

Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Maple Place Middle School

(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

ľ	Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:	
l		
l		
l		
l		



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Maple Place Middle School

(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Monmouth
District	Oceanport School District
Principal Name	Mr. Matthew Howell
Address	MAPLE PLACE OCEANPORT, NJ 07757-1600
Phone Number	732-229-0267
Email Address	mhowell@oceanport.k12.nj.us
Website	http://oceanport.k12.nj.us



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
5	55	69	58
6	63	55	76
7	64	63	56
8	90	64	63
Total	272	251	253

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	46.0%	48.2%	50.6%
Male	54.0%	51.8%	49.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5.1%	6.8%	11.5%
Students with Disabilities	23.5%	23.9%	20.9%
English Learners	1.1%	0.8%	1.6%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	92.3%	88.0%	87.0%
Hispanic	5.5%	8.8%	8.7%
Black or African American	0.7%	1.2%	1.6%
Asian	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.7%	1.2%	2.0%

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	96.0%
Portuguese	1.6%
Chinese	1.2%
Other Languages	1.2%




(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	51	62	56	52.5	35.5	36.5
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard	Not Met	Not Met
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019 Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	56	56	50	Met Standard	36.5	45	50	Not Met
White	56	56	50	Met Standard	38.5	46	52	Not Met
Hispanic	66	59.5	49	Exceeds Standard	29	30.5	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	59	**	*	*	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	52	**
Female	59	56.5	53	N	40	51.5	50	N
Male	54	55	47	N	35	43	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	59	59	48	Met Standard	35	40	46	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	51.5	51	43	Met Standard	40	43.5	45	Met Standard
English Learners	*	*	52	**	*	*	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



(25-3830-030)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35


Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65


High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment








Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.






(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	95.6%	97.6%	97.6%	95.2%	97.6%	97.6%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	69.7%	78.6%	79.8%	53.0%	57.2%	60.7%
Annual Target	62.3%	63.3%	64.2%	41.5%	43.5%	45.6%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	247	97.6	79.8	80.1	57.9	79.8	64.2	Met Target
White	214	97.3	80.8	80.9	66.9	80.8	65.6	Met Goal
Hispanic	21	100.0	76.2	77.4	43.9	76.2	73.1	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	125	97.7	83.2	83.9	64.8	83.2		
Male	122	97.6	76.2	75.8	51.3	76.2		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	29	100.0	58.6	*	40.0	58.6	N	N
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	218	97.3	82.6	*	67.9	82.6		
Students with Disabilities	49	96.2	49.0	53.6	22.7	49.0	37.8	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	198	98.0	87.4	86.0	65.1	87.4		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	57	765	765	756	0%	*	19%	*	*	74%	58%
White	48	766	766	764	0%	*	23%	*	*	73%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	32	765	765	761	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	64%
Male	25	765	765	750	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	11	737	737	724	0%	*	*	*	*	36%	23%
Students without Disabilities	46	771	771	762	0%	*	*	*	*	83%	65%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	57	765	765	758	0%	*	19%	*	*	74%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	75	763	763	754	*	*	17%	57%	16%	73%	56%
White	62	765	765	762	*	*	21%	*	*	73%	65%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	34	771	771	762	*	*	*	*	*	76%	64%
Male	41	757	757	748	*	*	*	*	*	71%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	742	742	740	*	*	*	*	*	50%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	61	768	768	763	*	*	*	*	*	79%	67%
Students with Disabilities	17	740	740	722	*	*	*	*	*	35%	19%
Students without Disabilities	58	770	770	761	*	*	*	*	*	84%	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	54	785	785	761	0%	0%	*	*	*	93%	63%
White	50	786	786	769	0%	0%	*	*	*	94%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	790	N	N	N	N	N	N	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	68%
Female	28	797	797	769	0%	0%	*	*	*	96%	71%
Male	26	772	772	753	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Students with Disabilities	10	766	766	720	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	22%
Students without Disabilities	44	789	789	769	0%	0%	*	*	*	93%	71%
English Learners	N	N	N	706	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	54	785	785	763	0%	0%	*	*	*	93%	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	60	789	789	762	*	*	*	27%	53%	80%	63%
White	52	793	793	770	0%	0%	*	*	*	85%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	794	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	769	N	N	N	N	N	N	69%
Female	30	805	805	771	*	*	*	*	*	93%	71%
Male	30	772	772	753	*	*	*	*	*	67%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	772	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Students with Disabilities	10	729	729	721	*	*	*	*	*	30%	22%
Students without Disabilities	50	800	800	770	*	*	*	*	*	90%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	247	97.6	60.7	68.2	44.5	60.7	45.6	Met Target
White	214	97.3	61.7	69.1	54.1	61.7	47.4	Met Target
Hispanic	21	100.0	52.4	58.1	28.8	52.4	51.5	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	125	97.7	61.6	69.8	44.9	61.6		
Male	122	97.6	59.8	66.3	44.2	59.8		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	29	100.0	44.8	*	26.3	44.8	N	N
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	218	97.3	62.8	*	54.9	62.8		
Students with Disabilities	49	96.2	32.7	40.6	17.4	32.7	27.1	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	198	98.0	67.7	74.4	50.0	67.7		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	57	760	760	747	0%	*	28%	*	*	68%	47%
White	48	761	761	755	0%	*	29%	*	*	69%	58%
Hispanic	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	32	757	757	747	0%	*	*	*	*	59%	47%
Male	25	764	764	747	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	11	740	740	725	0%	*	*	*	*	36%	19%
Students without Disabilities	46	765	765	752	0%	*	*	*	*	76%	52%
English Learners	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	57	760	760	749	0%	*	28%	*	*	68%	49%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	75	746	746	741	*	17%	28%	*	*	52%	41%
White	62	747	747	749	*	*	31%	*	*	52%	51%
Hispanic	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	769	N	N	N	N	N	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Female	34	740	740	742	*	*	*	*	*	35%	42%
Male	41	752	752	740	*	*	*	*	*	66%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	729	729	726	*	*	*	*	*	29%	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	61	750	750	750	*	*	*	*	*	57%	53%
Students with Disabilities	17	737	737	716	*	*	*	*	*	41%	12%
Students without Disabilities	58	749	749	746	*	*	*	*	*	55%	46%
English Learners	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	54	754	754	744	0%	19%	24%	*	*	57%	42%
White	50	755	755	751	0%	*	26%	*	*	58%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	28	761	761	744	0%	*	*	*	*	71%	42%
Male	26	746	746	743	0%	*	*	*	*	42%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	10	729	729	718	0%	*	*	*	*	10%	13%
Students without Disabilities	44	759	759	749	0%	*	*	*	*	68%	48%
English Learners	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	54	754	754	745	0%	19%	24%	*	*	57%	44%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	38	749	749	728	*	*	32%	*	*	47%	29%
White	32	754	754	737	0%	*	38%	*	*	53%	38%
Hispanic	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	714	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Female	16	764	764	731	*	*	*	*	*	75%	31%
Male	22	738	738	726	*	*	*	*	*	27%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	707	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	22	785	785	744	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	42%
White	20	783	783	752	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Male	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	22	785	785	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
5	N	N
6	N	N
7	N	N
8	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	N	N	N



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	18	39	35	9
White	15	40	38	8
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	19	47	25	9
Male	16	28	48	8
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	55	45	0	0
Students without Disabilities	9	37	43	11
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Non-English Learners	18	39	35	9
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	22	52	20	7
White	19	56	19	6
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	10	57	27	7
Male	33	47	13	7
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	60	20	20	0
Students without Disabilities	14	58	20	8
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards can be found on the NJDOE website.

Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	75
7	0	0	55
8	62	0	1
Total	62	0	131

World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	74	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	54	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	61	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	189	0	0	0	0	0	0



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:


- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.




Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes





Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	10	4.0	8.7	Met
White	9	4.1	8.7	Met
Hispanic	1	4.5	8.7	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	5	3.9		
Male	5	4.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	1	3.4	8.7	Met
Students with Disabilities	5	9.6	8.7	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	17
Total Unique Incidents	17
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	6.72

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	3	4
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	6	6
Sexual Orientation	0	5	5
Disability	0	0	0
Other	8	8	16
No Identified Nature	0		0

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	10	4.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	10	4.0%
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School	
Typical Start Time	8:00 AM	
Typical End Time	2:40 PM	
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 40 Mins	
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 55 Mins	
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 55 Mins.	

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	30	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	11.8	12.1
Average years experience in district	9.3	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	77.8%	75.3%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	16.3	16.0
Average years experience in district	4.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	66.7%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	8:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	N	152:1
Teachers to Administrators	N	16:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		609:1
Students to Nurses		305:1
Students to Counselors		305:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		152:1



(25-3830-030)Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	50.6%	76.7%	N	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	49.4%	23.3%	N	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	87.0%	100.0%	N	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	8.7%	0.0%	N	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.6%	0.0%	N	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	0.8%	0.0%	N	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	N	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	N	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.0%	0.0%	N	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree

Teacher	0%

Admin *

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	94.8%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	50.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	100.0%



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	69.7%	78.6%	79.8%
Math Proficiency	53.0%	57.2%	60.7%
ELA Growth	51	62	56
Math Growth	52	36	36
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	5.6%	2.0%	4.0%

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Not Met	**	Met	No
White	Met Goal	Met Target	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	**	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

own words. I	tnere are questions about the	information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.
	Courses, Curriculum, Instruction:	In addition to core courses (math, science, social studies, English language arts), students at Maple Place receive instruction in art, technology, Spanish, and life skills. Our eighth-grade students have the opportunity to take Algebra I and Spanish I. Maple Place also offer a G&T program for selected students in grades 5-8. All curricula are aligned to state standards.
添	Sports and Athletics:	Sports Offered: Baseball (Boys), Basketball (Boys & Girls), Cheerleading (Girls), Field Hockey (Girls), Soccer (Boys & Girls), Softball (Girls)
E S	Clubs and Activities:	There are many opportunities in which Maple Place middle school students can get involved. In addition to sports, Maple Place offers many activities including: Chimes, Band (concert band, jazz band, woodwind ensemble), chorus, a school play (performance, set design, and stage crew), Yearbook, Student Leadership, technology club, NatGeo Club, art club, running club yoga club, and a games club.




(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative





(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Maple Place has an Intervention and Referral Service (I&RS) committee. Identified students participate in Basic Skills Instruction (BSI) and English Language Learner (ELL) programs. Students may also receive accommodations and/or modifications required by the ADA through a 504 Plan. Referred students are evaluated by the Child Study Team. Students found eligible for Special Education and related services are provided with a full array of special education programs, as per the Individualized Education Program (IEP). Speech, occupational therapy, and physical therapy are also available. Counseling services are available to all students through school guidance staff.



Student Health and Wellness:

The health curriculum promotes health and wellness. Students receive gym/health 5 days a week in grades 5-8. All students have outdoor recess every day (weather permitting).



Parent and Community Involvement:

The schools are an extension of the community in Oceanport and include various stakeholders in the educational and decision-making process. An active PTO sponsors multiple activities for students including book fairs, variety shows, and assemblies. Additionally, the PTO raises money to fund teacher grant programs that benefit students in all classrooms. Oceanport Public School District has an active Special Education Parent Advisory Group (SEPAG) that helps to improve the quality of special education district-wide.

Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Maple Place Middle School

(25-3830-030) Grades Offered: 05-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Technology and STEM:

Maple Place is a "1:1 school where the students have and use a personal Chromebook. These devices are regularly used through center-based activities, enhancing student learning in the content areas, and making practice experiences fun and engaging for students.



Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

- Report Key:

 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display

 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Monmouth
District	Oceanport School District
Principal Name	Mr. Mark Maglione
Address	29 WOLF HILL AVENUE OCEANPORT, NJ 07757-1000
Phone Number	732-542-0683
Email Address	mmaglione@oceanport.k12.nj.us
Website	http://oceanport.k12.nj.us



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK	39	29	27
KG	55	59	64
1	72	59	67
2	54	72	64
3	58	55	76
4	68	56	58
Total	346	330	356

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	48.8%	50.3%	49.7%
Male	51.2%	49.7%	50.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	9.5%	6.4%	8.7%
Students with Disabilities	20.5%	17.3%	18.0%
English Learners	0.9%	0.6%	2.5%
Homeless Students	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	88.2%	89.7%	86.0%
Hispanic	9.5%	8.2%	10.7%
Black or African American	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%
Asian	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.7%	1.8%	2.5%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	0	0	0
PK - Full Day	39	29	27
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	55	59	64

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	93.0%
Portuguese	3.7%
Spanish	2.2%
Other Languages	1.1%




(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	ELA	ELA	ELA	Math	Math	Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	67.5	62	55	86.5	63	81
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Exceeds	Exceeds	Met	Exceeds	Exceeds	Exceeds
	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	55	56	50	Met Standard	81	45	50	Exceeds Standard
White	56	56	50	Met Standard	78.5	46	52	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	*	59.5	49	**	*	30.5	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	*	59	**	N	*	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	52	**
Female	54	56.5	53	N	84	51.5	50	N
Male	64	55	47	N	73.5	43	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	59	48	**	*	40	46	**
Students with Disabilities	*	51	43	**	*	43.5	45	**
English Learners	*	*	52	**	*	*	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



(25-3830-050)Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19

statewide assessment growth.



These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.









(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019 Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	81.0%	84.0%	80.8%	81.8%	75.9%	82.3%
Annual Target	69.0%	69.6%	70.1%	64.8%	65.6%	66.4%
Met Annual Target?	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Target	Met Goal
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	130	100.0	80.8	80.1	57.9	80.8	70.1	Met Goal
White	116	100.0	81.0	80.9	66.9	81.0	71.5	Met Goal
Hispanic	10	100.0	80.0	77.4	43.9	80.0	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	82.9	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	74	100.0	85.1	83.9	64.8	85.1		
Male	56	100.0	75.0	75.8	51.3	75.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	40.0	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	20	100.0	65.0	53.6	22.7	65.0	44.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	110	100.0	83.6	86.0	65.1	83.6		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	74	772	772	748	*	*	15%	*	*	80%	50%
White	68	773	773	757	*	*	*	*	*	81%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	773	N	N	N	N	N	N	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	44	778	778	753	*	*	*	*	*	89%	55%
Male	30	763	763	743	*	*	*	*	*	67%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	55	777	777	755	*	*	*	35%	44%	78%	57%
White	46	780	780	763	*	*	*	35%	46%	80%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	779	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	30	774	774	760	*	*	*	*	*	77%	62%
Male	25	780	780	750	*	*	*	*	*	80%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



Wolf Hill School (25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	130	100.0	82.3	68.2	44.5	82.3	66.4	Met Goal
White	116	100.0	82.8	69.1	54.1	82.8	68.9	Met Goal
Hispanic	10	100.0	70.0	58.1	28.8	70.0	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	76.5	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	74	100.0	83.8	69.8	44.9	83.8		
Male	56	100.0	80.4	66.3	44.2	80.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	26.3	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	20	100.0	60.0	40.6	17.4	60.0	55.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	110	100.0	86.4	74.4	50.0	86.4		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04


2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	76	766	766	752	*	*	*	*	*	82%	55%
White	68	768	768	760	0%	*	*	*	*	82%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	778	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Female	44	771	771	751	*	*	*	*	*	86%	54%
Male	32	759	759	752	*	*	*	*	*	75%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	776	776	749	*	*	*	55%	23%	79%	51%
White	46	781	781	757	0%	*	*	57%	26%	83%	62%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	776	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	30	775	775	749	*	*	*	*	*	77%	50%
Male	26	776	776	749	*	*	*	*	*	81%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	10	741	741	726	*	*	*	*	*	30%	25%
Students without Disabilities	46	783	783	754	*	*	*	*	*	89%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above		
0-2	*	*	*		
3-4	*	*	*		
5 or more	*	*	*		

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

00%	
80%	_
60%	LEVEL 4
40%	LEVEL 3 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 1
20%	_
0%	-

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	26	7.9	9.2	Met
White	17	6.0	9.2	Met
Hispanic	3	8.8	9.2	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	N	N	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	15	9.1		
Male	11	6.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	7	23.3	9.2	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	5	8.6	9.2	Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	4
Total Unique Incidents	5
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.40

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	1	1
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	3	3
No Identified Nature	18		18

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police	
Violence	1	
Weapons	0	
Vandalism	0	
Substances	0	
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0	
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0	

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	0	0.0%
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:35 AM
Typical End Time	3:05 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 55 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 55 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	36	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	14.3	12.1
Average years experience in district	12.4	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	88.9%	75.3%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	4	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	16.3	16.0
Average years experience in district	4.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	66.7%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	10:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	89:1	152:1
Teachers to Administrators	9:1	16:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		609:1
Students to Nurses		305:1
Students to Counselors		305:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		152:1



Wolf Hill School (25-3830-050)

Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	49.7%	97.2%	75.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	50.3%	2.8%	25.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	86.0%	97.2%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment



Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree

Teacher

Admin	0%

0%

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	94.8%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	50.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present		
2018-19	100.0%		



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050)Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the User Friendly Budget and the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
ELA Proficiency	81.0%	84.0%	80.8%	
Math Proficiency	81.8%	75.9%	82.3%	
ELA Growth	68	62	55	
Math Growth	86	63	81	
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*	
Chronic Absenteeism	5.2%	6.0%	7.9%	

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative




Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative





Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative




Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019 Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative





Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative




Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative





Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative




Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative





Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Wolf Hill School

(25-3830-050) Grades Offered: PK-04 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

