

The **2016-17 School Performance Reports** are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.

# Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.

## **Other Resources:**

- Review the Parent Summary Report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: <u>Reference Guide</u> or <u>Interpretive</u> <u>Video</u>.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at <a href="mailto:reportcard@doe.state.nj.us">reportcard@doe.state.nj.us</a>

03-3350-060

**MOONACHIE BORO** 

**20 WEST PARK STREET** 

MOONACHIE, NJ 07074-1115

BERGEN



Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017

Grade Span PK-08

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
PK	16	16	23
KG	28	25	25
1	28	30	25
2	27	28	30
3	29	34	31
4	29	34	35
5	31	30	34
6	29	33	35
7	23	35	33
8	31	26	32
Ungraded	14	15	9
Total	285	306	312

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

**Enrollment Trends by Student Group** 

Student Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Female	47%	50%	52%
Male	53%	50%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	50%	46%	48%
Students with Disabilities	15%	14%	15%
English Learners	5%	6%	5%
Homeless Students			1%
Students in Foster Care			0%
Military-Connected Students			0%
Migrant Students			0%

### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Staff

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

Racial and Ethnic Group	% of Students
Hispanic	51.6%
White	32.4%
Asian	11.9%
Black or African American	1.9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.3%

## PreK and K - Full Day and Half Day

This table shows the full day and half day enrollment for Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) students in this school.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
PK - Half Day	12	14	22
PK - Full Day	4	2	1
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	29	25	25

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

Home Language	% of Students
English	55.1%
Spanish	28.5%
Arabic	5.4%
Gujarati	2.2%
Korean	1.6%
Other	6.9%

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08				-


#### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	191	99.0	63.40	63.40	54.90	63.4	58.8	Met Target
White	56	96.6	60.70	60.70	63.90	60.7	58.1	Met Target
Hispanic	103	100.0	59.20	59.20	39.80	59.2	54.8	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	35.20	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	26	100.0	88.40	88.40	80.70	88.4	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	53.70	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	54.90	*	**	**
Female	100	99.1	64.00	64.00	62.20	64		
Male	91	99.0	62.70	62.70	48.10	62.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	92	99.0	53.20	53.20	36.20	53.2	45.3	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students	99	99.0	72.70	72.70	65.80	72.7		
Students with Disabilities	32	94.1	*	*	20.50	*	12.6	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	159	100.0	*	*	61.90	*		
English Learners	17	100.0	41.20	41.20	25.20	41.2	**	**
Non-English Learners	174	98.9	65.50	65.50	57.40	65.5		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	26.40	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	24.80	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	53.50	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	Ν	23.00	N		

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students


† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	742	742	749	*	*	*	45%	*	48%	50%
White	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Hispanic	13	735	735	734	*	*	*	*	0%	46%	35%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	Ν	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	52%
Female	13	745	745	754	*	*	*	*	*	46%	55%
Male	16	740	740	745	*	*	*	*	*	50%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	727	727	731	*	*	*	*	*	39%	31%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	755	755	762	*	*	*	*	*	56%	63%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	21%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	Ν	N	721	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	734	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	29%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	757	757	753	*	*	*	58%	*	67%	56%
White	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Hispanic	23	755	755	740	*	*	*	65%	*	70%	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	755	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	56%
Female	16	758	758	758	*	*	*	63%	*	69%	61%
Male	20	756	756	749	*	*	*	55%	*	65%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21	754	754	737	*	*	*	*	*	67%	36%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	760	760	764	*	*	*	*	*	67%	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
English Learners	N	N	N	711	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	10%
Non-English Learners	36	757	757	755	*	*	*	58%	*	67%	58%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	31%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	60%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	765	765	756	*	*	*	55%	*	73%	59%
White	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Hispanic	19	754	754	743	*	*	*	*	*	58%	44%
Black or African American	N	N	N	740	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	56%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	60%
Female	17	754	754	761	*	*	*	*	*	65%	66%
Male	16	777	777	750	*	*	*	*	*	81%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	17	753	753	740	*	*	*	*	*	59%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	778	778	765	*	*	*	*	*	88%	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	727	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	32	757	757	752	0%	*	*	50%	*	66%	54%
White	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Hispanic	20	752	752	740	0%	*	*	50%	*	60%	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	81%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	749	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Female	16	758	758	758	0%	*	*	*	*	63%	61%
Male	16	756	756	746	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	746	746	737	0%	*	*	*	*	50%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	765	765	761	0%	*	*	*	*	78%	65%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	729	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	727	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	20%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	758	758	756	*	*	*	56%	*	72%	59%
White	14	755	755	764	*	0%	*	*	*	71%	69%
Hispanic	16	757	757	742	*	*	*	*	*	69%	44%
Black or African American	N	Ν	N	737	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	784	*	*	*	*	*	*	85%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	25	766	766	764	*	*	*	*	*	80%	68%
Male	11	740	740	749	*	*	*	*	*	55%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	740	740	739	*	*	*	*	*	50%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	773	773	766	*	*	*	*	*	90%	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
English Learners	*	*	*	701	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	64%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	34	752	752	757	*	*	35%	35%	*	47%	59%
White	12	751	751	764	*	0%	*	*	*	42%	68%
Hispanic	20	749	749	742	*	*	*	*	*	45%	44%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	738	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	786	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Female	19	756	756	766	*	*	*	*	*	47%	68%
Male	15	748	748	749	*	*	*	*	*	47%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	752	752	739	*	*	*	*	*	47%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	753	753	766	*	*	*	*	*	47%	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
English Learners	*	*	*	701	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	727	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	28%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	722	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



# English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.




#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act* (*ESSA*) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under *ESSA* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	193	99.5	35.80	35.80	43.50	35.8	44.4	Not Met
White	58	98.3	29.30	29.30	52.40	29.3	39	Met Target†
Hispanic	103	100.0	30.10	30.10	27.60	30.1	42.6	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	21.70	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	26	100.0	69.20	69.20	75.60	69.2	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.50	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	44.90	*	**	**
Female	101	99.1	31.70	31.70	44.10	31.7		
Male	92	100.0	40.20	40.20	42.90	40.2		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	94	100.0	26.60	26.60	25.10	26.6	36.4	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvanatged Students	99	99.0	44.50	44.50	54.30	44.5		
Students with Disabilities	33	97.1	*	*	16.50	*	12.6	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	160	100.0	*	*	48.80	*		
English Learners	18	100.0	27.80	27.80	23.30	27.8	**	**
Non-English Learners	175	99.5	36.60	36.60	45.20	36.6		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	16.40	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	15.10	Ν		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	39.90	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	18.20	Ν		


\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	31	735	735	751	*	*	*	*	*	36%	53%
White	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Hispanic	13	733	733	738	*	*	*	*	0%	31%	37%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	Ν	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Female	14	735	735	751	*	*	*	*	*	36%	52%
Male	17	735	735	751	*	*	*	*	*	35%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	721	721	736	*	*	*	*	*	20%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	749	749	761	*	*	*	*	*	50%	65%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	724	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	750	750	747	*	*	*	58%	0%	58%	47%
White	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Hispanic	23	745	745	734	*	*	*	52%	0%	52%	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	774	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Female	16	745	745	747	*	*	*	*	0%	50%	47%
Male	20	753	753	747	*	*	*	*	0%	65%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21	748	748	732	*	*	*	52%	0%	52%	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	753	753	757	*	*	*	67%	0%	67%	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	716	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	12%
Non-English Learners	36	750	750	749	*	*	*	58%	0%	58%	49%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%

An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display



### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	748	748	747	*	*	*	39%	*	52%	46%
White	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Hispanic	19	735	735	735	*	*	*	*	*	42%	30%
Black or African American	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	774	*	*	*	*	*	*	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	745	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	47%
Female	17	739	739	747	*	*	*	*	*	47%	47%
Male	16	757	757	746	*	*	*	*	*	56%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	17	732	732	732	*	*	*	*	*	41%	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	765	765	756	*	*	*	*	*	63%	59%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	724	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	732	732	743	*	36%	*	*	*	30%	44%
White	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Hispanic	21	728	728	731	*	*	*	*	0%	19%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Female	16	727	727	745	*	*	*	*	*	25%	45%
Male	17	737	737	742	*	*	*	*	*	35%	43%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	723	723	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	739	739	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	Ν	N	719	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	40%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%

\*\*Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	730	730	741	*	*	47%	*	*	17%	40%
White	14	723	723	748	*	*	*	*	0%	14%	49%
Hispanic	16	735	735	730	*	*	63%	*	0%	13%	23%
Black or African American	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Female	25	736	736	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
Male	11	718	718	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	726	726	729	*	*	*	*	*	13%	22%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	734	734	749	*	*	*	*	*	20%	50%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
English Learners	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	*

\*\*Grade 7 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	21	709	709	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
White	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Hispanic	*	*	*	721	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Black or African American	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Female	11	705	705	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Male	10	714	714	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	720	720	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	697	697	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	*	*	*	703	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	705	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*

\*\*Grade 8 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	13	738	738	743	*	*	*	*	0%	39%	42%
White	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	774	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	41%
Female	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Male	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	Ν	714	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Students without Disabilities	13	738	738	747	*	*	*	*	0%	39%	47%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	13	738	738	745	*	*	*	*	0%	39%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.

\*\*Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



#### Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	Ν	N
5	*	*
6	Ν	N
7	N	N
8	*	*

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
1	*	*	*
2	*	*	*
3	*	*	*
4	*	*	*
5+	N	N	N

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORM			Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017				03-3350-060 BERGEN CHIE BORO RK STREET
	REPORT			Grade Span PK-08			MOONACHIE, NJ	07074-1115

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	45%	40%	14%
Schoolwide	50%	44%	6%
White	*	*	*
Hispanic	44%	52%	*
Black or African American	Ν	*	Ν
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	Ν
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	48%	52%	Ν
Students with Disabilities	Ν	*	*
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.



Overv	iew Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHO PERFOR			Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017				03-3350-060 BERGEN ACHIE BORO ARK STREET	
				Grade Span PK-08			MOONACHIE, NJ	07074-1115	

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


### NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 8

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	27%	47%	27%
Schoolwide	8%	47%	44%
White	*	*	39%
Hispanic	N	48%	52%
Black or African American	N	N	N
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	N	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	53%	47%
Students with Disabilities	N	*	*
English Learners	N	N	*

# NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 8

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT			Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08				-

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a **Student Growth Percentile** (**SGP**) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the **median student growth percentile** (**mSGP**) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how **median Student Growth Percentile** (**mSGP**) is calculated here: <u>http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/</u>

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile** (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Target of 40	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Target of 40
Schoolwide	52	52	50	Met Target	30	30	50	Not Met
White	49	49	50	Met Target	33	33	52	Not Met
Hispanic	52	52	49	Met Target	28	28	47	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	63	63	60	**	38	38	59	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν
Two or More Races	*	*	51	**	*	*	52	**
Economically Disadvantaged	55	55	47	Met Target	32.5	32.5	46	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	25.5	25.5	41	Not Met	26	26	43	**
English Learners	35.5	35.5	53	**	*	*	51	**

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

verview Demograp	hic Academic A	chievement	Studer	nt Growth	College	and Caree	r Readines	s Climate	e and Enviro	onment	Staff A	Accountability	Narra
	CHOOL ORMANCE RT				201	. Craig Scl 6-2017 Span PK-08							
ach student receives a sogress compared to stu					ge Arts/Liter	racy (ELA) i	n grades 4	through 8 and 1	for Mathema	itics in grade	es 4 throug	h 7 that explains	s their
A student's <b>SGP</b> falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:					Lo	w Growth: L	ess than 35	Typical Gr	owth: Betwe	en 35 and 65	High	Growth: Greater	r than 65
Stuc	dent Growth by	/ Performa	Ince Le	/el				S	Student G	rowth by	Grade		
These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.							grade.						
		ELA							E	ELA			
50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 33% 11% 55% Level 1 L	48% 29% 24% 27% Level 2 Level 3 2015-16 PARCC	33% 37% 30% Level 4 Level	32% 18% 50% Level 5	Туріс	Growth al Growth Growth	Median Student	80 60 40 20 0	Typical 48 Grade 4	High 67 Grade 5	Typical 60 Grade 6	Low 32 Grade	Typical 60 7 Grade 8	,
	I	MATH							N	lath			
40% 30% 20% 10% 20% 40% 40% Level 1	37%       11%         37%       31%         26%       57%         37%       57%         Level 2       Level 3         2015-16       PARCC	17% 23% 60% Level 4 Level	15% 38% 46% Level 5	Туріс	Growth al Growth Growth	Median Student	60 40 20 0	Typical 57 Grade 4	Typio 47 Grad	,	Low 12 rade 6	Low 17 Grade 7	

An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display



This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about <u>New Jersey</u> <u>Student Learning Standards</u> on our website and more details about the data in this section in our <u>Reference Guide</u>.

#### Mathematics - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **Mathematics** courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. Students counted in the other math category may be enrolled in grade level math courses, intervention courses, or other advanced math courses.

Grade	Algebra I Geometry		Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	35
7	0	0	35
8	13	0	21
Schoolwide	13	0	91

## World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **World Languages** courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom row of the table shows students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV).

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	32	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolwide	90	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher	N	N	N	N	N	N	N




### Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any **Visual and Performing Arts** classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORI REPORT			Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08				-

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the *ESSA* accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

### Chronic Absenteeism


This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability targets for 2016-17.


Student Group	% Chronically Absent	2016-17 Target	Met 2016-17 Target
Schoolwide	13.40	8.50	Not Met
White	12.00	8.50	Not Met
Hispanic	15.30	8.50	Not Met
Black or African American	N	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	11.10	8.50	Not Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	**	**
Two or More Races	Ν	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13.80	8.50	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	18.40	8.50	Not Met
English Learners	Ν	**	**

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

### Days Absent


The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.





### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College an	d Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT			Robert L. C 2016- Grade Sp					-
		Oshaal Davi			\/¦alawa		Outrata.	011	

#### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. **Instructional time** is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

Category	School				
Typical Start Time	8:50AM				
Typical End Time	3:05PM				
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 15 Mins				
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 35 Mins.				
Shared Time - Instructional Time	*				

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Vandalism	0
Weapons	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	2
Total Unique Incidents	2
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.64

### Student Suspension Rate


This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

Suspension Types	% of Students
In-School Suspensions	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	3.8%
Any Suspension	3.8%

### Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

Category	Number of Students
Expulsions	0



### **Technology Readiness**


This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio	Internet Speed	Recommended Internet Speed	Met Recommended Speed?	Connectivity between Schools	Recommended Connectivity	Met Recommended Connectivity?
2016-17	3.5:1	641.0 kbps	100 kbps	Yes	Fiber	Fiber	Yes

### Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total	\$649	\$17,490	\$18,139



This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	31	120,724
Average years experience in public schools	8.1	11.8
Average years experience in district	8.0	10.5
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	52%	74%

### Administrators - Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	2	9,506
Average years experience in public schools	7.0	15.9
Average years experience in district	1.0	11.6
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	0%	74%

### Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Staff Type	School Students: Staff	District Students: Staff
Teachers	10:1	10:1
Administrators	156:1	156:1
Librarian/Media Specialists		312:1
Nurses		312:1
Counselors		Ν
Child Study Team		312:1



This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17	77%	89%
2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17	33%	88%

### Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2016-17	98%

### Bachelor's Degree




### Master's Degree



## **Doctoral Degree**





## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5th percentile or high schools with a 4-year graduation rate that is less than or equal to 67% are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Indicator Score	Indicator Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	56.9	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	22.9	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	49.0	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	4.1	25.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	12.3	15.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018)	N/A	N/A
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights		29.1
Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score		18.8
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile		No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.



**20 WEST PARK STREET** MOONACHIE, NJ 07074-1115

### Accountability Summary by Student Group

Grade Span PK-08

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Summative Score	Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement	Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17	English Language Arts Proficiency	Mathematics Proficiency	Chronic Absenteeism	English Language Arts Student Growth	Mathematics Student Growth	At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group
Schoolwide	29.1	11.9	Targeted	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	No
White	20.4	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	No
Hispanic	41.5	11.9	No	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	N	N	Not Met	**	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	50.2	11.9	No	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	9.9	11.9	Targeted	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	**	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.

REPORT

Overview	Demographic Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Reading	ess Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08	20 MOON		MOONA 20 WEST PA	03-3350-060 BERGEN MOONACHIE BORO VEST PARK STREET CHIE, NJ 07074-1115	
			School General Info				
Principal:	Dr. Ponds	S	Email Address:	jponds@moonachieschool.org			
Address:	20 WEST PARK STREET		Website:	https://nj02201261.schoolw	ires.net	/Page/1	
MOONACHIE, NJ 07074-111		07074-1115					
Phone:	(201)641-58	333					

School	Narrative
001001	nananvo

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

Highlights:	<ul> <li>School Wide PBIS House System</li> <li>Instructional Best Practices in ELA &amp; Math</li> <li>Student Created and Editied Daily RLC T.V. Announcements</li> </ul>
Mission, Vision, Theme:	It is the Mission of the Robert L. Craig School to help its students gain the academic skills and knowledge, intellectual integrity, and individual courage as risk-takers, which will lead to their personal success. We will provide an education, which enables our students to operate as active citizens in America, in its democracy, as well as informed citizens of the world. This education will be provided in an encouraging atmosphere, which fosters respect, as well as academic and social growth.
Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:	PBIS House System Program, Student of the Month, Students Accepted into Bergen Academies, 21st Century YMCA After School Grant Award Recipient Music & The Brain Grant/Program Recipient, Lowe's Toolbox Educational Grant Recipient, American Legion Americanism Coloring Contest - Bergen County Winner

verview Dem	ographic Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
PI 😽	J SCHOOL ERFORMANCE EPORT		Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08				03-3350-060 BERGEN NACHIE BORO PARK STREET NJ 07074-1115
			School Narrative				
			nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		ind servic	es that are offer	red in their
	Courses, Curriculum,	Science Standards ntervention Program	riculum, Envision Mathematics Prog Curriculum - K-8, LLI Tier 2 Reading m, Lumos Learning PARCC Acaden demic Growth, Enrichment Program	g Intervention Program, Numl ny, 21st Century YMCA Afters	bers Wor	ld Tier 2 Mather	natics
B			EAM Team, RLC T.V., Drama Club richment, National Spelling Bee Par			rning Art, Band,	
	Before and After School Programs:	or R.L. Craig stude certified teachers dance Students p	A, with Moonachie BOE, offers the 2 nts in grades 3-8. The program prov Students take part in nutritional, her articipate in STEAM activities & Car onal snack is provided	vides: - Academic remediatior alth & fitness activities, culina	n & home iry arts, g	work assistance ardening, sports	e by s and

С	Overview Dem	nographic Academic Achievemer	t Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
i	P	J SCHOOL ERFORMANCE EPORT		Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08				
				School Narrative				
				nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		nd service	es that are offered	in their
	2	Staff and Professional Learning:	two expert professor purpose of these set	gaged in a high intensity On-Going rs at a local University, the teachers ssions are to develop Instructional E ading, and Socratic Seminars. Our eling/Reasoning.	receive 5 Sessions with each sest Practices. Our ELA best	n professo practices	or, each year. The focus on Reciopr	e rocal
		Student Supports and Services:	Services Include - C Behaviorist is on sta	Director, School Psychologist, Schoo Occupational Therapy, Physical Ther Iff twice per week. Instructional servi earning Opportunities, ESL/ELL Ser nt academic growth.	apy, Speech Therapy, Couns ces include morning tutoring,	eling, and 21st Cer	d Social Groups. S ntury YMCA Afters	Student school
	Č	Student Health and Wellness:	Playgrounds Initiativ to run L.E.A.D Class Rotary Club to provi	st Program, Healthy-U Program for e to promote sportsmanship and res s, 21st Century Afterschool Program de economically disadvantaged stud ekends and vacations	spect during recess, Partner v Promotes Healthy Snack Op	vith Moor tions, Pa	nachie Police Depa rtner with South B	artment ergen
		Parent and Community Involvement:	Information System,	anization, Moonachie Special Educa Class Parents, Fundraising Activitie ote Creativity and Career Exploratio	es, Recreation Nights, School			

Overview Demographic Academic Achieveme	nt Student Growth College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment Staff Accountability Narrative			
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08	03-3350-060 BERGEN MOONACHIE BORO 20 WEST PARK STREET MOONACHIE, NJ 07074-1115			
	School Narrative				
	nighlights, achievements, and other important information nation provided in the narrative section, please contact ye	about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their our school directly.			
Facilities:					

Overview Demographic Academi	c Achievement Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		Robert L. Craig School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08			MOONA	03-3350-060 BERGEN ACHIE BORO ARK STREET J 07074-1115
		School Narrative				
This section allows schools and distrious words. If there are questions ab				nd service	es that are offere	d in their
Other Informa	house is associated the house of dreame peaceful, honorable, parties, and special p points, points cannot those in their own ho together and socializ build each other up v	use System at R.L. Craig. Each stud with a certain theme. Amistad is the ers, and Isibindi is the house of coura completing work, and representing priveldges. All houses create their t be taken away. A staff member ser buses but also the other houses. Stu- cing outside of school. Competitions with positive encouragement.	e house of friendship, Altruism age. Students earn points for positive problem solving skill own chants, cheers, and dar rves as the head of house. St udents mix regularly with frien	no is the h r their hou s. Leadir nces. Stud tudents le ids in the	house of givers, F use by being resp ng houses earn r dents can only ea earn to encourage other groups eat	Reveur is pectful, ewards, arn e not only ting lunch