

CHAPTER 8**LAYOFFS****Authority**

N.J.S.A. 11A:2-6(d), 11A:2-11(h), 11A:2-28, 11A:6-28, and 11A:8-1 through 11A:8-4.

Source and Effective Date

R.2009 d.41, effective December 23, 2008.
See: 40 N.J.R. 4381(a), 41 N.J.R. 399(b).

Chapter Expiration Date

Chapter 8, Layoffs, expires on December 23, 2013.

Chapter Historical Note

Chapter 8, Layoffs, was adopted as R.1990 d.49, effective January 16, 1990. See: 21 N.J.R. 3340(a), 22 N.J.R. 169(a).

Pursuant to Executive Order No. 66(1978), Chapter 8, Layoffs, was readopted as R.1995 d.55, effective December 30, 1994. See: 26 N.J.R. 3518(a), 27 N.J.R. 482(a).

Pursuant to Executive Order No. 66(1978), Chapter 8, Layoffs, was readopted as R.1995 d.251, effective April 21, 1995. See: 27 N.J.R. 612(a), 27 N.J.R. 1967(b).

Pursuant to Executive Order No. 66(1978), Chapter 8, Layoffs, was readopted as R.2000 d.12, effective December 9, 1999. See: 31 N.J.R. 2827(a), 32 N.J.R. 39(a).

Chapter 8, Layoffs, was readopted as R.2003 d.304, effective June 30, 2003. See 35 N.J.R. 345(a), 35 N.J.R. 3551(b).

Chapter 8, Layoffs, was readopted as R.2009 d.41, effective December 23, 2008. See: Source and Effective Date. See, also, section annotations.

Cross References

Applicability of this chapter to SES members and positions, see N.J.A.C. 4A:3-2.3 and N.J.A.C. 4A:3-2.9.

CHAPTER TABLE OF CONTENTS**SUBCHAPTER 1. PROCEDURES**

- 4A:8-1.1 General
- 4A:8-1.2 Alternatives to layoff
- 4A:8-1.3 Pre-layoff actions
- 4A:8-1.4 Review by Department of Personnel
- 4A:8-1.5 Layoff units and job locations
- 4A:8-1.6 Layoff notice

SUBCHAPTER 2. EMPLOYEE LAYOFF RIGHTS

- 4A:8-2.1 Types of layoff rights
- 4A:8-2.2 Exercise of lateral and demotional rights
- 4A:8-2.3 Exercise of special reemployment rights
- 4A:8-2.4 Seniority
- 4A:8-2.5 Reassignments
- 4A:8-2.6 Appeals

SUBCHAPTER 1. PROCEDURES**4A:8-1.1 General**

(a) An appointing authority may institute layoff actions for economy, efficiency or other related reasons.

1. Demotions for economy, efficiency or other related reasons shall be considered layoff actions and shall be subject to the requirements of this chapter.

(b) The Commissioner or authorized representative of the Department of Personnel shall determine seniority (see N.J.A.C. 4A:8-2.4), and shall designate lateral, demotional and special reemployment rights for all career service titles prior to the effective date of the layoff and have such information provided to affected parties.

Amended by R.1995 d.251, effective May 15, 1995.

See: 27 N.J.R. 612(a), 27 N.J.R. 1967(b).

Amended by R.2000 d.12, effective January 3, 2000.

See: 31 N.J.R. 2827(a), 32 N.J.R. 39(a).

In (b), substituted "and merit points (see N.J.A.C. 4A:8-2.4), and shall" for "and" following "seniority"; and added (c).

Amended by R.2003 d.304, effective August 4, 2003.

See: 35 N.J.R. 345(a), 35 N.J.R. 3551(b).

In (b), deleted "and merit points" following "shall determine seniority"; deleted (c).

Case Notes

Negotiations with union concerning Department of Environmental Protection's reduction of employees' workweek were preempted by statute and regulations that created comprehensive demotional layoff scheme. *State v. Communications Workers of America, AFL-CIO*, 285 N.J.Super. 541, 667 A.2d 1070 (A.D.1995), certification denied.

Reduction in plumbing subcode official's work hours and compensation was motivated only by budgetary concerns and was done in good faith and did not violate Uniform Construction Code Act. *Voges v. Borough of Tinton Falls*, 268 N.J.Super. 279, 633 A.2d 566 (A.D.1993), certification denied 135 N.J. 466, 640 A.2d 848.

Statute which permitted lay off of permanent public employee superseded statute providing that an injured public employee receiving workers' compensation benefits was to continue on the payroll. *Novak v. Camden County Health Services Center Bd. of Managers*, 255 N.J.Super. 93, 604 A.2d 649 (A.D.1992).

Authority to regulate causes of removal and suspension. *State v. Local 195, IFPTE*, 179 N.J.Super. 146, 430 A.2d 966 (App.Div.1981), certification denied, 89 N.J. 433, 446 A.2d 158.

Employee improperly removed after suspension for same infraction. *Newark v. Copeland*, 171 N.J.Super. 571, 410 A.2d 274 (App.Div. 1980).

Employees of a housing authority who were laid off from their positions and certified on common special reemployment lists were entitled to replace city employees in comparable positions who had not been permanently appointed in the classified service. *Department of Civil Service v. Newark*, 131 N.J. Super. 275, 329 A.2d 572, 1974 N.J. Super. LEXIS 468 (App.Div. 1974).

Dismissal of veterans protected by Veteran's Tenure Act in non-civil service county. *Cooper v. Imbriani*, 122 N.J.Super. 469, 300 A.2d 863 (App.Div.1973), affirmed, 63 N.J. 535, 310 A.2d 457 (1973).

Politically motivated layoffs reversed, while layoff due to reasons of economy upheld. In re City of Orange Layoffs Appeal, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 639.

Layoff of clerk typist due to economy affirmed. DiMarco v. Borough of Highlands, Department of Building and Grounds, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 599.

Demotion in good faith if position not necessary for agency's operation. Henry, Kennedy and Wise v. Cape May County, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 147.

Municipality's decision to lay off and replace public employees with private contractors was done in good faith where private contract's savings were rationally related to legitimate governmental purpose. Conklin and Ollearo v. Township of Milford, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 755.

Decision to lay off and demote Division of Developmental Disabilities employees was made in good faith for reasons of economy. Orsati, et al. v. Department of Human Services, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 743.

Department of Human Services acted in good faith when it imposed department-wide layoffs and demotions of its employees. Cable, et al. v. Department of Human Services, Central Office, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 713.

Layoffs and demotions of Division of Family Development employees was done in good faith for reasons of economy. Ambrass, et al. v. Department of Human Services, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 696.

Employee layoff determinations which were made in good faith for economic and efficiency reasons were upheld. In the Matter of the Layoffs of Guzman, et al., 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 625.

Department of Human Services 1992 layoffs were not motivated by bad faith. In the Matter of Donna Marrinan, et al., 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 557.

Department of Human Services acted in good faith when laying off employees due to reduction in its salary account for fiscal 1993. Cully, et al. v. Department of Human Services, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 547.

Department of Human Services 1992 layoffs were not motivated by bad faith. Barker, et al. v. Department of Human Services, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 539.

City's bona fide reduction of budget deficit supported large-scale layoffs. In the Matter of the City of Newark Layoffs, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 361.

Good-faith layoff action supported by cut in federal salary funding and diminished need for position. Billings v. City of Perth Amboy, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 314.

City's otherwise well-motivated layoff decision was not undermined by particularized ill will. Peters v. City of Orange, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 227.

Government employer demonstrated good faith in lay-off action by showing necessity for reasons of economy. Stagliano v. Camden County, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 215.

Administrative analyst's layoff in good faith when taken pursuant to departmental reorganization plan. Bowring v. State Department of the Public Advocate, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 44.

Failure to show bad faith or discrimination defeats state agency personnel specialist's challenge to removal pursuant to reduction in force. Bhanja v. Department of Personnel, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 41.

Evidence failed to establish bad faith cause of action in connection with layoffs and demotions in question. Chepiga v. Mental Health and Hospitals, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 630.

Demotion of personnel assistants pursuant to reorganization of district under newly formed state operated school system was not in bad faith. Dowling v. State Operated School District, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 603.

Demotions for reasons of economy were not arbitrary even though employees performed same tasks in lower positions. Sowa v. Commerce and Economic Development, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 589.

Termination of handicapped offset machine operator was not discriminatory, but was in good faith for reasons of economy and efficiency. Hansell v. Department of Human Services, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 575.

Layoff of messenger by county clerk's office was in good faith when messenger's functions were performed by a fax machine and other employees. Barnes v. Camden County, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 471.

Proof was lacking that reassignment of public defender office investigator was made in bad faith. Jacobson v. New Jersey Public Defender, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 342.

Elimination of five water repairer positions to enable two electronic repairmen positions was in good faith for reasons of economy and efficiency. Caggiano v. Township of Sparta, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 211.

Animosity towards public employee by others did not preclude layoff for economic reasons when those others had no role in layoff. Matter of State Department of Corrections 1992 Layoffs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 63.

Layoffs and demotions made in good faith. In the Matter of State Dept. Of Corrections 1992 Employee Layoffs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 63.

Employees failed to prove that layoffs and demotions were made in bad faith. In the Matter of the State Dept. Of Health 1993 F.Y. Layoffs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 45.

Reasonable, logical, and good faith efforts to accommodate budgetary reductions meant that layoffs were made in good faith for economic reasons. Matter of State Department of Health 1993 Layoffs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 45.

Layoffs of public employees were not motivated by bad faith, but by economic considerations and were justified. Edwards v. Department of Community Affairs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 29.

Act of councilmen in eliminating public employee's position was not for reasons of animosity, but was for reasons of economy and was justified. De Prima v. Department of Administration and Finance, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 20.

Layoff of Director of Planning was in good faith in effort to achieve government economy. DePrima v. Department of Administration and Finance, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 20.

Layoffs of pharmacists were made in good faith. Gordon v. Department of Military and Veterans' Affairs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 6.

Layoffs of the pharmacists pursuant to decision of departments to use contractors for pharmaceutical substances rather than in-house pharmacists were justified for economic reasons. Gordon v. Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 6.

Layoff of employee was proper. Adewusi v. Atlantic County Department of Administration, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 5.

Layoff of landscape artist from county land office for reasons of efficiency and economy was not unjustified. Adewusi v. Atlantic County, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 3.

Bad faith in eliminating jobs; proof. Privitera v. Treasury Department, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 693.

Proof that layoff was instituted for reason other than economy, efficiency or other related reason. Meybohm v. Treasury Department, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 665.

No bad faith was established; jobs abolished. In the Matter of the Essex County Layoffs, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 601.

Township acted for reasons of efficiency and economy when it laid off employees. Aiello v. Township of Brick, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 719.

City acted in good faith. In the Matter of Atlantic City Layoffs, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 533.

Demotion in lieu of layoff; good faith. Murphy v. Lacey Township Police Department, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 487.

Layoff was for economic reasons. Wright v. Department of Human Services, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 374.

Department-wide temporary layoffs done in good faith. Department of Personnel Employees v. Department of Personnel, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 328.

Excessive absenteeism and lateness warranted removal. Thomas v. Bergen Pines County Hospital, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 316.

City utility department eliminated position in good faith. Rudolph v. Egg Harbor City Utility Department, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 313.

Good faith lay off. Dobiles v. Union County, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 274.

Elimination of code enforcement officer position was in bad faith. Sholly v. Bloomfield Township Department of Community Development and Inspections, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 221.

Layoff of borough engineering aid was in "good faith". Wudecki v. Fair Lawn Department of Engineering, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 164.

Division of Motor Vehicles acted in "good faith". Field Monitors v. Division of Motor Vehicles, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 103.

Layoff was result of compliance with environmental protection laws; laid off workers lacked necessary skills. Towns v. Carteret Borough Sewage Disposal Plant, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 99.

Layoff of city employee was in good faith. Chadwick v. Township of Lakewood, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 61.

Layoff was for reasons of economy. Cope v. Township of Parsippany-Troy Hills, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 6.

Layoff; good-faith necessity to achieve economy. In the Matter of Bergen County Layoffs, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 761.

Layoff was in good faith. Jones v. New Jersey Board of Regulatory Commissioners, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 743.

Layoff of employees done in good faith. Boker v. City of Long Branch, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 661.

Layoff was for political reason. Johnston v. Camden County, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 617.

Layoff of director of occupational therapy was in good faith for reasons of economy. Gaines et al. v. Vineland Developmental Center, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 516.

Layoff of administrative analyst was in good faith for reasons of economy. Exter v. Dept. of Commerce & Economic Development, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 514.

Department of Human Services employee failed to establish that Department's decision to eliminate position was taken in bad faith. Frizino v. Department of Human Services, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 428.

Laying off of parking enforcement officer was based in good faith. Moore v. Township of Middletown, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 420.

School employee's layoff was for reasons of economy, efficiency, or other related reasons. Sparany v. Brick Township School District, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 396.

Layoff of former assistant violations clerk of borough's department of revenue and finance was not taken in good faith for reason of economy, efficiency. Glab v. Borough of Belmar, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 377.

City acted in good faith when data processing manager was laid off. Baldwin v. Department of Financial Management, Ocean City, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 367.

Layoff; good faith. Dimaria v. Department of Human Services, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 238.

"Good faith" layoff. Davis v. Department of Central Services, Camden County, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 190.

Layoff; purposes of economy and efficiency. Wooten v. Hillside Police Dept., 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 176.

There was no bad faith on the part of the appointing authority with respect to layoff rights. Loughrey v. McCorkle Training School, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 13.

Layoff was in good faith. N.J.S.A. 11A:8-1, 11A:8-4. Freeman v. City of East Orange, 91 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 7.

Municipal layoff of permanent employees improper; proper procedures not followed; burden of proof lies with employee (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.3 and 16.6). Clark v. City of Paterson, 6 N.J.A.R. 25 (1980).

Layoff in bad faith; employees may be laid off for purposes of economy; however, CETA employees may not replace permanent employees (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.1); emergency, temporary, provisional and probationary employees must be laid off prior to permanent employees (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.2); lay off for economic purposes not to be in bad faith (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.3). Tyler et al. v. City of Paterson, 2 N.J.A.R. 272 (1979).

4A:8-1.2 Alternatives to layoff

(a) In State service, appointing authorities shall lessen the possibility of layoffs by offering and implementing, as appropriate, voluntary alternatives.

(b) In local service, appointing authorities should lessen the possibility of layoffs by considering voluntary alternatives.

(c) Alternatives to layoff may include, but are not limited to:

1. Granting of leaves of absence without pay to permanent employees, without loss of seniority for purposes of this Title, subject to the approval of the Department of Personnel;
2. Granting voluntary furloughs to employees (see N.J.A.C. 4A:6-1.23);
3. Allowing voluntary reduction of work hours by employees, which may include job sharing arrangements;
4. Providing employees with optional temporary demotional title changes; and
5. Other appropriate actions to avoid a layoff.

(d) Employee participation in alternatives is voluntary. Should a layoff occur despite alternative measures, employee layoff rights shall not be diminished by their participation in any such alternative measure; that is, the employee will be considered to have been serving in the original title and earning seniority in that title.

(e) Appointing authorities should consult with affected negotiations representatives prior to offering alternatives to layoff.

(f) Appointing authorities shall submit a plan for alternatives to layoff and obtain approval from the Department of Personnel prior to implementation. The plan shall include time periods for all alternatives, a statement of the employees' right to be restored to prior status should a layoff occur during such time periods, and summaries of employee status and salary at the conclusion of time periods.

Amended by R.1995 d.251, effective May 15, 1995.
See: 27 N.J.R. 612(a), 27 N.J.R. 1967(b).

Case Notes

Demotions of fire department personnel due to reasons of economy affirmed. Layoffs, City of East Orange Fire Department, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 666.

Termination of security officer due to reasons of economy and efficiency affirmed. Alexander v. Town of Boonton Housing Authority, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 579.

Reduction in hours of county's Cultural and Heritage Commission employees was done in good faith for reasons of economy and efficiency. Bogutz, et al. v. Camden County, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 574.

Labor relations specialist failed to prove that demotion in lieu of layoff was motivated by bad faith. Crooms v. Newark School District, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 73.

Salary reductions; good faith. Moynihan v. Borough of Belmar, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 172.

4A:8-1.3 Pre-layoff actions

(a) Appointing authorities shall lessen the possibility, extent or impact of layoffs by implementing, as appropriate, pre-layoff actions which may include, but are not limited to:

1. Initiating a temporary hiring and/or promotion freeze;
2. Separating non-permanent employees;
3. Returning provisional employees to their permanent titles;
4. Reassigning employees; and
5. Assisting potentially affected employees in securing transfers or other employment.

(b) The appointing authority shall to the extent possible lessen the impact of any layoff action on permanent employees by first placing employees without permanent status, and then those with the least seniority, in positions being vacated, reclassified or abolished.

(c) Appointing authorities shall consult with affected negotiations representatives prior to initiating measures under this section.

(d) Upon request by an appointing authority, assistance may be provided by the Department of Personnel in implementing pre-layoff measures.

Amended by R.2000 d.12, effective January 3, 2000.
See: 31 N.J.R. 2827(a), 32 N.J.R. 39(a).
Rewrote (d).

Amended by R.2003 d.304, effective August 4, 2003.
See: 35 N.J.R. 345(a), 35 N.J.R. 3551(b).
Rewrote (b) through (d).

Case Notes

There was no bad faith in displacement of employee. Snyder v. Department of Human Services, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 709.

4A:8-1.4 Review by Department of Personnel

(a) At least 30 days prior to issuance of layoff notices, or such other period as permitted by the Department of Personnel, the following information shall be submitted by an appointing authority to the Department of Personnel:

1. The reason for the layoff;
2. The projected effective date of layoff;
3. Sample copies of the layoff notice and the projected date for issuance;
4. The number of positions (including position numbers in State service) by title to be vacated, reclassified, or abolished and the names, status, layoff units, locations and, as of the effective date of the layoff, permanent titles of employees initially affected, including employees on leave;
5. The vacant positions in the layoff unit (including position numbers in State service) that the appointing authority is willing to fill as of the effective date of the layoff;
6. A detailed explanation of all alternative and pre-layoff actions that have been taken, or have been considered and determined inapplicable;
7. A summary of consultations with affected negotiations representatives; and
8. A list of affected negotiations representatives, including addresses and the units they represent.

(b) In local jurisdictions having a performance evaluation program approved by the Department of Personnel, the appointing authority shall also submit the names of permanent employees who have received a rating below Commendable or equivalent in their permanent title within the 12-month period preceding the effective date of the layoff.

(c) Following submission of the information required in (a) above, all vacant positions identified in (a) 5 above shall be filled, except under exceptional circumstances with the approval of the Commissioner, and may only be filled through layoff procedures.

(d) Upon review of the information required to be submitted in (a) and (b) above, or in the absence of timely submission of such information, the Commissioner may take appropriate remedial action, including:

1. Requiring submission of additional or corrected information;

Layoff of long-time employee was not in bad faith. *Acchitelli v. Department of Environmental Protection and Energy*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 716.

Employees failed to prove that township acted in bad faith in laying them off. *Winslow Township Police Department Communications Operators v. Winslow Township*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 713.

County department acted for reasons of economy and efficiency in layoff. *LaMorte v. Ocean County Department of Consumer Protection*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 669.

Good faith elimination of position. *Vida v. Jersey City State College*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 594.

Elimination of former job title; not bad faith. *Barcheski v. Perth Amboy Department of Public Works*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 565.