

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
Department of Law and Public Safety
DIVISION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
1100 Raymond Blvd. Newark 2, N. J.

BULLETIN 1433

February 8, 1962

TABLE OF CONTENTSITEM

1. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Palisades Park) - SUSPENSION PREVIOUSLY IMPOSED REINSTATED UPON TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS TO REVIEW.
2. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Bayonne) - SUSPENSION PREVIOUSLY IMPOSED REINSTATED UPON TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS TO REVIEW.
3. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Hackensack) - SALE AT LESS THAN PRICE LISTED IN MINIMUM CONSUMER RESALE PRICE LIST - PRIOR RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 20 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
4. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Newark) - WINE WHOLESALE LICENSE - FAILURE TO HAVE INVOICE OR MANIFEST IN POSSESSION - TRANSPORTING WITHOUT TRANSIT INSIGNIA - SALE TO OTHER THAN NEW JERSEY LICENSED RETAILER OR WHOLESALE - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 15 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
5. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Berlin) - SOLICITOR'S PERMIT - FAILURE TO HAVE INVOICE OR MANIFEST IN POSSESSION - TRANSPORTING WITHOUT TRANSIT INSIGNIA - PERMIT SUSPENDED FOR 15 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
6. SEIZURE - FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS - SPEAKEASY IN HOME - BEER AND SODA RETURNED TO INNOCENT CLAIMANT - MUSIC MACHINE RETURNED TO INNOCENT CLAIMANT - ALL OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ORDERED FORFEITED.
7. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Hoboken) - GAMBLING - LOTTERY - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 25 DAYS.
8. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Camden) - ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES NOT TRULY LABELED - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
9. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Jersey City) - ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES NOT TRULY LABELED - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
10. STATE LICENSES - NEW APPLICATIONS FILED.

2. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - SUSPENSION PREVIOUSLY IMPOSED REINSTATED UPON TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS TO REVIEW.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against
 F. & A. Distributing Company
 235-41 W. First Street
 Bayonne, New Jersey,
 Holder of Plenary Wholesale License W-54, issued by the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

O R D E R

BY THE DIRECTOR:

On May 6, 1960, I suspended the license herein for a period of 25 days. See Bulletin 1342, Item 2. Upon appeal to the Superior Court, Appellate Division, the court stayed the suspension pending the outcome of the appeal. The Supreme Court, on certification prior to argument in the Appellate Division, has affirmed the suspension and it may now be re-imposed.

Accordingly, it is, on this 26th day of December 1961,

ORDERED that the suspension for twenty-five (25) days heretofore imposed against Plenary Wholesale License W-54, issued by the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control to F. & A. Distributing Company, for premises 235-41 W. First Street, Bayonne, be and the same is hereby reimposed commencing at 12:01 a.m. Monday, January 8, 1962, and terminating at 12:01 a.m. Friday, February 2, 1962.

WILLIAM HOWE DAVIS
 DIRECTOR

3. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - SALE AT LESS THAN PRICE LISTED IN MINIMUM CONSUMER RESALE PRICE LIST - PRIOR RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 20 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against Mack Drug Co., Inc. of Hackensack t/a Mack's 197-197A Main Street Hackensack, N. J., Holder of Plenary Retail Distribution License D-11, issued by the City Council of the City of Hackensack.

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

Edward H. Saltzman, Esq., Attorney for Defendant-licensee Edward F. Ambrose, Esq., Appearing for Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control

BY THE DIRECTOR:

Defendant pleaded non vult to the following charge:

"On divers days between December 1, 1960 and March 1, 1961, you sold and offered for sale, at retail, directly or indirectly, bottles of various kinds and brands of alcoholic beverages at less than the prices thereof filed with the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control; in violation of Rule 5 of State Regulation No. 30."

During the month of August 1961, ABC agents inspected defendant's records. Acting upon information thus obtained, the agents made a subsequent investigation and have reported to me as follows: On three dates in December 1960 defendant sold to a business corporation various items of alcoholic beverages and sent a bill to the corporation for \$111.93. Subsequently defendant received a check for \$100.73 in payment of the bill. On March 1, 1961, defendant sent a bill to another business firm for \$184.59, setting forth therein various items of alcoholic beverages which had been sold to the firm. Subsequently, defendant received a check for \$166.13 in payment of the bill.

It is quite evident that a 10% discount was granted to both customers. The attorney alleges as a mitigating circumstance that these discounts were granted only because persons connected with the business concerns were relatives or friends of officers of defendant corporation. However, I cannot consider that as a mitigating circumstance in the case.

Defendant has a prior record. Effective February 18, 1946, its license was suspended by the then Commissioner for five days for a similar violation. Bulletin 695, Item 9. Effective June 1, 1954, I suspended defendant's license for ten days for a similar violation. Bulletin 1020, Item 8. Under the circumstances and considering the prior record of similar violations, I shall suspend defendant's license for twenty days. Five days will be remitted for the plea, leaving a net suspension of fifteen days.

Accordingly, it is, on this 21st day of December 1961,

entering the side entrance there is a room 25x15 feet which contains a bar approximately eight to ten feet in length. In that room there are also the cigarette machine and a juke box machine. Immediately adjacent to this room is a kitchen and a dining-room, and the rear of this one-story building contains a living-room and several bedrooms.

"Agent J engaged in a conversation with Nichols who invited him into the premises for several drinks which were served to him gratis. When a woman came in to discuss some items of business with Nichols, Agent J then left and returned shortly thereafter. He was then served with a can of beer and a shot of whiskey by Nichols, for which he paid with a marked one-dollar bill. He then ordered another drink for himself and for Nichols, which he again paid for with a marked one-dollar bill, and shortly thereafter Nichols left the room and returned with a woman, and drinks were thereupon served to Agent J and the woman, for which Nichols received payment of \$2.60 from three one-dollar bills, serial numbers of which had been previously recorded.

"Immediately thereafter other ABC agents and local police officers entered and retrieved the three one-dollar bills which Nichols had placed in a container behind the bar. Agent J questioned Nichols with respect to the ownership of the alcoholic beverages and stated that Nichols informed him that all the beverages belonged to him; that he had purchased the beverages from someone in the Washington Hotel and had paid that person \$3.50 per case for the beer. The agent further testified that the records of this Division indicate that no license had been issued to Nichols or for the premises for the sale of alcoholic beverages. The agent further testified that, in a conversation on that date, Nichols admitted that on the prior day there was a substantial number of people at this bar; that he had been selling alcoholic beverages until 3:00 a.m., and that he had turned down his exterior lights and turned down his music machine so that the people in the area 'wouldn't know he was still operating.' The testimony of Agent J was substantially corroborated by Agent G.

"Nichols was thereupon arrested, charged with the sale of alcoholic beverages without a license and possession of alcoholic beverages with intent to sell same under R.S. 33:1-2 and R.S. 33:1-50, and was held in \$1,000 bail for arraignment in the Municipal Court of Monroe Township. The property described in the inventory was thereupon seized.

"An analysis by the Division chemist of the contents of a six-ounce bottle containing five ounces of alleged beer which was a sample from the twelve-ounce bottle purchased by Agent J indicates that it is a malt alcoholic beverage fit for beverage purposes with an alcoholic content of four per cent. An analysis by the said Division chemist of another six-ounce bottle containing one ounce of alleged Scotch whiskey, a sample from a glass purchased by Agent J indicates that it is a blended scotch whiskey fit for beverage purposes with alcohol by volume of 43.5 per cent. Nichols denied that he had sold any alcoholic beverages to Agent J but, rather, that any drinks that Agent J consumed were given without charge and as part of his general spirit of hospitality. He stated that he had two cases of beer which were made available to his guests, and any alcoholic beverages that he had he was giving away to anyone who visited the premises. On cross-examination he admitted that there was no permit issued to him but that there was a permit which was issued to B & L Businessmen's Association for the sale of alcoholic beverages on these premises on the following day (August 13).

"I am persuaded, after careful examination, that the Division's witnesses gave a forthright and believable version of what happened on the day hereinabove referred to. I am convinced that Nichols sold alcoholic beverages; that he did not give these drinks gratis, as he testified, and that the marked money found on the premises was clearly money that he accepted in payment thereof. Thus the alcoholic beverages were clearly illicit. Since he is an active wrongdoer, he cannot obtain return of the bar stools and glasses which were seized at this time. Seizure Case No. 9382, Bulletin 1179, Item 5; R.S. 33:1-2; R.S. 33:1-66. I, therefore, recommend that the claim of Edward Nichols for the return of the alcoholic beverages in question, the bar stools and glasses be denied and an Order be entered forfeiting the said property, and \$3.00 cash found on the premises.

"Nicholas J. Acciola appeared on behalf of the claimant Washington Hotel, Inc., and stated that he is the president of said corporation. He further testified that the B & L Businessmen's Association of Philadelphia had a special permit for the sale of alcoholic beverages for Sunday, August 13, 1961, and, in accordance with an agreement with them, he supplied 45 cases of beer, 10 small cases of mixed soda, one case of quinine water and one case of large club soda. He received a deposit of \$150 on account of this order and placed these items in the building on the day before the seizure. When the ABC agents seized the property therein, they also seized these cases of beer and soda, and he was required to replace the seized property in accordance with his agreement with the B & L Businessmen's Association. The records of this Division disclose that Washington Hotel, Inc. holds a plenary retail consumption license, issued by the Township Committee of the Township of Monroe, for other premises.

"There is no evidence in this case to indicate that this claimant knew that Nichols was dispensing illicit alcoholic beverages. Under the circumstances I am convinced that the claimant acted in good faith and did not know or have any reason to suspect that alcoholic beverages were being sold in these premises by the said Nichols. I, therefore, recommend that his claim be recognized. R.S. 33:1-66(f); Seizure Case No. 10,557, Bulletin 1419, Item 3.

"Emanuel Spector appeared on behalf of the claimant Majestic Vending Company, and testified that a cigarette machine and a music machine owned by the said company were placed on these premises by this claimant. He further testified that collections were made weekly, usually during morning hours, and claimant assumed that, since this was an operation that included a picnic area for outings and a swimming pool, the sale of alcoholic beverages was made in accordance with liquor requirements.

"Joseph Thompson, testifying on behalf of this client, corroborated the fact that these machines were owned by this claimant; that he personally made collections every Thursday morning, and that he never saw any alcoholic beverages sold at the time of his visits. Under all of the circumstances of the case I am convinced that this claimant acted in good faith and did not know, or have any reason to believe, that illicit alcoholic beverages were being sold on these premises. I, therefore, recommend that his claim under R.S. 33:1-66(f) be recognized. Seizure Case No. 10,416, Bulletin 1384, Item 4."

No exceptions were taken to the Hearer's Report within the time limited by Rule 4 of State Regulation No. 23.

After carefully considering the facts and circumstances

herein, I concur in the recommended conclusions in the Hearer's Report and I adopt them as my conclusions herein.

Accordingly, it is on this 27th day of December, 1961,

DETERMINED and ORDERED that if on or before the 8th day of January, 1962, Washington Hotel, Inc. pays the costs of seizure and storage of the 45 cases of beer, 10 cases of small mixed soda, one case of quinine water and one case of large club soda, said items will be returned to it; and it is further

DETERMINED and ORDERED that if on or before the 8th day of January, 1962, Majestic Vending Company pays the costs of seizure and storage of the music machine and cigarette machine, such machines will be returned to it; and it is further

DETERMINED and ORDERED that the balance of the alcoholic beverages, bar stools, glasses and \$3.00 in cash, more fully described in Schedule "A" attached hereto, constitute unlawful property, and the same be and hereby is forfeited in accordance with the provisions of R.S. 33:1-66 and shall be retained for the use of hospitals and state, county and municipal institutions or destroyed in whole or in part, at the direction of the Director of the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

WILLIAM HOWE DAVIS
DIRECTOR

SCHEDULE "A"

5 - 4/5 quart bottles of whiskey
2 - 4/5 quart bottles of gin
1102 - bottles of beer
288 - bottles of soda
1 - Seeburg juke box and currency therein
1 - National cigarette machine and currency therein
4 - bar stools
15 - glasses
\$3.00 in cash

7. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - GAMBLING - LOTTERY - LICENSE
SUSPENDED FOR 25 DAYS.

In the Matter of Disciplinary)
Proceedings against)
Makem, Inc.)
312 River Street)
Hoboken, N. J.)
Holder of Plenary Retail Consumption)
License C-126, issued by the Municipal)
Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of)
the City of Hoboken.)
-----)

CONCLUSIONS
AND
ORDER

Abraham Miller, Esq., by Michael H. Hockman, Esq., Attorney for
Defendant-licensee.
Edward F. Ambrose, Esq., Appearing for the Division of Alcoholic
Beverage Control.

BY THE DIRECTOR:

The Hearer has filed the following Report herein:

"Defendant pleaded not guilty to the following charges:

- '1. On August 22 and 24, 1961, you allowed, permitted and suffered gambling in and upon your licensed premises, viz., the making and accepting of bets in a lottery, commonly known as the "numbers game"; in violation of Rule 7 of State Regulation No. 20.
- '2. On August 22 and 24, 1961, you allowed, permitted and suffered tickets and participation rights in a lottery, commonly known as the "numbers game", to be sold and offered for sale in and upon your licensed premises; in violation of Rule 6 of State Regulation No. 20.'

"To substantiate the charges the Division called as its witnesses two ABC agents who are hereinafter referred to as Agents S and Sc. Other ABC agents who participated in the investigation but did not testify are hereinafter referred to as Agents C and T.

"Agent S testified in substance that he visited defendant's licensed premises on August 22 and August 24, 1961; that on August 22 he arrived there at 11:30 a.m. and remained until 12:50 p.m.; that during his stay twelve persons entered and went directly to the kitchen where each remained a short period of time and departed; that he went to the kitchen and observed therein Carmen LaBruno writing letters of the alphabet on a piece of paper; that he returned to the bar and heard Jack Millici (the bartender) say to a patron, 'Boys, 710 is being played heavy today.' Agent S further testified that he and Agent C entered defendant's premises on August 24, 1961, at about 11:20 a.m. and were followed shortly thereafter by Agent Sc and that all three remained until 12:20 p.m.; that during their stay he observed several men come into the barroom and go immediately into the kitchen where they stayed a few minutes and departed; that he went into the kitchen and ordered a sandwich which was served to him by a man named Carmen Fiano; that while there he observed LaBruno writing letters of the alphabet on a pad; that he returned to the bar and, shortly thereafter, he and the other agents left the premises and returned within minutes, accompanied by Agent T; that,

after identifying themselves to LaBruno and Millici and Fiano, they searched the premises and found several pieces of paper with letters of the alphabet written thereon and that another piece of paper, on which there were similar writings, was found on LaBruno's person by the local police who participated in the investigation. The paper found on LaBruno's person was received in evidence as Exhibit S-7, and the other papers were marked S-2, S-3, S-4, S-5 and S-6 for identification. On cross-examination Agent S testified that he and his fellow-agents deciphered the letters appearing on the papers found on the licensed premises, and the paper found on LaBruno's person, and ascertained that they were 'number bets' in code; that the code words are BLACK HORSE, and that the letters reading from left to right represent the numbers 1 to 0. Referring to the first group of letters, BE-BHR-CE appearing at the top of Exhibit S-7, he testified that they represent the numbers 10-178-40; that those numbers indicate that the bettor had played a 10-cent combination bet on 178 and a 40-cent straight bet on the same number and that the letters RED-PC preceding the aforesaid letters are independent code letters identifying the bettor. Agent S further testified that, when LaBruno was asked what the letters represented, he threw up his hands and said, 'whiskey bottles, whiskey bottles', and denied that they were numbers bets in code.

"When the cross-examination of Agent S was completed, a motion by the Division's attorney was granted to amend Charge 2 to include, before the semi-colon, the following language:

'and possessed and had custody of and allowed, permitted, and suffered such tickets and participation rights in and upon the licensed premises.'

"Agent Sc testified in substance that on August 24, 1961, at 11:20 a.m., he followed Agents S and C into the defendant's licensed premises; that in the barroom, other than the agents, were Millici (the bartender) and LaBruno, and in the kitchen were two unidentified males; that during his stay he observed three males enter the premises singly and go into the kitchen, remain there about three minutes and then depart; that he and the other agents left about 12:30 p.m. and joined another agent and two local police detectives; that the six of them then entered the premises and identified themselves to LaBruno and a man named Fiano; that they searched the premises and found a piece of paper (S-2 for identification) in between several papers in the sitting room, and that he also found other pieces of paper (S-3, S-4, S-5 and S-6 for identification) in a folded drapery cloth in the storage area of the premises. The aforesaid exhibits were received in evidence. Agent Sc further testified that, from his experience in investigating gambling activities, he had learned that some number writers use 10-letter code words in recording bets, such as: 'ROCK ISLAND', 'BUY FOR CASH', 'BLACK HORSE' and 'CHARLESTON'; that he examined all of the aforesaid exhibits and concluded that the letters thereon are those contained in the code words 'BLACK HORSE' and are 'number writing, also known as lottery'.

"Carmen LaBruno appeared on behalf of the defendant and testified in substance that he is the manager of the corporate licensed establishment; that on August 22 or 24 he was in the kitchen doing a crossword puzzle; that the piece of paper (Exhibit S-7) was not taken from his person and that the writing thereon is not his; that he heard Agent S talking to the bartender about 'horses and all that'; that he was outside the premises when the agents and police told him, 'Come on, get in'; that he never saw the exhibits before they were shown to him by the agents; that the tables in the barroom were folded up and hadn't been used since

February 1961; that a laundryman comes every Thursday to collect linens used by the tenants and the licensee; that sometimes the linens are put in the hallway; that he was searched at Police Headquarters and nothing was found on his person, and that the first time he saw Exhibit S-7 was when he took the stand as a witness.

"On cross-examination he testified that his relatives own the business which he alone operates; that Fiano isn't a cook but helps out in the kitchen; that he never before saw the exhibits and didn't know what they were.

"Agent S was recalled and testified that the police searched LaBruno on the premises and found the piece of paper (Exhibit S-7); that he asked LaBruno to explain the writings thereon and to initial the paper; that LaBruno refused to initial it, but stated that it corresponds to letters on whiskey bottles, and that he asked LaBruno for samples of his handwriting and that LaBruno refused to give them.

"Having considered the evidence adduced herein, I find that the agents' testimony respecting the many persons who from time to time entered defendant's barroom and proceeded directly to the kitchen where they remained but a few minutes and then left the establishment without having purchased either food or drink, coupled with the testimony that they observed LaBruno writing letters of the alphabet on pieces of paper (one of which (Exhibit S-7) was found on his person) and that the deciphered letters showed them to be numbers bets, are circumstances which afford a fair and reasonable presumption that the corporate licensee's manager was in fact engaged in gambling activity known as lottery. I find further that the defendant possessed, allowed and permitted the other exhibits on its licensed premises, and I conclude that the Division has established the truth of both charges by more than a fair preponderance of the believable evidence.

"Defendant has a prior adjudicated record. Effective January 30, 1956, its license was suspended for ten days for possessing illicit liquor. Re Makem, Inc., Bulletin 1098, Item 10. Since the prior dissimilar violation occurred more than five years ago, it should not be considered in fixing the penalty herein. In view of all the facts and circumstances appearing herein, I recommend that defendant be adjudged guilty on both charges and that an order be entered suspending defendant's license for a period of twenty-five days. Re Rubino, Bulletin 1421, Item 5."

No exceptions to the Hearer's Report were filed with me within the time limited by Rule 6 of State Regulation No. 16.

Having carefully considered the record herein, including the transcript of the proceedings and exhibits, the arguments of the attorneys for the respective parties and the Hearer's Report, I concur in the findings and conclusions of the Hearer and adopt his recommendation.

Accordingly, it is, on this 27th day of December 1961,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Consumption License C-126, issued by the Municipal Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Hoboken to Makem, Inc., for premises 312 River Street, Hoboken, be and the same is hereby suspended for twenty-five (25) days, commencing at 2:00 a.m., Monday, January 8, 1962 and terminating at 2:00 a.m., Friday, February 2, 1962.

WILLIAM HOWE DAVIS
DIRECTOR

8. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES NOT TRULY
LABELED - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against

Bett-Guy, Inc.
t/a B. & G. Bar
1058 Kaighn Avenue
Camden 3, New Jersey

CONCLUSIONS

AND

ORDER

Holder of Plenary Retail Consumption License C-43, issued by the Municipal Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Camden.

David Novack, Esq., Attorney for Defendant-licensee.
David S. Piltzer, Esq., Appearing for the Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE DIRECTOR:

Defendant pleaded non vult to a charge alleging that it possessed on its licensed premises an alcoholic beverage in a bottle bearing a label which did not truly describe the contents, in violation of Rule 27 of State Regulation No. 20.

On August 24, 1961, an ABC agent tested defendant's open stock of alcoholic beverages and seized a 4/5 quart bottle labeled "Cutty Sark Blended Scots Whisky, 86 Proof" for further tests by the Division's chemist. Subsequent analysis by the chemist disclosed that the contents of the seized bottle varied substantially in solids, acids and color when compared with the genuine product of the same brand.

Defendant's attorney has submitted a letter wherein he advises that on or about October 4, 1961, prior to the date the charge herein was preferred against the corporate-licensee, all of the stock of said corporation was sold to entirely new stockholders who were unaware that disciplinary proceedings were pending against the corporation.

It is incumbent upon purchasers of stock of a corporate-licensee to make inquiry respecting investigations or proceedings which may be pending against the corporation, since the transferees are chargeable with violations committed by their predecessors in interest. Rule 2 of State Regulation No. 16. It may be pointed out, however, that if a subsequent violation is committed by the defendant-corporation, the previous violation committed when the corporation was controlled by other persons may well not be considered as a "prior record" in fixing penalty for any subsequent violation. Re Point Inn, Inc., Bulletin 1361, Item 5.

Defendant has no prior adjudicated record. I shall suspend its license for ten days, the minimum penalty imposed in "refill" cases involving one bottle. Re Rossetti, Bulletin 1258, Item 7. Five days will be remitted for the plea entered herein, leaving a net suspension of five days.

Accordingly, it is, on this 21st day of December 1961,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Consumption License C-43, issued by the Municipal Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Camden to Bett-Guy, Inc., t/a B. & G. Bar, for premises 1058 Kaighn Avenue, Camden, be and the same is hereby suspended for five (5) days, commencing at 2:00 a.m., Monday, January 8, 1962 and terminating at 2:00 a.m., Saturday, January 13, 1962.

WILLIAM HOWE DAVIS
DIRECTOR

9. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES NOT TRULY
Labeled - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against)

New Park Tavern, Inc.)
575 West Side Avenue)
Jersey City 4, New Jersey)

CONCLUSIONS

AND

Holder of Plenary Retail Consumption License C-109, issued by the Municipal Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Jersey City.)

ORDER

John W. Yengo, Esq., Attorney for Defendant-licensee.
David S. Piltzer, Esq., Appearing for the Division of
Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE DIRECTOR:

Defendant pleaded non vult to the following charge:

"On August 15, 1961, you possessed, had custody of and allowed, permitted and suffered in and upon your licensed premises, an alcoholic beverage in a bottle which bore a label which did not truly describe its contents, viz.,

One quart bottle labeled 'Imperial Hiram Walker Blended Whiskey, 86 Proof';

in violation of Rule 27 of State Regulation No. 20."

On August 15, 1961, an ABC agent tested defendant's open stock of liquor and seized several bottles for further analysis by the Division's chemist. Subsequent analysis by the chemist disclosed that the contents of the bottle mentioned in the charge varied substantially in solids.

Defendant has no prior adjudicated record. However, the license of Nordco, Inc., 213 Court Street, Newark, of which Arthur Norden, president of the within corporate-licensee, was president, was twice suspended as follows: (1) by the Director, effective May 14, 1956, for fifteen days for an "hours" violation (Re Nordco, Inc., Bulletin 1133, Item 8) and (2) by the local issuing authority, effective January 3, 1955, for twenty days for permitting a brawl on the licensed premises. Since the dissimilar violations occurred more than five years ago, they will not be considered in fixing the penalty herein. Re Ralph & John's Tavern, Inc., Bulletin 1414, Item 3. I shall suspend defendant's license for ten days, the minimum penalty imposed in "refill" cases involving one bottle. Re Padgett, Bulletin 1423, Item 9. Five days will be remitted for the plea entered herein, leaving a net suspension of five days.

Accordingly, it is, on this 21st day of December, 1961,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Consumption License C-109, issued by the Municipal Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Jersey City to New Park Tavern, Inc., for premises 575 West Side Avenue, Jersey City, be and the same is hereby suspended for five (5) days, commencing at 2:00 a.m., Monday, January 8, 1962, and terminating at 2:00 a.m., Saturday, January 13, 1962.


WILLIAM HOWE DAVIS
DIRECTOR

10. STATE LICENSES - NEW APPLICATIONS FILED.

Sanford Kalb
t/a Kalb Beverage Company
R.D. #3, U. S. Highway No. 9
Howell Township, PO Farmingdale, New Jersey
Application filed February 2, 1962 for
place-to-place transfer of State Beverage
Distributor's License SBD-97 from R.D. #4,
U. S. Highway No. 9, Howell Township, P. O.
Freehold, New Jersey.

Muscat Cooperative Winery Association
841 Clinton Avenue
Kenilworth, New Jersey
Application filed February 5, 1962 for
person-to-person transfer of Wine Wholesale
License WW-39 from International Wines, Inc.

Muscat Cooperative Winery Association
841 Clinton Avenue
Kenilworth, New Jersey
Application filed February 5, 1962 for
person-to-person transfer of Plenary Winery
License V-43 from International Wines, Inc.



William Howe Davis
Director