

The **2016-17 School Performance Reports** are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.

# Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the 🛃 icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.

### **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Parent Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: <u>Reference Guide</u> or <u>Interpretive</u> <u>Video</u>.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- · Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u>



### **Footnotes**

- 1. An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display.
- 2. \*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.
- Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865

41-4200-040

240 RTE 519

POHATCONG TWP

WARREN



Pohatcong Township School 2016-2017

Grade Span KG-08

**Enrollment Trends by Student Group** 

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Staff

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll"

but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
KG	25	25	26
1	36	31	29
2	32	34	30
3	40	30	32
4	32	39	30
5	39	34	40
6	40	35	32
7	36	40	35
8	41	36	41
Ungraded	1	1	0
Total	322	305	295

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Female	46%	46%	44%
Male	54%	54%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21%	18%	18%
Students with Disabilities	10%	10%	14%
English Learners	0%	0%	0%
Homeless Students			0%
Students in Foster Care			0%
Military-Connected Students			0%
Migrant Students			0%

# This table shows the percentage of students by racial and

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

Racial and Ethnic Group	% of Students
White	89.2%
Black or African American	4.1%
Hispanic	3.4%
Asian	0.3%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Two or More Races	2.7%

# PreK and K - Full Day and Half Day

This table shows the full day and half day enrollment for Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) students in this school.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	25	25	26

### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

Home Language	% of Students
English	100.0%

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability Narrative
	NJ SCHO		Poh	atcong Township School 2016-2017			41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP
	REPORT	MANCE		Grade Span KG-08			240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865

#### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the *Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers* (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under *ESSA* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	194	96.2	54.70	54.70	54.90	54.7	54.5	Met Target
White	174	95.7	55.80	55.80	63.90	55.8	55.9	Met Target†
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	39.80	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	35.20	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	80.70	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	53.70	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	54.90	*	**	**
Female	90	95.8	64.50	64.50	62.20	64.5		
Male	104	96.5	46.20	46.20	48.10	46.2		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	31	94.7	35.50	35.50	36.20	35.1	46.1	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	163	96.5	58.30	58.30	65.80	58.3		
Students with Disabilities	17	95.0	11.80	11.80	20.50	11.8	N	N
Students without Disabilities	177	96.3	58.70	58.70	61.90	58.7		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.20	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	57.40	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	26.40	*		
Students In Foster Care	Ν	N	N	N	24.80	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	53.50	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	23.00	Ν		

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	32	733	733	749	*	*	*	34%	0%	34%	50%
White	28	736	736	759	*	*	*	36%	0%	36%	61%
Hispanic	Ν	N	Ν	734	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	775	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Female	15	739	739	754	*	*	*	*	0%	40%	55%
Male	17	728	728	745	*	*	*	*	0%	29%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	709	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	32	733	733	752	*	*	*	34%	0%	34%	53%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	734	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	754	754	753	*	*	*	41%	*	59%	56%
White	26	754	754	762	*	*	*	42%	*	62%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Female	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Male	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
English Learners	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	29	754	754	755	*	*	*	41%	*	59%	58%
Homeless Students	N	Ν	Ν	729	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	31%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	747	747	756	*	*	33%	43%	*	48%	59%
White	38	747	747	763	*	*	32%	42%	*	47%	69%
Hispanic	N	N	Ν	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Black or African American	N	N	Ν	740	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	N	N	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	Ν	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Female	18	758	758	761	*	*	*	*	*	61%	66%
Male	22	738	738	750	*	*	*	*	*	36%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	Ν	733	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	Ν	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	28	755	755	752	*	*	*	39%	*	54%	54%
White	24	757	757	758	*	*	*	*	*	54%	63%
Hispanic	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	N	N	N	736	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	776	N	N	N	N	N	N	81%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Female	14	765	765	758	*	*	*	*	*	79%	61%
Male	14	745	745	746	*	*	*	*	*	29%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	28	755	755	753	*	*	*	39%	*	54%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	758	758	756	*	*	*	42%	*	64%	59%
White	30	758	758	764	*	*	*	40%	*	63%	69%
Hispanic	N	N	N	742	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	784	N	N	N	N	N	N	85%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	59%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	16	768	768	764	*	*	*	*	*	81%	68%
Male	17	748	748	749	*	*	*	*	*	47%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	33	758	758	758	*	*	*	42%	*	64%	*
Homeless Students	N	Ν	Ν	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	Ν	N	727	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	37	767	767	757	0%	*	*	35%	32%	68%	59%
White	33	768	768	764	0%	*	*	36%	33%	70%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	786	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	751	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Female	19	772	772	766	0%	*	*	*	*	74%	68%
Male	18	762	762	749	0%	*	*	*	*	61%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	701	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	37	767	767	759	0%	*	*	35%	32%	68%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	Ν	722	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



# English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.



#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act* (*ESSA*) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under *ESSA* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	194	96.2	37.70	37.70	43.50	37.7	35.8	Met Target
White	174	95.7	39.10	39.10	52.40	39.1	36.7	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	27.60	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	21.70	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	75.60	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.50	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	44.90	*	**	**
Female	90	95.8	35.50	35.50	44.10	35.5		
Male	104	96.5	39.40	39.40	42.90	39.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	31	94.7	19.40	19.40	25.10	19.1	19.8	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	163	96.5	41.10	41.10	54.30	41.1		
Students with Disabilities	17	95.0	11.80	11.80	16.50	11.8	N	N
Students without Disabilities	177	96.3	40.10	40.10	48.80	40.1		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	23.30	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	45.20	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	16.40	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	15.10	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	39.90	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	N	18.20	Ν		

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	32	737	737	751	*	*	34%	*	*	31%	53%
White	28	743	743	759	*	*	36%	*	*	36%	63%
Hispanic	Ν	N	N	738	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	37%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Female	15	744	744	751	*	*	*	*	*	33%	52%
Male	17	731	731	751	*	*	*	*	*	29%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	724	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	21%
Non-English Learners	32	737	737	753	*	*	34%	*	*	31%	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	724	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%




#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	745	745	747	*	*	*	45%	*	52%	47%
White	26	747	747	755	*	*	*	46%	*	54%	59%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	N	N	N	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	Ν	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Female	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
Male	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	716	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	12%
Non-English Learners	29	745	745	749	*	*	*	45%	*	52%	49%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%



#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	731	731	747	*	33%	38%	*	*	23%	46%
White	38	729	729	754	*	34%	40%	*	*	18%	57%
Hispanic	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Black or African American	N	Ν	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
Female	18	730	730	747	*	*	*	*	*	17%	47%
Male	22	732	732	746	*	*	*	*	*	27%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	724	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	28	752	752	743	*	*	36%	39%	*	50%	44%
White	24	754	754	751	*	*	*	46%	*	58%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	771	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Female	14	751	751	745	*	*	*	*	*	57%	45%
Male	14	752	752	742	*	*	*	*	*	43%	43%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	710	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	28	752	752	745	*	*	36%	39%	*	50%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	719	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	40%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%

\*\*Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	743	743	741	*	*	58%	*	*	30%	40%
White	30	743	743	748	*	*	53%	*	*	33%	49%
Hispanic	Ν	N	Ν	730	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Black or African American	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	764	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Female	16	740	740	743	*	*	*	*	*	25%	41%
Male	17	745	745	740	*	*	*	*	*	35%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	712	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	33	743	743	742	*	*	58%	*	*	30%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	722	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	15%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*

\*\*Grade 7 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	17	715	715	728	*	*	*	*	0%	24%	28%
White	15	714	714	736	*	*	*	*	0%	27%	35%
Hispanic	*	*	*	721	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Black or African American	*	*	*	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N	726	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	28%
Female	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Male	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	703	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	17	715	715	729	*	*	*	*	0%	24%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	705	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*

\*\*Grade 8 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	20	761	761	743	0%	*	*	55%	*	60%	42%
White	18	762	762	751	0%	*	*	*	*	56%	52%
Hispanic	Ν	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	24%
Black or African American	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	774	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
Female	10	764	764	744	0%	*	*	*	*	70%	43%
Male	10	758	758	741	0%	*	*	*	*	50%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	Ν	714	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	10%
Students without Disabilities	20	761	761	747	0%	*	*	55%	*	60%	47%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	20	761	761	745	0%	*	*	55%	*	60%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.

\*\*Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative



Pohatcong Township School 2016-2017

2010-2017

Grade Span KG-08

41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP 240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865

#### Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	Ν	N
4	Ν	N
5	N	N
6	*	*
7	Ν	N
8	Ν	N

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
1	Ν	N	Ν
2	N	N	Ν
3	N	N	N
4	Ν	N	Ν
5+	N	N	N

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
		Poh	atcong Township School 2016-2017	41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWF					
	REPORT			Grade Span KG-08			PHILLIPSBUR	240 RTE 519 RG, NJ 08865	

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

### NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	45%	40%	14%
Schoolwide	55%	38%	7%
White	*	35%	8%
Hispanic	*	*	Ν
Black or African American	N	*	Ν
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	Ν
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	Ν



Overview Demographic Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	Poh	natcong Township School 2016-2017	41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP			WARREN
REPORT		Grade Span KG-08	240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865			

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 8

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

NJASK Science	Assessment	Performance	Trends:	Grade	8
---------------	------------	-------------	---------	-------	---

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	27%	47%	27%
Schoolwide	37%	50%	13%
White	*	46%	15%
Hispanic	N	*	N
Black or African American	N	*	N
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	*	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10%	60%	30%
Students with Disabilities	N	*	N
English Learners	N	N	N



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORI REPORT		Poh	atcong Township School 2016-2017 Grade Span KG-08			РОНАТ	41-4200-040 WARREN TCONG TWP 240 RTE 519 8G, NJ 08865

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a **Student Growth Percentile** (**SGP**) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35

wth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

55 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the **median student growth percentile** (**mSGP**) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how **median Student Growth Percentile** (**mSGP**) is calculated here: <u>http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/</u>

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile** (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Target of 40	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Target of 40
Schoolwide	54	54	50	Met Target	56	56	50	Met Target
White	54	54	50	Met Target	55.5	55.5	52	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	49	**	*	*	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	60	**	N	Ν	Ν	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν
Two or More Races	*	*	51	**	*	*	52	**
Economically Disadvantaged	51	51	47	Met Target	55.5	55.5	46	**
Students with Disabilities	34	34	41	**	43	43	43	**
English Learners	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.



An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	• NJ SCHO		Poh	atcong Township School 2016-2017				41-4200-040 WARREN ICONG TWP
		Grade Span KG-08		240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865				

This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about <u>New Jersey</u> <u>Student Learning Standards</u> on our website and more details about the data in this section in our <u>Reference Guide</u>.

#### Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **Mathematics** courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. Students counted in the other math category may be enrolled in grade level math courses, intervention courses, or other advanced math courses.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	33
7	0	0	35
8	16	0	23
Schoolwide	16	0	91

### World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **World Languages** courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom row of the table shows students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV).

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
Schoolwide	0	0	0	0	0	0	110
Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N




#### Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any **Visual and Performing Arts** classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Poh	atcong Township School 2016-2017	41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP				
			Grade Span KG-08	240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865					

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the *ESSA* accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

#### **Chronic Absenteeism**


This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability targets for 2016-17.

Student Group	% Chronically Absent	2016-17 Target	Met 2016-17 Target
Schoolwide	9.20	8.50	Not Met
White	8.70	8.50	Not Met
Hispanic	Ν	**	**
Black or African American	Ν	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	**	**
Two or More Races	Ν	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15.10	8.50	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	4.80	8.50	Met Target
English Learners	Ν	**	**

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


**Days Absent** 





#### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.





Pohatcong Township School 2016-2017

Grade Span KG-08

41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP 240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865

# School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. **Instructional time** is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:25AM
Typical End Time	2:55PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 0 Mins.
Shared Time - Instructional Time	*

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Vandalism	0
Weapons	1
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Total Unique Incidents	3
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.02

#### Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

Category	Number of Students
Expulsions	0

#### Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

Suspension Types	% of Students
In-School Suspensions	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0.7%
Any Suspension	0.7%



### **Technology Readiness**

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio	Internet Speed	Recommended Internet Speed	Met Recommended Speed?	Connectivity between Schools	Recommended Connectivity	Met Recommended Connectivity?
2016-17	1:1	591.2 kbps	100 kbps	Yes	Copper	Fiber	No

#### Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2015-2016)	\$589	\$14,616	\$15,205



This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	28	120,724
Average years experience in public schools	14.6	11.8
Average years experience in district	11.8	10.5
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	82%	74%

#### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	3	9,506
Average years experience in public schools	19.7	15.9
Average years experience in district	9.0	11.6
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	67%	74%

#### Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Staff Type	School Students: Staff	District Students: Staff
Teachers	11:1	11:1
Administrators	98:1	99:1
Librarian/Media Specialists		296:1
Nurses		296:1
Counselors		Ν
Child Study Team		Ν



This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

#### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).


Job Type	District	State
2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17	89%	89%
2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17	67%	88%


#### Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2016-17	98%

### Bachelor's Degree





### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5th percentile or high schools with a 4-year graduation rate that is less than or equal to 67% are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Accountability Indicator	Indicator Score	Indicator Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	35.2	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	24.0	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	62.3	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	65.0	25.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	34.5	15.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018)	N/A	N/A
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights		47.4
Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score		45.4
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile		No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.



2016-2017

Grade Span KG-08

41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP 240 RTE 519 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865

### Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	Summative Score	Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement	Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17	English Language Arts Proficiency	Mathematics Proficiency	Chronic Absenteeism	English Language Arts Student Growth	Mathematics Student Growth	At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group
Schoolwide	47.4	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target	Met Target	No
White	41.1	11.9	No	Met Target†	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target	Met Target	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	41.8	11.9	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Target	**	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	No	N	N	Met Target	**	**	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Target was met within a confidence interval.

PERFORMANCE

REPORT

Overview	Demographic	Academic /	Achievement	Student Growth	Colleg	e and Career Readine	ess	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
PERFORMANCE 20			201	Township School 16-2017 Span KG-08				-	41-4200-040 WARREN TCONG TWP 240 RTE 519 RG, NJ 08865		
	School General Info										
Principal	:		Mr. Kullmar	า		Email Address:	<u>kkul</u>	Iman@pohatcong.org			
Address: 240 RTE 519		19 Website:		www.pohatcong.org							
Address.	PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865		IJ 08865		Twitter:	https://twitter.com/pohatschool					
Phone:		(	908)859-81	55							

School	Narrative
0011001	i vanative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

Highlights:	<ul> <li>Advanced technology including one-to one Chromebook initiative in grades 3-8</li> <li>Curriculum includes Journeys English Language Arts, EnVision Math, and full integration of Next Gen Science Standards</li> <li>Comprehensive school-wide character education and anti-bullying program</li> </ul>
Mission, Vision, Theme:	The mission of Pohatcong School District is to develop positive attitudes and life skills in order to foster a positive self- concept in all students by enhancing achievement in the curriculum standards at all grade levels. Students, parents, and staff will focus on the following: success not failure, potential not limitations, strengths not weaknesses, and positives not negatives.

erview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	y Narrativ
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			atcong Township School 2016-2017 Grade Span KG-08				41-4200-0 WARRE HATCONG TV 240 RTE 5 SURG, NJ 088
				School Narrative				
				nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		nd service	es that are offe	red in their
	Course Instruct	es, Curriculum,	stresses a balanced	e for excellence in education throug literacy approach and in mathemat orporating problem solving skills. 2 ty are included in all grade levels.	tics we continue to focus on b	uilding a s	strong foundat	ion of
Cartini,	Clubs	and Activities:	programs. Such progenrichment, intramu	ademic programs, we are able to of grams include basic skills instructio al sports, band, chorus, yearbook nior Honor Society, and cultural art	n, speech therapy, counseling committee, peer to peer tutori	g, gifted ar	nd talented, ar	rt
			programs are license	onnection who is known for their high ad and nationally accredited with quee, providing extended learning oppo	ualified, nurturing staff. Thes	e program	ns are education	

Overview Demographic Academic Achievem	ent Student Growth College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff Accountability Narrative		
	Pohatcong Township School 2016-2017		41-4200-040 WARREN POHATCONG TWP		
REPORT	Grade Span KG-08		240 RTE 515 PHILLIPSBURG, NJ 08865		
	School Narrative				
	highlights, achievements, and other important information at mation provided in the narrative section, please contact your		d services that are offered in their		
Staff and Professional Learning:	Through a comprehensive professional development prog curriculum. Teachers at all grade levels regularly participar foster critical thinking skills, comprehension skills, problem curriculum. In addition, we remain committed in supporting	ate in professional developm n solving, and effective com	ent opportunities and strive to munication throughout all areas of		
Parent and Communit Involvement:	Pohatcong parents demonstrate their support by regularly promote a positive educational experience. Our goal of ma students, and staff only increases the benefits of positive r regular workshops for parents, providing tools to support thasset to our district.	aintaining a continuous line or relations within the whole sc	of communication among parents, hool community. We also hold		