# 4A:2-1.6 Reconsideration of decisions

- (a) Upon the receipt of a decision, a party to the appeal may petition the Commissioner or Board for reconsideration.
- (b) A petition for reconsideration shall be in writing signed by the petitioner or his or her representative and must show the following:
  - 1. The new evidence or additional information not presented at the original proceeding which would change the outcome and the reasons that such evidence was not presented at the original proceeding; or
    - 2. That a clear material error has occurred.
- (c) Each party must serve copies of all materials submitted on all other parties.

#### Case Notes

A motion for reconsideration of a final administrative decision must be made within the period provided for the taking of an appeal. Matter of Hill. 241 N.J.Super. 367, 575 A.2d 42 (A.D.1990).

Senior corrections officer was an employee on date when complaint which formed basis of harassment conviction was filed, for purposes of forfeiture statute. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 230 N.J.Super. 374, 553 A.2d 830 (A.D.1989), affirmed 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983.

Senior corrections officer's criminal conviction for harassing his immediate superior was one "involving or touching" his employment. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 230 N.J.Super. 374, 553 A.2d 830 (App.Div.1989) affirmed 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983.

# 4A:2-1.7 Specific appeals

- (a) For specific appeal procedures see:
  - 1. Awards in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:6-6.10);
  - Classification (N.J.A.C. 4A:3–3.9);
  - Discipline, major (N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.1 et seq.);
  - 4. Discipline, minor (N.J.A.C. 4A:2-3.1 et seq.);
- 5. Discrimination in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:7-3.2 through 4A:7-3.4);
- 6. Employment list removal for medical reasons (N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.5);
- 7. Employment list removal for psychological reasons (N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.5);
  - 8. Examinations (N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.1 et seq.);
  - 9. Grievances (N.J.A.C. 4A:2-3.1 et seq.);
  - 10. Layoffs (N.J.A.C. 4A:8-2.6);
- 11. Overtime in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:3-5.10 et seq.);
- 12. Performance Assessment Review in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:6-5.3);
  - 13. Reprisals (N.J.A.C. 4A:2-5.1 et seq.);

- 14. Resignations (N.J.A.C. 4A:2-6.1 et seq.);
- 15. Salary (job reevaluation) in state service (N.J.A.C. 4A:3-4.3);
- 16. Sick leave injury in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:6-1.7); and
- 17. Supplemental compensation on retirement in State service (N.J.A.C. 4A:6-3.4).
- (b) Any appeal not listed above must be filed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 4A:2-1.1.

Administrative correction to (a), with deletion of (a)11 and renumbering of old (a)12-18 to new (a)11-17. See: 22 N.J.R. 165(a).

#### Case Notes

Appeals to Department of Personnel (DOP) and Merit System Board by police officer were timely. Matter of Allen, 262 N.J.Super. 438, 621 A.2d 87 (A.D.1993).

## SUBCHAPTER 2. MAJOR DISCIPLINE

## Cross References

Applicability of this subchapter to SES members, see N.J.A.C. 4A:3-2.9.

## 4A:2-2.1 Employees covered

- (a) This subchapter applies only to permanent employees in the career service or a person serving a working test period.
- (b) Appointing authorities may establish major discipline procedures for other employees.

# Case Notes

Ordinarily, permanent civil service employees can be discharged or demoted only for cause, and they have pre-termination appeal and hearing rights; however, provisional employees can be terminated at any time at the discretion of the employer. Melani v. County of Passaic, 345 A.2d 579.

Department of Energy was not equitably estopped from returning employee to his permanent position as senior engineer when promotional examination was not given between date of his provisional appointment and date of demotion (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.8). O'Malley v. Department of Energy, 109 N.J. 309, 537 A.2d 647 (1987).

Doctrine of equitable estoppel inapplicable to allow provisional employee to retain position (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1–16.8). Omrod v. N.J. Dep't of Civil Service, 151 N.J.Super. 54, 376 A.2d 554 (App. Div.1977) certification denied 75 N.J. 534, 384 A.2d 513.

# 4A:2-2.2 Types of discipline

- (a) Major discipline shall include:
  - 1. Removal;
  - Disciplinary demotion;

- 3. Suspension or fine for more than five working days at any one time;
- 4. Suspension or fine for five working days or less where the aggregate number of days suspended or fined in any one calendar year is 15 working days or more;
- 5. The last suspension or fine where an employee receives more than three suspensions or fines of five working days or less in a calendar year.

## **Case Notes**

Ordinarily, permanent civil service employees can be discharged or demoted only for cause, and they have pre-termination appeal and hearing rights; however, provisional employees can be terminated at any time at the discretion of the employer. Melani v. County of Passaic, 345 A.2d 579.

Employee suspended for 10 days from position as account clerk for failure to deposit money (\$700,000) within 48-hour period required by N.J.S.A. 40A:5-15 and late deposit by mail of \$355,000; 10-day suspension upheld and \$500 fine imposed. Kennedy v. City of Burlington, 11 N.J.A.R. 20 (1988).

Employee did not demonstrate that Department of Labor's request to reallocate career position of Director to SES was made in bad faith and without complying with statutory procedures governing disciplinary proceedings. Matter of Baykal, 707 A.2d 467, 309 N.J.Super. 424.

## 4A:2-2.3 General causes

- (a) An employee may be subject to discipline for:
- Incompetency, inefficiency or failure to perform duties;
  - 2. Insubordination;
  - 3. Inability to perform duties;
  - 4. Chronic or excessive absenteeism or lateness;
  - Conviction of a crime;
  - Conduct unbecoming a public employee;
  - 7. Neglect of duty;
  - 8. Misuse of public property, including motor vehicles;
- 9. Discrimination that affects equal employment opportunity (as defined in N.J.A.C. 4A:7-1.1), including sexual harassment;
- 10. Violation of Federal regulations concerning drug and alcohol use by and testing of employees who perform functions related to the operation of commercial motor vehicles, and State and local policies issued thereunder; and
  - 11. Other sufficient cause.

Amended by R.1990 d.308, effective June 18, 1990.
See: 22 N.J.R. 1015(b), 22 N.J.R. 1915(a).
Added misuse of public property, including motor vehicles.
Amended by R.1994 d.618, effective December 19, 1994.
See: 26 N.J.R. 3507(a), 26 N.J.R. 5000(a).
Amended by R.1995 d.415, effective August 7, 1995.
See: 27 N.J.R. 1837(a), 27 N.J.R. 2884(a).
Added (a)10, and recodified former (a)10 as (a)11.

## **Case Notes**

A public employee cannot be dismissed for failure to submit to a procedure violative of his state and federal constitutional rights. Reames v. Department of Public Works, City of Paterson, 310 N.J.Super. 71, 707 A.2d 1377 (A.D. 1998).

Off-duty firefighter's utterance of racial epithet at on-duty police officer during traffic stop constituted conduct unbecoming both firefighter and public employee. Karins v. City of Atlantic City, 706 A.2d 706, 152 N.J. 532 (N.J. 1998).

Merit System Board of State Department of Personnel did not have exclusive jurisdiction for prosecution of forfeiture action against senior corrections officer. State v. Lee, 258 N.J.Super. 313, 609 A.2d 513 (A.D.1992).

Issue of forfeiture of public employment by turnpike utility worker did not have to be first addressed by administrative agency to determine whether there was any relationship between crimes committed and employment duties. State v. Baber, 256 N.J.Super. 240, 606 A.2d 891 (L.1992).

Turnpike utility worker's convictions for failure to deliver drugs to police and for simple assault upon two police officers were offenses "involving or touching" his job so as to justify forfeiture of employment. State v. Baber, 256 N.J.Super. 240, 606 A.2d 891 (L.1992).

Order directing forfeiture of public employment may be incorporated in sentence of criminal convictions. State v. Baber, 256 N.J.Super. 240, 606 A.2d 891 (L.1992).

Forfeiture of public employment, for conviction of failure to file gross income tax return was not a bill of attainder. Ayars v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections, 251 N.J.Super. 223, 597 A.2d 1084 (A.D. 1991).

Forfeiture of public employment for conviction for failure to file gross income tax return did not violate double jeopardy. Ayars v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections, 251 N.J.Super. 223, 597 A.2d 1084 (A.D. 1991).

Dismissal was appropriate sanction for refusal by correction officers to submit to mandatory drug testing. Caldwell v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections, 250 N.J.Super. 592, 595 A.2d 1118 (A.D.1991), certification denied 127 N.J. 555, 606 A.2d 367.

When public employee is convicted of petty disorderly persons offense, analysis of nexus between crime and employment is required to determine if there is sufficient relationship between the two to warrant harsh penalty of forfeiture. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

When public employee is convicted of petty disorderly persons offense, connection between conviction and employment will have to be examined initially by governmental department in which employee works, then by appropriate administrative agencies, and employee will retain right to appeal to appellate division. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

Employees who are convicted of petty disorderly persons offense and recognize that their offense does touch and involve their employment can for good cause request county prosecutor or Attorney General to petition sentencing court for waiver of resultant forfeiture of public employment. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

Even in cases in which public employee does not obtain formal waiver of forfeiture of public employment resulting from conviction of petty disorderly persons offense, department should consider whether punishment of forfeiture fits crime. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

Inquiry into whether offense by public employee involves and touches on public employment to extent of meriting forfeiture of employment requires careful examination of facts and evaluation of various factors. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

Offense committed by public employee would not be considered not to involve or touch employment, so as to support forfeiture of public employment, based on fact that offense does not take place during

employment hours or on employment grounds. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983 (1990).

Traffic signal repairer removed for falsifying application for employment with regard to criminal convictions. Florenzo v. Bergen County Department of Public Works, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 22.

Police officer who lost police radio through carelessness was appropriately fined. Przybyszewski v. Głoucester Township Police Department, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 623.

# 4A:2-2.5 Opportunity for hearing before the appointing authority

- (a) An employee must be served with a Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action setting forth the charges and statement of facts supporting the charges (specifications), and afforded the opportunity for a hearing prior to imposition of major discipline, except:
  - 1. An employee may be suspended immediately and prior to a hearing where it is determined that the employee is unfit for duty or is a hazard to any person if permitted to remain on the job, or that an immediate suspension is necessary to maintain safety, health, order or effective direction of public services. However, a Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action with opportunity for a hearing must be served in person or by certified mail within five days following the immediate suspension.
  - 2. An employee may be suspended immediately when the employee is formally charged with a crime of the first, second or third degree, or a crime of the fourth degree on the job or directly related to the job. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.7.
- (b) Where suspension is immediate under (a)1 and (a)2 above, and is without pay, the employee must first be apprised either orally or in writing, of why an immediate suspension is sought, the charges and general evidence in support of the charges and provided with sufficient opportunity to review the charges and the evidence in order to respond to the charges before a representative of the appointing authority. The response may be oral or in writing, at the discretion of the appointing authority.
- (c) The employee may request a departmental hearing within five days of receipt of the Preliminary Notice. If no request is made within this time or such additional time as agreed to by the appointing authority or as provided in a negotiated agreement, the departmental hearing may be considered to have been waived and the appointing authority may issue a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action.
- (d) A departmental hearing, if requested, shall be held within 30 days of the Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action unless waived by the employee or a later date as agreed to by the parties.
- (e) Appeals concerning violations of this section may be presented to the Commissioner through a petition for interim relief. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-1.2.

Amended by R.1989 d.569, effective November 6, 1989. See: 21 N.J.R. 1766(a), 21 N.J.R. 3448(b). Added new (e). Amended by R.1992 d.414, effective October 19, 1992. See: 24 N.J.R. 2491(a), 24 N.J.R. 3716(a). Revised (a).

## Law Review and Journal Commentaries

Discrimination—Collateral Estoppel—Police Officers. Judith Nallin, 138 N.J.L.J. No. 1, 49 (1994).

#### **Case Notes**

The requirement of holding departmental hearing within 30 days of service of preliminary notice of disciplinary action against career service public employee was not jurisdictional, and thus, an appointing authority may proceed with disciplinary charges even if it fails to conduct a departmental hearing within the statutorily mandated period. Goodman v. Department of Corrections, 367 N.J.Super. 591, 844 A.2d 543.

Ordinarily, permanent civil service employees can be discharged or demoted only for cause, and they have pre-termination appeal and hearing rights; however, provisional employees can be terminated at any time at the discretion of the employer. Melani v. County of Passaic, 345 A.2d 579.

Adequate consideration given provisions of Law Against Discrimination. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446. 663 A.2d 1354.

Procedural irregularities at departmental level; cured by hearing at agency level. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

Waiver of hearing. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

Departmental hearing required within thirty days of preliminary notice of disciplinary action. Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 446, 663 A.2d 1354.

Due process rights of corrections officers who were dismissed for failure to comply with mandatory drug test order were violated. Caldwell v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections, 250 N.J.Super. 592, 595 A.2d 1118 (A.D.1991), certification denied 127 N.J. 555, 606 A.2d 367.

Lack of entitlement to post termination hearing. Grexa v. State, 168 N.J.Super. 202, 402 A.2d 938 (App.Div.1978).

Due process: right to post termination hearing (statutory). Nicoletta v. No. Jersey District Water Supply Commission, 77 N.J. 145, 390 A.2d 90 (1978). Concurring and dissenting opinions.

Right to hearing. Cunningham v. Dept. of Civil Service, 69 N.J. 13, 350 A.2d 58 (1975).

Hearing de novo on appeal to Merit System Board corrected alleged inadequate notice. Coley v. Rowan College, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 4.

Absence of timely hearing required dismissal of disciplinary charges. Marjarum v. Hamilton Township Division of Police, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 143.

Failure to comply with appropriate regulations in seeking to discipline employee. Hamilton v. Camden Housing Authority, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 85.

Failure to provide employee with notice of dismissal; acts following meeting were not void pursuant to N.J.S.A. 10:4-15. McManus v. Housing Authority of the City of Englewood, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 747.

Preliminary notice of disciplinary action met minimum discovery requirements. N.J.S.A. 40A:14-147, 11A:2-13. Gabbianelli v. Monroe Township Police Department, 91 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 79.

## 4A:2-2.6 Hearings before the appointing authority

- (a) The hearing shall be held before the appointing authority or its designated representative.
- (b) The employee may be represented by an attorney or authorized union representative.
- (c) The parties shall have the opportunity to review the evidence supporting the charges and present and examine witnesses. The employee shall not be required to testify, but an employee who does testify will be subject to cross-examination.
- (d) Within 20 days of the hearing, or such additional time as agreed to by the parties, the appointing authority shall make a decision on the charges and furnish the employee either by personal service or certified mail with a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action.

#### Case Notes

Due process. Carr v. Sharp, C.A., 454 F.2d 271 (1971).

Requirement of exhaustion of administrative remedies. City of New Brunswick v. Speights, 157 N.J.Super. 9, 384 A.2d 225 (Co.1978).

Res judicata: delay in hearing: limits on de novo hearing. In re Darcy, 114 N.J.Super. 454, 277 A.2d 226 (1971).

Receipt of second copy of final notice of disciplinary action did not extend time for filing appeal. Russ v. Human Services Department, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 647.

Public employee voluntarily and deliberately planned his nonappearance at hearing and was not entitled to further hearing. Cue v. Camden County, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 131.

# 4A:2-2.7 Actions involving criminal matters

- (a) When an appointing authority suspends an employee based on a pending criminal complaint or indictment, the employee must be served with a Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action. The notice should include a statement that N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2 may apply to the employee, and that the employee may choose to consult with an attorney concerning the provisions of that statute.
  - 1. The employee may request a departmental hearing within five days of receipt of the Notice. If no request is made within this time, or such additional time as agreed to by the appointing authority or as provided in a negotiated agreement, the appointing authority may then issue a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action under (a)3 below. A hearing shall be limited to the issue of whether the public interest would best be served by suspending the employee until disposition of the criminal complaint or indictment. The standard for determining that issue shall be whether the employee is unfit for duty or is a hazard to any person if permitted to remain on the job, or that an immediate suspension is necessary to maintain safety, health, order or effective direction of public services.

- 2. The appointing authority may impose an indefinite suspension to extend beyond six months where an employee is subject to criminal charges as set forth in N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.5(a)2, but not beyond the disposition of the criminal complaint or indictment.
- 3. Where the appointing authority determines that an indefinite suspension should be imposed, a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action shall be issued stating that the employee has been indefinitely suspended pending disposition of the criminal complaint or indictment.
- (b) When a court has entered an order of forfeiture pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2, the appointing authority shall notify the employee in writing of the forfeiture and record the forfeiture in the employee's personnel records. The appointing authority shall also forward a copy of this notification to the Department of Personnel.
  - 1. If the criminal action does not result in an order of forfeiture issued by the court pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2, the appointing authority shall issue a second Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action specifying any remaining charges against the employee upon final disposition of the criminal complaint or indictment. The appointing authority shall then proceed under N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.5 and 2.6.
- (c) Where an employee has pled guilty or been convicted of a crime or offense which is cause for forfeiture of employment under N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2 but the court has not entered an order of forfeiture, the appointing authority may seek forfeiture by applying to the court for an order of forfeiture. The appointing authority shall not hold a departmental hearing regarding the issue of the applicability of N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2. If the court declines to enter an order of forfeiture in response to the appointing authority's application, the appointing authority may hold a departmental hearing regarding other disciplinary charges, if any, as provided in (b)1 above.

See: 21 N.J.R. 1766(a), 21 N.J.R. 3448(b).
In (a)1: added text, "The standard . . . public services."
Amended by R.1992 d.414, effective October 19, 1992.
Sec: 24 N.J.R. 2491(a), 24 N.J.R. 3716(a).
Revised (a).

Amended by R.1989 d.569, effective November 6, 1989.

Public Notice: Notice of Receipt of a Petition for Rulemaking. See: 29 N.J.R. 5333(a).

Amended by R.2000 d.433, effective October 16, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 2275(a), 32 N.J.R. 3870(a).

Rewrote (b) and (c).

# Case Notes

Forfeiture of public office was not unconstitutional. State v. Timoldi, 277 N.J.Super. 297, 649 A.2d 872 (A.D.1994), certification denied 142 N.J. 449, 663 A.2d 1356.

Merit System Board of State Department of Personnel did not have exclusive jurisdiction for prosecution of forfeiture action against senior corrections officer. State v. Lee, 258 N.J.Super. 313, 609 A.2d 513 (A.D.1992).

Whether public employee's conviction involves or touches employment does not depend upon whether criminally proscribed acts took place within immediate confines of employment's daily routine. Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 230 N.J.Super. 374, 553 A.2d 830 (A.D.1989), affirmed 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983.

Senior corrections officer's criminal conviction for harassing his immediate superior was one "involving or touching" his employment.

Moore v. Youth Correctional Institute at Annandale, 230 N.J.Super. 374, 553 A.2d 830 (A.D.1989), affirmed 119 N.J. 256, 574 A.2d 983.

Automatic termination of correction sergeant based on conviction for crime of dishonesty affirmed. Christian v. Department of Corrections, Northern State Prison, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 636.

Arrest for possession of illegal drugs provides grounds for blood test and removal. Pickett v. Department of Corrections, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 546.

Corrections officer's illegal purchase of ammunition justifies removal. Nelsen v. East Jersey State Prison, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 347.

Corrections officer with drugs in car suffers removal even though criminal action acquits. Reinhardt v. East Jersey State Prison, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 166.

School district employee removed for arrest on charges of possessing illegal drugs. Hargrove v. State Operated School District of Newark, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 112.

Corrections officer was not entitled to back pay for period of suspension pending resolution of criminal charges. Auberzinsky v. Cumberland County Sheriff's Department, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 372.

Public works truck driver dismissed after conviction for offense involving minor child. Furde v. Hamilton Township Department of Public Works, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 262.

No entitlement to continued employment in sensitive position for employee facing criminal and narcotics charges. Spellman v. Township of Parsippany-Troy Hills Police Department, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 214.

Where corrections officer's off-duty simple assault on supervisor related to on-duty events, assault constituted insubordination and conduct unbecoming a public employee and warranted dismissal. Melillo v. Department of Corrections, East Jersey State Prison, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 184.

Corrections officer's conviction for obstruction of justice and driving while under the influence justifies 78-day suspension. Scott v. Burlington County Jail, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 171.

Criminal convictions result in summary forfeiture of school custodian's position. Turner v. State-Operated School District of the City of Newark, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 146.

State corrections officer terminated for firing gun during off-duty argument. Dunns v. Department of Corrections, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV)

Park maintenance worker forfeits position due to conviction for disorderly persons offense involving dishonesty. Alsheimer v. County of Middlesex, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 7.

Conviction on plea of guilty to drug offense warranted correction officer's termination. Ricks v. Department of Corrections, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 441.

Filing of criminal charges directly relating to employment warranted indefinite suspension of safety specialist. Washington v. Division of Motor Vehicles, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 336.

Indefinite suspension of police officer pending disposition of criminal indictment was not warranted absent evidence that public interest would be served. Nagy v. Borough of Carteret, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 224

Correction officer's termination justified; shooting of companion with stun gun. Curry v. Burlington County Jail. 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 92.

Conviction on plea of guilty to charge of conspiring to sell a false document of age was cause for forfeiture of correction officer's public employment. State Department of Corrections v. Gomez, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 77.

Suspension; pendency of criminal charges. Abdunafi v. East Jersey State Prison. 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 653.

Suspension and removal of public employee convicted of a crime was justified. DeLeone v. Essex County, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 544.

Automatic forfeiture of employment upon conviction. Hudson County v. Seinfeld, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 516.

Suspension pending disposition of criminal complaint was in the public's interest. Lordi v. Woodbridge Township, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 540

Automatic forfeiture of employment upon conviction. City of Bayonne Department of Public Works v. Timoldi, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV)

Indefinite suspension was justified pending disposition of criminal charges. Gonzalez v. Essex County Welfare Board, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 451.

Conviction on federal drug-related charges effected a forfeiture of positions. Roman v. Atlantic City Police Department, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 250.

Automatic forfeiture of public employment upon criminal conviction of the third degree under N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2. Coxson v. Newark Board of Education, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 129.

Pharmacist suspended indefinitely without pay pending disposition of criminal charges. Grillo v. Bergen Pines County Hospital, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 81.

Guilty plea; however consideration of mitigating factors warranted the maximum suspension rather than permanent removal. Walcott v. City of Plainfield, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 65.

Suspension pending resolution of criminal charges was appropriate; however, termination was not justified. Walcott v. City of Plainfield, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 65.

Indictment justified suspension of welfare supervisor. Jersey City Welfare Board v. Miller, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 55.

Forfeit of public employment; conviction of drug and alcohol-related offenses. Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 14.

Termination; conduct unbecoming a public employee; physical attack by two employees on another employee. Bryson v. Division of Motor Vehicles, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 1.

Hospital employee was entitled to back pay, seniority and benefits following dismissal of indictment. Gillard v. Trenton Psychiatric Hospital, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 730.

Employee forfeited employment upon pleading guilty to criminal charges. Martin v. North Princeton Developmental Center, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 675.

Police officer automatically forfeited position; criminal conviction. Lehman v. Woodbridge Township Police Department, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 599.

Indefinite suspension pending disposition of sexual assault charges. Vengenock v. Salem County, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 558.

Six-month suspension was warranted for conviction of a motor vehicle violation. Turner v. Department of Higher Education, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 440.

Public employment; convictions of third-degree crimes. N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2. Williams v. Marlboro Psychiatric Hosp., State Dept. of Human Services, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 421.

Convictions forfeited public employment. Williams v. Marlboro Psychiatric Hospital, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 421.

Suspended employee did not resign by failure to report dismissal of criminal charges. McCray v. Department of the Treasury, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 363.

Possession of controlled dangerous substance warranted removal. Hickman v. Marlboro Psychiatric Hospital, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 356.

Indefinite suspension of employee pending disposition of criminal charges was proper. Simeone v. Woodbridge Township Department of Public Works, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 340.

2-29

Continuation of suspension of correction officer until disposition of criminal charges ordered. Rivera v. New Jersey Training School for Boys—Jamesburg, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 219.

Guilty plea constituted a forfeiture of position. Watkins v. Bergen Pines County Hospital, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 768.

Issue of whether suspension was in the public interest was rendered moot by resignation. Coleman v. Dept. of Public Works, Borough of Ringwood, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 510.

Guard was properly suspended pending outcome of charges. Alton v. Newark Board of Education, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 478.

Suspension of youth worker was warranted pending disposition of criminal charge. Moore v. Division of Youth and Family Services, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 433.

County employee forfeited her office as a result of conviction. Starling v. Essex County Citizen Services, Division of Welfare, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 431.

Indefinite suspension of police officer was warranted. Beck v. City of Trenton, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 411.

Forfeit of position; criminal conviction. Rivera v. City of Bridgeton, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 311.

Indefinite suspension; criminal charges. Smith v. Essex County Judiciary, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 271.

Indefinite suspension; disposition of charges. Naro v. The Fire Division of the Department of Public Safety of the City of Trenton, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 211.

School bus driver disqualified from school employment due to drug offense. Kovalak v. New Jersey State Department of Education, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 456.

School superintendent dismissed due to unbecoming conduct. In the Matter of the Tenure Hearing of Robert R. Vitacco, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 449.

Acquitted school custodian was entitled to back pay but agreement with counsel for reimbursement of attorney fees was not binding on the school board. Griffin v. Board of Education of the City of Paterson, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 882.

# 4A:2-2.8 Appeals to Merit System Board

- (a) An appeal from a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action must be filed within 20 days of receipt of the Notice by the employee. Receipt of the Notice on a different date by the employee's attorney or union representative shall not affect this appeal period.
- (b) If the appointing authority fails to provide the employee with a Final Notice of Disciplinary Action, an appeal may be made directly to the Board within a reasonable time.
- (c) The appeal shall be substantially similar in format to the Major Disciplinary Appeal Form illustrated in the subchapter Appendix, incorporated herein by reference, and the employee shall provide a copy of the appeal to the appointing authority. The employee shall attach to the appeal a copy of the Preliminary Notice of Disciplinary Action and, unless (b) above is applicable, the Final Notice of Disciplinary Action. The appeal shall also include the following information:
  - 1. The name, title, mailing address and telephone number of the appointing authority representative to whom the notices were provided;

- 2. The employee's name, mailing address and telephone number; and
  - 3. The action that is being appealed.
- (d) The employee should also include a statement of the reason(s) for the appeal and the requested relief.
- (e) Failure of an employee to provide the information specified in (c) above shall not result in dismissal of the appeal, but shall delay processing of the appeal until the required information is provided, and may result in a reduced back pay award pursuant to N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.10(d)4.

Amended by R.1995 d.416, effective August 7, 1995.

See: 27 N.J.R. 1837(b), 27 N.J.R. 2884(b).

In (a), added the provision governing receipt of notice by the employee's attorney or union representative.

Amended by R.1998 d.518, effective November 2, 1998. See: 30 N.J.R. 2325(a), 30 N.J.R. 3935(a).

Added (c) through (e).

## **Case Notes**

Director of county board of social services possessed final authority regarding the board's personnel and discipline decisions, as required for municipal liability under § 1983 based upon former county employee's First Amendment retaliation claims. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983; N.J.Admin. Code tit. 4A, §§ 2-2.8, 2-3.2. Marrero v. Camden County Board of Social Services, 164 F.Supp.2d 455 (D.N.J. 2001).

Administrative code section providing the receipt of Final Notice of Disciplinary Action on a different date by the employee's attorney or union representative shall not affect the appeal period did not conflict with the legislative intent of the Civil Service Act. Mesghali v. Bayside State Prison, 334 N.J.Super 617, 760 A.2d 805 (N.J.Super.A.D. 2000).

Remand to Commission for supplemental hearing. Dept. of Law and Public Safety v. Miller, 115 N.J.Super. 122, 278 A.2d 495 (App.Div. 1971).

Receipt of second copy of final notice of disciplinary action did not extend time for filing appeal. Russ v. Human Services Department, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 647.

Terminated employee did not file an objection to the employer's action in terminating her employment within reasonable period of time. Gibbons v. Vineland Developmental Center, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 491.

Charges against psychiatric hospital worker would be dismissed where alleged victim left the state and could not be located. Godwin v. Marlboro Psychiatric Hosp., 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 96.

# 4A:2-2.9 Board hearings

- (a) Requests for a Board hearing will be reviewed and determined by the Commissioner or Commissioner's designee.
- (b) Major discipline hearings will be heard by the Board or referred to the Office of Administrative Law for hearing before an administrative law judge. See N.J.A.C. 1:1 for OAL hearing procedures.

- 1. Where an employee has pled guilty to or been convicted of a crime or offense which is cause for forfeiture of employment under N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2, but the court has not issued an order of forfeiture, the Board shall not refer the employee's appeal for a hearing regarding the applicability of N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2 nor make a determination on that issue. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.7.
- 2. Where a court has entered an order of forfeiture, and the appointing authority has so notified the employee, but the employee disputes whether an order of forfeiture was actually entered, the Board may make a determination on the issue of whether the order was actually entered. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2.7.
- 3. Notwithstanding (b)1 and 2 above, the Board may determine whether an individual must be discharged from a State or local government position due to a permanent disqualification from public employment based upon the prior conviction of a crime or offense involving or touching on a previously held public office or employment, provided, however, that the Attorney General or county prosecutor has not sought or received a court order waiving the disqualification provision. See N.J.S.A. 2C:51-2(d) and (e).
- (c) The Board may adopt, reject or modify the recommended report and decision of an administrative law judge. Copies of all Board decisions shall be served personally or by regular mail upon the parties.
- (d) The Board may reverse or modify the action of the appointing authority, except that removal shall not be substituted for a lesser penalty.

Amended by R.1995 d.417. effective August 7, 1995. See: 27 N.J.R. 1838(a), 27 N.J.R. 2885(a).

In (a), substituted the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee for the Board as the party that does the review.

Amended by R.2000 d.433, effective October 16, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 2275(a), 32 N.J.R. 3870(a).

In (b), amended the N.J.A.C. reference in the introductory paragraph, and added 1 through 3.

# Case Notes

Civil Service Commission's duty to review findings of administrative law judge prior to acceptance or rejection of judge's recommendations (citing former rule N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.4). In the Matter of Morrison, 216 N.J.Super. 143, 523 A.2d 238 (App.Div.1987).

Removal hearing—employee service record must be in evidence (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.9). In the Matter of Parlow, 192 N.J.Super. 247, 469 A.2d 940 (App.Div.1983).

Entitlement to hearing as matter of fundamental fairness. Cunning-ham v. Dept. of Civil Service, 69 N.J. 13, 350 A.2d 58 (1975).

Receipt of second copy of final notice of disciplinary action did not extend time for filing appeal. Russ v. Human Services Department, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 647.

County sheriff's officer was required by settlement agreement to submit to psychiatric examinations. Petescia v. County of Essex, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 388.

# 4A:2-2.10 Back pay, benefits and seniority

- (a) Where a disciplinary penalty has been reversed, the Board shall award back pay, benefits, seniority or restitution of a fine. Such items may be awarded when a disciplinary penalty is modified.
- (b) Where a municipal police officer has been suspended based on a pending criminal complaint or indictment, following disposition of the charges the officer shall receive back pay, benefits and seniority pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:14-149.1 et seq.
- (c) Where an employee, other than a municipal police officer, has been suspended based on a pending criminal complaint or indictment, following disposition of the charges the employee shall receive back pay, benefits and seniority if the employee is found not guilty at trial, the complaint or indictment is dismissed, or the prosecution is terminated.
  - 1. Such items shall not be awarded when the complaint or indictment is disposed of through Conditional Discharge, N.J.S.A. 2C:36A-1, or Pre-Trial Intervention (PTI), N.J.S.A. 2C:43-12 et seq.
  - 2. Where disciplinary action has been taken following disposition of the complaint or indictment, such items shall not be awarded in case of removal. In case of suspension, where the employee has already been suspended for more than six months pending disposition of the complaint or indictment, the disciplinary suspension shall be applied against the period of indefinite suspension. The employee shall receive back pay for the period of suspension beyond six months, but the appointing authority may for good cause deny back pay for the period beyond the disciplinary suspension up to a maximum of six months.
- (d) Back pay shall include unpaid salary, including regular wages, overlap shift time, increments and across-the-board adjustments. Benefits shall include vacation and sick leave credits and additional amounts expended by the employee to maintain his or her health insurance coverage during the period of improper suspension or removal.
  - 1. Back pay shall not include items such as overtime pay and holiday premium pay.
  - 2. The award of back pay shall be reduced by the amount of taxes, social security payments, dues, pension payments, and any other sums normally withheld.
  - 3. The award of back pay shall be reduced by the amount of money which was actually earned or could have been earned during the separation. If an employee also held other employment at the time of the adverse action, the earnings from such other employment shall not be deducted from the back pay. However, if the employee increased his or her work hours at the other employment during the back pay period, earnings from such additional hours shall be subtracted from the back pay award.

- 4. The award of back pay is subject to reduction by any period of delay of the appeal proceedings caused on behalf of the employee.
- 5. Funds that must be repaid by the employee shall not be considered when calculating back pay.
- (e) Unless otherwise ordered, an award of back pay, benefits and seniority shall be calculated from the effective date of the appointing authority's improper action to the date of the employee's actual reinstatement to the payroll.
- (f) When the Board awards back pay and benefits, determination of the actual amounts shall be settled by the parties whenever possible.
- (g) If settlement on an amount cannot be reached, either party may request, in writing, Board review of the outstanding issue. In a Board review:
  - 1. The appointing authority shall submit information on the salary the employee was earning at the time of the adverse action, plus increments and across-the-board adjustments that the employee would have received during the separation period; and
  - 2. The employee shall submit an affidavit setting forth all income received during the separation.

Amended by R.1992 d.414, effective October 19, 1992. See: 24 N.J.R. 2491(a), 24 N.J.R. 3716(a).

Redesignated part of existing text in (a) to (d); added new (b)-(c); redesignated existing (b)-(d) to (e)-(g).

Amended by R.1997 d.435, effective October 20, 1997.

See: 29 N.J.R. 3102(a), 29 N.J.R. 4455(b).

Inserted new (d)4; and recodified existing (d)4 as (d)5.

## **Case Notes**

On a backpay claim where a State employee has been removed from employment due to his or her own misconduct but is later reinstated, the availability of substitute employment is relevant to the establishment of a failure-to-mitigate defense by the appointing agency, and the employee's failure to seek substitute employment during separation is not a sufficient basis to deny the claim without any consideration of the availability of such employment. O'Lone v. Department of Human Services, 357 N.J. Super. 170, 814 A.2d 665.

Regulation applies in those circumstances where employee has been completely exonerated of the criminal charges, yet there is basis for disciplinary suspension despite employee's exoneration. Walcott v. City of Plainfield, 282 N.J.Super. 121, 659 A.2d 532 (A.D.1995).

Merit System Board's adoption of rules regarding back pay for police officers during periods of nondisciplinary suspension requires public notice of anticipated action. DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police), 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Police officer was not entitled to back pay and benefits during period of nondisciplinary suspension resulting from criminal charges. DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police), 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Merit System Board must exercise power to award back pay for periods of nondisciplinary suspension through rule making. DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police), 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Merit System Board's role in determining whether to award back pay for periods of disciplinary suspension is adjudicatory. DelRossi v. Department of Human Services (Police), 256 N.J.Super. 286, 606 A.2d 1128 (A.D.1992).

Corrections officers who were dismissed for violation of mandatory drug test order were not entitled to award of back pay as remedy for due process violations at pretermination hearings. Caldwell v. New Jersey Dept. of Corrections, 250 N.J.Super. 592, 595 A.2d 1118 (A.D. 1991), certification denied 127 N.J. 555, 606 A.2d 367.

Where discharge of employee was in error, back pay could be awarded (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.5). In the Matter of Williams, 198 N.J.Super. 75, 486 A.2d 858 (App.Div.1984).

Determination of back pay—prior disciplinary record not a consideration (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.17). Steinal v. City of Jersey City, 193 N.J.Super. 629, 475 A.2d 640 (App.Div.1984) affirmed 99 N.J. 1, 489 A.2d 1145 (1985).

Suspended employee not entitled to back pay and benefits for accepting plea agreement. Ward v. Department of Labor, 97 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 180.

Firefighter entitled to back pay for period of suspension while awaiting outcome of criminal indictment. Naro v. Trenton Fire Department, 96 N.J.A.R.2d. (CSV) 234.

Reinstatement of guard at correctional facility was required when he did not intentionally trip or kick inmate. Finley v. Wagner Youth Correctional Facility, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 676.

Agency awarding employee back pay was entitled to offset unemployment benefits as long as state was reimbursed. Bellamy v. Essex County Hospital, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 652.

Public employee was entitled to back pay for period of indefinite suspension that was improper, incorrect and invalid. Gonzalez v. Essex County, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 200.

Medical expenses to be paid after improper reduction in force action. Takakjian v. Fairview Borough Board of Education, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 184.

Employee was entitled to back pay following acquittal. Scouler v. Housing Services and Code Enforcement, City of Camden, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 40.

Employee not entitled to back pay for period of suspension even if she successfully completed intervention program. Amison v. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 568.

Employee was entitled to back pay for period of suspension pending disposition of criminal charges. Kelly v. City of Camden, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 537.

Initial suspension from employment violated due process; later valid removal; no entitlement to back pay. Brantley v. New Jersey State Prison, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 37.

Employee entitled to reinstatement and back pay. N.J.S.A. 11A:1-1 et seq. Holmes v. Essex County, 91 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 65.

Appellant, removed from employment and later reinstated with back pay, denied counsel fees; appellant entitled to award of 30 vacation days (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-5.5). Harrington v. Dep't of Human Services, 11 N.J.A.R. 537 (1989).

Appellant suspended and subsequently removed from title of Senior Systems Analyst reinstated to duties appropriate to his permanent title; appointing authority failed to support charges of falsifying residency address, falsely signing affidavit with intent to defraud county and failing to complete assignments timely and correctly (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-16.14). Valluzzi v. Bergen County, 10 N.J.A.R. 89 (1988), adopted—Merit System Bd., App.Div. A-3269-87, 3/3/88.

# 4A:2-2.11 Interest

- (a) When the Commissioner or Board makes an award of back pay, it may also award interest in the following situations:
  - 1. When an appointing authority has unreasonably delayed compliance with an order of the Commissioner or Board; or
- 2. Where the Board finds sufficient cause based on the particular case.
- (b) Where applicable, interest shall be at the annual rate as set forth in New Jersey court rules, R.4:42-11.