

## How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve


### Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

### **Other Resources:**

- · Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Passaic
District	Pompton Lakes School District
Principal Name	Mr. Jake Herninko
Address	316 LAKESIDE AVENUE POMPTON LAKES, NJ 07442-1299
Phone Number	973-835-7100
Email Address	jake.herninko@plps.org
Website	https://www.plps-k12.org/Domain/9



Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

### Enrollment Trends by Grade

### Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

This table shows the percentage of students by student
group for the past three school years.

Enroliment by	Racial and	Ethnic Group

tage of students by studentThis table shows the percentage of students by racial and<br/>ethnic group for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
6	95	144	114
7	137	95	147
8	123	144	101
Total	355	383	363

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	51.0%	48.6%	52.6%
Male	49.0%	51.4%	47.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	24.5%	26.1%	23.1%
Students with Disabilities	20.0%	17.5%	19.8%
English Learners	1.1%	1.6%	1.9%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	2.0%	1.8%	0.8%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	73.0%	70.8%	67.8%
Hispanic	16.9%	19.1%	22.6%
Black or African American	3.1%	3.7%	3.0%
Asian	6.2%	5.7%	5.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### Enrollment by Home Language


This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	82.4%
Spanish	10.5%
Albanian	5.5%
Arabic	1.4%
Other Languages	0.3%



### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	49	58.5	62	42	33.5	49
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met Standard	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Not Met	Met Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

## Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	62	61	50	Exceeds Standard	49	52	50	Met Standard
White	62	62	50	Exceeds Standard	48.5	51	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	54	57	49	Met Standard	49.5	51	47	Met Standard
Black or African American	69.5	76	45	**	65	51	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	63.5	62	59	Exceeds Standard	73	74.5	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	56	**	N	*	51.5	**
Two or More Races	N	N	49	**	N	Ν	52	**
Female	67	63	53	Ν	56	50	50	Ν
Male	55	59.5	47	N	46.5	53	51	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	67.5	66	48	Exceeds Standard	56.5	56	46	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	56	55	43	Met Standard	39	50	45	Not Met
English Learners	73	71	52	**	59	56.5	50	**
Homeless Students	N	*	43	N	N	*	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	*	42	N	N	*	44	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	49	N	N	Ν	51	N
Migrant Students	Ν	*	47	Ν	Ν	*	51	Ν



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

## Student Growth by Performance Level


ELA

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.

### Student Growth by Grade




These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.






#### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	98.3%	96.6%	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%	97.0%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	68.4%	77.1%	78.8%	44.3%	53.3%	54.4%
Annual Target	68.1%	68.8%	69.4%	44.6%	46.4%	48.3%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	353	97.5	78.8	71.9	57.9	78.8	69.4	Met Target
White	242	97.6	81.0	75.3	66.9	81.0	69.5	Met Goal
Hispanic	75	97.5	68.0	59.3	43.9	68.0	60.5	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	62.5	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	23	95.8	95.7	*	82.9	95.7	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	64.4	N	**	**
Female	191	99.5	82.7	77.2	64.8	82.7		
Male	162	95.3	74.1	66.6	51.3	74.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	80	97.6	71.3	64.9	40.0	71.3	59.4	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	273	97.5	81.0	73.8	67.9	81.0		
Students with Disabilities	68	94.5	36.8	31.6	22.7	36.5	31.5	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	285	98.3	88.8	82.7	65.1	88.8		
English Learners	21	100.0	52.4	*	29.3	52.4	45.2	Met Target
Non-English Learners	332	97.4	80.4	*	60.6	80.4		
Homeless Students	N	N	Ν	*	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	*	30.4	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



## English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





## English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	113	772	772	754	*	*	15%	43%	33%	76%	56%
White	80	778	778	762	*	*	15%	40%	40%	80%	65%
Hispanic	29	756	756	743	*	*	*	*	*	62%	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Female	66	775	775	762	*	*	*	42%	36%	79%	64%
Male	47	768	768	748	*	*	*	45%	28%	72%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	23	763	763	740	*	*	*	*	*	74%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	90	775	775	763	*	*	*	*	*	77%	67%
Students with Disabilities	28	734	734	722	*	*	*	*	*	36%	19%
Students without Disabilities	85	785	785	761	*	*	*	*	*	89%	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	145	784	784	761	*	*	10%	30%	52%	82%	63%
White	91	786	786	769	*	*	*	30%	54%	84%	72%
Hispanic	33	774	774	747	*	*	*	39%	36%	76%	50%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	68%
Female	69	793	793	769	*	*	*	25%	61%	86%	71%
Male	76	775	775	753	*	*	*	36%	43%	79%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	770	770	743	*	*	*	41%	29%	71%	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	111	788	788	771	*	*	*	27%	59%	86%	73%
Students with Disabilities	23	738	738	720	*	*	*	*	*	35%	22%
Students without Disabilities	122	792	792	769	*	*	*	*	*	91%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	Ν	729	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	95	783	783	762	*	*	12%	38%	41%	79%	63%
White	71	786	786	770	*	*	*	34%	46%	80%	72%
Hispanic	13	762	762	747	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	794	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	769	N	N	N	N	N	N	69%
Female	55	796	796	771	*	*	*	38%	51%	89%	71%
Male	40	765	765	753	*	*	*	38%	28%	65%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	23	779	779	743	*	*	*	*	*	74%	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	72	784	784	772	*	*	*	*	*	81%	72%
Students with Disabilities	14	743	743	721	*	*	*	*	*	50%	22%
Students without Disabilities	81	790	790	770	*	*	*	*	*	84%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%



### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	353	97.0	54.4	52.8	44.5	54.4	48.3	Met Target
White	241	97.2	57.7	55.8	54.1	57.7	48.8	Met Target
Hispanic	77	97.6	33.8	38.4	28.8	33.8	38.9	Met Target†
Black or African American	12	100.0	66.7	46.9	23.0	66.7	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	23	95.8	82.6	*	76.5	82.6	72.7	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	*	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	53.3	N	**	**
Female	191	99.0	51.3	50.0	44.9	51.3		
Male	162	94.8	58.0	55.7	44.2	57.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	80	97.6	42.5	48.0	26.3	42.5	41.8	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	273	96.9	57.9	54.1	54.9	57.9		
Students with Disabilities	67	93.2	14.9	*	17.4	14.6	20.1	Met Targett
Students without Disabilities	286	98.0	63.6	*	50.0	63.6		
English Learners	23	100.0	34.8	*	25.0	34.8	24.6	Met Target
Non-English Learners	330	96.8	55.8	*	46.5	55.8		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	Ν	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	*	23.3	Ν		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	114	746	746	741	*	18%	25%	*	*	52%	41%
White	80	753	753	749	*	15%	21%	*	*	61%	51%
Hispanic	30	729	729	729	*	*	*	*	*	27%	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	738	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	37%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Female	67	743	743	742	*	*	27%	*	*	48%	42%
Male	47	751	751	740	*	*	21%	*	*	57%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	23	733	733	726	*	*	*	*	*	43%	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	91	749	749	750	*	*	*	*	*	54%	53%
Students with Disabilities	28	716	716	716	*	*	*	*	*	14%	12%
Students without Disabilities	86	756	756	746	*	*	*	*	*	64%	46%
English Learners	*	*	*	709	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	717	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	Ν	742	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	20%



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	144	751	751	744	*	12%	26%	*	*	57%	42%
White	90	750	750	751	*	11%	23%	*	*	58%	53%
Hispanic	33	747	747	733	0%	*	39%	*	*	42%	26%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	69	750	750	744	*	*	32%	*	*	51%	42%
Male	75	752	752	743	*	*	20%	*	*	63%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	743	743	731	*	*	38%	*	*	41%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	110	754	754	751	*	*	22%	*	*	62%	53%
Students with Disabilities	22	715	715	718	*	*	*	*	*	18%	13%
Students without Disabilities	122	758	758	749	*	*	*	*	*	64%	48%
English Learners	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	734	734	728	*	*	39%	29%	0%	29%	29%
White	36	731	731	737	*	33%	39%	*	*	22%	38%
Hispanic	13	728	728	722	*	*	*	*	*	15%	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	714	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Female	29	738	738	731	*	*	38%	*	*	31%	31%
Male	27	731	731	726	*	*	41%	*	*	26%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	729	729	719	*	*	*	*	*	13%	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	41	736	736	735	*	*	*	*	*	34%	36%
Students with Disabilities	14	730	730	707	*	*	*	*	*	14%	10%
Students without Disabilities	42	736	736	734	*	*	*	*	*	33%	35%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	770	747	744	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	42%
White	35	770	751	752	0%	0%	*	*	*	86%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	Ν	N	741	725	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	752	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	51%
Female	25	774	751	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	44%
Male	15	764	744	743	0%	0%	*	*	*	87%	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	N	719	717	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	12%
Students without Disabilities	40	770	755	748	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	47%
English Learners	Ν	N	709	710	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	*
Non-English Learners	40	770	749	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	*	718	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	14%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	717	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	11%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	707	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	12%



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	N	N	749	737	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	35%
White	N	N	748	743	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	43%
Hispanic	N	N	743	724	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	17%
Black or African American	N	N	*	720	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	14%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	*	762	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	*	736	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	37%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	745	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	46%
Female	N	N	749	738	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	36%
Male	N	N	749	736	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	34%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	753	722	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	16%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	748	743	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	43%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	*	712	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	*
Students without Disabilities	N	N	*	741	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	*
English Learners	N	Ν	N	708	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	*
Non-English Learners	N	N	749	738	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	713	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	*	739	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	711	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	19%

Climate and Environment

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

\*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table



Demographic

Overview

Lakeside School (31-4230-055) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
6	*	*
7	*	*
8	*	*

#### English Language Progress to Proficiency

**N** No Data is available to display

**Report Key:** 

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation

Staff


#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in Dis	trict	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2		*	*	*
3-4		*	*	*
5 or more	•	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the <u>NJSLA-Science website</u> for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the <u>assessment reports page</u> for DLM results.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

## NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	35	53	11	1
White	29	55	14	1
Hispanic	60	40	0	0
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	27	60	12	2
Male	45	45	10	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	48	52	0	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	32	53	14	1
Students with Disabilities	64	36	0	0
Students without Disabilities	30	56	13	1
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*
Migrant Students	N	Ν	Ν	N



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

### Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	117
7	0	0	149
8	41	0	63
Total	41	0	329

## World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	1	107	0	0	0	0	0
7	144	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	72	22	0	0	0	0	0
Total	217	129	0	0	0	0	0




## Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

**Days Absent** 

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	17	4.7	9.1	Met
White	12	4.9	9.1	Met
Hispanic	5	6.3	9.1	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	0	0	9.1	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	9	4.8		
Male	8	4.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	6	7.1	9.1	Met
Students with Disabilities	7	10.3	9.1	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under *ESSA*, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance webpage</u>. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	1
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	2
Total Unique Incidents	4
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.10

## Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	2	2
Religion	0	1	1
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	0		0

### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	1

### **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	Schoo due to
In-School Suspensions	10	2.8%	S
Out-of-School Suspensions	10	2.8%	
Any Suspension	12	3.3%	
Removal to other education program	*	*	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

47



### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	8:10 AM		
Typical End Time	2:55 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 45 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 34 Mins		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 34 Mins.		

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the <u>percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field</u> is also available by school and district.

## Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

## Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	33	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	13.8	12.1
Average years experience in district	11.5	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	75.0%	75.3%

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	17	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	21.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	17.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	94.1%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	11:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	182:1	99:1
Teachers to Administrators	17:1	9:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		559:1
Students to Nurses		419:1
Students to Counselors		280:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		280:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	52.6%	78.8%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	47.4%	21.2%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	67.8%	97.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	22.6%	3.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State	
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	92.6%	90.5%	
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	82.4%	87.9%	

### **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present		
2018-19	97.4%		

## Bachelor's Degree



## Master's Degree



## **Doctoral Degree**

Teacher0%Admin0%



## Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> <u>Reports (AMRs)</u>.

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### **ESSA** Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the <u>NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage</u> includes a <u>list of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted</u> <u>support</u> and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on <u>exit criteria</u> for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	68.4%	77.1%	78.8%
Math Proficiency	44.3%	53.3%	54.4%
ELA Growth	49	58	62
Math Growth	42	34	49
4-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	Ν
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	3.1%	3.1%	4.7%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Goal	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Standard	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

No narrative was submitted.



How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve


#### Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

#### **Other Resources:**

- · Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- · Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



973-835-7100

helen.tardif@plps.org https://www.plps-k12.org/Domain/11

Address Phone Number

Email Address

Website



Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

#### Enrollment Trends by Grade

#### Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

#### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group This table shows the percentage of students by racial and

ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group 2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 White 63.8% 67.0% 61.9% Hispanic 25.8% 24.3% 28.9% Black or African American 2.8% 1.5% 1.7% 6.5% 6.3% 6.4% Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0.6% 0.3% 0.6% American Indian or Alaska Native 0.3% 0.6% 0.6% 0.3% 0.0% 0.0% Two or More Races

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
KG	59	55	50
1	53	59	65
2	60	49	61
3	55	64	52
4	49	59	63
5	80	47	66
Total	356	333	357

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	50.6%	57.4%	53.2%
Male	49.4%	42.6%	46.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	25.6%	25.8%	25.2%
Students with Disabilities	18.3%	18.0%	19.3%
English Learners	6.5%	4.5%	3.6%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Students in Foster Care	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Migrant Students	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%

#### Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
KG - Half Day	0	0	0	
KG - Full Day	59	55	50	

#### Enrollment by Home Language


This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	83.2%
Spanish	14.3%
Albanian	1.1%
Other Languages	1.4%



#### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.



Performance Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	ELA	ELA	ELA	Math	Math	Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	63	67.5	53	68	46	57
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Exceeds	Exceeds	Met	Exceeds	Met	Met
	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

#### Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	53	61	50	Met Standard	57	52	50	Met Standard
White	51.5	62	50	Met Standard	57	51	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	56.5	57	49	Met Standard	52.5	51	47	Met Standard
Black or African American	*	76	45	**	*	51	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	59	62	59	**	87	74.5	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	56	**	*	*	51.5	**
Two or More Races	N	N	49	**	N	Ν	52	**
Female	45	63	53	N	52	50	50	Ν
Male	58.5	59.5	47	N	60	53	51	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	62	66	48	Exceeds Standard	63	56	46	Exceeds Standard
Students with Disabilities	51	55	43	Met Standard	67	50	45	Exceeds Standard
English Learners	63	71	52	**	59	56.5	50	**
Homeless Students	*	*	43	N	*	*	44	Ν
Students in Foster Care	*	*	42	N	*	*	44	Ν
Military-Connected Students	N	*	49	N	N	Ν	51	Ν
Migrant Students	Ν	*	47	Ν	Ν	*	51	N



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


#### Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.

#### Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.









#### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	97.8%	100.0%	99.5%	97.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	66.7%	57.2%	64.0%	60.8%	49.7%	54.3%
Annual Target	61.3%	62.3%	63.3%	63.3%	64.2%	65.0%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	175	99.5	64.0	71.9	57.9	64.0	63.3	Met Target
White	111	100.0	70.3	75.3	66.9	70.3	72.5	Met Target†
Hispanic	45	98.1	46.7	59.3	43.9	46.7	43.3	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	62.5	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	15	100.0	73.3	*	82.9	73.3	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	64.4	N	**	**
Female	88	98.9	67.0	77.2	64.8	67.0		
Male	87	100.0	60.9	66.6	51.3	60.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	43	97.9	55.8	64.9	40.0	55.8	51.5	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	132	100.0	66.7	73.8	67.9	66.7		
Students with Disabilities	38	100.0	28.9	31.6	22.7	28.9	41.8	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	137	99.3	73.7	82.7	65.1	73.7		
English Learners	21	100.0	42.9	*	29.3	42.9	47.6	Met Target†
Non-English Learners	154	99.4	66.9	*	60.6	66.9		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	27.6	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	*	30.4	Ν		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





#### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	51	762	756	748	*	*	20%	*	*	65%	50%
White	36	765	759	757	*	*	*	*	*	72%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Female	30	768	768	753	*	*	*	*	*	70%	55%
Male	21	752	743	743	*	*	*	*	*	57%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	752	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	50%	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	37	766	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	70%	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	64	758	762	755	*	*	23%	*	*	64%	57%
White	40	760	762	763	*	*	25%	*	*	65%	67%
Hispanic	18	756	757	743	*	*	*	*	*	61%	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Female	33	764	767	760	*	*	*	*	*	67%	62%
Male	31	751	758	750	*	*	*	*	*	61%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	754	755	740	*	*	*	*	*	54%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	51	759	764	765	*	*	*	*	*	67%	69%
Students with Disabilities	16	730	735	725	*	*	*	*	*	31%	25%
Students without Disabilities	48	767	771	761	*	*	*	*	*	75%	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	*	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



### English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	66	759	766	756	*	*	23%	*	*	64%	58%
White	35	762	769	764	*	*	*	*	*	74%	68%
Hispanic	20	752	760	743	0%	*	*	*	*	45%	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	762	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Female	30	757	764	761	*	*	*	*	*	63%	64%
Male	36	762	767	750	*	*	*	*	*	64%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	760	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	58%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	47	759	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	66%	69%
Students with Disabilities	14	735	735	724	*	*	*	*	*	29%	23%
Students without Disabilities	52	766	775	762	*	*	*	*	*	73%	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	175	99.5	54.3	52.8	44.5	54.3	65	Not Met
White	111	100.0	61.3	55.8	54.1	61.3	68.4	Met Target†
Hispanic	45	98.1	35.6	38.4	28.8	35.6	51.3	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	*	46.9	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	15	100.0	73.3	*	76.5	73.3	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	53.3	N	**	**
Female	88	98.9	50.0	50.0	44.9	50.0		
Male	87	100.0	58.6	55.7	44.2	58.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	43	97.9	39.5	48.0	26.3	39.5	50.7	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	132	100.0	59.1	54.1	54.9	59.1		
Students with Disabilities	38	100.0	28.9	*	17.4	28.9	29.9	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	137	99.3	61.3	*	50.0	61.3		
English Learners	21	100.0	38.1	*	25.0	38.1	48	Met Target†
Non-English Learners	154	99.4	56.5	*	46.5	56.5		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	*	23.3	Ν		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	51	754	756	752	*	*	27%	*	*	57%	55%
White	36	754	758	760	*	*	28%	*	*	58%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	N	N	Ν	735	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	749	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	62%
Female	30	755	760	751	*	*	*	*	*	57%	54%
Male	21	752	752	752	*	*	*	*	*	57%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	750	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	43%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	37	755	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	62%	67%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	724	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	Ν	754	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	64	751	753	749	*	*	22%	*	*	58%	51%
White	40	754	756	757	*	*	*	*	*	68%	62%
Hispanic	18	740	742	737	*	*	*	*	*	39%	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Female	33	750	748	749	*	*	*	*	*	58%	50%
Male	31	752	758	749	*	*	*	*	*	58%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	741	745	734	*	*	*	*	*	38%	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	51	753	756	759	*	*	*	*	*	63%	63%
Students with Disabilities	16	732	731	726	*	*	*	*	*	38%	25%
Students without Disabilities	48	757	762	754	*	*	*	*	*	65%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	*	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



#### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	66	750	754	747	*	*	41%	*	*	45%	47%
White	35	755	760	755	*	*	34%	*	*	57%	58%
Hispanic	20	737	743	735	*	*	55%	*	*	20%	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	757	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Female	30	741	746	747	*	*	47%	*	*	30%	47%
Male	36	757	761	747	*	*	36%	*	*	58%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	744	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	37%	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	47	752	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	49%	59%
Students with Disabilities	14	730	731	725	*	*	*	*	*	14%	19%
Students without Disabilities	52	755	761	752	*	*	*	*	*	54%	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	50%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	716	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%

2018-2019

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	Ν	N
4	Ν	N
5	Ν	Ν

## English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation


#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science

assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

#### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	39	41	17	3
White	26	46	23	6
Hispanic	60	35	5	0
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	N
Female	47	43	7	3
Male	33	39	25	3
Economically Disadvantaged Students	47	42	11	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	36	40	19	4
Students with Disabilities	71	29	0	0
Students without Disabilities	31	44	21	4
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	Ν	Ν	N

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT			Lenox School (31-4230-060) Grades Offered: KG- 2018-2019	05	* Da ** Ac <b>N</b> Nc	countability calculation Data is available to (	order to protect student privacy ons require 20 or more students display becific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

**Days Absent** 

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	15	4.2	8.9	Met
White	6	2.7	8.9	Met
Hispanic	8	7.5	8.9	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	0	0	8.9	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	10	5.2		
Male	5	2.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	9	9.9	8.9	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	4	5.5	8.9	Met
English Learners	0	0	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	*	*		






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under *ESSA*, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance webpage</u>. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Total Unique Incidents	0
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.00

#### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	8		8

#### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

#### **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*	
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

\*



#### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School			
Typical Start Time	8:35 AM			
Typical End Time	3:05 PM			
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins			
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 30 Mins			
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 30 Mins.			

#### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the <u>percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field</u> is also available by school and district.

#### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

#### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State	
Total Number of teachers	31	118,214	
Average years experience in public schools	14.7	12.1	
Average years experience in district	12.1	10.8	
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	87.1%	75.3%	

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	17	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	21.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	17.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	94.1%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	12:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	357:1	99:1
Teachers to Administrators	31:1	9:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		559:1
Students to Nurses		419:1
Students to Counselors		280:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		280:1



Key terms for staff data:

**Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	53.2%	87.1%	100.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	46.8%	12.9%	0.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	61.9%	96.8%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	28.9%	3.2%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

#### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	92.6%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	82.4%	87.9%

#### **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.0%

#### **Bachelor's Degree**



#### Master's Degree



#### **Doctoral Degree**

Teacher0%Admin0%



#### Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

#### **ESSA** Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the <u>NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage</u> includes a <u>list of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted</u> <u>support</u> and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on <u>exit criteria</u> for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

#### ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	66.7%	57.2%	64.0%
Math Proficiency	60.8%	49.7%	54.3%
ELA Growth	63	68	53
Math Growth	68	46	57
4-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	Ν
5-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		91.7%	*
Chronic Absenteeism	2.5%	4.2%	4.2%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

#### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target†	Met Target†	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Not Met	Met Target†	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	Met Target†	Met Target†	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

No narrative was submitted.



How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve


#### Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

#### **Other Resources:**

- · Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- · Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



973-835-7100

michael.renne@plps.org https://www.plps-k12.org/Domain/10

Phone Number

Email Address

Website



Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

#### Enrollment Trends by Grade

#### Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade PK KG 1 2 3 4 5 Total This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	
Female	35	31	35	
Male	51	40	40	
-	41	41	38	
Econon	41	40	60	
Disadva	41	62	38	
Studen	63	43	51	
English	43	54	65	
	316	311	327	
Homele				

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
Female	43.7%	41.2%	37.3%	
Male	56.3%	58.8%	62.7%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26.0% 22.8%		22.2%	
Students with Disabilities	32.4%	33.4%	35.1%	
English Learners	5.5%	5.5%	4.7%	
Homeless Students	0.0%	1.3%	1.3%	
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

#### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	74.3%	70.1%	66.1%
Hispanic	19.3%	21.9%	24.4%
Black or African American	2.8%	2.3%	2.8%
Asian	2.8%	5.1%	6.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

# Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	33	22	22
PK - Full Day	2	9	13
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	40	40	51

#### Enrollment by Home Language


This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	85.4%
Spanish	6.3%
Albanian	3.2%
Polish	1.6%
Arabic	1.3%
Other Languages	2.2%



#### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.



Performance Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	ELA	ELA	ELA	Math	Math	Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	63.5	70	68	57	55.5	51
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Exceeds	Exceeds	Exceeds	Met	Met	Met
	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

# Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	68	61	50	Exceeds Standard	51	52	50	Met Standard
White	66	62	50	Exceeds Standard	51	51	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	82	57	49	Exceeds Standard	46	51	47	Met Standard
Black or African American	*	76	45	**	*	51	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	62	59	**	*	74.5	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	*	56	**	N	*	51.5	**
Two or More Races	N	N	49	**	N	N	52	**
Female	63.5	63	53	Ν	38	50	50	Ν
Male	70	59.5	47	N	63.5	53	51	Ν
Economically Disadvantaged Students	73.5	66	48	Exceeds Standard	44	56	46	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	62.5	55	43	Exceeds Standard	36	50	45	Not Met
English Learners	*	71	52	**	*	56.5	50	**
Homeless Students	*	*	43	N	*	*	44	Ν
Students in Foster Care	N	*	42	N	N	*	44	Ν
Military-Connected Students	N	*	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	*	*	47	Ν	*	*	51	Ν



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


# Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.

## Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.









# English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	98.1%	100.0%	99.3%	98.1%	100.0%	99.3%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	67.3%	72.2%	64.6%	63.6%	64.5%	59.7%
Annual Target	62.0%	63.0%	63.9%	64.3%	65.1%	66.0%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target†	Met Target†
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	144	99.3	64.6	71.9	57.9	64.6	63.9	Met Target
White	95	99.0	67.4	75.3	66.9	67.4	64.5	Met Target
Hispanic	38	100.0	55.3	59.3	43.9	55.3	61.6	Met Target†
Black or African American	*	*	*	62.5	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	*	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	64.4	N	**	**
Female	59	100.0	74.6	77.2	64.8	74.6		
Male	85	98.9	57.6	66.6	51.3	57.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	100.0	69.7	64.9	40.0	69.7	56.2	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	111	99.1	63.1	73.8	67.9	63.1		
Students with Disabilities	45	97.9	24.4	31.6	22.7	24.4	32.7	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	99	100.0	82.8	82.7	65.1	82.8		
English Learners	11	100.0	45.5	*	29.3	45.5	**	**
Non-English Learners	133	99.3	66.2	*	60.6	66.2		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	30.4	*		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	749	756	748	*	*	*	*	*	50%	50%
White	25	751	759	757	*	*	*	*	*	52%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	17	768	768	753	*	*	*	*	*	76%	55%
Male	23	735	743	743	*	*	*	*	*	30%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	15	726	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	20%	24%
Students without Disabilities	25	763	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	68%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	720	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	61	765	762	755	*	*	*	52%	21%	74%	57%
White	44	764	762	763	*	*	*	50%	23%	73%	67%
Hispanic	13	759	757	743	*	0%	*	*	*	69%	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Female	21	771	767	760	*	*	*	*	*	81%	62%
Male	40	763	758	750	*	*	*	*	*	70%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	755	755	740	*	*	*	*	*	68%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	770	764	765	*	*	*	*	*	76%	69%
Students with Disabilities	17	739	735	725	*	*	*	*	*	35%	25%
Students without Disabilities	44	776	771	761	*	*	*	*	*	89%	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	729	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	58%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%



Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	42	775	766	756	*	*	*	40%	29%	69%	58%
White	26	778	769	764	*	*	*	*	*	77%	68%
Hispanic	12	775	760	743	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	762	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	*	753	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	52%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	65%
Female	20	775	764	761	*	*	*	*	*	75%	64%
Male	22	775	767	750	*	*	*	*	*	64%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	11	735	735	724	*	*	*	*	*	18%	23%
Students without Disabilities	31	790	775	762	*	*	*	*	*	87%	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	Ν	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	26%



#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	144	99.3	59.7	52.8	44.5	59.7	66	Met Target†
White	95	99.0	64.2	55.8	54.1	64.2	68	Met Target†
Hispanic	38	100.0	47.4	38.4	28.8	47.4	54.5	Met Target†
Black or African American	*	*	*	46.9	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	*	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	*	53.3	N	**	**
Female	59	100.0	54.2	50.0	44.9	54.2		
Male	85	98.9	63.5	55.7	44.2	63.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	33	100.0	54.5	48.0	26.3	54.5	57.1	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	111	99.1	61.3	54.1	54.9	61.3		
Students with Disabilities	45	97.9	33.3	*	17.4	33.3	37	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	99	100.0	71.7	*	50.0	71.7		
English Learners	11	100.0	36.4	*	25.0	36.4	**	**
Non-English Learners	133	99.3	61.7	*	46.5	61.7		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	23.3	*		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	40	758	756	752	0%	*	*	*	*	60%	55%
White	25	764	758	760	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	Ν	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	749	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	62%
Female	17	768	760	751	0%	*	*	*	*	71%	54%
Male	23	751	752	752	0%	*	*	*	*	52%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	15	741	*	731	0%	*	*	*	*	40%	31%
Students without Disabilities	25	769	*	756	0%	*	*	*	*	72%	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	Ν	724	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	754	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	61	756	753	749	*	16%	18%	*	*	59%	51%
White	44	757	756	757	*	*	*	*	*	61%	62%
Hispanic	13	744	742	737	*	*	*	*	*	46%	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	21	744	748	749	*	*	*	*	*	48%	50%
Male	40	762	758	749	*	*	*	*	*	65%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	749	745	734	*	*	*	*	*	53%	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	760	756	759	*	*	*	*	*	62%	63%
Students with Disabilities	17	729	731	726	*	*	*	*	*	29%	25%
Students without Disabilities	44	767	762	754	*	*	*	*	*	70%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	*	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	42	761	754	747	0%	24%	*	*	*	60%	47%
White	26	768	760	755	0%	*	*	*	*	69%	58%
Hispanic	12	752	743	735	0%	*	*	*	*	50%	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	757	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	*	747	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Female	20	753	746	747	0%	*	*	*	*	50%	47%
Male	22	767	761	747	0%	*	*	*	*	68%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	11	733	731	725	0%	*	*	*	*	18%	19%
Students without Disabilities	31	770	761	752	0%	*	*	*	*	74%	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%

2018-2019

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

REPORT

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	*	*
5	Ν	Ν

#### English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	75.0%	**	**

† Target was met within one standard deviation


#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	12	75.0%	25.0%
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

# NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	30	38	20	13
White	21	38	29	13
Hispanic	42	33	8	17
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	N
Female	26	53	16	5
Male	33	24	24	19
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	80	10	10	0
Students without Disabilities	13	47	23	17
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	Ν	Ν	Ν




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	10	3.6	8.9	Met
White	3	1.6	8.9	Met
Hispanic	6	9.0	8.9	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	5	4.5		
Male	5	3.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	4	6.2	8.9	Met
Students with Disabilities	3	3.7	8.9	Met
English Learners	0	0	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		




# Days Absent



Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under *ESSA*, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance webpage</u>. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Total Unique Incidents	0
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.00

# Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	1	0	1
Other	1	0	1
No Identified Nature	0		0

#### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police	
Violence	0	
Weapons	0	
Vandalism	0	
Substances	0	
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0	
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0	

### **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	Sch due
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	
Any Suspension	0	0.0%	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

0



#### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School	
Typical Start Time	8:35 AM	
Typical End Time	3:05 PM	
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins	
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 30 Mins	
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 30 Mins.	

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the <u>percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field</u> is also available by school and district.

# Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

# Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	27	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	11.6	12.1
Average years experience in district	10.7	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	81.5%	75.3%

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	17	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	21.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	17.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	94.1%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	12:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	316:1	99:1
Teachers to Administrators	27:1	9:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		559:1
Students to Nurses		419:1
Students to Counselors		280:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		280:1



Key terms for staff data:

**Faculty**: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	37.3%	92.6%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	62.7%	7.4%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	66.1%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	24.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

# Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	92.6%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	82.4%	87.9%

### **Faculty Attendance**

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	96.6%

## **Bachelor's Degree**



# Master's Degree



## **Doctoral Degree**

Teacher	0%
Admin	0%



# Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### **ESSA** Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the <u>NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage</u> includes a <u>list of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted</u> <u>support</u> and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on <u>exit criteria</u> for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

# ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	67.3%	72.2%	64.6%
Math Proficiency	63.6%	64.5%	59.7%
ELA Growth	64	70	68
Math Growth	57	56	51
4-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		72.7%	75.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	1.1%	1.4%	3.6%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target†	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target†	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

No narrative was submitted.



# How to use this report:

- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

# Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

## **Other Resources:**

- · Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- · Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- · Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information			
County	Passaic			
District	Pompton Lakes School District			
Principal Name	Dr. Vincent Przybylinski			
Address	44 LAKESIDE AVENUE POMPTON LAKES, NJ 07442-1793			
Phone Number	973-835-7100			
Email Address	vincent.przybylinski@plps.org			
Website	https://www.plps-k12.org/Domain/8			



Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

### Enrollment Trends by Grade

# Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

	Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	9	146	170	191
	10	141	145	163
	11	170	137	149
	12	185	171	139
Γ	Total	642	623	642

This table shows the percentage of students by student
group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	49.2%	51.5%	51.2%
Male	50.8%	48.5%	48.8%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14.3%	14.8%	14.6%
Students with Disabilities	14.9%	16.3%	16.5%
English Learners	1.9%	1.3%	2.3%
Homeless Students	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	1.6%	1.9%	2.5%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	75.6%	73.5%	72.4%
Hispanic	16.7%	17.5%	17.0%
Black or African American	2.3%	2.6%	3.6%
Asian	4.5%	5.3%	5.9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

# Enrollment Trends by Full and Shared Time Status

This table shows the number of full and shared time students for the last three years. The full time equivalent is the number of full time students plus half the number of shared time students.

Enrollment Status	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Full Time Students	638	619	640
Shared Time Students	8	6	2
Full Time Equivalent	642	622	641

#### Enrollment by Home Language


This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	82.4%
Spanish	8.9%
Albanian	4.4%
Arabic	1.6%
Gujarati	1.1%
Other Languages	1.7%




#### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	98.6%	98.7%	99.1%	98.6%	99.3%	98.5%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	69.5%	65.4%	72.0%	58.0%	47.8%	47.2%
Annual Target	71.3%	71.7%	72.2%	55.1%	56.4%	57.7%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Target†	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	336	99.1	72.0	71.9	57.9	72.0	72.2	Met Target†
White	239	98.8	74.9	75.3	66.9	74.9	74	Met Target
Hispanic	56	100.0	60.7	59.3	43.9	60.7	60.5	Met Target
Black or African American	12	100.0	50.0	62.5	38.5	50.0	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	27	100.0	81.5	*	82.9	81.5	N	Ν
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	64.4	*	**	**
Female	167	99.4	77.2	77.2	64.8	77.2		
Male	169	98.8	66.9	66.6	51.3	66.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55	100.0	60.0	64.9	40.0	60.0	57.7	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	281	98.9	74.4	73.8	67.9	74.4		
Students with Disabilities	61	98.4	32.8	31.6	22.7	32.8	30.9	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	275	99.3	80.7	82.7	65.1	80.7		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	29.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	Ν	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	*	30.4	N		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



# English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT			Pompton Lakes F (31-4230- Grades Offere 2018-20	050) ed: 09-12		Report Key: * Data is not displayed in orde ** Accountability calculations re N No Data is available to displa † This indicates a table specifie	equire 20 or more stuc ay	dents

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	180	767	767	753	*	*	19%	47%	26%	73%	56%
White	132	771	771	762	*	*	17%	48%	30%	77%	65%
Hispanic	27	750	750	737	*	*	*	*	*	56%	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	783	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	63%
Female	84	776	776	760	*	*	*	46%	33%	80%	63%
Male	96	760	760	746	*	*	*	47%	20%	67%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	38	763	763	734	*	*	29%	*	*	66%	36%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	142	768	768	762	*	*	16%	*	*	75%	65%
Students with Disabilities	33	738	738	717	*	*	39%	*	*	36%	17%
Students without Disabilities	147	774	774	760	*	*	14%	*	*	81%	63%
English Learners	*	*	*	693	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	Ν	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%

Overvi	ew Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT	DOL MANCE		Pompton Lakes H (31-4230- Grades Offere 2018-20	050) ed: 09-12		Report Key: * Data is not displayed in orde ** Accountability calculations r N No Data is available to displ † This indicates a table specifi	equire 20 or more stuc ay	lents

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	155	775	775	757	*	*	18%	36%	36%	72%	58%
White	106	775	775	767	*	*	18%	37%	36%	73%	67%
Hispanic	30	768	768	738	*	*	*	*	*	67%	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	15	786	786	792	*	0%	*	*	*	80%	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	766	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Female	83	777	777	766	*	*	17%	39%	37%	76%	66%
Male	72	772	772	749	*	*	19%	33%	35%	68%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	760	760	735	*	*	*	*	*	50%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	137	777	777	767	*	*	*	*	*	75%	67%
Students with Disabilities	27	733	733	711	*	*	*	*	*	33%	19%
Students without Disabilities	128	784	784	765	*	*	*	*	*	80%	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	687	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	Ν	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	32%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	22%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%

Overviev	/ Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT	MANCE		Pompton Lakes H (31-4230-1 Grades Offere 2018-20	050) ed: 09-12		Report Key: * Data is not displayed in orde ** Accountability calculations r N No Data is available to displ † This indicates a table specifie	equire 20 or more stud ay	dents

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our <u>accountability resources</u>.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	316	98.5	47.2	52.8	44.5	47.2	57.7	Not Met
White	225	98.3	47.6	55.8	54.1	47.6	57.5	Not Met
Hispanic	51	98.2	41.2	38.4	28.8	41.2	50.2	Met Target <del> </del>
Black or African American	12	100.0	33.3	46.9	23.0	33.3	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	26	100.0	65.4	*	76.5	65.4	N	N
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.3	*	**	**
Female	156	98.7	46.8	50.0	44.9	46.8		
Male	160	98.2	47.5	55.7	44.2	47.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	48	98.0	60.4	48.0	26.3	60.4	43.7	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	268	98.5	44.8	54.1	54.9	44.8		
Students with Disabilities	50	94.4	*	*	17.4	*	19.6	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	266	99.3	*	*	50.0	*		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	*	23.3	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	163	742	747	744	9%	16%	33%	43%	0%	43%	42%
White	109	745	751	752	*	*	33%	46%	0%	46%	53%
Hispanic	34	730	*	728	*	*	*	35%	0%	35%	24%
Black or African American	10	741	741	725	*	0%	*	*	*	40%	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	750	*	775	0%	*	*	*	*	40%	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	744	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	752	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	51%
Female	78	743	751	745	*	*	33%	45%	0%	45%	44%
Male	85	740	744	743	*	*	32%	41%	0%	41%	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	31	749	*	727	*	*	*	58%	0%	58%	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	132	740	*	752	*	*	*	39%	0%	39%	52%
Students with Disabilities	43	719	719	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	120	750	755	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
English Learners	10	709	709	710	*	*	*	*	*	10%	*
Non-English Learners	153	744	749	745	*	*	*	*	*	45%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	101	749	749	737	*	10%	43%	*	*	46%	35%
White	76	748	748	743	*	*	42%	*	*	46%	43%
Hispanic	16	743	743	724	0%	*	*	*	*	31%	17%
Black or African American	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Female	47	749	749	738	*	*	47%	*	*	43%	36%
Male	54	749	749	736	*	*	39%	*	*	48%	34%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	753	753	722	*	0%	*	*	*	57%	16%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	87	748	748	743	*	11%	*	*	*	44%	43%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	Ν	N	Ν	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	101	749	749	738	*	10%	43%	*	*	46%	*
Homeless Students	Ν	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	Ν	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	Ν	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%



# Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra II

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	53	761	761	755	*	*	26%	*	*	62%	58%
White	39	759	759	758	*	*	28%	*	*	56%	62%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Female	31	757	757	752	*	*	*	*	*	58%	55%
Male	22	766	766	758	*	*	*	*	*	68%	62%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	25%
Students without Disabilities	53	761	761	756	*	*	26%	*	*	62%	60%
English Learners	N	N	N	696	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	53	761	761	755	*	*	26%	*	*	62%	59%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	715	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	*

2018-2019

#### Report Key:

Staff

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

REPORT

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
9	Ν	Ν
10	Ν	N
11	*	*

### English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	40.0%	**	**

† Target was met within one standard deviation


#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District			% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above	
0-2	11	*	*	
3-4	*	*	*	
5 or more	*	*	*	



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the <u>NJSLA-Science website</u> for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the <u>assessment reports page</u> for DLM results.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 11 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

### NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 11

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	31	36	28	5
White	29	34	32	5
Hispanic	40	36	24	0
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	N
Two or More Races	N	N	Ν	N
Female	23	37	36	4
Male	38	36	21	5
Economically Disadvantaged Students	46	38	15	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	29	36	29	5
Students with Disabilities	74	17	4	4
Students without Disabilities	23	40	33	5
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	Ν	Ν
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*
Migrant Students	N	Ν	Ν	Ν



Information about participation and performance on the PSAT, SAT, and ACT exams comes directly from College Board and ACT.

# PSAT, SAT, & ACT - Participation

This table shows information about participation on the PSAT, SAT, and ACT exams. PSAT participation is the percentage of 10th and 11th graders that took the PSAT 10 or PSAT NMSQT exam in2018-19. SAT and ACT participation rates show the percentage of 12th graders that have taken the SAT or ACT this year or in prior years.

Participation Type	School Participation Rate	State Participation Rate
10th and 11th graders taking PSAT 10/NMSQT in 2018-19	100.0%	84.5%
12th graders taking SAT in 2018-19 or prior years	85.2%	72.1%
12th graders taking ACT in 2018-19 or prior years	10.8%	19.6%

# PSAT, SAT, & ACT - Performance

This table shows how students performed on the PSAT, SAT, and ACT tests by subject area. The table includes average test scores, college readiness benchmarks, and the percentage of students that scored at or above these benchmarks. Benchmarks were defined by College Board and ACT and students that score at or above these benchmarks have a high chance of success in credit-bearing college courses.

Participation Type	School Average Score	State Average Score	College Readiness Benchmarks	School - Students Scores at or above Benchmark	State - Students Scores at or above Benchmark
PSAT 10/NMSQT - Reading and Writing	471	476	Grade 10: 430 Grade 11: 460	64%	61%
PSAT 10/NMSQT - Math	470	477	Grade 10: 480 Grade 11: 510	40%	43%
SAT - Reading and Writing	556	539	480	79%	70%
SAT - Math	564	541	530	73%	53%
ACT - Reading	25	25	22	60%	66%
ACT - English	25	24	18	87%	81%
ACT - Math	24	24	22	80%	65%
ACT - Science	24	24	23	60%	57%




Students in high schools begin to demonstrate college readiness behaviors long before they actually graduate from high school. These include participating in advanced course work such as Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and exams and dual enrollment courses where high school students can enroll in college courses for credit.

#### AP/IB Coursework – Participation and Performance

This chart shows the percentage of 11th and 12th graders who enrolled in one or more AP or IB courses, who took one or more AP or IB exams, and who had one or more exams with an AP score of 3 or higher or IB score of 4 or higher.

# AP/ IB Courses Offered

This table shows the list of AP/IB Courses that were offered by the school or for which students took the corresponding AP/IB exam along with the number of students enrolled and the number of students taking the exam. Students do not need to take a course to take the exam and not all students enrolled in a course take the exam. The last two rows show the total number of exams taken and the number of exams with AP scores of 3 or higher or IB scores of 4 or higher. Students who take multiple examples are counted more than once in the last two rows.



### Dual Enrollment Coursework - Participation

This graph shows the percentage of 11th and 12th graders who were enrolled in at least one dual enrollment course during the school year. Dual enrollment courses allow high school students to enroll in college courses for credit prior to their high school graduation.

Students enrolled in one School or more dual enrollment course State



AP/IB Course	Students Enrolled	Students Tested
AP Biology	10	9
AP Calculus AB	26	21
AP Calculus BC	0	1
AP English Literature and Composition	10	10
AP Environmental Science	8	8
AP Government	21	0
AP Macroeconomics	18	1
AP Microeconomics	0	17
AP Physics 1	0	20
AP Spanish Language	13	7
AP Statistics	25	25
AP Studio Art—Two-Demensional	18	6
AP U.S. Government and Politics	0	4
AP U.S. History	23	22
Total Exams taken		151
Exams with scores of at least 3 on AP exams or 4 on IB exams		110




This section contains information about participation in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and Structured Learning Experiences and students earning Industry-Valued Credentials. For more information about Career and Technical Education in New Jersey, please visit the <u>NJDOE's Career and Technical Education</u> website.

#### Career and Technical Education Participation

The graphs below show the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who were enrolled in courses in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs. Students enrolled in shared-time Career and Technical Education programs in a county vocational school district are included in the percentages for both the county vocational school and their sending school.

#### **CTE Participants**

(completed only one course in an approved CTE program)



#### **CTE Concentrators**

(completed two or more courses in a single approved CTE program and/or completed the entire CTE program)



### Structured Learning Experiences Participation

The graph below shows the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who participated in Structured Learning Experiences during the school year. Structured Learning Experiences can include work-based learning programs, internships, apprenticeships, and service learning experiences and can be paid or unpaid.

#### **Structured Learning Experiences**





This section contains information about participation in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and Structured Learning Experiences and students earning Industry-Valued Credentials. For more information about Career and Technical Education in New Jersey, please visit the <u>NJDOE's Career and Technical Education</u> website.

#### Career and Technical Education Participation by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students, both overall and by student group, in grades 9 through 12 who were enrolled in courses in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs. CTE participants have completed only one course in an approved CTE program. CTE concentrators have completed two or more courses in a single approved CTE program and/or have completed the entire CTE program. Students enrolled in shared-time CTE programs in a county vocational school district are included in the percentages for both the county vocational school and their sending high school.

Student Group	School: % CTE Participants	School: % CTE Concentrators	State: % CTE Participants	State: % CTE Concentrators
Schoolwide	0.0%	*	7.7%	10.3%
White	0.0%	*	6.1%	9.6%
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	11.3%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	11.2%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	9.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	10.3%	12.7%
Two or More Races	N	N	6.8%	12.1%
Female	0.0%	*	7.3%	10.6%
Male	0.0%	*	8.0%	10.1%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	0.0%	*	10.4%	11.8%
Students with Disabilities	0.0%	*	6.6%	9.2%
English Learners	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	3.2%
Homeless Students	*	*	8.1%	6.6%
Students In Foster Care	N	N	6.4%	5.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	13.3%
Migrant Students	N	N	10.4%	*



This section contains information about participation in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and Structured Learning Experiences and students earning Industry-Valued Credentials. For more information about Career and Technical Education in New Jersey, please visit the <u>NJDOE's Career and Technical Education</u> website.

Industry-Valued Credentials	Industry-Valued Credentials by Career Cluster
The graph below shows the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 one or more Industry-Valued Credential during the school year.	and Technical Education programs, the number of students that earned one or more industry-valued credential, and the total number of credentials earned. Students are only enrolled in one career cluster, but they may earn credentials in multiple clusters or earn multiple credentials within one cluster. Career Clusters without approved credentials are
Students Earning Industry-Valued Credentials	grayed out. Career Cluster rows will be hidden if no students were enrolled and no credentials were earned. The last row provides unique counts of students enrolled and students earning one or more credentials across all clusters.
School 0.0%	

0.9%

State

Career Cluster	Students Enrolled in Program	Students Earning at least one Credential	
Human Services	*		
Transportation, Distribution & Logistics	*		
Total (All Clusters)	*	0	0



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website. An English course participation table is not included below because students are required to take four years of English in high school. Detailed information about students enrolled in AP/IB courses in English Language Arts and other subject areas is available in the AP/IB Courses Offered table in the College and Career Readiness Section of this report.

# Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas. The last two rows show the number of students enrolled in Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and Dual Enrollment courses by subject area. Subject areas where AP/IB courses are not offered are grayed out.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II	Pre-Calculus	Calculus	Statistics	Other Math
9	131	57	0	0	0	0	9
10	15	87	58	1	1	0	41
11	0	2	84	57	2	2	58
12	0	0	7	73	47	23	2
Total	146	146	149	131	50	25	110
Enrolled in AP/IB Course					26	25	0
Enrolled in Dual Enrollment Course	0	0	0	0	50	0	0

### Science - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Science courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas. The last two rows show the number of students enrolled in Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and Dual Enrollment courses by subject area. Subject areas where AP/IB courses are not offered are grayed out.

Grade	Biology	Chemistry	Earth and Space Science	Environmental Science	Physics	Other Science
9	55	1	1	0	0	134
10	99	58	0	0	0	5
11	8	57	13	1	72	10
12	4	0	0	7	44	50
Total	166	116	14	8	116	199
Enrolled in AP/IB Course	10	0		8	0	0
Enrolled in Dual Enrollment Course	0	0	0	0	0	58



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website. An English course participation table is not included below because students are required to take four years of English in high school. Detailed information about students enrolled in AP/IB courses in English Language Arts and other subject areas is available in the AP/IB Courses Offered table in the College and Career Readiness Section of this report.

#### Social Studies and History - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Social Studies and History courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas. The last two rows show the number of students enrolled in Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and Dual Enrollment courses by subject area. Subject areas where AP/IB courses are not offered are grayed out.

Grade	World History	US History I & II	Economics	Psychology	Sociology	Other Social Studies or History
9	180	8	0	0	0	1
10	2	158	0	0	0	0
11	1	143	0	0	23	6
12	0	37	18	0	44	48
Total	183	346	18	0	67	55
Enrolled in AP/IB Course	0	23	18	0		21
Enrolled in Dual Enrollment Course	0	0	0	0	67	0

# World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages. The last three rows show the number of students enrolled in level 3 or higher courses (such as French II or IV), Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses, and Dual Enrollment courses by language.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
9	132	17	0	0	26	0	0
10	116	17	0	0	14	0	0
11	70	10	0	0	0	0	0
12	17	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	335	45	0	0	40	0	0
Enrolled in AP/IB Course	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Dual Enrollment Course	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher	124	28	0	0	13	0	0



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website. An English course participation table is not included below because students are required to take four years of English in high school. Detailed information about students enrolled in AP/IB courses in English Language Arts and other subject areas is available in the AP/IB Courses Offered table in the College and Career Readiness Section of this report.

#### Computer Science and Information Technology - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Computer Science and Information Technology (IT) courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas. The last two rows show the number of students enrolled in Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and Dual Enrollment courses by subject area. Subject areas where AP/IB courses are not offered are grayed out.

Grade	Computer Programming	Computing Systems	Other Computer Science	Networking	Information Systems	Other IT
9	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν
10	1	0	0	0	0	0
11	10	0	0	0	0	0
12	13	0	0	0	0	0
Total	24	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled in AP/IB Course	0		0			0
Enrolled in Dual Enrollment Course	0	0	0	0	0	0

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary Pompton Lakes H (31-4230-0	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHO PERFOR REPORT	DOL MANCE		-	050) ed: 09-12		<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in orde</li> <li>** Accountability calculations re</li> <li>No Data is available to displayed</li> <li>† This indicates a table specifier</li> </ul>	equire 20 or more stud ay	dents

# Seal of Biliteracy


This table shows the number of Seals of Biliteracy earned by language. The New Jersey Department of Education State Seal of Biliteracy identifies graduating high school students who are able to demonstrate proficiency in English in addition to one or more languages. Students may be counted more than once if they earned Seals across more than one language. Visit the NJDOE Seal of Biliteracy website for more information.

Language	Students Earning a Seal of Biliteracy
Total	0




#### Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:






Graduation rates are calculated based on the adjusted cohort graduation rate calculation. More information can be found on the NJDOE Graduation Rate page.

### Graduation Rate Trends and Progress

These graphs show the 4-year and 5-year adjusted cohort graduation rates for the most recent three cohorts of students. The table below shows whether annual targets were met for each cohort. Note that for accountability and annual targets, graduation data from the prior year is used, so annual target status for Cohort 2019 4-Year and Cohort 2018 5-Year are not provided.



Performance Measure	Cohort 2017 4-Year Rate	Cohort 2018 4-Year Rate	Cohort 2019 4-Year Rate	Cohort 2016 5-Year Rate	Cohort 2017 5-Year Rate	Cohort 2018 5-Year Rate
Graduation Rate	96.3%	97.8%	94.6%	97.5%	96.3%	98.3%
Annual Target	N	Ν		Ν	Ν	
Met Annual Target?	Met Goal	Met Goal		Met Goal	Met Goal	
Statewide Graduation Rate	90.5%	90.9%	90.6%	91.8%	92.4%	92.5%



Graduation rates are calculated based on the adjusted cohort graduation rate calculation. More information can be found on the NJDOE Graduation Rate page.

### **Graduation Rates**

This table shows 4-year and 5-year graduation rates both overall and by student group. Annual ESSA accountability targets for the Class of 2018 4-year rate and the Class of 2017 5-year rates are included with a flag for whether the annual targets were met. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	School - Class of 2019: 4 Year Rate	State - Class of 2019: 4 Year Rate	School - Class of 2018: 5 Year Rate	State - Class of 2018: 5 Year Rate	Class of 2018: 4 Year Rate	Class of 2018: 4 Year Target	Class of 2018: Met Target	Class of 2017: 5 Year Rate	Class of 2017: 5 Year Target	Class of 2017: Met Target
Schoolwide	94.6%	90.6%	98.3%	92.5%	97.8%	N	Met Goal	96.3%	N	Met Goal
White	96.2%	94.9%	98.6%	95.9%	98.6%	Ν	Met Goal	96.7%	Ν	Met Goal
Hispanic	87.5%	84.5%	96.7%	87.3%	93.3%	85.1%	Met Target	93.1%	96.0%	Not Met
Black or African American	*	83.3%	*	87.1%	*	**	**	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	*	96.9%	*	97.8%	*	**	**	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	92.2%	N	88.9%	N	N	N	N	N	Ν
Two or More Races	N	91.4%	N	94.2%	N	N	N	N	N	N
Female	96.3%	92.8%	100.0%	94.4%	98.8%			94.4%		
Male	92.6%	88.5%	96.9%	90.8%	96.8%			98.0%		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88.5%	84.0%	100.0%	87.3%	97.4%	N	Met Goal	87.0%	92.4%	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	87.5%	79.2%	96.3%	83.8%	92.6%	79.6%	Met Target	92.9%	90.6%	Met Target
English Learners	*	75.4%	*	80.1%	*	**	**	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	74.6%	N	78.3%	N			N		
Students in Foster Care	N	57.6%	N	82.5%	N			*		
Migrant Students	N	83.3%	N	85.0%	N			N		

Overview [	Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Pompton Lakes H (31-4230- Grades Offere 2018-20	050) ed: 09-12		Report Key: * Data is not displayed in orde ** Accountability calculations re N No Data is available to displa † This indicates a table specifie	equire 20 or more stuc ay	lents	

# Graduation Pathways

This table shows the percentage of Cohort 2019 graduates that met high school graduation requirements through each type of graduation pathway for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Math.

Graduation Pathway	ELA Graduation Pathway	Math Graduation Pathway
Statewide Assessment	15.0%	20.7%
Substitute Competency Test	83.6%	78.6%
Portfolio Appeals Process	0.0%	0.0%
Alternate Requirements specified in IEP	1.4%	0.7%
Unknown	0.0%	0.0%

# Dropout Rate Trends

This table shows the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 that dropped out during each of the past three school years.

School Year	School Rate	State Rate
2018-2019	0.6%	1.2%
2017-2018	0.2%	1.2%
2016-2017	0.5%	1.1%




Information about students enrolling in colleges and universities after graduation is collected from the National Student Clearinghouse, which collects data from at least 95% of higher education institutions nationwide.

## Postsecondary Enrollment Rate Summary

The graphs and tables below show the percentage of graduates that have enrolled in 2-year and 4-year institutions within 16 months of graduating from high school.

# Postsecondary Enrollment 16 months after Graduation



Performance Measure	Class of 2018
% Enrolled in 2-Year Institution	16.8%
% Enrolled in 4-Year Institution	61.3%
% Enrolled in Any Postsecondary Institution	78.0%



Information about students enrolling in colleges and universities after graduation is collected from the National Student Clearinghouse, which collects data from at least 95% of higher education institutions nationwide.

#### Postsecondary Enrollment Rates: Fall

This table shows information about Class of 2019 high school graduates enrolled in postsecondary institutions by the fall of 2019. The first column shows the percentage of graduates enrolled in any postsecondary institution, and the next two columns show the percentage of those enrolled students that were enrolled in 2-year and 4-year institutions.

Student Group	% Enrolled in Any Institution	% Enrolled in 2-Year Institution	% Enrolled in 4-Year Institution
Statewide	72%	28.7%	71.3%
Schoolwide	79.6%	16.8%	83.2%
White	83.8%	18.2%	81.8%
Hispanic	57.1%	25%	75%
Black or African American	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	90%	0%	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	64.7%	18.2%	81.8%
Students with Disabilities	57.9%	63.6%	36.4%
English Learners	*	*	*

# Postsecondary Enrollment Rates: 16 month

This table shows information about Class of 2018 high school graduates enrolled in postsecondary institutions by the fall of 2019. The first column shows the percentage of graduates enrolled in any postsecondary institution, and the following sets of columns show the percentage of those enrolled students that were enrolled in 2-year and 4-year institutions, public or private institutions, and instate and out-of-state institutions.

Student Group	% Enrolled in Any Institution	% Enrolled in 2-Year Institution	% Enrolled in 4-Year Institution	% Enrolled in Public Institution	% Enrolled in Private Institution	% Enrolled in In-State Institution	% Enrolled in Out-of- State Institution
Statewide	77.8%	30.9%	69.1%	72.9%	27.1%	65.5%	34.5%
Schoolwide	78%	21.5%	78.5%	72.6%	27.4%	71.1%	28.9%
White	79.3%	20.6%	79.4%	69.2%	30.8%	68.2%	31.8%
Hispanic	69%	30%	70%	80%	20%	75%	25%
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	84%	23.8%	76.2%	71.4%	28.6%	85.7%	14.3%
Students with Disabilities	46.2%	58.3%	41.7%	75%	25%	91.7%	8.3%
English Learners	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative	
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Pompton Lakes High School (31-4230-050) Grades Offered: 09-12 2018-2019			<ul> <li><u>Report Key:</u></li> <li>* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul>				

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.

**Days Absent** 

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	40	6.1	14.2	Met
White	27	5.7	14.2	Met
Hispanic	12	10.8	14.2	Met
Black or African American	*	*	14.2	Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	0	0	14.2	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	N
Female	25	7.6		
Male	15	4.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	11.7	14.2	Met
Students with Disabilities	16	13.1	14.2	Met
English Learners	4	26.7	**	**
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	0	0		
Migrant Students	N	N		






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

#### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under *ESSA*, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance webpage</u>. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

#### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	1
Substances	2
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	4
Total Unique Incidents	7
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.09

# Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	1	1
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	1	1
Other	0	2	2
No Identified Nature	6		6

#### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	1
Substances	2
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	6

### **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	Scho due to
In-School Suspensions	17	2.7%	S
Out-of-School Suspensions	22	3.4%	
Any Suspension	24	3.7%	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

69

Overview	Demographic	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Grad/ Postsecondary	Climate and Environment	Staff	Per-Pupil Expenditures	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT			Pompton Lakes I (31-4230- Grades Offere 2018-20	050) ed: 09-12		<ul> <li>Report Key:</li> <li>* Data is not displayed in orde</li> <li>** Accountability calculations r</li> <li>N No Data is available to displ</li> <li>† This indicates a table specifi</li> </ul>	equire 20 or more stud ay	dents	

# School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	8:00 AM		
Typical End Time	2:46 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 46 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 10 Mins		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 10 Mins.		

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio	
2018-19	1:1	



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the <u>percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field</u> is also available by school and district.

# Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State	
Total Number of teachers	58	118,214	
Average years experience in public schools	15.8	12.1	
Average years experience in district	13.7	10.8	
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	83.6%	75.3%	

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	17	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	21.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	17.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	94.1%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	11:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	80:1	99:1
Teachers to Administrators	7:1	9:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		559:1
Students to Nurses		419:1
Students to Counselors		280:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		280:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	51.2%	58.6%	37.5%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	48.8%	41.4%	62.5%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	72.4%	93.1%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	17.0%	6.9%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

# Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	92.6%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	82.4%	87.9%

#### Faculty Attendance


This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present	
2018-19	97.7%	

### Bachelor's Degree



### Master's Degree



## **Doctoral Degree**

Teacher	0%
Admin	0%



# Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management</u> Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to <u>download all school-level summaries by district</u> in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

#### **ESSA** Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the <u>NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage</u> includes a <u>list of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted</u> <u>support</u> and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on <u>exit criteria</u> for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

## ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	69.5%	65.4%	72.0%
Math Proficiency	58.0%	47.8%	47.2%
ELA Growth	N	N	N
Math Growth	Ν	N	N
4-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	96.3%	97.8%	94.6%
5-Year Graduation Rate <del>†</del>	97.5%	96.3%	98.3%
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	40.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	4.2%	2.7%	6.1%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	4-Year Graduation Rate: Met Annual Target	5-Year Graduation Rate: Met Annual Target	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Goal	Met Goal	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Not Met	Met Goal	Met Goal	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	**	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target	Met Goal	Not Met	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target	Met Target	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

No narrative was submitted.