

How to use this report:


- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports



School Contact Info

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	HUNTERDON
District	FRENCHTOWN BORO
Principal Name	MS. GRIFFITH
Address	902 HARRISON ST FRENCHTOWN, NJ 08825-1124
Phone Number	(908)996-2751
Email Address	KGRIFFITH@FRENCHTOWNSCHOOL.ORG
Website	https://www.frenchtownschool.org
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/Frenchtown-School-549162001879156/

College and Career Readiness

Report Key:

N No Data is available to display



FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08

2017-2018

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18			
PK	16	16	16			
KG	12	9	9			
1	11	11	12			
2	11	10	12			
3	21	11	14			
4	9	19	12			
5	20	6	19			
6	9	21	7			
7	15	7	21			
8	17	16	8			
Total	141	126	130			

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	48.2%	51.6%	51.5%
Male	51.8%	48.4%	48.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	20.6%	15.9%	10.8%
Students with Disabilities	19.9%	22.2%	21.5%
English Learners	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Homeless Students		0.0%	0.8%
Students in Foster Care		0.8%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

Staff

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	91.5%	85.7%	80.8%
Hispanic	2.1%	5.6%	8.5%
Black or African American	3.5%	3.2%	3.1%
Asian	2.8%	1.6%	3.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	4.0%	3.8%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	3	8	6
PK - Full Day	13	8	10
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	12	9	9

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	100.0%



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35

Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65


and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	51	51	50	Met Standard	34.5	34.5	50	Not Met
White	51	51	50	Met Standard	31.5	31.5	51	Not Met
Hispanic	*	*	49	**	*	*	48	**
Black or African American	*	*	44	**	*	*	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	61	**	*	*	61	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	52	**	N	Ν	53	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	51	**
Economically Disadvantaged	*	*	48	**	*	*	47	**
Students with Disabilities	72	72	41	**	47	47	43	**
English Learners	N	N	54	**	N	Ν	51	**



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.








English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	76	97.5	73.7	73.7	56.7	73.7	75	Met Target†
White	68	97.2	73.5	73.5	65.6	73.5	76.3	Met Target†
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	37.3	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	* * 82.3 * *		**	**		
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	52.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	40	97.6	85.0	85.0	64.5	85.0		
Male	36	97.4	61.1	61.1	49.4	61.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	38.5	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	67.5	*		
Students with Disabilities	16	100.0	43.8	43.8	21.6	43.8	**	**
Students without Disabilities	60	96.8	81.7	81.7	63.9	81.7		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	27.3	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	76	97.5	73.7	73.7	59.4	73.7		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	26.3	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.1	N		


+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	13	763	763	750	*	0%	*	77%	0%	77%	52%
White	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	N	N	N	733	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Female	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Male	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	15%
Non-English Learners	13	763	763	753	*	0%	*	77%	0%	77%	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	21%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	Ν	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	12	767	767	756	0%	0%	*	*	*	83%	58%
White	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	782	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Female	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Male	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	17%
Non-English Learners	12	767	767	759	0%	0%	*	*	*	83%	61%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	17	774	774	755	0%	0%	*	*	*	76%	58%
White	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	N	Ν	N	738	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	763	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	65%
Female	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Male	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	17	774	774	757	0%	0%	*	*	*	76%	60%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	731	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
White	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	64%
Female	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Male	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	26%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	36%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	20	778	778	760	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	63%
White	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Hispanic	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Black or African American	N	N	N	740	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	57%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	767	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	68%
Female	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Male	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	N	721	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	22%
Students without Disabilities	20	778	778	768	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	71%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	705	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	20	778	778	762	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	64%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	32%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	64%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%




+ This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8


2017-2018

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
White	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
Hispanic	Ν	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	789	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	759	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	766	N	N	N	N	N	N	66%
Female	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Male	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	703	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



(19-1680-050)Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018

- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	76	97.5	47.3	47.3	45.0	47.3	73.9	Not Met
White	68	97.2	47.0	47.0	54.1	47.0	74.1	Not Met
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	29.2	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.4	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	77.0	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.5	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	40	97.6	50.0	50.0	46.0	50.0		
Male	36	97.4	44.4	44.4	43.9	44.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	26.6	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	55.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	16	100.0	12.5	12.5	17.1	12.5	**	**
Students without Disabilities	60	96.8	56.7	56.7	50.5	56.7		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	24.6	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	76	97.5	47.3	47.3	46.9	47.3		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	16.2	Ν		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	Ν		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.7	N		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.

REPORT




2017-2018


† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	13	754	754	752	0%	*	*	*	*	54%	53%
White	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	N	N	N	734	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Female	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Male	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	726	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Non-English Learners	13	754	754	754	0%	*	*	*	*	54%	56%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	Ν	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	12	750	750	748	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	49%
White	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	774	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Male	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	722	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	16%
Non-English Learners	12	750	750	750	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	52%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%




Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	17	748	748	748	0%	*	59%	*	*	35%	49%
White	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	730	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Male	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Non-English Learners	17	748	748	750	0%	*	59%	*	*	35%	51%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%



This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
White	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	N	Ν	N	726	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	771	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Female	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Male	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Homeless Students	N	Ν	Ν	718	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	45%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%



This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	20	759	759	743	0%	0%	*	*	*	65%	43%
White	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Hispanic	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Black or African American	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	39%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Male	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	N	717	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	N	12%
Students without Disabilities	20	759	759	748	0%	0%	*	*	*	65%	50%
English Learners	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	20	759	759	744	0%	0%	*	*	*	65%	45%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%



This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
White	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Hispanic	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Female	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Male	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	N	705	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	734	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	703	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%



2017-2018


Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	N	Ν	N	746	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
White	N	Ν	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Hispanic	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Black or African American	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	N	N	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	755	N	N	N	N	N	N	54%
Female	N	Ν	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Male	N	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Students with Disabilities	N	Ν	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students without Disabilities	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	710	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	N	Ν	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%



Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

College and Career Readiness



FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY

(19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	N	N
5	N	N
6	N	N
7	N	N
8	Ν	N

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	Ν	Ν	N

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.


Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	Ν	N	N
3-4	Ν	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

Mathematics - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	7
7	0	0	21
8	0	0	8
Total	0	0	36

World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	36	0	0	0	0	0	0





Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism


This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	9	8.1	9.1	Met
White	7	7.5	9.1	Met
Hispanic	*	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	Ν
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	3	25.0	**	**
Students with Disabilities	1	4.0	9.1	Met
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	N



Days Absent


This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses


This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Total Unique Incidents	2
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.54

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0



The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

Student	Disciplinary	Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	1	1
No Identified Nature	8		8

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	· · · · ·
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*	24
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

College and Career Readiness



FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050)

(19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018 Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:30 AM
Typical End Time	3:25 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 55 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 0 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	3 Hrs. 30 Mins.

Device Ratios


This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1:1

Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$535	\$21,184	\$21,719



† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers:** All classroom teachers

2017-2018

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State		
Total Number of teachers	19	117,464		
Average years experience in public schools	8.1	12.0		
Average years experience in district	5.3	10.7		
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	47.4%	75.5%		

Administrators – Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	1	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	17.0	16.0
Average years experience in district	3.0	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	0.0%	76.2%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	7:1	7:1
Students to Administrators	130:1	130:1
Teachers to Administrators	19:1	19:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		130:1
Students to Counselors		Ν
Students to Child Study Team		130:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	57.9%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	86.2%

Faculty Attendance


This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	94.8%


Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Teacher0%Admin0%



Key terms for staff data:


Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	84.2%	100.0%
Male	15.8%	0.0%
White	89.5%	100.0%
Hispanic	5.3%	0.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	5.3%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Comprehensive Status


This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022		
No	n/a	n/a		

Targeted Status

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022	
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a	
White	No			
Hispanic	No			
Black or African American	No			
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No			
American Indian or Alaska Native	No			
Two or More Races	No			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No			
Students with Disabilities	No			
English Learners	No			




Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	72.93	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	34.30	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	50.18	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	4.57	25.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	**	**
Chronic Absenteeism	56.95	15.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	40.99	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	34.06	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



2017-2018

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	N	Met	No
White	35.87	14.08	No	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview	Demographic Student Growth	Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
Ŷ	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privative ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more stude N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below tag 			
 1		School Narrative				
		re highlights, achievements, and other important information formation provided in the narrative section, please contact yo		nd service	es that are offered	d in their
	Highlights:	 Frenchtown School is the, "Little school that makes Frenchtown School was awarded 2018's Best Elem Frenchtown School Supports a 1:1 Middle School il 	entary School in Hunterdon (County by	/ Hunterdon Happ	pening
	Mission, Vision, Theme:	Frenchtown Elementary School strives to help each stude ultimate mission is to produce happy, healthy, creative you contribute to their society as productive citizens. We offer to respect themselves, their peers, their community and th	ung adults who grow into life-l an intimate educational enviro	long learr	ners with a desire	to
	Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:	Frenchtown School implemented a middle school 1:1 iPac Hunterdon County" by the Hunterdon Happening. Frencht Champion" by NJ Safe Routes to School and was cited fo	own was named "2017 Hunte	erdon Sus	stainable Jersey	

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
Ş	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT		-	HTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) ades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018	** Accountability caN No Data is available	lculations	der to protect student p require 20 or more str play ific note,see note belo	udents
				School Narrative				
				nts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		d servic	es that are offered	d in their
	Course Instruct	s, Curriculum ion:	school schedule and s School has implement built into their schedul	Ill day preschool and kindergarten pr shifted to standards-based assessme ed a personalized student learning p e to work on Career Cruising and a '	ents in grades K-5. A part of a programs for students in 6-8. \$	district i Students	initiative, Frenchto	own
			Sports Offered: Baseb	all (Boys), Basketball (Boys & Girls)	, Soccer (Coed), Softball (Girl	s)		
*	Sports	and Athletics:		participate in co-ed soccer, boys' and	d girls' basketball, and softball			
CH III	Clubs a	and Activities:	Council, Yearbook, Tr participate in a music	participate in Community Garden, the leater, Running Club, Sketchbook C and art club that runs monthly and is	lub, Mural Club, Band and Ch			

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		Report Key:* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy** Accountability calculations require 20 or more studentsN No Data is available to display† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table			
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information a narrative section, please contact you		d service	es that are offered	in their
		and After Programs:	The district hosts befor	e and after school care through the	Work Family Connection.			
2	Staff an Learnin	nd Professiona g:	development truly focu on a variety of topics in	full days and four half days dedicat ses on teacher and student need. A icluding technology, Wilson Reading	s needs arise during the year	, additior	nal trainings are s	chedule

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff Accountability Na	arrative	
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 			
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information narrative section, please contact you		d services that are offered in th	heir	
	Studen Service	t Supports and es:	be implemented in the students, and teachers and physical therapies	In I&RS Team that works to help ide student's program. In addition, Frer to ensure a successful learning en are also provided to children that n	nchtown's Child Study Team w vironment for students with dis	vorks closely with parents,		
Ċ	Studen Wellnes	t Health and ss:	for the day through ear time and multiple class	Ilness is a priority at Frenchtown. Tl ly morning exercise followed by a n es of physical education and health School offers breakfast and lunch	utritious breakfast. All student . In addition, students have a	ts at Frenchtown receive reces ccess to a full-time guidance		
	Parent Involve	and Commun ment:	support to our classroo opportunities in partner community further stree	eatly benefits from community partn om teachers and assemblies for our rship with the River Union Stage Co ngthening these relationships.	students. The district provides	s music and theater performan	nce	

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		 Report Key: Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 			
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information a narrative section, please contact you		d service	es that are offered	in their
			Is a Climate Survey Us	ed: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students	3			
111	Climate	Surveys:	perceptions of safety, v	d school climate surveys with middle roice, and activities in the middle sc that there are adults who care about	hool. Survey results revealed	that ove	rall Frenchtown s	tudents
	Facilitie	S:	projects: window replace several "in-house" rend	ne "Frenchtown High School" until 1 cement, roof replacement, toilet roor ovations and upgrades were comple d equipment and cafeteria equipmer	m renovations, boiler convers ted: Tech Lab, Auditorium so	ion and und syst	paving. In additior em, gymnasium a	n,
0	School	Safety:	environment. The school officer. In the fall of 20	rity, and Frenchtown is committed to ol district enjoys a positive relations 8, the district participated in a secu on's Office of School Preparedness	hip with the local police deparity inspection and drill under	rtment a	nd the school rese	ource

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		FRENCHTOWN ELEMENTARY (19-1680-050) Grades Offered: PK-08 2017-2018		 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 			
				School Narrative				
				s, and other important information a arrative section, please contact you		d service	es that are offered	in their
	Technol STEM:	logy and		:1 iPad program for middle school s The district sponsores an after-scho				
A BC	Early Cl Educatio	hildhood on:	children. Little Paws Pr appropriate experience social/emotional growth NJ Preschool Teaching NJ Student Learning S other curricular activitie	t believes that preschool educational eschool offers a full day program or s that provide each child with individe n, language skills, motor developme g and Learning Standards of Quality andards. Since the program is hous is including music, physical education f children who have been identified a	a tuition basis with curriculur dual opportunities to develop p nt and conceptual skill develo , the NJ Preschool Program In sed within the Frenchtown Scl on, computer science, and libr	m consis positive s pment. I mplemer hool, the rary. In a	ting of developme self-esteem, t is designed to m ntation Guidelines students are exp ddition, the progra	entally neet the s and the posed to am

