

1. Where an employee has pled guilty to or been convicted of a crime or offense which is cause for forfeiture of employment under N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2, but the court has not issued an order of forfeiture, the Board shall not

refer the employee's appeal for a hearing regarding the applicability of N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2 nor make a determination on that issue. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.7.

New Jersey State Library

2. Where a court has entered an order of forfeiture, and the appointing authority has so notified the employee, but the employee disputes whether an order of forfeiture was actually entered, the Board may make a determination on the issue of whether the order was actually entered. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.7.

3. Notwithstanding (b)1 and 2 above, the Board may determine whether an individual must be discharged from a State or local government position due to a permanent disqualification from public employment based upon the prior conviction of a crime or offense involving or touching on a previously held public office or employment, provided, however, that the Attorney General or county prosecutor has not sought or received a court order waiving the disqualification provision. See N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2(d) and (e).

(c) The Board may adopt, reject or modify the recommended report and decision of an administrative law judge. Copies of all Board decisions shall be served personally or by regular mail upon the parties.

(d) The Board may reverse or modify the action of the appointing authority, except that removal shall not be substituted for a lesser penalty.

Amended by R.1995 d.417, effective August 7, 1995.
See: 27 N.J.R. 1838(a), 27 N.J.R. 2885(a).

In (a), substituted the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee for the Board as the party that does the review.
Amended by R.2000 d.433, effective October 16, 2000.
See: 32 N.J.R. 2275(a), 32 N.J.R. 3870(a).

In (b), amended the N.J.A.C. reference in the introductory paragraph, and added 1 through 3.

Case Notes

Civil Service Commission's duty to review findings of administrative law judge prior to acceptance or rejection of judge's recommendations (citing former rule N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.4). In the Matter of Morrison, 216 N.J.Super. 143, 523 A.2d 238 (App.Div.1987).

Removal hearing—employee service record must be in evidence (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.9). In the Matter of Parlow, 192 N.J.Super. 247, 469 A.2d 940 (App.Div.1983).

Entitlement to hearing as matter of fundamental fairness. *Cunningham v. Dept. of Civil Service*, 69 N.J. 13, 350 A.2d 58 (1975).

Receipt of second copy of final notice of disciplinary action did not extend time for filing appeal. *Russ v. Human Services Department*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 647.

County sheriff's officer was required by settlement agreement to submit to psychiatric examinations. *Petescia v. County of Essex*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 388.

4A:2-2.10 Back pay, benefits and seniority

(a) Where a disciplinary penalty has been reversed, the Board shall award back pay, benefits, seniority or restitution of a fine. Such items may be awarded when a disciplinary penalty is modified.

(b) Where a municipal police officer has been suspended based on a pending criminal complaint or indictment, fol-

lowing disposition of the charges the officer shall receive back pay, benefits and seniority pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:14-149.1 et seq.

(c) Where an employee, other than a municipal police officer, has been suspended based on a pending criminal complaint or indictment, following disposition of the charges the employee shall receive back pay, benefits and seniority if the employee is found not guilty at trial, the complaint or indictment is dismissed, or the prosecution is terminated.

1. Such items shall not be awarded when the complaint or indictment is disposed of through Conditional Discharge, N.J.S.A. 2C:36A-1, or Pre-Trial Intervention (PTI), N.J.S.A. 2C:43-12 et seq.

2. Where disciplinary action has been taken following disposition of the complaint or indictment, such items shall not be awarded in case of removal. In case of suspension, where the employee has already been suspended for more than six months pending disposition of the complaint or indictment, the disciplinary suspension shall be applied against the period of indefinite suspension. The employee shall receive back pay for the period of suspension beyond six months, but the appointing authority may for good cause deny back pay for the period beyond the disciplinary suspension up to a maximum of six months.

(d) Back pay shall include unpaid salary, including regular wages, overlap shift time, increments and across-the-board adjustments. Benefits shall include vacation and sick leave credits and additional amounts expended by the employee to maintain his or her health insurance coverage during the period of improper suspension or removal.

1. Back pay shall not include items such as overtime pay and holiday premium pay.

2. The award of back pay shall be reduced by the amount of taxes, social security payments, dues, pension payments, and any other sums normally withheld.

3. The award of back pay shall be reduced by the amount of money which was actually earned or could have been earned during the separation. If an employee also held other employment at the time of the adverse action, the earnings from such other employment shall not be deducted from the back pay. However, if the employee increased his or her work hours at the other employment during the back pay period, earnings from such additional hours shall be subtracted from the back pay award.

4. The award of back pay is subject to reduction by any period of delay of the appeal proceedings caused on behalf of the employee.

5. Funds that must be repaid by the employee shall not be considered when calculating back pay.

(e) Unless otherwise ordered, an award of back pay, benefits and seniority shall be calculated from the effective date of the appointing authority's improper action to the date of the employee's actual reinstatement to the payroll.

(f) When the Board awards back pay and benefits, determination of the actual amounts shall be settled by the parties whenever possible.

(g) If settlement on an amount cannot be reached, either party may request, in writing, Board review of the outstanding issue. In a Board review:

1. The appointing authority shall submit information on the salary the employee was earning at the time of the adverse action, plus increments and across-the-board adjustments that the employee would have received during the separation period; and

2. The employee shall submit an affidavit setting forth all income received during the separation.

Amended by R.1992 d.414, effective October 19, 1992.

See: 24 N.J.R. 2491(a), 24 N.J.R. 3716(a).

Redesignated part of existing text in (a) to (d); added new (b)-(c); redesignated existing (b)-(d) to (e)-(g).

Amended by R.1997 d.435, effective October 20, 1997.

See: 29 N.J.R. 3102(a), 29 N.J.R. 4455(b).

Inserted new (d)4; and recodified existing (d)4 as (d)5.

Case Notes

Regulation applies in those circumstances where employee has been completely exonerated of the criminal charges, yet there is basis for disciplinary suspension despite employee's exoneration. *Walcott v. City of Plainfield*, 282 N.J.Super. 121, 659 A.2d 532 (A.D.1995).

Merit System Board's adoption of rules regarding back pay for police officers during periods of nondisciplinary suspension requires public notice of anticipated action. *DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police)*, 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Police officer was not entitled to back pay and benefits during period of nondisciplinary suspension resulting from criminal charges. *DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police)*, 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Merit System Board must exercise power to award back pay for periods of nondisciplinary suspension through rule making. *DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police)*, 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Merit System Board's role in determining whether to award back pay for periods of disciplinary suspension is adjudicatory. *DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police)*, 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Corrections officers who were dismissed for violation of mandatory drug test order were not entitled to award of back pay as remedy for due process violations at pretermination hearings. *Caldwell v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections*, 250 N.J.Super. 592, 595 A.2d 1118 (A.D. 1991), certification denied 127 N.J. 555, 606 A.2d 367.

Where discharge of employee was in error, back pay could be awarded (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.5). In *Matter of Williams*, 198 N.J.Super. 75, 486 A.2d 858 (App.Div.1984).

Determination of back pay—prior disciplinary record not a consideration (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.17). *Steinal v. City of Jersey City*, 193 N.J.Super. 629, 475 A.2d 640 (App.Div.1984) affirmed 99 N.J. 1, 489 A.2d 1145 (1985).

Suspended employee not entitled to back pay and benefits for accepting plea agreement. *Ward v. Department of Labor*, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 180.

Firefighter entitled to back pay for period of suspension while awaiting outcome of criminal indictment. *Naro v. Trenton Fire Department*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d. (CSV) 234.

Reinstatement of guard at correctional facility was required when he did not intentionally trip or kick inmate. *Finley v. Wagner Youth Correctional Facility*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 676.

Agency awarding employee back pay was entitled to offset unemployment benefits as long as state was reimbursed. *Bellamy v. Essex County Hospital*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 652.

Public employee was entitled to back pay for period of indefinite suspension that was improper, incorrect and invalid. *Gonzalez v. Essex County*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 200.

Medical expenses to be paid after improper reduction in force action. *Takakjian v. Fairview Borough Board of Education*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 184.

Employee was entitled to back pay following acquittal. *Scouler v. Housing Services and Code Enforcement, City of Camden*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 40.

Employee not entitled to back pay for period of suspension even if she successfully completed intervention program. *Amison v. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 568.

Employee was entitled to back pay for period of suspension pending disposition of criminal charges. *Kelly v. City of Camden*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 537.

Initial suspension from employment violated due process; later valid removal; no entitlement to back pay. *Brantley v. New Jersey State Prison*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 37.

Employee entitled to reinstatement and back pay. N.J.S.A. 11A:1-1 et seq. *Holmes v. Essex County*, 91 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 65.

Appellant, removed from employment and later reinstated with back pay, denied counsel fees; appellant entitled to award of 30 vacation days (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.5). *Harrington v. Dep't of Human Services*, 11 N.J.A.R. 537 (1989).

Appellant suspended and subsequently removed from title of Senior Systems Analyst reinstated to duties appropriate to his permanent title; appointing authority failed to support charges of falsifying residency address, falsely signing affidavit with intent to defraud county and failing to complete assignments timely and correctly (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.14). *Valluzzi v. Bergen County*, 10 N.J.A.R. 89 (1988), adopted—Merit System Bd., App.Div. A-3269-87, 3/3/88.

4A:2-2.11 Interest

(a) When the Commissioner or Board makes an award of back pay, it may also award interest in the following situations:

1. When an appointing authority has unreasonably delayed compliance with an order of the Commissioner or Board; or
2. Where the Board finds sufficient cause based on the particular case.

(b) Where applicable, interest shall be at the annual rate as set forth in New Jersey court rules, R.4:42-11.