PUBLIC HEARING

before

THE COUNTY PENAL SYSTEM STUDY COMMISSION

on

ESSEX COUNTY'S ADULT PENAL SYSTEM

Held:
April 3, 1974
Room 314
1100 Raymond Boulevard
Newark, New Jersey

MEMBERS OF COMMISSION PRESENT:

John F.X. Irving, Chairman Kenneth Ginsberg, Hearing Officer Commissioner Arthur F. Brown Commissioner Alan J. Cornblatt Commissioner Joseph DeMarino Commissioner Bessie G. Hicks

Carl E. Moore, Research Associate Douglas Cook, Secretary

ALSO:

Eleanor Werbel



1		
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	Joseph El, former inmate, Essex County Jail	12
4	Sergeant William J. Jones, Corrections Offi- cer, Essex County Jail	48
5 6	Officer Wilfred P. Francis, Sr., Corrections Officer, Essex County Jail	5 3
7	Leora Mosston, Attorney, Prisoners' Rights Organized Defense (PROD)	98
8	Rosetta Hunter, inmate, Clinton Reformatory for Women	101
0	Gale Howard, inmate, Clinton Reformatory for Women	101
.2	Philip Showell, Executive Director, New Jersey Associations of Corrections	134
3	Arthur W. Magnusson, Chief Administrator, Essex County Corrections Center	154
.5	Captain Michael Frey, Corrections Officer, Essex County Corrections	154
6	Maude Jackson, inmate, Essex County Correctional Center	213
8	Miss Hunter, Inmate, Essex County Correctional Center	247
9	Vincent Salvato, Essex County Jail Guard	258
0		
21		
.2		

	•				

•

CHAIRMAN JOHN IRVING: Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. I want to welcome you to the second day in what will be a series of hearings around the State of New Jersey dealing with the conditions in the jails, workhouses, and correctional centers.

Legislature and by the Governor; that is, former Governor Cahill, is to look at the buildings, problems of overcrowding, problems of minimum care, problems dealing with substandard conditions. We will not only look at the inmates and their needs, but also, and equally important, look at the needs of the guards to develop a professional status, if they don't already have one, and to make such recommendations to the State Legislature as may be important, so that these jails and correctional centers meet the minimum standards of all the accrediting agencies.

Today, we have a list of witnesses, very important witnesses, and we are delighted to have all of them come and talk. We will try to be concise, imposing questions so that we will be able to let our good Reporter return to Trenton at a reasonable hour late this afternoon.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have not scheduled a third date for hearings. The Commission will meet at the conclusion of today's session and decide whether, in fact, there's more we need to do in Essex, or whether we should move on to some of the other Counties in which we would like to have public hearings.

I would like, before we call our first witness, to have you understand that this Commission is a fact-finding Commission. We are called the County Penal System Study Commission, and that's important, I think, for all of us as taxpayers that you understand we are not prosecutors, we are not swearing witnesses; we are simply trying to find the facts. We have no axe to grind. meeting presents, on the other hand, an enormous opportunity for people to come forward, talk freely, without any fear of coercion from us, at least, about what the needs are within these county institutions as you, the citizen, perceives them, and the tragedy is that this kind of hearing may not occur for another twenty years. today is the last day in two decades that we will talk about conditions in Essex County, then we hope that what you will have to say will be, number one, true, and number two, forceful. We then, in

Parse.

turn, have an obligation not only to turn over anything that appears to be irregular or criminal to a vigorous prosecutorial effort, but we have an obligation to make recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor dealing with new legislation, new programs, new approaches by the State to help its own citizens who happen to be either in a jail or in a correctional center.

I have spoken with the Sheriff of Essex County twice in the last two days. I want to tell the members of the Commission that Sheriff John Cryan has indicated that he believes much good will come out of these hearings. That's a direct quote. He is constrained not to make an appearance, however, although I suggested to him that it might be wise for him to come. He has suggested that some five or six officers of the County Jail will come late this afternoon to talk to us about the needs and conditions at the jail, as these officers perceive them.

The only other observation I would make is that I, as Chairman of a State Commission, am learning not only about the jails and county institutions with this Commission, but also the great difficulty that State Government has, not

only in trying, with a part time legislature, to ascertain what local problems are, but the problems that are then transferred to eight or nine volunteers like ourselves, trying to determine, with limited staff, most of them volunteers, as a matter of fact, how we can be helpful in meeting what appear to be very serious problems. I think last week, we perceived the tip of the iceberg of problems. The iceberg apparently is enormous in its size; we will only begin to see the surface.

All right. I want, first, if you will permit me, to read into the record two affidavits, and there are copies here for citizens and members of the press corps, when we take our break. Well, we can pause a moment, so that people need not write.

Let me make clear to the members of the Commission and the people who are here that, over the last several days, many people have come forward that have indicated a strong desire to transmit information to us. Many people have rejoiced at the fact that at long last, this kind of Commission has been created to look at the County Jails and correctional centers. Many of these people, though, for personal reasons, because they

16

17

18

19

20

10

21

22

23

24

25

fear retaliation, because they fear some incrimination at the jobsite, have asked to submit information to us in a confidential form by means of affidavit, and I'm happy to accept confidential affidavits. I've discussed with the members of the Commission this morning, in view of the serious nature of these affidavits, whether we should not, in terms of elementary due process and basic fairness, eliminate the names of the employees who are alleged to have accepted or asked for political contributions, since those people are not here to testify. You will see the affidavit indicates that the affiant, that is, the person who prepared the affidavit and to swear to it in my presence or in the presence of another attorney of the State, those affiants have indicated a willingness to come before a Grand Jury, if one is impanelled, and at that time, both the names of the people asking for political help and those who were asked will be released.

Sheriff DeMarino suggested that I mention to you, and I'm happy to do it, that those that appear as witnesses today are not the people who have given us the confidential affidavits.

The affidavit which I will call Affidavit

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number one, and which contains five paragraphs, so numbered, reads as follows: "State of New Jersey, County of Essex, Legal Seal.

"Mr. blank, of full age, being duly sworn upon his oath, according to law, deposes and says that:

"I am a corrections officer currently employed in the Essex County Jail. I have submitted my name to the Chairman of the County Penal Study Commission and he is to keep it confidential and only to submit it to a Grand Jury should one be impanelled to investigate this matter.

"I have found conditions at the Essex County Jail to be deplorable and not conducive to either proper inmate care or to positive Officer training and growth. More specifically:

"1. On or about July 1, 1972 I was summoned by (blank) and was instructed to visit him in the Deputy Warden's office located on the Jail premises.

During that meeting (blank) handed me ten tickets for a picnic to be held for the benefit of John Cryan, Sheriff of Essex County. Each of these tickets had a purchase price of \$8.50.

"3. I was instructed to sell each of these tickets and to deliver the money to (blank).

Never was I asked if I wanted to sell these tickets.

I was just instructed to do so. He said, "I have ten tickets here that I want you to sell." I took them and left.

"4. I attempted to sell several of these tickets, but was unsuccessful. Because I felt pressured by political undercurrents within the Sheriff's Department to sell each of these tickets, I planned to tender a total of \$85.00 of my personal funds to the Undersheriff.

"5. On or about October 19th, 1972, only about three days before the picnic, I was instructed there was some problem with my paycheck and it would be issued the following day. The following day I was given a termination notice at the payroll window. I was subsequently terminated. I believe (blank) felt I took too long to pay the \$85.00."

This sworn and subscribed to before an attorney at law on the 2nd day of April, 1974. The original is in my possession.

The second affidavit, which can be identified as having six numbered paragraphs, reads as

follows:

2 "State of New Jersey, County of Essex:

"Mr. blank, of full age, being duly sworn upon his oath, according to law, deposes and says that:

- "1. I am now employed as a Corrections
 Officer at the Essex County Jail in Newark, New
 Jersey.
- "2. I was so employed in October, 1972 and that at that time I was assigned to the jail pharmacy and had been working in said pharmacy for seven months.
- "3. On a certain date in October, 1972, one Mr. (blank), also an employee at the Essex County Jail in the capacity of head cook, approached me in the presence of one Peter Bridge, a prisoner in the Essex County Jail, and informed me that contributions were being collected for the election next month.
- "4. I declined to contribute, whereupon Mr. (blank) asked me whether or not I liked my job. I understood the reference to my job to mean my assignment in the jail pharmacy.
- "5. I again refused to contribute and replied that I was a permanent employee. Several

25

MR. EL: 68 Mapes Avenue, Newark.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Were you at one time

an inmate at the Essex County Jail, Mr. El? 2 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 3 CHAIRMAN IRVING: What was the date of 4 that confinement? 5 MR. EL: It was in January of '73--right. 6 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You were there in January 7 of 1973? 8 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know when you 10 were released from the jail, approximately? Was 11 it a month later? 12 MR. EL: It was about -- I would say about a good month, thirty days -- four to five weeks, I 13 14 would say. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Four to five weeks. 15 Now, what do you want to tell us about, this 16 17 morning? 18 MR. EL: Well, I wanted to discuss to the Commission the treatment as far as the Metha-19 done is concerned for drug offenders who come into 20 Essex County Corrections Center. 21 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, thank you. 22 Now, I will ask Mr. Ginsberg to develop the ques-23 tioning with you. You talked to him yesterday, 24

and he is closer to the facts than I am, and in

order to expedite the hearing and to keep you at and a your ease, Mr. Ginsberg will help you to get on 2 the record whatever you want to say. Let me first 3 advise you that you don't have to answer any 4 questions, okay? We don't want to embarrass you. 5 At the time that you were admitted to the 6 Essex County Jail, will you be willing to tell us 7 whether you were then using drugs? 8 MR. EL: Yes, I was. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Would you say you had 10 a serious habit? 11 MR. EL: Yes. I had a--I was going through 12 withdrawal just then. 13 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You were going through 14 withdrawal just then, during that month of January? 15 MR. EL: Right. 16 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Now, while 17 you were at the jail, did you indicate, in being 18 processed, that you had a drug habit? 19 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 20 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. I'm talking 21 now, about the day that you were admitted into the 22 jail. 23 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 24

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you talk to a doctor?

1 MR. EL: No, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Whom did you talk to, 3 do you recall? 4 MR. EL: I talked to a Correction Officer 5 as I was being brought in. I told him that I was 6 going through withdrawals, and he told me well, take 7 care of that when you get upstairs. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And was it taken care 9 of when you got upstairs? 10 MR. EL: When I got upstairs, I told the other correction officer on that floor that I was 11 going through withdrawals, and he told me there's 12 nothing that he can do, and that I would have to 13 14 wait until tomorrow to see the doctor. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me turn this over 15 to Mr. Ginsberg, because he wants to review that 16 in some detail. Thank you. 17 HEARING OFFICER KENNETH GINSBERG: Good 18 morning, Mr. El. Could you try to speak up a little 19 so people in the room can hear you without too much 20 of a problem? 21 Yes, sir. MR. EL: 22 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I understand 23 that you were admitted to the institution, is 24

that not correct?

MR. EL: That's right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: And what happened upon your admission to the institution?

MR. EL: Well, they brought me from headquarters, police headquarters down on Franklin
Street, and they took me to the Corrections and
Jail. As you come into the bottom and you go into
the bullpen to be processed, at this time, you know,
they ask you your name, address, and things of that
nature, and they take you in the back, they put
you in the bullpen, and then you wait for a shower
which--

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Now, before you take the shower, is it not true that they're supposed to take what is called a medical history on you, ask you if you've had any diseases in the past, or if you've been treated by a doctor recently?

MR. EL: Well, right. Any institution generally does this first, before they finish processing you, before they take you upstairs.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: At any time, did they ask you if you had a drug problem?

MR. EL: No, they didn't.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So the only

knowledge that you had a drug problem was the very informal statement you made to the guard when you were being escorted into the institution; is that correct?

MR. EL: That's correct.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What happened then, sir?

MR. EL: Then they went into a fingerprint check, and they take you upstairs where you're going to lock in.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Was the daily procedure with the institution ever explained to you, or were your rights ever explained to you?

MR. EL: No, sir.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Would you please continue?

MR. EL: Yes, sir. So at that time, I told the officer that was on, it was the second shift officer, you know, so he told me that I would have to give this information to the third shift officer, so he will put me on sick call, you know, for the morning. And so I done this, you know. So that morning, you know, I was waiting to go upstairs, and my name wasn't called. So at that time, I went to the first shift officer and

asked him, you know, could I go upstairs. 1 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: May I stop 2 you just for one second? That would mean that 3 you had been in the institution approximately 4 5 twenty-four hours? MR. EL: Approximately about twenty-four 6 7 hours. HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Going through 8 withdrawal? 9 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 10 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: And having 11 no medical assistance? 12 MR. EL: That's right. 13 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Please continue. 14 MR. EL: So the first shift officer was 15 there, and I approached him and told him that I 16 was going through withdrawals, and could I go up-17 stairs. He told me I couldn't go upstairs unless 18 my name was called, and I would have to put my 19 name on sick call with the third shift officer. 20 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: This is the 21 second time around, now? This is the same story 22 twice? 23 MR. EL: Yes. So I did this. I say, 24 well, I told him, the third shift officer, put my

name on sick call, because I was going through withdrawals. So he gave me a story, well, it ain't my fault, you know. Make sure he put it on, because I can't send you up, I can't do nothing, I can't call up there and that type of attitude, you know.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So it seems that nobody had the responsibility for taking your name?

MR. EL: Right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: They just passed the buck, as far as you were concerned, when you were having this problem in the institution?

MR. EL: Yes, sir.

the second shift officer when he came on. He told me the same thing, he couldn't do nothing, you know. I have to wait til the third shift officer.

This is going on the second day. Now, already, when you going through withdrawals, it's difficult to eat, you're nervous, on edge, you can't sleep, and you're going through a psychological and somewhat physical withdrawal, sweating, hot and cold chills, you know. It was rather cold in the institution, you know; a blanket is not sufficient, and you're subject to catch cold, because when you're

going through withdrawals, your resistance is low 1 and you begin to pick up the elements that, by 2 shooting dope, you depress. Now, this is going 3 on like the third day, now, because that third 4 shift officer came on again, I still insisted that 5 he take my name so I can go up on sick call the 6 7 next morning. HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So now, we're 8 going into a third day where you've been going 9 through withdrawals? 10 MR. EL: Yes, sir. 11 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Which are very 12 uncomfortable, to say the least. 13 MR. EL: That's right. 14 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: And it's a 15 serious physical problem, and you've had absolutely 16 no medical assistance? 17 MR. EL: No, sir. 18 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: And you've 19 been demanding it all through; everytime there 20 was a shift change, you would tell the guard that 21 you were having a problem, and it just wasn't 22 acknowledged. 23

MR. EL: Yes, sir.

24

25

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Would you

please continue?

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

MR. EL: Like, I told this officer that I was going through withdrawals, and to make sure my name was down, but I must say this was a different officer than the night before, because they switched around, and the first officer I seen, I might not see him in the next three or four weeks. So you're always harassed, because you don't know who you're going to talk to the next day.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So you have no continuity of contact between you and the administration?

MR. EL: Right, different officers.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Everytime the guards changed, you have to go through the same process?

MR. EL: Same story again, and at night, I used to call for aspirin, you know, and I could never get a guard. The only time they come around is like when they punched the clock or whatever, and then you talk to them, they tell you yeah, I'll be back, and that's it, you don't see them no more, you know, and these type of things. So, like, going onto the next morning--

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So we're talking about three and a half days, now?

MR. EL: So the next morning, I raised so much sand that they just took me up, because I wasn't refusing, I'm going into the doctor. At that time, I'm coming down, now. I'm not exactly as sick or coming from withdrawals as the first two or three days, but, like, since I was going through that much of a hassel, I said, well, I'm going to get it anyway, because I can use it as a profit.

words, you had gone through withdrawals, but they had started to decrease, your physical problems started to decrease, but since you had been going through so much of this red tape, you decided to take advantage of the methadone, anyway.

MR. EL: Yes, sir. So at this time, I raised enough sand and the officer on that first shift, this would be like the fourth day, you know, called upstairs, you know, and they made some arrangements for me to come up in the afternoon with the Methadone shipment, and I did this. Now, when I got up there, they put you in the bullpen and call you out one at a time. So they called me,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and it wasn't no doctor. I still never seen a doctor, you know. It was a male nurse up there, and they called him Chickadee as a nickname, and, you know, he'd been with institutions for quite some time, even in the old jail, and most everybody that comes back and forth through the jail know him very well, and he knows you by face, sometimes by name. I came up there and he asked me, you know, well, how long you been in here? So I said, well, this is the third, or going on the fourth day. So he said, well, like, you don't need no methadone, you kicked already. And, you know, I told him, what -- the game, you know, I still can't sleep, I can't keep my food down, you know, I still get hot and cold flashes and chills, and he just gave me the methadone.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So what you're telling us is that you've been in the institution over three days, you've seen absolutely no medical doctor, and now they're giving you methadone without having a medical examination.

MR. EL: Right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: They've already acknowledged that you don't have a medical problem anymore--

MR. EL: That's right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: --because of withdrawal, and they still issue the methadone.

MR. EL: Right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What did you do with the methadone?

MR. EL: Well, this time, I didn't really need it, and you know, like, when you're in jail, your funds get low, little light, you know, and like I used--I pretended to drink it, and I put it back in the cup, cupped it in my hand, I brought it back downstairs and sold it to an inmate for food, Commissary, things of that nature, for the remainder of the four days.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So you mean to tell the Commission that you didn't drink the methadone; you saved the methadone and then traded it--

MR. EL: Right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: For cigarettes.

MR. EL: Yes, sir.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What happened on the second day, the second day after
they've already acknowledged that you have a "medical problem"?

5

MR. EL: Well, the second day, like, they put me on the regular list, you know. So, like, they called me, you know, like they call you at certain times, methadone inmates, they call you, they let you out and you go upstairs, and like you give your name and you just walk in and they give you methadone. I did the same old process, pretend I'm drinking it, laugh and joke, turn my head, spit it back in the cup, and walk on out, and I sell it that day, also, to the same guy.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So this was on a continuing basis, now, you were able to not use the methadone, save it and sell it for cigarettes, or whatever else you would barter it for downstairs with the other inmates.

MR. EL: Right.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: That's all the questions I have. Does the Commission care to impose any questions?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mrs. Hicks, do you care to ask Mr. El any questions?

Mrs. Hicks is a member of the Newark
Human Rights Association, and she's a community
affairs specialist.

COMMISSIONER BESSIE HICKS: I would like

to ask, did you come in contact with homosexuals at any time during your stay?

MR. EL: Well, I never dealt with it, you know, but there have, yes, there have been contacts, yes, ma'am.

MR. EL: I didn't actually this, but it was like on the next floor, and like, you know, when you go in the day room, you can hear it, talk, you know. They'd be jumping off, because this particular time, one of the inmates downstairs got locked in the cell with a homosexual one night, you know, and I knew that. But I didn't see it because I'm locked up back in here, you know.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Was any outdoor recreation provided for you during your stay?

MR. EL: No. There's no --there's some recreation upstairs on the twelfth floor. It's in a little gym. It's about as big as this room, just about a little bigger, and that's the only recreation you have, and I went up there like once.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Is that daily, periodically?

MR. EL: No, that wasn't daily, when I was there. I only seen it twice in about five--

four to five weeks, and I went up twice. 2 COMMISSIONER HICKS: How did you find the 3 food? 4 MR. EL: Did I buy any? 5 COMMISSIONER HICKS: How did you find it? 6 How did you like it; is it good? 7 MR. EL: Oh, the food? No. For one thing, 8 it's lousy, and another thing, it's not enough. You 9 know. You know, like the way they issue out food, 10 like they have, you know, call it a runner, you 11 know, the tierman or whatever, and if you all right 12 with the tierman, then you get over. Like, when 13 they have hamburgers, like, he'll hook it up so that people he know get more, and sometimes, you wind 14 15 up with nothing, you know, and like the eggs, they be green, you know, and they give you some kind of 16 17 lard with--melted lard on some bread, supposed to be butter, you know; one little sandwich, you know, 18 and a little small box of cereal. It's not enough. 19 20 The food is lousy. 21 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you. No 22 further questions. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Sheriff DeMarino? 23 This is Sheriff DeMarino, from Middlesex 24 25 County.

1	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. El, when
2	did you say you were there at Essex County?
3	MR. EL: In '73.
4	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: January of '73.
5	Are you still using barbituates, marijuana, drugs,
6	anything like that?
7	MR. EL: No, sir, I'm drug-free. I'm
8	working as a counselor, a rehabilitation counselor.
9	It's a new world now.
10	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You made remarks
11	about procedures at this institution. Were you at
12	any other institutions?
13	MR. EL: Yes, I was. I was at Union
14	Township, Unionwell, I was in some, you know.
15	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, would you
16	name some that you've been to?
17	MR. EL: West Orange; East Orange; Union
18	Township; Union; Bergen County; Caldwell, old jail,
19	new jail.
20	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So you've been
21	to mostly county institutions?
22	MR. EL: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How old are
24	you?
25	MR. EL: I'm 25. I'll be 26 this year.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How much of your time, would you say, in years, have you spent in County institutions?

MR. EL: In years?

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Yes, if you were to compile all these institutions you've been in.

MR. EL: I would say about a year and something.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Total, all these institutions?

MR. EL: Yes.

commissioner de Marino: That's really not much time for all the institutions, but let me ask this. Let's take them one at a time. How would you compare the West Orange institution as opposed to the Essex County?

MR. EL: Well, like, the West Orange is not like a big county jail, it's like a small--everything is in one little building, and the treatment there is much better, it's one hundred percent better, you know. The food and everything else.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: We're not talking about a local lockup now. We are talking about a county--

1	MR. EL: Well, the only thing I can tell
2	you, like, in Bergen County
3	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Excuse me West
4	Orange; were you referring to
5	MR. EL: That was the local lockup.
6	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The local lock-
7	up at the jail, at the police level. That's what
8	you're talking about?
9	MR. EL: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: At East Orange,
11	was that a local lockup?
12	MR. EL: Local.
13	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In Union, was that
14	a local lockup?
15	MR. EL: That was the county.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Union County Jail;
17	I guess you're referring to the one in Elizabeth?
18	MR. EL: Yes, Elizabeth, right.
19	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How do you find
20	that jail as opposed to this one here in Essex?
21	MR. EL: It's just the same. Maybe a
22	little worse.
23	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Cald-
24	well; was that the county institution?
	MP RI. Yes, this is a county institution.

It's a penitentiary, really, changed to a correc-2 tions center. 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me back up. 4 In Union, how much time, as best you can remember, 5 did you spend at the Union institution? 6 MR. EL: About six weeks. 7 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Six weeks, and 8 at Caldwell, approximately how much time? 9 MR. EL: About nine months. 10 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, and 11 the old and new jail, you're referring to here 12 in Essex County? 13 MR. EL: Right. 14 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How much time 15 did you spend there? 16 MR. EL: I would say roughly about 17 four months, altogether, different times. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The reason I 18 ask these questions, because you made remarks to 19 20 the effect that the procedures were different. Let's talk about that. Are the Union County 21 22 procedures any different? Did you receive upon entry into the Union County Jail, at the outset, 23 did you immediately get asked questions as to 24

25

your medical condition?

MR. EL: No. As I said before, Union 1 2 County is just like Essex County or even worse--3 no, it was the same, you know. They didn't, like--4 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, let's answer 5 it specifically, if we can. Did you get a list of 6 questions asking you at all--7 MR. EL: No. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In Union County, 8 all the time that you were there, you had no medical 9 checkups or anything? 10 MR. EL: No. 11 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: None whatsoever? 12 MR. EL: No. 13 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you 14 this: All these institutions that you were in, 15 were they all because of drug related arrests? 16 MR. EL: No. 17 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You have other 18 arrests other than drug-related? 19 MR. EL: Right. 20 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I see. The 21 Essex County arrests were all drug-related? 22 MR. EL: Only two. Two of them. 23 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, two 24 of them, and you're referring to what, the old and

new jails here in Essex County? 2 MR. EL: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: They're the two 4 institutions, right? 5 MR. EL: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, this last arrest; were you arrested on a drug related crime? 7 8 MR. EL: No. 9 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: It was a criminal 10 charge? 11 Right. MR. EL: 12 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I see, and drugs 13 had nothing to do with that charge, per se? MR. EL: Well, what held me over longer 14 this particular time was that I had a bench warrant 15 for a drug related charge, a possession, but, like, 16 you know, it was -- it was Contempt of Court. So 17 that held me there. So, like, they combined all of 18 them together, all of my charges together, gave me 19 one bail. So I guess you might say it was a drug 20 related charge, if you want to use it in that term, 21 22 you know. 23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. During the withdrawal that you mentioned, cold turkey, was there a one on one situation with the guard?

i	
1	Was there anyone there to watch you constantly
2	during that period of time that you were going
3	through the actual withdrawal?
4	MR. EL: No.
5	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: None whatsoever?
6	MR. EL: No.
7	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Were you left
8	in your cell?
_9	MR. EL: Right, most of the time
10	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Describe that
11	cell to me. What is it?
12	MR. EL: It's a regular little cell. It's
13	about four by six cell, you know.
14	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Four by six cell?
15	MR. EL: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And it contains
17	a bunk?
18	MR. EL: There's a bunk, right. Toilet,
19	sink, right.
20	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is there a
21	toilet facility?
22	MR. EL: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: A sink is also in
24	there?
25	MR. EL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And it's surrounded totally by bars?

MR. EL: Right.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Or is there solid walls--

MR. EL: There's three solid walls, right, and the gate, front grill gate with the automatic door, and then there's this little foyer, and then some more bars.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How much can you recollect, if anything at all, during the actual withdrawal time as to what you were doing, your behavior?

MR. EL: What my behavior was? It's like a restless feeling, you get restless, you know, but what I would do, I would call out to the guard every--like, every half an hour. Every time he'd come by, I'd tell him what was going on, and I would try to sleep, you know, to sleep it off, but I could never get to sleep.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you this: During the withdrawal, did your body go into a twist motion; were you rolling? Is it a possibility that you could have struck any one of the objects within the cell?

1 MR. EL: No, just like the railing on 2 the bed, you know, like -- that connects the bed to 3 the wall. It's like awkward, you know, and twisting 4 5 do too much damage. 6 7 stay on the bunk, or go to the floor? 8 9 10 that nature. 11 12 13 14 to you? 15 MR. EL: Right. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 a hassel--24

25

and turning, I could bump my head, but it wouldn't COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Did you usually MR. EL: Well, I was--I was all over. I was squatted on the bed, I was sitting on the bed, I laid on the floor, you know, different things of COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, let me ask you this: You mentioned palming. As inmates, I believe you mean you palmed the medication given COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Whether it be methadone or aspirin or anything like that, did you palm frequently, or do inmates palm frequently? MR. EL: Oh, sure. Oh, yeah. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. MR. EL: It's a way of, you know, half the time, you go up to get your methadone, and it's CHAIRMAN IRVING: May we go off the record for a moment?

(Off the record.)

•

record.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: May we go back on the

May I interrupt Sheriff De Marino and Mr.

El to introduce to the people in the room the members of the Commission who were delayed in travelling to this part of the State from down in Ocean County.

To the left of Sheriff DeMarino is Warden Arthur Brown; he's the Warden of the Ocean County Jail and a member of the Commission. On my extreme left is Mr. Alan Cornblatt, who had been a Municipal Court Judge at one time and a distinguished career as a member of the Bar, and is a lawyer member of the Commission.

Gentlemen, we are talking with Mr. El about his experience with methadone while at the Essex County Jail.

All right. Sheriff, do you want to continue.
Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Yes.

Mr. El, I asked, now, whether or not you palmed, and you stated that you do palm medication. Is that strictly methadone or did you palm aspirins, or--

1 MR. EL: No, just methadone. 2 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you 3 this: Was palming a normal custom? I gather from 4 your previous testimony that it is done by most 5 if not all the inmates there. 6 MR. EL: I would say eighty percent of 7 them, yeah. 8 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Did the institution, 9 guards, doctors or anyone there, did they mull any 10 of the medication that was given to you? 11 MR. EL: Did they what? 12 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mull; put them 13 in the cup and crush them so you're unable to 14 palm them? 15 MR. EL: Oh, no, no. 16 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You say you would 17 stay there and joke around with the person giving 18 you the medication, more or less as an act to--19 MR. EL: Cover up, yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Cover up, right. 21 You go through the motions of taking the pills, 22 no one is there to see that you put them in your 23 mouth, swallow them --24 MR. EL: When you're up there, they don't 25 be concerned with what you do, half the time.

the time, they don't want to give it to you anyway, and after they give it to you, they want to go back to what they doing, jive and play with the inmates and homosexuals. I'm telling you, half the time, you don't even see no corrections officers. The only time they see you is when they come around to let an inmate out or check they clock. That's the only time you see them.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. El, you palmed methadone, and then you stated, I believe, that you sold it.

MR. EL: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Can you tell me at what price you sold it, and to whom?

MR. EL: Well, to who, like I can't remember the man's name. I know him from the street,

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: What I meant by who is not the individual's name, but did you care who you were selling the methadone to?

MR. EL: At that time, no.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Which means that you could have sold methadone to anyone, whether or not they ever used methadone--

MR. EL: Right. It's a form of getting

high. 1 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you 2 this: Do you know of either directly, because 3 of your close association with the inmates, whether 4 or not you sold methadone to any of the inmates who 5 never, perhaps used drugs? 6 MR. EL: No, I knew who I was selling mine 7 to. 8 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you, 9 what price did you get? 10 MR. EL: Well, like, I was getting, like, 11 I think it was two cakes and a pack of cigarettes, 12 which total up to something like forty-seven, about 13 seventy-seven cents. 14 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Repeat that again. 15 You were getting what? 16 MR. EL: A pack of cigarettes, and two cakes, 17 spice cakes. 18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So then, it wasn't 19 money that you were trading for; it was more or less 20 food or cigarettes? 21

MR. EL: In jail, cigarettes is money.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I understand that.

I just want the Commission to understand that when you sell, you're not selling to get money. I had

22

23

24

an idea that's what it was, but I wanted to hear it come from you.

That's all the questions I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: One or two questions,

Mr. El, and I thank you for being with us: Last

week, one of the witnesses said, and I wondered if

you wanted to confirm or deny this, that at the

Essex County Jail, methadone was given out as freely

as orange juice; that anybody could get it.

MR. EL: Yeah, right, that's the truth.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is that true?

MR. EL: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know whether people who had entered the Essex County Jail without a drug problem may have begun using methadone, drinking it to relieve their boredom?

MR. EL: Yeah. See, that's how a lot of people come out in the jail with these little habits, because, like, they can get it for small price, and--

COMMISSIONER IRVING: Let me see, if I understand what you're saying. You're telling the State Commission that at the Essex County Jail there are people that come in without any drug problem,

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who leave the jail with a drug problem?

MR. EL: Right. That's it. You see, a lot of people have trouble bails, getting out, released, and they might be there five or six months, and if you've got a person there, coming in there to go up to the nurse and tell him that he got a drug habit and he shoot dope and he get methadone, he cover bringing it downstairs, everybody's coming through the jail do that, and like, this man is drinking methadone every day, two or three times a day from two or three different people. come down with 40 milligrams, and you have two other people with 40 milligrams, he's having 120 milligrams of methadone a day, for six months.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. El, do you know whether other inmates were selling methadone? MR. EL: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Was that a common practice?

MR.EL: Yes, sir. That's the way to get over if you ain't got no money.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, I don't want to put words in your mouth. Do I understand your testimony to be--and this is very important, the drug problem is a major problem in the City of

1 2 3

5

Newark--do I understand what you're saying to
the State Commission is that people come into the
Essex County Jail, some of them without a drug
problem; that, because of the boredom of being in
jail, they begin taking methadone?

MR. EL: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And they leave the jail with a drug problem?

MR. EL: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And that the sale of methadone is common within the jail; is that what you're saying?

MR. EL: Yes, sir.

thing. You did not indicate to us, so far, when you finally did see a doctor while you were--you've told us about waiting three and a half, four days, and so on.

MR. EL: I never seen a doctor in none of the times I was ever incarcerated in Essex County.

Only when I got to the penitentiary.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: So--I'm sorry, I find this incredible. You're saying that although you went through the withdrawal symptoms and they were giving you methadone, that in the five or six

weeks you were there in January and February of 1 2 1973, you never saw a doctor? 3 MR. EL: I never seen him in January, February or December, March, April, May, June. I 4 never seen a doctor in Essex County. 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: I think you've been an 6 7 eloquent witness. MR. EL: That's the first thing you do, 8 try to get a doctor so you can get methadone, or 9 some pills to sleep with. Sometimes, you have to 10 go to a doctor to get aspirin. Sometimes they don't 11 have one. 12 CHAIRMAN IRVING: The Sheriff has one 13 other question. 14 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Besides methadone, 15 is there any other makeshift drugs that the prisoners 16 concoct by either splitting methadone or diluting or 17 mixing it with any other mixtures that you make it 18 with; mulling aspirin, palming aspirins, so you get 19 at least 20, 30? 20 MR. EL: You see, if I were to tell you 21 that, it wouldn't actually be factual. I could 22 say that --23 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, no. I don't 24

want you to say --

MR. EL: No, you hear things. People do things like that.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, I'm asking if you have direct knowledge. If you don't then say no.

MR. EL: No.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. El.

MR. EL: Thank you.

(The witness was excused.)

the members of the Commission that what we are trying to do is to bring to your attention and to the public's attention a sample of problems, and we do not think it would be necessarily helpful to have witness after witness testify to the same story. What we are trying to look at are examples of deficiencies which, in your collective judgment, may or may not need some immediate and intensive attention.

I would like next, to ask if Officer Wilfred Francis is in the room.

OFFICER WILFRED FRANCIS (FROM THE FLOOR):

I am in the room, but I would like to decline at
the present time. If my colleague, Sgt. Jones, is

going to testify, I would like to testify after 1 2 him. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Sgt. Jones is not on 3 4 the list. Is Sgt. Jones in the room? Do you desire 5 6 to testify, sir? SGT. WILLIAM JONES (FROM THE FLOOR): 7 was told I was supposed to testify at 3:30 today. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Who told you that? 9 SGT. JONES: The Sheriff called me at 10 11 my home yesterday. CHAIRMAN IRVING: We are happy to have 12 those who come forward voluntarily, or those who 13 are assigned to testify, to testify. Do you under-14 stand, though, that what we are trying to do is 15 deal with the witnesses in the order in which their 16 names are made known to us? We do have a full 17 day of hearings; we cannot take you out of order. 18 We will get to you as soon as we can, sir. 19 SGT. JONES: I didn't ask to come down here. 20 I was told yesterday. I was called at my home and 21 told that I was supposed to appear here today. 22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Who told you that, sir? 23 SGT. JONES: Sheriff Cryan. 24 Did the Sheriff tell

CHAIRMAN IRVING:

1	you what to say to us today?
2	SGT. JONES: No, he didn't.
3	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you desire to testify,
4	sir?
5	SGT. JONES: It's immaterial to me. If I
6	can't, it doesn't make a difference.
7	CHAIRMAN IRVING: It's immaterial to you?
8	All right. We have such a busy day of witnesses,
9	with such important statements, what we will do is
10	see what time there is at the end of the day, and
11	if you then want to testify
12	SGT. JONES: I wasn't subpoenaed to tes-
13	tify or nothing?
14	HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Absolutely not.
15	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Sheriff, go ahead.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I didn't get your
17	name, I'm sorry.
18	SGT. JONES: William Jones.
19	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me æsk you
20	this: Are you familiar with any of these hearings?
21	SGT. JONES: What I've read, and heard
22	in the jail.
23	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How recently have
24	you read or heard of it?
	SGT. JONES: In the last article that

was there in the paper, what, a couple of days 1 ago. Naturally, I hear them talking about it. 2 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In other words, you heard between last Wednesday and today's date 4 5 some--what you read in the newspaper. Now, let me ask you this: Do you wish to 6 7 testify here at all? SGT. JONES: Not on my own accord. I 8 9 didn't ask to--COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, that's 10 what I'm asking. In other words, you're telling 11 me that the Sheriff ordered you to come here and 12 13 testify? SGT. JONES: The way I understand it, 14 I was called yesterday at my home--15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Excuse me. Because of 16 the fact that you are talking at length, we would 17 appreciate it if you would come up and at least 18 answer the Sheriff's questions. 19 We need to get your full name and a mail-20 ing address, sir, just for purposes of the record. 21 SGT. JONES: Sgt. William J. Jones, 22 16 Nance Road, West Orange. 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, now, Officer, 24 may I just continue? 25

1 Is that all right with you, Sheriff, 2 until I satisfy my own mind as to how this developed. 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know whether other 5 people were called by the Sheriff and told to come 6 down and testify? 7 SGT.JONES: No, I don't. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You don't know that. 9 SGT. JONES: No. CHAIRMAN IRVING: And this, you say, was 10 a phone call to your home the other night? 11 SGT. JONES: I was off the other day. 12 I received a phone call from the -- my wife did, I 13 wasn't home -- from Captain Danbeck, stating to call 14 Undersheriff Scanlan. I called Sheriff Scanlon, 15 and Sheriff Scanlon asked me if I was going to be 16 on today. I said yes. So he told me that I was 17 going to, you know, come to the penal reformmeeting 18 this morning, I mean today. So this morning, I 19 was told that I was to appear at the meeting. 20 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Who told you that this 21 morning, sir? 22 SGT. JONES: Sheriff Cryan. 23

24

25

home this morning?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did he call you at your

3

SGT. JONES: No, at his office. I went over to see what was what.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Where did the conversation take place last night, sir?

SGT. JONES: Yesterday, home. I spoke with Sheriff Scanlon from my home, sir. I was called by Captain Danbeck and left word with my wife to call Sheriff Scanlon. When I got there, I called Sheriff Scanlon, Undersheriff Scanlon, and he instructed—well, switched me over to the Sheriff, and the Sheriff said I was going to testify today, and—so this morning, I came in this morning, and he again told me I was going to testify.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Sheriff DeMarino?

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Sgt. Jones, let me ask you this: Were you not and are you not of the belief that you were here to testify because you had to be here, or were you subpoenaed to be here?

SGT. JONES: I thought that—I was under the impression that the Committee had called me for some reason or another, and the only thing the Sheriff told me, that to testify to the fact, one of my—the job that I do.

called, when he called me, you know, I--I don't

know why, you know, he called.

24

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Did he indicate 1 to you last night or this morning what he'd like 2 you to talk about at this hearing? 3 SGT. JONES: Yes. He just said, tell 4 the truth about what my job is. 5 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Just a general 6 description of your job? 7 SGT. JONES: Yes. 8 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I see. I have 9 no further questions. 10 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you very much, 11 Sgt. Jones. 12 SGT. JONES: Am I--13 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You're excused. 14 SGT. JONES: For the rest of the day, or 15 what? 16 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. I think the 17 Sheriff will give you instructions for the rest of 18 the day. We have no instructions. Thank you very 19 much. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 CHAIRMAN IRVING: We'll now go to Officer 22 Wilfred Francis. 23 Officer, will you, for the purposes of 24 the record, state your name and a mailing address,

please?

OFFICER WILFRED FRANCIS: My name is Wilfred P. Francis, Sr.. I'm a corrections officer at the Essex County Jail, Newark, New Jersey. I work the day shift, 8 to 4, supposedly.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Officer, why do you say supposedly?

officer francis: I only used that terminology. Doesn't mean anything particularly.

Is there any particular category you'd like me to speak on?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. Let me review with you, first, how long you've been an officer.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, I've worked as a cottage officer, Menlo Park, Diagnostic Center, working with juvenile offenders for six years. I worked at the Rahway State Prison for two and a half years with adult offenders. I've been working here six and a half years in the Essex County Jail. At the present time, I'm number 23 on the list for sergeant at the Essex County Jail.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you, but it's not clear to me, sir, maybe you did say it, how long you have been at the Essex County Jail.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Six and a half years, I

stated.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, thank you. just didn't catch it.

Officer, last week, there were several subjects that came up which I would like to explore with you. Now, you understand--

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Mr.Chairman, this is a letter--I didn't mail it, but it was addressed to Civil Service Commissioner Simmons. I didn't mail it purposely, because I was supposed to get my lawyer, he's in town but he's doing income taxes and he didn't have any free time, and we were supposed to get together and rearrange the letter in a different format. But that's the reason I just held back this letter. This is in detail just what I was going to take and speak on. Some of the things. The only thing I left out was discrimination.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you desire to enter this letter into the record?

> OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Yes.

Right. May I then ask CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. Ginsberg, our Hearing Officer, to read it and-please be relaxed. I can tell that you're tense.

> I am relaxed, sir. OFFICER FRANCIS:

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You're being very--

Sr..

OFFICER FRANCIS: I just have so much to talk about, I appear that way.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. We appreciate your coming here.

Mr. Ginsberg, would you read this letter,
which I would identify as a letter sent from 27-9
Shaw Avenue, Newark, New Jersey, dated February 20th,
1974, to a Mr. Leonard W. Simmons, Civil Service
Commissioner, State of New Jersey, Trenton, New
Jersey, and it's signed, very truly yours, and the
signature--

OFFICER FRANCIS: I'll sign it.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: --Wilfred P. Francis,

Why don't we read the letter, first, into the record, and you may sign it if you care to.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: "Presently, I am employed at the Essex County Jail, Newark, N. J., in the capacity of Correction Officer, Sheriffs

Department. Enclosed you will find two newspaper clippings in regard to indictments, convictions

& fines imposed upon our prior P.B.A. President namely James Doherty and John Manning, Correction Officers who have been reinstated to forementioned title. According to N.J. Civil Service Rules and

Regulations, Quote page # 43 and paragraph - 5 (4:I - 8. 15) Civil Service Employees who have been convicted of any crime or other unlawful offense or has committed any act involving moral turpitude or infamous or disgraceful conduct, Causes for removal, Page 68, paragraph # 8) 4:I - 16.9, states that any one of the following, shall be cause for immediate removal from the service, although removals may be made for sufficient causes other than those listed, such as conduct immoral & disorderly persons.

"Also, I would like to report violations and infractions of Civil Service laws and rules within Essex County, at the Essex County Jail, Court Attendants, Cooks, Nurses, Storekeeper, Administrative Records Clerks, Trade Instructor, Pharmacist, Deputy Wardens, and Sheriffs Detectives. Preference for most jobs in Essex County are given to persons who have done service for the Democratic Party, whether employee has temporary or permanent status. The Hatch Act prohibits federal civil servants, as well as state and local government workers engaged in activities financed with federal funds, from engaging in any activity on behalf or in support of any political party or partisan

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

candidate, such as selling testimonial tickets, serving at the voting polls for Board of Freeholders
members, Senators, Assemblyman, Congressman and
County Chairman.

"However, other possible violations and infractions, detrimental to Civil Service Rules & Regulations, such as oppression of Civil Service employees by appointing authority, temporary and permanent employees working out of title, indefinite leaves of absences for years to other county jobs, temporary employees remain on jobs indefinitely and aren't terminated after 90 days and rehired, after notifying Civil Service, bypassing eligible roster list, partiality in promotions, inadequate testing for fitness for C/O job, political patronage, no show jobs, assignments, undue harassment and denying any credit for prior Civil Service in computing sick leave, vacation time and longevity benefits for county employees.

"Your kindness and careful consideration, in the forementioned matter, shall be greatly appreciated. Yours very truly, Wilfred P. Francis", and I please ask you to sign it right now.

(The letter was signed by Officer Francis."

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I'll mark 1 2 that Exhibit -3. COMMISSIONER IRVING: Officer, are you 3 concerned this morning, in appearing before us, 4 about whether there will be any recrimination 5 against you for testifying freely? 6 OFFICER FRANCIS: I'm not worried about 7 any reprisals. There's no job in Essex County Jail 8 I can't perform. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. Are you 10 willing now to talk freely about this matter? 11 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, I am. 12 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And other matters? 13 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, I am. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Officer, I realize you 15 are not an attorney. We are a fact-finding Commis-16 sion. 17 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you believe that 19 this fact-finding Commission ought to deal with 20 these serious matters? 21 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. I think it should 22 be sent to Grand Jury. 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You think--24 OFFICER FRANCIS: I think it should have a 25

1 2 3

Grand Jury investigation, and I think the representatives should be outside of the County, Essex County.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Let's review, then, briefly, what you think ought to be presented to a Grand Jury.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, at the present time, we have two undersheriffs. Both these undersheriffs are on leave of absence as corrections officers; one from the Essex County Jail, one from the Essex County Penitentiary. Prior to working as undersheriffs, they were detectives for the Prosecutor's office, and now, they're on leave of absence from the Prosecutor's office for X amount of years, and they are undersheriffs and they're our bosses. Actually, they're on the same level as us, but they have the title, due to politics.

Also, we have two deputy wardens on the day shift. We don't need two deputy wardens. What for? We don't even have a warden.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: How long have you been without a warden?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Warden Troy was our last warden. He took a terminal leave of absence two years ago. Two years with the Prosecutor's office,

that makes four years. 1 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know what two 3 shifts the deputy wardens work? OFFICER FRANCIS: Both work the same 4 shift, 8 to 4. 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: What shift do the two 6 7 undersheriffs work? OFFICER FRANCIS: Both of them work the 8 8 to 4, and the sheriff at his disposal. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Who is the top manin 10 control of the jail at night, sir? 11 OFFICER FRANCIS: At night, Captain Dan-12 beck. 13 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is he the next man--14 OFFICER FRANCIS: He's on 12 to 8. 15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Going down the rank, 16 I don't know the administrative structure--17 OFFICER FRANCIS: You have a sheriff, you have 18 two undersheriffs, you have two deputy wardens, and 19 you have captains, lieutenants, and sergeants, and 20 correction officers, permanent, and then, the tem-21 porary correction officers. 22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. Ginsberg? 23 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: One thing I'd 24 like to add to the record, and that is, former War-

5

den Troy phoned me last night, and he was also instructed to testify here this morning. I asked him
if he wanted to, and he said that he really didn't
want to, but that he had been asked to by the
prison administration, and I told him that he needn't
bother come today if he didn't feel like it.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you.

What else do you think, Officer, ought to be looked at by a Grand Jury?

Officer Bottone had mentioned previously about that he was 27 on the list, and I'm 23 on the list. So far, I believe they've certified up to number 10.

I believe certified is number 10. Two of the corrections officers were—as stated in that letter, they were indicted, they were convicted, they were sentenced, they were fined, and they were reinstated on the job, due to the recommendation of the job in Morris County, on his recommendation to the Civil Service, Mangione, in Essex County, and the Appointing Authority.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there anything else you believe the Grand Jury ought to look at?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Well, seniority was in the contract. As far as seniority and prefer

ence, which in Essex means it's supposed to prevail, but it doesn't, and because of this, and because of--when corrections--I mean when individuals come off the street and they take them over to the various schools to become corrections officers, they get an eligibility roster list; in other words, they notify the applicant of the examination, whether or not they passed or not. They give them a rating, you know, who was number 1, 2, whatever standing they Now, when these individuals receive this notice, then they're waiting to be certified. In the meantime, you have temporary employees, political temporary employees who are employed there at the Essex County Jail and within all other county jobs, and these employees, unless they're aware of what's happening within the county, they still work to be certified, while temporary employees fill in the vacancies, and this is the primary reason for the exam to be given, so the temporary employee can be replaced.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Officer, let me ask
you this, in terms of employees there. Does it
happen that a county jail guard is assigned to work
in non-jail matters?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Non-jail matters? I

24

20

21

22

23

have no knowledge of this. Non-jail. What do 1 you mean, non-related? 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Unrelated matters, yes. 3 OFFICER FRANCIS: Non-related? 4 no knowledge of this here, no. In regards to what? 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: I have in mind, for 6 example, any political activity? 7 OFFICER FRANCIS: No, no. The only political 8 activity is, you have, as far as the men taking--9 well, they do go out, and they go out, you know, 10 work on the polls during election time, you know. 11 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is this during their 12 working hours, sir? 13 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: They're paid their 15 day's work as a jail guard for working--16 OFFICER FRANCIS: And they're receiving 17 the pay from the party. 18 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, let me see if I 19 understand that; that on a specific day, a jail 20 quard may be assigned to work at the polls--21 OFFICER FRANCIS: In other words--22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me just finish this, 23 to see if I understand it. 24 OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. 25

1 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And on such a day, the 2 jail guard gets his regular pay as a jail guard, 3 and he also gets extra compensation --4 OFFICER FRANCIS: From the party. 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: -- from the political 6 party? 7 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is this as a watcher? 8 9 OFFICER FRANCIS: For working. CHAIRMAN IRVING: As a worker? 10 OFFICER FRANCIS: As a worker. Maybe as, 11 you know--maybe a committeeman, or the person to 12 go around getting the voters out, what have you. 13 But it may be that he's working, but certain poli-14 ticians call up and say, let so and so off, and he'll 15 go off and go to work. 16 CHAIRMAN IRVING: When was the last time, 17 to your knowledge, that this assignment took place? 18 OFFICER FRANCIS: The last elections. 19 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You mean the last 20 elections, November of 1973? 21 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Could you estimate for 23 us--now, I understand there are about 200 guards 24 employed at the jail; is that correct? 25

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, but you wouldn't include the ones working 12 to 8, but primarily like the ones 8 to 4 and 4 to 12.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Could you estimate, of the guards employed at the jail, how many, approximately have been assigned--

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I couldn't.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Would you say perhaps a dozen?

OFFICER FRANCIS: About a dozen, yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: About a dozen have worked at the polls?

OFFICER FRANCIS: About, yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there any other political activity that the guards are--

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, usually now, in regards to the--all right, then. The individual is waiting to be certified to get the job as correction officer, county correction officer, whether it's at the Essex County Jail, the court attendant, the penitentiary, prosecutor's office, any job, and he's waiting to be certified. Now, prior to certification, usually, he gets certified, it's usually a month, a month before election time, you know, when these people get certified. So

when these people get certified, they have a probationary period to go through, and during this 90 day period, the man comes up to him--you have a bagman. I'm not speaking of the top, the sheriff or the undersheriff or something like that; I'm talking about a bagman. If you want me to mention the name, I will.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I would just as soon
you hold the name for the Grand Jury, sir, and
understand, the reason for that is because these
men are not here, and we want to find out the facts,
but we don't want to be un-lawyer like in our
procedure; the man is not here to defend himself,
you see.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Right, right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: So what we would like to know is, any procedure that goes on, political or otherwise, that demoralizes the guards. That's a concern to us.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, yes. So it pertains to myself. You know, upon my probationary period in 1968, I was asked by the deputy warden--at that time, it was Mr. Mulvaney. He's dead, he's deceased at this particular time, and he was a gentleman, and he came to me like a gentleman and he told me that,

1

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, it's necessary for you, to take and keep the job, you will have to take and contribute, and then he said, possibly X amount of dollars, and I told him, I said I couldn't afford this, you know.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Can you tell us when this occurred, sir?

OFFICER FRANCIS: 1968

CHAIRMAN IRVING: 1968?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. Now, I gave what I could afford at that particular time. I only had -- I have five kids. My wife is a severe diabetic, and I was unable to take and afford anything, but I did give him \$10. Okay. Shortly after that, because the donation was too small, apparently, I was transferred to the 4 to 12 shift for X amount of--for I guess thirteen months. Then after that, I put in the request to be transferred back to the 8 to 4 shift, if a vacancy indicates, please consider this notice as an indication of my desire to be transferred back to the 8 to 12 shift, and then honored it. Throughout the years, they never came to me anymore, because I wouldn't take and donate. So therefore, I got all the leftover jobs, even though I had the seniority over quite a few of

my colleagues.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You're saying then, sir, are you, that since 1968, nobody has approached you and asked for--

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, indirectly, they have, too. I had two temporary officers who were on the 8 to 4 shift; one on the 4 to 12 shift. They came up and asked me whether I would like to donate or buy tickets. One of the officers, I was working in the hospital, the sheriff had a picnic, selling tickets, \$8.50 a piece. They gave the man the tickets, he didn't sell them, he was taking out of the job and terminated because he didn't sell the tickets.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: When was this, sir?

OFFICER FRANCIS: 1972, early part of '73,

I believe.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You used the reference before to "bagman". Would you describe that to us, although I think we can get what a bagman is.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Well, the bagman is the person that goes around soliciting indirectly for the sheriff or other politicians, whether he's a freeholder, councilman, or what have you. He's usually in the county, so it's the freeholders, or

1 senators. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know whether there are bagmen currently performing this function 3 4 at the Essex County Jail? 5 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN IRVING: How many bagmen are 6 7 there, would you say? OFFICER FRANCIS: Oh, I would say--well, 8 to my knowledge, I know five. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You know five bagman? 10 OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. 11 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Currently soliciting 12 political contributions? 13 OFFICER FRANCIS: Right, and just recently, 14 the man came up and asked me did I want to purchase 15 a ticket for the Patrick Scanlon association affair. 16 Patrick Scanlon, he's the undersheriff. 17 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did this request to 18 purchase a ticket for the Scanlon affair occur on 19 jail property? 20 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 21 During your working day? CHAIRMAN IRVING: 22 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, it does. 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: The man that asked you 24 to purchase tickets; was he working at the time? 25

1 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is he an employee of 3 the Essex County Jail? OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, sir, he is. 4 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is he an officer? 6 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, sir, he is. CHAIRMAN IRVING: And can you tell us 7 approximately when this occurred? Is this in the 8 9 last two weeks? 10 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know whether 11 other people within the last two weeks have been 12 asked to purchase such tickets? 13 14 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know how many 15 16 have been asked? OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN IRVING: How many? 18 OFFICER FRANCIS: Not exactly. But about 19 three quarters or seven eighths of the employees 20 at the Essex County Jail. They have representatives 21 on each shift. 22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: You mean it's--23 OFFICER FRANCIS: In other words, they 24 have a bag-man on each shift. 25

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you then describing for us what you would call a widespread and organized practice for collecting political contributions? OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

> CHAIRMAN IRVING: During the working hours? OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, are these voluntary contributions, sir?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, so they claim. And if you don't contribute, you're subject to reprisals, you know, as I stated previously in regards to assignments, days off, or what have you.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Now, we were just outlining some of the concerns that you were having, because you understand that we cannot go into them --

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, that's true.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: --in great detail in the one day.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Mr. Irving, are you aware of the procedure in regards -- Civil Service procedure, Rules and Regulations in regards to temporary employees?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: We tried last week to ascertain the differences between temporary and

Ti.

permanent.

them provisionals. Provisional employee is supposed to be rehired, terminated and re-rehired after six months of employment. These temporary employees are political appointees, and then they're allowed, then they're allowed to take and work in different, better capacity or better assignments than officers that have taken Civil Service exams, and the only reason he didn't, he doesn't want to take and do no tapdancing.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Officer, Sheriff DeMarino is familiar with the Civil Service procedure. Would you answer some of his questions?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, I will.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: First of all, I took these questions as you were testifying all the way through. Let me see if I can get most of the points that the Commission would like to hear.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: One, you mentioned that there are many Civil Service people in jobs of senior capacity; officers, undersheriffs, wardens, deputy wardens, what have you, and they are on a leave of absence?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Leave of absence from two jobs.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Now, let me ask you this: Do you know from your own knowledge whether or not that is legal or illegal?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Illegal.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Within the county, yes.
You can't do it even in the State. In other words,
when I left the State as a cottage officer to go
to the State Prison as a prison officer, I could
not take a leave of absence; all I could take was a
transfer. I could not take a State job and be on
the same payroll, two payrolls. I can take a State
job and work for the county, or State, City and
Federal, but that's not the same. This man is
working three jobs in three different capacities.

commissioner de Marino: You're aware, then, that under the Civil Service Rules, you can get a leave of absence for six months?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Any second leave of absence at that point must be approved by the governing body, if it's county level; Board of Freeholders.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

5

commissioner de marino: What you're telling me and you're telling the Commission is that presently, there are people that you have direct knowledge of that are on leave of absence from one position—as an example, we'll say Prosecutor's office—

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: --and within that six month period, he now combines or takes another leave of absence to fill--

of absence from the Essex County Jail. He accepts a position in the Prosecutor's office on a temporary basis as an investigator. He takes a leave of absence from there to be an undersheriff, as an appointee.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let's get them slow, so we understand.

Let's use your facts; I was giving an example. He's a corrections officer in the jail?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: He takes a leave of absence for six months?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You agree and 2 I agree that he's entitled to that six month leave--3 OFFICER FRANCIS: Six months --COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Yes. 5 OFFICER FRANCIS: --to a year. 6 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, he's allowed 7 six months. If he goes beyond the six months, he 8 then needs approval of the governing body. 9 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. A, who is 11 a corrections officer, took a six month leave of 12 absence from the correction position, and he 13 assumed a position of investigator in the Prosecu-14 tor's office. 15 OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. 16 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Unless I'm wrong, 17 and I doubt if I am, I believe the investigators 18 are not permanent Civil Service positions, are they? 19 OFFICER FRANCIS: No, they're temporary. 20 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: They're appointed 21 people, aren't they, by the Prosecutor? OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. 22 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And so there's 23 a question of whether that transfer is even right. 24

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, let's assume he's in that second stage, now, as an investigator 2 3 within the Prosecutor's office. 4 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, you tell me, 5 did he complete six months there? Did he go beyond--6 7 was he--8 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So he went beyond 9 the six months as an investigator? 10 11 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you know for 12 a fact whether he ever renewed that leave of absence 13 with the corrections officer's position? 14 OFFICER FRANCIS: Renew it? 15 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Yes. 16 OFFICER FRANCIS: For ten years. 17 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, an extension. 18 OFFICER FRANCIS: I'm telling you, ten 19 years, ten or fifteen years. 20 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. You 21 answered my question. You see, you can make trans-22 23 fers. OFFICER FRANCIS: I understand this. I'm 24

25

aware of that.

you understand,; I don't think the public understands and the press understands, and I'm certain that some of the Commission members don't understand, and this will serve to clarify the issue, so those who read the record will understand.

OFFICER FRANCIS: I'm talking about indefinite leave of absence. I'm not talking about six months or a year; I'm talking about indefinite leave of absence as a corrections officer at the Essex County Jail.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You've answered my question.

So they're compounding leave of absence upon leave of absence, beyond what Civil Service allows, and I'm sure you--

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You say there are two undersheriffs, and there are two deputy wardens, and they work steady days?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Right. Steady days, weekends off.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: What amount of superiors do you have on the 4 to 12, or the midnight shift?

1	OFFICER FRANCIS: What superiors?
2	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you have any
3	deputy wardens?
4	OFFICER FRANCIS: No, you do not. Usually,
5	you have a captain, one captain.
6	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That's the highest
7	rank?
8	OFFICER FRANCIS: If he's off, you have
9	a lieutenant acting as a captain.
10	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: What I would like
11	you to do, if you will, I'm going to try to get
12	it out of you so we can understand.
13	So the highest rank you have on 4 to 12
14	shift is a captain?
15	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And the highest
17	rank you have on the midnight shift is a captain?
18	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Beneath the
20	captain, then there are lieutenants?
21	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Approximately
23	how many?
24	OFFICER FRANCIS: How many? Let me see.
25	You have two.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Two. Is that on both thd 4 to 12 and the midnight shift?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: As opposed to the day shift, now, how many superior officers work?

You told me there were two undersheriffs.

OFFICER FRANCIS: You got the sheriff,

two undersheriffs, two deputy wardens, you got

Captain Tommy Walsh, Captain Jimmy Walsh, Captain

Patidi. Three captains. That's it.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That gives you approximately eight senior officers on the day shift?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: These people work steady days and no weekends?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Steady days, no weekends.

talk about the amount of corrections officers that work days, 4 to 12, and nights. Now, I understand and I'm sure you understand that during the day shift is when more of the volume of the people go back and forth, the courts and whatnot, so there's more of a reason for men to work 8 to

4 than 4 to 12 and the midnight shift. OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How many officers 3 from the low rank of correction officer to the top 4 senior rank, excluding the sheriff, now, up to 5 the undersheriff, work days? 6 OFFICER FRANCIS: I'll give you a break-7 down, if you have a pencil. 8 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Approximate, 9 10 you don't--OFFICER FRANCIS: I have to go according 11 to assignments. I just can't give it off like 12 I know every assignment in there. You got 13 the second floor, consists of five officers; the 14 third consists of four matrons and a sergeant, 15 that makes five; each floor, two, four, six, eight, 16 ten and twelve, five officers each. That's thirty, 17 right? 18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That's right. 19 Six floors, five each is thirty, right? 20 OFFICER FRANCIS: That's thirty, right? 21 You got the gym, two officers, that's 22 You got the laundry, that's one; you have the 23 trade instructor is one, you have the rec room is 24 five officers, five officers in the record room--25

let me see. You have an officer who's a correction 2 officer working as a nurse in the hospital, out of 3 his title--4 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, so 5 approximately, anywhere between 40 and 45--6 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Then you have 7 officers with regular days off. 8 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. I'm 9 saying--10 OFFICER FRANCIS: You mean on duty? COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: On duty, what it 11 takes to man the ship. You need approximately 40 12 13 men? OFFICER FRANCIS: At least 40 officers. 14 Then you have other details. You have the runner, 15 you have the transportation--16 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. Francis, 17 please, I'm trying to get to a point. 40, approxi-18 19 mately 40--OFFICER FRANCIS: No, 50 officers. 20 About 50 officers. 21 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How many on the 22 4 to 12, approximately? Same amount? 23 OFFICER FRANCIS: Let me see. It's 24 about the same. I think if I'm not mistaken, I 25

5

think it takes 70 officers on the day shift. I think it takes 70 officers on the day shift.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. Francis,
I'm going to try to move it up, because I want to
get to the Civil Service questions that I have
listed.

You worked up figures here, or figures were worked up, and you show me approximately 144 officers working days, seventy-five on the 4 to 12, and seventy-five midnights, and the only reason I was driving you to this point was that I wanted to show the Commission that even though there is more work volume during the day shift, there's a need for more guards, as opposed to the night shift, when everybody is sleeping.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Everybody.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I just want to show the difference of the one hundred forty-four days versus the seventy nights, which means there's a lot of room for these type jobs that previous witnesses and you are talking about; jobs that are steady days, and not much involved with the procedures of the institution.

All right. Is the morale low?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Very. Can't go any

lower.

ask you this: How many temps as opposed to permanent employees are there in your institution? Would you have that? Would you know approximately?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Offhand, according to this here, we have 51.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: 51 temps?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: At the Essex County Jail?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Essex County Jail.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Is there an active, certified list?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, there is.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: When did that list come out?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Oh, I think they got two lists. I think they got two or three lists.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Now, certified--and you're familiar with the term certified; that means the exam was taken--

OFFICER FRANCIS: I understand what you're saying. These people were notified that they had passed their exam, but they're not certifying any-

body.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Just for the terminology, so that you understand it and the Commission understands it, the certified lists, under Civil Service, as I know it, is that tests were taken?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Members that had taken this test, passed the test?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. It then goes into the Department of Civil Service and they compile a certified list, which they send directly to the sheriff, and they list them one to one hundred, or depending on how many openings or how many passed.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That type of list; you say there's one, two, three?

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, no, no. I said there was three eligible roster lists. How many were certified, I'd have to check with Mr. Mangione, Civil Service.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Have you ever checked to see if any of those--

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I haven't. But there are certain officers on the job from time to time who told me that they were certified.

1	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Maybe we'll get
2	it out in the next couple of questions I have.
3	Are you presently on a certified Civil
4	Service list?
5	OFFICER FRANCIS: I'm on the eligible, on
6	the promotional list.
7	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: For what position?
8	OFFICER FRANCIS: Sergeant.
9	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Sergeant; when did
10	you take the test?
11	OFFICER FRANCIS: Let me see. That was
12	1972.
13	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In 1972.
14	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Is
16	that list still active, or did it die?
17	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, sir, it is still
18	active for three years.
19	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: What position
20	were you on the list?
21	OFFICER FRANCIS: 23.
22	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How many, to
23	your knowledge, from that list, have they appointed?
24	OFFICER FRANCIS: They've appointed up
25	to 10.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: It went from 1 2 one to 10? 3 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, and one of the individuals, number five, they skipped over three 4 5 times, to my knowledge. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. I'm 6 7 trying to impress on you that I have a general idea how it operates. One to 10. 8 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And my next 10 question, which you've already answered, is, they 11 jumped over one individual? 12 OFFICER FRANCIS: One individual, and number 13 one man, our PBA president, he was in that letter, 14 he was indicted and convicted of an atrocious 15 assault and battery on a juvenile in 1970, and he 16 wasn't appointed, and there's another gentleman, 17 John Manning, also. 18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So there's possible 19 legitimate reasons that those weren't appointed, 20 they were jumped over. 21 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. They should have 22 been reinstated. 23 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do they use the 24

one-of-three method? Are you familiar with that?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or second, they can do it. 8 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 9 10 11 when you took the exam? 12 13 14 15 positions open? 16 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 17 18 19 Service list? 20 OFFICER FRANCIS: No. 21 22 23 24

25

In other words, under the Civil Service Rules, you're allowed, as the appointing authority--except if he's a disabled veteran, the one-of-three method means if there's one, two, three, four and five, and so on, the number one, two, three, if they want to appoint the third man and bypass the first

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Which means, now -well, let me ask you this question. How many openings, to your knowledge, were there for sergeant

OFFICER FRANCIS: I do not know, offhand. I assumed there was about 15; at least.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Fifteen sergeant

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Were they filled by anyone other than those that were on the Civil

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So all those that made sergeant came off that list?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Came off the list.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Were there any

acting sergeants?

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, there wasn't an acting sergeant. He resigned, the only acting sergeant they had, and the other, he was made permanent, and he's on the list for lieutenant. They had an acting lieutenant who was just terminated as of the other day, and they have a lieutenant's list and they have a captain's list.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Let me ask you this: Did you report this, your problem to Civil Service?

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I didn't.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You never presented this problem to Civil Service?

OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I didn't. I was just in the process of doing it.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Did you do any political work?

OFFICER FRANCIS: None whatsoever, no.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. You said there's no show jobs. I picked that out of your--

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, in the article I read there, the sheriff stated something about the doctor, right, the doctor at the Essex County

Jail. He stated the doctor works four days a week. I think he meant four hours a week. I think that's what he meant; four hours a week, one hour a day, and --then we have a pharmacist there.

Now, the sheriff--I mean the doctor makes \$10,000 a year, and you said that the corrections officers make too much money. Four four hours a week, ten thousand dollars a year is a lot of money for nothing.

Now, the pharmacist is less--

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, I believe we have plenty of testimony as to the pharmacist.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, you mentioned no show jobs.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Right.

OFFICER FRANCIS: I'm getting at that.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: By a no show job, you mean someone that doesn't come to work that's on the payroll?

OFFICER FRANCIS: The pharmacist.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The pharmacist is--

OFFICER FRANCIS: He comes to work when he gets ready.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you this: On that Civil Service list that you're talk-

4

5

6

7

8 9

11 12

10

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

21

20

22

24

23

25

ing about, how many would you say that are in that 90 temporary period, working--you know, you past the exam, they hired you, you're on the 90 day waiting list?

OFFICER FRANCIS: For promotion? COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, on any list. OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, for promotion, you have about two--five, as far as sergeant.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The point I'm trying to get at is this: Is there any, or, to your knowledge, are there any that are knocked off of that list? In other words, they work 88 days and then are terminated, never get to do the 90 days.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Well, not that I remember. The only person that I remember that happened to was one of my coworkers. She's working now, she left before I did, from Menlo Park, Miss Trimmel, Miss Beatrice Trimmel. She was terminated because she didn't make a contribution. She's a matron at the Essex County Jail at the present time. was terminated at 90 days, it expired, and she wasn't through Civil Service; she was reinstated.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. point I'm trying to bring out is this. appointing authority has a lot of room to move.

	L
1	he wants to go down the list and bring on, say,
2	the first ten men, hire them, and then they serve
3	80 days, and then he decides, well, he wants to
4	unload six
5	OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes, true, true.
6	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is there a great
7	turnover, to your knowledge, of that type of situa-
8	tion?
9	OFFICER FRANCIS: No, no.
10	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. That
11	answers the question.
12	Did you ever report any of this soliciting
13	that was attempted
14	OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I didn't.
15	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO:to anyone,
16	any outside authority?
17	OFFICER FRANCIS: No. No, I didn't.
18	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you know for
19	a fact that any of this money that was being soli-
20	cited was going directly to either the sheriff,
21	undersheriff
22	OFFICER FRANCIS: Where the money ended
23	up or whose hands it went into, I'm not aware of.
24	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is it possible
25	that

1 OFFICER FRANCIS: The democratic party 2 is what I would say. 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is it possible 4 that any of these people, and I know of cases like 5 this in my life, solicited on their own? 6 OFFICER FRANCIS: No, I don't think so. 7 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you know if 8 any of these organizations that requested funds or 9 tickets are incorporated in the State? 10 OFFICER FRANCIS: No. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Are bonafide 11 12 organizations? OFFICER FRANCIS: No. 13 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I don't have 14 any other questions. 15 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I have a question 16 17 for you, sir. You mentioned there was racial discrimina-18 tion that you were exposed to in Essex County Jail. 19 OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. 20 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Can you tell 21 us a little about that? 22 OFFICER FRANCIS: Predominantly, Essex 23 County Jail is comprised of seven eighths blacks, 24 right? 25

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Yes

OFFICER FRANCIS: So therefore, you have quite a few assignments at the Essex County Jail.

Consequently, they have two black officers working in the lobby of the--have you ever been there?

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Yes, I have been there.

OFFICER FRANCIS: In the lobby, there's two black officers, one downstairs and one upstairs. It's a hazardous job, and the majority of the inmates are black, so it's compulsory, where they have to assign black officers there. The only jobs they're getting a fair shake, security, we have one black officer, and another one we have just reassigned in internal affairs. Now, other than that, that's it.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What percentage of the total officer population would you say were minority groups?

OFFICER FRANCIS: What percent? We have quite a few.

Is Officer Capro in the audience?

Cesare, do you have a breakdown on that,
what percent of black?

OFFICER CESARE CAPRO: I'd say about a third. About a third of the force.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So that, in fact,

24

25

an inmate can be admitted to the institution and given all the rules and regulations, if that's even done, and not understand a word of it?

OFFICER FRANCIS: True. In one case, we had a person, he was Greek. He came in there, and no one could speak it. We had another person who was Italian.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Would you consider this a substantial problem?

OFFICER FRANCIS: Yes. Even though we have Italians, there's people there that couldn't understand him. We had a homicide down at the east wing of the old jail.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I have no further questions.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Now, you spoke of discrimination, right? Now, it's just like -- all right, then. Right here, on the list that I came off of, in 1968, you have--let me see, now--one, John Morrison, Peter Frazzano, Alfonso De Rogatis, these individuals made higher marks than me, and one of them was a veteran, Raymond Ballasone.

All right, then. You have one, two, three, four, five, six names who, they claim, have seniority over me. These men do not have seniority over

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What they did is, prior to me being employed at the Essex County Jail, because I was coming from a higher branch of government, State Government, as a state correction officer, I refused to accept the minimum salary. They would have to compensate me for my education and experience. So there was a mutual understanding between Freeholder Mintz and Warden Troy that they would compensate me, but they would have to take it up with the Freeholders. These five individuals whose names I just spoke of, they were not supposed to be hired until I was hired. But they did hire these individuals, and they do not have seniority over me, and they're not supposed to. This is only one example, and this is on a continual basis. You can be working there for X amount of time, like your probationary period, and then they can tell you, you go take care of your retirement papers, and then they tell you, your time doesn't start from that particular date that you started, in other words, when you started your employment there at the Essex County Jail; it starts from this here day, and they just tell you verbally. That's all.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me ask, Officer, since our time is limited, if any members of the

Commission want to impose any questions.

Let me, then, Officer, on behalf of the Commission, on behalf of our effort to upgrade corrections, thank you for coming today and making your comments. It may be before we make our recommendations to the State of New Jersey, that we will want to talk to you again.

OFFICER FRANCIS: Thank you for giving me the opportunity.

(Witness excused.)

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN IRVING: The Commission would like, now, to call Miss Leora Mosston and Miss Rosetta Hunter. Do you want to come first, Miss Mosston? Yes, come up.

MISS LEORA MOSSTON: Miss Hunter has asked me to go first, if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Thank you. Would you give us your name and a mailing address?

MISS MOSSTON: Yes. My name is Leora

Mosston, 45 Academy Street, Newark, 07102.

I direct the prisoners' rights project called

PROD, Prisoners' Rights Organized Defense, a project of the American Civil Liberties Union.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Excuse me. Are you

an attorney, Miss Mosston?

MISS MOSSTON: I am not admitted yet. I am an attorney.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you appearing today in an advisory capacity to Rosetta Hunter, Counselor?

be invited.

MISS MOSSTON: Yes, and in addition, I'm appearing as-because many of the clients currently in our office are presently inmates in Essex County correctional facilities, and I just wanted to make a brief statement before, and then I'd like to introduce Miss Hunter because, as I told you when we spoke earlier, I think that the problems of the people inside those institutions are best defined by them, which is why I recommended that Miss Hunter

We have heard many of the statements today before this Commission. May we compliment the Commission for at last giving an opportunity for many good people, both guards and people from behind the bars, who have wanted to share their various experiences in the Essex County corrections system. I hope that many of the other prisoner complaints which we hear will be aired, including vermin in the food and a whole variety of other allegations.

I particularly recommend Miss Hunter

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appearing today, because I think her story, which is corroborated by the medical records I have here, and I processed the filing on her behalf, exemplifies the contempt for human life and human dignity which is characteristic of the Essex County Corrections system. It is certainly far more true as to inmates, the majority of whom are black people from the Essex County area, and the overwhelming majority of whom are poor, and as well as Spanish speaking inmates. This contempt for life, for dignity, for personal worth, I think a story as she will tell herself will speak to that. She has asked that her associate come with her, and that they testify together. We've asked Miss Hunter to just tell the story as she told us the day before she was eventually sentenced to Clinton Reformatory, and she's going to speak just as she told us, and just as our complaint will allege.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you.

Miss Hunter, will you come forward, and Miss Howard, do you want to come forward as well?

Before we ask you your names, would you like to take your coat off, because it is very warm in here. We want you to be as relaxed as you can be in this kind of hearing.

Now, I'm not certain which one is Miss Hunter.

MISS ROSETTA HUNTER: I'm Miss Hunter.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Will you give us your full name and tell us--you are now a resident at a State institution in New Jersey?

MISS HUNTER: My name is Rosetta Hunter, and I'm a resident at the Clinton Reformatory for women.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. Will the other woman identify herself?

MISS GALE HOWARD: My name is Gale Howard, and I'm a resident at the Clinton Reformatory for women.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me ask questions, if you will, Miss Hunter, from you, and then we will ask Miss Howard to either confirm or deny or expand on whatever you want to say.

Now, Miss Hunter, I think it's very important first, to make sure you understand that you don't have to answer any questions from us.

Do you understand that?

MISS HUNTER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Anything that might be especially embarrassing, you do not have to answer.

1	On the otherhand, we encourage you to talk freely
2	and to help us insofar as you can. What I would like
3	to do is go through your experiences at the Essex
4	County Jail.
5	Now, were you at one time admitted to the
6	Essex County Jail?
7	MISS HUNTER: Yes, I was.
8	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you remember the
9	approximate date?
10	MISS HUNTER: I think it was December 14th.
11	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Of what year; was that
12	1973?
13	MISS HUNTER: 1973.
14	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, at the time that
15	you were admitted to the Essex County Jail, about
16	mid December of 1973, did you indicate that you were
17	not feeling well?
18	MISS HUNTER: Yes, I did.
19	CHAIRMAN IRVING: And to whom did you
20	indicate that?
21	MISS HUNTER: To the officers.
22	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Correctional guards,
23	do you mean?
24	MISS HUNTER: Right.
25	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you indicate why

1	
1	you were not feeling well, what your problem was?
2	MISS HUNTER: Yes. I had told him that I
3	hadmissed my
4	MISS HOWARD: Your monthly.
5	MISS HUNTER: Jesus.
6	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you have reason to
7	believe you might be pregnant?
8	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, at the time, Miss
10	Hunter, that you were admitted to the jail, were
11	you denied bail?
12	MISS HUNTER: Yes, they had revoked my
13	bail.
14	CHAIRMAN IRVING: They had revoked your
15	bail? Did they tell you why?
16	MISS HUNTER: Because I had jumped bail.
17	CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Let's go on
18	with your story. You told at least one correction
19	guard that you weren't feeling well; that you thought
20	you might be pregnant.
21	MISS HUNTER: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN IRVING: What did the guard do?
23	MISS HUNTER: She laughed at me.
24	CHAIRMAN IRVING: She laughed at you?
25	Were you admitted to a cell thereafter?

1 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, before you were ad-3 mitted to a cell, was there any physical examination 4 done? 5 MISS HUNTER: No, there wasn't. 6 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you see a doctor? 7 MISS HUNTER: No. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you ask to see a 9 doctor? 10 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN IRVING: What were you told when 12 you were asked to see a doctor? MISS HUNTER: Put my name down on sick call. 13 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Were you feel-14 15 ing ill at the time? MISS HUNTER: No, I wasn't. I just wanted 16 to make sure that I was pregnant, because I hadn't 17 been examined, and I wanted to be examined by the 18 doctor, but I never did get the chance to see him. 19 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do I understand then, 20 that the following morning, you put your name on 21 22 sick call? MISS HUNTER: I kept my name on sick call 23 for about three weeks. 24 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And during that three

Hunter, is that from the day you were admitted to the Essex County Jail, mid-December, until you were taken out, you saw no doctor?

MISS HUNTER: No. Only but the nurses.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did you later find that
you were pregnant?

MISS HUNTER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And did you come to the fulfillment of the child development while you were in the jail?

MISS HUNTER: Yes, I was eight months, and fifteen days pregnant.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Now, what finally happened, as the pregnancy advanced?

I mean to say, were you taken out and was there a baby delivered?

MISS HUNTER: I never had a child before, so I didn't know, you know, the feeling you got when you went into labor, because I'm used to, you know, having pains in my stomach, because I suffer with female trouble, right, so when I started having pains, I thought I was having female trouble, so I started complaining to the officers. So the officers must have told it to the nurse, and the nurse brought me down something for pain. That was

the first day, right, and then, also, I was getting Ė my own medication, the sleeping medication and the 2 3 Thorazine, right? And then, the second day, I still was complaining about the pain, and they brought 4 me down medication, right? Now, they bring me down 5 all this medication, but I haven't seen no doctor, 6 7 but I'm still getting medication, right? third day, when I started bleeding, I called to one 8 9 of the girls, right, and then the girl called to the officer and had the officer to slide my door, 10 and when they slide my door and they slide a few 11 more girls' doors, they come in and--12 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Excuse me, just a minute. 13 Can you tell me when this was? Was this January? 14 MISS HUNTER: January 14th. 15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: January 14th. Was it 16 17 the middle of the night or--MISS HUNTER: Just before bedtime. 18 MISS HOWARD: Just before bedtime. 19 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Before bedtime. 20 21 you go on, then? MISS HUNTER: Yeah. Well, the night 22 before then, I had tried to get in contact with 23 the officer, right, and I kept calling him and calling 24

him and calling him, and being that I was hurting so

24

25

bad, I can only but call but so loud, I couldn't really yell for her to hear me. So the girls called for me, and when they asked her to come see me, she told them that she couldn't, because she was doing paper work. Now, I'm dizzy and getting ready to fall out, you know, and she going to tell them that she can't see what's the matter with me because her paper work is more important, right? So that next day, that's when I started bleeding, what have you, right? So when they went to give me some medication, you know, I refused it, right, and I said I wanted to see the doctor. So I didn't get the doctor there by myself, I got the doctor there through the girls, because they was bitching--they was--

CHAIRMAN IRVING: They were trying to help you, I take it.

MISS HUNTER: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do I understand you to say that in order to give you some help, and I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, that women were released from other cells; the doors were open so they could come in and tend to you?

MISS HUNTER: Not at first, though.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: This was the night of

the bleeding? 1 2 MISS HUNTER: This was after. CHAIRMAN IRVING: The night of the bleed-3 ing, they were released, they were allowed to come 4 5 in to you? MISS HUNTER: Right. 6 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, is your associate 7 here, Gale Howard, one of the woman who was in a 8 cell next to you? 9 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And when the bleeding 11 began, she was allowed to come in? 12 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And when Miss Howard came 14 in, what did you say to her? What did she say to 15 you? 16 MISS HUNTER: I told her that I was scared, 17 because I didn't know what was happening to me, 18 you know. All of a sudden, I'm bleeding, you know. 19 Then they started banging on the walls, and stuff 20 and telling the officer to call the doctor, and 21 they told me that the doctor lives in East Orange 22 and they couldn't call the doctor, you know? 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: By "they" you mean 24 correctional guards? 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MISS HUNTER: Yeah. Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: NOw, Miss Hunter, when Miss Howard came in to attend to you, what did she say when she saw that you were bleeding, if anything?

MISS HUNTER: She said she think I was having a miscarriage.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: She thought you were having a miscarriage, and did you then tell the correctional guards you thought you might be having a miscarriage?

MISS HUNTER: I had been telling them that for seven weeks, that I was pregnant, but they wouldn't believe me.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, then what happened, when the women began banging on the walls for attention?

MISS HUNTER: Finally, they got her to call a doctor, right, and when the doctor came in, that was when I seen him. He came in and he felt my stomach, and he said, damn it, bitch, you know, you know, I was going into labor.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is that what he said: Damn it, bitch?

MISS HUNTER: He called me a bitch.

23

24

MISS HUNTER: Yeah. Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: NOw, Miss Hunter, when Miss Howard came in to attend to you, what did she say when she saw that you were bleeding, if anything?

MISS HUNTER: She said she think I was having a miscarriage.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: She thought you were having a miscarriage, and did you then tell the correctional guards you thought you might be having a miscarriage?

MISS HUNTER: I had been telling them that for seven weeks, that I was pregnant, but they wouldn't believe me.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, then what happened, when the women began banging on the walls for attention?

mISS HUNTER: Finally, they got her to call a doctor, right, and when the doctor came in, that was when I seen him. He came in and he felt my stomach, and he said, damn it, bitch, you know, you know, I was going into labor.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is that what he said: Damn it, bitch?

MISS HUNTER: He called me a bitch.

	112
1	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did he say anything else
2	to you?
3	MISS HUNTER: No. Just rushed me to the
4	hospital.
5	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know who this
6	doctor was?
7	MISS HUNTER: Doctor Simms.
8	MISS HOWARD: Can I say something?
9	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well, what I would like
10	to do, Miss Howard, in order that we be clear, is
11	ask Miss Hunter, first, to complete her story, then
12	you may say whatever you want to say.
13	All right. What happened thereafter?
14	Were you then taken out of the cell?
15	MISS HUNTER: Yes, into the hospital.
16	CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. And were
17	you conscious at the time?
18	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN IRVING: You were aware you were
20	being taken? What happened at the hospital?
21	MISS HUNTER: I had my baby.
22	CHAIRMAN IRVING: And can you tell us
23	whether the baby was healthy or was there a problem?
24	MISS HUNTER: As far as I can see, he was
25	healthy, but the doctor say he was born a day and

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a half dead.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Miss Howard, would you like to add something to that?

MISS HOWARD: Well, all I can say is that before we got the attentions of the guard--when we did get the attentions of the guard, before they slid the doors for us to come to her aid, right, we were banging on the wall, we had to yell, this must have went on for a half hour. She would run back there and she'd say, I can't do nothing because there's no doctor here, and so they called the nurse, right? The nurse--this is before bedtime, right, and Rosetta had went in her room because her stomach was hurting, right, and I had told Rosetta while we was eating, because she couldn't keep nothing in her stomach, I said, Rosetta, you pregnant, and she said, cut it out, you know, because she used to always talking about having a baby, and she wanted a baby so bad. So I told her she was pregnant, and she went into the room and started having pains, right? So she called out for one of the girls to call the correction officer to call a nurse, because her stomach was paining her, but then, when the nurse did come, he comes down and he--I helped Rosetta, me and another inmate helped

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rosetta out her room into a little room, what they call a hospital room, or the doctor's examination office, right across from the tier, and he goes in there and he give her an injection for pain. This is the nurse, right? So then she goes, lays down, and she goes to sleep for awhile. wakes up again and this is when she finds herself bleeding. So we're banging again, and you know, we can't get no attention, so we start yelling and screaming and stuff. So when the guard comes, she says, nothing she can do. She said, you might as well just wait, because she was doing her paper work, right? So then I told her, I said, well, slide my door, I'll go in, sit with her until somebody comes, because the girl is in pain, right? So she opens the door, and meanwhile, they call a nurse down again and he give her another injection for pain, but it doesn't help her none because she's still hurting, and like she said, the pain was getting greater and greater. So by this time, it must be about--let me see, what, the 12 to 8 was on, right? It had to be after 12, because the 12 to 8 shift was on, right? It had to be after 12, because the 12 to 8 shift was on. So by this time, she was really hurting, and she couldn't go

2

to sleep. So they called the doctor, and it must have taken him an hour, hour and a half to get there, and when he came, the statement she made, damn it, bitch, like that there, and then they took her out to the hospital, and when we heard the report that Rosetta had had a baby, everybody was happy, right, because she always wanted a baby. Then they said the baby was born dead, you know, and like, I know it took a lot out of her, and from the hospital, after all this time, you know, her being laying in the County Jail, they released her. I guess they didn't want to feel responsible, you know, for what happened.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I would like to go into that in some detail.

Miss Hunter, do you recall how it happened-if you're not composed, we can wait until you are.

How did it happen that you were released from the hospital? Do you remember that?

MISS HUNTER: When I was trying to get my bail back, right, they denied me bail. They told me I couldn't make bail because I had a warrant. So when I finally got a bail on the warrant, they snatched my bail altogether, right? So this way, I can't get out, right? I have to stay there,

1	right? So after I had lost the baby, I get a
2	paper saying, that whatever type of bail that I
3	could make, write them, you know? I mean II
4	was in there, trying to get out, they wouldn't
5	let me out. They snatched my bail. My mother
6	had the money to pay for my bail, but they denied
7	it. Now, after I lost the baby, they going to
8	send a letter telling me whatever type of bail I
9	can make, write it, you know, write it down.
10	CHAIRMAN IRVING: This was told to you
11	at the hospital, was it?
12	MISS HUNTER: Yeah, I got a letter.
13	CHAIRMAN IRVING: That you should pay what-
14	ever part of the bill, whatever you were able to
15	pay?
16	MISS HUNTER: No, write down what type of
17	bail I could make.
18	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Bail, I'm sorry. What
19	kind of bail you could make?
20	MISS HUNTER: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Was this a person at
22	the hospital who told you this?
23	MISS HUNTER: No, this was a letter,
24	County Jail.

MISS HOWARD: County Jail.

MISS HUNTER: And then I get another letter for a lawyer, right, and that one of my possessions had been dropped, right? You got to I was in go to Court to get a possession dropped. the hospital. I hadn't went nowhere. I was in the-all this is happening while I'm in the hospital, right? So the next time I see a piece of paper, this man come in with a piece of paper saying, Miss Hunter? I said yes? He said, would you mind signing this, I said, if that's a new charge, you can forget about it. I wasn't going to sign it. And he said, no, you being released and I tell him, you know, don't play with me like that, right? So he said, no, seriously, you being released in your own recognizance, and I was shocked.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Who was this person? Was he a doctor?

MISS HUNTER: He was a guard, a correction, a guard there at the Essex County Penitentiary.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: He was a guard at the Essex County Penitentiary?

MISS HOWARD: Essex County Jail.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You mean the Essex

County Jail?

MISS HUNTER: Jail.

24

13

19

20

21

22

23

1	CHAIRMAN IRVING: This conversation, however
2	occurred at the hospital? You were in the hospital
3	bed when the guard came to you
4	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN IRVING:with the release form?
6	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN IRVING: And did you sign the
8	release?
9	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Were you given a copy of
11	that release?
12	MISS HUNTER: No. I just signed it and
13	that was that.
14	CHAIRMAN IRVING: And did the guard explain
15	to you how it happened that you were now released
16	on your own recognizance?
17	MISS HUNTER: No. I asked him, and he
18	said he didn't know.
19	CHAIRMAN IRVING: He didn't know, and then,
20	when you were then physically able to, I take it
21	you just released yourself from the hospital?
22	MISS HUNTER: Two weeks later.
23	CHAIRMAN IRVING: You stayed in the
24	hospital for two weeks, and then you were released
25	and were free.

MISS HUNTER: Right.

MISS HUNTER: Well--

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Was there any reason concerned with your health why it took two weeks longer for you in the hospital to be released?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: If you want to tell us.

If you don't, it's not--

MISS HUNTER: I believe it was because my blood pressure kept going up.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I see. Is there anything else that you want to tell us about this experience, or have you told us the full story, as you remember it?

MISS HUNTER: I, myself, believe that the only reason that they let me go was because maybe they figured I wouldn't come back to Court, because normally, when I be out on bail, I jumped bail, and I consider myself a bad risk to be on bail, because I don't like to go back to Court, you know, and this is what I believe, that they had the impression that I was going to do, not come to Court, and this is probably why they let me out.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, thank you.

Miss Howard, do you have anything to add to
this story?

...

MISS HOWARD: About the jail?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well, at the moment, we are just talking about the whole experience Miss Hunter had, first at the jail and then at the hospital, concerning the birth of the baby.

MISS HOWARD: Well, all I can say is that I feel as though they're at fault, that a child is dead. I really do, due to the fact that, what you call it, malpractice? Injecting a woman without even an examination. They didn't even examine the woman, and when she complained about pains, anytime you complained about a pain, all they wanted to do is throw an aspirin in your mouth, you understand? When she took her medication and wouldn't stay down on her stomach, and half the time, they want to keep you all zombied up so you don't know what's going on, you know? I don't take no medication, you know? I don't believe in that there. They not going to get me under that thing, you know. But what happened in this incident here, you know, I think it's just a disgrace, really.

MISS HUNTER: If they had some kind of system there, like, not only me being pregnant, but a girl can come in there with syphilis, gonor-rhea, she can have spasm attacks or whatever, you

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

know, she can have high blood pressure, they wouldn't even know this, because they don't take no kind of physical examination of you at all.

At all.

When you MISS HOWARD: You know what? come in the jail, they take you downstairs, they take your clothes off, give you a uniform. They got a piece of paper, diabetes, rabies, syphilis, gonorrhea and they ask you, do you have this, do you have this? Most people who have it don't know what it is, so evidently, they going to say no. You know? So they put a big cross and you just sign the bottom of it, and then they ask you, are you going through withdrawals? Like anybody can come in there and say -- you don't even have to be a drug addict, and say, yeah, I'm going through withdrawals, you know? They really don't know how a drug addict actually look going through withdrawals, and it's just a--it's just negligence on their part.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do I understand it, does the Commission understand that all of the story that you've told us about occurred in December of 1973 and early January of 1974, at the Essex County Jail--

MISS HUNTER: Yes. 1 CHAIRMAN IRVING: --except for the time 2 that you were taken to Martland Hospital? 3 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Miss Hunter, are you 5 pursuing, with PROD, an exploration of your legal 6 rights? 7 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right, thank you. 9 Does any member of the Commission have 10 any questions? 11 Mrs. Hicks, first. 12 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Mrs. Hunter, when 13 you were taken to the hospital, how many guards 14 were assigned to guard you? Did they sign a 15 guard there with you, or corrections officer? 16 MISS HUNTER: One. 17 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Were there other 18 inmates in that particular wing of the hospital? 19 MISS HUNTER: Yes, there was, yes. 20 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Were there addi-21 tional guards? 22 MISS HUNTER: No, just one. 23 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is that guard a

male or female?

MISS HUNTER: Female.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And the time
you were talking about, when you were having these
pains, and you were at the jail; were they all female
guards that you were talking to?

MISS HUNTER: No. Male and female.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Male and female?

MISS HUNTER: Male nurses and female officers.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, and you complained about these pains, prior to having the discharge of blood, to male and female personell?

MISS HUNTER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I see. You,

I believe it's Miss Howard, you were there when
the doctor made the statement calling her a damn
bitch, am I correct?

MISS HUNTER: The officer was there, too.

MISS HOWARD: I was there through the whole thing.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Were either of you ever given any methadone while you were there?

doctor before you went to the jail? 1 MISS HUNTER: Well, me and my parole officer 2 had made an appointment to Martland Medical Center, 3 to the pediatrics ward--4 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Pediatrics? 5 MISS HUNTER: Well, whatever you call it, 6 where you go to find out if you're pregnant or not, 7 and I don't know the name of it, but we went there. 8 The first time we went there, and we sat for around -9 8:00 in the morning--no, 9:00 in the morning, to 10 something to four, and we couldn't get in. 11 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: This was when, 12 About when was this? 13 MISS HUNTER: This was before I got 14 arrested, around two weeks before I got arrested. 15 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Would that have 16 been about the beginning, then, of December of 17 1973? 18 MISS HUNTER: Around the beginning of it. 19 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: You went to 20 the clinic with your parole officer? 21 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Did you have 23 an appointment? 24 MISS HUNTER: Yes. 25

1	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Did you see
2	the doctor at all on that occasion?
3	MISS HUNTER: No.
4	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: When prior than
5	when had you last seen a doctor?
6	MISS HUNTER: I hadn't seen one.
7	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Well, had you seen
8	a doctor in the preceding twelve months?
9	MISS HUNTER: Beforewhat?
10	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Going back 12
11	months before you were arrested, had you seen a
12	doctor at all?
13	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: When?
15	MISS HUNTER: MarchI think it was
16	March 16th, I think.
17	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Of what year?
18	MISS HUNTER: In '73.
19	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: And what doctor
20	was that?
21	MISS HUNTER: I don't know his name.
22	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Where did you
23	see him?
24	MISS HUNTER: Martland Medical Center.
25	COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: I have nothing

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I think, now, what we would like to do, Miss Mosston, can you give the Commission now, some corroborating evidence from Martland Hospital, verifying the stillborn delivery?

MISS MOSSTON: If I have Miss Hunter's permission to show them to the Commission.

I have your records here from Martland Medical Center. Is it all right to show them to the Commission?

MISS HUNTER: It's all right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Miss Hunter, I want
you to understand what we're doing. We're obtaining
your permission to see your medical records from
Martland, to see if the records support your
story, and I take it your agreeable?

MISS HUNTER: Support my story that I had a baby?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes.

MISS HUNTER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: It's difficult to perceive how lawyer's work. But the most we know about this, the better.

MISS MOSSTON: I have here the medical records. As you see here, Miss Hunter's name, the

CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I offer it to the—
I have been presented by Miss Mosston with a
series of stapled papers, which are identified,
upper left hand corner, "College of Medicine and
Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey, Admis—
sion and Discharge Record." To the right of that
appears, "Specimen of Patient's Signature, Rosetta
Hunter." The resident's signature is dated 1/24/1974.
This is identified further as medical records copy.
It appears to be a true copy of records of the
Martland Medical Center.

All right, then. Would you please tell the Commission and the people who are here what this indicates?

her as a current resident of Essex County Jail in that, in the portion which it says, send bill, they give her home address, her residence and so on. It says, send bill to Rosetta Hunter, and it has a rubber stamp which has Essex County Jail, New Jersey.

Okay. It describes an instantaneous pregnancy, delivered stillborn male; abruptio placentae, which is a condition which our doctor has

analyzed, and it's a parting, a breaking of the placental wall from the uterus. It was described, normal vaginal delivery, and it further explains that the child had been dead in uterus for more than a day.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Could you read us the exact language, not only in terms of the stillborn, but your last reference.

MISS MOSSTON: It says--I can give you the first--I just finished going through these papers, and the handwritings are something else.

The diagnosis says: "Intrauterine pregnancy delivered stillborn male," and then it says, "Abruptio placentae.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there any date for that delivery?

MISS MOSSTON: There is, later on.

It describes the procedures again, repeating, "Delivered stillborn male for abruptio placentae; brought
by ambulance; stating that she has adominal pain
and vaginal bleeding," and, signed on the bottom
of this page, "clothing brought home by friend",
and signed "Officer C. MyKalo, I think from, I
presume, a corrections guard.

Here we have notes and comments, and

エンエ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

additional comments. "Admission". It says, in one place, "Seven months pregnant" and in another place, it says here, "eight months pregnant." I must say, there is discrepancy in their records. It says, "No previous medical problems except drug addiction. Sent here for abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding in the jail this early morning"-- and that's it. Initially, I assume, by a physician.

We have here, 2/15/74, labor began 12:00 a.m.--I'm sorry, "January 15, 1974, began dilation 11:45 a.m.; born January 15, 1974; 12:02 p.m., placenta delivered; 12:08, bleeding started. The entire procedure, they defined as follows: eleven hours minimum, the first stage of pregnancy; seventeen minutes, the second stage; six minutes, the third stage; eleven hours, twenty-three minutes total labor, and then they say seven hours 02 ruptured membranes. Now, that, to me, as a woman who has given birth, once that membrane ruptures, you're in labor. In a labor where there's a -- and our doctor supports this -- where the child is in critical opndition, once the amniotic fluid drains from a pierced placenta, that child is literally suffocating to death if he is not removed. Again, he told us that that is a baby who would have lived if

it had not been dried out in utero through this seven hour period after the membrane ruptured.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there anything else that's pertinent in the statement that will become part of the record, so we can study it in detail later?

MISS MOSSTON: Yes, you will have all of this.

Again, the gentleman has asked me to obtain your permission to give the Commission the total hospital record. I would ask you, Miss Hunter, for your specific permission, if I may give them a copy of your medical record, to keep for review by the Commission.

MISS HUNTER: Okay.

MISS MOSSTON: I think you will find more corroboration as to the duration of time and so forth. The handwriting is difficult, but it's legible and supports, clearly, Miss Hunter's statement.

Let me say to you, also, about using

Miss Hunter's own words, she considers herself a

poor bail risk, and the fact is that Miss Hunter

came to us a day before she was due to go back to

be sentenced, where she was ultimately sentenced to

Clinton Reformatory, where she is now. Those last days, she had a freedom which is literally—she knew very well where she was going. She told us, you can reach me at Clinton. She did not jump. I mean, the pain and suffering that she endured, as a woman, as a human being was such that she didn't do what she may have done in the past.

MISS HUNTER: Right.

MISS MOSSTON: She didn't jump. She said, okay, I'm going to take care of this, and that's what she did do and went to Court the next day, and now she's here.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I'm going to mark this as Exhibit-4 for the record.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: If there are no more questions, Miss Hunter and Miss Howard, I do want to thank you on behalf of the Commission, on behalf of the State of New Jersey, to thank you both for coming, and also to thank the guard who came with you from Clinton for coming down, and we wish you the best.

(Witness excused.)

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is Mr. Philip Showell in the audience?

Yes. May I mention to the members of

7 | 8

the Commission and to those that are in the audience, that Mr. Showell has asked to talk to us this morning because of a scheduled conflict, and we've agreed to allow him to do that. I know that Mr. Showell is conscious of our enormous time problem. We hope to be able to get back to you many times, as the Commission meets outside of the public hearings.

explain to those others who want to testify that
we will try to get to all of you today. We will
not be able to get to anyone else until after
the luncheon period. Immediately following luncheon,
we have the officials from the Essex County Correctonal Center, and we've assured them that they can
talk immediately after luncheon. There are two
inmates from the Essex County Correctional Center
that will talk and then we will try to get everybody
else in who has indicated to our good secretary
here on our extreme right, Mr. Cook, that he wants
to speak or that she wants to speak today.

All right. Mr. Showell, would you identify yourself?

MR. PHILIP SHOWELL: Yes. My name is Philip Showell. I am Executive Director of the

New Jersey Association of Corrections, which is located in New Brunswick.

I will be brief, and I want to thank you for adjusting your schedule to help me to adjust mine, and I'm afraid what I have to say is going to seem to be somewhat other-worldly from what I've heard this morning and what I learned of the testimony last week.

I want to commend the Commission and express our complete confidence in your ability to elicit the facts and circumstances of jail administration and county penal administration in this and any other county. The data is there for you to consider. My concern is that in the midst of all this, we get very much involved, as the Commission needs to, to build a strong set of recommendations for better county penal administration. On the otherhand, in getting embroiled heavily with what is, we may tend to forget that we have additional problems ahead of us in designing what ought to be.

We have some current conditions that clearly need substantial remedying, and I want to propose one suggestion that I think will offer a fundamental beginning point. I don't think you can ignore, certainly, anything that has been

developed here this morning. On the otherhand, if we tend to look at the county correctional system, or the county penal system as simply being comprised of county jail, the county penitentiary, the youth house, and the children's shelter, we've ignored, particularly in Essex County, a tremendous number of elements that should and must be properly considered to be part of a correctional system. These programs run all the way, and I'll just mention a few, and I'm sure you're aware of many of them. Juvenile programs, Alternatives to Conventional Incarceration; Victory House; Vindicate Society; programs operated out of the Y.M. and Y.W.C.A.s in Essex County. We have, in addition, on the adult side, Newark Defendant Employment Project, a pretrial intervention project that has been operating for about three years in Essex County; we have volunteers and probation programs; we have a manto-man, women-to-women volunteer sponsor program. These are funded, all of them, with a combination of tax and private funding, but have a tie through the County Board of Freeholders. So we have a vast, really quite a substantial array of new kinds of programs to provide alternatives to incarceration, to provide opportunity for law enforcement and

prosecution authorities to assist certain kinds of offenders, suspend prosecution and place them in community treatment or rehabilitation programs.

We have, in Essex County, a peculiarly balkanized corrections system, even if we take the conventional view of it as being comprised of county jail, county penitentiary, and youth house and shelter. The administration of the county penitentiary in North Caldwell, the corrections center at Caldwell is under an acting warden.

I can further elucidate on that from hearing from Arthur Magnusson, this afternoon, who reports directly to the County Board of Freeholders. You have the Essex County Jail, which reports to the Sheriff's Office. You have the Youth House, which is responsible to a Board of Trustees appointed by the Freeholders.

Now, as we move on and look ahead to some of the things that are going to be happening that will, in terms of sentencing and legislation, have a profound effect upon who goes to a County institution, be it detention or for sentencing, to fulfil a sentence requirement, you have changes in sentencing that may come about, changes that will allow for diversions of certain kinds of

offenders--Bill number A-1075 is now in the assembly which would provide prosecutors the discretion to divert certain kinds of first offenders to treatment programs in the community. You have another bill, A-613, which would provide for medical rather than penal treatment of drunkenness offenders, and that's just to suggest a few of the kinds of things that will be moving toward fulfillment as the Commission addresses itself and hopefully brings some remedy to some of the day to day problems in the institutions, as they exist.

Now, we've got a balkanized system; we've certainly got a politicized system, top to bottom and inside out, and how we expect to address the kind of problems that Miss Hunter just laid out for us, again, the kind of situation that pertains to job security and political pressures that are exerted upon the people who are meant to have a proper, humane custodian care of these inmates is beyond me. So that one simple kind of recommendation that is simple to make and politically difficult to achieve, I would nonetheless like to recommend at this point and maybe again and again and again to the Commission, as you work your way forward, is simply to recommend that in the State of

New Jersey, we place all county correctional respon-1 2 sibility in the hands of a County Department of Corrections, staffed by professionals with a five 3 year Civil Service term that will span any change 4 in political office by sheriff, freeholder, or 5 whomever. I think until you do that, you simply 6 will not have the kind of professional focus in 7 any one of these institutions that will enable them 8 to make proper relationships between alternative, 9 diversionary programs, the courts, institutional 10 kinds of relationships that often can and should 11 be made in dealing with detentions, bail or parole 12 revocation and so forth. I think until we move to 13 this kind of approach and to begin to take our 14 responsibilities in the corrections area seriously, 15 both in terms of the inmates in the care of the 16 State--and in this regard, the County is an arm of 17 the State, since all of these people are being sen-18 tenced under State Statutes -- I think money is going 19 to be wasted, lives, literally, as we have heard, 20 are going to be wasted; people working in institutions 21 are going to suffer from low morale, a lack of ful-22 fillment or any kind of completeness in a sense of 23 doing a job for which they were hired. 24

Now, that's really all I wanted to say.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's kind of a basic recommendation that's easy to make. It is underway in Morris County, it has been done in Mercer County; Atlantic County has addressed that issue. I think it should be addressed statewide, and I think you may find, as you proceed to hearings in other counties, that similar kinds of conditions, similar kinds of bulkanization and political influence in the running of these institutions is endemic. It may be at its highest level here. It is evident in most other counties.

I'd just like to say one other thing, because we are a citizens' association, amd it may sound gratuitous -- the question of a Grand Jury investigation of conditions at the County Jail, which I happen to think is appropriate, and I'm sure I can speak for the association on that, as not really been fully resolved. At least, I'm not aware that it has. Nonetheless, and this may be gratuitous, I would certainly like to urge upon this Commission, when they have completed the review, particularly not only the testimony that I heard today, but of testimony that was offered and evidence that was offered last week, I would hope that that testimony in evidence, once you have had a chance to review it, will be submitted to the

Essex County Prosecutor, whether or not his office has determined to begin a Grand Jury investigation, because if he does not understand the need for such an investigation now, he may better understand it when he has had a chance to personally review your testimony and evidence.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. Showell, I have just one question I'd like to propose to you. The mandate to the Commission tells us to look at State-County relationships. Now, as I understand at the moment, New Jersey has three employees, three State employees in Institutions and Agencies who periodically will come in and inspect the jail and correctional center, but as I understand it, there's not the staff capability, nor is there any specific authority to move beyond that, and I have--

MR. SHOWELL: That's true.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And I want to sketch off of you, very, very briefly, some of what I myself have been thinking of—and I speak only for myself—that is, I would raise the question and ask you to answer whether the State doesn't have a role, and, indeed, a responsibility to do more than periodically inspect, and if so, what followup do you see that we perhaps ought to recommend, in terms of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an active and continuing role by the State in its relationship with the County.

MR. SHOWELL: I think you have the opportunity--and again, this is easy to lay upon you and difficult for you and the Commission and its staff to perhaps produce -- I think you have the opportunity, because I do agree with you that the State has a far greater responsibility up to and conceivably including the very difficult question about a State takeover, completely, of county correctional facilities. Nonetheless, it seems to me that this Commission has both the opportunity and conceivably the obligation, because of the opportunities presented by the testimony you're hearing, to develop appropriate standards for both administration, inmates' rights and responsibilities, guards' rights and responsibilities, administrative guidelines, without adherence to which, a county institution could, in fact, be subject to closure or takeover on a temporary basis until such standards were met. Now, clearly, the Division of Correction and Parole, in providing three staff members to run their clipboard checks and file reports that vary greatly in detail, only to have those reports addressed as a given administrator may cnoose, filed

24

25

when he chooses, and just flagrantly and publicly torn up, as has happened in some South Jersey Counties, I think is outrageous. So that I think that you do have that opportunity. Their existing model standards for rights of inmates, they do not exist; I haven't seen any model standards that I'm aware of that would spell out rights and responsibilities of the custodial staff, and I think that is most appropriate, because there's one thing that's true, and I think it's clear, even, again, from the testimony this morning--if you're in the jail as an inmate, or if you're in there as a member of the staff, to a certain extent, everybody is in jail, and the same thing is true as to the penitentiary and the youth house; they're all living under a high pressure environment that needs some clarification as to who has what right and who has what duty, and everybody inside should know. inmates should know what the guards' rights and responsibilities are, as well as the guards knowing what the inmates' rights and responsibilities are. As a matter of fact, it would be ideal if you could sit them all down in small groups together to review those periodically, and whereafter, the same thing on the State level, too.

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I see if there are any more questions? Mrs. Hicks?

COMMISSIONER HICKS: No.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Warden Brown?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Showell, did I understand you correctly when you stated that you are recommending a State takeover of the County institutions? Is that one of your recommendations?

That was not my recommendation, MR. SHOWELL: I'm aware that many people expect that that is one of the issues that would be before this Commission. I happen to think that while a greater State role and financial responsibility is approppriate here, I am not yet persuaded, because of some problems in shifting of responsibility and the nature of correction establishments at the State level, whether, within your time frame, that question could be addressed. I think there is a great deal of logic of law and proper administration to suggest that it would be appropriate; on the otherhand, I'm trying to be a political realist, too, and with the Botter implementation for us--and that's no reason for you not to recommend it as a condition. If you approve, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just simply begins with one of a number of competing priorities. I think we do have some severe locations here that are, at best, imminent: When Trenton State Prison has recently been full up and bulging--I think I know, because I was in Al Gray's office when Sheriff De Marino was appealing that sort of closed, bar-the-door injection from the State Prison. Clearly, there are difficulties that obtain here in the transferring of inmates from a hold status to the State Prison, and vice-versa, and although, in terms of inmates, who are qualified for work release, who will be released, for instance, to either Middlesex County or to your County, conceivably down the road, it would be more appropriate that that inmate from a State institution actually be placed under custody at the County level where he's closer to his home community. There are questions like that, as we move more toward a community-based approach -- not fast enough to suit me, but we are moving that way--that strongly suggest, at least administratively, that there might be some very positive gains here.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well then, actually, your answer was quite lengthy and went into a bit of detail, but in essence, what you're actually

saying, at this particular point in time, now is not the time for the State to take it over.

MR. SHOWELL: No, I'm saying that I think it has to be very carefully examined, and I don't know, within the short time frame that you have set for yourself, whether such complexities as I was addressing could be adequately dealt with. I think even apart from that, though, just in the structure of State Government, and just by following good rules of administration, the same arguments that apply for State takeover of courts at the County level, I think, are applicable. Since County jails, at least, serve those same courts, may of those same arguments on a basic political level, you've still got to attain.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Your answer still is,
you know, it's still a little ambiguous. My question and your answer was probably not meant to be
as detailed as you related. I kind of wonder ifand you've been around a bit, you know the scoreI'm wondering if you really, truly feel--and you
have to give a personal opinion on this--that the
State is any better off than some of the testimony
we've heard today; that the same deficiencies aren't
applied in some of the State jobs, in relation to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correction--not only in correction, but in other areas, where there's ticket selling and where there's people asked to make corrections, and where people are appointed on a temporary basis in State Government. My question, in leading up to the ground work of this, is, actually, is the State any better off than any of the County systems we've spoken about?

MR. SHOWELL: Yes, in some respects, I think I'd have to say that some of the State institutions--for instance, as bad as medical attention is at Trenton State Prison, I can't conceive --or at Clinton--the New Jersey College of Medicine and Dentistry made a survey over a year ago that wrapped it up very simply by declaring that the medical and psychological services available in the State system were deplorable, and that wrapped up a lot. Now, I think in terms of administration, I think there's a higher degree of professionalism, which doesn't mean that I or the association agrees with everything that's done by way of administration; I think there are qualifications, I think there is less manipulation of both political appointment and Civil Service game playing in the staffing, which is not to say I think the State has a well quali-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fied, top notch administrative capacity in the operation of their institutions. I don't believe that you will find the degree of political influence, the degree and number of temporary appointments, the total absence of appropriate training. I think they're a little bit better off. I wouldn't say one is as bad as the other, no.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right, thank you.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Sheriff?

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I only have two or three questions, and perhaps I may offend some of my colleagues throughout the State, and with all due respects to my friend, the warden, next to me, it would seem to me I would have to agree to the statement made that institutions, whether they be on the lower level or the State level, must be removed from the political authorities, whether it be sheriffs, freeholders, unless-and this is what happens -- we tend to try to get somebody within that field with any experience. Unless we can, and that's pot luck at best, unless we get people within these institutions that are well trained and well educated within the field of penalogy, but the system, as it stands today,

25

I know even in my own county, fortunately enough, I was brought up through the ranks of police work, public defender and prosecution and sheriff; however, I am far from an authority, and the jail responsibility, I live with practically fourteen to sixteen hours a day, and it seems to me that unless we strike out somewhere on all levels, and on all levels, I'm not only talking about the county level, I'm talking about the political appointments of institutions and agencies by political people, rather than people of penalogy backgrounds or educational backgrounds, or backgrounds along the fields of corrections, and thank God for the experience of men like Art Brown, who is serving here on this Commission, and those throughout the State, that we're holding together a system that's so antiquated by shoestrings, just by the fact that there are some people within the system that are hanging on with all odds against them. However, I'm going to have to disagree with the State takeover at this time, certainly, because if you looked at Trenton, Rahway, or any of our State institutions, anything you heard here is not different, not new, no more, no less on the State level. I believe that the State institutions perhaps, even go two steps

beyond what you would possibly see on a county 1 level, as far as indecent acts performed on inmates, 2 either by inmates or by correctional officers upon 3 inmates, or acts of violence, or bodily harm, I 4 should say. 5 However, if I may, you mentioned that 6 the bill--is it Senate Bill 614? 7 MR. SHOWELL: Bill 1075, Prosecutor's 8 Discretion. 9 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I would like 10 Carl Moore, who is here now, can you record that, 11 Carl, that number at least? 12 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Is that the Assembly? 13 MR. SHOWELL: I believe it's in Tom 14 Deverin's committee. 15 MR. CARL MOORE: All right. 16 MR. SHOWELL: A-1075, Prosecutor's Dis-17 cretion Bill. 18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Carl, would you 19 get copies of those and see that we get copies of 20 them so that we have the benefit of the bills that 21 are already active? 22 I said earlier, just to jump back and 23 forth, I wanted to get these numbers correct about 24 the State takeover. However, I do agree, whether

25

23

24

25

you agree with me or not, that on a State level or even County institutions on a County level, one of the perhaps biggest problems we have that there is not a uniform set of rules and regulations that can apply clear across the State. I don't, that we should have 21 different -- I believe that the institutions should stay there on a County level, where most of your inmates are housed or live, or lived most of their lives. However, I believe that, administratively, there should be a set of rules for education of all corrections officers, whether they work on a County or State level; also, a set of rules clear across the State setting up educational programs both for the inmate and for the correction personnel, and the educational facilities must be set up clear across the State.

That's the only comments I want to make.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. Cornblatt, do you

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Very, very briefly:

Mr. Showell, is your full time occupation the Executive Director of the New Jersey Association of

Corrections?

MR. SHOWELL: It is.

have any questions?

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: What is the

membership of this association?

MR. SHOWELL: Approximately 500.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: And what is your background, training and experience to be its Executive Director, or to make recommendations to this Commission?

MR. SHOWELL: Judicial reporting for ten years, much of it in the criminal justice area.

Graduate study in the area--

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Where?

MR. SHOWELL: Woodrow Wilson School, at Princeton.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: For how long?

MR. SHOWELL: A year.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: All right.

MR. SHOWELL: And picking up again, now,

I am currently studying there. And beyond that,
involvement in juvenile justice programs here in

Essex County, a couple of which I have set up,
and frankly, I have no degrees in criminology; I

don't pretend to expertise. It's a case of trying
to assemble data and apply common sense with the
assistance of a Board and Executive Committee that
is comprised of people from various elements of
the correctional system, County, State, and lawyers

and ex-inmates. COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. It is now ten minutes to one. We will reconvene as close to 2:00 as we possibly can. Thank you, Mr. Showell, very much. (Recess for luncheon.)

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let us go back on the record. We're reconvening the second day of public hearing of the County Penal System Study Commission, which is a Commission created by the State to look officially at the status of the jails, workhouses, and correctional institutions in New Jersey, and to make such recommendations or introduce or offer such legislation as may appear to us to be proper.

We have accepted the request of Warden Magnusson, Essex County Correctional Center, to talk to us this afternoon.

Warden, would you please come forward?

If you want any of your staff people to come with
you, that's perfectly all right.

MR. ARTHUR W. MAGNUSSON: Captain Frey?

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me first welcome

you, Warden, and ask you, if you would, to give us

your name, a mailing address, and to introduce

your associate.

MR. MAGNUSSON: My name is Arthur W.

Magnusson, Chief Administrator, the Essex County

Corrections Center, Elm Road, Caldwell, New Jersey,
and my associate is Captain Michael Frey.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, does the Commission understand that you are, at present, the Warden

at the Correctional Center?

MR. MAGNUSSON: My title is Chief Administrator, and I have been acting in that capacity for the past 17 months.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. But in any event, you are the top executive officer from the Correctional Center?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, I am.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you responsible directly to the Freeholders?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Directly to the County
Board of Freeholders, and a penal committee, and
Joseph Ianuzzi is our current penal chairman.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you have a statement you'd like to read, or would you like to make some comments before we ask you anything?

MR. MAGNUSSON: I would just like to, for the benefit of the Committee, state that I believe that we are the fourth largest penal institution in the State of New Jersey. Currently, we have a population of 570 persons, 47 of which are women. Ours is a minimum security institution, and we receive inmates for a period of not in excess of 18 months out of our County and Superior Courts, and we also act as a recipient of cases

that are committed from the municipalities in Essex County by our municipal court judges. Our average daily stay runs approximately 94 days per inmate, and our per diem rate is in the neighborhood of \$16 per day.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. Would you like to identify any areas that we, as a State Commission want to be concerned about, in terms of the needs of that correctional center, as you see them, either in terms of services or inmates or needs that the center has from the State of New Jersey?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, I would like to say that, in the past seventeen months, or roughly the past year and a half, there has been a definite change in the policy and administrations of the County Correctional facilities, and I would like to, if I may, run off some of the more energetic programs that we have into effect now that, in part or whole, have been subsidized by Federal monies, either in the form of S.L.E.P.A. grants, or that which is called Impact; High Impact, as it is known here in the City of Newark. These organizations have supplied a service to us that's just unbelievable, and have allowed us to operate that institution

as a correctional facility.

25

In its wisdom, several years ago, the County Board of Freeholders changed the name of the institution from a penitentiary to a corrections center, and I can represent to this committee today that we are, in effect, well on our way as a correctional facility, though we do have shortcomings in many areas, and all of it can be attributed to the lack of funds. However, we hope that, with the policies that we have pursued, the programs that we do have -- and I would like to name just a few of them. We do have a narcotic program at our institution that encompasses both male and female, and for the first time, we have mingled both sexes together in what we call narcotic therapy, or helping them on the road to rehabilitation. We have established liaison with an outside agency, the Mount Carmel Guild, which provides for post release. I would like to see our programs expanded, but we are active, we are functional and operating. We have incorporated, also, we have a strong Alcoholics Anonymous program at our institution, in addition to narcotics; we also have what we call G.A., Gamblers Anonymous, that is very effective and functional; we have music therapy, art therapy for both male and

25

female; we have an auto mechanics shop; we have an auto body shop; we have auto transmission school; we have a major appliance school; we have a general maintenance school; we have a landscaping school; we have a G.E.D. education; we have English as a second language; we have a G.E.D. which is supplemented by a teaching corporation; we have an A.B.E. program which is supplemented, also by a teaching corporation; we have a welding school; a small diesel engine school; a truck and auto air conditioning school; and we have a strong and effective work release program. I might say at the present time, we have forty-seven people who are out on work release, and as I understand, our percentages are possibly the highest in the State of New Jersey. This is one of the strongest areas of our institution, and for the benefit of the Committee, I would just like to maybe explain in detail how it works, and I'm sure many of you are familiar with it, but we have men who are committed to our institution who make application, either through the administration or to the court, for work release. They go out on regular jobs during the day and return in the evening, and they change from a free atmosphere in the working condition back into a prison atmosphere.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

•

24

25

At the end of the week, we, at the institution, collect \$3.00 a day for room and board, which is a net to us somewhere in the neighborhood right now of \$50,000 a year that's turned back to the County. But the significant factor here is that when a man is working on the outside, the balance of his pay, after we take our money and, of course, he has paid his federal taxes, the balance of the money will go to his family. Even if they are welfare recipients, the amount of monies that are contributed will cut down on the welfare for the public assistance, and the men, in many cases, sustain their own families while they're incarcerated. The hot project of this program is that we show a recidivism rate of about two percent, or a failure rate. Now, I think this is quite significant, and we hope by the end of this year that we will be able to expand this program to include almost one hundred people. This is our target for 1974: to have one hundred people out working in the community while paying their so-called debt to society.

Now, all these programs that we do have, some, we sustain ourselves. We have the usual institutional type of functional operations. We

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

maintain, of course, our own mess hall, we have a tailor shop, we have a shoe shop, and I can represent to you today, that 94 percent of our inmate population is engaged in some type of productive work of rehabilitated or rehabilative form in the institution.

Now, in our female division, while we encompass all of these programs here, we have at the present time, nothing really direct or concrete other than maybe as a seamstress or sewing, or the general operation of that particular division. do, however, have--we have tried, and I think this is important -- we have tried, and we put in a clerical skills program which was sponsored by the M.P.D.A., which is the Manpower Training Development Act, for clerical skills. We found a conflict because of the requirements that were imposed upon us by the supporting agency. So we have geared all of our programs to suit the needs of the institution, as opposed to being dictated to by a well meaning organization, but having to live to their standards. In other words, it broke down to this: That they required us to have a certain number of females for a certain number of weeks, maybe 26 or 28. Since our population is flexible and fluent and transient,

25

of course, we can't adhere to those specifications. So any programs that we do develop, we think in terms of the institution first and how it works for the betterment of the individuals that will be involved. However, we do have one program which I think is the best that has ever been composed for the female division, and we call it the Essex County Correctional Center Women's Self Development Program. this program will encompass--it's almost a re-education of an adult, particularly in the area of females It includes personal development, assets and liabilities, self-awareness, grooming, cosmetics, cleaning, body care, figure control, diet, and so on down the line. I will leave a copy of this with the Committee. Now, we feel the concensus of the people we have running our institutions is that this program is a prerequisite to any other. You take a girl and make her into a clerk typist, you may teach her how to do this particular job, but if her hair grooming is not perfect, or maybe her dentures or her other physical appearance isn't just so, it would be more difficult to obtain a job and even harder to hold one. So with this self-development program, I think this is a basis for anything that could come beyond this particular point.

Now, we were a little acquiescent in the area of our women, because we dropped or we had a population as low as 28, and then it increased to 30. Now, for the past six or seven months, we find it has been pretty constant at 46, 47, and we've even hit over 50. So, projected on the last six months, of course, we can hope to solicit and incorporate additional programs in our overall procedure.

COMMISSIONER IRVING: Warden, may I ask a question? You and I talked about it briefly when we had a visit to the correctional center. Despite all of these programs that you have going, in your opinion, is the corrections system working? Is it correcting?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Unfortunately, I'm not in a position, now, to give a--what you would call a concrete or a good answer. We can only base, now, with the incorporation of another organization called M-two, or man-to-man project or woman-to-woman project, that this is the first time that we're going to have a followup. In other words, when we give the facilities that we have, that a person on the date of discharge will be turned over to the M-two, these are people who come in, interview our residents, and when they're about ready

to be released, they take them out of the institution literally pick them up in a car, either take them to a home or a job that's waiting and follow through. Now, until the statistical data can be compiled, we won't know the fruits of our efforts and benefits until we can statistically put it down in black and white, such as we can with our work release program. I might say I'm fortunate to have one of the best work release administrators in the country. job that this little division does is just fantastic. But in all jobs that we have, we have dedicated, we have qualified, and we have professional people, and of course, this has been the biggest asset to all of these things being successful. know we're operating successfully; however, we can't look at the goal until we have the statistical data.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I think, although you were not here last week, you might be interested to know that we did put in the record, following our visit to the correctional center in Caldwell, that many of us had a ray of hope that we could build in Essex County a first rate correctional program around what you are presently doing. So we are very supportive of your efforts and of Captain Frey's efforts, but do I understand, Warden,

what you are saying is that, although it costs
\$16 per day per inmate, at the moment, there's
no hard data that we can look to which says that
what we do with these inmates really does correct?

MR. MAGNUSSON: I could not represent that to you at this time.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right.

MR. MAGNUSSON: I'm optimistic. I can give--assume, but I could not factually tell you, and I think that's what the committee is interested in.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You would be quite a genius if you had solved the problem of rehabilitating human beings because nobody really has.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: The problem is for us, as a Commission, that it costs so much per inmate, and that the role of the State has to be explored and developed.

Might I ask, Mr. Cook, if you would give the Warden a copy of this budget--you may not have this, and I'd like to discuss with you this budget, and I have some copies with me for the Commission, and there are some copies here, if anyone is interested in following among the public. You might

pass those out.

Do you recognize this form, Warden?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me say also to you that you and your staff people were very open to us and gave us all of this information freely, and we appreciate having it.

What I am referring to, for purposes of the record, is a two-page stapled form which is labeled "Department of Finance, Financial Statement, Corrections Center, February 28, 1974."

Now, I see in the third column from the left, 1974 budget appropriations, in the bottom line of that 1974 appropriation for the Essex County Correctional Center, I see, is 3.8 million dollars.

MR. MAGNUSSON: That's correct.

Of figures; for example, the second from the bottom, arms and ammunition. The correctional center is allocated \$13,000 this year for guns and bullets.

Now, the figure above that is the one that I really want to talk to you about. \$2000 for education.

Do I take it that that means, and correct me if I'm wrong, that of 3.8 million dollars for the

present budget of the correctional center, \$2000 is budgeted for the correction, for the training and education of the inmates?

MR. MAGNUSSON: No, sir. It would be a little deceptive here to look at it this way. The \$2000 is what has been allocated to us to buy books, pencils, paper, related things that go with our educational courses. Our teachers' salaries, our teaching corps would not be incorporated in that. So the \$2000 is just for what we would say educational aids.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, I notice on the first column on the left of the 1973 budget appropriation, that same figure, that same line item was \$2800, and I take it from the figures on the second page that there has been some three or four percent increase in the number of inmates.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: So although the inmates are increasing, however slowly, the budget for training materials has dropped in that period of time from 1973 to 1974 by some \$800.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Right, and I can give you of course, one general explanation on our budget. The other would be that with the incor-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

poration of all of the shops and programs that are supported in consort with S.L.E.P.A. and Impact, much of the materials that we need, we have the monies that handle that. Now, when we get down to basic education, of course, you're talking about paper, pencils, stationary, books. We do get a lot of donations and things of that nature, but I have to say, in reference to this entire budget -- and I think it's a fair statement to make that with our Board of Freeholdrs, who are our County Administrators, that there are a group of mayors in Essex County, and they have a Mayor's council now, that come down and they're constantly harping on the tax rate and the allocations that are made. Now, what I have suffered, and I'm sure what other institutions have suffered is decrease. We have asked for more money, even in the \$2000, but when we go before the budget hearings, these figures were cut, and of course, the Freeholders are responsible for the citizens of the County; the Mayors are veryforceful and very adamant in cutting down on costs. So we are victimized, as well as probably the hospital center or other agencies. It's just a fair assumption that no one is getting the money t hey need. Even the courts could prob-

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ably use more; it takes an Act of Congress to get lawyers, diaries and things of that nature.

So the Freeholders, in trying to be prudent and keeping within the concept of the tax rates, and with the additional pressures of the County Mayors, the Mayors throughout this county, we all The budget is not what I would like; we suffered. wish we had more money, but we're using another door. We're going through the back door, applying for Federal funds, and particularly in the area of corrections. I understand when S.L.E.P.A. phases out, there's a new organization popping up called C.E.T.A., and this money coming through, channelling through the Federal Government will be just for correctional facilities, and we hope to alleviate the cumbersome cost of our institution by applying more and more for Federal aid and Federal Agencies to come in and help us out, and with the way we are operating, with the projects we have, I could tell you and represent to all of the Committee that I think we could have one of the finest correctional facilities in the United States by the end of 1974.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: It's my understanding,
Warden Magnusson, having worked with the War on
Crime Commission, that money now identified by you

as S.L.E.P.A. money should not be used and cannot properly be used to replace local money, but that the Federal money for the War on Crime and Corrections is supposed to give a new capability to a facility.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And I think what you're saying here is that at the very time the nation is moving forcefully into corrections reform, the County, for whatever reason, has been reducing its money for training aids.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Could you identify me in the budget where one might find the salaries of the people who offer the training programs, educational programs?

MR. MAGNUSSON: That's not in our budget, sir, and we should have made a copy available to you. I wish I had our entire budget, because on the back, we must incorporate all the monies that we received from S.L.E.P.A. or Impact, and it becomes part of our budget package. But for dollars and cents and the physical setup of the County, they only put on here what the County is spending as far as taxpayers' money that is going through the correctional center. I would say we're in

4 5

the neighborhood of an additional half a million dollars, on top of this money here to support and substantiate our programs.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well then, the picture that I get, as Chairman of the State Commission, is that the County of Essex is providing the correctional center with a budget which provides for bread and butter stuff, but that the whole thrust of corrections—that is, the rehabilitative programs, are underwritten by these year to year Federal grants.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: So that it's possible that if the Congress changes this whole program next year, that--

MR. MAGNUSSON: We'd be in a panic. CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes.

Is there anything else you'd like to say about this budget, Warden? I just mentioned the one item.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, Chairman Irving, you did mention arms and ammunitions, \$13,000.

By way of explanation, that any correction officer--and I might say that in our institution, that in my opening remarks, I may not have incor-

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

porated, while we are a minimum security institution, there is roughly about 12 percent of our people who arrive there under sentence only to be followed by other detainers or warrants from other institutions, and there is a daily influx or output from our institutions to various courts, both in Essex County, Federal Courts, Municipal Courts. It's a normal thing. Now, to send these people out, they must be qualified. No one in our institution is permitted to carry a sidearm unless he is qualified twice a year on our range. We have our own facilities, we rebuilt them, and every man who carries a weapon is qualified to have it. We have a qualified instructor, both under the Federal and State standards, and this is followed through. So while--I didn't want anyone to get the wrong impression. We're not up there for target practice. If you're going to give a man such a lethal weapon as a gun, one prerequisite we must have is that he be qualified even before he puts it on, and that's why it's a lot of money, but it's a necessity, and it's a requirement by law.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I have just one other question, and then we'll see if other members of the Commission or our Hearing Officer want to pose

anything to you.

2

3 4

.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are you at the moment fully staffed, or are you understaffed?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, I would probably be known as a spendthrift, but I have a philosophy, and as long as I'm sitting in a position such as I am, I would--to answer the question, I would have to tell you my philosophy. For every area where we can drop the security -- in other words, we're a minimum security institution -- where we expand this to where we have a more comfortable atmosphere with both the residents and the correctional people that we do have, we need more men, and today, in corrections, the manpower is the key, and without the manpower, you just cannot stand. The same way with penal reform; we just can't worry and concern ourselves about the residents, which is very important, but we must have equal concern for our correctional people today, who are in the post difficult kind of work, and to keep and retain the people we have. We have a mortality rate of every ten men we get off a list, four quit. It's really appalling. So I would say, as far as I'm concerned, I could use another 50 men. I know this is impractical and it's a half a million dollars, but I

would have them there, because if I had that
money, that's much more I can do for the entire
correctional procedures. From a practical standpoint,
if we had another 10, 12 men, we could do very well
this year, based on what we have. With any further
expansion in 1974, likewise, we would have more
personnel.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. May I ask
Mr. Ginsberg to pursue some other areas?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, sir.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: First of all,

I'd like to make a statement that when we visited

you at your institution, I was quite impressed-
MR. MAGNUSSON: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: --and it was one of the few rays of sunshine, glimpses of sunshine that we saw during our entire prehearing investigation.

I'd like to go into some specific areas, and this is not directed at you or your institution directly. I'm just looking at the budget. I see some problems, and I'd like to have them settled in my own mind, and that's why I'm directing these questions toward you.

Last week, we heard some testimony from

a corrections officer who indicated that the job turnover of employees, corrections officer turnover at the Essex County Jail was very low, and for those reasons, he would recommend that a good number of dollars be spent for training, because those dollars would not be wasted. Now, you seem to indicate today that a 40 percent turnover was what you normally anticipate. Could you clarify that, or expand on it?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes. When we get new men--first, let me go back aways, I guess almost 12 months ago, when myself, Sheriff Cryan and the Personnel Director of this County went down before the Civil Service Commission and we asked them to revise their standards and revise their qualifications for a correctional officer; that if they would incorporate some psychological aspects into an examination, that possibly, many of the candidates who would come to us would be receptive to this kind of work. We asked them further if they would even be interested in creating a position that I was interested in of Senior Correction Officer, so that a man who was in charge, particularly that works in the wings, the direct contact with inmates, not in shops or other specializations, if they

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could incorporate this. We also asked for the possibility that, because of the recruitment problem, that even establishing correctional aides to find men or young men we could bring into the job, and maybe as a correctional aide with an indefinite type of employment, if they made the grade after two or three years, they'd reach a plateau and they could become a correctional officer.

Now, the statement that was made at the jail may be true; I can't answer for the jail. I can only represent to you that I think it's even a little bit higher, maybe in some cases. one list, we lost seven out of ten men. That was the first one since I was there. A couple of men we didn't even want to hire, but for the sake of bodies that were sorely needed, we did hire--naturally, one is gone, another is involved in some That's two, but as an average legal problems. now, and I base it on the current fifteen men I received, six out of ten leave the job, and we don't let them leave easily. We call them in, we talk to them, we try to make some sort of adjustment, if it's a thing affecting their families, particularly if you have some talented men. had a terrific guy, he had a terrific education,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and he said, Warden, I'm on tranquilizers, this is not my cup of tea. The only way we're holding the men we have, Essex is a pioneer in salaries, and I don't think the salaries are in Essex even enough for the correctional officer, because in any spectrum of the law enforcement agency today, it's our correctional officer that should be given a little more distinguished treatment, both in his training, remuneration, benefits, to really make something out him, because we have proved it does work. We've sent a lot of men down to Skillman who have graduated from there. We have some 78 men who have received specialized training, and it does work, and we've seen the change in the people, the ideas and the concepts before they go to these schools, and what they have afterwards.

Now, I know that's a long answer to a short question, but I would stick to that six out of ten, out of ten will leave us.

mentioned that nerves, I guess the tension on the job, the pressure that one is under is one of the main reasons, and you mentioned salary as another main reason. Could you point out, perhaps, some more reasons?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. It's kind of embarras-MR. MAGNUSSON: sing to me to ask to bring men into an institution without having them trained. I think it's wrong. There should be no man who can pick up a key or work in an institution unless he's had this training prior to his entry. Now, we have a law on the books, that, to be a police officer, you must go to an academy and qualify and graduate after a period of 90 days, or possibly it could be 14 weeks. I think the same law should be in effect for a correctional officer, and I feel by that this specialized training, whether it be nine weeks or ten weeks, that he'll know before he even arrives at your institution whether or not this is the life that he wants. going to know what inmates' rights are; he's going to know what the law is; he's going to know what the correctional philosphy is. These are the things that have to be taught to the man before he is brought in as a raw recruit. When you're working in an institution that's straddled like we are, we're dealing with overtime, which is, again, the taxpayers' money, and when we get men, we have to do the best we can with in-service training to put them on the job. The people we do have, even if they're there five or six years, we send them

down to Skillman to get new ideas, new concepts to see what's going on, and I would say in our institutions—as I say, I'm a product of twenty—five years of this County, and I started as a jail officer in the Essex County Correctional Center—right now, we have the best caliber of officers that I've seen in a long while. I'm not saying anything derogatory about any other organization or group, but—

(Applause)

MR. MAGNUSSON: --but by putting these men and these people in the areas of specialization, they have risen to the occasion. It's just fantastic. The success that we enjoyed is not because of I, as an administrator, but it's because of the administration that I had around me who have taken responsibility and done a job, and the only way I can reward them is my individual promotion or by their assignments. So this, I feel is mandatory; that this training should be a prerequisite before a man actually takes over and goes on the job.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I see another item on the budget that disturbs me a bit, and that's the overtime figure. It seems that the 1973 budget appropriation for overtime was \$75,000, and you actually expended about \$621,000. That scares me

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a bit, because it would seem that a guard would be encouraged to create an unsavory condition in the institution, because if there was one that existed, he would be called in for emergency time, and would actually earn money because of it. Now, could you clarify exactly why that occurred, and why, if my description or my allocation is not true, why it is not true?

Prior to my Yes, I can. MR. MAGNUSSON: going to that institution in November of 1972, it was plagued with problems. There were sit-ins, demonstrations, uprisings; there were a great deal of problems, so much so that the encumbents resigned their positions and left, and there was a great deal of turmoil and there was a traumatic period of time until we sort of got the ship on the water and got it sailing properly. Now, in order to expand, when I went there, there were 106 correction officers. You couldn't just run an institution with 106 correction officers, even for the good of the inmates. It was just not enough. Even now, we have, I think, one hundred seventeen correction officers, but I do have more supervisory personnel, and I believe in supervisory personnel. If there's responsibility in the certain area, and the man

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

works independently, alone, the only way you can reward him is making him a sergeant, and by giving this man a piece of the action, a little authority, he helps you do the job. We're cutting other overtime drastically, because now, we have 41 new men, and we have 10 more we're picking up the 1st of May; we are interviewing them now, and it seems much more practical to pay new men top rate to keep the institution functional and operative, and do what has to be done. There are a lot of things being done now that were never done before, and when you expand, of course, it's going to cost more money, and today, the name of the game is that you need personnel in order to implement what you have. You just can't start new things, new programs, be kind of revolutionary, unless you have the support of manpower. So part of that money was part of an emergent situation, and I feel it's much better to spend that money to run a place right and have it done without any riots or uproars or anything else. It's a lot cheaper. When there is a riot or trouble, you can spend some \$90,000, and nobody seems to be concerned about it because, well, it's a riot, it's one of those things. not spend that money to better use in the form of

.1

salaries and get a lot more done, and in the long run, it's a lot less expensive, even though it looks bad on the books.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Another question, and that is, when we were at your institution, we had a little discussion in your office and you indicated to us that there were certain educational institutions in the Essex County area that already offered or volunteered free instruction in different areas. That would be conducive to the sort of thing we'd like to see some inmates acquire, some knowledge we'd like to see them acquire. Could you expand on that a little bit?

MR. MAGNUSSON: We have had, I won't mention the organizations by name, but we have operated under an open door policy that any organization, regardless of who they are, how many they are and their number, we welcomed them there, they were permitted to go through the institution to talk both to inmates and to officers. We invited Grand Juries and even, as I say, we were happy to have the assignment judge of Essex County tour our facility and make a first hand observation. Now, there are plenty of agencies, particularly here in the County, such as our vocational school system,

that can be utilized on an evening basis. There's nothing wrong with guys going out maybe two or three hours to use the same school facilities that are used during the day for the regular population. All you need is the manpower, all the facilities are there, and we're going at such a pace, I hope we don't trip on ourselves, because, again, you need the people for the movement. But the movement is there, and we have gotten the support of independent organizations and associations. It's just been terrific.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Now, you mentioned Essex County College, I remember, as one, and of course, one or two others.

men that are enrolled in Essex County College. We have on the books a program, that the school be coming in to anyone who wants to engage in a college course while he's incarcerated, that inmate will be permitted to do so. We also have a college course for our afficers right on the premises, and we have an enrollment of over 72 officers, which is a pretty good—it's over 60 percent, which shows the initiative of the type of individual for more education, for more experience, and it makes for, naturally,

a better organization.

3

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: One more question. You say that your men qualify for their firearms before they're able to use them, before they're issued a firearm, a piece, and able to use it. Last week, we discovered that qualification at the Essex County Jail might mean three or four hours, three hundred rounds of shooting, maybe. What exactly do you consider qualification?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, it's at least one full day on the range, firing at least three hundred Now, again, some men who are more adept will qualify. We have maybe five or six men that, because of shyness of either noise or just gun shy are not permitted to carry a firearm, so they need additional requirements. It's mandatory now, that, in our institution, that twice a year, they must qualify on the range.

That would be HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: getting a certain score at target shooting?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Absolutely, absolutely.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: And making them shoot an adequate number of times?

> MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes.

Do you feel HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG:

that's adequate?

.23

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, under a qualified instructor, and he gives me a list of names and says, this man is qualified. Now, let us remember that no one in our institution carries a gun, either inside or outside. The only time a gun is used, is for the transportation for security purposes from our institution maybe to a court or a clinic or things of that nature. That we don't carry them is a matter of policy.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: The hospital, also?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Or the hospital, if a man is assigned down there. But if a man is not qualified to carry a firearm, he's not permitted that type of assignment.

heard some testimony last week that indicated certain guards at the Essex County Jail did not even load their firearms, because they were afraid that it might be used against them, and my feeling is that one day on the firing range, shooting at a still target, without having any training as far as physical defense, or being instructed as to when a gun can be used or when it cannot be used, in the

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

absense of that, they're not qualified to use any firearms. I just disagree with you there.

That's all my questions.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mrs. Hicks, do you have any questions you want to ask the warden?

COMMISSIONER HICKS: I'd like to ask
the warden, why is it that when an inmate becomes
ill in your institution, he's brought all the way
to Newark, to Martland, rather than to Overlook
and Summit, which is very close to Caldwell?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, what I can say is this: That if there is an emergent situation and a doctor is not in attendance or he can't be reached, we'll take him to the closest hospital to our institution, and that usually is Mountainside. If it's an emergent situation, we don't go to Newark. on all of our clinic trips, our correctional center physician is here--and I might say he's a very fine doctor, I know him -- if there are any questions that the Commission would like to extend on this point, medical wise, I'm sure he'll be more than happy to answer them. But an emergent situation goes to the closest hospital. Anything in the realm of a regular doctor visit, maybe if it's involving a female or a male, it would be put on the clinic

trip to go to maybe Martland Medical Center or maybe the receiving hospital in Cedar Grove. But the emergents are given immediate attention at the closest hospital.

the question because you're using manpower when you're bringing down inmates, and we heard some testimony relevant to that last week, and I just wondered why, being a County Correctional facility, why would you come all the way to Bergen Street in Newark, using manpower, keeping guards there and this sort of thing, as opposed to going to the nearest hospital.

MR. MAGNUSSON: This is a monthly report that we have. In the month of March, we had two hundred fifty inmates transported to one hundred fifteen clinics requiring the services of two hundred eleven officers, for a total of 449 hours. This is expensive.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: I know.

MR. MAGNUSSON: This is expensive. Now, in all fairness, I have to say that for the past year, that the Board of Freeholders, the County Sheriff and myself have been trying every possible angle to work up something where there could be

a central hospital center to service both the needs of the correctional center and of the county jail. We've explored a lot of avenues; a lot of work has went into it. Unfortunately, we don't have it. With the College of Dentistry and Medicine, I don't know how long we're going to be able to enjoy their services, because they're currently suing the County for over one half million dollars, and this is where we are. They don't turn us away, though, they don't deny us; they take care of the correctional center like we're one of their annexes. Those people are great to us, and we get great service from them.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Your music therapy and your art therapy; is that currently being implemented in the female unit?

MR. MAGNUSSON: No, we don't have the-the art therapy, we have in the male division. I
have applied for the hiring of a part time art
therapy for the female division. Now, we did have
a lady come up from Montclair part time; we had
some contributions, volunteers who came up and tried
to help, and we just haven't had too much success.
So that's an area that we have to give a little
more concerted effort to, and I might say that it

became necessary for me, in the past month, with the womens' division, to appoint a female supervisor, a woman who has twenty years of experience. She is currently a sergeant, but the woman, not only with her experience, but she reflects the philosophy of this administration, and now since she's been put into this post as a supervisor of that Division, we've taken on another new course over there, and it is given top priority or my priority, and anything that she needs.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Is that your social and development program?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, with that coming in,
I think this will be one of the greatest things that
we've ever had, because this is the basis for all
things. As I said before, to train somebody to
become a typist or some other form of employment,
if they don't have the rest of the ingredients,
they're going to strike out, and maybe they'll become more inhibited, or maybe it will have a reaction on them. So I think if we get this thing going
here, and again, too, we do consult the female
residents that we have before we put programs into
effect; ask them what would be most conducive to
them, what would they like to do. I'm just sorry

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we haven't moved as fast as we would like to,
particularly in the area of the women's division,
but I think this year, we will.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, are you aware of the fact that the female inmates have expressed desire for a sort of an updated library?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, we have -- not to cut off your question. Over seven months ago, we brought in the people from the Newark Public Library, came up and looked at our setup, not only in the men's division but the women's division. They wrote a fine proposal. The proposal is laying on someone's desk in Washington, D.C., and at the stroke of a pen, it will become a reality--one of the finest libraries in the county for both the men and women's division. We haven't left any stone unturned in any particular division. The bureaucracy we have to go through, it's laborsome, it's tough. We have it in motion, now we hope we can get this thing off the floor. But that is built in, and it's the same type of library that was put in the youth house, and if you're familiar with that one, it's quite adequate. It's really great--

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, as you know,

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

we're a fact-finding body, and we are also looking for some immediate relief in certain areas. We feel that this study will be able to accomplish this, and I was gearing my question to programs that might be implemented immediately, and this is why I asked that question.

Well, what presently do you have going for female inmates?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, there is not a There is another little ray of light great deal. that we do have on our 4 to 12 shift; another female sergeant who is also a practical nurse, and she, working through the administration or myself, has been able to put on a series of little programs for the women. The most recent course is a red cross nursing graduation ceremony that was put on the other night. She has given a course in how to care for poor people, people who have nothing; a course on how to make tables out of cardboard boxes, how to make slippers out of paper. are little things that have been contributed by an employee, by a female correctional sergeant that has taken up some of the slack and void that possibly the institution should be motivating. But by me, at least, allowing her to go to these

various schools and getting these certificates, she in her time, working, in addition to running the place, has implemented these programs. I know it isn't a great deal, but I think it's something, and we are trying.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: But also for the male, they are given time off in your Work Release Program.

Is this true with the female inmate?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes. They get--when you're on Work Release, the credits you can give is one day, or every four days of work on Work Release. We also have two other standards; one, if a person works five days a week in the institution, even if they go to school, we give them credit. To give a for instance, we had a little problem in the beginning to get people into our programs; they'd rather be in a job where they could get work time credit. So if they even go to school, the Work Program plus the G.E.D. training, we give them a credit for one for every five days. In some weekends, where there's homework involved, we can give them credit for one out of seven days.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: How many women do you have on the Work Release Program?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Seven. It took us a long

time to get them going.

CHAIRMAN IRVING:

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Warden, I think you've made an excellent presentation here, and I only have a few questions I'd like to ask.

Sheriff?

Well, all these programs that you have; would it be a fair statement for me to say that they're all Federally funded programs? I'm talking about all the shops you have and all these educational programs. Or are they out of the County budgets?

MR. MAGNUSSON: No. Now, most of the programs that I have enumerated here, such as the auto transmission, major applicance, general maintenance, landscaping, they're Federally funded.

We have our own institutional programs; we do have a tailor shop. These are more functional, but they're manned by institutional trade instructors.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Those that are Federally funded, are they on a digressed system, where they're fully funded the first year, three quarters next, and--

MR. MAGNUSSON: No, we have to produce what is called an in-kind. They're funding 40 percent now. Our in-kind could be our electricity,

our heat, our manpower. So actually, we're not putting up dollar one except what we're contributing in the way of facilities.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is there any chance of those funds being curtailed or stopped totally?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Sheriff, I would profess, from what I've read—and I don't profess to be a penalogist, but I've been in the system for twenty—five years—that there is no way conceivable for Federal Funds to be cut off today, particular—ly in the area of corrections. Your Committee is going to highlight that feature. If we can get more Federal Funds into this problem, this is the only way the crime rate is going to stop. It has to be adjudicated somewhere, and it apparently hasn't been done right. So be proving statistical—ly in a year or two, now, to show that this money was well spent, this money will come forth.

COMMISSIONR DE MARINO: The people that are skilled for those particular jobs, general jobs like tailor and so forth; I'm talking about the auto making and educational programs that you have that are federally funded. Are they taught by people in the particular fields?

the Civil Service --

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, with the exception of hiring these teachers, because they're on a yearly basis.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Are all your men schooled presently? Are all your men trained in the field of correction, and I think the only school, and correct me if I'm wrong, is the Skillman School that we have right now.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, Skillman--has been our little guiding light.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: We might diminish within a couple of months.

MR. MAGNUSSON: And it would be a sorry thing.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The funds may stop.

MR. MAGNUSSON: It should be expanded.

The only other hope, I put so much hope in education, because the Captain who is assigned as a training officer, he has one officer works for him, and his job is to seek out any course that he can possibly squeeze any of the other men into, even into the cardio-pulmonary resuscitation unit. We have qualified men who can ride on ambulances now, who can

act, which is a requirement under the law. We have 85 men who have had this kind of training in the general correctional field out of possibly 127 candidates. We have some 62 men who have received either an advanced or basic correctional thing from an outside agency, and of course, we have had some implementation of an in-service. It is not as much as we would like, but with the showing of the films that we have, we are doing some in-training to expand on it.

that your men are getting, that is different from where there is a designed school, and you're doing it in-service through communication through the mail or whatnot to various schools, and trying to maneuver people around so that there is no, per se, similarity to the police academy or the New Jersey Training Commission.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Right.

about weapons, Warden. It's a fact that they
don't carry the weapons either around on the job
or off the job, those that work in the penitentiary
there where you're at. However, all personnel,
you would agree with me, I'm certain, have to be

trained in weapons.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Absolutely.

Mr. Ginsberg was relating to was the fact that a statement was made by the previous witness last week, that three hundred rounds is all they fire. Now, won't --three hundred rounds or two hundred rounds, once a man is properly trained--now, the proper training that I'm talking about is the velocity of the weapon, or the nomenclature of the weapon, the distance it travelled, the weight of the weapon, the dismantling and construction of the weapon--that type of training. Do any of your people receive that?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Very limited, no.

It's mainly in the use of the firearm, and it starts off with a film of the things that you've outlined, the nomenclature and all of that is given. But then the actual going down to the range, of course, is where the qualifications are set in.

Now, these men, of course, are accepted under the New Jersey State Training School and by the Federal Government as qualified instructors.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I agree. However,

I would say that we have a--maybe you won't agree

with me, you don't have to, but I'm going to ask you, don't you feel that we have, with the handling of weapons by corrections officer, police, and all agencies who have to carry weapons as part of their duties, that we have a cram session? In other words, a man gets hired on the streets. If we don't have time to educate him and we know it's a fact he has to carry a weapon, what we're really doing is Here is the weapon, let's go down to the range, there's a trainer who shows you a film of how to carry it, or when to use it and when not to use it; maybe the film consists of twenty minutes to a half hour at most, and then he takes you and he teaches you in the next half hour or hour the safety on the range, and then, at that time, under their direct supervision, meaning that you're on a line, you're on an ideal situation with a fixed target, and there's a man behind the line telling you, put your weapon down range, so on and so forth-this is the type of training, I'm certain, no different than what my department was receiving prior to me coming. That's the only type of training?

MR. MAGNUSSON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I just wanted to

25

24

23

Mante !

clear it up so Mr. Ginsberg understood.

So there's no real nomenclature, weapon studies and that, done by your people?

MR. MAGNUSSON: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In fact, it would be safe to say that none of your people broke down a weapon that they've been carrying?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, there are a lot of military men, fellows that served in the service that have done it, but in the main, your point, I would agree with you, yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right.

So that it's safe to say that three hundred rounds is okay to fire; once you're familiar with the weapon, once you're trained with the weapon, you can go to the range and fire fifty rounds a year. The amount of rounds doesn't mean a thing; it's the steps—just like going to college. The three years mean nothing, you have to go four to get the diploma. The same situation with the weapons. So the amount of rounds doesn't make the difference; the important factor is the training that is needed from, let's take military service. Those that are familiar with the M-l rifle know that you live with it for approximately three months, dismantling it,

putting it together every day. That is not done, and that's the point I want to bring out as far as the weapons.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And cleaning it.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And cleaning it,
right.

Don't you feel—and judging by your figures here and the statement you made when you answered the question, you do feel that by spending—you mentioned the amount of men it took to transport the amount of prisoners to the various locations in the County. The problem that you had, you, as administrator; don't you feel that you can sit down with whoever is in authority you would have to sit down with and just a common sense factor, if you take these figures, you could build a portable hospital on any county property and bring your inmates there? Am I right?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Instead of paying the overtime rate, with a portable, I don't know how much property they have in Essex, but I'm sure they have some lands--

MR. MAGNUSSON: 97 acres.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Perhaps within

your institution confines, perhaps where you would have a staff at that place, maybe 12 or 14 men to give minimum security there, and have everybody funnelled into that area.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask
you this, too, and I'm only asking you because
these are problems that I've come across in my
County. Don't you also feel that it would be wise
for someone in Essex County, instead of you transporting prisoners—and let's make it an example
so everyone can understand. You take inmates out
of your institution and bring them to various infirmaries. The jail must do the same thing with
theres.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Not as--I don't think they have the--you see, we have what--

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I mean those-MR. MAGNUSSON: That's a holding situation. They have more emergent situations. But
we have a population that's living there, and consequently, we have more physical problems. But I
think we have more trips.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, and
I assume there's also trips made by some correction

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authority; I don't know the makeup of this County that well, whether it's yourself, whether it's the Sheriff's, or whether it's a hospital detail or what, that it perhaps would pay if someone took the combined figures to have a set up transportation division somewhere in Essex County who would handle all these transports.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, you could even go further than that. Why not have, in the County of Essex, over one million people, why not have a Department of Corrections? If we had a Department of Corrections under one agency, all of these things would then become paramount, and would, in effect, save a great amount of money.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Of which, one division of the Department of Corrections would be the Department of Transportation?

> MR. MAGNUSSON: Absolutely. Absolutely. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That's all.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Warden, are you saying, in effect, then, Essex County can get better correction services for less money?

MR. MAGNUSSON: I would have to say yes. I don't want to do anybody out of a job, now. CHAIRMAN IRVING: I understand.

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me say this: If not for less money, by the better deployment --MR. MAGNUSSON: Right, top drawer, professional department.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Mr. Cornblatt? COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Briefly, what medical and dental facilities do you have present at your institution?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, I'd have to answer you, sir, and say right out, we have none. have a dentist. Now, when he comes up on the examinations as reported through our medical department, I have appointed a medical direction to coordinate all of this. He will take them to-we're using, currently, the facilities at the Cedar Grove Hospital, the receiving hospital. If it requires dental surgery, then of course, an appointment is made at the College of Dentistry and Medicine in Newark.

That, again, COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: involves having someone transport these people? MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Do you have any statistics at all to indicate the recidivism rate for the persons that have passed through your .

..7

institution in the past seventeen months?

MR. MAGNUSSON: No. The last figure that I knew that was given to me was almost as high as 60 percent.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Was that before or after you implemented the programs that have come in?

MR. MAGNUSSON: That was before, prior.

We're hoping to gather the statistical data to

get--in fact, by the end of this year. It's being

done now by a team from Impact who came up--again,

we didn't have the manpower, but they're going to

come up and try a data retrieval system so he can

have statistical things, so when we talk, we can

talk with more intelligence.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: The social development programs, you limit to females. Do you have any equivalent for your male prisoners?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Not in the same, hygiene-I have had some people tell me that they would like
to come up and talk about individual things, problems, insurance as it might relate to them, but I
don't have it. The only thing I have, I have
obtained the services of the Essex County Legal Aid
Society, with, again, somebody picking up the tab.

We're going to have one of them stationed at our institution at all times. I've always put in for-tried to obtain a Public Defender full time by support of Federal Funds to coordinate all of the activities with the Assignment Court and with our Judicial System, which would make for a more orderly operation.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, Warden, I understand that through your cooperation, which meant that we did not have to use any subpoena power, you have made available to us two women from the Correctional Center. Do I understand that one of the women who is here was selected by the other women to speak for them?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes. She's what we call a representative.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well, I think the Commission would like to hear from them.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Warden, you mentioned twenty-five years. I wonder if you could just briefly give a thumbnail sketch of your background, how you got started, how you got into being a Warden.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Right. I started out

8

9

₩ **10**

ી1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as a jail officer at the Essex County Jail when it was known as the Old Newark Street Jail. It actually was, of course, the Essex County Jail. After I was there for two and a half years, I placed first under the Civil Service Examination, I became the Chief Jail Officer, and I stayed at the jail for a period of seven years. I took another examination and went down to the Sheriff's office and worked in the County Courts, the Superior Courts; I was a Sergeant-at-arms in the Essex County County Juvenile Court; I was a Sergeant-at-arms in the Essex County Court, which had the visiting judges in Essex. I worked for some fourteen years in the investigative division in the Sheriff's Office. During that one time, I served as Chief Investigator for a Legislative Commission in Trenton for a period of one year. I have been involved in, particularly, public relations and community service work, which I specialized in for the three or four years preceding to taking the job as the Acting Chief Administrator at the Essex County Corrections Center.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: During this period, how many years do you estimate you actually worked for the Sheriff's Department and--

MR. MAGNUSSON: Twenty-five.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Twenty-five for the Sheriff's Department--

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, twenty-four, and my time here, my seventeen months up here.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, in actuality, you have worked for the Sheriff, then, for approximately twenty-four years.

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: During this period, is it my recollection that you were Chief Investigator or an investigator in the Narcotics Division, assigned to the Essex County Narcotics Squad?

MR. MAGNUSSON: I worked in Narcotics Division. I'm a graduate of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics Training School in Washington, D.C..

I've given lectures and talks on narcotics, but
I was not in charge of Narcotics Division. I specialized in another field of enforcement.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I see, thank you.
CHAIRMAN IRVING: Sheriff?

commissioner DE MARINO: Warden, in addition to the question Commissioner Brown asked you, most of the schooling that you had was not mandated schooling; it was schooling you sought on your own, is that correct?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hold these people. I'm certain that during those interviews, when you try to hold them, they must tell you where they're going. What percentage would you say go to either other jobs for better money or do they go to police fields, or where would they go from there?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Well, there's a lot of pirating among police fields. A man may want to get into investigative work and may go to the Prosecutor's Office, or possibly the Sheriff's Office, or maybe take a job--I have one fellow who wants to become an ABC agent. I don't want to lose him, but he feels that he would like to do this kind of work. This is in addition to that, you know, the four out of ten. But we have talked a few of the men into staying there, particularly based on the advantages of the job, and under predication that the job is going to be better; that the area for the correction officer is just starting to hit its stride, and if he's going into any area to be a professional, now, this is the area that he's going into, as a corrections officer. Just this group here is highlighting the drastic change, the radical change in the area of corrections and penalogy that's taking place. So those guys that

have persistence will hang on and suffer some of
the things they don't like, anticipating that it
will be much better, that there will be more
specialization, that it's nice if he can tell a
guy that, look even if you're not handling a certain detail or if you're not a specialist, you're
not counseling in narcotics or something else,
we can offer you maybe a Senior Corrections Officer, even though you may work in the wing, where
you have the direct contact with an inmate. We
can compensate you for your talents by making you
a Senior Corrections Officer. These are the type
of things that I see coming, and I think they will
come.

I know I have the problem, and certainly you have-your decision making means that you have to work
around rules and regulations that are against your
grain, simply because you try to hold men on, meaning you adjust them to shifts or get them other
jobs, so you hold them in your possession.

MR. MAGNUSSON: There's a bit of that, sure.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: One more thing.

Are you on leave of absence from the Sheriff's

have our expression of support. I think the Govern-

ment recognizes they don't want to lose a good man,

24

25

and I hope you will be there for many years so we can build around you. I will not underestimate the deficiencies that we have discussed with you; nevertheless, we are impressed by your testimony.

Now, will you tell us the name of the inmate who will speak for the rest of the inmates?

MR. MAGNUSSON: Yes. Mrs. Gant, my

Supervisor, will introduce the women.

OFFICER WINNIE GANTT-DAVIS: We have two of the ladies.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. Before we ask the witnesses to identify themselves, let me point out what I think the schedule is for the balance of the afternoon, in terms of what we can accomplish. We have these two women. There is also a Vincent Salvato, who is waiting, and we'll get to him before the end of the day, because I promised him we would. There are a couple of affidavits we want to read into the record. Reverend Frank Gibson is here, and he's been waiting patiently to talk. We will accomplish that, at least, this afternoon.

The representatives of the Essex County

Jail who are here, would it not be better all around

if we scheduled another day that Doctor Simms, the

dentist from the jail and some other people, the 1 2 officers who are here, and they will then be able 3 to testify? We're receptive to that, and we will schedule a day, as soon as we can, to hear from 4 those men and from anybody else in Essex County who 5 is unable to be heard today. Thank you. 6 7 Now, Maude Jackson, will you identify 8 yourself? MISS MAUDE JACKSON: My name is Maude 9 Jackson; I'm an inmate at E.C.C., Essex County 10 Corrections Center. 11 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do they both seem to 12 be the same place to you? 13 MISS JACKSON: They both call them E.C.C.. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: I see. Miss Jackson, do 15 you understand you can refuse to answer any ques-16 tions we ask? You come voluntarily, do you not? 17 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I ask how you were 19 selected to come here today? 20 MISS JACKSON: Well, the girls voted me 21 in to be representative of the women's wing in 22 November, because we were having trouble, static 23 between the officers and the girls. So they voted 24 me in. 25

4 5

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Now, do you understand, Miss Jackson, that the only area that we are looking at today is the Essex County situation, and we want to talk to you about the Essex County Correctional Center.

MISS JACKSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: And we welcome any thoughts you have about the needs there, or the remedies.

MISS JACKSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, I think it might be helpful if you would tell us how you, if you are typical of the women at the correctional center, how you spend your day, from the time you awaken in the morning.

MISS JACKSON: The girls wake up at 5:30, take showers. Breakfast is about 6:30. You come after breakfast, you come and get locked back in. You come out at 8:00. Girls that have jobs, they do their jobs, you know, like cleaning the tier or whatever. After that, you do nothing.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: After that, you do nothing?

MISS JACKSON: Yeah. If you don't watch television, if you don't play cards, if you're not

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know why they're

not interested?

__

MISS JACKSON: They don't care.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there another kind

of training that they would be interested in?

MISS JACKSON: When they're asked, nobody has nothing to say. But we still don't have anything going. Like this other program was supposed to come in January, they came and spoke to the girls, but we never heard anything about that until we read it in the paper again. We don't even know about that program.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I see. Do I take it what you're saying is that except for this high school remedial program, there is nothing else at the moment that the women can participate in?

MISS JACKSON: Nothing.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, the day that I visited the correctional center with Miss Hicks and some of our staff people, my impression was that many of the women spend hour after hour in that one large room--

MISS JACKSON: We're locked in five times.

It's five doors, and then you lock on the tier.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well, now, the day that I was there was a very beautiful springlike day,

surprisingly enough. Are you able to get out

MISS JACKSON: Not until May. Then they start going outside. When you go outside, then you can't have no baseball bats or nothing. You know, they don't want you to have nothing. If you go outside, it's too hot, and when it gets too hot, because I was there last summer. It's too hot, the bugs eat you up, they don't want you to have nothing.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, we heard earlier that seven of the girls are on work release?

MISS JACKSON: That started last week.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: That only begain last

MISS JACKSON: Last week.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You mean until last week there was no work release--

MISS JACKSON: We had one girl going out on work release, and the only reason she was going on work release, the judge sent it up with her papers.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know why not more than seven women are out on work release?

MISS JACKSON: Miss James, she's supposed to be head of the Work Release Program. Before,

her to go over and speak with the Work Release

Program. She had a couple of girls that she wrote

to the Judge for to see if they could go out on

Work Release. She said the Judge said they can't

go out on Work Release because of their charges,

which was assault and batteries. But we got a

girl going out on Work Release now, she shot her

husband. You know, and like, really, we don't

even know what—how the Work Release Program runs

or anything. She don't come and talk to the girl,

she don't run it. When they got them girls out on

Work Release, she just popped out one day, and the

next day, they went to work.

when we only had one girl going out, we asked

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let me ask you this,

Miss Jackson, and again, you don't have to answer

it: When you are released from the Correctional

Center, do you believe you have any skills so you

can get a job?

mISS JACKSON: Yeah. I worked before I got busted. Factory job, but since I been in the institution, I've taken by G.E.D., I've taken a nurse's course, you know.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. Well, would you be interested in seeing the institution offer

£8

coming to get the typewriters this afternoon, no more typing class. You know. I don't know why.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do any organizations come and visit with the women, put on any programs to help relieve the monotony of your day?

MISS JACKSON: No.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: None of the church-related, temple-related charities come?

that brings--well, what you would call entertainment on Sunday is Reverend Saltis. He brings a
choir, you know, like a different church, if you
go to church. But other than that, if the girls
don't entertain yourself--we have an officer now,
she has some girls together that's doing plays and
some things like that, and Mrs. Davis, she's
the one that gives the nurse's courses at night,
the 4 to 12 shift. But other than that, nothing.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you want to say anything to us about the books that are there; the library that you have?

MISS JACKSON: Oh, I don't know what to say about them, really. They're old. We don't have any law books at all. They're just old novels, you know, and dusty.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you have the impression that the County is trying to help you correct yourself? Let me rephrase that, because I think that was offensive, I'm sorry.

Do you think that the County is interested in helping you improve your situation, so that you can get gainful employment when you leave?

MISS JACKSON: No.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You think the County is not interested.

MISS JACKSON: Because if I don't do it, they're not trying to help me, either, you know.

Like I said, we only have a few officers that are interested in the girls, and to try to teach them something. To other officers, it's just a job.

We don't even have any rules and regulations.

You know, they don't have none, we don't have none.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: What would you say,
may I ask, your attitude is to government?

Is it to support government? Are you hostile?

Are you angry?

MISS JACKSON: No.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You have no feelings for or against?

MISS JACKSON: Neutral.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Is there anything else that you want to tell us about the existance of the women at the correctional center that you think we ought to know about?

MISS JACKSON: Yeah. The main thing is the medical department. Right? On the weekends, we have nobody there. The girls get sick, by the time they do get somebody, it's an hour or two hours, and then by the time somebody gets there with a car, they come all the way down to Martland Medical They have to come way down there. Center. pregnant while I was up there, and I had a baby. It took them an hour and a half to get me to the hospital, in labor, and one time I had false labor, they took me to Mountainside, and they just refused me, you know, and there's no kind of medical aid at all for girls. You know, like they do have a lot of junkies coming in and out, they have girls that come in that's kicking off methadone, they don't have no kind of medication to give them. don't give no physical checkups to the women coming in there. We've had women come in there with the crabs, and they're just put in the population with us, you know, things like that.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know of your

own knowledge whether any women have caught any 1 contagious rashes and diseases from being thrown 2 in with other inmates? 3 MISS JACKSON: No, not that I know of. 4 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. May I go 5 back to one reference you made, because I think 6 it's important. You were in forced labor at one 7 time, and you were refused admittance to a hospital? 8 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Was that your testimony? 10 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN IRVING: When was that? 12 MISS JACKSON: It was in November. 13 CHAIRMAN IRVING: November of 1973? 14 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Did the hospital indi-16 cate why you were refused admittance? 17 MISS JACKSON: They said--a doctor examined 18 He said, the officer asked, he said, well, 19 is she in labor? He said, yes, but you'll have 20 time enough to get to Martland. 21 CHAIRMAN IRVING: What hospital was this? 22 MISS JACKSON: Mountainside, in Montclair. 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And when you got to--24 MISS JACKSON: They had to call--after the 25

--the ambulance took me there, a voluntary ambulance, right? After they took me there, they felt that they might keep me, they left. So when they had to take me to City Hospital, they had to call all the way to Glen Ridge to get an ambulance to come to Montclair to take me to Martland.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I almost hesitate to ask, but I do hope the birth was successful.

MISS JACKSON: I didn't even have the baby after all that. Til after. I was in false labor.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Oh, false labor, I'm sorry. I didn't understand.

Is there anything else about the medical program that you want to tell us of? We have a special interest in dental care, because the day we visited, we thought there was some people who needed dental care. We wondered about that.

MISS JACKSON: They do--we have a dentist, but he's at Overlook, and we have to go once a week, and like I said, we have a lot of junkies there, and they get toothaches. If I get a toothache today, I have to wait until next week, or if you get sick over the weekend, you have to wait until Monday til the doctor comes. You have to wait. They make

appointments a week ahead of time, you know. 1 2 if you're not there when they call your name for the doctor that Monday, you blow it until next 3 4 week. CHAIRMAN IRVING: They call your name, 5 do they, in the morning, after breakfast for sick 6 7 call? MISS JACKSON: Yeah, like the nurse come 8 around to your house, she say, you want to see the 9 doctor? She'll put your name down. If they call 10 your name and you might not be around and he don't 11 see you, you have to wait until the next week. 12 CHAIRMAN IRVING: But suppose a woman had 13 a need that was an emergency? 14 MISS HUNTEN: It's too bad. 15 MISS JACKSON: Well, it's -- we've had them 16 17 like that. CHAIRMAN IRVING: And what happened to 18 those? 19 MISS JACKSON: And then we have to mag 20 the officers to get someone to take them down 21 Martland Medical. 22 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you know whether 23 any woman's illness was seriously aggravated be-24

cause she couldn't get prompt medical care?

MISS JACKSON: One girl. She's not there 1 2 now. CHAIRMAN IRVING: What appened to her? 3 MISS JACKSON: She had a hernia, right 4 here, and it was strangling her, and I had to ask 5 the Warden, get permission to have her sent to 6 the hospital right away. They operated on her 7 34 the same day. 8 43.4 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you saying to us 9 1 that if she had gotten medical care earlier--10 MISS JACKSON: She had the other doctor 11 they had there, Doctor Garrett, who had made a 12 note to Doctor Ionne, he's the head doctor there, 13 that she should be sent to the clinic right away. 14 You could see it, it was so big. 15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: How long since--16 MISS JACKSON: It took three weeks. 17 CHAIRMAN IRVING: -- since the note indi-18 cated that the woman needed a doctor? 19 MISS JACKSON: And it took three weeks. 20 CHAIRMAN IRVING: It took three weeks, 21 and are you saying that by that time, the hernia 22 had become aggravated? 23 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN IRVING: So it was now a far 25

more serious medical matter.

MISS JACKSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. Is there anything else you want to tell us that you think might be of help?

MISS JACKSON: Oh, the cars that they use for transporting the inmates back and forth to the hospital and the jail or whatever? They're terrible.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: The automobiles are in a poor condition, are they?

MISS JACKSON: They're all in terrible condition, and an inmate is cuffed, and no handles on the door. If she should have an accident, the inmate is cold in trouble.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: You mean that the inmate could not get out of the car?

MISS JACKSON: No. There's no handles on the door, plus, you're handcuffed.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: But I wonder if there are no handles on the door as a security measure.

MISS JACKSON: I know this, but I'm saying, the condition of the cars, you know?

They're in such poor condition, you know, that you really shouldn't ride in them, being for real.

1 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you saying that 2 because the cars are so old and in such poor 3 condition, the chance of accident is worse? MISS JACKSON: No, because I rode in enough 4 of them going back and forth to the clinic. They've 5 6 broken down and everything else. Like, they say, they changed the name 7 from penitentiary to Essex County Corrections Center, and we're locked like we're in solitary confinement. 9 10 MISS HUNTEN: It's maximum security. CHAIRMAN IRVING: It is maximum security? 11 12 MISS HUNTEN: Yes, sir. MISS JACKSON: It sure is. Fort Knox don't 13 have nothing on us. That's right. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Let us ask if any other 15 members of the panel want to talk with you, Miss 16 Jackson, and then we'll ask Miss Hunter if she 17 wants to add anything to it? 18 Mr. Cornblatt? 19 COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Are you suggesting, 20 Miss Jackson, that it shouldn't be a maximum secur-21 ity institution? 22 MISS JACKSON: If they changed the name 23 to a center. 24

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: Whatever the name

said you could not have baseball bats, and I
wasn't sure I followed that. Are you suggesting
that--

MISS JACKSON: Girls like to play baseball.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: You understand

why they wouldn't want you to have baseball bats?

MISS JACKSON: We got chairs, if you want

to hit somebody.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: You said--and I think I'm quoting you accurately--they don't want you to have nothing. I don't know what that means. What I'm trying to get to, ultimately, Miss Jackson, what changes you would like to make in that institution, keeping in mind you're locked up.

MISS JACKSON: I realize I'm being there,
I'm being punished and like that, but I feel I
can nandle myself. What I was saying was that we
can't have nothing when we go outside, as I said,
like the baseball bats and things. Now, we was
promised a pool table. The fellows been had theirs
up. They didn't put up none for us. We were
supposed to get one. Girls play pool. They play
basketball, too. They got a basketball team, the
men.

COMMISSIONER CORNBLATT: What are you doing?

MISS JACKSON: Arts and crafts, like arts

didn't try to get me no Work Release before this, and I'm not paying them to keep me in jail.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: When you first entered Caldwell, did you tell anyone of your medical history, or were you asked about your medical history or --

MISS JACKSON: No. The only thing they ask is what kind of scars --if you have any scars on your body, if you had operations. The officers ask you that.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, during the day of our visit, you mentioned something about the inmates being quite tense. Can you describe that for me, because I talked to you first, then I went over to talk to the other girls.

MISS JACKSON: Because everything is so tight. You know, you get sick of looking at each other.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: But during the more frustrating moments, do you have someone that you can talk to? Is there someone in any way--

MISS JACKSON: Each other.

COMMISSIONER HICKS: I notice that you have a psychiatrist at the institution.

MISS JACKSON: I don't know.

1 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Have you been permit-2 ted to talk to him? 3 MISS JACKSON: I don't know about him. I've 4 never seen him. 5 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Are drugs available 6 there at the correction center? 7 MISS JACKSON: No. 8 COMMISSIONER HICKS: They're not. Do 9 they have a methadone program? 10 MISS JACKSON: No. The only methadone 11 is if a girl comes in that's on methadone maintenance 12 program, and she has 30 days, they bring her her 13 methadone every day. Other than that, they don't 14 have none of that. 15 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Who do you look for 16 for the dispensing of drugs; for instance, for a 17 headache, disorder. MISS JACKSON: I don't know. I don't 18 19 take no pills. COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, are drugs 20 21 dispensed to you--22 MISS JACKSON: Doctor prescribes --COMMISSIONER HICKS: The doctor is the 23 24 one. Okay. Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Warden Brown?

1 MISS JACKSON: The new jail? 2 COMMISSIONER BROWN: No. You said you 3 were in the--4 MISS JACKSON: The old jail? 5 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You said you were 6 in Newark Jail. That's the old jail? 7 MISS JACKSON: I've been in both of them. 8 Which one are you talking about? 9 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Let's take them one 10 at a time. Is Newark Street Jail a maximum security? 11 MISS JACKSON: Yeah. 12 COMMISSIONER BROWN: It is. 13 MISS JACKSON: Yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Is the new jail a 15 maximum? MISS JACKSON: Yeah. 16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: In your experience 17 in being in these jails, how do you classify maxi-18 mum from minimum; just the fact you don't have an 19 open house and can roam around? I mean, how do 20 we derive this classification of one--I heard the 21 Warden say he runs a minimum security institution --22 MISS JACKSON: That's on the men's side. 23 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You mean the women's 24

side is run differently than the men's side?

25

MISS JACKSON: It's not like just one

section, it's two sides, right? There's a side

period?

MISS JACKSON: Yes. 1 COMMISSIONER BROWN: But after that is 2 over, you have to go back to your own tier? 3 MISS JACKSON: No. 4 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You said there were 5 two sections. 6 MISS JACKSON: It's two sides. It's two 7 sides, they got A and B, like that. 8 COMMISSIONER BROWN: During your recrea-9 tion period, are you allowed to go over to B, if 10 you're in A? 11 MISS JACKSON: Yeah. 12 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Are you allowed to 13 stay there for awhile? 14 MISS JACKSON: No. You have to stay 15 there until they get ready to open the door. 16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: How long is that? 17 MISS JACKSON: At night? 7:30. 18 make a 7:30 call, after supper, and we go to bed. 19 10, 10:00, everybody goes to bed at 10. 20 COMMISSIONER BROWN: So in other words, 21 there is an amount of freedom that you are allowed 22 over there at certain intervals? 23 MISS HUNTEN: What is he talking about? 24 MISS JACKSON: About, you know, being

1 locked. 2 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, I think that rather than pursue this -- I think I understand what 3 4 you're saying. 5 How old are you? 6 MISS JACKSON: Thirty-one. 7 COMMISSIONER BROWN: And you indicated you've been in Clinton Reformatory, you've been 8 in Newark, the old jail, and Newark, the new jail. 9 Have you been in any other places? 10 11 MISS JACKSON: No. COMMISSIONER BROWN: And you told Mrs. 12 Hicks you were busted, and yet, Mrs. Hicks asked 13 you if you had any drugs available to you in the 14 Caldwell Penitentiary. 15 MISS JACKSON: Well, I don't. 16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You don't. 17 were you busted, in fact, for drugs? For drug use? 18 MISS JACKSON: What I am up there now for 19 is a violation. 20 COMMISSIONER BROWN: A violation of 21 probation? 22 MISS JACKSON: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER BROWN: And the fact that 24

you were using or selling--

MISS JACKSON: I have a possession. 1 2 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Possession, and the 3 fact that you had possession, I have to assume 4 that you were using. 5 MISS JACKSON: No. 6 No? Okay. COMMISSIONER BROWN: you say that there are drugs available up there, 7 8 but you haven't--MISS JACKSON: I didn't say drugs, I 9 said the doctor prescribes the medication. 10 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Now, I'm trying to 11 bring something out for the Commission. I'm trying 12 to find out whether or not there are drugs, in fact, 13 available to you--14 MISS JACKSON: Not to my knowledge, no. 15 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. That's what 16 I wanted to find out. Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Miss Jackson, 18 let me ask you this: If there were drugs available, 19 and you did have knowledge, wouldn't you answer 20 the say way; not to your knowledge? In other words, 21 let me put it this way. You feel--22 MISS JACKSON: If I knew there was some-23 thing around, I'd say the same things, yes. 24 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Thank you very 25

much. That's the point I wanted to bring out, 1 and let's approach it from here, and you don't 2 have to answer unless you want to, okay? 3 Isn't it a fact that female prisoners, 4 just like male prisoners, palm medication? 5 MISS JACKSON: They what? 6 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In other words, 7 if you're given aspirins, they will accumulate them? 8 MISS JACKSON: Save them? I don't know, 9 because I don't take medication. 10 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Miss Hunter, 11 you are there. Do you understand what I mean by 12 palming medication? 13 Yes. MISS HUNTER: 14 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Where you accumu-15 lated, and you have enough to do--16 MISS HUNTER: Some of them did it and got 17 away without taking them, and now, most--not all, 18 but most of the officers will watch you while you 19 swallow it. 20 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So there is a 21 chance that they are not watched. Now, is it a 22 fact that methadone is dispersed there? I'm not 23 saying you take it, I'm not saying you have ever 24 had it, but do you have any knowledge that it is

MISS JACKSON: No.

1	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And there is
2	MISS JACKSON: But I was in one from the
3	street, though, just in Liberty Village.
4	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, Liberty
5	Village
6	MISS JACKSON: That's an out-patient.
7	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: An out-patient
8	institution?
9	MISS JACKSON: This was my stipulation
10	for my probation.
11	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And then you
12	violated that?
13	MISS JACKSON: No, I beat my probation
14	officer up.
15	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You what? Did what
16	MISS JACKSON: I beat her up.
17	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, for our
18	benefit, the Commissionlet me say, is there a
19	possibility that when you get out, you will go back
20	on drugs?
21	MISS JACKSON: No.
22	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You say no. No,
23	because you kicked the habit yourself?
24	MISS JACKSON: I did.
25	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Or is it not be-

1	cause there's any program within the system to
2	MISS JACKSON: Because I'm ready to stop.
3	MISS HUNTER: Ask me. Ask me.
4	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I assumed that.
5	CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. Either one may
6	answer.
7	MISS HUNTER: I will.
8	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You will?
9	MISS HUNTER: I will, because I'm not
10	getting any help out of there. I've been there
11	five times.
12	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That's what I've
13	been trying to get out of Miss Jackson.
14	MISS JACKSON: That's only my second time
15	in ten years.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You've been in
17	the corrections center five times?
18	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And they're all
20	drug related offenses?
21	MISS HUNTER: Some of them shoplifting be-
22	cause of drugs.
23	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Were there any
24	programs there for you?
25	MISS HUNTER: First of all, the drug

programs they had, they couldn't identify with me 1 because they haven't been where I've been. 2 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In other words, 3 you're talking about the degree of drugs they're 4 5 instructing you upon--MISS HUNTER: They're talking about 6 drugs that they don't even know about. 7 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And who's talking 8 9 about it? MISS HUNTER: The ones they appoint as 10 counselors. 11 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And who are 12 these people? Are they counselors, corrections 13 officers, or lay people? 14 MISS HUNTER: In '71, it was Mrs. James. 15 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Who's Mrs. James? 16 Is she a corrections officer? 17 MISS HUNTER: She was. She's now head 18 of Work Release. 19 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let's stop right 20 there. You, now, the drug user, and Mrs. James, 21 the instructor; is that what you're saying? 22 MISS HUNTER: Yeah. **23** COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In your opinion, 24 how much knowledge would you say she had about 25

drugs?

MISS HUNTER: Only what we told her.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You're saying

she was educated by those within the institution?

MISS HUNTER: Right.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You don't know for a fact that she had any formal education in the field of narcotics?

MISS HUNTER: No. You could tell the way she asked all the questions. She didn't know.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, besides

Mrs. James. were there any other people?

MISS HUNTER: Yes, they had another one, Mrs. Middleton.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: When you say
Mrs., I think you're referring to the corrections
officers, is that right?

MISS HUNTER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: She didn't know, either?

MISS HUNTER: No. She didn't know enough about drugs to be a drug counselor. She didn't know nothing about it.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. What happens to--

MISS HUNTER: She read books.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask
this question. What happens to you, now, who
is now a drug user, and you're confronted with
someone who's instructing you about drugs, and
you know more than they do? What happens to you,
as a person enrolled in the drug program?

MISS HUNTER: As a person, I feel like they can't tell me nothing. You know, they just can't tell me nothing about drugs, because I use them.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: In other words, they can't sufficiently explain the whole turkey experience to you, because you've been there.

MISS HUNTER: No. No.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do they have any knowledge, reasonable knowledge--

MISS HUNTER: Yeah, they seen girls kicking the habit.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: With the exception of those two corrections officers, were there any skilled people enrolled in the drug rehabilitation program in this correction center while you were there?

MISS HUNTER: I started--my first time was

'71, '72 and '73. Now, in '73, there have--they don't really have a drug program, but, you know, they have a person coming from the street from a drug program that knows, you know, he knows where it's at.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How about that person? Do you attend his classes?

MISS HUNTER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you gain anything from those, from him, from that instruction?
MISS HUNTER: No, because it has to come
from here (pointing to her forehead).

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Meaning that you, the person--

MISS HUNTER: Like, I feel like, okay,
being that I've been locked up five times, and I've
used drugs every time, I feel like I want to try
Meth, but I know that's one drug going to another.

you say you'll go out, you'll go back to drugs, meaning that regardless, even what the skilled people, the people that you had in '73, that you've made up your mind that you're not going to attempt to cure yourself?

MISS HUNTER: No.

1	MISS JACKSON: Yeah, you know that.
2	That's natural.
3	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No, I'm sorry,
4	Mrs. Jackson. I have an idea, perhaps I never
5	actually viewed it, but I'm going to ask you. Do
6	you know?
7	MISS JACKSON: Yeah, I know.
8	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Here at the
9	corrections center?
10	MISS JACKSON: Yeah.
11	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Has anyone
12	and you don't have to answer this, you can just
13	stay mute and don't answerdo you have direct
14	knowledge by participating, yourself, in homosexual
15	MISS JACKSON: Yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You did answer.
17	MISS JACKSON: Yeah.
18	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you
19	this: By another female or
20	MISS JACKSON: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How about you,
22	Miss Hunter?
23	MISS HUNTER: No.
24	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Never?
25	MISS HUNTER: Never.

1	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: No knowledge of
2	it; never seen it.
3	MISS HUNTER: I have knowledge of it.
4	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Have you ever
5	seen it?
6	MISS HUNTER: Yeah.
7	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Now
8	thequestion I want to ask both of you, have either
9	of you ever seen an attempt by anyone in authority
10	to discourage, stop, separate those partners in
11	
	any way?
12	MISS JACKSON: Yeah, they do.
13	MISS HUNTER: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Both of you
15	say yes, they do.
16	I don't have any other questions.
17	CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I pick up on what
18	the Sheriff has been asking you?
19	Miss Hunter, do you have any skills that
20	you think will be useful to you in getting employ-
21	ment when you leave the correctional center?
22	MISS HUNTER: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN IRVING: You have no skills.
24	Have you ever asked, during your several visits,
25	your stays at the correctional center, for any

1 skilled training? 2 MISS HUNTER: Have I asked? 3 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. MISS HUNTER: Did the wall ask? No, I'm 4 not trying to be smart or anything, but if we 5 were to ask, nothing would come of it. I would 6 7 be just wasting my breath. CHAIRMAN IRVING: If there was a program 8 that would teach you, perhaps, to be a beautician 9 or some other skill, would you possibly be interes-10 11 ted in learning? MISS HUNTER: We spoke on several things, 12 we've been promised several things, but nothing 13 has been accomplished. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you think that would 15 be helpful, if you were able to develop such a skill? 16 MISS HUNTER: Yes, I do. 17 CHAIRMAN IRVING: So, then, am I right 18 in assuming that what you're saying is that you 19 are at a correctional center which is not giving 20 you any help in changing your lifestyle? 21 I feel as though if MISS HUNTER: No. 22 it really was, I wouldn't have been there the 23 second and third and fourth and fifth times. 24

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I have one more

1 question. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Warden? Yes, go ahead. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Miss Hunter, have 3 you been at Clinton Reformatory, also? 4 MISS HUNTER: No, sir. 5 COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. So I'll 6 have to ask Miss Jackson. 7 You have, Miss Jackson. Can you tell 8 me, does Clinton Reformatory have any realistic 9 drug rehabilitation programs? 10 MISS JACKSON: Well, I don't know, be-11 cause that's been six years ago. I don't know 12 how they have it set up now. Then, they didn't 13 have any, but I don't know how they do it now. 14 COMMISSIONER BROWN: All right. Thank 15 you. 16 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: One question. 17 I'd like to direct this question once to each of 18 you women, and that is whether you read well. 19 I'd like to know that first from Miss Hunter. 20 MISS HUNTER: Yes, I do. 21 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: You read well. 22 So a remedial reading program wouldn't be terribly 23 helpful to you. 24 MISS HUNTER: You know, like they said, you 25

1

never too old to learn? You can always use more knowledge.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What I'm saying

3 is, many people in our society aren't successful 4 because they don't have the basic skills to operate 5 within it. I think reading is one of those 6 basic skills. You just can't communicate with the 7 8 world unless you're able to identify the written word on a piece of paper, either to read signs, 9 or corrections, or anything, and I'm assuming now, 10 from the fact that you tell me that you read 11

well, that you don't suffer from that particular

13

12

Right. MISS HUNTER:

15

14

Miss Jackson?

problem. Is that true?

17

16

MISS JACKSON: No, I don't have any problem.

19

18

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: You read well?

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What about you,

20

MISS JACKSON: Write well, too.

21

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: You read books?

22

MISS JACKSON: I read all the time.

23

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: What about

24

most of the women? Could you generalize? Could

25

you say most do or do not?

MISS JACKSON: The majority of the girls 1 read, you know. The majority of the girls read. 2 HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: So that you 3 think a remedial reading program wouldn't be 4 terribly helpful in that institution. 5 MISS JACKSON: Well, being for real, yeah, 6 because some of them need it. 7 CHAIRMAN IRVING: There are no further 8 9 questions. I want on behalf of the Commission to 10 thank you both for coming and talking voluntarily, 11 and thank you, Officer Gant, for bringing the 12 women. We wish you luck. 13 (Witnesses excused.) 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: I'd like, now, to go 15 back to Vincent Salvato. 16 (Off the record) 17 CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. May we go 18 back on the record and will you now, for the 19 purposes of the record, indicate your correct 20 name and mailing address? 21 MR. VINCENT SALVATO: My name is Vincent 22 Salvato. I live at 18 Liberty Street, Bloomfield. 23 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Where are you employed, 24 Mr. Salvato?

1 MR. SALVATO: Essex County Jail. 2 CHAIRMAN IRVING: And how long have you 3 been there? MR. SALVATO: About three years. 4 5 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Are you a temporary or permanent employee? 6 MR. SALVATO: I started as a temporary. 7 I'm now a permanent officer. 8 CHAIRMAN IRVING: What is your work shift, 9 may I ask? 10 MR. SALVATO: 4 to 12, Well, 4 to 12, and 11 now, mostly, 2 to 10. It's like a shift within 12 a shift. The 4 to 12 shift, from within that 13 shift, we work 2 to 10, also. 14 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Now, you understand 15 that this Commission has been looking into the 16 general conditions, shortcomings, needs and programs 17 of the Essex County Jail. Is there anything you 18 would like to call to our attention by way of 19 deficiencies, or anything positive you want to say? 20 MR. SALVATO: I would like to show that, 21 I would say the majority of the officers, the morale 22 is so low, the harassment, using the schedule and 23 the shifts for weapons, and I'd like to show 24

a specific incident. It started, as I believe

another officer said with the Sheriff's picnic of I believe it was 1972. I was a temporary officer at the time, and all the temporaries were called across the street to Undersheriff Scanlon's office. We were expected to sell or put out the money ourselves for ten tickets, which would come to about \$85.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I stop you there, sir, and ask you to explain what you mean by expected to sell? What did somebody say to you?

MR. SALVATO: Well, that was quite awhile ago. I don't remember the exact words, but what took place was that Undersheriff Scanlon said, here's ten tickets to the Sheriff's picnic. Something to the effect, see what you can do about selling them, and so forth. Now, I explained to him that I was shortly going into the hospital for an operation. I had no idea how long I would have to go without pay. I said I would be more than happy to try to sell these tickets. If I couldn't sell them, I couldn't afford to pay for them, being that I would be without pay for whatever length of time it would be. He said to me that I was sure I'd find some way of selling them. Well, I couldn't sell any of these tickets, because I

had a cousin of mine that was getting married the same day of the affair, and that left out the family. Naturally, I couldn't sell them to the officers, because there was, at that time, I believe the temporary officers outnumbered the permanent officers, and so I couldn't sell them to the officers in the jail, so I sold no tickets. I came back to work, and at that particular time—

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Excuse me. Let's see if we can pin the time down a bit. This is in the fall of 1972?

MR. SALVATO: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Do you think it may have been in October of '72?

MR. SALVATO: I believe the picnic was in October, if I'm not mistaken.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: So these incidents you're talking about occurred in October of '72, to the best of your knowledge?

MR. SALVATO: Right.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Okay, thank you. Will you go on, then?

MR. SALVATO: Now, I came back to work after I came out of the hospital, and at that particular time, I can't say whether there's anything

in our contract about job assignments as far as seniority, but at that particular time, the great majority of assignments were going along according to seniority. Now, I had built up enough seniority in as short a time as I was there to work at control room, literally every day. Now, at this point, when I came back to work, I was put in a wing every day. I was under the assumption that as a temporary, I didn't have too much to say about this, so I didn't say anything. So I took the test, I was certified, appointed, and I was doing my 90 days' probation.

At that time, I volunteered for the 6 to 2 shift. When I came back on the regular 8 to 4 shift as a permanent officer, I did not see how they could possibly put me in the wing with the seniority that I had. But, however, I was still working in the wing every day. Now, I started to write reports very politely and respectfully. I never got an answer. Now, I spoke verbally with my superiors, also--Captains, Deputy Warden--I never received an answer. Finally, I demanded to talk to the Deputy Warden about this problem.

I was denied the opportunity, but I was locked in a room with a Captain for approximately an

24

25

hour and a half. I told him everything that had been going on, I asked him what I am supposed to do about this problem; I'm not getting anywhere, everyone I talk to, I never receive an answer. told me that he would see what he could do about the problem, and so forth, and again, I got no answer. A short time later, I don't know exactly, a few days, I went up to this Captain, he seemed to be concerned, and I told him, Captain, this is nothing personal. I've written reports, I've verbally spoken to superiors; I don't know what else I can do. If I continue to assign to the wing, I'm not going to report to my post. He advised me against this and so forth, but the next day, on roll call, the Lieutenant read the lineup, I was assigned to the wing again. After roll call, I went up to the Lieutenant, and said again, Lieutenant, do you realize I've been here X amount of times, I'm a permanent officer, you have temporary officers working in the gym and the elevator, above me; you have officers with less seniority than me working in the control room, et cetera. I was abused and he told me to report to my post. I said that I would not report to my post unless I got a signed written order. He again told me

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to report to my post, and then he asked, why do I have to give you a signed, written order? I said, well, Lieutenant, if I take this to Court, I would like to have something in front of me, because I know that you people deny everything that is happening. Well, then, different superiors came out, a Lieutenant, a Captain; they told me different things, leave the building, wait outside for Scanlon to come in and so forth. I said, I'm not moving from this spot.

Now, when Undersheriff Scanlon came in, he called me in his office and we discussed the matter, and I explained the whole story to him. He pulled out the records for--I don't know how long, several months, I guess. There were a few lieutenants in the office when the records came out. According to the records, I was working in the record room, the control room, the elevator, and at this point, I didn't think I could be shocked, but that even shocked me. Now, I looked up at one of my lieutenants and I saw his--it looked like his blood vessels were going to pop, and the Undersheriff asked him what did he have to say about The Lieutenant then said whatever the paperthis? work said is where I worked. Then I was suspended

for two days.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When I came back to work, I was no longer in the wing, but I found that I had constant problems because of voicing my opinion and trying to get to the root of a problem. My morale is I'm very sure when I say this that a very low. lot of my fellow officers feel the same way. There are a lot of sick calls because of this, because, I'll tell you the truth, I don't feel like coming to work on certain days. My morale is so low, we're harassed so much--I have also been suspended a second time for not reporting to work without calling in, which I admit that I am wrong, . but because of past things, that I have done in trying to voice my opinion and understand my rights, I've been suspended for this. It happens every day, and I've never seen other officers be suspended or even punished in any way or form. The latest thing that happened to me was that I was told that if I was late one more time, if I was out without calling in sick, I would be put on the night shift, and if it happened again after that, I would be dismissed. Now, my understanding of the contract is, no permanent officer can be moved to another shift involuntarily unless it's

for the good of the institution. Now, about three 1 days after this warning, I was out sick. Scanlon 2 said I did not call in. He called me down to his 3 I said, Mr. Scanlon, I did call in; I 4 mentioned the officer's name that I had spoken to; 5 I told him, I said, I think I'd have to be an 6 idiot, after you warned me, three days later to stay 7 out without calling in sick. Well, his explanation 8 was that I had been working a few years, that this 9 officer had been working there a few years; ob-10 viously, we were friends, and he's not even going 11 to bother checking on the story, because being that 12 us to were friends, he would vouch that I called in 13 So now I'm on the 4 to 12 shift. 14

> Now, I am the only permanent officer that I know of that it's happened to. I've been suspended twice, as I've stated previously, I've been put on the 4 to 12 shift, and I'm just trying to point out how the superiors use the schedule and the shifts as weapons against us.

I'd like to bring out some additional points that happened to some other officers--I won't mention any names -- that --

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Well, Officer, let me ask you this: Did these events that you're about

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to relate occur in your presence? Do you have knowledge, or is it just what we call hearsay?

MR. SALVATO: Well, it's hearsay, but any-body who works in the jail would have to agree that these things are very possible. I couldn't swear that they happened, but like I say, if you worked there--

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Yes. Well, may I suggest to you that since several officers have testified, and we have their direct testimony, that I don't think hearsay is especially helpful. Not that we doubt you, you understand, but I think we would like to hear, in fairness to everybody, some of whom are not in the room, only what you have personal knowledge of.

At any rate, am I right, sir, in gathering, that the gist of your testimony is that you were being treated poorly because of political reasons, rather than a lack of qualified work performance?

MR. SALVATO: Right. I was told by Undersheriff Scanlon that he had no complaints about my
performance as a corrections officer on several occasions, and like you say, it's strictly because of
those contributions and similar circumstances.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: May I ask you this: Is

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: How many jobs

1 have you held? I have a reason for asking that 2 question. You don't have to answer if you don't 3 want to. MR. SALVATO: No, I'll answer it. 4 I've held quite a few. I don't know how 5 6 many. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Six, eight, ten? 7 MR. SALVATO: I don't know. Six. Five, 8 9 six. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And would you 10 say the six jobs averaged six months, a year each? 11 12 MR. SALVATO: No. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Or did you work 13 in one for five, five in one year, or what? 14 MR. SALVATO: No. As soon as I got out 15 of high school, I worked at one place for two and 16 a half years, and then, afterwards, I was waiting 17 until I was twenty-one so I could take a Civil Service 18 I had no trade or no--the field that I worked 19 in after graduating high school was computers. I 20 did not like the field. I had no other trade or 21 training, so I had a few different jobs until I 22 was able to take a Civil Service Test. 23 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: For a corrections 24 officer?

1 MR. SALVATO: Well, not specifically. 2 That's the one I finally took, but--3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. you then took a test for something that you weren't 4 5 really interested in. The reason I asked this question is because I can detect in your answers 6 7 that there's some insubordination on your part, 8 just by what you're telling me. Now, just bear 9 with me, if you can, and I can be totally wrong. This is just a feeling that I get, and I've seen 10 this happen many, many times. 11 You've graduated high school approximately 12 six or seven years ago; eight years ago, approximate-13 ly? 14 MR. SALVATO: Right. 15 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You're now twenty-16 five years old? 17 MR. SALVATO: Right. 18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And you've held 19 approximately six jobs. 20 Were you in the service? 21 MR. SALVATO: No. 22 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Never were in the 23 service. 24

MR. SALVATO:

25

No.

took?

3

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, you then applied for jobs, and you took many, or more than one Civil Service examination, am I right?

No.

MR. SALVATO:

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Why did you say that you weren't interested in this job, but this was the test that came up, and you

MR. SALVATO: Well, no, that's not altogether true. I really did not have an idea what a corrections officer was like.

commissioner de Marino: All right. I get what you mean, now. You took this exam with the intention that you didn't really believe that you would be confined and exactly entitled in the institution as a jail guard?

MR. SALVATO: No, that's not true.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, you explain to me.

MR. SALVATO: I took this--first of all, let me explain that the first Civil Service exam that I took was for Newark police. I was on the Newark police list when I became a temporary officer at the jail. When I took this job, I liked it right away, I thought the money was good--

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You said you were 1 on the Newark police? 2 MR. SALVATO: I was on the list for Newark 3 Police. 4 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Oh, the list. 5 6 Okay. MR. SALVATO: When I took this job as a 7 temporary officer, I liked the job, the money was 8 As opposed to the Newark police, it was 9 straight shifts, which I liked --10 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Wait. What was 11 straight shifts? 12 MR. SALVATO: At the jail. 13 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: The correction 14 job was good money, you're saying, and straight 15 day shifts? 16 MR. SALVATO: Not necessarily straight 17 days. It was a straight shift, not necessarily a 18 rotating shift. 19 I called my investigating officer at 20 Newark police, I told him I would like to take the 21 exam at the jail and I would like to stay and hope-22 fully become a permanent officer. Now, since that 23 time, I had no intention of ever taking another 24

Civil Service exam. I liked the job, basically.

....

Like I say, the money is good. That's involved in everybody's situation. I like the job, basically. It can be a good job. When I first started there, I don't think it was as bad as it is now, and that was only three years ago.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me ask you a few questions, and I want to speed things up, because I know everybody has been here quite some time.

How long did you say you worked here at the corrections department?

MR. SALVATO: About three years.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And for the first two years, or first year, how long or what period of the three years were you on a steady shift, and in a--not on a wing, but another job which was more to your liking?

MR. SALVATO: Well, the first question, about the steady shifts, everybody is on a steady shift. There's no rotating shifts. Whatever shift you're on, you're on for an indefinite period of time. As far as this second question about the wing, everybody that—well, most officers that start at the jail, start on a wing. There are some officers who start at the jail who almost literally

3

never see a wing. They're put in maybe a record room, processing--

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is this a basis for your problem that you're here to tell us; that there were these people that you felt you had more seniority over, permanent as opposed to temp, and you should have been in the positions they were?

MR. SALVATO: I felt this way: The wing in some way I would rather work in, rather than the control room in some ways. But the fact that I'm in the wing for punishment, I mean, nobody likes to be stepped on. Anyone who is in a wing after they've had enough seniority to be in a control room or another position, if they worked the wing, they were there for punishment.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Wait a minute.

That's the point I'm trying to hit on. Are you
telling me that the wing is a job of lesser interest
than the job of what, as opposed to what you were
doing, prior to being--

MR. SALVATO: Well, control room.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. Just so we can explain it, the control room houses the man that's sitting and watching the wings. He controls the doors, is that what he's doing?

MR.SALVATO: Well, he controls visits, 1 2 you know. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And the wing 3 man is doing absolutely nothing but walking up 4 and down the wing and--5 MR. SALVATO: No, that's not true. 6 wing officer has a lot of other duties other than 7 punching a clock and opening doors. 8 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well, what does 9 10 he do? MR. SALVATO: Well, let's see. A wing 11 officer has to get a man ready for court; he has 12 to get an inmate his medication; he has to take 13 care of an inmate's problems, such as maybe his 14 money problems, there was a mistake on his store 15 order; his medical problems. We have to inform 16 a sergeant or the control room man that he has 17 a specific problem, and really, a wing officer --18 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. What 19 does the control room man do? 20 MR. SALVATO: A control room officer 21 takes care of visits, calls a wing officer, tells 22 him to get a specific inmate out for a visit; he 23 handles certain problems given to him by a wing 24

25

officer; he more or less functions as a man that is-

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is he any authority over the wing men?

MR. SALVATO: In what--not really. We're kind of like on a --at least, the way it should be, in my opinion, kind of like on an equal basis.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. Now, how can you tell me that two jobs on an equal basis, one can be used against the other as a measure of punishment? This is the point I'm trying to get out. You see, you're of the opinion that you were being punished by being placed on a wing job, and I'm trying to see the difference in between the two jobs that makes you consider it punishment. Are you saying that all guards working the wings are being punished?

MR. SALVATO: No.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Is it not a vital job within the institution?

MR. SALVATO: Yes, it is, but let me say this. If 90 or 95 percent of the officers are working through seniority, and you take a handful of officers that got some problems as far as contributions, or they don't like the way they part their hair and so forth, if they deviate from this seniority, take a handful of officers, you

tell me if it's not punishment.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. Now, if you're talking about not participating in contributions or the sale of tickets, or whatever, we understand, and this is what I got out of all your testimony, of all that you have said while you were before us, the Commission, and this is the opinion I've gotten: That because of that reason, you never made any attempt, I understand, to go through—and I don't know if you have any rules or regulations, I assume you don't, because I've asked other Essex officers—do you have rules and regulations you're bound by?

MR. SALVATO: Supposedly.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Do you have grievance provisions, you're bound by?

MR. SALVATO: Supposedly. Let's put it that way.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let's put it this way: Are there rules, whether they're adhered to or not, that could be--

MR. SALVATO: Yes, there are rules.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: What I gather you're telling me, there are rules, but they're not being adhered to, because when you say supposed-

- 1	
1	ly, I gather there are rules, but nobody is
2	following them.
3	MR. SALVATO: Right.
4	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. Now,
5	would you not consider and you were only approached
6	one time, according to what you said earlier in
7	your testimony; one time in the sale of tickets,
8	and you feel that, because of one time, because of
9	the sale
10	MR. SALVATO: I was not approached only
11	once.
12	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: More than once?
13	MR. SALVATO: I was approached several
14	times.
15	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Several times.
16	When was the last time?
17	MR. SALVATO: I don't really know.
18	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Was it last
19	election, or the election before?
20	MR. SALVATO: I was approachedthere's
21	major contributions, there is
22	COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: I don't want to
23	know what there is. I'm asking you the question,
24	when were you approached, yourself, the last time?
25	MR. SALVATO: Recently, a few weeks ago.

1 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: This year? 2 MR. SALVATO: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, and 4 as a result, you didn't participate, I assume, 5 right? 6 MR. SALVATO: No, I did not. 7 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: And you feel 8 that you're being punished because of that reason; 9 all your problems while you were there occurred 10 because of that one factor. Am I correct? 11 MR. SALVATO: No. 12 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Well then, what other factors? 13 MR. SALVATO: It started with this, with 14 this Sheriff's picnic thing. Like I said, after 15 that, after I saw what happened to me for the next 16 full year, my morale is low--I don't know how to 17 put this. If I'm given an order, I'm trying to 18 find a way to say this--19 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Let me see if I 20 can help you out, Mr. Salvato. 21 You were suspended two times --22 MR. SALVATO: Right. 23 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: --by your testi-24

mony, for a period of what, two days, each time and

1 two days one time, and how much the next? 2 MR. SALVATO: Two days each time. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Two days each time. 3 MR. SALVATO: Which means I'm not entitled 4 5 to a hearing. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right, you're 6 not entitled to a hearing, but you are entitled to 7 a grievance procedure, which is honored with the 8 P.B.A., your bargaining unit. Have you brought it 9 10 to them in any way? MR. SALVATO: Yes, I have. 11 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Did you get any 12 13 results there? MR. SALVATO: Well, that's a matter of 14 opinion. I was put on nights about approximately 15 one week, two weeks previous to this time that 16 I was put on nights. I went over to Undersheriff 17 Scanlon's office with the P.B.A. President. I spoke 18 to him, I had a few arguments, I asked to be put 19 back on days. The P.B.A. President said a few 20 words, I was put back on days, and then, like I 21 said, another couple of weeks, later, I was put 22

> COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: One more answer I'd like to hear from you. You said besides the

on nights and I remained on nights ever since.

23

24

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

political donation, what other reason was there for you to refuse to do a certain duty and ordered to do so?

MR. SALVATO: Well, I don't feel because I'm a correction officer that I should have to be belittled as a person or a man. I don't feel that I should have to work in an area of the jail that I had already worked, had enough seniority to work in control rooms, had officers with less seniority than me in the control room on the same floor, and I knew it was a punishment measure. I don't feel that I should have to do this without having anything to say about it. I mean, what happens in this jail right now, our superiors and administration do what they want to do, and have no one to answer to. That's literally what it boils down to. They have to answer to no one. When I was suspended for two days each time, I was not entitled to a hearing. If I was entitled to a hearing and can speak to somebody with some kind of authority, I feel sure that these things could have been reversed.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: All right. Mr. Salvato, let me ask you this. You think the mere fact of seniority gives you the moral right to a

better position?

MR. SALVATO: I don't really know how

better position.

MR. SALVATO: Yes, I do. I think it's common sense that you have an officer that knows his job supposedly a little bit better than the next to work in--

makes you say that? Does time tell you the man knows the job better? A man who has five years on the job as opposed to a man with four years on the job, does the man with five years know the job better.

MR. SALVATO: Not necessarily, but when you come down to six months and two years, yes.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Now, you didn't state that. Six months is the man that worked your position, as opposed to your experience; is that correct? Is that the case?

MR. SALVATO: That happens all the time.

COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Mr. Salvato,
you're giving me a lot of generalities. I want
to hear something in relation to the fact that you
didn't participate in political donations. You
only worked there three years, which you became
permanent two years ago.

1 long it was ago. 2 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: You worked temp 3 when you were originally hired? 4 MR. SALVATO: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: So how many 6 years are you permanent? 7 MR. SALVATO: I would guess about a year 8 and a half, a year. COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: Okay. 9 you have a year and a half permanency, okay? 10 Now, are you telling me that there's someone with 11 six months who is in that job over you? 12 MR. SALVATO: Yes. Yes, definitely. 13 COMMISSIONER DE MARINO: That's the answer 14 I was looking for. Thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you. Warden? 16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Salvato, I'd 17 like to ask you, you're a member of the Fraternal 18 Order of Police, or P.B.A. bargaining unit? 19 MR. SALVATO: No. 20 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You're not a member 21 of the bargaining unit? 22 MR. SALVATO: No. 23 COMMISSIONER BROWN: In other words, you 24

have a bargaining unit that you could belong to by

choice, but you don't choose to belong to the P.B.A., is that correct?

MR. SALVATO: Yes.

commissioner brown: Under the contract of the P.B.A., to your knowledge, is there a contract stipulation that allows bidding of job elevations, such as wing officer, control officer, supply officer, mail officer, elevator operator, or what have you?

MR. SALVATO: Not to my knowledge, sir.

complaint, I would assume, looking at it from a reasonable standpoint—and this is my opinion, it may not be shared by the other members of the panel—would be that your claim for discrimination is something that's non-existant, because there isn't anything to compare it to; one job is equal to the other. That's all I can say.

MR. SALVATO: Well, can I answer that, please.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Please, yes.

MR. SALVATO: Like I said before, if you have a precedent, where, whatever it is, 95 or 99 percent of the officers are being assigned to jobs according to their seniority--in other

words, certain jobs are not given to any officers who are not there at any length of time, and this is holding true for like 95 or 99 percent of the officers, I don't see how you can say this is not discrimination.

and I think I'm entitled to answer this--I would say it was an important enough position so that I would think that P.B.A., in its wisdom, in its best interests of its employees, except the ones who do not choose to belong, and they would put it in the contract that someone with seniority should be able to bid for the wing officer's job or the control job or whatever it is.

MR. SALVATO: Oh, my feelings exactly.

I agree one hundred percent.

more question, sir, before you leave. This morning, we heard testimony that the collections of money or the sale of tickets for political events is done in a highly organized manner within the jail, with clearly designated bagmen. Is that your position?

MR. SALVATO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: I have no further

questions.

•

commissioner cornelate: This gentleman is busting a gut to say something over here. This gentleman just seems to have a great need to say something or another. I gather there's something that has to come out, and perhaps we might just take a moment, before we have coronaries out there.

MR. RODNEY BOTTONE: In trying to help the Committee and Mr. Salvato bring to a head his point, what I think he was trying to bring out—and if I'm wrong, Mr. Salvato, correct me—in the contract, it uses the word preference for seniority. Seniority will be given preference, and according to the latest legal opinion of that, which was yesterday, which I received from a lawyer, we do have seniority in job appointments, and what Mr. Salvato is saying is that, if you are going to give seniority to some who contribute, then you should give seniority to all, whether you contribute or not, and whether the reason is because you contribute or not is unimportant.

that if a man comes in for political reasons into the institution and he's been there for two months,

1 he shouldn't have the right to supercede a pro-2 fessional's preference, which is written in the contract, whether you have a P.B.A. or not, because 3 you have a legal right to grievance through the 4 institution, aside from the P.B.A.. Now, the 5 P.B.A. should be a good organization, and I agree 6 that you should go through the P.B.A., but by the same token, if you're not in the P.B.A., the 8 P.B.A. may not represent you, which is, also, 9 according to my lawyer's opinion, a violation of 10 the contract. They're supposed to represent you 11 whether you're in the P.B.A. or not. So aside 12 from professional jobs in the institution, we have 13 our own problems dealing with the knowledge of 14 what is what. 15

Now, what Mr. Salvato was saying is, that he was punitively punished because he wouldn't contribute politically, and that when he went to the superiors, they wouldn't hear his grievance; he wasn't given an answer, and that's not insubordination. Now he's taking a stance as a man.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CESARE CAPRAO: How? What is this, an inquisition? This is a public hearing, fine, and I appreciate Mr. Bottone, who happens to be a good friend of mine, but let's all have a shot here.

24

25

MR. BOTTONE: Mr. Caprao, I was directing--MR. CAPRAO: I don't appreciate anyone speaking for someone else. Not to say a speech. Say what you want to say and get off there. MR. BOTTONE: Now, is this what you were trying to say, Mr. Salvato? MR. SALVATO: Well, Ron, you have a better way with words than me. He expressed what I feel, Cesare. I am glad to hear that. MR. CAPRAO: CHAIRMAN IRVING:

We are under enormous pressure of time. We have two affidavits which were submitted today, which will be read into the record. We have Doctor Cronheim, who has come up from Princeton and has been waiting to testify. Is it possible that we could impose, in terms of Christian charity, on the Ecumenical Ministry, and -- I know we've postponed it once-because we will have another hearing.

Now, there are several people who need to testify. I'm willing to stay all evening, but our Reporter needs to get back to Trenton, where he lives. Doctor Cronheim comes further than any of us, so I would like, if it's agreeable to you gentlemen, to put the affidavits into the record,

CHAIRMAN IRVING: The Chairman will take

25

any statements.

,

3

MR. CAMPANARO: I was under the impression from a conversation that was held yesterday, that you would keep this meeting open until 9:00. There's a lot of people that have a lot of things to say here. I have a lot to say, all these officers have been here all day, since 9:00 this morning, and we were under the assumption by what you told me on the phone conversation that you would keep this meeting open until 9:00 at night.

I am willing to stay until 9:00. We have a problem in that this young man, our Court Reporter, unknown to me until today, needs to get back to Trenton. We have no way of knowing when we begin these hearings how long a witness will take. Now, in consideration and at the request of Doctor Simms, we have agreed to set a third day of meetings, okay? Now, if you are near Newark, it will be better for all of us, we will hear you when we are fresh. We're all exhausted now. So, my apologies.

The record should show that the Ecumenical Ministry submitted a statement to us, and that a spokesman will appear at the next public hearing.

(Off the record discussion.)

CHAIRMAN IRVING: All right. May we go back on the record now, and have some order?

We will conclude after the reading into the record of these two affidavits. May we have your forebearance for just another moment?

Mr. Ginsberg?

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: Yes.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: The first one need not be confidential.

HEARING OFFICER GINSBERG: I'd like

to preface this by stating that both these affida
vits were taken during the course of the day; they're

both sworn to by the affiant, and they're attested

to by an attorney at law of the State of New Jersey.

The first one need not be confidential in that the affiant has permitted us to use his name directly.

- "I, <u>Elwood M. Nolen</u> being of full age do swear that:
- "1. I am a Corrections Officer in the Essex County Jail in Newark, New Jersey.
- "2. I was descriminated against because I am black. On or about October 1971 I was employed as a temporary Corrections Officer in the

3

Essex County Jail. I passed the Civil Service

Exam on or about May 1972. And was certified in

June 1972.

"3. On November 17, 1972, while awaiting permanent appointment I was arrested, while in
uniform and attempting to effect an arrest. I
was charged with carrying a weapon. I was immediately
terminated from my job. On October 25, 1973, I
was cleared of all criminal charges relating to
the above incident because as a Corrections Officer, I was led to believe by my superior officers
that I had the right to carry a weapon and effectuate arrests. Judge Hayden said in acquitting me,
I was being used by the Sheriff's Department as
a scape-goat.

"4. The above mentioned job termination continued until December 19, 1973. A total of 13 months and 2 days lost from work. I feel that this termination was based entirely on racial descrimination because Angelo Vitiello, a white temporary officer, the same as I was at the time was found guilty of bookmaking. He was given a suspended sentence and put on probation. Angelo Vitiello lost no time from the job or salary because of the above conviction."

That's signed Elwood M. Nolen, and 1 2 attested to by an attorney at law of the State of 3 New Jersey. The second affidavit, marked Exhibit-7, 4 5 reads as follows: "State of New Jersey, County of Essex, 6 (blank) , and being of full age and being duly 7 sworn according to law, on his oath states: 8 "1. I am currently employed as a perma-9 nent corrections officer at the Essex County Jail, 10 11 Newark, New Jersey. That on or about November 6, 1972, 12 I was employed as a corrections officer at the 13 Essex County Jail on a temporary status. 14 "3. That on or about that date, I gave 15 an envelope containing \$100 in cash to 16 That it was my understanding that 17 the money was to be used to defray the expenses of 18 the Essex County Democratic Party. 19 That at that time Officer also 20 of the Essex County Jail, had in his possession 21 a list of corrections officers on temporary status 22 who had or had not contributed to the political 23 campaigns. 24

That I never received a thank you

25

letter or any acknowledgement of my contribution; that I further was informed that the contribution had to be in cash, not check or money order.

- "7. That it was my understanding that unless I made a contribution of \$100 or more that I would lose my job as a temporary corrections officer.
- "8. That I know personally of temporary officers who have lost their jobs for failure to make political contributions.
- "9. That I have been approached on several occassions to buy tickets for political affairs including a \$25.00 ticket for and a ticket for a \$100 per plate dinner for
- "10. That I am giving this statement with the understanding that my name shall be revealed only to the chairman of the County Penal Study Commission or to a Grand Jury should one be empanneled to investigate the above allegations."

It's signed by the affiant, again attested to by an attorney at law of the State of New Jersey.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IRVING: Thank you, gentlemen.

I believe this concludes today's hearing. will set out a public notice indicating the date for the third hearing, the third day of hearings in Essex County. Thank you all very much. (The hearing was adjourned.) CERTIFICATE I, Charles McGuire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of testimony taken at the time and place herein-before mentioned. CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

DATE DUE	
	· ·
BRODARI, INC.	Cat. No. 23-221