

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

N.J. State EMERGENCY
RELIEF ADMINISTRATION

FOOD FOR RELIEF CLIENTS
IN BURLINGTON COUNTY

DEPOSITORY COPY

Do Not Remove From Library

974.90

U55

1935a

copy 1

JULY 1935



FOOD FOR RELIEF CLIENTS IN BURLINGTON COUNTY

"Food for Relief Clients in Burlington County" is perhaps an ambitious title for the material presented in this paper. In the Spring of 1935, the County Director of Emergency Relief in Burlington County wished to find out something about the adequacy of food relief allowances in his county.

Family visitors were instructed to obtain from each client, on a simple form, a detailed list of all food items, including garden produce, secured from any source whatsoever during the first half of July. The nutritional adviser and the Research Section at State Headquarters were asked to analyze and appraise the data.

The Families Studied

Below is a comparison of the sample studied and the Burlington County case load as taken from the July, 1935, Relief Report.

Burlington County	<u>917</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>3036</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
District #1	172	18.7	585	19.3
District #2	483	52.7	1344	44.3
District #3	262	28.6	1107	36.4

The white families represent 94.8% and the negro families, 5.2% of the 917 cases studied.*

The Treatment of the Data

After discussion of the schedules and the quality of data they contained, it was decided between the nutritional adviser and the Research Section that a few very simple tabulations would be made.

* This percentage of negroes of the total is far lower than was anticipated and it is probable that many schedules covering negro families were not so marked and have therefore been tabulated as white.

1. The energy needs, in terms of total calories, were computed for each family reported on a schedule, on the basis of the March, 1934 Budget.
2. The total caloric value of the foodstuffs included in each schedule was computed.
3. A series of tables, Series A, to indicate what percentage of adequacy of energy needs the caloric value of each schedule achieves, (Item 2 divided by Item 1) would be based on these calculations.*

* The fact that total calories purchased equal or exceed 100% of energy needs is not in itself an indication of adequacy. A food allowance is adequate only when calories are adequate and there is a proper distribution of protein, fat and carbohydrates content. An analysis of the schedules for this purpose will be made in a table Series B, if desired by the County Director. The nutritional adviser and the Research Section question whether the data are sufficiently accurate to offer any certainty that the results will be usable should such an analysis be undertaken.

THE SUBJECT MATTER OF TABLE SERIES A

Table 1 of this group is a summary of the county picture according to color and according to district.

Tables 1a, 1b and 1c respectively, give a detailed picture by color and by municipality for Districts #1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 2 provides a breakdown by number of persons in household as reported on the schedules, by race, for the county as a whole, and for each district.

Table 3 provides a breakdown by type, of family group as reported on the schedules, by race, for the country as a whole, and for each district.

Table 4 analyzes reported family income status by district, segregating those cases where employment is reported, and where relief from other agencies is indicated, from those where no income is shown.

Geographic Analysis - Table 1

Medians indicate that food relief is not grossly inadequate. Taken by districts, District #1 has the highest median rating, District #3, the lowest. Median ratings indicate that food relief is least adequate in District #3.

Median Percentage of Caloric Adequacy

<u>All Districts</u>	<u>96.8%</u>
District #1	103.0
District #2	97.1
District #3	92.1

In District #2, the highest median is in Medford, the lowest in Moorestown. In District #3, Bordentown City is low, Mansfield is high.

While medians are useful as a general guide, range from high to low is also significant. It is noted that District #3 has both the highest percentage of excessively high allowances (150% and more of caloric needs) and of excessively low allowances (50% or less of caloric needs.)

Distribution by Size of Family - Table #2

The high median percentage adequacy of 131.2 is in the white non-family group, the low of 79.2 is in the white family group of 10. The trend is decidedly downward as shown by the median percentages, i.e. the small families are getting more calories per person than the large families.

This trend is noted both for white and negro families and for all districts.

Distribution by Type of Family - Table #3

The greatest number of cases falls in the normal family group, and the smallest number falls in the broken family and others group. In the former group, there are 595 cases, representing 64.9% of the total cases studied, showing a median percentage adequacy of 94.4. In the latter group, there are 22 cases, representing 2.4% of the total cases studied, showing a median percentage adequacy of 96.4.

The non-family group shows the high median percentage adequacy of 131.5, while the normal family and others group shows the low median percentage adequacy of 85.2

Distribution by Class of Dependency - Table #4

The families with no income reported, numbering 662 and representing 72.2% of the sample studied, show a median percentage adequacy of 100.9 as compared with the families with income report, numbering 255 and representing 27.8% of the samples studied, which show a median percentage adequacy of only 86.7.

H I G H L I G H T S

1. Generally speaking, it becomes apparent from the median ratings that the average client in Burlington County gets enough food, but that there is sufficient range of adequacy and in adequacy to cause concern.
2. The descending indicated adequacy as families grow larger suggests a review of the scale of allowances. Should allowances per individual be reduced in larger size families as much as present scales prescribe?
3. The relatively high number of families even where allowance is in excess of 150% of caloric needs suggests a point for further checking of resources.
4. The number of allowances of relatively low caloric value suggests that the nutritional project give special emphasis to families who do not seem qualified to make the best use of resources.

You are Viewing a Digitized Copy from the New Jersey State Library
 Emergency Relief Administration
 Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
 SERIES A

Table 1

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color - County & District Totals
 (Percentage Distribution)

			150	125	100	75	95	90	85	80	75	50	70	65	60	55	50		
		TOTAL	& over	150	125	100	100	95	90	85	80	75	75	70	65	60	55	50	-50
All Districts																			
Total	100.0	100.0	9.9	12.2	24.0	30.4	7.0	6.8	5.4	5.4	5.8	19.9	5.3	5.1	4.4	3.2	1.9	3.6	
White	94.8	100.0	9.7	11.7	24.6	30.8	7.0	6.9	5.3	5.5	6.1	20.3	5.5	5.1	4.5	3.2	2.0	2.9	
Negro	5.2	100.0	14.6	20.8	12.5	22.9	6.2	4.2	8.3	4.2	-	12.5	2.1	6.2	2.1	2.1	-	16.7	
District #1																			
Total	18.7	100.0	7.5	12.8	33.7	32.6	7.6	9.3	5.8	5.8	4.1	11.1	1.2	3.5	4.6	1.2	.6	2.3	
White	17.9	100.0	6.7	12.2	34.8	32.9	7.3	9.7	5.5	6.1	4.3	11.0	1.2	3.7	4.9	.6	.6	2.4	
Negro	.8	100.0	25.0	25.0	12.5	25.0	12.5	-	12.5	-	-	12.5	-	-	-	12.5	-	-	
District #2																			
Total	52.7	100.0	10.5	13.7	22.4	29.0	6.4	6.0	4.6	6.6	5.4	21.3	7.2	5.4	4.5	2.5	1.7	3.1	
White	50.3	100.0	10.0	13.7	23.0	29.7	6.5	6.3	4.8	6.5	5.6	21.7	7.4	5.4	4.6	2.6	1.7	1.9	
Negro	2.4	100.0	22.7	13.7	9.1	13.6	4.5	-	-	9.1	-	13.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	-	-	27.3	
District #3																			
Total	28.6	100.0	10.3	9.2	20.6	31.7	7.6	6.5	6.9	3.1	7.6	22.9	4.6	5.7	3.8	5.7	3.1	5.3	
White	26.6	100.0	11.1	7.8	20.9	31.5	7.8	6.1	6.1	3.3	8.2	23.8	4.9	5.3	4.1	6.2	3.3	4.9	
Negro	2.0	100.0	-	27.8	16.7	33.3	5.5	11.1	16.7	-	-	11.1	-	11.1	-	-	-	11.1	

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 1

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color, County and District Totals

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>PER CENT</u>															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
All Districts - Total	<u>917</u>	91	112	220	<u>279</u>	64	62	50	50	53	<u>182</u>	49	47	40	29	17	<u>33</u> 96.8
White "	<u>869</u>	84	102	214	<u>268</u>	61	60	46	48	53	<u>176</u>	48	44	39	28	17	<u>25</u> 96.8
Negro "	<u>48</u>	7	10	6	<u>11</u>	3	2	4	2	-	<u>6</u>	1	3	1	1	-	<u>8</u> 97.7
District #1 - Total	<u>172</u>	13	22	58	<u>56</u>	13	16	10	10	7	<u>19</u>	2	6	8	2	1	<u>4</u> 103.0
White "	<u>164</u>	11	20	57	<u>54</u>	12	16	9	10	7	<u>18</u>	2	6	8	1	1	<u>4</u> 102.6
Negro "	<u>8</u>	2	2	1	<u>2</u>	1	-	1	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	- 125.0
District #2 - Total	<u>483</u>	51	66	108	<u>140</u>	31	29	22	32	26	<u>103</u>	35	26	22	12	8	<u>15</u> 97.1
White "	<u>461</u>	46	63	106	<u>137</u>	30	29	22	30	26	<u>100</u>	34	25	21	12	8	<u>9</u> 97.2
Negro "	<u>22</u>	5	3	2	<u>3</u>	1	-	-	2	-	<u>3</u>	1	1	1	-	-	<u>6</u> 91.7
District #3 - Total	<u>262</u>	27	24	54	<u>83</u>	20	17	18	8	20	<u>60</u>	12	15	10	15	8	<u>14</u> 92.1
White "	<u>244</u>	27	19	51	<u>77</u>	19	15	15	8	20	<u>58</u>	12	13	10	15	8	<u>12</u> 91.9
Negro "	<u>18</u>	-	5	3	<u>6</u>	1	2	3	-	-	<u>2</u>	-	2	-	-	-	<u>2</u> 95.8

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 1a

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color, District #1 and Component Municipalities

		PER CENT															Median		
		<u>TOTAL</u>	150 & over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	Rating
All Municipalities-Total		<u>172</u>	13	22	58	<u>56</u>	13	16	10	10	7	<u>19</u>	2	6	8	2	1	<u>4</u>	103.0
White	"	<u>164</u>	11	20	57	<u>54</u>	12	16	9	10	7	<u>18</u>	2	6	8	1	1	<u>4</u>	102.6
Negro	"	<u>8</u>	2	2	1	<u>2</u>	1	-	1	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	-	125.0
Delanco	Total	<u>29</u>	3	2	13	<u>5</u>	2	1	-	2	-	<u>5</u>	-	3	2	-	-	<u>1</u>	106.7
White	"	<u>29</u>	3	2	13	<u>5</u>	2	1	-	2	-	<u>5</u>	-	3	2	-	-	<u>1</u>	106.7
Negro	"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delran	Total	<u>28</u>	2	3	12	<u>10</u>	1	4	2	1	2	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	-	106.5
White	"	<u>27</u>	2	3	12	<u>9</u>	-	4	2	1	2	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	-	107.3
Negro	"	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	Total	<u>115</u>	8	17	33	<u>41</u>	10	11	8	7	5	<u>13</u>	2	3	6	2	-	<u>3</u>	100.4
White	"	<u>108</u>	6	15	32	<u>40</u>	10	11	7	7	5	<u>12</u>	2	3	6	1	-	<u>3</u>	99.4
Negro	"	<u>7</u>	2	2	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	-	131.3

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 1b

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color, District #2 and Component Municipalities

		PER CENT																Median	
		TOTAL	150 over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	Rating
All Municipalities	Total	483	51	66	108	140	31	29	22	32	26	103	35	26	22	12	8	15	97.1
	White	461	46	63	106	137	30	29	22	30	26	100	34	25	21	12	8	9	97.2
	Negro	22	5	3	2	3	1	-	-	2	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	6	91.7
Chester and																			
Maple Shade	Total	109	9	13	23	30	9	6	5	6	4	32	11	8	7	2	4	2	92.1
	White	109	9	13	23	30	9	6	5	6	4	32	11	8	7	2	4	2	92.1
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Easthampton	Total	5	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	93.8
	White	5	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	93.8
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Evesham	Total	10	2	1	3	4	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108.3
	White	10	2	1	3	4	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108.3
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hainesport	Total	36	6	6	14	8	1	-	1	3	3	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	114.3
	White	34	5	6	14	7	1	-	1	2	3	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	114.3
	Negro	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Lumberton	Total	17	-	2	5	6	2	-	-	2	2	4	1	2	-	-	1	-	93.8
	White	17	-	2	5	6	2	-	-	2	2	4	1	2	-	-	1	-	93.8
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Medford	Total	42	8	9	12	7	1	-	4	-	2	5	2	2	1	-	-	1	116.7
	White	37	6	8	12	7	1	-	4	-	2	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	115.6
	Negro	5	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	137.5

* Not significant.

Table 1b (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color, District #2 and Component Municipalities

		PER CENT																Median Rating	
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55		-50
Moorestown	Total	65	-	3	16	29	5	10	4	4	6	16	6	2	6	2	-	1	88.4
White	"	65	-	3	16	29	5	10	4	4	6	16	6	2	6	2	-	1	88.4
Negro	"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mount Holly	Total	146	20	19	17	43	10	10	6	10	7	38	12	11	7	5	3	9	90.1
White	"	137	19	18	17	42	9	10	6	10	7	36	12	10	6	5	3	5	91.4
Negro	"	9	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	4	56.2
Mount Laurel	Total	29	3	4	8	10	3	-	1	5	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	101.6
White	"	26	3	4	7	9	3	-	1	4	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	103.6
Negro	"	3	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	87.5
New Hanover	Total	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	*
White	"	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	*
Negro	"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Hanover	Total	14	1	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	125.0
White	"	11	-	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	122.5
Negro	"	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	137.5
Shamong	Total	8	1	1	4	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
White	"	8	1	1	4	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
Negro	"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 1c

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color, District #3 and Component Municipalities

		<u>PER CENT</u>																	Median Rating
		<u>TOTAL</u>	150 over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	
All Municipalities	Total	262	27	24	54	83	20	17	18	8	20	60	12	15	10	15	8	14	92.1
	White	244	27	19	51	77	19	15	15	8	20	58	12	13	10	15	8	12	91.9
	Negro	18	-	5	3	6	1	2	3	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	95.8
Bordentown	Total	72	6	2	14	23	6	3	5	1	8	22	4	5	4	6	3	5	84.8
	White	69	6	2	14	22	6	3	4	1	8	21	4	4	4	6	3	4	85.8
	Negro	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	62.5
Burlington City	Total	112	7	14	18	35	9	10	6	4	6	30	7	7	5	6	5	8	87.9
	White	97	7	9	15	30	8	8	4	4	6	29	7	6	5	6	5	7	85.4
	Negro	15	-	5	3	5	1	2	2	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	104.2
Burlington Twp.	Total	12	-	-	4	4	-	1	2	-	1	4	1	1	-	2	-	-	87.5
	White	12	-	-	4	4	-	1	2	-	1	4	1	1	-	2	-	-	87.5
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fieldsboro	Total	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	*
	White	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	*
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florence	Total	57	13	7	15	19	5	2	5	3	4	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	110.8
	White	57	13	7	15	19	5	2	5	3	4	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	110.8
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mansfield	Total	7	1	1	3	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113.5
	White	7	1	1	3	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113.5
	Negro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County

SERIES A
Table 2

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group
(Percentage Distribution)

			150	125	100	75	95	90	85	80	75	50	70	65	60	55	50		
			&	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		TOTAL	over	150	125	100	100	95	90	85	80	75	75	70	65	60	55	-50	
White and Negro	Total	100.0	100.0	9.9	12.2	24.0	30.4	7.0	6.8	5.4	5.4	5.8	19.9	5.3	5.1	4.4	3.2	1.9	3.6
1		100.0	33.7	20.8	15.8	19.8	4.0	4.0	2.0	6.9	2.9	8.9	3.9	2.0	-	2.0	1.0	1.0	
2		100.0	14.4	14.4	22.8	29.4	5.0	8.3	8.3	5.0	2.8	15.6	7.8	3.9	1.7	1.7	.5	3.4	
3		100.0	7.3	13.9	27.0	27.0	6.6	5.8	3.6	4.4	6.6	23.3	3.6	5.8	8.0	1.5	4.4	1.5	
4		100.0	6.7	14.9	25.0	33.1	6.1	8.7	4.7	6.8	6.8	14.2	4.1	4.1	2.7	2.0	1.3	6.1	
5		100.0	5.7	12.4	26.7	25.7	9.5	1.9	3.8	3.8	6.7	29.5	3.8	8.6	10.5	4.7	1.9	-	
6		100.0	2.3	4.6	29.9	32.2	9.2	6.9	5.7	5.8	4.6	27.6	10.3	5.8	3.4	6.9	1.2	3.4	
7		100.0	1.4	4.4	26.1	42.0	8.7	3.7	10.1	5.8	8.7	20.3	4.4	7.3	1.4	5.8	1.4	5.8	
8		100.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	27.5	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	2.5	25.0	2.5	2.5	7.5	7.5	5.0	7.5	
9		100.0	-	3.6	14.3	46.4	14.3	3.6	-	7.1	21.4	21.4	3.6	7.1	7.1	-	3.6	14.3	
10		100.0	-	-	12.5	37.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	-	-	37.5	25.0	-	-	12.5	-	12.5	
11		100.0	-	-	-	75.0	25.0	25.0	-	25.0	-	25.0	-	25.0	-	-	-	-	
12 and more		100.0	-	10.0	-	60.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	-	20.0	30.0	-	10.0	20.0	-	-	-	
White	Total	94.8	100.0	9.7	11.7	24.6	30.8	7.0	6.9	5.3	5.5	6.1	20.3	5.5	5.1	4.5	3.2	2.0	2.9
1		100.0	34.0	21.3	17.0	18.1	3.2	4.2	1.1	6.4	3.2	8.5	4.2	1.1	-	2.1	1.1	1.1	
2		100.0	12.3	15.2	23.4	29.8	5.3	8.7	7.6	5.3	2.9	16.4	8.2	4.1	1.8	1.8	.5	2.9	
3		100.0	7.6	12.9	28.0	27.3	6.1	6.1	5.8	4.5	6.8	23.4	3.8	5.3	8.3	1.5	4.5	.8	
4		100.0	7.1	14.2	25.5	34.0	6.4	9.2	4.9	6.4	7.1	13.5	4.3	3.6	2.8	1.4	1.4	5.7	
5		100.0	6.1	11.1	25.3	27.2	10.1	2.0	4.0	4.0	7.1	30.3	4.0	9.1	10.1	5.1	2.0	-	
6		100.0	2.4	3.7	31.3	31.3	9.7	6.0	4.8	6.0	4.8	28.9	10.9	6.0	3.6	7.2	1.2	2.4	
7		100.0	1.5	1.5	27.7	43.1	7.7	9.2	10.0	6.2	9.2	21.5	4.6	7.7	1.5	6.2	1.5	4.7	
8		100.0	5.3	5.3	31.5	26.3	5.2	7.9	5.3	5.3	2.6	26.3	2.6	2.6	7.9	7.9	5.3	5.3	
9		100.0	-	4.0	12.0	52.0	16.0	4.0	-	8.0	24.0	24.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	-	4.0	8.0	
10		100.0	-	-	14.3	42.9	14.3	14.3	14.3	-	-	28.6	14.3	-	-	14.3	-	14.3	
11		100.0	-	-	-	75.0	25.0	25.0	-	25.0	-	25.0	-	25.0	-	-	-	-	
12 and more		100.0	-	10.0	-	60.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	-	20.0	30.0	-	10.0	20.0	-	-	-	

Table 2 (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group
(Percentage Distribution)

		150 & TOTAL	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50		
Negro	Total	5.2	100.0	14.6	20.8	12.5	22.9	6.2	4.2	8.3	4.2	-	12.5	2.1	6.2	2.1	2.1	-	16.7
1		100.0	28.6	14.3	-	42.8	14.3	-	14.3	14.2	-	14.3	-	14.3	-	-	-	-	-
2		100.0	56.0	-	11.0	22.0	-	-	22.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.0
3		100.0	-	40.0	-	20.0	20.0	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	20.0	-	-	-	-	20.0
4		100.0	-	28.6	14.3	14.2	-	-	-	14.2	-	28.6	-	14.3	-	14.3	-	-	14.3
5		100.0	-	33.3	50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.7	-	-	16.7	-	-	-	-
6		100.0	-	25.0	-	50.0	-	25.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.0
7		100.0	-	50.0	-	25.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.0
8		100.0	-	-	-	50.0	-	50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.0
9		100.0	-	-	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7
10		100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
11		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 and more		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

You are Viewing an Archived Copy from the New Jersey State Library
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 2

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, All Districts

		<u>PER CENT</u>																Median Rating
		<u>TOTAL</u>	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
All Districts	Total	<u>917</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>112</u>	<u>220</u>	<u>279</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>182</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>33</u> 96.8
White and Negro																		
1		<u>101</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u> 130.4
2		<u>180</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u> 101.8
3		<u>137</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u> 98.3
4		<u>148</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>9</u> 97.4
5		<u>105</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u> 94.9
6		<u>87</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u> 89.7
7		<u>69</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u> 89.2
8		<u>40</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u> 90.9
9		<u>28</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u> 82.7
10		<u>8</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u> 100.0
11		<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> *
12 and more		<u>10</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> 83.3
White	Total	<u>869</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>266</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>25</u> 96.8
1		<u>94</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u> 131.2
2		<u>171</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u> 100.9
3		<u>132</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u> 98.6
4		<u>141</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>8</u> 97.7
5		<u>99</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u> 93.1
6		<u>83</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u> 89.9
7		<u>65</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u> 88.8
8		<u>38</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u> 92.5
9		<u>25</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u> 83.7
10		<u>7</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u> 79.2
11		<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> *
12 and more		<u>10</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u> 83.3

Table 2 (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, All Districts

		PER CENT															Median		
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Rating
Negro	Total	48	7	10	6	11	3	2	4	2	-	6	1	3	1	1	-	8	97.7
	1	7	2	1	-	3	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	95.8
	2	9	5	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	3	5	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	87.5
	4	7	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	1	87.5
	5	6	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	116.7
	6	4	-	1	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	87.5
	7	4	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	8	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	9	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	*
	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12 and more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 2a .

Percent Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #1

		PER CENT																Median	
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Rating
White and Negro	Total	172	13	22	58	56	13	16	10	10	7	19	2	6	8	2	1	4	103.0
1		17	4	5	3	4	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	127.5
2		25	4	3	7	8	2	2	3	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	105.4
3		28	3	2	9	8	1	2	2	2	1	6	-	1	4	-	1	-	100.0
4		37	1	6	15	11	2	5	1	1	2	2	-	-	1	1	-	2	105.8
5		20	-	5	7	6	3	-	1	1	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	107.1
6		11	-	-	6	2	-	1	1	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	*
7		17	-	1	7	7	2	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	98.2
8		4	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
9		5	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95.8
10		4	-	-	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	*
11		1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
12 and more		3	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
White	Total	164	11	20	57	54	12	16	9	10	7	18	2	6	8	1	1	4	102.6
1		16	3	5	3	4	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	125.0
2		24	3	3	7	8	2	2	3	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	103.6
3		28	3	2	9	8	1	2	2	2	1	6	-	1	4	-	1	-	100.0
4		36	1	6	15	11	2	5	1	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	106.7
5		18	-	4	6	6	3	-	1	1	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	104.2
6		10	-	-	6	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	*
7		15	-	-	7	6	1	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	97.9
8		4	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
9		5	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95.8
10		4	-	-	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	*
11		1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
12 and more		3	-	-	-	3	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

Table 2a (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #1

		<u>PER CENT</u>																Median Rating
	<u>TOTAL</u>	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	50 - 55	
Negro	Total	8	2	2	1	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	125.0
1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
5	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	125.0
6	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
7	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 and more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 2b

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #2

		PER CENT															Median		
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 -	100 -	75 -	95 -	90 -	85 -	80 -	75 -	50 -	70 -	65 -	60 -	55 -	50 -	-50	Rating
White and Negro	Total	483	51	66	108	140	31	29	22	32	26	103	35	26	22	12	8	15	97.1
1		59	22	13	8	10	3	1	-	5	1	6	4	1	-	1	-	-	135.6
2		105	12	18	24	29	3	7	9	7	3	19	9	4	3	2	1	3	101.6
3		67	4	11	18	16	4	4	2	2	4	16	4	3	5	2	2	2	99.2
4		80	6	11	13	31	6	7	5	8	5	16	5	5	3	1	2	3	91.9
5		52	5	5	13	10	4	1	1	2	2	19	3	6	7	2	1	-	92.5
6		49	1	3	15	20	7	4	3	4	2	9	6	1	-	2	-	1	93.1
7		27	-	1	7	10	1	3	-	2	4	7	2	3	-	2	-	2	86.2
8		23	1	2	8	6	-	2	1	2	1	4	-	-	2	-	2	2	97.9
9		13	-	1	2	6	3	-	-	-	3	3	-	2	1	-	-	1	85.4
10		3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	*
11		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	*
12 and more		4	-	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	87.5
White	Total	461	46	63	106	137	30	29	22	30	26	100	34	25	21	12	8	9	97.2
1		54	21	12	8	8	2	1	-	4	1	5	4	-	-	1	-	-	137.5
2		100	8	18	24	29	3	7	9	7	3	19	9	4	3	2	1	2	100.0
3		66	4	11	18	16	4	4	2	2	4	16	4	3	5	2	2	1	100.0
4		77	6	10	13	30	6	7	5	7	5	16	5	5	3	1	2	2	92.1
5		50	5	5	12	10	4	1	1	2	2	18	3	6	6	2	1	-	92.5
6		47	1	2	15	20	7	4	3	4	2	9	6	1	-	2	-	-	93.1
7		27	-	1	7	10	1	3	-	2	4	7	2	3	-	2	-	2	86.2
8		22	1	2	8	6	-	2	1	2	1	4	-	-	2	-	2	1	100.0
9		11	-	1	1	6	3	-	-	-	3	3	-	2	1	-	-	1	85.4
10		2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	*
11		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	*
12 and more		4	-	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	87.5

Table 2b (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #2

		PER CENT																	Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	
Negro	Total	22	5	3	2	3	1	-	-	2	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	6	91.7
	1	5	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	93.7
	2	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	4	3	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	87.5
	5	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	*
	6	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	9	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	*
	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12 and more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 3c

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #3

		PER CENT																Median Rating	
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55		-50
White and Negro	Total	262	27	24	54	83	20	17	18	8	20	60	12	15	10	15	8	14	92.1
	1	23	8	3	5	6	-	2	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	117.3
	2	50	10	5	10	16	4	6	3	1	2	8	4	3	-	1	-	1	100.0
	3	42	3	6	10	13	4	2	1	2	4	10	1	4	2	-	3	-	96.2
	4	31	3	5	9	7	1	1	1	1	3	10	1	1	-	1	-	4	104.2
	5	33	1	3	2	11	3	1	2	1	4	10	1	2	3	3	1	-	89.8
	6	27	1	1	5	8	1	1	1	1	2	12	3	2	2	4	1	2	74.0
	7	25	1	1	4	12	3	1	6	-	2	10	1	1	-	2	1	2	86.5
	8	13	-	-	2	5	2	2	1	-	-	5	-	1	1	3	-	1	77.5
	9	10	-	-	-	4	1	1	-	-	2	3	-	-	1	-	-	1	66.7
	10	1	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	11	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	12 and more	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	68.7
White	Total	244	27	19	51	77	19	15	15	8	20	58	12	13	10	15	8	12	91.9
	1	24	8	3	5	5	-	2	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	120.0
	2	47	10	5	9	14	4	6	1	1	2	8	4	3	-	1	-	1	101.4
	3	38	3	4	10	12	3	2	1	2	4	9	1	3	2	-	3	-	95.8
	4	28	3	4	8	7	1	1	1	1	3	2	1	-	-	1	-	4	103.1
	5	31	1	2	7	11	3	1	2	1	4	10	1	2	3	3	1	-	87.5
	6	26	1	1	5	5	1	-	1	1	2	12	3	2	2	4	1	2	72.9
	7	23	1	-	4	12	3	1	6	-	2	10	1	1	-	2	1	1	86.5
	8	12	-	-	2	4	2	1	1	-	-	3	-	1	1	3	-	1	75.0
	9	9	-	-	-	4	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	70.8
	10	1	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	11	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	12 and more	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	68.7

* Not significant.

Table 2c (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Number of Persons in Relief Group, District #3

		PER CENT															Median Rating		
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	
Negro	Total	18	-	5	3	6	1	2	3	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	95.8
	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	2	3	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.7
	3	4	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	*
	4	3	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	112.5
	5	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	6	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	7	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	8	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
	12 and more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0

Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 3
Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Type of Family Group, Percentage Distribution

		150 & TOTAL	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	
White and Negro Total Families	100.0	<u>100.0</u>	9.9	12.2	24.0	<u>30.4</u>	7.0	6.8	5.4	5.4	5.8	<u>19.9</u>	5.3	5.1	4.4	3.2	1.9	<u>3.6</u>
Normal Families Total	64.9	<u>100.0</u>	5.7	11.1	25.7	<u>33.1</u>	7.9	7.6	6.2	5.2	6.2	<u>21.0</u>	6.0	5.7	4.4	2.7	2.2	<u>3.4</u>
Broken Families Total	10.9	<u>100.0</u>	10.0	13.0	26.0	<u>31.0</u>	6.0	5.0	6.0	9.0	5.0	<u>17.0</u>	3.0	6.0	6.0	2.0	-	<u>3.0</u>
Non families Total	10.8	<u>100.0</u>	34.3	21.2	15.2	<u>20.2</u>	4.1	4.0	2.0	7.1	3.0	<u>8.1</u>	3.1	2.0	-	2.0	1.0	<u>1.0</u>
Normal and Other Families Total	7.3	<u>100.0</u>	7.5	7.4	20.9	<u>23.9</u>	3.0	6.0	6.0	1.5	7.4	<u>34.3</u>	7.4	6.0	8.9	9.0	3.0	<u>6.0</u>
Broken and Other Families Total	2.4	<u>100.0</u>	9.1	18.2	18.2	<u>31.8</u>	9.1	9.1	-	9.1	4.5	<u>4.5</u>	-	-	-	-	4.5	<u>18.2</u>
Non Family and Others Total	3.7	<u>100.0</u>	17.7	8.8	23.5	<u>23.5</u>	8.8	5.9	2.9	-	5.9	<u>23.5</u>	5.9	2.9	5.9	3.8	-	<u>3.0</u>

The following coding is used in Table 3, which follows:

Normal Families

H W = Husband and wife
H W -16 = Husband, wife and one or more children under 16 years of age
H W /16 = Husband, wife and one or more children over 16 years of age
H W /16 -16 = Husband, wife, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age

Broken Families

H C -16 = Husband and one or more children under 16 years of age
H C /16 = Husband and one or more children over 16 years of age
H C /16 -16 = Husband, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age
W C -16 = Woman and one or more children under 16 years of age
W C /16 = Woman and one or more children over 16 years of age
W C /16 -16 = Woman, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age

Non-Family

M = Man
W = Woman

Normal and Other Families

H W O = Husband, wife and other
H W -16 O = Husband, wife, one or more children under 16 years of age and other
H W /16 O = Husband, wife, one or more children over 16 years of age and other
H W /16 -16 O = Husband, wife, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age and other

Broken and Other Families

H C -16 O = Husband, one or more children under 16 years of age and other
H C /16 O = Husband, one or more children over 16 years of age and other
H C /16 -16 O = Husband, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age and other
W C -16 O = Woman, one or more children under 16 years of age and other
W C /16 O = Woman, one or more children over 16 years of age and other
W C /16 -16 O = Woman, one or more children over and one or more children under 16 years of age and other

Non-Family and Other

M O = Man and other
W O = Woman and other

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 3

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group

		PER CENT																Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
White and Negro Total Families		917	91	112	220	279	64	62	50	50	53	182	49	47	40	29	17	33 96.8
Normal Families Total		595	34	66	153	197	47	45	37	31	37	125	36	34	26	16	13	20 94.4
H W		138	18	23	32	37	6	11	12	5	3	23	13	5	2	2	1	5 103.2
H W -16		287	14	31	87	96	27	20	16	16	17	51	13	15	12	5	3	8 97.0
H W /16		39	1	2	5	16	3	3	2	4	4	14	1	6	3	2	2	1 82.0
H W /16 -16		131	1	10	29	48	11	11	7	6	13	37	9	8	9	7	4	6 86.7
Broken Families Total		100	10	13	26	31	6	5	6	9	5	17	3	6	6	2	-	3 99.2
H C -16		5	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 112.5
H C /16		3	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	- 87.5
H C /16 -16		7	1	-	3	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	- 104.2
W C -16		38	5	7	7	14	1	2	4	4	3	5	1	2	1	1	-	- 100.0
W C /16		20	3	3	3	7	1	1	2	2	1	4	2	1	1	-	-	- 96.4
W C /16 -16		27	1	1	11	6	4	1	-	1	-	6	-	2	3	1	-	2 97.9
Non-Families Total		99	34	21	15	20	4	4	2	7	3	8	3	2	-	2	1	1 131.5
Men		52	15	11	9	10	2	1	-	4	3	6	1	2	-	2	1	1 125.0
Woman		47	19	10	6	10	2	3	2	3	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	1 138.7
Normal and Other Families Total		67	5	5	14	16	2	4	4	1	5	23	5	4	6	6	2	4 85.
H W O		14	3	3	2	4	-	-	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	- 112.5
H W -16 O		36	1	-	11	5	1	1	2	-	1	17	4	3	5	4	1	2 73.5
H W /16 O		9	1	2	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	2 81.2
H W /16 -16 O		8	-	-	1	5	-	2	-	-	3	2	1	1	-	-	-	- 85.0

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color and Type of Family Group

		PER CENT																	Median
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	Rating
Broken and Other Families	Total	<u>22</u>	2	4	4	<u>7</u>	2	2	-	2	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	<u>4</u>	96.4
H C	-16 0	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	*
H C	/16 0	<u>4</u>	2	-	1	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C	/16 -16 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C	-16 0	<u>4</u>	-	2	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	*
W C	/16 0	<u>13</u>	-	2	3	<u>5</u>	1	2	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	<u>2</u>	92.5
W C	/16 -16 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non Family and Other	Total	<u>34</u>	6	3	8	<u>8</u>	3	2	1	-	2	<u>8</u>	2	1	2	3	-	<u>1</u>	100.0
M O		<u>15</u>	3	2	4	<u>3</u>	1	1	-	-	1	<u>3</u>	-	-	1	2	-	-	109.4
W O		<u>19</u>	3	1	4	<u>5</u>	2	1	1	-	1	<u>5</u>	2	1	1	1	-	<u>1</u>	92.5
White	Total Families	<u>869</u>	84	102	214	<u>268</u>	61	60	46	48	53	<u>176</u>	48	44	39	28	17	<u>25</u>	96.8
Normal Families	Total	<u>567</u>	31	61	148	<u>190</u>	45	43	35	30	37	<u>121</u>	35	32	26	15	13	<u>16</u>	94.3
H W		<u>132</u>	15	23	31	<u>36</u>	6	11	11	5	3	<u>23</u>	13	5	2	2	1	<u>4</u>	105.6
H W	-16	<u>275</u>	14	29	85	<u>91</u>	25	18	15	16	17	<u>49</u>	13	14	12	4	6	<u>7</u>	97.4
H W	/16	<u>36</u>	1	1	5	<u>15</u>	3	3	2	3	4	<u>13</u>	1	5	3	2	2	<u>1</u>	81.7
H W	/16 -16	<u>124</u>	1	8	27	<u>48</u>	11	11	7	6	13	<u>36</u>	8	8	9	7	4	<u>4</u>	86.5
Broken Families	Total	<u>93</u>	10	9	26	<u>31</u>	6	5	6	9	5	<u>16</u>	5	6	5	2	-	<u>1</u>	98.8
H C	-16	<u>3</u>	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
H C	/16	<u>3</u>	-	-	1	<u>1</u>	0	1	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	87.5
H C	/16 -16	<u>7</u>	1	-	3	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	104.2
W C	-16	<u>34</u>	5	4	7	<u>14</u>	1	2	4	4	3	<u>4</u>	1	2	-	1	-	-	98.2
W C	/16	<u>20</u>	3	3	3	<u>7</u>	1	1	2	2	1	<u>4</u>	2	1	1	-	-	-	96.4
W C	/16 -16	<u>26</u>	1	1	11	<u>6</u>	4	1	-	1	-	<u>6</u>	-	2	3	1	-	<u>1</u>	100.0

Not significant.

Table 3 (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 -15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group

		PER CENT																Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
Non Families	Total	92	32	20	15	17	3	4	1	6	3	7	3	1	-	2	1	132.5
Man		48	13	11	9	9	2	1	-	3	3	5	1	1	-	2	1	125.0
Woman		44	19	9	6	8	1	3	1	3	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	141.7
Normal and Other Families	Total	65	5	5	13	16	2	4	4	1	5	23	5	4	6	6	2	85.2
H W 0		14	3	3	2	4	-	-	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	112.5
H W -16 0		34	1	-	10	5	1	1	2	-	1	17	4	3	5	4	1	73.5
H W /16 0		9	1	2	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	81.2
H W /16 -16 0		8	-	-	1	5	-	2	-	-	3	2	1	1	-	-	-	85.0
Broken and Other Families	Total	21	2	4	4	7	2	2	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	98.2
H C -16 0		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 0		4	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16 0		4	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C /16 0		12	-	2	3	5	1	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	95.0
W C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
Non Family and Other	Total	31	4	3	8	7	3	2	-	-	2	8	2	1	2	3	-	98.2
M 0		14	2	2	4	3	1	1	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	2	-	106.2
W 0		17	2	1	4	4	2	1	-	-	1	5	2	1	1	1	-	90.6
Negro Total Families		48	7	10	6	11	3	2	4	2	-	6	1	3	1	1	-	97.7
Normal Families	Total	28	3	5	5	7	2	2	2	1	-	4	1	2	-	1	-	96.4

Table 3 (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group

PER CENT

		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Median Rating
Normal Families	Total	<u>28</u>	3	5	5	<u>7</u>	2	2	2	1	-	<u>4</u>	1	2	-	1	-	<u>4</u> 96.4
	H W	<u>6</u>	3	-	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	H W -16	<u>12</u>	-	2	2	<u>5</u>	2	2	1	-	-	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	1	-	<u>1</u> 90.0
	H W /16	<u>3</u>	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	H W /16 -16	<u>7</u>	-	2	2	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	<u>2</u> 106.2
Broken Families	Total	<u>7</u>	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	<u>2</u> *
	H C -16	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	H C /16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H C /16 -16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	W C -16	<u>4</u>	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	W C /16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	W C /16 -16	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
Non Families	Total	<u>7</u>	2	1	-	<u>3</u>	1	-	1	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	- 95.8
	Man	<u>4</u>	2	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	- *
	Woman	<u>3</u>	-	1	-	<u>2</u>	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- *
Normal and Other	Families Total	<u>2</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	H W 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W -16 0	<u>2</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
	H W /16 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W /16 -16 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

Table 3 (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group

		PER CENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 -	100 -	75 -	95 -	90 -	85 -	80 -	75 -	50 -	70 -	65 -	60 -	55 -	50 -	
TOTAL		over	150	125	100	100	95	90	85	80	75	70	65	60	55	50	
Broken and Other Families	Total	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
H C -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W D /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non Family and Other	Total	3	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
M O		1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W O		1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County

SERIES A

Table 3a

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1-15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #1

		PER CENT																	Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125	100	75	95	90	85	80	75	50	70	65	60	55	50	-50	
White and Negro Total Families		172	13	22	58	56	13	16	10	10	7	19	2	6	8	2	1	4	103.0
Normal Families		Total	105	4	10	36	40	8	11	8	5	12	1	3	6	1	1	3	98.4
H W		20	3	3	4	7	1	2	3	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	100.0
H W -16		55	1	4	24	17	5	3	3	4	2	9	-	2	5	1	1	-	101.6
H W /16		6	-	-	-	6	-	1	2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H W /16 -16		24	-	3	8	10	2	5	-	2	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	1	97.5
Broken Families		Total	27	4	4	11	5	2	1	-	1	1	3	-	1	1	1	-	112.5
H C -16		1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16		1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C -16		8	2	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	125.0
W C /16		5	2	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	137.5
W C /16 -16		11	-	1	5	3	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	102.5
Non Families		Total	17	4	5	3	4	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	127.5
Man		13	3	5	2	2	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	132.5
Woman		4	1	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0
Normal and Other Families		Total	10	-	-	4	3	-	2	1	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	91.7
H W 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H W -16 0		8	-	-	3	2	-	1	1	-	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	87.5
H W /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H W /16 -16 0		2	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0

* Not significant.

Table 3a (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #1

		PER CENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 -	100 -	75 -	95 -	90 -	85 -	80 -	75 -	50 -	70 -	65 -	60 -	55 -	50 -	
		TOTAL	150	125	100	100	95	90	85	80	75	75	70	65	60	55	-50
Broken and Other Families	Total	5	-	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 112.5
H C -16 0		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
H C /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16 C		1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
W C /16 0		3	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
W C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non Family and Other	Total	8	1	1	3	3	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108.3
H O		2	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
W O		6	-	1	3	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108.3
White	Total Families	164	11	20	57	54	12	16	9	10	7	18	2	6	8	1	1 102.6
Normal Families	Total	100	3	9	35	39	7	11	8	8	5	11	1	3	6	-	1 98.0
H W		19	2	3	4	7	1	2	3	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	2 98.2
H W -16		53	1	4	24	16	4	3	3	4	2	8	-	2	5	-	1 102.6
H W /16		6	-	-	-	6	-	1	2	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
H W /16 -16		22	-	2	7	10	2	5	-	2	1	2	-	1	1	-	1 95.0
Broken Families	Total	26	4	3	11	5	2	1	-	1	1	3	-	1	1	1	- 111.4
H C -16		1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
H C /16		1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
H C /16 -16		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1 *
W C -16		7	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	120.8
W C /16		5	2	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	137.5
W C /16 -16		11	-	1	5	3	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	- 102.5

* Not significant.

Table 3a (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1955

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #1

		PER CENT																	Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	
Non Families	Total	<u>16</u>	3	5	3	<u>4</u>	1	1	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	125.0
Man		<u>12</u>	2	5	2	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	130.0
Woman		<u>4</u>	1	-	1	<u>2</u>	1	1	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0
Normal and Other	Total	<u>10</u>	-	-	4	<u>3</u>	-	2	1	-	-	<u>3</u>	1	1	1	-	-	-	91.7
H W O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H W -16-0.		<u>8</u>	-	-	3	<u>2</u>	-	1	1	-	-	<u>3</u>	1	1	1	-	-	-	87.5
H W /16 O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H W /16 -16 O		<u>2</u>	-	-	1	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0
Broken and Other	Total	<u>5</u>	-	2	1	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	112.5
H C -16 O		<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	*
H C /16 O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16 O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16 O		<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C /16 O		<u>3</u>	-	1	1	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
W C /16 -16 O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non Families and	Total	<u>7</u>	1	1	3	<u>2</u>	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
other																			
M O		<u>2</u>	1	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W O		<u>5</u>	-	1	3	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5

Not significant

Table 3a (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #1

		PER CENT																Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125	100	75	95	90	85	80	75	50	70	65	60	55	50	
Negro	Total Families	8	2	2	1	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	125.0
	Normal Families Total	5	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	112.5
	H W	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	H W -16	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	*
	H W /16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W /16 -16	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	Broken Families Total	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	H C -16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H C /16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H C /16 -16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	W C -16	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	W C /16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	W C /16 -16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Non Families Total	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	Man	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
	Woman	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Normal and Other Families Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	E W -16 O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W /16 O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	H W /16 -16 O	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Not significant.

Table 3a (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases

July 1 - 15, 1935

Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #1

		PER CENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125	100	75	95	90	85	80	75	50	70	65	60	55	50	
		TOTAL	125	100	100	100	95	90	85	80	75	75	70	65	60	55	-50
Broken and Other Families	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C /16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C /16 -16 0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non Families and Other	Total	<u>1</u>	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
M O		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W O		<u>1</u>	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 3b

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #

		PER CENT															Median		
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55	-50	Rating
White and Negro Total Families		483	51	66	108	140	31	29	22	32	26	103	35	26	22	12	8	15	97.1
Normal Families Total		313	17	43	80	92	21	22	14	19	16	73	25	20	12	8	8	8	95.5
H W		80	8	15	20	18	2	4	7	3	2	16	8	3	2	2	1	3	103.7
H W -16		153	9	23	44	48	14	11	5	11	7	28	10	7	5	2	4	1	99.7
H W /16		16	-	-	3	5	1	2	-	2	-	8	-	4	2	1	1	-	75.0
H W /16 -16		64	-	5	13	21	4	5	2	3	7	21	7	6	3	3	2	4	83.3
Broken Families Total		55	4	6	13	19	4	3	5	6	1	10	3	3	4	-	-	3	94.1
H C -16		2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
H C /16		1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		6	1	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	108.3
W C -16		22	3	3	3	11	1	2	3	4	1	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	95.5
W C /16		11	-	2	2	4	1	-	2	1	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	90.6
W C /16 -16		13	-	-	5	2	2	-	-	-	-	4	-	2	2	-	-	2	81.2
Non Families Total		57	22	13	7	10	3	1	-	5	1	5	3	1	-	1	-	-	137.5
Man		25	7	5	4	6	2	-	-	3	1	3	1	1	-	1	-	-	121.9
Woman		32	15	8	3	4	1	1	-	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	146.9
Normal and Other Families Total		35	4	3	4	12	2	1	3	1	5	10	3	1	4	2	-	2	86.5
H W 0		9	2	2	1	4	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
H W -16 0		18	1	-	3	3	1	-	1	-	1	10	3	1	4	2	-	1	70.0
H W /16 0		4	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
H W /16 -16 0		4	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #2

		PER CENT																	Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	
Broken & Other Families	- Total	9	2	-	1	4	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	90.6
H C -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16		4	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
W C /16		4	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
W C /16 -16		7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Family & Others	- Total	14	2	1	3	3	-	1	-	-	2	5	1	1	2	1	-	-	91.7
M O		7	1	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	106.2
W O		7	1	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	1	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	81.2
White Total Families		461	46	63	106	137	30	29	22	30	26	100	34	25	21	12	8	9	97.2
Normal Families	- Total	303	15	41	78	91	21	22	14	18	16	72	24	20	12	8	8	6	95.2
H W		77	6	15	20	18	2	4	7	3	2	16	8	3	2	2	1	2	103.1
H W -16		151	9	22	43	48	14	11	5	11	7	28	10	7	5	2	4	1	99.2
H W /16		15	-	-	3	4	1	2	-	1	-	8	-	4	2	1	1	-	73.4
H W /16 -16		60	-	4	12	21	4	5	2	3	7	20	6	6	3	3	2	3	83.3
Broken Families	Total	52	4	6	13	19	4	3	5	6	1	9	3	3	3	-	-	1	96.1
H C -16		1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16		1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		6	1	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	108.3
W C -16		21	3	3	3	11	1	2	3	4	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	96.6
W C /16		11	-	2	2	4	1	-	2	1	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	90.6
W C /16 -16		12	-	-	5	2	2	-	-	-	-	4	-	2	2	-	-	1	87.5

Table 3b (cont'd)

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #2

		PER CENT																Median Rating	
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 150	100 125	75 100	95 100	90 95	85 90	80 85	75 80	50 75	70 75	65 70	60 65	55 60	50 55		50 55
Non-Families -Total		52	21	12	7	8	2	1	-	4	1	4	3	-	-	1	-	-	139.6
M		22	6	5	4	5	2	-	-	2	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	125.0
W		30	15	7	3	3	-	1	-	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	150.0
Normal & Other Families -Total		34	4	3	4	12	2	1	3	1	5	10	3	1	4	2	-	1	87.5
H W		9	2	2	1	4	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	112.5
H W -16		17	1	-	3	3	1	-	1	-	1	10	3	1	4	2	-	-	71.2
H W /16		4	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	*
H W /16 -16		4	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Broken & Other Families -Total		8	2	-	1	4	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	93.7
H C -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16		4	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C /16		3	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Family & Other -Total		12	-	1	3	3	-	1	-	-	2	5	1	1	2	1	-	-	83.3
M O		6	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	100.0
W O		6	-	-	1	2	-	1	-	-	1	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	75.0
Negro - Total Families		22	5	3	2	3	1	-	-	2	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	6	91.7
Normal Families -Total		10	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	112.5

*Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #2

		PER CENT															Median		
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Rating
Normal Families-																			
Total		10	2	2	2	1				1		1	1					2	112.5
H W		3	2															1	*
H W -16		2		1	1													0	125.0
H W /16		1				1				1									*
H W /16 -16		4		1	1							1	1					1	*
Broken Families-																			
Total		3										1			1			2	*
H C -16		1																1	*
H C /16		1																	*
H C /16 -16		1																	*
W C -16		1										1			1				*
W C /16		1																	*
W C /16 -16		1																1	*
Non-Families-																			
Total		5	1	1		2	1			1		1		1					93.7
M		3	1			1				1		1		1					87.5
W		2		1		1	1												*
Normal & Other																			
Families - Total		1																1	*
H W O																			
H W -16		1																1	*
H W /16																			
H W /16 -16																			

*Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #2

		PER CENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
		TOTAL															
Broken & Other Families - Total		<u>1</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> *
H C -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H C -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H C -16 -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W C -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W C -16		<u>1</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u> *
W C -16 -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Family & Other - Total		<u>2</u>	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
M O		<u>1</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
W O		<u>1</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*

*Not significant

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 3c

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #3

		<u>PER CENT</u>																Median Rating
		<u>TOTAL</u>	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
White & Negro																		
Total Families		<u>262</u>	27	24	54	<u>83</u>	20	17	18	8	20	<u>60</u>	12	15	10	15	8	<u>14</u> 92.1
Normal Families-Total		<u>177</u>	13	13	37	<u>65</u>	18	12	15	4	16	<u>40</u>	10	11	8	7	4	<u>9</u> 90.2
H W		<u>38</u>	7	5	8	<u>12</u>	3	5	2	1	1	<u>6</u>	4	2	-	-	-	103.1
H W -16		<u>79</u>	4	4	19	<u>31</u>	8	6	8	1	8	<u>14</u>	3	6	2	2	1	7 89.9
H W /16		<u>17</u>	1	2	2	<u>5</u>	2	-	-	1	2	<u>6</u>	1	2	1	1	1	I 82.5
H W /16 -16		<u>43</u>	1	2	8	<u>17</u>	5	1	5	1	5	<u>14</u>	2	1	5	4	2	I 84.6
Broken Families-Total		<u>18</u>	2	3	2	<u>7</u>	-	1	1	2	3	<u>4</u>	-	2	1	1	-	- 92.9
H C -16		<u>2</u>	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16		<u>1</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		<u>1</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		<u>8</u>	-	2	1	<u>3</u>	-	-	1	-	2	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	1	-	91.7
W C /16		<u>4</u>	1	-	-	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	-	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	87.5
W C /16 -16		<u>3</u>	1	-	1	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	112.5
Non-Families -Total		<u>25</u>	8	3	5	<u>6</u>	-	2	2	1	1	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	1	1	<u>1</u> 117.5
M		<u>14</u>	5	1	3	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	-	1	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	1	1	<u>1</u> 116.7
W		<u>11</u>	3	2	2	<u>4</u>	-	1	2	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> 118.7
Normal & Other Families -Total		<u>22</u>	1	2	6	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	<u>10</u>	1	2	1	4	2	<u>2</u> 72.5
H W		<u>5</u>	1	1	1	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>2</u>	-	-	1	1	-	112.5
H W -16		<u>10</u>	-	-	5	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>4</u>	-	1	-	2	1	1 *
H W /16		<u>5</u>	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	1	1	I 68.7
H W /16 -16		<u>2</u>	-	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	<u>2</u>	1	1	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *

*Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #3

		PER CENT																Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
Broken & Other Families	-Total	8	-	2	2	2	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	100.0
H C -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
W C /16		6	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	100.0
W C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Family & Other	-Total	12	3	1	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	2	-	100.0
M		6	1	1	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	112.5
W		6	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	75.0
White - Total Families		244	27	19	51	77	19	15	15	8	20	58	12	13	10	15	8	91.9
Normal Families-Total		164	13	11	35	60	17	10	13	4	16	38	10	9	8	7	4	90.4
H W		36	7	5	7	11	3	5	1	1	1	6	4	2	-	-	-	105.6
H W -16		71	4	3	18	27	7	4	7	1	8	13	3	5	2	2	1	90.3
H W /16		15	1	1	2	5	2	-	-	1	2	5	1	1	1	1	1	82.5
H W /16 -16		42	1	2	8	17	5	1	5	1	5	14	2	1	5	4	2	85.3
Broken Families-Total		15	2	-	2	7	-	1	1	2	3	4	-	2	1	1	-	87.5
H C -16		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
H C /16		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	*
H C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		6	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	-	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	83.3
W C /16		4	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	87.5
W C /16 -16		3	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	112.5

*Not significant

Table 3c (cont'd)
 Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #3

	Total	PERCENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
Non-Families-Total	<u>24</u>	8	3	5	<u>5</u>	0	2	1	1	1	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	1	1	<u>1</u> 120.0
M	<u>14</u>	5	1	3	<u>2</u>	0	1	0	0	1	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	1	1	<u>1</u> 116.7
W	<u>10</u>	3	2	2	<u>3</u>	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u> 125.0
Normal & Other Families -Total	<u>21</u>	1	2	5	<u>1</u>	0	1	0	0	0	<u>10</u>	1	2	1	4	2	<u>2</u> 71.2
H W	<u>5</u>	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u>	0	0	1	1	0	<u>0</u> 112.5
H W -16	<u>9</u>	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>4</u>	0	1	0	2	1	<u>1</u> 71.9
H W /16	<u>5</u>	0	1	0	<u>1</u>	0	1	0	0	0	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	1	1	<u>1</u> 68.7
H W /16 -16	<u>2</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u>	1	1	0	0	0	<u>0</u> *
Broken & Other Families -Total	<u>8</u>	0	2	2	<u>2</u>	1	0	0	1	0	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	0	1	<u>1</u> 100.0
H C -16	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
H C /16	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
H C /16 -16	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
W C -16	<u>2</u>	0	1	0	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u> *
W C /16	<u>6</u>	0	1	2	<u>1</u>	1	0	0	0	0	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	0	1	<u>1</u> 100.0
W C /16 -16	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Non-Family & Other -Total	<u>12</u>	3	1	2	<u>2</u>	1	1	0	0	0	<u>3</u>	1	0	0	2	0	<u>1</u> 100.0
M	<u>6</u>	1	1	2	<u>1</u>	0	1	0	0	0	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	1	0	<u>0</u> 112.5
W	<u>6</u>	2	0	0	<u>1</u>	1	0	0	0	0	<u>2</u>	1	0	0	1	0	<u>1</u> 75.0
Negro - Total Families	<u>18</u>	0	5	3	<u>6</u>	1	2	3	0	0	<u>2</u>	0	2	0	0	0	<u>2</u> 95.8

*Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #3

		PER CENT																	Median Rating
		TOTAL	150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	50 - 50	
Normal Families-Total		13	0	2	2	5	1	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	87.5
H W		2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.0
H W -16		3	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	87.5
H W /16		2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	*
H W /16 -16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	*
Broken Families-Total		3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H C -16		1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H C /16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H C /16 -16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
W C -16		2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
WCC /16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
W C /16 -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
Non-Families -Total		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
M		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
W		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
Normal & Other Families -Total		1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H W		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H W -16		1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H W /16		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*
H W /16 -16		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*

*Not significant

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
 July 1 - 15, 1935
 Classified by Color and Type of Family Group, District #3

		PER CENT															Median Rating
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	50 - 50	
Broken & Other Families	-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C /16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W C /16 -16		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Family & Others	-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
M		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 4

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Dependency Status, County
(Percentage Distribution)

		150 & <u>TOTAL</u>	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	
Total Families	100.0	<u>100.0</u>	9.9	12.2	24.0	<u>30.4</u>	7.0	6.8	5.4	5.4	5.8	<u>19.9</u>	5.3	5.1	4.4	3.2	1.9	<u>3.6</u>
Families with No Income Report Total	72.2	<u>100.0</u>	11.8	14.3	24.8	<u>30.4</u>	7.1	6.8	5.1	6.4	5.0	<u>16.3</u>	4.8	4.4	3.0	2.7	1.4	<u>2.4</u>
Families with Income Report Total	27.8	<u>100.0</u>	5.1	6.7	22.0	<u>30.6</u>	6.7	6.7	6.3	3.1	7.8	<u>29.0</u>	6.7	7.1	7.8	4.3	3.1	<u>6.6</u>
Public and Private Earnings		<u>100.0</u>	4.6	5.5	23.5	<u>29.8</u>	6.3	6.7	6.3	3.4	7.1	<u>29.9</u>	6.3	7.6	8.0	4.6	3.4	<u>6.7</u>
Board and Lodgers		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Old Age Relief		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Board of Childrens' Guardians		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 4

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Dependency Status, County

		PER CENT																	
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Median Rating	
White and Negro Total Families		<u>917</u>	91	112	220	<u>279</u>	64	62	50	50	53	<u>182</u>	49	47	40	29	17	<u>33</u>	96.8
Families with No Income Report	Total	<u>662</u>	78	95	164	<u>201</u>	47	45	34	42	33	<u>108</u>	32	29	20	18	9	<u>16</u>	100.9
Families with Income Report	Total	<u>255</u>	13	17	56	<u>78</u>	17	17	16	8	20	<u>74</u>	17	18	20	11	8	<u>17</u>	86.7
Public and Private Earnings		<u>238</u>	11	13	56	<u>71</u>	15	16	15	8	17	<u>71</u>	15	18	19	11	8	<u>16</u>	86.3
Board and Lodgers		<u>3</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	62.5
Old Age Relief		<u>7</u>	1	2	-	<u>2</u>	1	-	-	-	1	<u>2</u>	1	-	1	-	-	-	93.7
State Board of Childrens' Guardians		<u>3</u>	-	1	-	<u>2</u>	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.7
Other		<u>4</u>	1	1	-	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 4a
Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Dependency Status, District #1

		PER CENT																
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Median Rating
White and Negro Total Families	<u>172</u>	13	22	58	<u>56</u>	13	16	10	10	7	<u>19</u>	3	6	8	2	1	<u>4</u>	103.1
Families with No Income Report Total	<u>101</u>	8	18	36	<u>29</u>	8	7	5	7	2	<u>9</u>	1	3	4	1	-	<u>1</u>	108.0
Families with Income Report Total	<u>71</u>	5	4	22	<u>27</u>	5	9	5	3	5	<u>10</u>	1	3	4	1	1	<u>3</u>	95.8
Public and Private Earnings	<u>67</u>	5	3	22	<u>25</u>	4	8	5	3	5	<u>9</u>	-	3	4	1	1	<u>3</u>	96.5
Board and Lodgers	<u>2</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	1	-	-	-	-	-	*
Old Age Relief	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Board of Chil- drens' Guardians	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Other	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 4b

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Dependency Status, District #2

		PER CENT																	
		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	-50	Median Rating	
White and Negro Total Families		483	51	66	108	140	31	29	22	32	26	103	35	26	22	12	8	15	97.1
Families with No Income Report Total		359	44	59	85	103	23	23	14	29	14	60	23	14	11	8	4	8	102.5
Families with Income Report Total		124	7	7	23	37	8	6	8	3	12	43	12	12	11	4	4	7	83.1
Public or Private Earnings		118	5	7	23	34	7	6	7	3	11	42	11	12	11	4	4	7	82.4
Board of Lodgers		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Old Age Relief		4	1	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	87.5
State Board of Chil- drens' Guardians		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Other (not specified)		1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*

* Not significant.

State of New Jersey
Emergency Relief Administration
Study of Clients' Food Habits in Burlington County
SERIES A

Table 4c

Percentage Adequacy to Meet Energy Needs of Clients' Food Purchases
July 1 - 15, 1935
Classified by Dependency Status, District #3

		<u>PER CENT</u>															Median Rating
<u>TOTAL</u>		150 & over	125 - 150	100 - 125	75 - 100	95 - 100	90 - 95	85 - 90	80 - 85	75 - 80	50 - 75	70 - 75	65 - 70	60 - 65	55 - 60	50 - 55	
White and Negro Total Families	<u>262</u>	27	24	54	<u>83</u>	20	17	18	8	20	<u>60</u>	12	15	10	15	8	<u>14</u> 92.2
Families with No Income Report Total	<u>202</u>	26	18	43	<u>69</u>	16	15	15	6	17	<u>39</u>	8	12	5	9	5	<u>7</u> 94.9
Families with Income Report Total	<u>60</u>	1	6	11	<u>14</u>	4	2	3	2	3	<u>21</u>	4	3	5	6	3	<u>7</u> 78.6
Public and Private Earnings	<u>53</u>	1	3	11	<u>12</u>	4	2	3	2	1	<u>20</u>	4	3	4	6	3	<u>6</u> 76.0
Board and Lodgers	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u> *
Old Age Relief	<u>3</u>	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	1	-	-	- *
State Board of Chil- drens' Guardians	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- *
Other	<u>2</u>	-	1	-	<u>1</u>	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	- *

* Not significant.