



Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision
Ensuring Public Safety for the 21st Century



Ken Merz (MN)
Chair



Milt Gilliam (OK)
Vice-Chairman



Kevin Kempf (ID)
Treasurer

Letter from the Chair

I am proud to report a healthy and strong state of affairs for the Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision (ICAOS). The National Office staff continues to provide quality services in diverse and important ways. Their technical assistance in implementing and managing the Interstate Compact Offender Tracking System (ICOTS) is critical. The professional training support they provide is outstanding, as is their coordination of all committee meetings and events. Maintenance and improvements to the Commission's website is a vital and ongoing effort. As in past years, they once again delivered these services under budget.

At the same time, the Executive Committee, working on behalf of the Commission, faced some difficult challenges this year. In response, the Executive Committee authorized the formation of three ad hoc committees to examine the issues more closely and to recommend possible solutions. Prompted in part by the tragic slayings of four police officers in the state of Washington, the ad hoc Committee on Violations and Retaking was formed with ICAOS Vice-Chair Milt Gilliam serving as chair. This committee drafted a series of proposed rule changes to improve public safety and clarify states' responsibilities regarding offenders who violate supervision rules. The full commission will vote on the proposals at the 2010 Annual Business Meeting in San Antonio, TX. The second ad hoc committee reviewed the Dues Formula, chaired by Treasurer Kevin Kempf. Given the financial difficulties states are facing, and given the fact that the dues formula has not changed since the inception of the Compact; the Executive Committee felt that a comprehensive review was in order. Their work continues. Recommendations will be ready for the Commission's consideration and approval next year. The final working group is the ad hoc committee for Victim Issues, to be chaired by the Commission's Victims Ex-Officio member, Pat Tuthill. This committee will examine all victim related issues and will make recommendations to the Executive Committee next year as to possible rules changes.

Under the guidance of Executive Director, Harry Hageman, the National Office designed an audit instrument to evaluate each state's compliance with the ICAOS rules. Prior to the start of the audit period, the National Office conducted pilot audits in four states; Wisconsin, New Mexico, Utah and Florida. Based on the results of the pilots, the staff modified the process and implemented the program nationally. This key effort will result in a compliance audit for each state annually.

In closing, as my term as your Chair ends, I would like to take this opportunity to thank each of you for giving me the privilege to serve in this role. It has been my pleasure to lead an organization made up of so many dedicated and talented people. I am certain that you and your next chair will continue to positively and productively move this Commission forward in its mission to protect public safety.

Ken Merz, Chair
Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision

Awards Presented

.....
Executive Chair Award
presented to Commissioner
Kathie Winckler (TX)

.....
Executive Director Award
presented to Rose Ann
Bisch (MN)

.....
Peyton Tuthill Award
presented to Victims'
Advocate Jennie Marsh (KS)

The Mission:

To guide the transfer of offenders in a manner that promotes effective supervision strategies consistent with public safety, offender accountability, and victim's rights.

2009 Annual Meeting Spotlight

Reno, Nevada served as the backdrop for the 2009 Annual Business Meeting and provided the Commission with an excellent opportunity to reflect on a very busy year. In-service training brought together policy makers and practitioners in a variety of forums to exchange ideas and discuss difficulties facing the Commission.

During the Annual Business Meeting, the Commission amended ten rules that took effect on March 1, 2010. One of the many important changes included the expiration of Rule 2.102 by recognizing the full implementation of the ICOTS.

Award recipients this year included former Assistant Director Ashley Lippert who the Commission recognized for her leadership and service at a crucial time during the Commission's formation. Ashley left ICAOS to become the Executive Director for the Interstate Commission for Juveniles.

Chairman K. Merz (MN) recognized the achievements of past Chair and Commissioner Warren Emmer of North Dakota. Commissioner Emmer retired from the North Dakota Department of Corrections on December 31, 2009.

The Commission also elected and swore in new region chairs: Commissioner Chris Norman (South), Commissioner Edward Gonzalez (West), Commissioner Wayne Theriault (East) and Commissioner Linda Janes (Midwest).

Next Meeting: October 13, 2010 in San Antonio, TX




Public safety has always been a priority for the states and territories. Without question, the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision makes our states and nation a safer place. The Council of State Governments is proud to collaborate with the Commission and staff who oversee the day-to-day operations of the compact. The ICAOS team is an important component of CSG's compact campus at our headquarters in Lexington, Kentucky and CSG values its close association with ICAOS because together we are committed to the same mission— making America stronger, state by state.

David Adkins
Executive Director CEO, the Council of State Governments (CSG)
ICAOS is an affiliate of CSG

ICAOS and ICOTS: A new path forward

After successfully piloting the program in four states, the National Office audited the first four states in July of 2010 and will continue to audit four to five states a month until every state is audited for rule compliance.

The implementation of ICOTS revolutionized how the states share information and the Commission's ability to track and monitor offenders nationally. As a logical next step, in FY 2010 the Compliance Committee approved a comprehensive annual compliance audit program that the Commission readily adopted. After successfully piloting the program in four states, the National Office audited the first four states in July of 2010 and will continue to audit four to five states a month until every state is audited for rule compliance. The audit schedule is available on the Commission's Website.



Offender Demographics

By utilizing the capabilities of ICOTS to gather demographic information about offenders on parole or probation supervision, the Commission strives to identify important trends to determine the success rates of offenders on interstate compact supervision.

Fiscal year 2010 closed with over 112,000 active offenders on interstate compact supervision. As in previous years, the demographic characteristics of the interstate offender population when measured by gender, age and race is consistent with those offenders on state and local supervision.

Offender Demographics for Interstate Compact Offenders				
		Parole	Probation	Total
Female	American Indian or Alaskan Native	40	242	282
	Asian or Pacific Islander	19	194	213
	Black	720	4,702	5,422
	Unreported	313	2,399	2,712
	White	1,978	13,450	15,428
Female Totals:		3,070	20,987	24,057
Male	American Indian or Alaskan Native	165	491	656
	Asian or Pacific Islander	164	693	857
	Black	8,032	16,430	24,462
	Unreported	2,609	8,038	10,647
	White	12,029	40,058	52,087
Male Totals:		22,999	65,710	88,709
Grand Total		26,069	86,697	112,766

Gender

Male offenders on compact supervision are over represented in both the probation and parole population. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics 2007 report, 88% of adults on parole are male and 12% are female. This is consistent with those on interstate compact supervision.

Age

The age group most frequently represented is 18 to 29, with 60% under the age of 40. The least represented are the under-18 and over-63 age group.

Race and Ethnicity

White offenders make up the largest percentage of all offenders, however when you separate the groups into parole and probation offenders there is a larger percentage of black offenders on parole supervision (32.2%) than there are on probation supervision (24%).

Supervision Length

The average length of supervision for compact cases accepted in FY 2010 is 4.14 years. This represents a marked increase from last year's average of 3.17 years. Offenders serving lifetime supervision sentences only consist of 0.9% (627 offenders) of the entire population accepted during FY 2010.

Acceptance and Rejection Rates


Between July 1, 2009 and June 30, 2010, the states processed 80,971 interstate transfer requests. Of all transfer requests processed, offenders who were a resident of the receiving state comprised the group most likely to have their request accepted (89%). The group of offenders least likely to have a request approved is those applying for a discretionary transfer (64%). The average rate of acceptance for all transfer requests is 88%.


Discretionary cases account for 14% of all FY 2010 requests, but represent only 11% of the accepted cases. States widely range in their acceptance rates for discretionary cases, from a low of 33% to a high of 84%.

Total No. of Transfer Requests	Percent of Transfer Request Accepted	Reason for Transfer
11183	64%	Discretionary
502	76%	Employment transfer of family member to another state
176	66%	Employment transfer of the offender to another state
401	86%	Live with family who are military members
162	88%	Military member
36618	76%	Resident family and Employment or Means of Support
31929	89%	Resident of receiving state within the meaning of the Compact

Crimes of Conviction

The crime of conviction for compact offenders mirrors the general population of probation and parole offenders. In the table shown, the top five crimes of conviction account for over half of the total. This data is consistent with the findings from last year.






Violations

Member states and territories submitted 37,126 supervision violation reports in FY 2010. Slightly more than 56% or 22,716 of the violations involved a new arrest. Seven percent or 2,995 of the new arrests resulted in a conviction. In 1,404 or 3% of the violations, the offender absconded supervision.

Slightly more than 34% of the violations included violations labeled as significant.¹ In 26.4% or 1,527 of those cases the supervising state recommended that the receiving state retake the violators. States requested warrants for 54% of the violators, and in 20% of cases, the supervising state recommended that the offender remain on supervision in the receiving state.


Approximately 4% of violators are registered sex offenders and 6% of the violations involved victim-sensitive cases. Both of these percentages are proportionally smaller than that of the typical offender population.

¹A significant violation is an offender's failure to comply with the terms or conditions of supervision that, if occurring in the receiving state, would result in a request for revocation of supervision.



Case Closures

During FY 2010, the states closed supervision on 64,206 offenders. Of those, 56% either completed their term of supervision or received an early discharge. Forty-eight percent of those offenders reported employment at the time of case closure.



National Office Staff

Harry E. Hageman, Executive Director
859.244.8008 | hhageman@interstatecompact.org

Sam Razor, Assistant Director
859.244.8161 | srazor@interstatecompact.org

Xavier Donnelly, ICOTS Project Manager
859.244.8122 | xdonnelly@interstatecompact.org

Mindy Spring, Training and Administrative Coordinator
859.244.8148 | mspring@interstatecompact.org

Kevin Terry, Website Analyst
859.244.8007 | kterry@interstatecompact.org

Barno Saturday, Logistics and Administrative Coordinator
859.244.8235 | bsaturday@interstatecompact.org

Committee and Region Chairs

Wayne Theriault, Maine
East Region Chair

Sara Andrews, Ohio
Midwest Region Chair

Chris Norman, Alabama
South Region Chair

Edward Gonzales, New Mexico
West Region Chair

Dori Ege, Arizona
Training, Education and Public Relations Committee Chair

William Rankin, Wisconsin
Rules Committee Chair

Kathie Winckler, Texas
Information and Technology Committee Chair

Charlie Lauterbach, Iowa
Deputy Compact Administrators Liaison Committee Chair

Mike McAlister, New Hampshire
Compliance Committee Chair

Kevin Kempf, Idaho
Finance Committee Chair

Patricia Tuthill, Florida
Victims Representative

Training, Education and Public Relations Committee Members

Dori Ege, AZ, Chair
Edward Gonzales, NM
Milton Gilliam, OK
Rose Ann Bisch, MN
Kari Rumbaugh, NE
Anne Precythe, NC

Information and Technology Committee Members


Kathie Winckler, TX, Chair
Patrick McGee, MD
Keven Pellant, KS
Mark Cadotte, OR
David Morrison, GA
John Gusz, NJ, Ex Officio
Joe Kuebler, GA, Ex Officio
Anne Precythe, NC, Ex Officio
Charles Placek, ND, Ex Officio

Rules Committee

William Rankin, WI, Chair
Dori Ege, AZ
John Blonien, WA
David Morrison, GA
Wayne Theriault, ME
John Rubitschun, MI
Ed Ligtenberg, SD
Gary Tullock, TN
Gerald VandeWalle, ND, Ex Officio
Frank Torres, CA, Ex Officio
John Gusz, NJ, Ex Officio

DCA Liaison Committee

Charlie Lauterbach, IA, Chair
Sidney Nakamoto, HI
Anne Precythe, NC
Charles Placek, ND
Kari Rumbaugh, NE
John Gusz, NJ
Shawn Arruti, NV



ICOTS and Inter-stateCompact.org

Quick Facts:

ICOTS – The ICAOS National Office processed:

6,227

ICOTS support requests

4,480

merge offender requests

3,351

delete offender requests

69

expunge offender requests

InterstateCompact.org –

108,298

unique visitors; 311 per day

486,456

visits; 1,333 per day

1.5 million

page views; 4,108 per day

639

forum posts

Programs and Services in FY 2010

Each year, programs and services continue to evolve. The Executive Committee reviews its strategic plan to establish or revise goals and objectives.

In the 2010 fiscal year, ICAOS accomplished the following:

Policy and Administration

- Adopted an ethics policy for Commission staff
- Adopted a policy for screening protected offenders from the ICOTS public portal
- Published revisions to the ICOTS Privacy policy
- Adopted the Compliance Standards and Audit policy
- Published revisions to the Training and Technical Assistance policy
- Established a resource sharing memorandum of agreement with the Interstate Commission for Juveniles
- Implemented the State Council policy
- Initiated a voluntary incident report program for state compact offices to use when reporting rule violations
- Sent out 131 Newsletters and ICOTS announcements (814,000 deliveries)
- Surveyed the states on four different topics; including ICOTS performance and DCA training needs

Technology

- Redesigned the ICAOS website
- Continued to improve the ICOTS system through a series of enhancements and repairs in seven major releases
- Provided ICOTS support to state compact offices
- Launched ICOTS public portal
- Published ICOTS External Reports Portal
- Implemented independent ICOTS performance monitoring
- Conducted ICOTS performance load testing
- Established a motions database for storing committee motions

Meetings

- Held the 2009 Annual Business Meeting in Reno, NV
- Organized the 2010 DCA Training Institute held on August 9–11, 2010 in Lexington, KY
- Organized and hosted 65 region and committee meetings
- Organized and hosted five face-to-face meetings
- Conducted four site visits for the 2011 Annual Business Meeting

Training

- Introduced a new ICOTS end user training curriculum for use by states
- Expanded On-Demand training to include the ICOTS end user training, rule amendments and advisory opinions
- Thirteen states now offer continuing education credit for completing Commission training programs
- Eighteen states used the Training and Technical Assistance Policy to request training assistance. The Training Committee approved all 18 request.
- Eighty training sessions provided via WebEx
- Presented six workshops to a variety of groups including the American Probation and Parole Association and the American Association of Paroling Authorities International
- Updated the Benchbook, Self-Assessments, all training curriculums (including Power-Point presentations) and the Commissioner Handbook to reflect the rule changes that became effective in 2010



Rule Amendments effective in FY 2010

- Rule 2.102 Data collection and reporting
 - Expired effective December 31, 2009
- Rule 1.101 Definitions
 - "Supervision" amended | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 2.104 Forms
 - Amended to clarify allowable types of communication *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 2.106 Offenders subject to deferred sentences
 - Amended to clarify eligible offenders *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 2.110 Transfer of offenders under this compact
 - Amended to clarify the sending state's responsibility if section (a) is violated | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 3.101-1 Mandatory transfer of supervision
 - Amended to add a new mandatory reason for transfer *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 3.102 Submission of transfer request to a receiving state
 - Amended to clarify when a sending state may permit an offender to depart | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 3.104 Time allowed for investigation by receiving state
 - Amended to clarify the status of reporting instructions following a rejected transfer request | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 3.104-1 Acceptance of offender; issuance of reporting instructions
 - Amended to clarify when the receiving state assumes supervision authority | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 3.107 Transfer request
 - Amended to require the signed application accompany the transfer request | *Effective March 1, 2010*
- Rule 4.106 Progress reports
 - Amended to require progress reports within 30 days of request by the sending state | *Effective March 1, 2010*


Advisory Opinions issued in FY 2010

- **1-2010 (published 03/08/2010)** – At issue: Whether a receiving state may require all documents concerning the offender which it considers relevant and the authority to return an offender whom it determines can no longer be safely supervised in that state as conditions precedent to accepting a transfer of supervision of an offender under the compact.
- **1-2009 (published 12/22/2009)** – At issue: Whether a sending state may request that a receiving state investigate a transfer request under the compact prior to the offender's release from incarceration when the offender is subject to a "split sentence" of jail or prison time and release to probation supervision, or must wait until the offender is released to supervision in order to make such a request.

Training Bulletins

- Training Bulletin 1–2010i / ICOTS
 - Handling the resubmittal of rejected transfer requests in ICOTS
- Training Bulletin 4–2009i / ICOTS
 - Handling the multiple cases on a single offender in ICOTS
- Training Bulletin 3–2009/ Rule 4.107
 - Imposing supervision and application fees on offenders

On Demand Training Modules Attendance in FY 2010



Looking Ahead to Fiscal Year 2011



Audit Report

301 East Main Street, Suite 1100 • Lexington, KY 40507 • 859.253.1100 • 859.253.1384 fax • www.mspotter.com
Offices in Lexington and Louisville

Finance Committee Members

Kevin Kempf, ID, Chair
Mark Conrad, MA
Wayne Theriault, ME
Jim Ingle, UT, Ex Officio

Compliance Committee

Mike McAlister, NH, Chair
Chris Norman, AL
Jane Seigel, IN
Genie Powers, LA
John Rubitschun, MI
Ellen Brokofsky, NE
A.T. Wall, RI
Victoria Jakes, SC, Ex Officio
Pat Tuthill, FL, Ex Officio
Sally Holewa, ND, Ex Officio

Due to the difficult economic climate, each state is under tremendous financial pressure and the Commission is no different. It is imperative that the Commission maintain its excellent stewardship and members not be presented with additional costs. The Commission's expenses did not exceed its total revenue and continues to grow a solid reserve fund, while at the same time increasing services.

The Commission faces substantial obstacles in the coming year as it continues to deliver quality services with fewer staff. Despite limited resources, the Commission is committed to enhancing and expanding its present offering of programs without an increase in membership contributions. The restructure of the developing and hosting plans for ICOTS and offering of a new DCA Training Institute in FY 2011 will place unprecedented stress on the Commission's budget in 2011.

Prevailing Compact Issues

The Commission Chair appointed ad hoc committees to study three important issues; (1) Public safety concerns regarding the violation and retaking rules and processes; (2) concerns surrounding the rules and processes for victim notification; and (3) a review of the dues formula rule to determine if there is a better method for calculating membership dues. While the Violations and Retaking ad hoc committee has completed their charge the remaining two committees are busy at work and expected to report at the 2010 Annual Business Meeting.

The remaining two ad hoc committees are busy at work and expected to report out at the 2010 Annual Business Meeting.

Financial Outlook

At the 2007, Annual Business Meeting the Commission committed to a three-year increase in membership dues for establishing a reserve fund equal to a minimum of 25% of operating expenses. The recommended budget for FY 2011 does not include the 3rd year increase of 6%, because of the following accomplishments:

- Reduced operating expenses by 9% or \$140,759 from FY2009
- \$70,072 saved through staff reduction and position consolidation
- \$11,135 rent reduction
- \$9,444 utilities reduction because of decrease in office space usage and reduce staff position
- \$7,765 additional revenue generated from ICJ MOU
- \$4,200 in savings from WebEx sharing with ICJ
- \$1,000 annual savings from revised printing and publishing plan
- 2009 Annual Business Meeting came in \$37,117.32 under budget
- New website completed 20% under budget

BUDGET	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
REVENUE	\$1,503,079.64	\$1,440,211.94	\$1,692,118.88	\$1,630,302.31
EXPENSES	\$1,343,920.99	\$1,151,682.44	\$1,461,364.07	\$1,472,777.90
BALANCE	\$159,158.65	\$288,529.50	\$230,754.81	\$182,340.43

In accordance with Council of State Governments recommendations, the Commission maintains a reserve fund. The current balance of the reserve fund is \$1,559,971.53.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Governing Board
The Council of State Governments
Lexington, Kentucky

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial position of The Council of State Governments (the Council) as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of activities and changes in net assets and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Council's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Council of State Governments as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 8, 2009 on our consideration of the Council's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audits were performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the Council taken as a whole. The supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Potter & Company, LLP

POTTER & COMPANY, LLP
December 8, 2009

Article VIII, Finance, Section 3. Accounting and Audit

"The treasurer, through the executive director, shall cause the Commission's financial accounts and reports, including the Commission's system of internal controls and procedures, to be audited annually by an independent certified or licensed public accountant, as required by the Compact, upon the determination of the Commission, but no less frequently than once each year. The report of such independent audit shall be made available to the public and shall be included in and become part of the annual report to the governors, legislatures, and judiciary of the Compacting States."

Offenders on Compact Supervision as of the close of FY2010

States	Incoming Cases				Outgoing Cases				Total Incoming/Outgoing Cases
	Probation Only	Parole Only	Probation and Parole	Total Incoming	Probation Only	Parole Only	Probation and Parole	Total Outgoing	
Alabama	2,985	718	92	3,795	1,364	419	25	1,808	5,603
Alaska	192	65	9	266	196	30	44	270	536
Arizona	1,400	511	48	1,959	2,434	317	69	2,820	4,779
Arkansas	1,964	806	73	2,843	1,218	1,303	62	2,583	5,423
California	3,705	1,336	77	5,118	2,107	805	27	2,939	8,055
Colorado	1,044	289	46	1,379	1,973	690	29	2,692	4,070
Connecticut	836	162	24	1,022	1,188	123	29	1,340	2,359
Delaware	536	107	35	678	379	33	23	435	1,113
District of Columbia	690	125	45	860	424	6	0	430	1,289
Florida	4,580	1,898	175	6,653	6,588	263	25	6,876	13,528
Georgia	3,672	869	59	4,600	7,213	1,573	334	9,120	13,713
Hawaii	173	44	3	220	328	132	2	462	682
Idaho	408	145	13	566	1,003	407	17	1,427	1,992
Illinois	3,616	1,397	109	5,122	1,956	913	29	2,898	8,019
Indiana	2,289	743	61	3,093	1,919	464	37	2,420	5,513
Iowa	1,150	303	27	1,480	764	272	21	1,057	2,535
Kansas	1,278	451	36	1,765	931	485	42	1,458	3,223
Kentucky	1,839	466	51	2,356	2,259	755	94	3,108	5,463
Louisiana	2,133	760	72	2,965	1,687	1,058	89	2,834	5,799
Maine	284	79	10	373	213	2	4	219	592
Maryland	2,873	472	78	3,423	980	322	159	1,461	4,881
Massachusetts	1,481	284	27	1,792	973	106	58	1,137	2,927
Michigan	1,781	606	54	2,441	1,399	914	32	2,345	4,784
Minnesota	1,108	336	53	1,497	2,248	289	27	2,564	4,060
Mississippi	1,532	582	41	2,155	1,434	426	89	1,949	4,104
Missouri	2,342	885	69	3,296	4,034	1,607	164	5,805	9,097
Montana	342	94	20	456	720	238	59	1,017	1,473
Nebraska	539	193	17	749	341	70	1	412	1,161
Nevada	753	211	17	981	878	346	24	1,248	2,229
New Hampshire	401	58	11	470	402	248	13	663	1,132
New Jersey	1,893	502	35	2,430	2,911	767	42	3,720	6,147
New Mexico	1,122	335	16	1,473	650	135	121	906	2,379
New York	3,867	726	74	4,667	2,021	1,325	42	3,388	8,053
North Carolina	3,418	901	128	4,447	1,302	111	11	1,424	5,871
North Dakota	575	89	15	679	377	19	52	448	1,127
Ohio	2,635	990	99	3,724	1,820	483	34	2,337	6,061
Oklahoma	1,985	946	63	2,994	1,104	197	20	1,321	4,313
Oregon	923	277	31	1,231	1,118	574	85	1,777	3,005
Pennsylvania	2,714	572	77	3,363	2,773	1,094	141	4,008	7,371
Puerto Rico	212	117	9	338	78	21	0	99	437
Rhode Island	462	44	14	520	919	44	33	996	1,516
South Carolina	1,856	514	56	2,426	1,100	273	25	1,398	3,824
South Dakota	325	82	15	422	379	294	15	688	1,110
Tennessee	3,341	1,032	106	4,479	1,607	549	28	2,184	6,663
Texas	4,224	1,999	218	6,441	7,706	3,235	134	11,075	17,512
Utah	517	150	17	684	256	136	8	400	1,084
Vermont	205	40	6	251	227	57	5	289	539
Virginia	1,710	521	71	2,302	5,310	259	88	5,657	7,957
Virgin Islands	36	5	2	43	3	4	1	8	51
Washington	1,648	618	76	2,342	626	135	42	803	3,144
West Virginia	1,003	161	32	1,196	219	321	18	558	1,753
Wisconsin	1,387	313	17	1,717	1,915	1,322	129	3,366	5,081
Wyoming	367	108	18	493	423	71	8	502	995
TOTAL:	84,351	26,037	2,647	113,035	84,397	26,042	2,710	113,149	226,127