

## CHAPTER 13

REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO DISCRIMINATION  
ON THE BASIS OF HANDICAP

## Authority

N.J.S.A. 10:5-4.1; 10:5-6; 10:5-8(g), (h);  
10:5-12; 10:5-29 through 29.6.

## Source and Effective Date

R.1995 d.424, effective July 12, 1995.  
See: 27 N.J.R. 1954(b), 27 N.J.R. 2956(c).

## Executive Order No. 66(1978) Expiration Date

Chapter 13, Regulations Pertaining to Discrimination on the Basis of Handicap, expires July 12, 2000.

## Chapter Historical Note

Chapter 13 formerly contained rules entitled, Dealers and Brokers which were recodified to N.J.A.C. 13:47A. Chapter 13, Regulations Pertaining to Discrimination on the Basis of Handicap, was originally adopted as R.1990 d.305, effective June 17, 1985. See: 17 N.J.R. 671(a), 17 N.J.R. 1574(a). Chapter 13 was readopted as R.1990 d.360, effective July 16, 1990. See: 22 N.J.R. 1436(a), 22 N.J.R. 2181(a). Chapter 13:13 was readopted as R.1995 d.424, effective July 12, 1995. See: Source and Effective Date.

See section annotations for specific rulemaking activity.

## CHAPTER TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SUBCHAPTER 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 13:13-1.1 Purpose
- 13:13-1.2 Construction
- 13:13-1.3 Definitions

## SUBCHAPTER 2. EMPLOYMENT

- 13:13-2.1 Job advertising and solicitation
- 13:13-2.2 Job referrals
- 13:13-2.3 Employment criteria
- 13:13-2.4 Pre-employment inquiries
- 13:13-2.5 Reasonable accommodation
- 13:13-2.6 Wages and fringe benefits
- 13:13-2.7 Labor organizations
- 13:13-2.8 Exception

## SUBCHAPTER 3. REAL PROPERTY

- 13:13-3.1 Application
- 13:13-3.2 Advertising and solicitation
- 13:13-3.3 Inquiries
- 13:13-3.4 Sale or rental
- 13:13-3.5 Eviction
- 13:13-3.6 Financing
- 13:13-3.7 Covered multifamily dwellings

SUBCHAPTER 4. ACCESS TO PUBLIC  
ACCOMMODATIONS

- 13:13-4.1 Application
- 13:13-4.2 General practices

## SUBCHAPTER 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

## 13:13-1.1 Purpose

This chapter is designed to implement the Law Against Discrimination. N.J.S.A. 10:5-1 to 42 ("the act" or "the statute"), as it pertains specifically to discrimination on the basis of physical and mental handicap.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

## Case Notes

Any handicapped individual aggrieved by an action or inaction of the Commission may take an informal appeal to a Commission-designated representative. *Ryans v. New Jersey Commission for the Blind and Visually Impaired*, 542 F.Supp. 841 (D.N.J.1982).

## 13:13-1.2 Construction

(a) Consistent with the public policy underlying the Law Against Discrimination and with firmly established principles for the interpretation of such remedial legislation, the remedial provisions of the statute will be given a broad construction and its exceptions construed narrowly.

(b) The provisions of these regulations are severable. If any provision or the application of any provisions of these regulations to any person or circumstances is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

## 13:13-1.3 Definitions

The following words and terms, as used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

"Covered multifamily dwellings" means buildings covered by the provisions of the Barrier-Free Subcode of the State Uniform Construction Code Act, N.J.S.A. 52:27D-119 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 5:23-7.

"Handicapped" as used in this chapter will have the same meaning as that term is given by N.J.S.A. 10:5-5(q). It is also unlawful to take any action prohibited by this chapter:

1. Because of a perception or belief that an individual suffers from a handicap, whether or not that individual is actually handicapped;
2. Because a person has been at any time handicapped; or
3. Because a handicapped person will be residing or intends to reside in a dwelling or because of the handicap of any person associated with a buyer or renter.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

**Case Notes**

Obese person may be considered handicapped for purposes of law against discrimination. *Gimello v. Agency Rent-A-Car Systems, Inc.*, 250 N.J.Super. 338, 594 A.2d 264 (A.D.1991).

**SUBCHAPTER 2. EMPLOYMENT****13:13-2.1 Job advertising and solicitation**

(a) It is unlawful to print or cause to be printed any advertisement which has the effect of discouraging handicapped persons from applying for a job for which they are qualified, despite a particular disability or which contains the words "ablebodied persons wanted," or their equivalent. An employer may include a statement of the particular physical or mental abilities reasonably necessary for the performance of the job.

(b) The publication by any communications medium of any notice of advertisement relating to employment, or to membership in a labor organization, indicating any preference, limitation, specification, or discrimination based on handicap is unlawful unless such notice or advertisement falls within one of the exceptions enumerated by N.J.A.C. 13:13-2.8.

(c) All employers, labor organizations and employment agencies should conduct job vacancy, membership recruitment and employment referral programs in such a manner as to assure that all persons, including the handicapped, are given fair and adequate notice of job vacancies, membership opportunities and employment referral opportunities:

1. Employers and labor organizations are encouraged to place notices or advertisements relating to employment, or to membership in a labor organization, in the newspaper having the largest circulation in the relevant labor market, unless the position sought to be filled requires specialized training, education, experience or licensing of a type not commonly found among members of the workforce in the relevant labor market.

2. Employers should encourage their referral sources to seek and refer qualified handicapped individuals.

3. Employers are encouraged to list all job openings and requests for referrals with institutions, agencies, and organizations of or serving the handicapped including the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation Services in the New Jersey Department of Labor.

**Case Notes**

Rules precluding maintenance of classified advertising employment columns segregated on basis of sex upheld as not abridging freedom of the press and as within the Director's rulemaking power; individual rules examined. *Passaic Daily News v. Blair*, 63 N.J. 474, 308 A.2d 649 (1973).

**13:13-2.2 Job referrals**

(a) The knowing use by an employer of any employment agency or recruitment source which does not refer handicapped individuals or which discriminates against handicapped individuals is an unlawful act of discrimination.

(b) The failure or refusal of any employment agency or labor organization to refer for employment any individual because that individual possesses a handicap is an unlawful employment practice. It is unlawful for an employment agency or labor organization to comply with an employer's request for referrals if such a request indicates either directly or indirectly that the employer will discriminate against persons possessing handicaps.

(c) It is an unlawful employment practice for any employment agency or labor organization to classify handicapped individuals in any way which would deprive or have the effect of depriving handicapped persons of employment opportunities or otherwise affect employee status.

**13:13-2.3 Employment criteria**

(a) It is an unlawful employment practice for any employer, employment agency or labor organization to make use of any employment test or other selection criterion that screens out or has the effect of screening out handicapped persons unless:

1. That test score or other selection criterion is shown to be job related for the position in question; and
2. Alternative job-related tests or criteria that do not screen out or have the effect of screening out fewer handicapped persons are not available.

(b) An employer, employment agency or labor organization shall select and administer tests concerning employment which accurately reflect, with the benefit of reasonable accommodation, the applicant's or employee's job skills, aptitude or competency, rather than reflecting the applicant's or employee's impaired sensory, manual or speaking skills (except where those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure, and are necessary to perform the job in question).

**Case Notes**

Vocational technical school improperly discriminated against instructor; diabetes. *Hawryluk v. Union County Vocational-Technical Schools*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 123.

**13:13-2.4 Pre-employment inquiries**

(a) It shall be an unlawful practice for an employer, employment agency or labor organization to elicit or attempt to elicit, either verbally or through the use of an application form or request for documentation, any information which would tend to divulge the existence of a handicap or health condition, unless required or necessitated by Federal law or regulation. An employer, employment agency or labor organization may inquire whether an applicant is precluded from satisfactorily performing the job duties in question.

(b) It is not unlawful for an employer to invite applicants for employment to identify themselves as handicapped:

1. To satisfy the affirmative action requirements of Federal law;
2. To implement a court ordered or other bona fide affirmative action plan to promote the employment of handicapped persons; or
3. To implement a special program which is designed to benefit handicapped persons when a condition for a person's participation in the program is that he or she is handicapped.

(c) Employers who request such information must observe requirements under Section 503 of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., regarding the manner in which the information is requested and used, and the procedure for maintaining such information as a separate, confidential record, apart from regular personnel records.

(d) The act does not prohibit any officially recognized agency from keeping necessary records in order to provide services to individuals requiring rehabilitation or employment assistance.

(e) It is not unlawful for an employer to condition an offer of employment on the results of a medical examination held subsequent to such offer and prior to the employee's entrance on duty, provided that:

1. All entering employees are subjected to such examination; and
2. The results of such an examination are used in accordance with these regulations and are not used to disqualify an applicant except to the extent that any disability discovered would, even with reasonable accommodation, preclude the safe or adequate performance of the job in question, as defined in N.J.A.C. 13:13-2.8. An examination should consider the degree to which the person has compensated for his limitations and the rehabilitation services he has received or is receiving.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

**13:13-2.5 Reasonable accommodation**

(a) All employers shall conduct their employment procedures in such a manner as to assure that all handicapped persons are given equal consideration with non-handicapped persons for all aspects of employment including but not limited to hiring, promotion, tenure, training, assignment, transfers, and leaves on the basis of their qualifications and abilities. Each individual's ability to perform a particular job must be assessed on an individual basis.

(b) An employer must make a reasonable accommodation to the limitations of a handicapped employee or appli-

cant, unless the employer can demonstrate that the accommodation would impose an undue hardship on the operation of its business. The determination as to whether an employer has failed to make reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

1. Under circumstances where such accommodation will not impose an undue hardship on the operation of an employer's business, examples of reasonable accommodation may include:

- i. Making facilities used by employees readily accessible and usable by handicapped persons;
- ii. Job restructuring, part-time or modified work schedules;
- iii. Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices; and
- iv. Job reassignment and other similar actions.

2. An employer shall consider the possibility of reasonable accommodation before firing, demoting or refusing to hire or promote a handicapped person on the grounds that his or her handicap precludes job performance.

3. In determining whether an accommodation would impose undue hardship on the operation of an employer's business, factors to be considered include:

- i. The overall size of the employer's business with respect to the number of employees, number and type of facilities, and size of budget;
- ii. The type of the employer's operations, including the composition and structure of the employer's workforce;
- iii. The nature and cost of the accommodation needed; and
- iv. The extent to which accommodation would involve waiver of an essential requirement of a job as opposed to a tangential or non-business necessity requirement.

**Law Review and Journal Commentaries**

Discrimination—Collateral Estoppel—Police Officers. Judith Nallin, 138 N.J.L.J. No. 1, 49 (1994).

**Case Notes**

Federal regulations did not preempt former employee's handicap discrimination and workers' compensation retaliation claims under New Jersey law. *Kube v. New Penn Motor Exp., Inc.*, D.N.J.1994, 865 F.Supp. 221.

Terminated police officer's handicap discrimination suit was precluded by adverse decision of Merit System Board. *Ensslin v. Township of*

North Bergen, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied.

No reasonable accommodation would permit officer to perform essential functions of job; no violation of Law Against Discrimination. *Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen*, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied.

Adequate consideration given provisions of Law Against Discrimination. *Ensslin v. Township of North Bergen*, 275 N.J.Super. 352, 646 A.2d 452 (A.D.1994), certification denied.

Fire fighter who was an alcoholic and drug addict was a "handicapped person" under Law Against Discrimination. *Matter of Cahill*, 245 N.J.Super. 397, 585 A.2d 977 (A.D.1991).

Turnpike Authority unlawfully discriminated against employee on basis of his handicap. *Troxell v. New Jersey Turnpike Authority*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 5.

### 13:13-2.6 Wages and fringe benefits

(a) An employer's wage scale must be unrelated to the existence of handicap, except where permitted by state or federal law.

(b) Occupational training and retraining programs, including but not limited to, guidance programs, apprentice training programs and executive training programs, shall not be conducted in such a manner as to discourage or otherwise discriminate against persons possessing handicaps.

(c) It is an unlawful practice for any employer to discriminate between persons who are handicapped and those who are not, with regard to fringe benefits provided either directly by an employer or through contracts with insurance carriers. Fringe benefits as used in this section include, but are not limited to, medical, hospital, accident and life insurance, retirement benefits, profit sharing and bonus plans, and leave.

This subsection does not, for example, prohibit any employer from providing medical insurance which does not cover the cost of any medical condition arising out of preexisting illnesses, which costs are incurred following an employee's date of hire. Rather, whatever medical insurance is made available to non-handicapped employees must be equally available to handicapped employees.

(d) Regulations promulgated pursuant to the Law Against Discrimination shall supersede any inconsistent term of a collective bargaining agreement.

### 13:13-2.7 Labor organizations

(a) It is unlawful for any labor organization to exclude or expel any individual from membership or from any apprenticeship program because that individual possesses a handicap.

(b) It is an unlawful employment practice for any labor organization to discriminate on the basis of a person's handicap in respect to hiring, tenure, promotion, transfer, compensation, terms, conditions or privileges of employment, representation, grievances or any other matter directly or indirectly related to membership in or employment by such an organization.

(c) It is unlawful for a labor organization to cause or to attempt to cause an employer to discriminate against an individual because of a handicap.

(d) It is unlawful to engage in any activity proscribed by (a), (b), or (c) above notwithstanding that activity is authorized or required by the constitution or by-laws of a labor organization or by a collective bargaining agreement or other contract to which the labor organization is a party.

### 13:13-2.8 Exception

(a) It shall be lawful to take any action otherwise prohibited under this section where it can reasonably be determined that an applicant or employee, as a result of a handicap, cannot presently perform the job even with reasonable accommodation.

1. Refusal to refer, admit to membership, hire, or transfer a handicapped person may be lawful where the nature or extent of the handicap presently reasonably precludes the performance of the particular employment. Such a decision, however, must be based upon an objective standard supported by factual evidence rather than on the basis of general assumptions that a particular handicap would interfere with the individual's ability to perform the duties of the job.

2. Refusal to select a handicapped individual may be lawful where it can be demonstrated that the employment of the handicapped person in a particular position would presently be hazardous to the safety or health of such individual, other employees, clients or customers. Such a decision must be based upon an objective standard supported by factual or scientifically validated evidence, rather than on the basis of general assumptions that a particular handicap would create a hazard to the safety or health of such individual, other employees, clients or customers. A "hazard" to the handicapped person is a materially enhanced risk of serious harm.

3. The burden of proof is upon the employer, employment agency or labor organization to demonstrate in each case that the exception relied upon is based upon an objective standard supported by factual evidence, but no exception shall be based on:

i. A refusal to select a handicapped individual because of the preferences of co-workers, clients, customers or the employer.

ii. A refusal to select a handicapped individual because of the increased cost of insurance whether actual or anticipated, under a group or employee insurance plan provided in accordance with the law or as a fringe benefit.

iii. A refusal to select a handicapped individual because of an assumption not supported by factual documented proof that such individual will incur a high rate of absenteeism in the future.

#### Case Notes

Employer may not base his decision to discharge a handicapped employee for safety reasons on subjective evaluations or conclusory medical reports. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Employer does not have good cause to terminate a public employee on basis of a physical limitation unless limitation either prevents employee from adequately performing job or creates substantial risk of serious injury. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Possible consequences of an injury to police trainee who had limited vision in his right eye did not constitute good cause for trainee's dismissal. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Police Training Commission did not have good cause to dismiss trainee who had limited vision in his right eye from police training program. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Alleged different treatment of information regarding condition of surgeon who was patient at his own hospital and was diagnosed as having acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) would not support cause of action under the New Jersey law against discrimination. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In determining whether surgeon with AIDS may legitimately be restricted in his surgical privileges, test to be applied is whether continuation of surgical privileges causes reasonable probability of substantial harm to others, including co-workers and patients. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

Where physician is being treated at his own hospital, it is imperative that hospital take reasonable steps to insure confidentiality not only of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) test result, but also of disease diagnosis which is conclusive of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In context of informed consent, risk of surgical accident involving AIDS-positive surgeon would be legitimate concern to surgical patient, warranting disclosure of risk. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In deciding whether nature and extent of employee's handicap reasonably precludes job performance, employer may consider whether handicapped person can do his or her work without posing serious threat of injury to health and safety of himself or herself or other employees. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

To invoke safety defense as justification for otherwise unlawful handicap discrimination employer must reasonably conclude that employee's handicap poses materially enhanced risk of serious injury. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Employer's decision not to employ handicapped person must be justified by a "probability" rather than a "possibility" of injury to handicapped person or others. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Opinion by employer's medical experts that epileptic employee employed as meatcutter would probably suffer another seizure at work did not support conclusion that such a seizure would probably result in harm to employee or others. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Epileptic supermarket employee was reasonably precluded from performance of duties of meat cutter; decision of employer to terminate employee was reasonably arrived at and sufficiently supported by independent medical testimony that there was a reasonable probability of future seizures. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 214 N.J.Super. 51, 518 A.2d 486 (App.Div.1986), reversed 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682.

## SUBCHAPTER 3. REAL PROPERTY

### 13:13-3.1 Application

This subchapter on discrimination in real property applies to vendors and lessors of property and their agents, real estate brokers, agents and salespersons, lending institutions and other persons. For the purpose of this subchapter lending institutions include banks, building and loan associations, insurance companies and any other enterprise whose business consists in whole or in part in the making of commercial loans or other forms of financial assistance for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of housing accommodations.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.2 Advertising and solicitation

(a) This section applies to real property, public housing and the rental of:

1. A single apartment or flat in a two-family dwelling, the other occupancy unit of which is occupied by the owner as a residence or the household of the owner's family at the time of such rental; and

2. A room or rooms to another person or persons by the owner or occupant of a one-family dwelling occupied by the owner or occupant as a residence or the household of the owner's or occupant's family at the time of such rental.

(b) It is unlawful for any person to make, print or publish or cause to be made, printed or published any notice, listing statement or advertisement with respect to the sale, rental or lease of real property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification or otherwise discriminates based upon a handicap.

(c) It is unlawful for any real estate broker, agent or salesperson to accept for listing any housing accommodation when the seller or lessor or his agent has expressed, directly or indirectly, an intention to discriminate against handicapped persons.

(d) It is not unlawful for any person to make, print or publish or cause to be made, printed or published any notice, listing, statement, or advertisement which indicates that barrier free accommodations are available for sale, rent, lease or occupancy.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.3 Inquiries

It is unlawful for any person to make or cause to be made any written or oral inquiry or record concerning the handicap of any prospective purchaser, tenant or prospective occupant of any real property, or the handicap of any other person associated with a prospective purchaser, tenant or prospective occupant, unless such information is required by an agency of local, state or Federal government and the person states clearly that the information requested is intended for use solely by the government agency.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.4 Sale or rental

(a) It is unlawful for any person to discriminate on the basis of handicap in the actual showing, sale, rental or lease of available real property. For example, a representation to any person, because that person possesses a handicap, that real property is not available for inspection, sale or rental when such real property is in fact so available is a violation of the act.

(b) It is unlawful for any person to misrepresent the price of real property listed for sale, rent or lease or to fail to communicate to the seller or lessor any offer made by a prospective buyer or lessor because the applicant or prospective occupant possesses a handicap, or because of the handicap of any other person associated with the applicant or prospective occupant.

(c) It is unlawful for any person to fail or refuse to show, rent or lease any real property to a person because he or she has a sight or hearing disability and must be accompanied by a guide or service dog. Policies which restrict the availability of housing accommodations to persons without pets shall be void with respect to the above-mentioned segment of this protected class.

(d) It is unlawful for any person to discriminate against any individual because of handicap in the price, terms, conditions or privileges of the sale, rental or lease of real property or in the provision of services for facilities in connection therewith. Handicapped persons shall not be required to pay extra compensation or additional security deposits as a result of their maintaining or requiring special practices or accessories though such persons may be liable for any specific damage which may be done to the premises by virtue of their requirement.

1. This provision does not require a landlord to install or bear the expense of any such special accessories or practices. Apart from requiring payment for specific damage which may be done to the premises, however, a landlord may not charge a handicapped person an extra fee, for example, for keeping a guide or service dog or maintaining special equipment such as a shower bar.

(e) It is unlawful for any person to:

1. Refuse to permit, at the expense of the handicapped person, reasonable modifications of existing premises occupied or to be occupied by the handicapped person, if the modifications may be necessary to afford the handicapped person full enjoyment of the premises, except that, in the case of a rental, the landlord may:

i. Where it is reasonable to do so, condition permission for a modification on the renter's agreeing to restore the interior of the premises to the condition that existed before the modification, reasonable wear and tear excepted;

ii. Where it is necessary in order to ensure with reasonable certainty that funds will be available to pay for the restorations at the end of the tenancy, negotiate as part of such a restoration agreement a provision requiring that the tenant pay into an interest bearing escrow account, over a reasonable period, a reasonable amount of money not to exceed the cost of the restorations and, the interest in such account shall accrue to the benefit of the tenant; and

iii. Condition permission for a modification on the renter providing a reasonable description of the proposed modifications as well as reasonable assurances that the work will be done in a workmanlike manner and that any required building permits will be obtained; and

2. Refuse to make reasonable accommodations in rules, policies, practices or services, when such accommodations may be necessary to afford a handicapped person equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling.

(f) It is unlawful for any person to fail or refuse to rent to, or to impose different terms of tenancy upon, any handicapped individual because that individual is a recipient of Federal, state or local assistance, including medical assistance or housing subsidies.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.5 Eviction

It is an unlawful act of discrimination for any person to evict a tenant because the tenant possesses a handicap, or because that person is associated with another person who possesses a handicap.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.

See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.6 Financing

It is unlawful for any lending institution or person to discriminate against an individual seeking a loan or other form of financial assistance whether in the initial extension of credit or in the terms and conditions of the obligation because that individual or an intended occupant of real property possesses a handicap, or because that individual or intended occupant is associated with another person who possesses a handicap. An application for loans or other forms of financial assistance means and extends to the purchase of an existing property, the construction of new buildings and the rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of existing property.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

### 13:13-3.7 Covered multifamily dwellings

In connection with the design and construction of covered multifamily dwellings for first occupancy after March 13, 1991, it shall be unlawful to fail to design and construct dwellings which comply with the standards set forth in the Barrier-Free subcode of the State Uniform Construction Code Act, N.J.S.A. 52:27D-119 et seq., and N.J.A.C. 5:23-7.

New Rule, R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.  
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

## SUBCHAPTER 4. ACCESS TO PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS

### 13:13-4.1 Application

The term "person" as used in this subchapter shall mean the owners, lessees, proprietors, managers, superintendents, agents or employees of any place of public accommodation.

### 13:13-4.2 General practices

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person to refuse, withhold from or deny an individual, either directly or indirectly, on account of a handicap, access to any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges of a place of public accommodation. It shall be unlawful for any person to discriminate against a handicapped person in the price, terms, or conditions upon which access to such accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges may depend.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person to refuse, withhold or deny either directly or indirectly the right of visually handicapped or deaf persons to be accompanied by guide or service dogs, especially trained for the purpose, in any place of public accommodation. Such visually handicapped or deaf persons shall be liable for any damage done to the premises or facilities by such dogs.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person to publish, circulate, issue, display, post or mail or cause to be printed, circulated, issued, displayed, posted or mailed any written, printed or broadcast notice indicating directly or indirectly that the right of a handicapped person to have equal access to a place of public accommodation will be denied or abridged.