

Office of the County and Municipal Law Revision Commission

Memorandum re: revision of local contracts law

In attempting to revise the contracts law, I have used the designations "A", "B" and "C" for new sections which I submit to the advisory committee for its consideration. I have used the letters "A", "B" and "C" because these sections represent the consolidation of many sections of the law as it presently exists. Consequently, using letters will obviate any confusion. However, in the latter part of this first draft, I suggest certain sections of the present law that should be retained. When I reach this point, I will use the numerical designations of the sections as they presently exist. This procedure I follow, again, to obviate any confusion. Finally, it should be especially noted that this first draft in no way attempts to set down the sections in the order in which they should appear when finally approved for submission to the Legislature. I thought that this could be easily done after the members of the advisory committee had agreed on the concrete sections to be included in the revised law.

In this first revision, the attempt has been made to standardize the procedures as to both county and municipal governments. It was felt, and the advisory committee so agreed, that having different procedures for each governmental unit seemed wasteful and confusing. Consequently, the effort has been made to consolidate those provisions relating to each, so that now one set of rules will govern both. In the consolidation process, the more liberal rule was retained. In short, if, for example, the rule that applied to counties was more liberal than a similar rule that applied to municipalities, the rule

NY
-R 6 2
40117
1953



NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY
3 3009 00109 1364
NEW JERSEY STATE LIBRARY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

that I have used has been the more liberal one. This seemed to me to be the course to follow in the interest of local self-government.

A. Definitions.

Whenever the term "local unit" is used, it means either a county or municipality.

B. Bidding; when required; exceptions.

1. Except as hereinafter provided in this section, a local unit shall not spend any of its funds or give any other thing of value for purchases or pursuant to any contract or agreement unless the same shall have been made or awarded, as the case may be, only after public advertisement for bids therefor, and thereafter to the lowest responsible bidder.

2. Where the amount to be spent by a local unit is \$2,500 or less, the requirements of paragraph 1 hereof may be dispensed with; provided, however, that after a local unit has paid \$2,500 of its funds, in the aggregate, to any one entity for purchases or pursuant to contracts or agreements, it shall make no further payments to such entity, regardless of the amount thereof, unless, after public advertisement for bids, such entity is determined to have been the lowest responsible bidder.

3. When a municipality's governing body declares, by resolution passed by the affirmative vote of 2/3 of all of its members, or when a county's governing body determines, by resolution passed by the affirmative vote of 4/5 of all of its members, that an emergency exists, the nature of which shall be stated in the resolution, and further, that the public interest so requires, such local unit may spend its funds for purchases or pursuant to contracts or agreements without complying with the terms of paragraph 1 hereof, provided the amount to be spent is also

stated in the resolution.

4. A local unit may dispense with the requirements of paragraph 1 hereof when:

a. It enters into any contract or agreement with the United States of America, or with any agent or agency thereof, for the purchase of any real or personal property;

b. It enters into any contract or agreement with an entity for any or all of the following purposes and it shall have been determined by the local unit's governing body that the services to be rendered are of such a special, technical nature that public bidding is not feasible:

(1) the preparation of an approved tax map;

(2) the preparation and execution of a complete program of revaluation of real property;

(3) the preparation of a master plan or plans when required in order to conform to the planning laws of this State.

c. It enters into any contract or agreement for any or all of the following:

(1) for public health service, public health and visiting nurses, medical inspection, public clinics and dispensaries, and investigation in public health work, provided any such contract or agreement for such services shall not exceed 3 years;

(I have taken this from 40:13-4, which also gives the power to enter into such a contract to school districts. I wonder whether or not some reference should be made to school districts here or at some other place in Title 40, or some other title. In any event,

the inclusion of this material here would seem to call for the repeal of 40:13-1, 40:13-2, 40:13-3 and 40:13-4. However, it should be noted that 40:13-2 and 40:13-3 relate to what contracts for public health services may contain. I wonder whether this need be retained at some other place and in the same or similar form. Should not the local unit be left free to contract for this service in any manner it sees fit?)

(2) the hiring of labor or equipment for the removal of snow during emergency caused by storm or for the purchase of fresh vegetables, dairy products, eggs, poultry, live stock and motor vehicles;

(This is substantially all of the material contained in 40:25-4, which may now be repealed. The beginning of that section, namely 40:25-4, I will include in a later paragraph which will be included in this section B. This would relate to work done by a local unit by its own employees.)

(3) whenever, in any local unit, there shall not have been established under such local unit's authority a public pound for the keeping of stray dogs, cats or other domestic pets, and there shall exist in such local unit a pound maintained by any humane society or other similar association not organized for pecuniary profit which shall have continuously maintained such a pound for at least one year, such local unit may contract with such society or association for any period, not exceeding 5 years, for collecting, keeping for redemption and destroying all such stray animals found within such local unit. (This is 40:48-5.1, which may now be repealed.)

5. Paragraph 1 of this section shall not apply when a local unit uses its own employees to perform work, labor or services.

C. Advertisement for bids; procedure.

1. The specifications and advertisements for bids shall be so drawn as to foster the fullest and freest competition possible.

2. The advertisement for bids shall be in a newspaper or newspapers designated by the local unit's governing body from among those having general circulation throughout such local unit and shall be sufficiently in advance of the purchase or contract or agreement to promote the fullest competitive bidding possible under the circumstances.

3. The advertisement shall designate the time and place when and where sealed proposals shall be received and publicly opened and read, the amount of cash or certified check, if any, which must accompany each bid, and such other terms as the local unit may deem necessary and proper.

4. The award shall be made with reasonable promptness by written notice to that responsible bidder whose bid, conforming to the invitation for bids, will be most advantageous to the local unit, price and other factors considered. Any or all bids may be rejected when the local unit determines that it is in the public interest so to do.

(In view of the above, I respectfully suggest that the following sections of the law as it presently stands might well be repealed because their content has already been covered. At least, I throw this out as a suggestion.

The sections are as follows:

40:25-15. Definitions.

40:25-1. Form and execution of contracts. I think this could well be left to the discretion of the local unit.

40:50-6. This has to do with appropriating funds for municipal

contracts. I think that this should not be within the contracts section, but is more properly in the fiscal affairs section of Title 40.

40:50-1 should be deleted. However, it should be noted that the last paragraph thereof should be retained and will be so retained in subsequent sections of my revision.

40:50-4 and 40:25-8 should be deleted because they deal with advertisements for bids, which has already been dealt with in section C of my revision. Incidentally, I have patterned section C after the bid statute, or rather after the advertising statute which applies to the State.

40:50-2, which deals with departmental supplies, advertisements, bids and awards, and 40:25-6, which also deals with contracts for departmental supplies, should be deleted as it seems to me to be covered by section B of the revision. Also, 40:25-6 puts a \$1,000 limitation on bid procedure where the general limitation is \$2,500.

40:9-3, entitled "Separate plans for various types of work, bids, contracts" should be deleted. Again, reference there is to a sum of \$1,000 which conflicts with the \$2,500 section. Additionally, it seems to me that all this material is covered in the general statute requiring bids for work, services, purchases, etc.

40:25-3. This deals with cases of emergency which makes advertisement unnecessary. This can be deleted because it has already been covered in the revision.

40:25-1.1 deals with fuel contracts that a county may enter into, which contracts shall be limited to a period not exceeding 2 years. I wonder what purpose this statute serves and for the present would suggest its deletion. I would assume that the value of the statute lies

in the fact that the county may enter into a 2-year contract. However, I wonder whether this needs to be treated in a separate statute. For the moment then, and until further word from the advisory committee, I would suggest that this section be deleted.

40:25-4. This deals with contracts for the removal of snow, for the purchase of fresh vegetables, motor vehicles, etc. I have already covered this in section B of the revision and, therefore, suggest that this also be repealed.

40:50-5.5. This also deals with contracts for fuel for heating purposes when such contracts are executed by a municipality. This allows the municipality to enter into a contract for fuel for any term exceeding the fiscal year, but not exceeding 1 year. Why there should be this difference between the county and municipality, I frankly don't know. You will recall that the county statute relating to fuel stated that a contract could be entered into by a county whose term did not exceed 2 years. In this section, a municipality is limited to 1 year. Therefore, until further notice, I will suggest that this statute be deleted or repealed and, in any event, if neither of these statutes relating to fuel should be repealed, then at least they should be brought into line so that the same rule applies to both the county and the municipality.

40:50-5.6. This should be repealed because I have already covered snow removal in cases of emergency, etc. in subsection B of the revision.

40:50-13. Should not, in my judgment, be part of the contract section. If my recollection serves me correctly, this is already part of the bond law and I think that it should remain where it is.

What has been stated with regard to 40:50-13 would also apply to 40:50-5.4.

40:25-21. This should be deleted as the matter has already been covered in section B of my proposed revision.

40:50-7. This provides for the establishment of a purchasing department. I submit that this should be repealed also as I think that a local unit has inherent power to do this if it chooses.

40:25-9, which deals with cash or a certified check which must accompany a bid, should be deleted as already covered. It should be noted, however, that 40:25-9 provides that the amount to be deposited with a bid shall be at least 10% of the bid, but in no case shall it exceed \$20,000. I wonder whether this limitation should be carried over into the new law. It would seem that the matter of the deposit should be left to the discretion of the local unit's governing body. At any rate, I have so provided in section C.

40:25-10. This covers only a county and decrees the period within which the board of chosen freeholders must make an award to the lowest responsible bidder. This should be repealed. Subsection C provides that a bid must be accepted within a reasonable time. Such a rule would apply to both counties and municipalities. This approach was suggested by the procedure which applies to the State of New Jersey as to bidding procedure, opening bids, awards to lowest responsible bidder, etc. It would seem that a 30-day limitation serves no useful purpose as presently provided for in 40:25-10.

40:25-7. I suggest this be repealed also as the board of chosen freeholders in the final analysis must determine and approve purchases, bids, etc.

40:50-5.1. Should be repealed. This matter has already been covered in section B of the revision.

40:50-5.2, which also deals with contracts with the United States and funds with which to pay for the same, I feel should be repealed. Municipalities are given the power generally to provide for emergency appropriations so why provide for it again in 40:50-5.2.

40:50-5.3 should also be deleted as I think it serves no purpose whatsoever. Certainly, the municipality, or the local unit as principal, can designate any one of its agents to do what it, itself, can do and, certainly, the statute says that a local unit can contract with the United States.

40:25-4.1 and 40:25-4.2 should be repealed for the same reasons I have suggested for the repeal of 40:50-5.1 and 40:50-5.2.

40:50-5.9 should be deleted as I have compressed this material into section B of the revision.

40:50-10. I suggest that this also be repealed. Why is it necessary that a local unit be given the power to contract for something for which there is no appropriation. It would seem that a contract of this kind is not of an emergent nature and, consequently, the local unit should be required to follow normal procedure.

40:50-11 should be deleted as this matter has already been covered in section B.

40:50-12. Again, I suggest this be repealed as a municipality already has power to make emergency appropriations.

40:25-4.3. This, again, should be repealed as superfluous. The county, as principal, certainly as a matter of law can delegate authority to enter bids on behalf of the county with the United States without the necessity of specific statute so stating.

I shall now consider the sections that I submit should be incorporated in the revision of the contracts law and which were saved from those sections whose repeal I suggested. In my detailing of these remaining sections, I will use the section designations as they presently appear in the contracts law for ease of reference. These sections will remain substantially as they presently are with just minor changes. Thus, using the same section designations will make it easier for everyone to follow. There now follows a list of those sections which I suggest be retained.

40:25-1. Form and execution of contracts.

The governing body of any local unit may prescribe the manner in which all contracts for performing work or furnishing materials for the local unit shall be made and executed, and the form and manner of execution and approval of all guarantee, indemnity, fidelity and other bonds given to the local unit.

40:25-5. Election law and county clerk unaffected.

Nothing in this chapter shall in anywise affect, modify or amend any of the provisions of Title 19, Elections, or in anywise affect, restrict or abridge the powers and authority of the county clerk thereunder.

40:83-2. No officer or employee to be interested in contracts.

No officer or employee elected or appointed in any local unit shall be interested, directly or indirectly, in any contract or job for work or materials, or the profits thereof or services to be furnished or performed for the municipality, and no such officer or employee shall be interested, directly or indirectly, in any contract or job for work or materials or the profit thereof, or services to be furnished or performed for any person operating any interurban railway, street railway, gas

works, waterworks, electric light or power plant, heating plant, telegraph line, telephone exchange, or other public utility within the territorial limits of such local unit.

40:50-1.

Any person authorizing, consenting to, making or procuring to be made any contract or agreement in violation of any of the provisions of this chapter, or making or procuring to be made any payment for or on account of any contract or agreement made or entered into in violation of any of the provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

40:50-5 and 40:25-16 are to be retained. However, I have consolidated both sections and now it reads as follows:

Standard questionnaire; rejection of answers if unsatisfactory; officer rejecting not liable.

The board, body, department or officer of any local unit charged with the duty of executing contracts for public work may require from any person proposing to bid thereon a statement under oath showing his financial ability, adequacy of plant and equipment and prior experience in performing such work before furnishing him with the plans and specifications therefor, and if not satisfied with the sufficiency of the said statement may refuse to furnish such plans and specifications to him. Any such board, body or department may adopt a standard form of statement or questionnaire for bidders on public work standardized for like classes of work.

No action for damages shall lie against any officer, board or other body or department of any local unit for refusal to furnish plans and specifications on public work duly advertised.

40:25-17. Classification of bidders; notice.

A local unit may classify prospective bidders as to the character and amount of public work on which they shall be qualified to submit bids, and bids shall be accepted only from persons qualified in accordance with this classification. The classification shall be made, and an immediate notice thereof shall be sent to the prospective bidders by registered mail within 8 days after the date of receipt of the statement in response to the questionnaire.

40:25-18. Reclassification of bidders; request for; time limit.

If any person, after being notified of his classification by any local unit, shall be dissatisfied therewith or with the classification of other bidders, he may request, in writing, a hearing before such local unit, and may present such further evidence with respect to the financial responsibility, organization, plant and equipment, or experience of himself or other bidders as might tend to justify a different classification.

Where a request is made for the change of classification of another bidder, the applicant shall notify such bidder by registered mail of the time and place of hearing, and at the hearing shall present to the local unit satisfactory evidence that the notice was served as herein required before any matters pertaining to a change of classification of such bidder shall be taken up by the local unit. After hearing the additional evidence, the local unit may, in its discretion, by appropriate ruling, change or retain the classification of any bidder.

No change in classification to be effective for any public work, the letting of which has been duly advertised, shall be made unless the written request therefor shall have been received at least 20 days before

the final day for submission of bids.

All requests for change in classification, and notice of any action sent by registered mail to the parties directly affected thereby, shall be acted upon by the county official concerned at least 8 days prior to the date fixed for the next opening of bids on any contract or contracts for which such persons might be qualified to bid as a result of the re-classification.

40:25-19. Board of review.

There is hereby established a board of review upon classification and reclassification of bidders. This board shall consist of a county official, designated by the county governing body, a municipal official, designated by the municipal government concerned, and a private citizen, to be designated by the Superior Court Assignment Judge of the county wherein such local unit functions. The county clerk shall be the secretary of the board of review and shall keep a complete record of proceedings and decisions before it. The members of the board shall serve without compensation.

(You will note that I have revised this section substantially. I have deleted the provision which requires a Judge of the County Court to be on the board of review. This seemed to me to be a violation of the doctrine of separation of powers.)

40:25-20. Reconsideration by board of review; request for; time limit.

If any prospective bidder is dissatisfied with the further ruling of any county official, or with his original classification, if notice of such classification shall have been sent to him not less than 8 nor

more than 20 days prior to the letting of a contract or contracts for which he might be qualified to bid as reclassified, he may, upon receipt of notice of the ruling or classification, request in writing a hearing of the matter before the board of review. The request shall be filed with the awarding official and the secretary of the board.

The board shall hold a hearing at which the prospective bidder shall be entitled to be heard and to submit any additional information to the board upon the matters and subjects covered by the questionnaire.

The board shall review the responsibility of all prospective bidders who have filed statements, considering both the statement and any additional information given at the hearing, and shall certify to the county official concerned its decision changing or retaining the classification made by the awarding official. The decision of a majority of the board shall be the decision of the board.

Requests under this section may only be made after hearing as provided in section 40:25-18 of this Title before the county official concerned, except as hereinbefore expressly provided.

In order for any change in classification by the board to be effective for public work already advertised, the request must be filed not less than 5 days prior to the final day for submission of bids, and the board shall hold a hearing and act upon the request not less than 2 days prior to the date fixed for the next opening of bids on any contract or contracts for which such persons might be qualified to bid as a result of the reclassification.

40:25-24. Rules and regulations affecting qualifications of bidders; effective on publication.

The governing body of any local unit may establish such reasonable rules and regulations as may be deemed appropriate for controlling the qualifications of prospective bidders, which regulations may fix the qualification requirements for bidders according to available capital and equipment, and with due regard to experience and records of past performance, but in no case shall the qualification rating of any bidder be influenced by his nationality or place of residence.

No regulations propounded by any local unit for controlling the qualifications of bidders shall become effective until at least 30 days after they shall have been formally adopted and published in not less than 2 newspapers printed and circulating in the county.

40:25-11. Certificate of bidder showing ability to perform contract.

Any local unit may require from any bidder submitting a bid on public work duly advertised, if need be, in accordance with this chapter, a certificate showing that he owns or controls all the necessary equipment required by the plans, specifications and advertisement under which bids were asked for.

40:25-12. Certificate from owner of equipment.

If the bidder is not the actual owner of the equipment required, his certificate shall state the source from which the equipment will be obtained, and shall be accompanied by a certificate from the owner of the equipment definitely granting to the bidder the control of the equipment required during such time as it may be necessary for the completion of the contract.

40:25-13. Guarantee of surety company; consent.

Where a surety company bond is required in the advertisement or specifications for public works, a local unit shall require from any

bidder submitting a bid in accordance with plans, specifications and advertisements, a certificate from a surety company stating that it will provide the contractor with a bond in such sum as is required in the advertisement or in the specifications.

This consent shall be obtained both for a bond for the faithful performance of all provisions of the specifications, or for all matters which may be contained in the notice to bidders, relating to the construction of the work, and if any be required, for a guarantee bond for the faithful performance of the contract provisions relating to the repair and maintenance of the work, and its appurtenances, and keeping the same in good and serviceable condition during the term of the bond as provided for in the notice to bidders or in the specifications, or in such other form as may be provided in the notice to bidders or in the specifications.

40:25-14. Individual bond; rejection of bid.

If a bidder desires to offer the bond of an individual instead of that of a surety company, he shall submit with his bid a statement and consent, signed by such individual similar to that required of a surety company.

A local unit may reject any bid if it is not satisfied with the sufficiency of the individual surety offer.

40:25-23. Forfeiture of deposit in certain cases.

The awarding officials of any local unit shall cause the forfeiture as liquidated damages of any certified check or checks or certificate or certificates of deposit deposited by any person who makes or causes to be made any false, deceptive or fraudulent statement in the questionnaire provided for in this chapter, or makes any such statement in the course of a hearing, also provided for under this chapter.

40:25-22. Penalties for false statements.

Any person who makes or causes to be made **any false**, deceptive or fraudulent statement in a questionnaire required to be submitted, or in the course of any hearing provided for under this chapter, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction shall be sentenced to pay a fine of not less than \$100.00 nor more than \$1,000, and shall be permanently disqualified from bidding on all public work of the county wherein the questionnaire is submitted; or, in the case of an individual or the officer or employee charged with the duty of making the questionnaire for a person, firm, copartnership, association or corporation, to pay such fine or undergo imprisonment, not exceeding 6 months, or both.

40:25-25. Liability of county officials.

(On first consideration, I thought this section should be retained. However, now, I see no reason for retaining it and suggest that it be repealed. In my combination of sections 40:50-5 and 40:25-16, I have retained the paragraph which states in effect that no action for damages shall lie against the members of the governing body of any local unit for their refusal to furnish plans and specifications for public work. It seems to me that that is sufficient protection.)

40:25-26. Provision for deduction of inspectors' wages; time limit for completing work.

Every contract executed by any local unit for any work requiring inspection shall contain a provision for deduction from the contract price of wages to be paid by the local unit to any inspector or inspectors necessarily employed on such work for any number of days in excess of the number stated in the specifications as the number of working days to be allowed for the completion of the work.

All specifications for such work shall fix the date on or before which the same shall be completed, or such specifications shall fix the number of working days to be allowed for the completion thereof.

40:50-3. Improvement contracts; specifications; working days; penalty clause.

(Upon reconsideration, this section should also be repealed because the material is now covered by section 40:25-26.)

40:50-8. Indemnity agreements; federal projects for benefit of municipality.

Any local unit may execute an agreement with the United States indemnifying the latter or any board, body, officer or agency thereof from loss or damage to the property of others resulting from the prosecution of navigation, flood control or beach erosion projects undertaken or to be undertaken by the United States for the benefit of such local unit where the cost or any part thereof is to be paid out of Federal funds.

Finally, I think 40:50-14 and 40:50-15 should remain where they are and not be included in the basic contracts section.

In view of the first draft as outlined above, I respectfully suggest that the advisory committee also consider the advisability of repealing the following statutes:

40:9-3

40:10-8

40:14-6.

40:23-27 must be amended to increase the \$1,000 limitation to \$2,500. This will bring it in line with the general statute requiring bids. Additionally, the reference therein to 40:25-8 should be deleted, because 40:25-8, according to the first draft at any rate, should be repealed.

40:25-2. This should be repealed as the material has already been covered in the draft.

40:25-6. This material has already been covered.

40:48-5, 40:48-5.1 and 40:48-5.2. It seems to me that 40:48-5 is not necessary. 40:48-5.1 has already been covered and 40:48-5.2 also seems unnecessary because a municipality can contract with any person to have work done. This would include contracts with railroads to eliminate grade crossings.

40:50-1 should be repealed as the matter is now covered in a new section.

40:50-2

40:56-11.

Sections 40:60-49, 40:61-22.16 and 40:61-22.3 certainly should be reviewed. Each requires bid procedures to be followed if the various functions provided for in such statutes are carried out. Perhaps they should be repealed or, at any rate as I said, they should be looked at to see whether or not revision is needed.

40:62-63. This should be revised to make the \$1,000 limitation \$2,500. Also the reference to 40:50-1 must be changed, I presume, when and if we agree on a new draft or a new contract law.

40:62-136. This statute should be amended to provide for \$2,500 instead of \$1,000 as it now appears.

40:63-44, 40:63-45, 40:63-46, 40:63-47. I suggest these statutes be reviewed. They deal with garbage collection, etc. and provide for the obtaining of bids. We should see whether or not these sections are consistent with the final draft as it will be submitted to the Legislature

40:63-64. This section should also be reviewed to see whether or not it should be changed, amended or deleted.

40:63-94, 40:63-95 should be reviewed. It might be noted, additionally, that 40:63-95 has a \$500.00 limitation before bids are necessary. Certainly, it would seem that this \$500.00 should be raised to \$2,500 to bring it in line with the general bidding statute if, of course, it is determined that these sections should be retained.

40:65-11 should also be reviewed. It provides for sidewalk repairs and contracts entered into for that purpose.

40:66-4. This should also be reviewed and the \$1,000 limitation in the section changed to \$2,500. The latter should be done in any event if it is determined that the section should be retained.

40:66-6. We should review this section to determine whether it should stay where it is or be included in the contracts section or be retained at all.

40:67-41. This should be reviewed to see whether or not it should be retained as is. Perhaps the admonition that bids must be advertised for could be deleted because in the absence of a specific direction that bids need not be obtained, bids would have to be obtained in any case.

40:68-2. What I just said with regard to 40:67-41 would seem to apply here. The statute provides that bids must be obtained. Should not this be deleted. In short, the point I make is, that in the absence of a specific provision excepting bids, bids would have to be obtained in every case.

40:60-25.3. The material contained in this section should have been included in that portion of my revised section dealing with exceptions from bid procedures, because this section provides that a

municipality may light and improve public parking lots without the necessity of bids. Perhaps, we should include this, as I say, among the exceptions to bid procedures.

40:128-1 should, perhaps, be repealed.

40:177-1. This should be deleted or repealed. At any rate, the \$1,000 limitation should be raised to \$2,500 if it is decided to retain this section.

40:179-10. Again, this section, in my judgment, should be repealed, but, if retained, the \$500.00 limitation should be raised to \$2,500.

40:179-48. This section, if retained, would require the raising of the \$2,000 limitation to \$2,500. What I have said as to 40:175-48 would also apply to 40:179-59.

18:14-11 and 18:14-12. Should not these sections also be repealed.

18:6-47 and 18:15-53. These sections should be reviewed in light of the general provision providing that bids need not be obtained where the cost is under \$2,500. These sections provide for various limitations from \$100.00 to \$500.00.

I also suggest that the following sections be reviewed in light of our revision job:

18:15-74

18:6-25

18:7-64

18:7-65

18:11-10

18:16-3

30:9-11

30:9-23

27:16-74

52:34-7

52:32-2

58:14-22.