

How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- · Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports



This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	BURLINGTON
District	SPRINGFIELD TWP
Principal Name	MR. VAUGHN
Address	2146 JACKSONVILLE ROAD JOBSTOWN, NJ 08041-9629
Phone Number	(609)723-2479
Email Address	CVAUGHN@SPRINGFIELDSCHOOL.ORG
Website	http://www.springfieldschool.org
Facebook	http://www.facebook.com/springfieldelementary
Twitter	http://twitter.com/springfielddist

Staff



SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL (05-5010-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK	14	17	26
KG	25	28	25
1	27	25	25
2	30	28	25
3	24	33	27
4	35	25	30
5	40	36	26
6	32	45	34
Total	227	237	218

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	48.5%	51.9%	49.1%
Male	51.5%	48.1%	50.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18.9%	19.8%	15.1%
Students with Disabilities	22.0%	18.6%	18.3%
English Learners	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Homeless Students		0.0%	0.5%
Students in Foster Care		0.0%	0.5%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- ${\bf N}\,$ No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	85.0%	85.2%	83.9%
Hispanic	5.7%	6.3%	6.9%
Black or African American	6.6%	5.9%	6.9%
Asian	0.9%	1.3%	1.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.8%	1.3%	0.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	14	17	26
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	25	28	25

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	95.4%
Spanish	4.6%



Student Group	School Median	District Median	Statewide Median	Met Standard (40-59.5)	School Median	District Median	Statewide Median	Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	52.5	52.5	50	Met Standard	63	63	50	Exceeds Standard
White	51	51	50	Met Standard	65.5	65.5	51	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	*	*	49	**	*	*	48	**
Black or African American	*	*	44	**	*	*	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	61	**	*	*	61	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	52	**	Ν	Ν	53	**
Two or More Races	N	N	49	**	N	N	51	**
Economically Disadvantaged	48	48	48	**	60	60	47	**
Students with Disabilities	47	47	41	**	67	67	43	**
English Learners	*	*	54	**	*	*	51	**



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

21

1

Grade 4

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.






Grade 5

Grade 6

Grade 7




English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	110	94.8	68.2	68.2	56.7	68.0	64.2	Met Target
White	97	94.2	72.2	72.2	65.6	71.5	67.7	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	37.3	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.3	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	52.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N	63.4	N	**	**
Female	54	94.7	68.6	68.6	64.5	68.3		
Male	56	94.9	67.9	67.9	49.4	67.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	23	92.0	39.1	39.1	38.5	37.8	48.2	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	87	95.6	75.8	75.8	67.5	75.8		
Students with Disabilities	17	89.5	41.2	41.2	21.6	38.6	**	**
Students without Disabilities	93	95.9	73.1	73.1	63.9	73.1		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	27.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	59.4	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	27.7	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	26.3	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	30.1	*		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.




English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

2017-2018

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	25	768	768	750	*	*	*	*	*	68%	52%
White	21	777	777	759	0%	*	*	*	*	81%	61%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Female	11	763	763	756	*	*	*	*	*	64%	57%
Male	14	773	773	744	*	*	*	*	*	71%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	15%
Non-English Learners	25	768	768	753	*	*	*	*	*	68%	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

2017-2018

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	771	771	756	0%	*	*	*	*	76%	58%
White	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	Ν	Ν	N	739	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	782	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	60%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	763	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	63%
Female	15	760	760	762	0%	*	*	*	*	67%	63%
Male	14	782	782	751	0%	*	*	*	*	86%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%
Non-English Learners	29	771	771	759	0%	*	*	*	*	76%	61%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	28%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	57%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

2017-2018

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	25	748	748	755	*	*	*	44%	0%	44%	58%
White	21	752	752	763	*	*	*	52%	0%	52%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	763	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	65%
Female	14	753	753	762	*	*	*	*	*	50%	66%
Male	11	742	742	749	*	*	*	*	*	36%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	731	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

2017-2018


This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	769	769	754	0%	*	*	*	*	79%	56%
White	25	769	769	761	0%	*	*	*	*	76%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	64%
Female	14	777	777	761	0%	*	*	*	*	93%	64%
Male	15	760	760	748	0%	*	*	*	*	67%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	29	769	769	755	0%	*	*	*	*	79%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.





† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance


2017-2018

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	110	94.9	68.1	68.1	45.0	68.0	54.5	Met Target
White	97	94.2	69.1	69.1	54.1	68.4	59.4	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	29.2	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.4	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	77.0	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.5	N	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N	53.0	N	**	**
Female	54	94.7	64.9	64.9	46.0	64.5		
Male	56	95.0	71.4	71.4	43.9	71.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	23	92.3	47.8	47.8	26.6	46.2	41.3	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	87	95.6	73.5	73.5	55.9	73.5		
Students with Disabilities	17	89.5	29.4	29.4	17.1	27.6	**	**
Students without Disabilities	93	95.9	75.3	75.3	50.5	75.3		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	24.6	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.9	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	Ν	17.3	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	16.2	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	23.7	*		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.

REPORT



REPORT

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

2017-2018

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	25	770	770	752	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	53%
White	21	774	774	760	0%	0%	*	*	*	81%	64%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Female	11	765	765	752	0%	*	*	*	*	82%	53%
Male	14	775	775	751	0%	*	*	*	*	79%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Non-English Learners	25	770	770	754	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	56%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%



Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	29	765	765	748	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	49%
White	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	Ν	Ν	N	730	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	748	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	15	753	753	748	0%	*	*	*	*	53%	50%
Male	14	777	777	748	0%	*	*	*	*	86%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	16%
Non-English Learners	29	765	765	750	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	52%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	Ν	N	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	16%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	748	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%



Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	25	757	757	748	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	49%
White	21	762	762	756	0%	0%	*	*	*	71%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	14	753	753	749	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	50%
Male	11	762	762	747	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%




Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).


Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	30	755	755	744	0%	*	*	*	*	57%	44%
White	25	757	757	751	0%	*	*	*	*	56%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Female	14	762	762	745	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	45%
Male	16	750	750	742	0%	*	*	*	*	50%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Homeless Students	N	Ν	N	718	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	45%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%



Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL

(05-5010-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	Ν	N
5	Ν	N
6	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism


This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	17	8.9	8.9	Met
White	15	9.4	8.9	Not Met
Hispanic	2	14.3	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	Ν
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	7	21.2	8.9	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	6	17.6	8.9	Not Met
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν



Days Absent


This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.



Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Total Unique Incidents	1
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.46

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police			
Violence	0			
Weapons	0			
Vandalism	0			
Substances	0			
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0			
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0			



2017-2018

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

Student I	Discipl	inary F	Removals
-----------	---------	---------	----------

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-ofschool suspension for all students during the school year.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	1	1
No Identified Nature	2		2

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions
In-School Suspensions	*	*	Suspensions
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	0
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

PERFORMANCE REPORT

(05-5010-050)Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:50 AM
Typical End Time	3:20 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	3 Hrs. 15 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1:1

Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$474	\$18,624	\$19,098



- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

REPORT

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Grades Offered: PK-06

2017-2018

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	26	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	10.9	12.0
Average years experience in district	10.4	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	76.0%	75.5%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	12.5	16.0
Average years experience in district	3.0	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	25.0%	76.2%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	8:1	8:1
Students to Administrators	55:1	55:1
Teachers to Administrators	7:1	7:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		218:1
Students to Counselors		Ν
Students to Child Study Team		218:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	86.2%


Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.


School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	95.5%

Bachelor's Degree





Doctoral Degree





Key terms for staff data:


Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	84.6%	50.0%
Male	15.4%	50.0%
White	96.2%	75.0%
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	25.0%
Asian	3.8%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Comprehensive Status


This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
No	n/a	n/a

Targeted Status

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a
White	No		
Hispanic	No		
Black or African American	No		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No		
American Indian or Alaska Native	No		
Two or More Races	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No		
Students with Disabilities	No		
English Learners	No		



Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	59.83	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	81.28	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	53.44	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	89.55	25.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	**	**
Chronic Absenteeism	24.04	15.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	64.05	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	72.33	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target		Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	N	Met	No
White	63.13	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	No	Met Target†	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	**	No


† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			IELD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL (05-5010-050) rades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018		Report Key:* Data is not displayed in order to protect studer** Accountability calculations require 20 or moreN No Data is available to display† This indicates a table specific note, see note be		lations require 20 or more students to display
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information information arrative section, please contained and the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the section in the section is a section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the s			ivities, and s	ervices that are offered in their
F	Highligh	nts:	 Springfield embody The Board of Ed prepare students The school is the 	odies a sense of "school comm	nunity" a taff strive Ily to bec and the	nd provides a cor e to provide a con come successful a quality of educati	mfortable co nprehensive and respons on is vitally i	educational program which will ible mportant to the entire
	Mission Theme:	, Vision,	Education, staff, studer	nip School District is committe nts, parents, and community ir ent to develop his or her poten	the goa	I of creating a ca	ring and pos	itive environment that

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			LD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL (05-5010-050) des Offered: PK-06 2017-2018		** Acco N No D	is not displayed untability calcul ata is available	d in order to protect student privacy lations require 20 or more students to display e specific note,see note below table
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information arrative section, please contain			ivities, and s	ervices that are offered in their
	Course: Instruct	s, Curriculum ion:	to address the New Jer thinking in the field of e workshop model for rea	natrix is used by the district to sey Student Learning Standar ducation. Recent curriculum a ading, writing, and mathematic Science, and enrichment opp	ds, be c nd instru s instruc	hallenging to the uction initiatives had ction, advances in	students, an ave resulted instruction a	in the implementation of a aligned with the NJ Student
C	Clubs a	nd Activities:	to stay beyond the norr through a robust music	an after-school Homework Cl nal school day to complete as program, students in grades 4 ral group. These groups perfor	signmer 4 - 6 are	nts under the super able to participate	ervision of fa e in two diffe	erent levels of instrumental

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
Ş	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		SPRINGFIE Grad	 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 				
				School Narrative				
				s, and other important informa arrative section, please contain			vities, and s	ervices that are offered in their
		and After Programs:	three time periods each	of our Home & School Associa o year. These clubs cover a bro echnology, visual & performing	oad arra	y of offerings inclu	uding indoo	

Overview Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative		
NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		SPRINGFIE Grac		 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 				
			School Narrative					
own words. If there are ques	itions about the inf	Our school benefits tren Our school benefits tren outreach efforts, the Ho for class trips, student a family events throughou	arrative section, please conta nendously from the support o	ct your s f an activ vides fin s. In ado	chool directly. ve Home & Schoo ancial support in a dition, the Home &	l Association variety of v School Ass	vays including funding offsets sociation sponsors several	



Overvie	ew C	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL (05-5010-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018			Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table			
					School Narrative				
					s, and other important informa arrative section, please conta			vities, and se	ervices that are offered in their
	•	Techno STEM:	logy and	is evidenced by interact provide iPads to all stud also provides weekly teo Creator Lab program wh Productions course for s	ive Smart Boards in every cla	ssroom f grade 2 dents as TEAM ir ving thes	to supplement ins and Chromebook s well as opportun nstruction. Recent se students to lear	truction and s to all stude ities for all s tly the schoo rn multiple a	I has implemented a Studio spects of video recording
B		Early C Educati	hildhood on:	program focuses on the develop the cognitive, s and beyond. Students ir		, a researy, and fo ograms	arch-based early o oundational acade benefit from expo	childhood me mic skills th	odel designed to help children ey need to succeed in school