

Acceptance by licensee of offer to settle question of accumulated points by attending driver improvement school could not be unilaterally set aside by Division of Motor Vehicles in absence of fraud. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Clark, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 84.

Proposed suspension of driver's license was denied despite involvement in a fatal accident. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Badran, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 69.

Proposed suspension of licensee's driving privileges denied. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Lombardi, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 46.

Proposed suspension of licensee's driving privileges denied; notice. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Frisoli, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 43.

Proposed suspension of licensee's driving privileges for driving during a period of suspension; inadequate notice. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Daquino, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 41.

Driver's license was suspended for careless driving. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Peters, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 28.

Driver license was properly suspended for reckless driving, careless driving, disregard of a traffic signal and improper lane change. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Rodriguez, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 26.

Driver's license was suspended; mitigating factors reduced period of suspension. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Burd, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 23.

Insufficient evidence to establish that the driver operated her vehicle in a careless manner. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Keller, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 20.

Proposed license suspension dismissed; insufficient evidence to establish careless driving, reckless driving, racing, or speeding. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Glock, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 17.

License suspended for careless driving. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Barnes, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 3.

Running stop sign was not careless driving. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Orzechowski, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 17.

13:19-10.2 Point accumulation; period of suspension

(a) The Director shall, except for good cause, suspend a person's license to operate a motor vehicle and/or motorized bicycle in accordance with the following schedule:

Points Accumulated	Period of Suspension
1. 12 to 15 points in a period of two years or less	30 days
2. 16 to 18 points in a period of two years or less	60 days
3. 19 to 21 points in a period of two years or less	90 days
4. 22 to 24 points in a period of two years or less	120 days
5. 25 to 27 points in a period of two years or less	150 days
6. 28 or more points in a period of two years or less	not less than 180 days
7. 15 to 18 points in a period greater than two years	30 days
8. 19 to 22 points in a period greater than two years	60 days
9. 23 to 26 points in a period greater than two years	90 days
10. 27 to 30 points in a period greater than two years	120 days
11. 31 to 35 points in a period greater than two years	150 days

Points Accumulated	Period of Suspension
12. 36 points in a period greater than two years	not less than 180 days
13. 12 to 14 points in a period greater than two years	30 days

(b) For good cause shown, the Director may in his discretion permit a person to attend a driver improvement course of the Division of Motor Vehicles in total or partial satisfaction of a period of suspension imposed under (a) above. In exercising his discretion, the Director shall consider the person's driving record, prior warnings or driver improvement school attendance, maturity and any other aggravating or mitigating factor.

As amended, R.1983 d.360, effective September 6, 1983.

See: 15 N.J.R. 1004(a), 15 N.J.R. 1481(b).

Deleted old text and added new text.

Case Notes

Arrest; operating motor vehicle when license was suspended; Fourth Amendment. State v. Pierce, 136 N.J. 184, 642 A.2d 947 (1994).

Flawed testimony of eyewitness required remand of suspension case for review of evidence with respect to collision without reference to testimony. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Vann, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 97.

Carelessness in operation of school bus that led to fatal collision with motorcyclist warranted 360 day suspension of driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Cornwell, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 88.

Reckless driving prior to collision that resulted in fatal collision with decedent's vehicle warranted 29 month suspension of licensee's driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Hunt, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 85.

Leaving scene of accident after striking pedestrian and inflicting fatal injuries warranted 14 month suspension of driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Horn, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 78.

Inattention that led to fatal accident and careless driving violation warranted suspension of driving privileges for 12 months. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Harris, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 71.

Involvement in fatal intersectional collision, when licensee otherwise exercised due care and was neither wilful nor reckless, was not a basis for suspending driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Hall, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 66.

Carelessness in respect to fatal collision warranted 36 month suspension of driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Cream, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 59.

Altering driver's license to enable purchase of alcoholic beverages while underage warranted 15-day license suspension. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Longworth, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 55.

Moving violations in connection with licensee's involvement in fatal collision demonstrated carelessness warranting license suspension for actions substantially contributing to accident. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Schiavone, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 46.

Mere contributory fault with respect to intersectional collision that resulted in death of another was insufficient on which to base 15 month suspension of licensee's driving privileges. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Hantsoulis, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 42.

License suspension was not warranted when driver was not culpable because pedestrian was already dead when vehicle came into contact with her. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Scheps, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 34.

Suspension of driving privileges for 55 months was warranted by reason of involvement in fatal hit and run. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Slavkovsky, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 25.

Reckless operation of bus prior to fatal accident was a proper basis on which to suspend driving privileges for a period of 62 months notwithstanding any inconvenience to licensee. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Vann, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 15.

Careless driving leading to fatal collision was sufficient basis for suspension of driver's license for 120 days. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Stroinski, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 12.

Fatal accident for which licensee was clearly culpable justified suspension of license to drive for three months for careless driving. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Parise, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 7.

License suspension upheld against challenge that point accumulation scheme under statute and regulation was an ex post facto law as applied against licensee. Div. of Motor Vehicles v. Katz, 5 N.J.A.R. 1 (1981).

Petitioner's employment as surgical sales representative found not to be in nature of an essential service so as to qualify for driver improvement course as an alternative to license suspension due to penalty point accumulation; hospital supply needs in emergency may be otherwise met by petitioner's employer. Div. of Motor Vehicles v. Morton, 4 N.J.A.R. 95 (1982).

13:19-10.3 Driver improvement program attendance

(a) A person who is permitted to attend a driver improvement program of the Division of Motor Vehicles in total or partial satisfaction of suspension or revocation shall agree to attend each session of the assigned driver improvement program and to comply with all rules governing attendance, conduct, instruction, and examinations. A person who fails to comply with the foregoing requirements or who otherwise fails to successfully complete the assigned driver improvement program shall be subject to a driver license suspension for the period contained in the notice of proposed suspension. A person who successfully completes the assigned driver improvement program shall be officially warned with respect to future driving.

(b) A person whose driver license has been suspended, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 13:19-10.2 (Point accumulation; periods of suspension) may be required to attend and successfully complete a driver improvement program of the Division of Motor Vehicles as a condition for restoration of the driver license.

(c) The fee for attendance at a Division of Motor Vehicles driver improvement program shall be \$100.00.

(d) The Director is authorized to exercise discretionary authority to require any person who is licensed on a probationary basis in accordance with N.J.S.A. 39:3-10b to attend a Probationary Driver Program whenever said person accumulates two or more violations of the motor vehicle law which result in the assessment of four or more points under N.J.A.C. 13:19-10.1 (Point assessment).

(e) A person who is required to attend a Probationary Driver Program shall agree to attend each session of the program and to comply with all rules governing attendance, conduct, instruction, and examinations. A person who fails to comply with the foregoing requirements or who otherwise fails to successfully complete the Probationary Driver Program shall be subject to a driver license suspension for the period contained in the notice of proposed suspension. A person who successfully completes the Probationary Driver Program shall be officially warned with respect to future driving.

(f) The fee for attendance at a Division of Motor Vehicles probationary driver program shall be \$100.00.

As amended, R.1983 d.360, effective September 6, 1983.

See: 15 N.J.R. 1004(a), 15 N.J.R. 1481(b).

Increased driver improvement program fee from \$20.00 to \$40.00.

Amended by R.1994 d.468, effective September 19, 1994.

See: 26 N.J.R. 2738(a), 26 N.J.R. 3873(a).

Amended by R.1995 d.365, effective July 3, 1995.

See: 27 N.J.R. 1521(b), 27 N.J.R. 2592(b).

Increased the fees for attendance at the driver improvement program and probationary driver program from "\$40.00" to "\$100.00".

13:19-10.4 Advisory notice

(a) Whenever a person accumulates six or more points, the Division shall send an official notice advising the motorist of such status.

(b) Whenever a person who is licensed on a probationary basis in accordance with N.J.S.A. 39:3-10b is first convicted of a motor vehicle violation requiring the assessment of points against the individual's driving record under N.J.A.C. 13:19-10.1 (Point assessment) the Division shall send an official notice advising the motorist of the status of the driving record.

As amended, R.1983 d.360, effective September 6, 1983.

See: 15 N.J.R. 1004(a), 15 N.J.R. 1481(b).

13:19-10.5 Reductions of point accumulation

Points recorded against the licensee shall be reduced in accordance with the provisions of N.J.S.A. 39:5-30.9.

As amended, R.1983 d.360, effective September 6, 1983.

See: 15 N.J.R. 1004(a), 15 N.J.R. 1481(b).

Deleted old text and added new text.

Case Notes

Acceptance by licensee of offer to settle question of accumulated points by attending driver improvement school could not be unilaterally set aside by Division of Motor Vehicles in absence of fraud. Division of Motor Vehicles v. Clark, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (MVH) 84.

13:19-10.6 Restoration; official warning; completion of Driver Improvement or Probationary Driver Program

(a) Persons whose licenses are restored after a suspension imposed under N.J.A.C. 13:19-10.2 or after a suspension imposed under this section, persons who are officially warned after an administrative hearing, and persons who successfully complete a Division Driver Improvement Program or Probationary Driver Program may retain their licenses upon the express condition and understanding that any subsequent violation of the Motor Vehicle Laws of the State of New Jersey committed within one year of the restoration, official warning, or warning following successful completion of a Driver Improvement or Probationary Driver Program shall, except for good cause, result in suspension of driving privileges for the following periods: