brisbane Hospital will be able to eliminate the STP. The 201 Plan requires Route 9 to have onsite septage.

Project Description

The project involves construction of an extension of interceptor sewers in the Lone Pine Landfill (Freehold Township), Brisbane Hospital (Wall Township) and Southern Route 9 Corridor (Howell Township).

Anticipated

Recipient : PARAMUS, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340920-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$795,387

FY90 RANK : 235.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$795,387

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | ***** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | CHETOTAL | ^ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | f'o i nts | Scored |
| non - rail o cape police const. Among appear prince police police police below topice below topice police color below topice police pol | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | \Q |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Fopulation .02580

50

TOTAL POINTS 50.02580

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Paramus, Borough of C340920-01 Collection System

County

Bergen

Service Area

Paramus

Population

25,800

Need for Project

The last major area remaining to be sewered in Paramus is in the western section of the borough from Morningside Avenue to Route 4. Treatment of wastewater is provided by the Bergen County Utilities Authority at the Little Ferry Treatment Plant.

Project Description

The proposed project consists of a new sewer system to convey wastewater from the existing Grove Street pump station to an interceptor sewer. The new sewers will consist of a 1,500 linear feet 10-inch force main, a 2,500 linear feet 15-inch gravity line, and a 6,400 LF gravity line.

Anticipated

Recipient : HACKETTSTOWN MUA

Project No.: 340803-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$515,628

FY90 RANK : 236.0

Total State Amount County : WARREN \$515,620

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ******** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oint s |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ··- |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | •••• | | | - | | - | | | | |
|------|------|------|------|---|------|-------|------|------|------|--|

| | f'ossible | Points |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Froject Discharge Type | F'oints | Scored |
| | 1000 1000 tons com during ages and a.php | ***************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 0 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .01199

TOTAL POINTS 50.01199

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

 \Diamond

Priority List Rank

Hackettstown Municipal Utilities Authority C340803-03
Septage Treatment and Disposal

236

County

Warren

Service Area

Hackettstown Town, Independence Township

Existing Population

11,986

Need for Project

Disposing of septage in unlined landfills was prohibited as of March 15, 1985 to prevent degradation of groundwater quality. An alternative disposal method is the utilization of existing treatment facilities. Therefore, the municipalities indicated above have been assigned to the Hackettstown Municipal Utilities Authority treatment plant as designated in Appendix A of the Statewide Septage Management Plan. This project is necessary to ensure that septage disposal for existing and future development is in conformance with said plan.

It should also be noted that the present facilities for handling septage wastes generated within the above service area are inadequate to handle the quantity and quality of wastes proposed to be accepted.

Project Description

A septage management plan has already been approved by the NJDEP. The facility plan recommends the construction of additional septage handling facilities at the HMUA wastewater treatment plant. The proposed facilities consist of a receiving station, receiving chamber, holding tank, pumping station, piping, valves and miscellaneous equipment to provide the capability of diverting septage at a controlled rate to the primary digester or the division box upstream of the primary clarifier.

Anticipated

Recipient : LAMBERTVILLE SA

Project No.: 340882-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$406,220

FY90 RANK : 237.0
County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount \$406,220

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|--|-------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| MINO 1457 4457 4457 1567 1567 1567 4577 1568 4577 4560 MAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | mer ### *** |

.00400 Population

SUBTOTAL

50

TOTAL POINTS 50.00400

Project Name, Number
Lambertville Sewage Authority
C340882-02
Coll Sys.

Priority List Rank

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

"Commons area" of Lambertville

Existing Population

3,896

Need for Project:

The project will provide sanitary collection sewers for the residents in the area of Lambertville known as the "Commons area". Residents of this area prefer a sanitary sewer system to the existing on-lot disposal systems due to problems with these systems. Such problems include extremely wet ground conditions in septic tank sites and drainage field areas, inadequate on-lot disposal systems, sanitary reasons and concerns about water quality and supply, and the inconvenience and expense of rehabilitation and annual pumping of the existing septic tanks.

Project Description:

This project involves providing sanitary collection sewers to the "Commons area" of Lambertville to alleviate the unhealthful conditions which exist from malfunctioning on-lot systems. The costs for construction of approximately 4,500 L.F. of 8-inch PVC sewer pipe, with sewer flows being solely by gravity, have been estimated at about \$450,000.

Anticipated

Recipient : CHESTER, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340876-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,051,013

FY90 RANK : 238.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,051,018

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| #1 #1 #1 #1 #2 14 #2 #1 #2 #1 #1 #2 #1 #1 #2 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 #1 | *** *** *** *** *** *** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | mes ents +++ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Paramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | **** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 56 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | f'ossib le | Points |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Froject Discharge Type | Foints. | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 50 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | ٥ |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 50

Fopulation .00150

TOTAL POINTS 50.00150

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

 \circ

Priority List Rank

Borough of Chester C340876-01 STP, PS, FM

County

Morris

Service Area

Borough of Chester

Existing Population

5,642

Need for Project

The only means of wastewater treatment/disposal in the Borough is via septic systems. Some of the systems in the Borough contribute to water quality degradation in Tiger Brook, tributary to Peapack Brook (Classification FW2-TP(C1)).

Project Description

The Borough proposes the construction of a 0.075 mgd WWTF with land application to service the Borough. The project includes the required collection and conveyance system components to eliminate the failing on-site systems.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLESEX COUNTY UA

Froject No.: 340637-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$18,549,635

FY90 RANK : 239.0 County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount \$18,549,635

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction—Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ō |
| Sludge Bisposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

F'opulation -49657

TOTAL POINTS 1.49657

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Middlesex County Utilities Authority (MCUA) C340637-04
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

239

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Borough of Bound Brook, East Brunswick Sewerage Authority, Township of Edison, Franklin Township Sewerage Authority, Township of Greenbrook, Borough of Highland Park, Borough of Metuchen, Borough of Middlesex, Monroe Township, City of New Brunswick, Borough of Milltown, Township of North Brunswick, Township of Piscataway, Borough of North Plainfield, Borough of Watchung, Township of Scotch Plains, City of Plainfield, Borough of South Bound Brook, Township of South Brunswick, Borough of South Plainfield, Borough of South River, Borough of Spotswood, Borough of Dunellen, Borough of Fanwood

Existing Population

496,562

Need for Project

Design capacities of sewer systems constructed prior to the use of secondary treatment methods did not set strict limits on the volume of infiltration and inflow within sanitary sewers since treatment costs were not greatly affected by these extraneous flows. In recent times, however, construction costs of conveyance facilities coupled with rising treatment costs associated with secondary treatment methods have resulted in efforts to eliminate infiltration and inflow in areas where it is proven to be cost-effective.

Project Description

The proposed project is for an Infiltration/Inflow correction program for the MCUA existing sewer systems which currently serve 26 municipalities and 10 direct industries encompassing approximately 350 square miles of densely populated and highly industrialized areas.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERGEN COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340768-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$18,762,035

FY90 RANK : 240.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$18,762,035

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE | | ****************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

...

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow Inadequate Secondary Treatment Studge Disposal/Treatment New Systems Advanced Treatment I/I Correction | 250 200 100 | ((((|

SUBTOTAL

٥

Population +37657

TOTAL POINTS 1.37657

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bergen County Utilities Authority C340768-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

240

County

Bergen

Service Area

The service area consists of 50 municipalities in Bergen County

Existing Population

376,750

Need for Project

BCUA conducted an Infiltration/Inflow(I/I) analysis and concluded that excessive I/I existed in the sewer system. Additional studies are underway to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an Infiltration/Inflow correction program to eliminate a portion of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

This proposed project may include the cleaning, grouting and sealing of leaking manholes and sewer lines, and other minor rehabilitation measures.

Anticipated

Recipient : JT MEETING-ESSEX & UNION

Project No.: 340340-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$12,096,140

FY90 RANK : 241.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$12,096,140

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| NO. 101 NO. 100 100 NO. 100 NO | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Mee t Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | *************************************** | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Q | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .33497

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.33497

Priority List Rank

Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties C340340-03
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

241

County

Union

Service Area

16 municipalities in Essex and Union Counties encompassing 65 square miles.

Existing Population

334,967

Need for Project

To eliminate the excessive infiltration and inflow and to extend the useful life of the sewer.

Project Description

Joint Meeting operates a 75 mgd secondary sewage treatment facility with a sewer configuration 690 miles in length. The previous Sewer System Evaluation Survey Phase I and IIA studies have led to the present Phase IIB for sewer cleaning, TV inspection and smoke testing. This project will provide Infiltration/Inflow correction (Phase III) which will follow afterwards.

Anticipated

Recipient: NEWARK, CITY OF

Project No.: 340815-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$22,384,640

FY90 RANK : 242.0 County : ESSEX Total State Amount \$22,384,640

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| 17 to 1 1 to 1 to 2 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 1 to | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| P* | Meets | | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| T.: 1 | | Pa / . | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ċ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 9 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Population .31635

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Q

TOTAL POINTS 1.31635

Priority List Rank

Newark, City of C340815-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Essex

Service Area

City of Newark

Existing Population

316,345

Need for Project

An infiltration/inflow (I/I) analysis has been performed which documents excessive I/I in the Newark sewer system. A sewer system evaluation survey (SSES) is being performed in order to further define the areas which are in need of rehabilitation, and also, to outline a cost-effective rehabilitation program.

Project Description

This project, in accordance with the SSES, would consist of chemical grouting, relining, or replacing sewer lines in need of repair.

Anticipated

Recipient : RAHWAY VALLEY SA

Project No.: 340547-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,355,675

FY90 RANK : 243.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$5,355,675

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | F'oints |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------|---------|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation .17373

TOTAL POINTS 1.17373

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority C340547-03
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

243

County

Union

Service Area

Springfield, Mountainside, Westfield, Kenilworth, Winfield, Garwood, Cranford, Roselle Park (part), Scotch Plains, Clark, Woodbridge (part) and Rahway

Existing Population

173,729

Need for Project

To eliminate excessive infiltration and inflow and to extend the useful life of the sewer.

Project Description

RVSA operates a 35 mgd secondary sewage treatment facility with a sewer configuration 300 miles in length. The I/I report indicates that the RVSA's sewer system is susceptible to an excessive I/I of 3 mgd which may be cost-effectively removed. This will be verified in the upcoming SSES phase. An Infiltration/Inflow correction program will follow thereafter.

Anticipated

Recipient : PATERSON, CITY OF

Project No.: 340850-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$22,195,450

FY90 RANK : 244.0 County : FASSAIC Total State Amount \$22,195,450

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible: | Foints |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Water Use | ⊩'o≀nts | Scored |
| NO 1117 THE RES LEW PROPERTY OF THE THE RES COST OF THE | HE OF THE STATE OF | **** *** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \cap |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTUTAL. | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | ===================================== | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | o o | 25 25 | 50 50 | ŏ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

.13945

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.13945

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Paterson, City of C340850-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

City of Paterson

Existing Population

139,453

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

An Infiltration/Inflow correction program, which will consist of grouting slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : NUTLEY TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340834-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,781,787

FY90 RANK : 245.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$1,781,787

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | 40 24 1500 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | ······································ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ö | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Population .10568

TOTAL POINTS 1.10568

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

245

Nutley Township C340834-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction - Overflow

County

Essex

Service Area

Nutley Township (partial), City of Clifton (partial)

Existing Population

105,679

Need for Project

The deteriorated condition of the Quarry Trunk sewer has resulted in heavy infiltration into the sewer with periodic backups occurring in area homes and streets.

Project Description

A relief sewer will be constructed in the area of the Quarry Trunk sewer at a cost of \$1.4 million. This relief sewer will carry excess flows during wet weather and will prevent sewage overflows.

Anticipated

Recipient : ROCKAWAY VALLEY REG SA

Project No.: 340756-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$5,351,865

FY90 RANK : 246.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$5,351,865

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | f'oss ib Le | Foints |
|--|--|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| West 1821 Mark 1822 Class Clas | Street course service course course course service service | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | . • |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** *** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | 1000 NO. 1 1000 0000 MAX 1000 MIN 1000 1000 NO. 1000 NO. 1000 NO. 1000 NO. | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | fossible foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |

SUBTOTAL

0

Population .09218

TOTAL POINTS 1.09218

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Rockaway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority C340756-02
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

246

County

Morris

Service Area

Boonton Town, Boonton Township, Dover Town, Randolph Township, Denville Township, Rockaway Township, Rockaway Borough, Victory Gardens Borough, Wharton Borough

Existing Population

92,181

Need for Project

Recently, minor sewer rehabilitation work (internal grouting) has been completed for the Rockaway Valley Service Area. Additional studies are underway to further define the excessive I/I and to propose a correction program.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of an Infiltration/Inflow correction program to further remove a portion of the excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : NORTHWEST BERGEN CO UA

FY90 RANK : 247.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$7,242,602

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** | | | **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | |

| Population | .09171 |
|------------|--------|
| • | |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

٥

TOTAL FOINTS 1.09171

Priority List Rank

Northwest Bergen County Utilities Authority C340700-06
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

247

County

Bergen

Service Area

This project will serve the residents of the Boroughs of Franklin Lakes, Ramsey, Upper Saddle River, Saddle River, Allendale, Waldwick, Midland Park, and Hohokus, and the Townships of Mahwah and Wyckoff.

Existing Population

91,706

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that excessive I/I exists within the Authority's sewer system. Additional studies will be required to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an Infiltration/Inflow correction program to eliminate a portion of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of an Infiltration/Inflow correction program to remove a portion of the excessive I/I. This project is presently in the planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient : EAST ORANGE, CITY OF

Project No.: 340843-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,031,503

FY90 RANK : 248.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$2,031,503

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ************************************** | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ···· ··· |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | ***** ***** **** **** **** **** **** | *********************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | © |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Population .07758

TOTAL POINTS 1.07758

Priority List Rank

248

East Orange, City of C340843-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Essex

Service Area

City of East Orange

Existing Population

77,583

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system. Raw sewage overflows were reported to have occurred on area streets.

Project Description

An I/I correction program, which will consist of grouting, slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes, will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : CLIFTON, CITY OF

Project No.: 340844-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$11,666,197

FY90 RANK : 249.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$11,666,197

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | *************************************** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|--|---------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| *************************************** | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Tr eatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .07652

TOTAL POINTS 1.07652

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Clifton, City of C340844-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction - Overflow 249

County

Passaic

Service Area

City of Clifton

Existing Population

76,519

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system. Raw sewage overflows were reported to have occurred on area streets.

Project Description

An I/I correction program, which will consist of grouting slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes, will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient: WOODBRIDGE, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340433-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$973,112

FY90 RANK : 250.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$973,112

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

AND ARREST AREA CARRO AREA CONTO TRANS CONTO CARRO CARRO CONTO CONTO CARRO CONTO CARRO CAR

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| No. 100 Mar. | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \cap |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | 1112 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | i | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | i | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

Population +06983

1

TOTAL FOINTS 1.06983

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Township of Woodbridge C340433-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

250

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Woodbridge Township, Sewaren and Keasby sections

Existing Population

69,830

Need for Project

The sewer systems in the Sewaren and Keasby sections, constructed prior to the use of secondary treatment methods, did not set strict limits on the volume of infiltration and inflow within sanitary sewers since treatment costs were not greatly affected by these extraneous flows. In recent years, however, the construction costs of conveyance facilities, coupled with rising treatment costs associated with secondary treatment methods, have resulted in efforts to eliminate infiltration and inflow in areas where it is proven to be cost-effective.

Project Description

The proposed project is an Infiltration/Inflow Correction program for Woodbridge's existing sewer system within the Sewaren and Keasby sections. The Sewaren sewer system serves Avenel, Port Reading, Sewaren, and Woodbridge proper. Its drainage area encompasses 6,090 acres and contains 89.9 miles of sanitary sewer. The Woodbridge Interceptor (approximately parallel to the Woodbridge River) serves a majority of the system. The Keasby sewerage system serves Fords, Hopelawn, and Keasby. Its drainage area encompasses 2,389 acres and contains 30.2 miles of sanitary sewer.

Anticipated

Recipient : EWING-LAWRENCE SA

FY90 RANK : 251.0 County : MERCER Total State Amount : \$2,934,888

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 72 111 MI 130 131 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | ossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| *************************************** | | ******************************* |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL

Population .06345

TOTAL FOINTS 1.06345

Priority List Rank

Ewing-Lawrence Sewerage Authority C340391-05
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

251

County

Mercer

Service Area

Ewing Township, Lawrence Township and Hopewell Township (portion)

Existing Population

63,459

Need for Project

The sewer systems serving the above municipalities contain excessive infiltration and inflow that can be cost effectively removed.

Project Description

The Infiltration/Inflow correction program will correct the I/I problem in the ELSA sewer area.

Recipient: IRVINGTON, TOWN OF

Project No.: 340890-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,671,751

FY90 RANK : 252.0 County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$1,671,751

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | - | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | ···· ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .06247

TOTAL POINTS 1.06247

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Irvington, Town of
C340890-01
I/I - Rehabilitation and Sanitary
Sewer Improvement

County

Essex

Service Area

Town of Irvington

Existing Population

62,470

Need for Project

This project is necessary to eliminate excessive I/I within the service area, prevent further ground water contamination, and to eliminate sewer back-ups into residential and industrial establishments.

Project Description

The proposed project will be for an I/I correction program to eliminate the portion of I/I that is excessive within the service area. It also calls for improvement of approximately 4100 L.F. of sanitary sewers, manholes, building connections and related system improvement work.

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC, CITY OF

Project No.: 340845-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,284,713

FY90 RANK : 253.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$4,284,713

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | loes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| 1-14 1800 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 1814 18 | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Fopulation +05396

TOTAL POINTS 1.05396

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Passaic, City of C340845-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

253

County

Passaic

Service Area

City of Passaic

Existing Population

53,957

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system. Raw sewage overflows were reported to have occurred on area streets.

Project Description

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) correction program, which will consist of grouting, slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes, will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient: WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340393-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,225,614

FY90 RANK : 254.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,225,614

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|----------|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| | 2000 0000 0100 1000 0011 1000 0100 0000 | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ◊ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Far ameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· · | | **** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | \Q |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** | ********************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | . i | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | i | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 1

Population .05000

TOTAL POINTS 1.05000

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Wayne, Township of C340393-06 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Township of Wayne

Existing Population

49,549

Need for Project

This project is for the minor rehabilitation of the Township's existing sewer system. A sewer system evaluation survey concluded that approximately 1.5 million gallons per day (MGD) of excessive Infiltration/Inflow could be removed from the system costeffectively. This project would ensure the removal of the 1.5 MGD by the accomplishment of this rehabilitation work.

Project Description

The proposed Infiltration/Inflow correction program consists largely of grouting sanitary sewer lines, replacing sections of sewer pipe (1200 L.F.), converting standard manhole frames and castings to water tight frames and castings in flood hazard areas, grouting and sealing leaking manholes and repair or adjustments of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient : WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340393-07 Eligible Project Cost : \$200,000

FY90 RANK : 255.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$200,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foint≡ |
|--|--|-------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| ## 100 to 110 to 100 to | ***** ***** ***** ***** ** ** ***** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | wa wa 4444 1,44 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | floes Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Par ame ter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTUTAL 1

Fopulation +04955

TOTAL POINTS 1.04955

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Wayne, Township of C340393-07 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Township of Wayne

Existing Population

49,549

Need for Project

This project is for the minor rehabilitation of the Township's existing sewer system. A sewer system evaluation survey concluded that approximately 1.5 million gallons per day (MGD) of excessive Infiltration/Inflow could be removed from the system costeffectively. This project would ensure the removal of the 1.5 MGD by the accomplishment of this rehabilitation work.

Project Description

The proposed Phase I project (C340393-06) is to rehabilitate portions of the existing sewer system in the area of Pines Lake and Packanack Lake. The work for Phase I includes inversion lining of 3,160 linear feet (LF) of sewer pipe, replacement of approximately 5,130 LF of sewer pipe, grouting and air testing, and rehabilitation of 11 manholes. Phase II investigations (C340393-07) will be required to eliminate all excessive infiltration/inflow for other portions of the existing sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : NEPTUNE TWP SA

Project No.: 340410-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,028,802

FY90 RANK : 256.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$4,028,802

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
|------------------|-----------|--|--|---------------------|
| | | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000 | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | ಕಂ | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | tion with two sons man upon sons man | ****** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

.04391

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.04391

Population

Priority List Rank

Township of Neptune Sewerage Authority C340410-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

256

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Neptune Township, Avon-By The Sea Borough, Bradley Beach Borough, Ocean Grove Camp Meeting Association

Existing Population

43,907

Need for Project

The infiltration/inflow analysis has found that the system is subject to excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I).

Project Description

The project will consist of an I/I correction program that is found to be cost-effective during the Sewer System Evaluation Survey. Common methods of rehabilitation are 1) grouting of joints and cracked pipes; 2) slip-lining of select reaches of sewer; and 3) total replacement of select reaches of sewer. The proposed I/I correction project will not have an appreciable effect on the treatment plants ability to meet the established effluent limitations.

Anticipated

Recipient : LAMBERTVILLE SA

Project No.: 340882-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$284,140

FY90 RANK : 257.0

: HUNTERDON Total State Amount \$284,140 County

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible: | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | **** *** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| ABERT | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | fossible | Points |
|--|---------------------------|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 100 MIN 100 100 100 TOT TOT TOT TOT TOT SALE AND TOTAL MIN | **** **** *** *** *** *** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL •03896

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.03896

F'opulation -

Priority List Rank

Lambertville Sewage Authority C340882-01 Sewer System Evaluation Survey and Sewer System Rehabilitation

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

West of Route 29, Cottage Hill Portion and a short extension along York Street in Lambertville.

Existing Population

3,896

Need for Project:

The Lambertville Sewage Authority will conduct a physical exam of the Lambertville sewer collection system to determine sources and location of extraneous infiltration, inflow and possible exfiltration of the sanitary system and to determine the extent of rehabilitation required. Corrective measures will be taken to alleviate those extraneous waters that reduce the capability of the sewer system and treatment facility to transport and treat domestic and other legitimately generated wastewaters. The soils and their moderate to severe limitation for on-lot disposal of wastewater effluent is the main reason for problems in this area.

Project Description:

This project involves a sewer system evaluation of excessive infiltration/inflow and potential exfiltration from the original vitified clay pipe. After identifying the problem areas, corrective measures will be taken at those areas, within the system, that exhibit infiltration and inflow problems. These corrective measures range from TV'ing and grouting to replacement where possible or the application of "Insituform" (lining) of defective sewer lines.

Anticipated

Recipient : MONTCLAIR, TOWN OF

Project No.: 340837-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,578,923

FY90 RANK : 258.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$1,578,923

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|--|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NA 140 NO. 400 NO. 400 NO. 400 NO. 400 NO. 100 NO. 100 NO. 400 | *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

Meets Marginally Does Not Meet Foints
Farameter Standards Meets Standards Standards Scored

Dissolved Oxygen 0 50 100 0
Fecal Coliform 0 50 100 0

Fecal Coliform 0 50 100 0
Nutrients 0 25 50 0
Toxics 0 25 50 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | ****************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation +03870

TOTAL POINTS 1.03870

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

258

Montclair, Town of C340837-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Essex

Service Area

Town of Montclair

Existing Population

38,705

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey (SSES) recently completed by the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners attributed approximately 1.5 million gallons per day of infiltration to deficiencies in the Montclair sewer system.

Project Description

An I/I correction program involving grouting, excavation, replacement and slip lining is expected to remove nearly 26 percent of the daily infiltration. The repair of public inflow sources is also planned.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Recipient : ATLANTIC COUNTY UA

Project No.: 340344-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$10,249,970

FY90 RANK : 259.0 County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$10,249,970

I. SEGMENT POINTS

THE PART AND DESCRIPTION AND STORE THE TEST THE STORE OF THE SECOND STORE AND STORE STORE STORE THE THE THE THE SECOND STORE S

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 430 130 301 301 300 300 300 300 300 300 3 | *************************************** | ··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 5 0 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

Population .03622

TOTAL POINTS 1.03622

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Atlantic County Utilities Authority C340344-03 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

259

County

Atlantic

Service Area

Included in this service area is Atlantic City. The area is located in the eastern coastal portion of Atlantic County.

Existing Population

The current permanent population of this area is 36,219. The seasonal population is approximately 80,200.

Need for Project

Infiltration/inflow studies for the Atlantic City sanitary sewer system conducted in 1975 provided indications that the system was subject to excessive extraneous flows. An SSES is being undertaken to further evaluate the sewerage system. Included in the proposed project is the acquisition of the Atlantic City sewerage system (presently owned by the Atlantic City Sewerage Company, a private investor) by the Atlantic City Municipal Utilities Authority. In order for the pollution control benefits to be realized, the ACMUA must commit to performing Infiltration/Inflow correction.

Project Description

The Atlantic City sewerage system consists of 100 miles of sewers, with diameters ranging from 6 inches to 78 inches, and five pumping stations. The daily average wasteflow is approximately 10 mgd (peak 18 mgd). Half of the wasteflow is from city residents and half from hotel/casinos. The wastewater is treated at the Atlantic County Utilities Authority Coastal Region facilities. The effluent is discharged to the Atlantic Ocean. A detailed Infiltration/Inflow correction program awaits the submission of the final Sewer System Evaluation Survey report.

Anticipated -

Recipient : FORT LEE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340853-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$553,324

FY90 RANK : 260.0

: BERGEN Total State Amount : \$553,324 County

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ### 1011 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### 1021 ### | *************************************** | *** *** **** **** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | mes 1000 111 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** ***** ***** **** ***** ***** **** **** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | F'o i nts | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 6140 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 usus | **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Tr eatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

Population +03262

TOTAL POINTS 1.03262

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Fort Lee, Borough of C340853-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

260

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Fort Lee

Existing Population

32,616

Need for Project

The Bergen County Utilities Authority Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis and Sewer System Evaluation survey identified excessive I/I in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

The excessive I/I will be removed by grouting, slip lining, and other minor rehabilitation methods.

Anticipated

Recipient: ORANGE, CITY OF

Project No.: 340859-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,398,666

FY90 RANK : 261.0
County : ESSEX : Total State Amount : \$2,398,666

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|--|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| MH 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 19 | ************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SURTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---|---|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | O |
| | | **** |

| Populati | on | +03173 |
|----------|----|--------|

TOTAL POINTS 1.03173

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Orange City of C340859-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Essex

Service Area

City of Orange

Existing Population

31,728

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system. Raw sewage overflows were reported to have occurred on area streets.

Project Description

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) correction program, which will consist of grouting slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Recipient : WINSLOW TWP

Project No.: 340895-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$530,170

FY90 RANK : 262.0 County : CAMDEN Total State Amount \$530,170

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|----------|
| Water Use | Foints. | Scored |
| March 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1 | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Tr eatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation .02527

TOTAL POINTS 1.02527

Priority List Rank

262

Winslow Township C340895-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Camden

Service Area

Winslow Township

Existing Population

25,277

Need for Project

The existing collection system in the Township of Winslow is subject to Infiltration/Inflow (I/I).

Project Description

The infiltration/inflow correction program will remove I/I.

Anticipated :

Recipient : BERGEN COUNTY UA (TRIBORO)

Project No.: 340769-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,383,599

FY90 RANK : 263.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$1,383,599

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Poss(ble | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients Toxics | 0 | 25 25 | 50 50 | Ö |
| TOXICS | v | ب.ند | JO | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|---|---------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1.27 21.5 22.5 24.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 1 | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | \$ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | Page 1897 111 |

SUBTOTAL 1

Fopulation .02509

TOTAL POINTS 1.02509

Priority List Rank

263

BCUA (Triboro)
(Rutherford/E, Rutherford/Carlstadt)
C340769-02
Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Carlstadt and portions of Rutherford and East Rutherford

Existing Population

25,092

Need for Project

The Bergen County Utilities Authority conducted an Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis and sewer system evaluation survey which concluded that excessive I/I existed in the sewer system. The correction of this I/I will be cost-effective and result in the improved operation of a proposed 13.8 MGD pump station used for the conveyance of sewage from the Joint Meeting STP to the Bergen County Utilities Authority system. Water Quality violations are currently experienced for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, and toxics standards due to the raw discharges of the existing Triboro Joint Meeting Treatment plant into the Hackensack River (SE-2).

Project Description

The project will consist of rehabilitation work to remove a portion of the excessive I/I. The rehabilitation project may include repair and/or grouting of sewers and joints, sealing manholes, repair/replacement of defective manhole covers and other standard rehabilitation measures. This project will also eliminate sewerage system overflows.

Anticipated

Recipient: RIDGEWOOD, VILLAGE OF

FY90 RANK : 264.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$5,110,634

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| 148 117 NOT 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 11 | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

Population .02486

TOTAL POINTS 1.02486

Priority List Rank

Ridgewood, Village of C340639-07 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Village of Ridgewood

Existing Population

24,860

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that excessive I/I exists within the village's sewer system. Additional studies are underway to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an I/I correction program to eliminate a portion of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

This proposed project will be for the replacement of sections of sanitary sewer pipe, grouting of sewer lines, grouting and sealing leaking manholes, and repair or adjustments of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient: CRANFORD, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340858-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$555,110

FY90 RANK : 265.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$555,110

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|-------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 778 TOTAL THE THE THE TEXT SEC. SEC. SEC. SEC. SEC. SEC. SEC. SEC. | milital balan badan basan sasan sabah pasti darah | ***************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

Toxics 0 25 50 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| | ***** ***** ***** **** **** **** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

+02408

TOTAL FOINTS 1.02408

Population

Priority List Rank

Township of Cranford C340858-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Union

Service Area

Township of Cranford

Existing Population

24,079

Need for Project

To eliminate excessive infiltration in approximately 4,950' of 8" gravity sanitary sewer serving the Township. The Township's system is serviced by the Rahway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority.

Project Description

The project will consist of I/I correction for 4,950' of gravity sanitary sewer (cleaning, lining joint repair).

Anticipated

Recipient : MADISON-CHATHAM JOINT MEETING

Project No.: 340715-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$668,900

FY90 RANK : 266.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$668,900

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| AND THE THE THE REAL COLUMN TO LOSS COME AND THE | | ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ··· |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

...

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *** | *************************************** | | | ********************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \cap |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|---|
| This said the teat of the control of | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** **** | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

| Fopulation | .02377 |
|------------|--------|

TOTAL POINTS 1.02377

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Madison-Chatham Joint Meeting C340715-03
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Morris

Service Area

Borough of Chatham, Borough of Madison

Existing Population

23,766

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has been completed which documents the existence of excessive I/I within the service area. Additional studies are under way to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an I/I correction program to mitigate hydraulic overloading of the plant during severe storms.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of an I/I correction program to remove a portion of the excessive I/I. This will include replacement of sections of sanitary sewer pipe, grouting of sewer lines, grouting and sealing leaking manholes and repair of and adjustments to defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient : EDGEWATER, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340443-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,353,015

FY90 RANK : 267.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$2,353,015

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| WHI ARE NOT THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 1.25 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|
| *************************************** | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | <u>ي ريا</u> | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | (2) E | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 200 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

| SUBTOTAL | 1. |
|------------|--------|
| Population | .02138 |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.02138

Priority List Rank

Edgewater, Borough of C340443-05 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

267

County

Bergen

Service Area

Edgewater, Cliffside Park (portion), Fort Lee (portion)

Existing Population

21,382

Need for Project

To eliminate the excessive infiltration and inflow to extend the useful life of the sewer.

Project Description

There are approximately 25 miles of existing sewers in the Borough. The Edgewater STP presently has an influent rate of 3.3 mgd, of which 0.37 mgd has been identified as possibly being excessive. The sewer system evaluation survey program is currently in the process of identifying and verifying those areas which are susceptible to the excessive I/I. I/I correction as determined to be cost effective will follow.

Recipient : HILLSIDE, TWP OF

Project No.: 340906-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$834,454

FY90 RANK : 268.0 County : UNION Total State Amount \$834,454

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| HALL WAS ARRESTED FOR THE | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL \Diamond

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| 1000 1000 1000 1010 1010 1010 1010 101 | | *************************************** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

.02137 Population

TOTAL POINTS 1.02137

Priority List Rank

Township of Hillside C340906-03 I/I Rehabilitation and Sewer Pump Station

County

Union

Service Area

Township of Hillside

Existing Population

21,374

Need for Project

The existing collection system serving the Township is very old, and is subject to an extreme amount of I/I. Rehabilitation of the entire sanitary sewer system is necessary to remove 650,000 gpd of I/I to prevent overflows from the existing collection system and back-ups into residential and industrial establishments.

Project Description

This proposed project will be for an I/I correction program to eliminate the portion of I/I that is excessive and detrimental to the existing collection system. In some cases minor replacement may be necessary. Also proposed is the major rehabilitation of an existing sewage pumping station.

Recipient : BRIDGETON, CITY OF

Project No.: 340829-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$375,194

FY90 RANK : 269.0 County : CUMBERLAND Total State Amount : \$375,194

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| Mar 1 and 1 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ♦ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \cap |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | MA 1888 MA 1987 MAS 1887 1882 1882 1882 1883 1883 1884 1884 1884 | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | O |
| | | |

Population .01876

TOTAL POINTS 1.01876

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bridgeton City C340829-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Cumbe~land

Service Area

City of Bridgeton

Existing Population

18,757

Need for Project

The infiltration/inflow analysis, dated August 1974, indicated existence of excessive infiltration/inflow in the City of Bridgeton collection system. Subsequently, a Sewer System Evaluation Survey was conducted. The result of this Survey indicates that a combined total of 267,440 gpd of infiltration/inflow can be cost-effectively removed through rehabilitation of the collection system.

Project Description

The project consists of chemical sealing, excavation, minor rehabilitation of 11,600 feet of 8 inch, vitrified clay pipe. In addition, 19 manholes will be either chemically sealed, raised to grade or require grouting under the frame, and 14 roof leaders require disconnection.

Recipient : HAWTHORNE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340881-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$633,708

FY90 RANK : 270.0 County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$633,708

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 17-17-17-17-17-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18- | | **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | \Q |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

.01863

TOTAL POINTS 1.01868

Population

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

270

Hawthorne, Borough of C340881-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Royal Avenue Area, Wagaraw Road, Watchung Drive, and Pasedena Place

Existing Population

18,678

Need for Project

The sanitary sewer lines in the Royal Avenue Area, Wagaraw Road, Watchung Drive, and Pasadena Place require infiltration/inflow correction. Also, insufficient pipe capacity during heavy flow causes surcharging. Since the sanitary sewer lines are so old (dating back to the 1930's), replacement of the sewer lines is considered cost effective.

Project Description

Upgrade of sanitary sewer lines by replacing them with PVC pipe.

Anticipated

Recipient : ELMWOOD PARK, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340863-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$798,277

FY90 RANK : 271.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$798,277

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| W) N) (14 M2 14 A2 10) (16 M2 M1 (16 M2 M2 M2 M1 M1 M2 | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| ************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | O |
| Tavice | ۸ | • ग्रे स्ट्रा | EΛ | ^ |

| | | | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |
|-----|-----------|------|----------|---|
| II. | DISCHARGE | TYPE | | |

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| 7407 0000 1771 1872 1882 1882 1882 1882 1882 1882 | 1019 10100 10100 40100 40 00 0000 0000 0 | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Fopulation .01800

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.01800

Priority List Rank

271

Elmwood Park, Borough of C340863-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Elmwood Park

Existing Population

18,000

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

An I/I correction program, which will consist of grouting, slip lining, and repairing/replacing structurally damaged pipes and manholes will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : DUMONT, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340922-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,148,189

FY90 RANK : 272.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$3,148,189

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|-------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameten | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | ٥ | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

| | | | SUBTOTAL. | 0 |
|------|-------------|------|-----------|---|
| £ 1. | TITECLIABEE | TVOC | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

TOTAL POINTS 1.01771

Fopulation .01771

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Dumont, Borough of C340922-01 I/I Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Dumont

Existing Population

17,708

Need for Project

The existing sanitary sewer system serving the Borough of Dumont is approximately 65 years old. Treatment of the wastewater collected is provided by the Bergen County Utilities Authority at the Little Ferry Treatment Plant. Field investigations and existing records available at the Department of Public Works of the Borough of Dumont reveal that the conditions of the sanitary sewer system is substandard. There are many sections of this mostly clay pipe system that have been troublesome, resulting in frequent blockages and requiring significant efforts and time by the Department of Public Works to clear the lines. These blockages and problems are caused mainly by undersized piping, cracking of pipes, root intrusion, offset joints and inadequate slopes.

The purpose of the program to rehabilitate the sanitary sewer system is to correct these problem areas and also to decrease the amount of infiltration into the system.

Project Description

The areas of the system requiring rehabilitation have been identified on the Borough of Dumont Sewer Maps. These areas of rehabilitation were selected based on the problems incurred as recorded in the Department of Public Works files and past field investigations.

The proposed scope of work will be carried out in four (4) phases over a 4-year period. The first phase will cover the southwest quadrant of the Borough where the system connects up with the Bergen County Utilities Authority's System; the second phase will cover the northwest quadrant; the third phase, the southeast quadrant; and the fourth and final phase, the northeast quadrant.

Anticipated

Recipient : STONY BROOK REG SA

Project No.: 340400-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$475,969

FY90 RANK : 273.0

County : MERCER Total State Amount \$475,969

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible: | Foints |
|--|-----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ····· |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|---|---|
| | | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ··· ··· |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|---|---|------------------|
| 44 04 0505 FEAT GROSS AND A 1200 TO | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

| Fopu | Lation | .01712 |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| , w ₁ w | H 144 1 1 W 11 | Y W 31. 1 31. A1. |

TOTAL POINTS 1.01712

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority C340400-03 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

273

County

Mercer

Service Area

Township of South Brunswick

Existing Population

17,127

Need for Project

The collection system has been studied to identify extraneous infiltration and inflow sources. These sources raise the flow to the Stony Brook STP, but cause no operational or transmission problems other than those associated with a weak influent.

Project Description

This project would provide cost-effective removal of I/I in the South Brunswick collection system. Sections would be repaired, replaced or relined. Also, manholes are to be grouted and manhole covers replaced with gasket covers.

Anticipated

Recipient : ASBURY PARK, CITY OF

Project No.: 340884-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,818,150

FY90 RANK : 274.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$1,818,150

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Possible | Foints |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Points | Scored |
| **** **** **** *** *** *** *** | |
| 200 | 0 |
| 75/25 | ٥ |
| 125 | 0 |
| 125 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 25 | ٥ |
| 50 | 0 |
| | |
| | Points 200 75/25 125 125 25 25 |

SUBTOTAL 0

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|--|-----------|--|---------------|---------------------|
| Far ameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| **** **** | 1000 0000 dida dede sera sari 1000 0000 | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

SUBTOTAL

Fopulation +01701

TOTAL POINTS 1.01701

Priority List Rank

Asbury Park City C340884-01 Sewer System Rehabilitation 274

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Asbury Park City

Existing Population

17,010

Need for Project

The existing collection system consists of vitrified clay pipes with leaky joints. A large portion of the piping may be cracked, leading to infiltration.

Project Description

The project consists of replacement of a major portion of the collection system.

Anticipated

Recipient: MORRISTOWN, TOWN OF

Project No. : 340376-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$16,217,608

FY90 RANK : 275.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$16,217,608

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water lise | Points | Scored |
| | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | *** *** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ······································ |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | Δ |

| V | 50 | تند | O . | TOXICS |
|----------------|----------|-----|-----|--------|
| **** **** **** | | | | |
| ٥ | SUBTOTAL | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| that sale sage and sade and map type door one one one one one care and the glad had sade sade and door and care and place had not save and place had not save and the care and | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ***** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |

| Fopu | ilat | 100 | .01679 |
|-------|-------|------|--------|
| L Obe | rrait | 1011 | +0701 |

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

1

TOTAL POINTS 1.01679

Priority List Rank

Town of Morristown C340376-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Morris

Service Area

Town of Morristown

Existing Population

16,790

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that excessive I/I exists within the town's sewer system. Additional studies are underway to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an I/I correction program to eliminate a portion of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

This proposed project will be for the minor rehabilitation of sections of sanitary sewer pipe, grouting of sewer lines, grouting and sealing leaking manholes and repair or adjustments of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient : OCEAN CITY

Project No.: 340730-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$47,307

FY90 RANK : 276.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$47,307

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | may 5444 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|---------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| THE THE ! FROM MINE 1474 \$100 \$ 1775 0440 0440 05 OF 1500 1401 5000 0000 0000 0000 0000 | **** **** **** **** | 0000 May 1900 0000 1000 0011 1001 0001 1000 0000 0000 1000 1001 | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Production of the contract of | | er A | 400 | |

 Dissolved Uxygen
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Fecal Coliform
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Nutrients
 0
 25
 50
 0

 Toxics
 0
 25
 50
 0

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--|--|---|
| datil onde some freis rans was a sept mare hidde bidde amen bydry mank fieldy pidda oppyr som bidde pidda pode pode pode som and bidde hidde bidde oppyr opp | 4000 4040 4004 5E++ 6040 4E++ 40+4 4E++ 40+4 | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SURTOTAL

Population .01549

TOTAL POINTS 1.01549

Priority List Rank

Ocean City C340730-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

276

County

Cape May

Service Area

Ocean City

Existing Population

15,494 36,500 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

The infiltration/inflow analysis has found that the system is subject to excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I).

Project Description

The I/I correction of Ocean City's sanitary sewer system includes the sealing, repair, or replacement of defective pipes, manholes and lateral connections. The proposed rehabilitation project will not have an appreciable effect on the ability of the Ocean City treatment plant to meet the established effluent limitations.

Anticipated

Recipient : WARREN CO LOFAT SA

Project No.: 340580-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,170,276

FY90 RANK : 277.0

County : WARREN Total State Amount : \$2,170,276

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | ***** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | » » » » » » » · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | · O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible foints | Points Scored |
|---|---|---|
| Min Mil was you you need took son son son son son san san had not need the son you need the son son son son son son son | ACCO COOK COOK COOK COOK COOK COOK COOK | **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

SUBTOTAL

0

Population .01542

TOTAL POINTS 1.01542

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Warren County - Lopatcong Creek C340580-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction-Overflow

County

Warren

Service Area

The Warren County-Lopatcong Creek Sewerage Authority is retaining a spot on the Priority List for rehabilitation of the local collection systems within their 201 Facilities Planning Area. The planning area lies in the Delaware Watershed and includes Alpha Borough and Lopatcong, Pohatcong, Harmony and Greenwich Townships.

Existing Population

15,415

Need for Project

Sanitary collection systems currently serve Alpha, Lopatcong, and Pohatcong. The existing Phillipsburg plant (NJ0024716) presently treats domestic and industrial waste from the above municipalities. Constructed in 1950 - 1951 with a design capacity of 3.5 MGD, the plant provides secondary treatment by means of an activated sludge Present flows average slightly over 2.1 MGD, but peak conditions can result in flows over 6.0 MGD which lead to a by-pass of the plant and direct discharge of untreated effluent into the Lopatcong Creek, FW2-TM (tributary to Delaware River). There also are known corss connection between the storm and sanitary sewerage These raw discharges result in frequent violations of fecal coliform standards, and marginal degradation from nutrient and toxic An I/I analysis included in the facilities plan concluded infiltration to be non-excessive, but recommended a Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES @ \$26,000) to study the removal of excessive inflow and the elimination of the system by-passes.

Project Description

The proposed SSES will refine the conclusions of the I/I analysis and make recommendations for I/I correction. The project will allow for the construction and implementation of the cost effective rehabilitation measures. This will result in the abatement of the raw sewage by-pass problem presently experienced both in the collection systems and at the plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEQUANNOCK RIVER BASIN RSA

Project No.: 340779-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,193,405

FY90 RANK : 278.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,193,405

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | Ha wa | | **** **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | \circ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| The same and the same come come come come come come come co | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

| 2021 | UIAL. | <u>i</u> |
|------|-------|----------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

0

Fopulation +01536

TOTAL POINTS 1.01536

Priority List Rank

Pequannock River Basin Regional S.A. C340779-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

278

County

Passaic

Service Area

Butler, Bloomingdale

Existing Population

15,364

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow analysis and sewer system evaluation survey have concluded that excessive I/I exists within the regional sewer system. However, the excessive I/I would have no significant effect on the operation of the proposed STP.

Project Description

The proposed project will be for the I/I correction of the existing sanitary sewer system. The project would include internal pressure grouting of sewers, joints, raising and resetting of manhole frames, and replacement of manhole covers.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERNARDS TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340382-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$408,303

FY90 RANK : 279.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount \$408,308

SEGMENT FOINTS T .

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Hossible Hoints | Foints Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| | *************************************** | **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTUTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

| \Q | 50 | 25 | O | Toxics |
|---------------|----------|----|---|--------|
| **** **** *** | | | | |
| ٥ | SUBTOTAL | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

| SUBTOTAL | 1 |
|------------|--------|
| Population | .01530 |

TOTAL POINTS 1.01530

Priority List Rank

Bernards Township C340382-03 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Somerset

Service Area

Bernards Township

Existing Population

15,303

Need for Project

There is excessive infiltration/inflow in the existing collection system which can be cost-effectively removed by I/I correction. However, the operation of the treatment plant will not be affected by the excessive I/I.

Project Description

The proposed project involves I/I correction of the existing sewer system through a cleaning, TVing, air testing, and grouting program. To correct the inflow problems, the project will also include manhole cover replacement and will address illegal connections.

Anticipated

Recipient : DOVER, TOWN OF

Project No.: 340889-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$806,723

FY90 RANK : 280.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$806,723

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| | ************************************** | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shetlfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

| | | | SUBTOTAL | \circ |
|-----|-----------|------|----------|---------|
| II. | DISCHARGE | TYFE | | |

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | roints | ocoreu |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

TOTAL FOINTS 1.01441

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Town of Dover C340889-01 Infiltration/Inflow

County

Morris

Service Area

Town of Dover

Existing Population

14,405

Need for Project

The Rockaway Valley Regional Sewerage Authority Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis and Sewer System Evaluation Survey identified excessive I/I in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

The excessive I/I will be removed by grouting, slip lining, and other minor rehabilitation procedures.

Anticipated

Recipient : PLEASANTVILLE, CITY OF

Project No.: 340752-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$137,271

FY90 RANK : 281.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$137,271

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 110 CH 1 100 CH | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | più par selman - < + + |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | ***** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 225 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | 1000 1000 100 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| end that and also app non and and and and and and and and and an | *************************************** | **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |
| | | **** |

Population .01437

TOTAL POINTS 1.01437

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

281

City of Pleasantville C340752-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area includes the City of Pleasantville. This is a bayfront community located approximately ten miles west of the Atlantic County coastal wastewater treatment plant.

Existing Population

14,372 (Year Round) 16,500 (Summer)

Need for Project

The wastewater collection system of Pleasantville was originally constructed in 1945, with new extensions added periodically. It consists of 294,684 linear feet of terra cotta, vitrified clay and cast iron pipe. The SSES investigation determined that the system was experiencing an average of 339,120 gpd of infiltration from a total of 37,585 linear feet of pipe.

Project Description

This project entails I/I correction of the sewer system of Pleasantville City.

Anticipated

Recipient: VERONA, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340533-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,268,300

FY90 RANK : 282.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$1,268,300

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ********* |
| | SUBTOTAL | \$ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | *************************************** | | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 28 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment I/I Correction | 50 1 1 1 | 0 |

Population .01415

1

TOTAL POINTS 1.01415

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

Verona, Borough of C340533-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

282

County

Essex

Service Area

Borough of Verona

Existing Population

14,153

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that the Borough's sewer system exhibits excessive I/I. Additional studies are underway to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose a sewer rehabilitation program to mitigate hydraulic overloading of the plant during severe storm events which affects the performance of the treatment plant.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of I/I correction to remove a portion of the excessive I/I. Construction of an equalization basin for storage of inflow may be necessary depending upon the conclusions of the additional on-going studies.

Anticipated

Recipient: SPRINGFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF

Project No.: 340855-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,307,637

FY90 RANK : 283.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$1,307,637

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | tras and their cope cope |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Noes Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Pro 1 | ······································ | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation +01409

TOTAL FOINTS 1.01409

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

283

Township of Springfield C340855-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Union

Service Area

Township of Springfield

Existing Population

14,088

Need for Project

Recent studies have indicated the presence of excessive Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) within the Township's sewer collection system.

Project Description

Recommended is an I/I correction program consisting of minor rehabilitation measures to remove the excessive Infiltration/Inflow.

Anticipated

Recipient : BERKELEY HEIGHTS TOWNSHIP

Project No.: 340385-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$371,426

FY90 RANK : 284.0

County : UNION Total State Amount : \$371,425

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | foints | Scored |
| Marian 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 | ***** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|--|-----------|
| Far ame ter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL

Population .01279

TOTAL POINTS 1.01279

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Berkeley Heights Twp. C340385-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Union

Service Area

Berkeley Heights

Existing Population

12,787

Need for Project

There is excessive infiltration/inflow in the existing collection system which can be cost-effectively removed by I/I correction. However, the operation of the treatment plant will not be affected by the excessive I/I.

Project Description

The proposed project is for the I/I correction of the existing sewer system. The project involves internal pressure grouting of sewer joints, manholes, raising, resetting and/or replacement of manhole covers.

Anticipated

Recipient: CEDAR GROVE, TWF. OF

Project No.: 340717-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$365,727

FY90 RANK : 285.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$365,727

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|------------------|
| h h h | | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |

Nutrients 0 25 50 0 Toxics 0 25 50 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |

Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 Consider Disposal/Treatment 100 Consequence Systems 50 Consequence Treatment 1 Consequence 1 Indicate Consequence 1 Conse

SUBTOTAL 1

Population .01262

TOTAL POINTS 1.01262

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Cedar Grove, Township of C340717-05
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

285

County

Essex

Service Area

Township of Cedar Grove

Existing Population

12,624

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that the Township's sewer system exhibits excessive I/I. Additional studies are underway to further define this excessive I/I and to propose a sewer rehabilitation program.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of minor rehabilitation work to remove a portion of the excessive I/I. Construction of an equalization basin for storage of inflow may be recommended depending upon the conclusion of the additional on-going studies.

Anticipated

Recipient : PARSIPPANY-TROY HILLS TWE

Project No.: 340766-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$39,617

FY90 RANK : 286.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$39,617

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|---|
| AT 411 100 110 110 100 000 000 000 000 000 | 2000 1777 Eddin 1000 0144 1000 on on dave | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| , | Meets | - | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \cap |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | Ö |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 1

.01260

SUBTOTAL

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.01260

Population

Priority List Rank

Parsippany-Troy Hills C340766-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Morris

Service Area

Parsippany-Troy Hills-Study zones C & D

Existing Population

12,600

Need for Project

An infiltration/inflow (I/I) analysis has concluded that excessive I/I exists in the sewer system. Additional studies will define this excess quantity and propose an I/I correction program to eliminate a portion of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

This project is for the I/I correction of the Township's sewer system. The project involves grouting of manholes, raising and resetting manhole frames and covers, internal pressure grouting of sewer joints, excavation and removal of 2 storm sewer connections, repair of one service connection, and elimination of sump pumps, roof leaders and yard drains. This I/I correction project does not affect the treatment system.

Anticipated

Recipient : LITTLE FALLS TWF.

Project No.: 340716-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$539,910

FY90 RANK : 287.0

County : PASSAIC Total State Amount : \$539,910

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 414 AND 184 AN | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | WW 1004 - 114 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Noes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ö | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| MART TITL TOOK TOTAL SALES AND THOSE TOOK TOOK AND AND AND AND AND TOOK TOOK TOOK TOOK TOOK TOOK TOOK TOO | *************************************** | ***** ***** ***** ***** ***** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

.01222

TOTAL POINTS 1.01222

Population

Priority List Rank

Little Falls Township C340716-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Township of Little Falls

Existing Population

12,215

Need for Project

The Little Falls Collection System consists of approximately 120,000 linear feet of pipe in size from six to eighteen inches diameter. Eighty-nine percent of pipe is clay tile, ten percent is asbestos cement, and one percent is cast iron pipe. Interviews with the plant operator indicate that sewers in the western section of Little Falls surcharge and overflow during periods of heavy rain and high Passaic River levels. Overflows also occur at the Hopson Street Pumping Station, which receives sewage flow from the easterly section of Little Falls.

Project Description

This proposed project consists of a sewer system evaluation study utilizing manhole inspection, rainfall simulation, and T.V. inspection and the minor rehabilitation of the Township's existing sewer system to eliminate the portion of the I/I that is excessive.

Anticipated -

Recipient : ROXBURY TWP

Project No.: 340381-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,152,554

FY90 RANK : 288.0

County : MORRIS Total State Amount : \$1,152,554

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---|
| NO. 161-161-161-161-161-161-161-161-161-161 | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Noes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25. | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 9 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ********* |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Fossible Foints Project Discharge Type Scored Points Primary Discharge 500 \Diamond 250 I/I Correction-Overflow O Inadequate Secondary Treatment 200 0 100

Sludge Disposal/Treatment 100 0
New Systems 50 0
Advanced Treatment 1 0
I/I Correction 1 1
CSO Abatement 1 0

SUBTOTAL 1

Population .01210

TOTAL FOINTS 1.01210

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

Township of Roxbury C340381-05 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

288

County

Morris

Service Area

Roxbury Township and portions of Mine Hill, Mount Arlington and Randolph

Existing Population

12,109

Need for Project

To eliminate excessive inflow into the sewer system.

Project Description

The Ajax Terrace sewage treatment plant has a sewer configuration 50 miles in length with a dry weather flow of 0.94 mgd. The infiltration has been determined to be nonexcessive. However, excessive inflow does exist since the plant flow records indicated that the wet weather flows were as much as 4 times greater than the dry weather flows. Inflow investigation is presently underway to identify the locations, magnitudes, and causes of this inflow problem. It is expected that a sewer system evaluation survey and I/I correction program will follow.

Anticipated

Recipient : VENTNOR CITY

Project No. : 340667-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,530,315

FY90 RANK : 289.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$1,530,315

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|-----------|--------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | lioes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | APPR TOTAL COURT OFFICE COURT COURT SOURCE SAVOR COURT STATE CASAS COURT | MAR 5577 MAR 5000 3005 111 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population +01175

TOTAL POINTS 1.01175

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

Priority List Rank

289

Ventnor City C340667-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic County

Service Area

The service area includes the area that lies within the city limits of the City of Ventnor. This community occupies a portion of Absecon Island between Atlantic City on the north and Margate on the south.

Existing Population

The City of Ventnor has a permanent population of 11,749 and a summer population of 23,400.

Need for Project

The wastewater collection system consists of approximately 165,000 linear feet of pipe ranging in diameter from 6" to 30". The flow is directed to the Ventnor/Margate regional pumping station for transport to the Atlantic County Utilities Authority coastal region wastewater treatment facility. The sewer system of Ventnor City is subject to an average of 1,009,750 gpd of infiltration. This is from a total of 116,000 linear feet of pipe.

Project Description

The project entails I/I correction of the sewer system of Ventnor City.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST PATERSON, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340778-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,171,000

FY90 RANK : 290.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$2,171,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--|------------------|
| 200 MM - 111 | Mrt 4441 ann ar ar core core ann 1 ann | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| • | | **** |
| | SUBTUTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Lioes Not Meet | Foints |
|--|---|---|----------------|---|
| Parameter | Standard≘ | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | *** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ū | 50 | 100 | \Q |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | O |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

| Population | .01142 |
|------------|-------------|
| oparation | * O T T IV. |

TOTAL POINTS 1.01142

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

West Paterson, Borough of C340778-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Borough of West Paterson

Existing Population

11,417

Need for Project

The Township's sewer system is exhibiting excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) as documented by the I/I analysis. Additional studies will estimate the quantity of I/I in the system and propose an I/I correction program to correct hydraulic overloading of the plant.

Project Description

This project is for the I/I correction of West Paterson's existing sewer system to eliminate the portion of infiltration/inflow that is excessive. This project will be for the major rehabilitation of sections of sanitary sewer pipe including grouting, sealing, pipe replacement, inversion lining and repair of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient: TOTOWA, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340778-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$799,185

FY90 RANK : 291.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$799,185

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

THE SACT CASE COST | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 1227 | 12

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Water lise | Foints | Scored |
| 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | ***** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | f'oint s |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|-----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25, | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | \Q |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

.01117

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.01117

SUBTOTAL

Population

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Totowa, Borough of C340778-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Totowa Borough

Existing Population

11,192

Need for Project

The Township's sewer system contains excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) as documented by the I/I analysis. Additional studies will estimate the quantity of I/I in the system to eliminate a portion of excessive I/I.

Project Description

This project is for the I/I correction of Totowa Borough's existing sewer system to eliminate a portion of infiltration/inflow that is excessive. The project will be for the minor rehabilitation of sections of sanitary sewer pipe including grouting, sealing, and repair of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient: WESTWOOD, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340862-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$4,185,540

FY90 RANK : 292.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$4,185,540

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | F'oints |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NA 101 '10, 100 101 00 101 00 101 101 100 100 10 | W 00 0000 0000 4000 0000 0000 4000 4000 | **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ***** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| price make about the de de de de make appe appe being place about anne anne desde abbit | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ó | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Q | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 9 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population .01092

TOTAL POINTS 1,01092

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

Priority List Rank

Westwood, Borough of C340862-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Westwood

Existing Population

10,918

Need for Project

The Bergen County Utilities Authority Infiltration Inflow (I/I) Analysis and Sewer System Evaluation Survey identified excessive I/I in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

The excessive I/I will be removed by grouting, slip lining, and other minor rehabilitation methods.

Anticipated

Recipient: RIVER EDGE, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 293.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$384,420

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|----------|
| Water Use | Hoints: | Scored |
| NO 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ♦ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| Dissolved Oxygen | o | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 5 0 | 100 | |
| Nutrients | o O | 25 | 50 | Ö |

SUBTOTAL

25

Ø

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Toxics

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|---|---------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints. | Scored |
| *************************************** | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |
| | | **** |

Fopulation .01064

50

0

TOTAL FOINTS 1.01064

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

River Edge, Borough of C340841-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of River Edge

Existing Population

10,643

Need for Project

The Bergen County Utilities Authority Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis and Sewer System Evaluation Survey identified excessive I/I in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

The excessive I/I will be removed by grouting, slip lining, and other minor rehabilitation methods.

Anticipated

Recipient : SOMERS POINT CITY

Project No.: 340618-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$78,664

FY90 RANK : 294.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$78,664

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| NO 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | \cap |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL O

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

.01063

TOTAL POINTS 1.01063

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

294

Somers Point City C340618-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area includes the region that lies within the city limits of Somers Point. The area is in the eastern coastal portion of Atlantic County on the mainland just south of the City of Linwood adjacent to Great Egg Harbor Bay.

Existing Population

10,643 (Year Round) 12,850 (Summer)

Need for Project

Based upon existing studies, the sewer system of Somers is subject to excessive infiltration.

Project Description

This project entails the I/I correction of the sewer system of Somers Point City.

Anticipated

Recipient : WANAQUE VALLEY REG SAZWANAQUE BOROUGH

Project No. : 340780-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,164,000

FY90 RANK : 295.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,164,000

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Points |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *** *** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | i | \$ |
| | | |

Population .01058

TOTAL POINTS 1.01058

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Wanaque Valley Regional S.A. (Wanaque Borough SA) 295 C340780-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Passaic

Service Area

Wanaque Borough

Existing Population

10,579

Need for Project

An Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis has been completed which documents the existence of excessive I/I within the service area (Haskell service area). Additional studies are underway to further define the quantity of excessive I/I and to propose an I/I correction program.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of I/I correction work to remove a portion of the excessive I/I in the Haskell service area in Wanaque Borough. This work includes internal grouting of sewer joints and manholes and raising, resetting and/or replacement of manhole covers.

Anticipated

Recipient : SAYREVILLE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340326-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$205,194

FY90 RANK : 296.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$205,194

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

**** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NO. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 10 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Lides Not Meet | Foints. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Par ameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | O |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ***** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \$ |

SUBTOTAL :

0

Population .01050

TOTAL POINTS 1.01050

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Sayreville C340326-04 Infiltration/Inflow Correction 296

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Borough of Sayreville (Morgan and Melrose Sections)

Existing Population

10,500

Need for Project

The sewer systems in the Morgan and Melrose sections of Sayreville Borough constructed prior to the use of secondary treatment methods did not set strict limits on the volume of infiltration and inflow within sanitary sewers since treatment costs were not greatly affected by these extraneous flows. However, in recent years, the construction costs of conveyance facilities, coupled with rising treatment costs associated with secondary treatment methods, have resulted in efforts to eliminate infiltration and inflow in areas where it is proven to be cost-effective.

Project Description

The proposed project is to provide I/I correction for Sayreville's existing system.

Anticipated

Recipient : FAIRVIEW, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340517-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,274,202

FY90 RANK : 297.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$1,274,202

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Hoints. | Scored |
| | 00 to 2000 1701 1011 1011 10 to 10 1011 1011 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Lioes Not Meet | Foints |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | ***** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | ಆಂ | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | \(\) |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL :

Population .01034

TOTAL POINTS 1.01034

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Fairview, Borough of C340517-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Fairview

Existing Population

10,341

Need for Project

BCUA has completed an Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis and Sewer System Evaluation Survey which has identified excessive I/I within the Borough's sewer system and proposed an I/I correction program to eliminate a portion of these extraneous I/I flows.

Project Description

This proposed project may include the grouting and sealing of leaking manholes and sewer lines, repair or adjustments of defective manhole covers, and other minor rehabilitation measures.

Anticipated

Recipient : POMPTON LAKES MUA

Project No. : 340636-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,769,880

FY90 RANK : 298.0

County : FASSAIC Total State Amount : \$1,769,880

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|----------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | mages **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 10 to 00 to 5 to 0 to 0 to 0 to 0 to 0 to | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | f'ossible | Points |
|--|---|----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Foints | Scored |
| 100 t MAT (MAT (MAT (MAT (MAT (MAT (MAT (MAT | 2002 1010 1077 1010 1017 1010 1010 1010 | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

Fopulation .01000

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL.

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.01000

Priority List Rank

Pompton Lakes Municipal U.A. C340636-05 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

298

County

Passaic

Service Area

Borough of Pompton Lakes

Existing Population

10,995

Need for Project

Project is needed to eliminate excess flow caused by Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) into the collection system, and relieve the treatment plant of excessive loading. The I/I analysis is not yet complete.

Project Description

This project will be for the minor rehabilitation of sections of sanitary sewer pipe including grouting of sewer lines, grouting and sealing leaking manholes, and repair or ajustments of defective manholes. This project is in the planning stage.

Anticipated

Recipient : BRIGANTINE, CITY OF

Project No. : 340827-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,652,840

FY90 RANK : 299.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$2,652,840

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| **** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ŋ | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 2E | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | *************************************** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Froject Discharge Type | F'o ints | Scored |
| ************************************** | 1890 4000 COTE COM ADM ASM ASM ASM | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Tr eatmen t | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00988

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.00988

Priority List Rank

299

Brigantine City C340827-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area consists of the City of Brigantine. It is located north of Atlantic City on an island in Reeds Bay.

Existing Population 9,876 (Year Round) 16,000 (Summer)

Need for Project

The City of Brigantine has a wastewater collection system which consists of approximately 187,300 linear feet of pipe. A total of 121,912 linear feet is subject to excessive infiltration. The amount of infiltration that can be cost effectively removed with sewer system rehabilitation is approximately 138,200 gpd.

Project Description

This project entails the I/I correction of the sewer system of the City of Brigantine. This would decrease the load on the Atlantic County regional STP due to excessive infiltration and inflow. The infiltration/inflow problem in this area is most pronounced at times of abnormally high tides and excessive rainfall. At these times there are some sewer backups and overflows at the pumping stations, however, these occurrences are very infrequent.

Anticipated

Recipient : MARGATE CITY

Project No.: 340666-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$727,134

FY90 RANK : 300.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$727,134

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 100 mil 100 mi | **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Diamond |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| 0014 1014 1014 1014 1014 1016 1016 1016 | | - 1000 result mineri | 1000 1000 0000 1000 1000 0000 0000 1000 1000 1000 0000 0000 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | \Q |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 1

Fopulation .00902

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

 \Diamond

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.00902

Priority List Rank

300

Margate City C340666-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area includes the City of Margate. This seashore resort community occupies a portion of Absecon Island between Ventnor on the north and Longport on the south.

Existing Population

9,015 (year round) 18,350 (summer)

Need for Project

The wastewater collection system consists of approximately 150,000 linear feet of terra-cotta and cast iron pipe. The flow is directed to the Margate/Ventnor regional pumping station for transport to the Atlantic County Utilities Authority coastal region wastewater treatment facility. The sewer system of the City of Margate is subject to an average of 709,750 gpd of infiltration.

Project Description

This project entails the rehabilitation of the Margate City sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : BRANCHBURG, TOWNSHIF OF

Project No.: 340852-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,795,364

FY90 RANK : 301.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount : \$2,795,364

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| <u></u> | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | **************** |

SURTOTAL O

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform Nutrients | 9 | 50 25 | 100 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ŏ | 25 | 50 | ŏ |
| | | | | ******** |

SUBTOTAL (

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

| SUBTOTAL | 1 |
|------------|--------|
| Population | .00890 |

| TOTAL | POINTS | 1.00890 |
|-------|--------|---------|

Priority List Rank

Branchburg Township Infiltration/Inflow C340852-01

County

Somerset

Service Area

Branchburg Township

Existing Population

8,899

Need for Project

The joint Bridgewater/Branchburg trunk line is experiencing a 9:1 wet weather peaking factor which places Branchburg under a Sewer Extension ban and causes downstream trunk sewer surcharging and overflow.

Project Description

The proposed project involves the determination of the extent of Branchburg's infiltration/inflow problem and construction to correct the problem.

Anticipated

Recipient : HADDON HEIGHTS, BOROUGH UP

Project No.: 340877-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$800,131

FY90 RANK : 302.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$800,131

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | r'ossible | Foints |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Water Use | H'o i nts | Scored |
| 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | **** **** **** **** . |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | O |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | **** **** ** |

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Far ame ter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|---|---|
| | | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | \circ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | l'ossible | Points |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 14 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T | *************************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | \Q |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

SUBTOTAL 1

SUBTOTAL

Population .00820

TOTAL POINTS 1.00820

Priority List Rank

302

Borough of Haddon Heights C340877-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Camden

Service Area

Borough of Haddon Heights

Existing Population

8,203

Need for Project

The existing collection system in the Borough of Haddon Heights is antiquated and subject to excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I).

Project Description

The existing collection system in the Borough Haddon Heights is antiquated and subject to excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I).

Anticipated

Recipient : BOGOTA, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340914-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$171,462

FY90 RANK : 303.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$171,462

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water lise | Points | Scored |
| 100 MIN 100 100 100 MIN 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \Q |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \circ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|--------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 404 - 146 - 404 - 146 - | 90-00 4810 Ab.10 100-00 4814 1624 Ab.10 | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Tr eatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \circ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00802

TOTAL POINTS 1.00802

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bogota, Borough of C340914-01 I/I Correction

303

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Bogota

Existing Population

8,020

Need for Project

A 1981 report prepared by the Bergen County Utilities Authority identified sources of excessive infiltration and inflow which account for 27% of the total average flow and 78% of peak flow. The sewer system improvements will reduce the infiltration/inflow and increase the efficiency of the treatment plant.

Project Description

The proposed project includes the cleaning, televising, and grouting of approximately 17,000 LF of sanitary sewer pipe, the installation of 10 new manholes, and the rehabilitation of an existing pump station.

Anticipated

Recipient : GLEN RIDGE, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 304.0

County : ESSEX Total State Amount : \$244,252

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Mossible | Points |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| WH (100 1 10 | | **** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | \circ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| ## 191 Per 900 1111 110 200 110 110 110 110 110 110 | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ó | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ċ. | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------|
| | *************************************** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

Fopulation .00787

1

TOTAL POINTS 1.00787

SUBTOTAL

SURTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Glen Ridge, Borough of C340861-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

304

County

Essex

Service Area

Borough of Glen Ridge

Existing Population

7,872

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

An I/I correction program consisting of grouting slip lining, and minor rehabilitation of structurally damaged pipes and manholes will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : SOUTH AMBOY, CITY OF

Project No.: 340326-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$205,194

FY90 RANK : 305.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$205,194

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | ***** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Q |
| Sheltfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | w |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Faramete | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 9 | ::5 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL. 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | \$ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | C |
| | | |

Fopulation .00784

TOTAL POINTS 1.00784

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

City of South Amboy C340326-06 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Middlesex

Service Area

City of South Amboy

Existing Population

7,844

Need for Project

The sewer systems in the City of South Amboy, constructed prior to the use of secondary treatment methods, did not set strict limits on the volume of infiltration and inflow within sanitary sewers since treatment costs were not greatly affected by these extraneous flows. However, in recent years, the construction costs of conveyance facilities, coupled with rising treatment costs associated with secondary treatment methods, have resulted in efforts to eliminate infiltration and inflow in areas where it is proven to be cost-effective.

Project Description

The proposed project is to provide I/I correction for South Amboy's existing sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : PASSAIC TWP

Project No. : 340404-04 Eligible Project Cost : \$757,000

FY90 RANK : 306.0

\$757,000 County : MORRIS Total State Amount

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Montrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginalty | loes Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | \Diamond |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ö | 25 | 50 | O |
| | | | | ********** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

Population +00764

Priority List Rank

Passaic Township

306

C340404-04
Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Morris

Service Area

Passaic Township

Existing Population

7,644

Need for Project

The project will eliminate excessive I/I within the service area and prevent further groundwater contamination.

Project Description

The proposed project will eliminate excessive $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}/\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ in the service area's sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : MONTVALE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340846-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$88,158

FY90 RANK : 307.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount \$88,158

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ***** **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | ····· ···· ···· ···· ···· ··· ··· ··· | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | \$ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL.

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------|
| | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 1

.00753 Population

Priority List Rank

Montvale, Borough of C340846-01 Infiltration/Inflow Corrrection

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Montvale

Existing Population

7,532

Need for Project

The Bergen County Utilities Authority Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis and Sewer System Evaluation Survey identified excessive I/I in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

The excessive I/I will be removed by grouting, slip lining, and other minor rehabilitation methods.

Recipient : BERNARDSVILLE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340816-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$872,086

FY90 RANK : 308.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount \$872,083

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | 1 0 1 11 CB | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |

| nissorved oxyaen | Z. | w/u | TAA | · · |
|------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ö | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ò | 25 | 50 | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|--------------------|
| mile from man been about about about street street miles about street man annu about street s | 1,500 come 3,000 de 20 como 30 co 30 co 30 co 30 co 30 co | ****************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL

•00668 Population

TOTAL POINTS 1.00668

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Bernardsville Borough C340816-03 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Somerset

Service Area

Borough of Bernardsville

Existing Population

6,600

Need for Project

Based on an infiltration/inflow analysis sponsored by the Somerset County Planning Board, the Upper Raritan Watershed Wastewater Facilities Plan recommends a Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) be performed on the Bernardsville sewer system.

Project Description

The SSES will include a physical survey of the Bernardsville sewer system, including manhole inspections and flow inspection (measurement of sewage flows in isolated reaches of pipe), rainfall simulation and smoke testing, building inspection of units suspected of contributing inflow to the sanitary sewers, and television inspection. I/I correction in accordance with the recommendations of the SSES will follow.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : DUNELLEN, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340916-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$3,449,999

FY90 RANK : 309.0

County : MIDDLESEX Total State Amount : \$3,449,999

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |

SUBTOTAL 0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | 100 mm ma com mm noc ma com ma | *************************************** | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | \cap |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible | Points |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Froject Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 111 110 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 0000 4000 t ++++ +++++ +++++++++++++++++ | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \Q |

Fopulation +00640

Priority List Rank

Dunellen, Borough of C340916-01 I/I Correction

County

Middlesex

Service Area

Borough of Dunellen

Existing Population

6,400

Need for Project

The majority of the sewer system in the Borough was installed in 1915 and consists of vitrified clay (terra cotta) pipe and brick manholes. The Borough of Dunellen has been experiencing the back-up of raw sewage into private homes and surcharging of manholes caused by blockages in the sewer system. A 1983 report prepared by the Middlesex County Utilities Authority concluded that the sewer system was subject to excessive I/I. Additional studies are needed to eliminate this excessive I/I.

Project Description

The proposed project involves the rehabilitation of the sewer system to remove excessive I/I. Work to be performed includes sewer line replacement, sliplining, inversion lining, grouting, sealing, and the repair of defective manholes.

Anticipated

Recipient: N ARLINGTON - LYNUHURST JT MTG (NARL)

Project No.: 340426-06 Eligible Project Cost : \$184,700

FY90 RANK : 310.0

County : BERGEN Total State Amount : \$184,700

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| No. 400 (401 (401 (401 (401 (401 (401 (401 | *************************************** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shetlfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | ************* |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | 0 |
| Nutriente | ň | , | 50 | Ž. |

SUBTOTAL (

25

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Toxics

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 1

50

Fopulation +00559

Priority List Rank

North Arlington/Lyndhurst JM (North Arlington) 310 C340426-06 Sewer System Rehab

County

Bergen

Service Area

Portions of the Borough of North Arlington

Existing Population

5,594

Need for Project

The Joint Meeting has conducted an Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis and concluded that excessive I/I exists in the sewer system. A sewer system evaluation survey has evaluated flow conditions and identified the sewer segments which are cost-effective to rehabilitate. Further sewer system evaluation work will propose an I/I correction program to reduce the excessive I/I flows.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of an I/I correction program to remove a portion of the excessive I/I flows. This may include grouting of sewer joints, sealing manholes, repairs or replacement of defective manhole covers, and other minor rehabilitation measures.

Anticipated

Recipient: POINT PLEASANT BEACH, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340479-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,370,880

FY90 RANK : 311.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$1,370,880

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| NO 100 A), 107 AO | *************************************** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \$ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| Far ameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** | *************************************** | | DEST 2002 1700 0700 0005 117 |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ******** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| First in a total Triangle Triangle | Possible | Foints |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Froject Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | PROP 4944 |

SUBTOTAL 1

.00552

TOTAL POINTS 1.00552

Population

Priority List Rank

Borough of Point Pleasant Beach C340479-02 Infiltration/Inflow Corrrection

311

County

Ocean

Service Area

Borough of Point Pleasant Beach

Existing Population

5,529 (Year Round) 15,000 (Summer)

Need for Project

The Borough's sewer system is experiencing high infiltration and inflow attributable to the high ground water table and deteriorated pipes.

Project Description

The Borough owns and operates 17 miles of gravity sewers with diameters ranging from 6" to 24". The sewage is conveyed to the OCUA's Northern STP for treatment and disposal. 50% of the Borough's sewers are over 40 years old and 90% over 25 years old. A major portion of the sewer system requires I/I correction.

Anticipated

Recipient: SPRING LAKES HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340840-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$141,520

FY90 RANK : 312.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$141,520

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| *** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** * | MM 131 | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *************************************** |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 0

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| *** | **** ** ** *** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | **** |

Population +00537

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Spring Lakes Heights Borough C340840-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Borough of Spring Lake Heights

Existing Population

5,369

Need for Project

Excessive infiltration/inflow has been discovered in the Borough's sewer system and is causing unnecessarily high processing charges for sewage treatment. Further studies will be performed to further define the sources of the excessive I/I.

Project Description

The scope of future work is unknown at the present time but may entail the repair of leaking joints and catch basins.

Anticipated

Recipient : MANASQUAN, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340911-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,563,990

FY90 RANK : 313.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$2,563,990

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|---|---|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AN AN I DE 100 AN I DE 100 AN I DE 100 AN I DE 100 AN | 4007 0000 1000 1000 0000 0000 1000 1000 | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | C |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | O |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 50 | 100 100 | ¢ |
| Nutrients | Ć. | 25 | 50 | ¢ |

| *** | | |
|-----|--------|--|
| ^ | COSTAL | |

25

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

Toxics

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | O |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | i | C |

| SUBTUTAL | 1 |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

50

Population .00534

TOTAL PUINIS 1.00534

Priority List Rank

Borough of Manasquan C340911-01 I/I Correction

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Borough of Manasquan

Existing Population

Winter: 5,341 Summer: 15,000

Need for Project

The existing sanitary sewer system consists of 80-year old terracotta pipes, many of which are 6-inches in diameter. Many of the pipes are cracked, have broken joints, and deteriorated manholes. The borough is located in a coastal area of high groundwater.

Project Description

Ten percent of the borough's sanitary sewer collection system will require infiltration/inflow correction. The work represents a total length of 7,900 linear feet of sanitary sewer replacement.

Anticipated

Recipient : HIGHLANDS, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340901-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$776,356

FY90 RANK : 314.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$776,356

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | ***** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | \circ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | O |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | O |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | \$ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \circ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-----------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | | *************************************** | | **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | FR00 0000 011 |

SUBTOTAL

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type Poss | ble ints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | ** **** **** | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | \Q |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 1.00 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

SUBTUTAL

Fopulation +00519

Priority List Rank

Highlands Borough C340901-01

314

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Highlands Borough

Existing Population

5,190

Need for Project

Sewer rehabilitation is necessary to correct excessive I/I. The current flow is equal to the amount designated for the year 2000. The completion of the work in the I/I report will reduce the infiltration and the additional charges for pumping and treatment.

Project Description

I/I correction utilizing standard sewer rehabilitation methods. A minor portion of the work in the I/I report is complete, and thus is ineligible for federal participation.

Anticipated

Recipient : WILDWOOD CITY

Project No.: 340664-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$484,458

FY90 RANK : 315.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$484,458

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|---|----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| ### ALC 1447 NWW (AW 1407 1407 NWW NWW NWW NWW NWW NWW NWW NWW NWW NW | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | C |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 0

B. Existing Water Quality

...

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|-----------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | 1041 | | PROD 1990 PROD TOTAL 1880 PROD 0000 0000 0000 0000 1000 1000 1000 | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | ****** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | ··· |

Population .00504

TOTAL POINTS 1.00504

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Wildwood City C340664-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Cape May

Service Area

Wildwood City

Existing Population

5,046 74,754 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

The Wildwood City sewer collection system is subject to excessive amounts of infiltration/inflow.

Project Description

Rehabilitation tasks proposed to alleviate the excessive I/I include: cleaning and inspection, repair or replacement of damaged or deteriorated sewer pipes, manholes and house connections.

Anticipated

Recipient: WILDWOOD CREST BOROUGH

Project No.: 340719-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$217,578

FY90 RANK : 316.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$217,578

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Points |
|--|-----------|--------|
| Water Use | F'o i nts | Scored |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |

SUBTOTAL 0

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Noes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------|
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** | ···· |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

CSO Abatement

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|------------------|
| erry as or their steps steps about steps about steps about steps step step | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | \$ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| T/T Correction | 1 | 4 |

SUBTOTAL 1

O

Population .00422

Priority List Rank

Wildwood Crest Borough C340719-01 Infiltration/Inflow Corrections

County

Cape May

Service Area

Wildwood Crest Borough

Existing Population

4,228 44,562 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

The Wildwood Crest sewer collection system is subject to excessive amounts of infiltration/inflow. The system was constructed prior to 1926 and consists of clay and asbestos-cement sewer lines. Sand intrusion due to joint separation restricts flow between 25 and 40 percent of the pipe diameter. Joint separation problems are evidenced in the street surface, which has cracked and subsided where joints have moved.

Project Description

Tasks included in the proposed rehabilitation which will alleviate the excessive I/I include: cleaning and inspection, repair or replacement of damaged or deteriorated sewer pipes, manholes and house laterals.

Anticipated

Recipient: N ARLINGTON-LYNDHURST JT MTG (LYND)

Froject No.: 340426-05 Eligible Project Cost \$245,800

FY90 RANK : 317.0 County : BERGEN Total State Amount 7 \$245,800

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | May **** *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** | | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | C |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

f'opulation .00419

1

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

North Arlington/Lyndhurst JM (Lyndhurst) C340426-05 Sewer System Rehab

317

County

Bergen

Service Area

Borough of Lyndhurst

Existing Population

4,185

Need for Project

The Joint Meeting has conducted an Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis and concluded that excessive I/I exists in the sewer system. A sewer system evaluation survey has evaluated flow conditions and identified the sewer segments which are cost-effective to rehabilitate. Further sewer system evaluation work will propose an I/I correction program to reduce the excessive I/I flows.

Project Description

This proposed project will consist of an I/I correction program to remove a portion of the excessive I/I flows. This may include grouting of sewer joints, sealing manholes, repairs or replacement of defective manhole covers, and other minor rehabilitation measures.

Anticipated

Recipient : DELAWARE TOWNSHIP MUA

Project No.: 340917-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$387,052

FY90 RANK : 318.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$387,052

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter - | Meets Standards | Marginatly Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|--|---|------------------|
| 1747 CTTL 1864 CTCT MIGG COOK SOUR AGES STORE AGES COOK A500 COOK A500 COOK A500 COOK A500 COOK | | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--|--|------------------|
| made label word water and according to the topic laber speed part when the court part when the total court part speed the court part and the court | a uppy stated delabel appears you are seenan appears | |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

•00398

Population

Priority List Rank

Delaware Township MUA C340917-02 I/I Correction

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Sergeantsville Light District

Existing Population

3,981

Need for Project

The Sergeantsville Light district is experiencing excessive infiltration. The collection system must be inspected to identify and correct the cause(s) of infiltration.

Project Description

The proposed project will include smoke testing and video camera inspection of approximately 10,000 linear feet of sewer line. In addition, cracks will be grouted and any collapsed or obstructed lines repaired.

Anticipated

Recipient : HIGH BRIDGE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340842-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$303,927

FY90 RANK : 319.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$303,927

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Points |
|------------------|-----------|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | *************************************** | | **** **** **** **** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | ٥ | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | fossible | Points |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| | ****************************** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | ¢ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |

Population .00377

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

0

1

Priority List Rank

High Bridge Borough C340842-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Borough of High Bridge

Existing Population

3,772

Need for Project

The Borough of High Bridge suspects excessive Infiltration/Inflow quantities to be present in their municipal sewerage system.

Project Description

Pending study by the Borough, the excessive I/I is proposed to be removed by grouting, manhole replacement and other minor rehabilitation methods.

Anticipated

Recipient : STANHOPE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340504-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$350,852

FY90 RANK : 320.0

County : SUSSEX Total State Amount : \$350,852

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

....

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *************************************** | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | MAIN SAIRS 0000 0000 0000 0000 Mile INC. | ****************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | ٥ | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | ٥ | 25 | 50 | C |
| Toxics | Ō | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Hossible | Foints |
|---|---|--------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1000 1 1 77 1 1 1 M 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | *************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | \cap |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL

0

Fopulation .00370

TOTAL POINTS 1.00370

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Stanhope Borough C340504-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Sussex

Service Area

Stanhope Borough

Existing Population

3,704

Need for Project

A study was performed on the existing sanitary sewer system and it was determined that the possibility of excess infiltration/inflow does exist.

Project Description

A continuation of this study has been submitted to NJDEP and will be evaluated shortly. If the study confirms that infiltration/inflow does exist, then I/I correction of the system will be implemented.

Anticipated

Recipient : SEA ISLE CITY

Project No.: 340659-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$568,886

FY90 RANK : 321.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$568,886

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|----------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | \(\) |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | O |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | m11.457 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Froject Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | \Q |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | C |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ¢ |

Fopulation .00295

TOTAL POINTS 1.00295

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

 \Diamond

Priority List Rank

Sea Isle City C340659-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction - Overflow

County

Cape May

Service Area

Sea Isle City

Existing Population

2,955 21,184 (summer peak)

Need for Project

The Sea Isle City collection system is subject to excessive amounts of infiltration/inflow (I/I) as documented in a sewer system evaluation survey.

Project Description

The proposed rehabilitation tasks include: cleaning and inspection, repair and/or replacement of damaged or deteriorated sewer pipes, manholes and house laterals.

Anticipated :

Recipient: WOODLYNNE, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340849-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$2,124,976

FY90 RANK : 322.0

County : CAMDEN Total State Amount : \$2,124,976

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|---|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 49 104 104 104 104 105 106 106 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | O |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | oranuar u s | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | Ó | <u> </u> | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

+00258

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.00258

Population

Priority List Rank

Borough of Woodlynne C340849-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Camden

Service Area

Borough of Woodlynne

Existing Population

2,578

Need for Project

The existing collection system is deteriorated with cracked pipes and open joints. Consequently, the Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) to the system is excessive.

Project Description

The project consists of I/I correction of the sewer system to remove the excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : AVALON, BOROUGH OF

FY90 RANK : 323.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$1,048,266

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Points |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ٥ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Fublic Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTOTAL | C |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ö | 2E. | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | O | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | **** |

Population .00238

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL POINTS 1.00238

Priority List Rank

Borough of Avalon C340864-01 I/I Correction

County

Cape May

Service Area

Avalon Borough

Existing Population

2,382 35,000 (Summer)

Need for Project

Suspected infiltration/inflow sources during the winter and summer periods are creating an excessive strain on the existing wastewater treatment facilities and has greatly attributed to the Borough's non-compliance with its wastewater discharge permit during certain periods. During the winter period the average wastewater flow metered at the plant is approximately 1.0 to 1.4 MGD. With the winter population being 2,382 the per capita flow is greatly in excess of the 120 GPCD considered potentially excessive by EPA guidelines.

Project Description

The Borough proposes to undertake an I/I correction program which would include further investigation and verification of the existing I/I study, followed by rehabilitation. Rehabilitation methods include pipeline replacement, internal grouting, lining, manhole repair, manhole cover replacement, vent and cleanout repair and replacements, and rehabilitation of two of the Borough's pump stations.

Anticipated

Recipient : PEAPACK & GLADSTONE BOROUGH

Project No.: 340822-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$103,646

FY90 RANK : 324.0

County : SOMERSET Total State Amount \$103,646

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \(\) |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |
| | SUBTOTAL. | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ¢ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | \Q |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | \Diamond |

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE ------

| Froject Discharge Type | fossible Foints | Foints Scored |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 111 - 1111 1000 1000 1001 1001 1000 | | ···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | O |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | O |
| | | ··· |

SUBTOTAL

.00212

TOTAL POINTS 1.00212

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Borough of Peapack-Gladstone C340822-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction 324

County

Somerset

Service Area

Entire Borough of Peapack-Gladstone

Existing Population

2,128

Need for Project

The existing sewer system has excessive I/I. Rehabilitation is necessary to remove excessive I/I, and to extend the useful life of the sewer system.

Project Description

The Borough has completed a TV & grouting program to reduce infiltration. The scope of the SSES will be reviewed after these measures are evaluated. The survey should consider inflow problems identified in subsystems 3, 4 and 5, which are defined in the original analysis (201 facilities plan).

Anticipated

Recipient : CLINTON TWP SEWERAGE AUTHORITY

FY90 RANK : 325.0

County : HUNTERDON Total State Amount : \$147,182

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | r'ossible | Points |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| AND THE RES BELL THE RES AND THE RES | *************************************** | of 80 1 page 48-64 vites mass . |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | **** |
| | SUBTUTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** *** *** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 9 | 25 | 50 | \circ |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Fossible. | Points |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | ARMS with as no views as no new super super super | **** **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ٥ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

.00188

TOTAL POINTS 1.00188

SUBTOTAL

Population

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Clinton Township Sewerage Authority C340873-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

325

County

Hunterdon

Service Area

Clinton Town

Existing Population

1,882

Need for Project

Excessive infiltration associated with the Clinton Township Sewerage Authority system results in periodic sewage overflows that impact tributaries of the South Branch Raritan River in the Clinton area (Classification FW2-TM).

Project Description

Rehabilitation of existing conveyance and pumping facilities is proposed to correct the periodic sewage overflow problem.

NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY

Anticipated

Recipient : DEAL, BOROUGH OF

Project No. : 340412-08 Eligible Project Cost : \$1,085,170

FY90 RANK : 326.0

County : MONMOUTH Total State Amount : \$1,085,170

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | fossible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| | | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | C |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| T3 + | ELA I | 25 () | 11.7 | Water | cruci Lity | |
|------|-------|--------|------|-------|------------|--|
| | | | | | | |

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Farameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ō | 50 | 100 | O |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1814 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 1115 | ***** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** ** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |
| | | |

Population .00185

TOTAL POINTS 1.00185

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Borough of Deal C340412-08 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Monmouth

Service Area

Borough of Deal

Existing Population

1851

Need for Project

The Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) analysis of the collection system indicates that the city sewerage system has excessive I/I which can be removed cost-effectively.

Project Description

The proposed project will be for an Infiltration/Inflow correction program to eliminate excessive I/I from the sewerage system.

Anticipated :

Recipient : EAST NEWARK, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340848-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$203,137

FY90 RANK : 327.0

County : HUDSON Total State Amount \$203,137

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Fossible | Foints |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| HI - 100 - 1 | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | *************************************** |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | \Diamond |
| Shellfish | 1.25 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | O |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| *************************************** | ********************* | *************************************** | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | mm men eres eres eres |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ó | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| Toxics | 0 | 25 | 50 | \(\) |
| | | | | ******** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|---|--|------------------|
| MIT AND THE COT, COT, COT, COT, COT, COT, COT, COT, | ************************************** | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL 1

Fopulation .00183

TOTAL FOINTS 1.00183

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

East Newark, Borough of C340848-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Hudson

Service Area

Borough of East Newark

Existing Population

1,832

Need for Project

A sewer system evaluation survey recently performed by Elson T. Killam Associates for the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' service area found excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) in this municipality's sewer system.

Project Description

An I/I correction program, consisting of grouting slip lining, and minor rehabilitation of structurally damaged pipes and manholes will be undertaken to remove the identified excessive I/I.

Anticipated

Recipient : ISLAND HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF

Project No.: 340907-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$376,057

FY90 RANK : 328.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$376,057

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|---|--|-----------|
| Water Use | Foints | Scored |
| 141 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 | tota sees eres sees sees sees sees sees area | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ¢ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | \Q |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | ٥ |
| | | ***** |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Production Committee to the Committee of | | CT A | 400 | |

 Dissolved Oxygen
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Fecal Coliform
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Nutrients
 0
 25
 50
 0

 Toxics
 0
 25
 50
 0

SUBTOTAL 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| AT 1 THE COT THE AT AT AT 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 THE COT | | |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation .00161

SUBTOTAL

0

TOTAL POINTS 1.00161

Priority List Rank

Borough of Island Heights C340907-01

328

County

Ocean

Service Area

Township of Dover (portion)

Existing Population

1,608

Need for Project

The Borough is currently faced with numerous problems associated with its aging sewer system. Most of the collection system is comprised of asbestos cement and vitrified clay pipe. During the past several years, the sewer pipes have been clogged with roots and have collapsed in certain areas. Sewer pipe rehabilitation/replacement will serve to minimize ground water degradation due to system leaks and also minimize potential health and safety hazards caused by system collapse.

Project Description

There are approximately 47,000 L.F. of existing sewer of which 2,350 L.F. needs correction for leakage and 4,700 L.F. for major rehabilitation.

Anticipated

Recipient : BAY HEAD BOROUGH

Project No.: 340590-02 Eligible Project Cost : \$148,912

FY90 RANK : 329.0

County : OCEAN Total State Amount : \$148,912

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | F'oint s |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| 42 H. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Fotable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | \$ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | ¢ |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginalty | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------|----------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standard= | Standards | Scored |
| **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | 9 | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | Q | 25 | 50 | O |
| Taxics | O | ·25 | 50 | ۵ |

| | | SUBTOTAL | ٥ |
|-----------------------------------|------|----------|---|
| W. T. M. M. I. A. M. M. M. M. | (per | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| construct for a to the construction of the con | ***** ***** ***** ** ** **** **** **** | **** **** **** **** **** |
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overfiow | 250 | ¢ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | C |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | C |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

| SOBL | UT | AL | 1. |
|------|----|----|----|
| | | | |

.00134

TOTAL POINTS 1.00134

Fopulation

Priority List Rank

Bay Head Borough C340590-02 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Ocean

Service Area

Bay Head Borough

Existing Population

1,343

Need for Project

The Borough of Bay Head has an old sewerage collection system consisting of clay pipes and lead joints. Cracked pipes and weak joings, lead to excessive infiltration/inflow to the existing sanitary sewers. During wet weather, the infiltration/inflow to the system peaks at 0.8 mgd. It is cost effective to perform I/I correction as compared to transporting and treating these extraneous flows at the Ocean County Utilities Authority Wastewater Treatment Facility.

Project Description

At present the project is in Phase II of SSES. This consists of preparatory cleaning and internal TV inspection of 15,281 linear feet and smoke testing of 29,005 linear feet of sewer line. Dye water flooding and dye tracing will be done at the conclusion of smoke testing. In addition, a pilot grouting program of the worst 10 lines will also be undertaken during this phase and the results so obtained will be used in the subsequent work in Phase 3, which will consist of the I/I correction of the remaining lines with excessive infiltration/inflow, grouting of 17 leaking manholes and utilizing manhole inserts to eliminate inflow in 47 manholes. The I/I correction program will remove extraneous flow from this sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : STONE HARBOR BOROUGH

Project No.: 340722-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$217,193

FY90 RANK : 330.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$217,193

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| | Possible | Foints |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Water Use | Points | Scored |
| NAME AND ADDRESS A | | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | \cap |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nentrout) | 75/25 | 0 |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industriai Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | \$ |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|---|---|-----------------|---|-------------|
| Farameter | Standard≘ | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| *** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | *************************************** | | 1500 3240 6000 0000 0000 0000 0001 0014 | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Fecal Coliform | Ó | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | \$ |
| Toxics | Ó | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | *** *** *** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | O |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | ٥ |
| Sludge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |

SUBTOTAL

+00128 Population

TOTAL POINTS 1.00128

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Stone Harbor Borough C340722-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Cape May

Service Area

Stone Harbor Borough

Existing Population

1,281 20,278 (summer peak)

Need for Project

The Stone Harbor sewer system is subject to excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) as documented in a sewer system evaluation survey.

Project Description

Tasks included in the proposed minor rehabilitation include the sealing, repair and/or replacement of damaged or deteriorated sewer pipes and manholes for the purpose of reducing the excessive I/I being contributed to the existing plant.

Anticipated

Recipient : EGG HARBOR TWF. MUA

Project No.: 340753-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$97,387

FY90 RANK : 331.0

County : ATLANTIC Tutal State Amount : \$97,387

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

This year transparate that they are the test to the test that the best to the test the test that the test the

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| WE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH | | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | O |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Sheltfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Primary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Farameter | Meets Standards | Marginatly Meets Standards | floes Not Meet Standards | Points Scored |
|------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | WWW 1004 1100 1114 1004 1001 1100 0001 0000 0000 0000 1100 4000 0000 | | *** *** *** *** *** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ò | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | O | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Tovice | ۸ | + (5 10) | EΛ | ^ |

| | | | SUE | BTOTAL | 0 |
|----|------------|---------|-----|--------|---|
| TT | TOTOCUADOD | Tryese: | | | |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Frimary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | ¢ |
| New Systems | 50 | ٥ |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | ٥ |

| SUBTO | I AL. | 1. |
|-------|-------|----|
| | | |

Fopulation .00125

TOTAL POINTS 1.00125

Priority List Rank

Egg Harbor Township MUA C340753-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

331

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area includes part of the Township of Egg Harbor. This area is located in the eastern portion of Atlantic County on the mainland. Only 5 to 10 percent of the township is serviced by a collection system. This area lies in the far eastern section adjacent to the Borough of Northfield.

Existing Population

1,250 (year round) 1,500 (summer)

Need for Project

The system serving the sewered portion of Egg Harbor Township consists of approximately 25,000 linear feet of terra cotta pipe. There is an infiltration problem that allows an average total of 175,400 gpd of infiltration. This problem affects a total of 12,912 linear feet of the system.

Project Description

This project entails the I/I correction of the sewer system in the far eastern portion of Egg Harbor Township directly adjacent to Northfield Borough.

Anticipated

Recipient : LONGFORT BOROUGH

Project No.: 340665-01 Eligible Project Cost : \$202,500

FY90 RANK : 332.0

County : ATLANTIC Total State Amount : \$202,500

I. SEGMENT FOINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|--|------------------|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | 0 |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | ********** |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| ### **** **** **** **** **** **** **** | | 1 1 7 1 20 1 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | O | 50 | 100 | 0 |

 Dissolved Oxygen
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Fecal Coliform
 0
 50
 100
 0

 Nutrients
 0
 25
 50
 0

 Toxics
 0
 25
 50
 0

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| Project Discharge Type | Fossible Foints | Points Scored |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | ٥ |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | O |
| Advanced Treatment | 1 | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | ¢ |
| | | *************************************** |

Fopulation .00124

TOTAL POINTS 1.00124

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

332

Longport Borough C340665-01 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Atlantic

Service Area

The service area includes the area that lies within the Borough of Longport. This coastal resort community occupies the southern portion of Absecon Island in Atlantic County.

Existing Population

1,243 (year round) 6,000 (summer)

Need for Project

It was found through the SSES investigation that the sewer system of Longport is subject to excessive infiltration.

Project Description

The project entails the $\ensuremath{\text{I/I}}$ correction of the Longport sanitary sewer system.

Anticipated

Recipient : MIDDLE TWP (CAPE MAY COURT HOUSE)

Project No.: 340691-05 Eligible Project Cost : \$559,427

FY90 RANK : 333.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$559,427

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Foints Scored |
|--|--------------------|---|
| WH (M) | | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | 0 |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | ¢ |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | 0 |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | O |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | ¢ |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Marginally | Does Not Meet | Foints |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
| Parameter | Standards | Meets Standards | Standards | Scored |
| | *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Ö | 5 <u>0</u> | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | O | 50 | 100 | ٥ |
| Nutrients | Ö | 25 | 50 | ¢ |
| Toxics | r) | 25 | 50 | 0 |
| | | | | **** |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|----------|----------------------|
| Project Di scharge Type | Points | Scored |
| NIT 1111 1100 1000 1001 100 1000 1000 100 | | **** *** *** *** *** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | 0 |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposat/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | O |
| I/I Correction | 1 | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1. | \Q |
| | | |

Population .00053

TOTAL POINTS 1.00053

SUBTOTAL

SUBTOTAL

Priority List Rank

Middle Township (Cape May Court House) C340691-05 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

333

County

Cape May

Service Area

Cape May Court House Section of Middle Township

Existing Population

535

2,087 (summer peak)

Need for Project

Cape May Court House is served by a terra-cotta sanitary sewer collection system which was constructed in 1938 and is badly in need of repair.

Project Description

Tasks included in the proposed minor rehabilitation are as follows: sealing of manhole covers and the cover-frames; repair or replacement of damaged or misaligned covers and/or frames; chemically sealing the interior and/or exterior of the manhole walls; replacement of manholes; sealing of vents and cleanouts; chemical sealing; repair and/or replacement of sewer pipe and severing and repiping cross-connected storm drains.

Anticipated

Recipient: WEST WILDWOOD BOROUGH

Project No.: 340626-03 Eligible Project Cost : \$479,261

FY90 RANK : 334.0

County : CAPE MAY Total State Amount : \$479,261

I. SEGMENT POINTS

A. Existing Water Conditions

| Water Use | Possible Points | Points Scored |
|--|---|---|
| *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ** | mys +m1 + m41 + 101 + 10 | *************************************** |
| Potable Water Supply | 200 | ٥ |
| Freshwater Fisheries (Trout/Nontrout) | 75/25 | ٥ |
| Shellfish | 125 | C |
| Recreation (Frimary Contact) | 125 | ٥ |
| Agricultural Water Use | 25 | 0 |
| Industrial Water Use | 25 | ٥ |
| Public Nuisance (On Site Systems Only) | 50 | 0 |
| | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

B. Existing Water Quality

| | Meets | Manginally | Does Not | ſ |
|------|-------|------------|----------|---|
| P' (| 25.1 | | A** . | |

| Parameter | Meets Standards | Marginally Meets Standards | Does Not Meet Standards | Foints Scored |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | **** **** **** **** **** **** | | | *************************************** |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Q | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Fecal Coliform | Ö | 50 | 100 | 0 |
| Nutrients | 0 | 25 | 50 | ٥ |
| Toxics | Ç | 200 | 50 | ٥ |
| | | | | ****** |
| | | | SUBTOTAL | 0 |

II. DISCHARGE TYPE

| | Possible | Points |
|---|---|---|
| Project Discharge Type | Points | Scored |
| 1 to 7 form 1 to 1 to 1 days deed good peop man 1 to wild from your man 1 yeld been need deed your digit days good dead you good dead you good to 1 yeld been been been been been been been bee | *************************************** | *************************************** |
| Primary Discharge | 500 | ٥ |
| I/I Correction-Overflow | 250 | 0 |
| Inadequate Secondary Treatment | 200 | 0 |
| Studge Disposal/Treatment | 100 | 0 |
| New Systems | 50 | 0 |
| Advanced Treatment | 1. | 0 |
| I/I Correction | 1. | 1 |
| CSO Abatement | 1 | 0 |
| | | |

SUBTOTAL :

Fopulation .00037

TOTAL POINTS 1.00037

Priority List Rank

West Wildwood Borough C340626-03 Infiltration/Inflow Correction

County

Cape May

Service Area

West Wildwood Borough

Existing Population

379 5,178 (Summer Peak)

Need for Project

The West Wildwood sewer collection system is subjected to excessive amounts of infiltration/inflow. Extensive use of six inch diameter pipes, very flat slopes, high groundwater, grease and detergent buildups as well as sand infiltration all contribute to the excessive T/T

Project Description

The rehabilitation measures proposed in alleviating the excessive I/I and unclogging the system include: cleaning, internal inspection, repair and/or replacement of damaged or deteriorated sewer pipe, manholes and house laterals. This project should alleviate the existing I/I problems in the area.

| • |
|--|
| |
| |
| - |
| - |
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| The state of the s |
| - |
| |
| tame : |
| - |
| Your |
| |
| • |
| |
| (\$10 . |
| |
| es cons |
| teen |
| Name of the latest and the latest an |
| |
| ***** |
| |
| |
| # educal |
| • |
| WHICH I |
| Mark' |
| agá |
| |
| *** |
| X |
| |
| 100 |
| |
| _ |
| Maria de la companya della companya |

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

.

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY FOR MARCH 21 AND 23, 1989
PUBLIC HEARINGS ADDRESSING THE PROPOSED FEDERAL
FY90 PRIORITY SYSTEM, INTENDED USE PLAN AND PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Public Participation Activity Purpose

On March 21 at 10:30 a.m., and March 23 at 10:00 a.m. the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (Department) held two (2) public hearings, at the Wayne Township Muncipal Building and Lebanon State Forest, respectively. The purpose of the hearings was to solicit comments on the Department's Proposed Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List for the use of \$97 million in federal monies authorized under the Water Quality Act of 1987 to the State in Federal Fiscal Year 1990 (FFY90).

Background

The Project Priority List is a schedule of projects in the State, in priority order, which are eligible to receive financial assistance (i.e., State loans) for the construction of municipal wastewater treatment facilities utilizing State Revolving Fund (SRF) monies (which includes the federal SRF capitalization grant and the required 20 percent State match) and State-only or non-SRF monies (to the extent sufficient non-SRF funds are available). The priority of any particular project is established by the methodologies and criteria contained in the Priority System. The Priority System also establishes general State policies which will govern the award of federal grants in FFY90 and State loans in State Fiscal Year 1991 (SFY91). Only those projects whose sponsor indicated their intent to comply with the project document submittal deadlines identified in the Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List are eligible to receive State loans in SFY91. State loans will be made to the highest ranked projects subject to their ability to comply with the project document submittal requirements and deadlines and the availability of funds in SFY91. Grant and loan increases will also be awarded in FFY90 and SFY91, respectively, based on the low bid building costs for those projects which previously received federal grants or State loans.

The Intended Use Plan includes information on the timing, use, and distribution of federal funds anticipated to be made available to New Jersey (through a SRF capitalization grant) for use in the State's Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. New Jersey intends to use up to 100 percent (the maximum amount permitted under the Water Quality Act of 1987) of the FFY90 allotment for State Revolving Fund purposes in SFY91. The remaining portion of the FFY90 allotment shall be placed in various reserve funds established by the State under previous priority systems (such as the Reserve Funds for Grant Increases, Innovative/Alternative Technology, etc.).

Public Participation Process

The Clean Water Act and its amendments require the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the states to provide for and encourage public participation in the Construction Grants Program and the federally-supported State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program (i.e., in New Jersey, a component of the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program). In accordance with the federal regulations, the requirement for public participation also applies to the development and/or major revision(s) of the State's Priority System, Intended Use Plan, and Project Priority List. In keeping with the public participation requirements, and in recognition of the significance of the Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Priority List, the Department desired extensive involvement with the public.

Public notice of the March 21 and 23, 1989 hearings consisted of a two part mailing to municipalities, consulting engineers, environmental commissions, special interest groups, State legislators, and other interested parties. The first mailing was a February 3, 1989 notice scheduling the hearings and identifying the close of the public comment period (April 14, 1989).

The second notice, on February 17, 1989, included the Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan, and the Project Priority List. An additional document, consisting of the above information as well as specific project ranking worksheets and the project descriptions, was delivered to various libraries in each county in the State and was available to the public for review. The February 17, 1989 notice included a listing of those libraries which received the project narrative/ranking worksheet document.

The February 3, 1989 public notice satisfies the 45 day notice period for public hearings and the February 17, 1989 notification satisfies the 30 day requirement for availability of relevant documents for the public's review in accordance with applicable federal regulations.

The sessions of the public hearing were chaired by Nicholas G. Binder, P.E., Assistant Director, Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element, who presented information relevant to the Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and List. The remaining time was allocated for public comment and questions. The participants attending or submitting comments were generally representative of those segments of the population to be affected by the Department's action in implementing the Proposed Priority System, Intended Use Plan and List. Transcripts of the hearings are available to any interested person or organization on a request basis from the transcription services. In addition, copies of the transcripts may be reviewed at the Division of Water Resources in Trenton upon request.

Proposed FFY90 Priority System, Intended Use Plan and Project Priority List

The Priority System continues to place primary emphasis on the water quality benefits, as reflected in the ranking point assignments for given categories, that would be achieved through construction of the listed projects. As in the past, eligible project costs continue to be limited based on the low, responsive and responsible contractor's bid building cost. Federal grant increases will be awarded in FFY90 at the 55 percent funding level (and appropriate I/A bonus) for eligible project costs based on the low bid building cost.

The Priority System/Intended Use Plan/Project Priority List package not only addresses the federal grant program, but also includes information on financing through the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program. The Wastewater Treatment Financing Program is comprised of the Department-administered Wastewater Treatment Fund (the Fund), a revolving loan fund initially capitalized with \$150 million in State general obligation bonds, and the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust (the Trust), an innovative financing vehicle initially capitalized by \$40 million in State general obligation bonds, with the ability of "leveraging" these funds to increase the amount of available monies for project funding. The New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Act and the Wastewater Treatment Bond Act of 1985 together provide the legislative framework for this program. In September 1987 and December 1988, projects totalling over \$430 million in loans were certified by the Department and the Trust. The Intended Use Plan details New Jersey's intent to enter into SRF capitalization grant agreements with USEPA to provide additional capital to the Fund and continue the progress made in SFY88 and SFY89 in addressing the State's water quality deficiencies.

The financial policies of the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program have been finalized, concluding, for the best interest of New Jersey, that the Trust and the Fund shall act together, with each entity providing a portion of a local government unit's total loan for a project. The blending of interest rates for the combined loans for the Trust and Fund means that the resultant interest rates will be set at approximately 50 percent of market value. These loans will be made for generally 20 years and for up to 100 percent of the allowable project costs (eligible project categories under the Wastewater Treatment Financing Program will additionally include categories not presently considered eligible under the Federal Construction Grants Program, including collection system, combined sewer overflow and sewer system rehabilitation projects).

In an effort to help eliminate the degradation of coastal waters, a recent amendment to Title VI of the Clean Water Act effects New Jersey's allotments for Federal Fiscal Year 1990 and This amendment requires the State of New Jersey to reserve 10 percent of its federal grant payment and 10 percent of its State contribution to the State Revolving Fund for the purpose of identifying, developing and implementing alternatives to ocean dumping of sewage sludge. While these funds will be reserved for these purposes, their distribution will be contingent on the receipt of sufficient applications from project sponsors including their commitment to meeting project document submittal deadlines. In the event that the State receives insufficient applications within 6 months of the receipt of its Title VI grant payment, the balance of the funds reserved will be released and will be made available for any SRF purposes.

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC ISSUES AND RESPONSES

* One commenter asked whether a project can remain eligible for funding through the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program if a portion of the project is financed by a developer.

A major source of funding for the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program is generated through the issuance of State general obligation bonds and/or Trust revenue bonds. As a result of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and other related legislation, significant private activity in a project may affect the issuance of bonds for such projects and thus jeopardize its eligibility under the Financing Program. In order for the State and the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust to evaluate potential tax implications, we ask that project sponsors consult with their bond counsel and financial advisors as soon as practicable and to propose a financing scheme which would retain the tax-exempt status of the State and Trust bonds.

* One commenter asked how the Department determines whether a project's loan is funded from federal State Revolving Fund (SRF) moneys or State moneys, and how this determination affects procurement under local contract laws.

The determination as to whether a project is funded with SRF (federally subsidized) funds or non-SRF (State-only) funds depends on several factors. In State Fiscal Year 1989 (SFY89), State moneys authorized by the 1985 Wastewater Treatment Bond Act and federal moneys received through an SRF capitalization grant from the USEPA were used to finance loans for wastewater treatment projects. The decision to fund a project with State-only or SRF funds largely depended on two factors: (1) whether the project category was a federally eligible project category (i.e., secondary treatment, advanced treatment, minor sewer system rehabilitation, etc.) and (2) whether the project received pre-award approval and incurred building costs prior to loan execution (which under the SRF program would have to be funded with eight equal quarterly disbursements).

In SFY90, approximately \$3 million remaining from the 1985 Bond Act will be available to fund non-SRF projects. The \$3 million, as well as any State moneys returned to the Department as loan repayments from State-only funded projects or from State-only funded projects that did not qualify to utilize the entire amount of the original loan award (as a result of a low bid adjustment), will most likely be used to cover loan increase awards due to low bid adjustments for projects that received State-only moneys in previous years. Thus, in SFY90, it is anticipated that a majority of the projects seeking funding will receive loans financed through the SRF program.

Because federal money is awarded to the State through SRF capitalization grants, the federal procurement requirements apply to the State only. Procurement for sponsors of projects funded by either State-only or SRF loans is subject to the New Jersey Local Public Contracts Law.

* One commenter requested information about combined sewer overflow (CSO) grants.

The Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act has mandated that grants be made available to local government units for the planning and design of combined sewer overflow abatement facilities. The Act does not provide moneys for the actual construction of the facilities. The regulations governing the policies and procedures for the implementation of certain aspects of the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act were published on July 17, 1989 in the New Jersey Register as a proposed new rule. It is anticipated that addressing combined sewer overflows will be a staged approach. Initially, grants will be available to plan and design for the elimination of dry weather overflows and solids/floatables reduction at combined sewer overflow points.

* One commenter asked whether sewage overflowing into homes is considered "overflow" under the Project Discharge Priorities of the Priority System Methodology. Further, would it be considered "overflow" if the sewage flows out of the residences and into a water body?

In order for a project to qualify for points under I/I Correction-Overflowing Sewers, the Priority System provides that the infiltration/inflow must result in raw sewage discharge through intermittent hydraulic overloading of the conveyance system and have documented water quality impacts. Also included in this category are those projects which propose the construction of a new interceptor to relieve a hydraulically overloaded system which results in a major discharge of raw or inadequately treated wastewater for transport directly to another interceptor or to a treatment plant. Since the Department's determination as to whether I/I Correction-Overflowing Sewer points are warranted is made on case-by-case basis, project specific information must be submitted by the project sponsor.

* One commenter requested information on points under the Priority System Methodology that are received for projects associated with affordable housing.

Although reference was made to the Priority System Methodology by a speaker from the New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing at a recent New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust seminar, the reference was most likely associated with the 50 points received by projects categorized as New Systems under Project Discharge Priorities. There are no point assignments made specifically with respect to affordable housing. * One commenter asked if the Department would consider extending the N.J.P.D.E.S. permit or Discharge Allocation Certificate deadline for a community with an important project that came about suddenly and without enough time to get a final N.J.P.D.E.S. permit or Discharge Allocation Certificate prior to the planning deadline.

The Department must take a firm stand on all deadline dates because of the serious ramifications of a single delayed project. All projects for a particular funding cycle are processed such that all of the loans are executed concurrently. If some project sponsors fail to adhere to the established deadlines, the projects which they sponsor would delay the process for all of the projects.

The Department has found that allowing a project to proceed to the design phase without agreed upon final effluent limits often results in the project sponsor having to redesign or modify the project; thus, causing lengthy delays, increased costs, and unnecessary work.

Sponsors of projects that cannot meet the deadlines for a particular funding cycle who wish to initiate the project before the following funding cycle may seek preaward approval.

* One commenter asked why the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) projects on the Project Priority List are eligible for CSO planning grants under the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act when such projects are finished planning and ready to go on to construction.

Many of the Combined Sewer Overflow projects currently on the Project Priority List have been there for a number of years and the actual progress of the planning and design of these projects varies considerably. In many cases, the existing planning may have to be modified or reevaluated because of changes which have occurred over time. Thus, to the extent that updates in planning information are needed, projects could qualify for a grant under the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act for new scopes of work.

* One commenter asked whether a project can receive more than one supplemental loan.

A sponsor may apply for and be awarded only one supplemental loan per project only after all of the project's contracts for the approved scope of work have been awarded and approved by the Department and only, if as a result of the award, an increase in allowable project cost is warranted.

* One commenter objected to the 20 year loan repayment period and suggested that the period of repayment be changed to 25 years.

Under the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program, two loan agreements are executed - one for a Trust loan and one for a Fund loan. With respect to the Trust loan, the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust Act requires that the term of the Trust loan shall be the lesser of 20 years or the useful life of the project. With respect to the SRF requirements which affect both the Fund and Trust loans, the Water Quality Act of 1987 requires that all SRF loan repayments be made within 20 years of the completion of the project. Regarding the Fund loan term, the Treasury Department annually evaluates the projected subsidy level of the Fund/Trust loans and determines the appropriate term of the Fund loan for the forthcoming funding cycle. Given today's market conditions, the Treasury Department has determined that a Fund loan term of 20 years from the award date would achieve the program's desired subsidy level.

* One commenter suggested that the fines collected for violations of Administrative Consent Orders (ACO) and Judicial Consent Orders (JCO) be held in escrow for the remediation of the violations or deposited into the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Financing Program.

Under the current statutory structure, the Department cannot utilize funds generated through the collection of fines to provide financial assistance to those communities which violated court sanctioned orders. Given the significant amount of funds involved that could otherwise be available to directly support environmentally beneficial uses, the Department is further pursuing this concept and would support statutory revisions to allow it.

* One commenter suggested that a solution be found to the problem of a project proceeding ahead of the anticipated construction schedule but unable to receive disbursement of the loan funds because of the New Jersey Wastewater Treatment Trust's inability to accelerate the disbursement schedule stipulated in the Trust loan agreements.

The financial structure of the Trust is designed to minimize costs for project sponsors. Including provisions in the loan agreement for acceleration of payments in cases where projects proceed ahead of schedule would reduce the subsidy level that the Trust is able to provide. It is therefore important for project sponsors to submit accurate projections for the disbursement schedule which is ultimately incorporated into the Fund and Trust loan agreements.

* One commenter suggested that a project which initiates operation ahead of the schedule stipulated in the ACO should have penalties received during other stages of the project offset by a credit for acceleration of the project initiation.

While the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element is concerned with this dilemna, the Enforcement Element within the Division

is charged with the responsibility to decide whether the Department supports or does not support the impositioning of penalties under an ACO. Project sponsors should recognize that the benefits associated with initiating operation ahead of schedule are often numerous (i.e., improved water quality, reduced construction costs, ability to permit growth in the service area).

* One commenter objected to the Department's policies of limiting grant funding to the low bid building cost, excluding contingencies or cost overruns as grant eligible costs, and limiting the administrative costs to one percent of the low bid building cost.

The Department would ideally like to fully fund all costs related to the construction of wastewater treatment plants under the Construction Grants Program. However, as indicated in the Responsiveness Summaries of previous years, the Department has adopted these variations to the federal program in order to extend the limited funds available to a larger number of projects in the State. In addition, it is the Department's belief that its policies regarding grant and grant increase awards have led municipalities to better assess their project needs to reduce the extent of unanticipated project costs (i.e., change orders). The one percent administrative cost limitation has also been implemented in order to further promote conscientious cost management by grantees for administrative, legal and fiscal costs.

* One commenter objected to the policy to base grant increases for 75% grants on a 65% overall average.

The policy of funding grant increases for 75% grants based on a 65% overall average was initiated by the Department in response to the decrease in funds available to the Construction Grants Program. Although the Department recognizes that this policy placed several grant recipients at a disadvantage by decreasing the level of overall funding they could obtain, the amount of the original grant did not decrease. The 65% criteria was considered only when a net grant increase would result.

* One commenter questioned the Department's decision to reserve approximately \$3 million as a minimum for grant increase reserve.

The Department has elected to reserve a minimum of \$3 million under the Reserve for Grant Increases based upon our anticipated needs during FFY90. In addition, \$1 million has been reserved to provide grant increases to previously funded Marine CSO and PHH bypass projects. Should any of the \$1 million reserved for such purposes not be utilized, the remaining funds may also be deposited in the Reserve for Grant Increases.

It is important to note that any requests to provide grant increases for funding based on the low bid building costs must be received by the Municipal Wastewater Assistance Element by January 2, 1990 to be processed under the FFY90 Priority System. Any grant increase applications received after this deadline may be processed in future fiscal years in conformance with the Priority System provisions in effect at that time.

* One commenter stated that priority for grant increases should be based on the date of the original entitlement, not on the date USEPA's determination of the grantee's appeal was given.

As stated in the Priority System, priority for projects receiving conventional fund grant increases will be based upon the date of the base grant award. For two or more projects which were awarded base grants on the same day, priority for increases will be based upon their rank in the fiscal year in which their grants were awarded. In cases where projects are due conventional or Innovative/Alternative (I/A) fund grant increases as a result of an appeal, priority will be based upon the date the determination of the appeal was given.

Until a determination of an appeal is given, it is not known if an increase is warranted. Therefore, any grant increase awards to projects as a result of a favorable decision on the appeal will be prioritized based upon the date of that decision. It would not be prudent for the Department to reserve funds for a project that may never be eligible to receive these funds.

* One commenter suggested that excess grant funds and grant funds recovered as a result of low bid adjustments be reserved for grant increases, not converted into SRF funds.

Allowable grant increases may be funded by excess grant funds and recovered funds. However, the Department reserves the right to channel these funds into the SRF in the event these funds are not obligated within a two year period at which time New Jersey would lose the monies to national reallotment.

* One commenter stated that the proposed amount of the I/A reserve should be specified and should be based on the maximum 7.5% of the total federal allotment available to New Jersey.

As in the past two fiscal years, the I/A reserve for FFY90 has been specified at 7.5% of New Jersey's Title II monies. Because the final amount of New Jersey's allotment will not be known until later in the funding cycle, an exact dollar amount is not included in the Priority System.

* One commenter requested that excess funds from previously awarded grants be used to cover Innovative/Alternative (I/A) Technology bonus awards that have not been fully funded.

New Jersey's Reserve for Innovative and Alternative Technologies is set for a particular year's allotment based upon the policies specified in that year's Priority System. For FFY88 through FFY90, New Jersey recognized the need to reserve additional I/A funds to provide for low bid adjustments and chose to reserve the maximum amount permitted under the Clean Water Act (i.e., up to 7.5%) for I/A bonuses.

The USEPA will not allow funds allocated to New Jersey to be used to cover current I/A funding unless those funds were originally reserved as I/A funds.

The State has questioned USEPA's position on this issue and has been advised that a retroactive revision to the I/A bonus for previous years is unapprovable for various reasons. USEPA's decision is based (in part) on the fact that it would be inconsistent with the provisions of the applicable year's Priority System (which was subject to public hearing/public participation at that time).

* One commenter suggested that the statement "Any of the I/A reserve funds over the required minimum may be placed in the grant increase reserve to provide warranted grant increases for conventional technologies or converted into SRF funds" be removed from the Priority System.

The statement is included in the Priority System to allow any I/A funds that are not obligated to projects to remain available to New Jersey. Monies not obligated within a certain period of time may be lost to New Jersey and reallotted nationally if not coverted into SRF moneys.

* One commenter suggested that the criteria for 100% Modification Replacement (M/R) for I/A projects be modified so that the definition of "fail to meet project performance standards" includes projects that were modified during construction to avoid project failure in addition to those that have to be retrofitted when the completed project actually failed.

Federal regulations include a provision to provide 100% funding for necessary modifications to I/A systems during the post construction period. Modifications that are made during the period of construction are not eligible for funding for the same reason that unanticipated change orders for conventional technology projects are not funded. However, in recognition of the difficulty in assessing the efficiency of I/A systems prior to their construction, a 20% funding bonus is provided to the projects.

PROJECT SPECIFIC COMMENTS

* One commenter questioned why the Musconetcong Phase II project was not included on the Proposed Project Priority List for FFY90.

The Department has received a Project Status/Cost Update form from the project sponsor. The project of concern will be included on the final FFY90 Project Priority List.

* The Borough of West Paterson has requested that project 340778-02, currently sponsored by Totowa-West Paterson SA (W. Paterson), be renamed as to allow the Borough of West Paterson to sponsor the project since the Totowa-West Paterson SA is no longer in existence.

The project sponsor for 340778-02 has been redesignated Borough of West Paterson. Further, projects 340778-01 and 340778-03 have also been redesignated Borough of West Paterson. The necessary revisions have been made to the Project Priority List and Project Narratives.

* The Township of Wayne (340393-06) has requested 250 additional points for I/I Correction-Overflowing Sewers.

In order to fully evaluate this request, additional studies evaluating the impact of overflowing sewers into the Pompton River are needed. Documented water quality impacts are required to justify the award of 250 points.

* Delaware Township MUA has requested that project 340917-01 be separated into two projects: major sewer system rehabilitation and advanced treatment.

The scope of work for the upgrade of the treatment facilities to advanced treatment will remain in project 340917-01. A new project, 340917-02, will consist of the major sewer system rehabilitation scope. The two projects will be ranked to reflect the modified scopes.

* Wood-Ridge Borough has requested a name change for project 340692-03 from Wood-Ridge Borough to Wood-Ridge Borough/BCUA.

The requested change has been made to the Project Priority List and Project Narratives.

* North Arlington-Lyndhurst Joint Meeting has requested that project 340426-05 be divided into two projects: North Arlington-Lyndhurst Joint Meeting (North Arlington) and North Arlington-Lyndhurst Joint Meeting (Lyndhurst).

The requested change has been made to the Project Priority List and Project Narratives.

* The Township of Blairstown has requested that projects 340453-03 and 340568-02, currently sponsored by the Warren County Paulins Kill MUA/Township of Blairstown, be redesignated since the Warren County Paulins Kill MUA is no longer in existence.

The names of the projects have not been modified to the Township of Blairstown. At the time of this writing, the Water Quality Management Plan amendment which has been submitted to redesignate the Township of Blairstown as the sole sponsor of the projects of concern has not been approved.

* Wanaque Borough SA has requested that project 340780-02, currently sponsored by the Wanaque Valley Regional SA, be redesignated so as to allow the Wanaque Borough SA to sponsor the project.

The project description has been modified to allow Wanaque Borough SA to sponsor the project. The necessary changes have been made to the Project Priority List and Project Narratives.

* The Town of Hammonton has requested to sponsor a portion of project 340518-03, originally sponsored by Atlantic County MUA (Mullica-UGEH).

Project 340518-03 has been split into two separate projects. Project 340927-01 is being sponsored by the Town of Hammonton and project 340518-03 is being sponsored by ACUA/Great Egg Harbor (Buena Borough). The necessary changes have been made to the Project Priority List and Project Narratives.

* The Department received requests from Avalon Borough, Middle Township, Upper Township and Cape May City to list their projects on the Project Priority List to become eligible for money under the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act.

Upon further clarification from the involved municipalities, it was determined to be inappropriate to place the projects on the Project Priority List because the scope of work for each of the projects involves interconnection/cross connection abatement. The Priority Methodology for the interconnection/cross connection abatement component of the Sewage Infrastructure Improvement Act has yet to be established.

* The following new projects have been added to the Project Priority List:

| Sponsor | Project # | Estimated Project Cost |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Town of Clinton | 340924-01 | 10,017,000 |
| Delaware Township MUA | 340917-02 | 387,000 |
| Dumont Borough | 340922-01 | 3,148,000 |
| City of Hackensack | 340923-01 | 2,118,000 |
| Town of Hammonton | 340927-01 | 10,797,000 |
| Lower Township | 340810-04 | 3,212,000 |
| City of Millville | 340921-01 | 9,046,378 |
| Musconetcong SA | 340384-04 | 42,058,000 |
| North Arlington-Lyndhurst | 340426-06 | 185,000 |
| Joint Meeting (N. Arlington) | | |
| Paramus Borough | 340920-01 | 795,000 |
| City of Paterson | 340926-01 | 1,204,000 |
| Rahway Valley SA | 340547-05 | 3,879,078 |
| Wayne Township | 340393-07 | 200,000 |
| Wrightstown Borough | 340925-01 | 8,123,000 |

REVISIONS TO THE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Although project specific comments have not been received concerning the following issues, the Department has determined that the following revisions are appropriate.

- * Project 340399-10, sponsored by Hudson County UA (Jersey City), has been renamed to Hudson County UA/Jersey City SA and has been redesignated as poject 340928-01.
- * The I/I correction component of Wayne Township's project 340393-06 has been designated as a new project (340393-07) and ranked accordingly.
- * On the individual project worksheets, CSO projects will no longer receive points under the I/I Correction category but shall receive points under the Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement category under the Project Discharge Priorities.
- * Project 340661-09, sponsored by Cape May County MUA has been removed from the Project Priority List since the project was funded as a reobligation/deobligation.
- * Project 340526-08, sponsored by Gloucester County (East Greenwich) has been removed from the Project Priority List since the project was funded with a State appropriation.

* Project 340568-02, sponsored by Blairstown Township, has been reranked. The project was erroneously awarded points for water use/water quality impacts. Project 340568-02 (Collection System, Interceptors) does not qualify for such points since the project does not result in a direct discharge to surface waters. Project 340453-03 (STP, Interceptors, etc.) does, however, qualify for such points since this project results in a direct discharge to the Paulinskill River. Project 340453-03 will retain the point total assigned in the proposal document.

RESPONDENTS TO THE PROPOSED FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1990 PRIORITY SYSTEM INTENDED USE PLAN AND PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Allentown Borough Avalon Borough Borough of Bernardsville Township of Blairstown Burlington City Burlington County Board of Chosen Freeholders Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority Cape May City Cape May County Municipal Utilities Authority Cedar Grove Township Chatham Township Town of Clinton Delaware Township Municipal Utilities Authority Dumont Borough Franklin Township Sewerage Authority City of Hackensack Town of Hammonton Hanover Sewerage Authority Borough of Hawthorne Hightstown Borough City of Jersey City Jersey City Sewerage Authority Linden-Roselle Sewerage Authority Little Falls Township Long Branch Sewerage Authority Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority Borough of Manville Middle Township Middlesex County Utilities Authority City of Millville Montville Township Municipal Utilities Authority Moorestown Township Morris Township Town of Morristown Musconetcong Sewerage Authority City of Newark North Arlington-Lyndhurst Joint Meeting Ocean County Utilities Authority Paramus Borough Passaic Township City of Paterson Town of Phillipsburg Pompton Lakes Borough Municipal Utilities Authority Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority Randolph Township Municipal Utilities Authority Ringwood Borough Sewerage Authority Roxbury Township Somerset Raritan Valley Sewerage Authority Sussex Borough Upper Township Wanaque Borough Sewerage Authority Washington Borough Warren Township Sewerage Authority

Wayne Township

West Milford Township Municipal Utilities Authority
Borough of West Paterson
Borough of Wood-Ridge
Woodstown Sewerage Authority
Wrightstown Borough
Virginia Devery of Marc Associates
Don Norbid of T.M. Associates Consulting Engineers
Lee T. Purcell of Lee T. Purcell, Inc. (on behalf of several local government units)
Robert Simicsak of Schoor and DePalma

1880:

cillio