

The **2016-17 School Performance Reports** are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.

## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the 🛃 icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.

## **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Parent Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: <u>Reference Guide</u> or <u>Interpretive</u> <u>Video</u>.
- <u>Download the data</u> used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u>



## **Footnotes**

- 1. An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display.
- 2. \*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.
- Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP

MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402

235 PINE TAVERN ROAD

33-5320-070

SALEM



by grade for the past three school years.

but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

**Upper Pittsgrove School** 2016-2017

Grade Span PK-08

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
PK	21	31	23
KG	26	28	30
1	38	30	29
2	34	39	32
3	30	34	38
4	40	33	34
5	42	42	32
6	37	42	41
7	39	41	41
8	53	36	40
Ungraded	0	0	2
Total	360	356	342

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled

Ungraded students are students who are "on roll"

Student Group 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 45% 47% Female 46% 55% 53% Male 54%

#### Economically 26% 22% 23% **Disadvantaged Students** Students with Disabilities 20% 19% 20% **English Learners** 0% 1% 0% Homeless Students 0% Students in Foster Care 2% Military-Connected Students 0% Migrant Students 0%

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Staff

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

Racial and Ethnic Group	% of Students
White	87.1%
Hispanic	8.2%
Black or African American	2.9%
Asian	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.5%

# PreK and K - Full Day and Half Day

This table shows the full day and half day enrollment for Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) students in this school.

Grade	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
PK - Half Day	23	31	23
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	29	28	30

### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

Home Language	% of Students
English	98.0%
Spanish	2.0%

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017			UPPER PITTSG	
	REPORT			Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TAV MONROEVILLE, NJ	-


### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the *Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers* (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under *ESSA* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	220	97.9	65.90	65.90	54.90	65.9	57.7	Met Target
White	193	99.0	66.90	66.90	63.90	66.9	59.6	Met Target
Hispanic	21	92.0	57.20	57.20	39.80	54.8	N	N
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	35.20	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	80.70	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	53.70	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	54.90	*	**	**
Female	94	96.0	70.20	70.20	62.20	70.2		
Male	126	99.2	62.70	62.70	48.10	62.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	54	100.0	57.40	57.40	36.20	57.4	42	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	166	97.1	68.70	68.70	65.80	68.7		
Students with Disabilities	50	96.3	34.00	34.00	20.50	34	19.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	170	98.3	75.20	75.20	61.90	75.2		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	25.20	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	220	97.9	65.90	65.90	57.40	65.9		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	26.40	N		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	24.80	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	53.50	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	23.00	*		

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students


† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	38	772	772	749	*	*	*	58%	*	76%	50%
White	31	775	775	759	0%	*	*	61%	*	81%	61%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	775	N	N	N	N	N	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Female	16	785	785	754	*	*	*	*	*	81%	55%
Male	22	762	762	745	*	*	*	*	*	73%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	764	764	731	*	*	*	*	*	60%	31%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	28	775	775	762	*	*	*	*	*	82%	63%
Students with Disabilities	10	752	752	720	*	*	*	*	*	50%	24%
Students without Disabilities	28	779	779	755	*	*	*	*	*	86%	55%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	709	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	38	772	772	752	*	*	*	58%	*	76%	53%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	34	762	762	753	*	*	*	47%	*	65%	56%
White	29	762	762	762	*	*	*	41%	*	62%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	750	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	56%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Female	11	777	777	758	*	*	*	*	*	73%	61%
Male	23	755	755	749	*	*	*	*	*	61%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
English Learners	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	34	762	762	755	*	*	*	47%	*	65%	58%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	31	745	745	756	*	*	*	52%	*	55%	59%
White	28	744	744	763	*	*	*	50%	*	54%	69%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	N	N	N	740	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	N	N	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	756	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	56%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Female	12	756	756	761	*	*	*	*	*	67%	66%
Male	19	738	738	750	*	*	*	*	*	47%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	12%
Non-English Learners	31	745	745	757	*	*	*	52%	*	55%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	727	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	750	750	752	*	*	35%	43%	*	50%	54%
White	35	750	750	758	*	*	31%	43%	*	51%	63%
Hispanic	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	N	N	N	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	776	N	N	N	N	N	N	81%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	749	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Female	22	751	751	758	*	*	*	*	*	46%	61%
Male	18	749	749	746	*	*	*	*	*	56%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	710	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	40	750	750	753	*	*	35%	43%	*	50%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	729	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	765	765	756	0%	*	*	50%	25%	75%	59%
White	34	763	763	764	0%	*	*	50%	*	74%	69%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	N	N	N	737	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	784	N	N	N	N	N	N	85%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	59%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	19	768	768	764	0%	*	*	53%	*	79%	68%
Male	21	762	762	749	0%	*	*	48%	*	71%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	758	758	739	0%	*	*	*	0%	80%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	30	768	768	766	0%	*	*	*	33%	73%	70%
Students with Disabilities	10	738	738	719	*	*	*	*	0%	30%	19%
Students without Disabilities	30	774	774	763	*	*	*	*	33%	90%	67%
English Learners	N	N	N	701	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	40	765	765	758	0%	*	*	50%	25%	75%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	731	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	64%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	768	768	757	*	*	*	53%	*	73%	59%
White	35	772	772	764	*	*	*	54%	*	77%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	786	*	*	*	*	*	*	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Female	14	782	782	766	*	*	*	71%	*	93%	68%
Male	26	761	761	749	*	*	*	42%	*	62%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	753	753	739	*	*	*	*	*	55%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	29	774	774	766	*	*	*	*	*	79%	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	701	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	40	768	768	759	*	*	*	53%	*	73%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	727	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	28%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



# English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.



#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act* (*ESSA*) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under *ESSA* accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2016-17 Annual Target	Met 2016-17 Annual Target
Schoolwide	220	97.9	54.60	54.60	43.50	54.6	48.1	Met Target
White	193	99.0	55.90	55.90	52.40	55.9	49.1	Met Target
Hispanic	21	92.0	38.10	38.10	27.60	36.6	N	N
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	21.70	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	75.60	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.50	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	44.90	*	**	**
Female	94	96.0	48.90	48.90	44.10	48.9		
Male	126	99.2	58.70	58.70	42.90	58.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	54	100.0	46.30	46.30	25.10	46.3	39.2	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	166	97.1	57.20	57.20	54.30	57.2		
Students with Disabilities	50	96.3	34.00	34.00	16.50	34	28.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	170	98.3	60.60	60.60	48.80	60.6		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	23.30	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	220	97.9	54.60	54.60	45.20	54.6		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	16.40	N		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	15.10	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	39.90	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	18.20	*		

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP 235 PINE TAVERN ROAD MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402


## Grade Span PK-08

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	38	767	767	751	*	*	*	50%	26%	76%	53%
White	31	771	771	759	0%	*	*	52%	*	81%	63%
Hispanic	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Black or African American	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	779	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	51%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Female	16	767	767	751	*	*	*	*	*	69%	52%
Male	22	766	766	751	*	*	*	*	*	82%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	759	759	736	*	*	*	*	*	60%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	28	770	770	761	*	*	*	*	*	82%	65%
Students with Disabilities	10	756	756	729	*	*	*	*	*	80%	29%
Students without Disabilities	28	770	770	755	*	*	*	*	*	75%	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	724	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	21%
Non-English Learners	38	767	767	753	*	*	*	50%	26%	76%	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	724	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	22%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	750	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%

REPORT




### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	34	761	761	747	*	*	*	56%	*	68%	47%
White	29	763	763	755	*	*	*	55%	*	69%	59%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	Ν	774	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Female	11	773	773	747	*	*	*	*	*	64%	47%
Male	23	755	755	747	*	*	*	*	*	70%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	716	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	12%
Non-English Learners	34	761	761	749	*	*	*	56%	*	68%	49%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%



### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	31	738	738	747	*	*	55%	*	*	23%	46%
White	28	739	739	754	*	*	57%	*	*	25%	57%
Hispanic	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	47%
Female	12	739	739	747	*	*	*	*	*	17%	47%
Male	19	737	737	746	*	*	*	*	*	26%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	717	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	12%
Non-English Learners	31	738	738	748	*	*	55%	*	*	23%	48%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	747	747	743	0%	*	45%	35%	*	38%	44%
White	35	747	747	751	0%	*	43%	37%	*	40%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	771	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Female	22	745	745	745	0%	*	*	*	*	36%	45%
Male	18	748	748	742	0%	*	*	*	*	39%	43%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	40	747	747	745	0%	*	45%	35%	*	38%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	719	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	40%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%

\*\*Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	745	745	741	0%	*	35%	43%	*	45%	40%
White	34	746	746	748	*	*	35%	47%	*	47%	49%
Hispanic	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	764	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Female	19	744	744	743	0%	*	*	*	*	42%	41%
Male	21	746	746	740	0%	*	*	*	*	48%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	734	734	729	0%	*	*	*	*	20%	22%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	30	749	749	749	0%	*	*	*	*	53%	50%
Students with Disabilities	10	724	724	716	0%	*	*	*	*	10%	11%
Students without Disabilities	30	753	753	746	0%	*	*	*	*	57%	45%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	40	745	745	742	0%	*	35%	43%	*	45%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	15%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*

\*\*Grade 7 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8\*\*

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	28	751	751	728	*	*	*	64%	0%	64%	28%
White	23	754	754	736	*	*	*	70%	0%	70%	35%
Hispanic	*	*	*	721	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Black or African American	*	*	*	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Female	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Male	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	10	734	734	719	*	*	*	*	0%	50%	19%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	761	761	734	*	*	*	*	0%	72%	34%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	703	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	28	751	751	729	*	*	*	64%	0%	64%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	710	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*

\*\*Grade 8 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.




## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	12	793	793	743	0%	0%	*	*	*	92%	42%
White	12	793	793	751	0%	0%	*	*	*	92%	52%
Hispanic	Ν	N	Ν	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%
Black or African American	Ν	Ν	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	41%
Female	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Male	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	Ν	714	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Students without Disabilities	12	793	793	747	0%	0%	*	*	*	92%	47%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	12	793	793	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	92%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	718	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends



This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.

\*\*Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
			U	pper Pittsgrove School				33-5320-070

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT Upper Pittsgrove School

2016-2017

Grade Span PK-08

UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP 235 PINE TAVERN ROAD MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402

#### Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	Ν	Ν
5	*	*
6	*	*
7	*	*
8	*	*

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
1	Ν	N	N
2	N	N	N
3	N	N	N
4	Ν	N	N
5+	N	N	N

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017				33-5320-070 SALEM GROVE TWP
	REPORT			Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	-

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

NJASK Science	Assessment Perforn	nance Trends: Grade 4
---------------	--------------------	-----------------------

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	45%	40%	14%
Schoolwide	71%	24%	6%
White	70%	*	7%
Hispanic	N	*	N
Black or African American	*	N	N
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν
Two or More Races	*	N	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	N



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017				33-5320-070 SALEM GROVE TWP
	REPORT	VIANCE		Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.


NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 8

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

NJASK Science A	Assessment Perforr	mance Trends: Grade 8	8
-----------------	--------------------	-----------------------	---

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.

Student Group	% Advanced Proficient	% Proficient	% Partially Proficient
Statewide	27%	47%	27%
Schoolwide	44%	44%	13%
White	50%	41%	9%
Hispanic	N	*	*
Black or African American	N	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	*	Ν
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	36%	27%	36%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	N



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFORI		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017			UPPER PITTS( 235 PINE TA	VERN ROAD
	REPORT			Grade Span PK-08			MONROEVILLE, N.	J 08343-9402

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a **Student Growth Percentile** (**SGP**) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35

wth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

5 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the **median student growth percentile** (**mSGP**) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how **median Student Growth Percentile** (**mSGP**) is calculated here: <u>http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/</u>

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile** (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Target of 40	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Target of 40
Schoolwide	48	48	50	Met Target	60	60	50	Exceeds Target
White	47	47	50	Met Target	59	59	52	Met Target
Hispanic	73	73	49	**	62	62	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	60	**	Ν	Ν	Ν	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν
Two or More Races	*	*	51	**	*	*	52	**
Economically Disadvantaged	51.5	51.5	47	Met Target	56	56	46	Met Target
Students with Disabilities	27	27	41	Not Met	38	38	43	Not Met
English Learners	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	N

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.



An "\*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; "N" indicates no data is available to display

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO		U	oper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017				33-5320-070 SALEM GROVE TWP
	PERFORI REPORT	VIANCE		Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	-

This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about <u>New Jersey</u> <u>Student Learning Standards</u> on our website and more details about the data in this section in our <u>Reference Guide</u>.

### Mathematics - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **Mathematics** courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. Students counted in the other math category may be enrolled in grade level math courses, intervention courses, or other advanced math courses.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	46
7	12	0	38
8	12	0	34
Schoolwide	24	0	118

### World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in **World Languages** courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom row of the table shows students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV).

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	38	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolwide	115	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N




### Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any **Visual and Performing Arts** classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:



Overview Demograph	ic Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	IOOL RMANCE	U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017				33-5320-070 SALEM GROVE TWP
			Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	-

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the *ESSA* accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

### **Chronic Absenteeism**


This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the *ESSA* accountability targets for 2016-17.


Student Group	% Chronically Absent	2016-17 Target	Met 2016-17 Target
Schoolwide	5.40	8.50	Met Target
White	5.40	8.50	Met Target
Hispanic	7.40	8.50	Met Target
Black or African American	Ν	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	**	**
Two or More Races	Ν	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	9.70	8.50	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	6.90	8.50	Met Target
English Learners	Ν	**	**

\*\* ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


**Days Absent** 





### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.





Upper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017

Grade Span PK-08

UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP 235 PINE TAVERN ROAD MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402

## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. **Instructional time** is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:35AM
Typical End Time	3:20PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 45 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 30 Mins.
Shared Time - Instructional Time	*

### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Vandalism	0
Weapons	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Total Unique Incidents	0
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.00

### Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

Category	Number of Students
Expulsions	0

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

Suspension Types	% of Students
In-School Suspensions	4.4%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0.6%
Any Suspension	5.0%



## **Technology Readiness**

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio	Internet Speed	Recommended Internet Speed	Met Recommended Speed?	Connectivity between Schools	Recommended Connectivity	Met Recommended Connectivity?
2016-17	1.5:1	584.8 kbps	100 kbps	Yes	Fiber	Fiber	Yes

### Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2015-2016)	\$652	\$13,439	\$14,091

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017			UPPER PITTS	
	REPORT			Grade Span PK-08			235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N.	-

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

**Full-Time Equivalent**: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	1	120,724
Average years experience in public schools	10.0	11.8
Average years experience in district	8.0	10.5
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	100%	74%

### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	2	9,506
Average years experience in public schools	17.0	15.9
Average years experience in district	12.5	11.6
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	100%	74%

### Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Staff Type	School Students: Staff	District Students: Staff
Teachers	342:1	11:1
Administrators	N	171:1
Librarian/Media Specialists		Ν
Nurses		342:1
Counselors		342:1
Child Study Team		Ν



This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the **key terms used in the staff section**: **Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example – a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted 50% in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted 100% in calculations.

### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17	86%	89%
2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17	100%	88%


### Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2016-17	96%

### Bachelor's Degree

	Teacher		1
	Admin	N/A	
Maste	er's Degree	2	
	Teacher	Ν	
	Admin	Ν	
Docto	oral Degree	9	
	Teacher	Ν	
	Admin	Ν	



### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5th percentile or high schools with a 4-year graduation rate that is less than or equal to 67% are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Accountability Indicator	Indicator Score	Indicator Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	69.2	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	64.9	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	34.5	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	72.2	25.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	65.0	15.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018)	N/A	N/A
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights		59.9
Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score		65.5
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile		No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.



Upper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017

Grade Span PK-08

UPPER PITTSGROVE TWP 235 PINE TAVERN ROAD MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402

### Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the *Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)* to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	Summative Score	Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement	Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17	English Language Arts Proficiency	Mathematics Proficiency	Chronic Absenteeism	English Language Arts Student Growth	Mathematics Student Growth	At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group
Schoolwide	59.9	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Target	No
White	52.7	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	N	N	Met Target	**	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	77.4	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target	Met Target	No
Students with Disabilities	50.4	11.9	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	No

\*\* Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

† Target was met within a confidence interval.

Overview	Demographic	Academic .	Achievement	Student Growth	Colleç	ge and Career Readin	ess	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
PERFORMANCE						ttsgrove School 16-2017 Span PK-08				UPPER PITTS 235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	VERN ROAD
					Scho	ol General Info					
Principal:	: Mr. Eckstein					Email Address:	seckstein@upsmailbox.net				
Address:	235 PINE TAVERN		235 PINE TAVERN ROAD			Website:	http://www.upperpitts.org/				
Audress.	MONROEVILLE, NJ 08343-9402				Facebook:	<u>http</u>	s://www.facebook.com/u	pspant	<u>hers/</u>		
Phone:		(	856)358-81	63							

School Narrative										
This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.										
		The district recently purchased a 3-D printer and coding robots for our new STEM lab								
	Highlights:	• We have recently implemented co-teaching in grades K - 3 as well as several other areas in the middle school grades								
		Our science program was recently approved for a grant to begin a hydroponics lab								
	Mission, Vision,	The Upper Pittsgrove Community exists to foster an educational environment for all of our children. By working cooperatively, we hope to create a desire to learn by developing positive attitudes and promoting social and emotional								
	Mission, Vision, Theme:	development so our children may become responsible, productive, caring and knowledgeable members of society. Upper Pittsgrove School provides an excellent education within a caring community.								
	Awards Recognition	Upper Pittsgrove School was one of the districts leading the charge for changing sending districts voting rights. (Senate Bill 3191)								
Y	Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:									

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT		U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08			-	33-5320-070 SALEM SGROVE TWP AVERN ROAD NJ 08343-9402	
				School Narrative					
This sectio own words	n allows school . If there are qu	ls and districts to share hig uestions about the informat	hlights, achievemei ion provided in the	nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo	about programs, activities, a ur school directly.	nd servic	es that are offer	ed in their	
	Cours	ses, Curriculum,	n addition to our co computer Technolo	re educational program, Upper Pitts gy and Spanish.	grove School offers full schoo	ol progra	ms in Art, Music	,	
3	Sport	L	Sports Offered: Basketball (Boys & Girls), Field Hockey (Girls)   Upper Pittsgrove School currently has a very successful girls Field Hockey team as well as several different boys and girls Basketball and Cheer Leading.   Athletics:						
Contra-	Clubs	and Activities:	onsistently placing vell as the Olympic	hool offers many different clubs and high in different band competitions a Conference Band. Students also ha sh Club, SMILE Club, First Lego Lea ame a few.	and has always placed stude ave the opportunity to join oth	nts in the ner clubs	South Jersey E such as the Art	Band as Club,	
	Before Schoo			hool offers a parent-paid before and , watch movies and play games.	l aftercare program known as	Latchke	y where student	ts	

Overview De	emographic	Academic Achievement	Student Growth	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			U	pper Pittsgrove School 2016-2017 Grade Span PK-08			UPPER PITTS 235 PINE TA MONROEVILLE, N	VERN ROAD
				School Narrative				
				nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		nd service	es that are offere	d in their
	Parent Involve	and Community a	ystem and a beautit	hool is supported by a wonderful PT ful pavilion behind the school. The I nt Appreciation Day. They also sup better grades, attendance and beh	PTO holds dances and skatin port our school Renaissance	g parties	for our students	as well