



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- **Learn more** about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- **Start conversations** with school community members and ask questions
- **Engage** with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the [Summary Report](#) or the [district-level report](#) for this school
- Read the [Reference Guide](#), [Frequently Asked Questions](#), or [Data Privacy Rules](#) for more detailed information about the data in the report
- [Download the data](#) in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our [feedback survey](#)
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Type	Contact Information
County	Bergen
District	Hillsdale School District
Principal Name	Mrs. Angela Iskenderian
Address	1000 HILLSDALE AVE HILLSDALE, NJ 07642
Phone Number	201-664-1188
Email Address	aiskenderian@hillsdaleschools.com
Website	https://www.hillsdaleschools.com/Domain/46



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
KG	74	56	55
1	54	73	46
2	51	58	71
3	61	53	61
4	54	63	57
Total	294	303	290

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	43.5%	44.9%	47.6%
Male	56.5%	55.1%	52.4%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	8.5%	9.9%	11.4%
Students with Disabilities	15.3%	17.5%	16.9%
English Learners	6.1%	5.6%	5.5%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	74.8%	71.9%	72.8%
Hispanic	11.6%	14.5%	14.8%
Black or African American	1.0%	1.0%	1.4%
Asian	10.2%	9.6%	8.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Two or More Races	1.7%	2.3%	2.1%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	74	56	55

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	87.9%
Spanish	9.0%
Chinese	1.0%
Other Languages	2.1%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measured by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.

ELA Median Student Growth Percentile



Math Median Student Growth Percentile



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	64.5	59	82.5	80	59	68
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	82.5	72	50	Exceeds Standard	68	63	50	Exceeds Standard
White	78	73	50	Exceeds Standard	66	63	52	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	*	71	49	**	*	62	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	74	59	**	*	79	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	N	63.5	49	**	N	60.5	52	**
Female	81	75	53	N	72	61	50	N
Male	82.5	69	47	N	63.5	64	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	66.5	48	**	*	59	46	**
Students with Disabilities	83	62	43	**	58	57	45	**
English Learners	*	76.5	52	**	*	77	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	*	49	N	N	*	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



Ann Blanche Smith
 (03-2180-010)
 Grades Offered: KG-04
 2018-2019

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.



Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.



Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.

ELA Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	98.2%	96.6%	98.3%	98.3%	96.6%	98.3%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	77.8%	70.9%	79.5%	70.3%	59.1%	62.4%
Annual Target	65.7%	66.4%	67.2%	61.5%	62.5%	63.4%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target†
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	117	98.3	79.5	79.0	57.9	79.5	67.2	Met Target
White	95	99.0	77.9	80.0	66.9	77.9	71.2	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	43.9	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	50.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	84.2	64.4	*	**	**
Female	64	100.0	85.9	85.3	64.8	85.9		
Male	53	96.4	71.7	73.2	51.3	71.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	54.3	40.0	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	80.7	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	22	100.0	54.5	47.9	22.7	54.5	33.2	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	95	97.9	85.3	88.3	65.1	85.3		
English Learners	*	*	*	61.9	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	79.5	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	60	771	773	748	*	*	18%	57%	17%	73%	50%
White	50	768	770	757	*	*	22%	*	*	70%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	34	780	777	753	*	*	*	*	*	85%	55%
Male	26	760	769	743	*	*	*	*	*	58%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	739	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	778	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	787	780	755	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	57%
White	45	786	779	763	0%	0%	*	*	*	87%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	778	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	797	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Female	29	793	788	760	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	62%
Male	27	780	770	750	0%	0%	*	*	*	85%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	13	763	761	725	0%	0%	*	*	*	69%	25%
Students without Disabilities	43	794	787	761	0%	0%	*	*	*	93%	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	56	787	780	758	0%	0%	*	*	*	88%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	*	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	117	98.3	62.4	64.8	44.5	62.4	63.4	Met Target†
White	95	99.0	62.1	65.7	54.1	62.1	64.5	Met Target†
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	28.8	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	27.3	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	63.2	53.3	*	**	**
Female	64	100.0	62.5	64.6	44.9	62.5		
Male	53	96.4	62.3	65.0	44.2	62.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	27.7	26.3	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	67.3	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	22	100.0	27.3	31.1	17.4	27.3	41.7	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	95	97.9	70.5	74.8	50.0	70.5		
English Learners	*	*	*	54.5	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	65.1	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	60	754	761	752	0%	20%	23%	*	*	57%	55%
White	50	753	761	760	0%	20%	26%	*	*	54%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	N	N	*	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Female	34	755	758	751	0%	*	*	*	*	56%	54%
Male	26	753	764	752	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	741	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	764	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	766	761	749	0%	*	27%	*	*	70%	51%
White	45	766	761	757	0%	*	24%	*	*	71%	62%
Hispanic	*	*	759	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	787	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	29	767	765	749	0%	*	*	*	*	72%	50%
Male	27	765	757	749	0%	*	*	*	*	67%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	13	750	743	726	0%	*	*	*	*	31%	25%
Students without Disabilities	43	771	768	754	0%	*	*	*	*	81%	56%
English Learners	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Non-English Learners	56	766	761	751	0%	*	27%	*	*	70%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	*	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	N	N	N



Ann Blanche Smith
 (03-2180-010)
 Grades Offered: KG-04
 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the [NJSLA-Science website](#) for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the [assessment reports page](#) for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
---------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	5	1.7	9.2	Met
White	4	1.9	9.2	Met
Hispanic	0	0	9.2	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	0	0	9.2	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	3	2.1		
Male	2	1.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	1	2.9	9.2	Met
Students with Disabilities	1	1.9	9.2	Met
English Learners	0	0	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Total Unique Incidents	N
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	N

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	N



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	N	N	N
Religion	N	N	N
Ancestry	N	N	N
Gender	N	N	N
Sexual Orientation	N	N	N
Disability	N	N	N
Other	N	N	N
No Identified Nature	N		N

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	N	N
Out-of-School Suspensions	N	N
Any Suspension	N	N
Removal to other education program	N	N
Expulsion	N	N
Arrest	N	N

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

N



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	9:00 AM
Typical End Time	3:10 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 10 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 42 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 42 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	36	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	11.0	12.1
Average years experience in district	8.7	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	77.8%	75.3%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	8	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	19.9	16.0
Average years experience in district	7.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	62.5%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	8:1	9:1
Students to Administrators	290:1	143:1
Teachers to Administrators	36:1	15:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		570:1
Students to Nurses		380:1
Students to Counselors		285:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		190:1



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	47.6%	91.7%	100.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	52.4%	8.3%	0.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	72.8%	88.9%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	14.8%	8.3%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	8.6%	2.8%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree



Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	94.1%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.9%



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the [NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information](#). More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the [User Friendly Budget](#) and the [Comprehensive Annual Financial Report \(CAFR\) and Auditor Management Reports \(AMRs\)](#).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

[Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.](#)

An option to [download all school-level summaries by district](#) in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Accountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	77.8%	70.9%	79.5%
Math Proficiency	70.3%	59.1%	62.4%
ELA Growth	64	59	82
Math Growth	80	59	68
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	4.4%	3.3%	1.7%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these [accountability resources](#).

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target†	**	**	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Highlights:

- Curriculum includes Balanced Literacy, Next Generation Science Standards and STEM throughout the curriculum
- 1:1 Chromebook Initiative



Mission, Vision, Theme:

The mission of the Ann Blanche Smith School is to provide all individuals with a safe and caring learning environment that enables all children to reach their maximum potential, so that they can become self-assured, responsible life-long learners.





Ann Blanche Smith
(03-2180-010)
Grades Offered: KG-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

 <p>Before and After School Programs:</p>	<p>Hillsdale is proud to offer the A.C.E. before and after school program at our two elementary schools. Please see the A.C.E. website for more information at: http://www.rvedfund.org/a-c-e/</p>
 <p>Staff and Professional Learning:</p>	<p>The teachers and administration at Ann Blanche Smith School continually work to enrich the curriculum for all students. The teachers continue to learn new and innovative ways to enrich instruction for their children through attending building PLCs, graduate school, local workshops, and professional development offerings by the school district.</p>



Ann Blanche Smith
 (03-2180-010)
 Grades Offered: KG-04
 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Parent and Community Involvement:

The Ann Blanche Smith School has an extensive parent volunteer program and a very supportive and actively involved Parent Teacher Association. Each school year this group creates many programs that provide an extension of our curriculum and enriching learning experiences for our students.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- **Learn more** about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- **Start conversations** with school community members and ask questions
- **Engage** with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the [Summary Report](#) or the [district-level report](#) for this school
- Read the [Reference Guide](#), [Frequently Asked Questions](#), or [Data Privacy Rules](#) for more detailed information about the data in the report
- [Download the data](#) in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our [feedback survey](#)
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:

Empty rectangular box for notes.



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Type	Contact Information
County	Bergen
District	Hillsdale School District
Principal Name	Mr. Donald Bergamini
Address	120 MAGNOLIA AVE HILLSDALE, NJ 07642-2131
Phone Number	201-664-0286
Email Address	dbergamini@hillsdaleschools.com
Website	https://www.hillsdaleschools.com/Domain/200



George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
5	123	121	126
6	142	123	125
7	151	147	132
8	160	149	148
Total	576	540	531

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	49.0%	48.9%	48.4%
Male	51.0%	51.1%	51.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	6.4%	6.3%	6.8%
Students with Disabilities	21.5%	21.1%	23.0%
English Learners	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	79.3%	78.5%	76.8%
Hispanic	11.5%	12.4%	13.7%
Black or African American	1.2%	1.1%	1.3%
Asian	6.3%	5.9%	6.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.2%	1.7%	1.9%

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	90.8%
Spanish	5.8%
Other Languages	3.4%





George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measured by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	47	37	74	56	51.5	64
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met Standard	Not Met	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	74	72	50	Exceeds Standard	64	63	50	Exceeds Standard
White	75	73	50	Exceeds Standard	63	63	52	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	73	71	49	Exceeds Standard	61	62	47	Exceeds Standard
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	74	74	59	Exceeds Standard	76	79	60	Exceeds Standard
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	63.5	63.5	49	**	*	60.5	52	**
Female	77	75	53	N	61.5	61	50	N
Male	68	69	47	N	65	64	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66.5	66.5	48	Exceeds Standard	59	59	46	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	62	62	43	Exceeds Standard	58	57	45	Met Standard
English Learners	76.5	76.5	52	**	*	77	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	*	49	N	N	*	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.


A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.

ELA




Math



Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.

ELA Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	94.5%	98.5%	94.4%	94.0%	98.3%	94.2%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	72.0%	68.5%	79.0%	52.8%	58.9%	63.2%
Annual Target	69.4%	69.9%	70.5%	57.3%	58.5%	59.7%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	500	94.4	79.6	79.0	57.9	79.0	70.5	Met Target
White	383	94.1	81.5	80.0	66.9	80.7	72	Met Goal
Hispanic	68	94.4	66.2	*	43.9	65.8	47.4	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	50.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	31	93.9	93.5	*	82.9	92.4	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	84.2	64.4	*	**	**
Female	237	92.2	86.9	85.3	64.8	84.4		
Male	263	96.4	73.0	73.2	51.3	73.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	30	93.7	53.3	54.3	40.0	*	41.1	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	470	94.4	81.3	80.7	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	114	93.4	43.9	47.9	22.7	43.1	32.7	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	386	94.6	90.2	88.3	65.1	89.8		
English Learners	14	100.0	64.3	61.9	29.3	64.3	**	**
Non-English Learners	486	94.2	80.0	79.5	60.6	79.4		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	120	775	775	756	*	*	17%	48%	27%	74%	58%
White	91	775	775	764	*	*	18%	51%	24%	75%	68%
Hispanic	17	766	766	743	*	*	*	*	*	65%	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	58	786	786	761	*	*	*	*	*	84%	64%
Male	62	765	765	750	*	*	*	*	*	65%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	29	747	747	724	*	*	*	*	*	41%	23%
Students without Disabilities	91	784	784	762	*	*	*	*	*	85%	65%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	120	775	775	758	*	*	17%	48%	27%	74%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	119	782	782	754	*	*	12%	39%	41%	81%	56%
White	93	782	782	762	*	*	*	44%	40%	84%	65%
Hispanic	12	765	765	743	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	805	805	780	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	54	790	790	762	*	*	*	28%	56%	83%	64%
Male	65	775	775	748	*	*	*	49%	29%	78%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	19	739	739	722	*	*	*	*	*	42%	19%
Students without Disabilities	100	790	790	761	*	*	*	*	*	88%	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	119	782	782	756	*	*	12%	39%	41%	81%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	126	775	775	761	*	*	17%	45%	34%	79%	63%
White	95	777	777	769	*	*	16%	42%	38%	80%	72%
Hispanic	21	753	753	747	0%	*	*	67%	0%	67%	50%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	761	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Female	59	783	783	769	*	*	*	42%	44%	86%	71%
Male	67	768	768	753	*	*	*	48%	25%	73%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Students with Disabilities	31	750	750	720	*	*	*	*	*	48%	22%
Students without Disabilities	95	783	783	769	*	*	*	*	*	89%	71%
English Learners	N	N	N	706	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	126	775	775	763	*	*	17%	45%	34%	79%	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	131	788	788	762	*	*	*	38%	47%	85%	63%
White	103	788	788	770	*	*	*	41%	46%	86%	72%
Hispanic	17	773	773	747	*	*	*	*	*	71%	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	794	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Female	64	799	799	771	*	*	*	36%	58%	94%	71%
Male	67	777	777	753	*	*	*	40%	36%	76%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	772	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Students with Disabilities	30	743	743	721	*	*	*	*	*	43%	22%
Students without Disabilities	101	801	801	770	*	*	*	*	*	97%	71%
English Learners	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	131	788	788	764	*	*	*	38%	47%	85%	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	499	94.2	63.7	64.8	44.5	63.2	59.7	Met Target
White	382	93.9	64.9	65.7	54.1	64.1	60.8	Met Target
Hispanic	68	94.4	44.1	*	28.8	43.9	37.5	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	27.3	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	31	93.9	93.5	*	76.5	92.4	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	63.2	53.3	*	**	**
Female	236	91.8	62.7	64.6	44.9	60.6		
Male	263	96.4	64.6	65.0	44.2	64.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	30	93.7	23.3	27.7	26.3	*	29.9	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	469	94.2	66.3	67.3	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	114	93.4	27.2	31.1	17.4	26.8	24.2	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	385	94.4	74.5	74.8	50.0	74.0		
English Learners	14	100.0	57.1	54.5	25.0	57.1	**	**
Non-English Learners	485	94.0	63.9	65.1	46.5	63.2		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	120	762	762	747	*	*	22%	49%	17%	66%	47%
White	91	763	763	755	*	*	22%	49%	16%	66%	58%
Hispanic	17	747	747	735	0%	*	*	*	*	53%	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	58	765	765	747	*	*	26%	*	*	67%	47%
Male	62	759	759	747	*	*	18%	*	*	65%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	732	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Students with Disabilities	29	737	737	725	*	*	*	34%	0%	34%	19%
Students without Disabilities	91	770	770	752	*	*	*	54%	22%	76%	52%
English Learners	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Non-English Learners	120	762	762	749	*	*	22%	49%	17%	66%	49%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	119	759	759	741	*	*	25%	44%	18%	62%	41%
White	93	759	759	749	*	*	25%	46%	16%	62%	51%
Hispanic	12	747	747	729	*	*	*	*	*	42%	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	783	783	769	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	48%
Female	54	757	757	742	*	*	19%	*	*	63%	42%
Male	65	760	760	740	*	*	31%	*	*	62%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	19	725	725	716	*	*	*	*	*	16%	12%
Students without Disabilities	100	765	765	746	*	*	*	*	*	71%	46%
English Learners	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	119	759	759	743	*	*	25%	44%	18%	62%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	122	755	755	744	0%	12%	33%	42%	13%	55%	42%
White	93	757	757	751	0%	*	31%	*	*	59%	53%
Hispanic	21	736	736	733	0%	*	48%	*	*	24%	26%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	58	753	753	744	0%	*	34%	*	*	50%	42%
Male	64	758	758	743	0%	*	31%	*	*	59%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	31	741	741	718	0%	*	45%	*	*	29%	13%
Students without Disabilities	91	760	760	749	0%	*	29%	*	*	64%	48%
English Learners	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	122	755	755	745	0%	12%	33%	42%	13%	55%	44%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	76	746	746	728	*	*	*	57%	0%	57%	29%
White	57	744	744	737	*	*	19%	53%	0%	53%	38%
Hispanic	15	752	752	722	*	*	*	67%	0%	67%	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	714	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Female	39	752	752	731	*	*	*	59%	0%	59%	31%
Male	37	740	740	726	*	*	*	54%	0%	54%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Students with Disabilities	27	717	717	707	*	*	*	*	*	22%	10%
Students without Disabilities	49	762	762	734	*	*	*	*	*	76%	35%
English Learners	N	N	N	706	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%
Non-English Learners	76	746	746	730	*	*	*	57%	0%	57%	30%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	58	794	794	744	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	42%
White	47	794	794	752	0%	0%	*	*	*	96%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	25	791	791	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	92%	44%
Male	33	796	796	743	0%	0%	*	*	*	97%	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	58	794	794	752	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	52%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	58	794	794	745	0%	0%	*	*	*	95%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
White	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Hispanic	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Black or African American	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	762	N	N	N	N	N	N	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Female	N	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%
Male	N	N	N	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	34%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students without Disabilities	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
English Learners	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	N	N	N	738	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	739	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
5	N	N
6	*	*
7	N	N
8	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	N	N	N

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the [NJSLA-Science website](#) for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the [assessment reports page](#) for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	19	37	36	8
White	18	38	37	7
Hispanic	29	41	24	6
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	14	34	41	10
Male	24	39	31	6
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	55	24	21	0
Students without Disabilities	8	41	41	11
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Non-English Learners	19	37	36	8
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the [NJSLA-Science website](#) for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the [assessment reports page](#) for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	20	46	25	9
White	19	48	24	10
Hispanic	35	41	24	0
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	19	51	22	8
Male	21	42	27	10
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	60	33	3	3
Students without Disabilities	8	50	31	11
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Non-English Learners	20	46	25	9
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Information about [New Jersey Student Learning Standards](#) can be found on the NJDOE website.

Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	125
7	4	0	128
8	59	1	89
Total	63	1	342

World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	90	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	64	0	32	0	0	0	0
8	54	0	46	0	0	0	0
Total	208	0	78	0	0	0	0



George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:


- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation


The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.

Grades 6-8:

Students enrolled in one or more **visual and performing arts** classes




School



State

Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	34	6.6	8.7	Met
White	26	6.6	8.7	Met
Hispanic	7	10.0	8.7	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	1	3.0	8.7	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	19	7.6		
Male	15	5.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	4	11.8	8.7	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	10	9.3	8.7	Not Met
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.






George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	3
Weapons	0
Vandalism	1
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	5
Total Unique Incidents	8
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.51

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	2
Weapons	0
Vandalism	1
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	3
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	1



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	1	2
Religion	0	1	1
Ancestry	0	1	1
Gender	0	4	4
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	1	1
Other	0	2	2
No Identified Nature	2		2

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	16	3.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*
Any Suspension	19	3.6%
Removal to other education program	*	*
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	*	*

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

22



George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:05 AM
Typical End Time	2:40 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 35 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 43 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 43 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	61	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	11.6	12.1
Average years experience in district	9.0	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	72.1%	75.3%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	8	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	19.9	16.0
Average years experience in district	7.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	62.5%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	9:1
Students to Administrators	266:1	143:1
Teachers to Administrators	31:1	15:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		570:1
Students to Nurses		380:1
Students to Counselors		285:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		190:1



George G White
 (03-2180-020)
 Grades Offered: 05-08
 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	48.4%	86.9%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	51.6%	13.1%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	76.8%	93.4%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	13.7%	6.6%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree



Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	94.1%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.8%



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the [NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information](#). More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the [User Friendly Budget](#) and the [Comprehensive Annual Financial Report \(CAFR\) and Auditor Management Reports \(AMRs\)](#).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

[Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.](#)

An option to [download all school-level summaries by district](#) in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Accountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	72.0%	68.5%	79.0%
Math Proficiency	52.8%	58.9%	63.2%
ELA Growth	47	37	74
Math Growth	56	52	64
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	N
Chronic Absenteeism	7.4%	7.2%	6.6%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these [accountability resources](#).

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	N	Met	No
White	Met Goal	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Highlights:

- 1:1 Chromebook Initiative



Mission, Vision,
Theme:

The mission of the George G. White Middle School is to provide all individuals with a safe and caring learning environment that enables all children to reach their maximum potential, so that they can become self-assured, responsible life-long learners.



George G White
(03-2180-020)
Grades Offered: 05-08
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Sports and Athletics:

Sports Offered: Basketball (Boys & Girls), Soccer (Boys & Girls), Track and Field - Spring (Boys & Girls), Volleyball (Girls)



Clubs and Activities:

Robotics, School Musical, Band, Choir, Destination Imagination, Student Council, School Newspaper, School Yearbook, Battle of the Books, Intergenerational Program, Hawks Can Help, Bergen Brain Busters, Big Brother and Big Sister Program, Homework Help Club



George G White

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Staff and Professional Learning:

The teachers and administration at George G. White Middle School continually work to enrich the curriculum for all students. The teachers continue to learn new and innovative ways to enrich instruction for their children through attending building PLCs, graduate school, local workshops, and professional development offerings by the school district.

**George G White**

(03-2180-020)

Grades Offered: 05-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Parent and Community Involvement:

The George G. White Middle School has a parent volunteer program and a very supportive and actively involved Family and Faculty Association. Each school year this group creates many programs that provide enriching learning experiences for our students. Some of our activities include assembly programs and field trips.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- **Learn more** about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- **Start conversations** with school community members and ask questions
- **Engage** with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows at the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the [Summary Report](#) or the [district-level report](#) for this school
- Read the [Reference Guide](#), [Frequently Asked Questions](#), or [Data Privacy Rules](#) for more detailed information about the data in the report
- [Download the data](#) in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our [feedback survey](#)
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Type	Contact Information
County	Bergen
District	Hillsdale School District
Principal Name	Mr. Christopher Bell
Address	50 PIERMONT AVE HILLSDALE, NJ 07642
Phone Number	201-664-8088
Email Address	cbell@hillsdaleschools.com
Website	https://www.hillsdaleschools.com/Domain/117



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK	31	29	36
KG	55	58	56
1	51	49	58
2	63	50	50
3	59	65	53
4	60	60	66
Total	319	311	319

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	48.9%	46.6%	48.0%
Male	51.1%	53.4%	52.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	4.7%	4.2%	6.0%
Students with Disabilities	28.8%	29.9%	29.8%
English Learners	1.6%	1.0%	1.3%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.6%	0.6%	1.3%
Migrant Students	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	75.9%	75.2%	71.2%
Hispanic	13.2%	12.9%	14.1%
Black or African American	0.3%	0.3%	1.3%
Asian	6.0%	6.8%	9.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6%	1.0%	0.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	4.1%	3.9%	3.4%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	20	28	18
PK - Full Day	11	1	18
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	55	58	56

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	92.5%
Spanish	4.7%
Other Languages	2.8%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measured by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.

ELA Median Student Growth Percentile



Math Median Student Growth Percentile



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	50	47	52.5	46	58	57
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	52.5	72	50	Met Standard	57	63	50	Met Standard
White	50	73	50	Met Standard	50	63	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	*	71	49	**	*	62	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	74	59	**	*	79	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	56	**	N	N	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	63.5	49	**	*	60.5	52	**
Female	55	75	53	N	57	61	50	N
Male	46	69	47	N	57	64	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	66.5	48	**	*	59	46	**
Students with Disabilities	57	62	43	Met Standard	55	57	45	Met Standard
English Learners	*	76.5	52	**	*	77	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	42	N	N	N	44	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	49	N	*	*	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



Meadowbrook
 (03-2180-030)
 Grades Offered: PK-04
 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19 statewide assessment growth.



Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.

ELA Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Math Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability



Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	97.5%	96.9%	96.6%	97.5%	96.9%	96.6%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	78.1%	74.0%	76.1%	64.0%	69.1%	71.9%
Annual Target	64.3%	65.1%	66.0%	61.7%	62.6%	63.6%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	113	96.6	76.1	79.0	57.9	76.1	66	Met Target
White	82	96.5	75.6	80.0	66.9	75.6	66.3	Met Target
Hispanic	15	100.0	66.7	*	43.9	66.7	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	50.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	100.0	100.0	*	82.9	100.0	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	56.0	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	84.2	64.4	*	**	**
Female	52	96.3	76.9	85.3	64.8	76.9		
Male	61	96.9	75.4	73.2	51.3	75.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	54.3	40.0	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	80.7	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	31	96.9	58.1	47.9	22.7	58.1	37.2	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	82	96.5	82.9	88.3	65.1	82.9		
English Learners	*	*	*	61.9	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	79.5	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	47	775	773	748	*	*	*	*	*	72%	50%
White	37	772	770	757	*	*	*	*	*	70%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	773	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	19	773	777	753	*	*	*	*	*	63%	55%
Male	28	777	769	743	*	*	*	*	*	79%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	739	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	778	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
English Learners	N	N	*	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	47	775	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	72%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	64	773	780	755	0%	*	*	58%	22%	80%	57%
White	45	772	779	763	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	67%
Hispanic	*	*	778	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	797	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	33	783	788	760	0%	*	*	42%	42%	85%	62%
Male	31	762	770	750	0%	*	*	74%	0%	74%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	21	759	761	725	0%	*	*	*	*	67%	25%
Students without Disabilities	43	780	787	761	0%	*	*	*	*	86%	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	64	773	780	758	0%	*	*	58%	22%	80%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	114	96.6	71.9	64.8	44.5	71.9	63.6	Met Target
White	82	96.5	73.2	65.7	54.1	73.2	64.3	Met Target
Hispanic	15	100.0	73.3	*	28.8	73.3	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	27.3	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	100.0	90.0	*	76.5	90.0	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	63.2	53.3	*	**	**
Female	53	96.4	75.5	64.6	44.9	75.5		
Male	61	96.9	68.9	65.0	44.2	68.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	27.7	26.3	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	67.3	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	31	96.9	48.4	31.1	17.4	48.4	40	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	83	96.6	80.7	74.8	50.0	80.7		
English Learners	*	*	*	54.5	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	65.1	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



† 2018-19 results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	48	769	761	752	*	*	*	54%	25%	79%	55%
White	37	773	761	760	0%	*	*	54%	27%	81%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	778	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Female	20	763	758	751	*	*	*	*	*	70%	54%
Male	28	773	764	752	*	*	*	*	*	86%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	741	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	764	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
English Learners	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	64	757	761	749	*	*	23%	*	*	66%	51%
White	45	756	761	757	*	*	27%	*	*	64%	62%
Hispanic	*	*	759	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	787	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	33	763	765	749	*	*	*	*	*	79%	50%
Male	31	749	757	749	*	*	*	*	*	52%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	21	739	743	726	*	*	*	*	*	43%	25%
Students without Disabilities	43	765	768	754	*	*	*	*	*	77%	56%
English Learners	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Non-English Learners	64	757	761	751	*	*	23%	*	*	66%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



Meadowbrook
 (03-2180-030)
 Grades Offered: PK-04
 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the [NJSLA-Science website](#) for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the [assessment reports page](#) for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	7	2.5	9.2	Met
White	2	1.0	9.2	Met
Hispanic	4	10.5	9.2	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	1	3.3	9.2	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	4	2.9		
Male	3	2.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	1	6.7	**	**
Students with Disabilities	4	5.3	9.2	Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Total Unique Incidents	N
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	N

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	N



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	N	N	N
Religion	N	N	N
Ancestry	N	N	N
Gender	N	N	N
Sexual Orientation	N	N	N
Disability	N	N	N
Other	N	N	N
No Identified Nature	N		N

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	N	N
Out-of-School Suspensions	N	N
Any Suspension	N	N
Removal to other education program	N	N
Expulsion	N	N
Arrest	N	N

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

N

**Meadowbrook**

(03-2180-030)

Grades Offered: PK-04

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	9:00 AM
Typical End Time	3:10 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 10 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 42 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 42 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	37	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	14.4	12.1
Average years experience in district	12.1	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	81.1%	75.3%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	8	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	19.9	16.0
Average years experience in district	7.0	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	62.5%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	9:1
Students to Administrators	319:1	143:1
Teachers to Administrators	37:1	15:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		570:1
Students to Nurses		380:1
Students to Counselors		285:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		190:1



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	48.0%	91.9%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	52.0%	8.1%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	71.2%	94.6%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	14.1%	5.4%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree



Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	94.1%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	98.3%



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the [NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information](#). More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the [User Friendly Budget](#) and the [Comprehensive Annual Financial Report \(CAFR\) and Auditor Management Reports \(AMRs\)](#).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

[Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.](#)

An option to [download all school-level summaries by district](#) in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

† This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Accountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	78.1%	74.0%	76.1%
Math Proficiency	64.0%	69.1%	71.9%
ELA Growth	50	47	52
Math Growth	46	58	57
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	5.5%	3.2%	2.5%

† This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these [accountability resources](#).

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Highlights:

- Curriculum includes Balanced Literacy, Next Generation Science Standards and STEM throughout the curriculum



Mission, Vision, Theme:

The mission of the Meadowbrook School is to provide all individuals with a safe and caring learning environment that enables all children to reach their maximum potential, so that they can become self-assured, responsible life-long learners.





Meadowbrook
(03-2180-030)
Grades Offered: PK-04
2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

 <p>Before and After School Programs:</p>	<p>Hillsdale is proud to offer the A.C.E. before and after school program at our two elementary schools. Please see the A.C.E. website for more information at: http://www.rvedfund.org/a-c-e/</p>
 <p>Staff and Professional Learning:</p>	<p>The teachers and administration at Meadowbrook School continually work to enrich the curriculum for all students. The teachers continue to learn new and innovative ways to enrich instruction for their children through attending building PLCs, graduate school, local workshops, and professional development offerings by the school district.</p>



Meadowbrook
 (03-2180-030)
 Grades Offered: PK-04
 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Parent and Community Involvement:

The Meadowbrook School has an extensive parent volunteer program and a very supportive and actively involved Family and Faculty Association. Each school year this group creates many programs that provide an extension of our curriculum and enriching learning experiences for our students.