

APPENDIX

HON. MARY ANN C. O'BRIEN, J.S.C.
Home Address: :
Cell Phone: :
Maryann.obrien@njcourts.gov
April 20, 2021

Secretary to the State House Commission
Judicial Retirement System
New Jersey Division of Pensions & Benefits
P.O. Box 295
Trenton, NJ 08625-0295

RE: APPEAL OF THE 2/25/21 DENIAL OF "REQUEST TO RECEIVE CREDIT FOR PREVIOUS SERVICE RENDERED IN OFFICE, PURSUANT TO NJSA 43:6A-14.2"

Dear Secretary to the State House Commission:

SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION #2

RELIEF REQUESTED

Again, I respectfully reiterate my request that this matter be "sent to the Actuary" for the State House Commission to calculate the amount required to be paid by me into the annuity savings fund, by applying the factor, as being applicable to my age at the time of purchase, to my salary at that time, to receive credit for previous service rendered in office, pursuant to NJSA 43:6A-9 and NJSA 43:6A-14.2.

In the alternative, it is requested that the State House Commission remand or return the application to the Division of Pensions and Benefits to reconsider this matter with direction to handle and process this application pursuant to, and taking into consideration, the specific Statutory provisions of the Judicial Retirement System, namely NJSA 43:6A-9 and NJSA 43:6A-14.2.

CASE LAW

It is submitted that this matter primarily consists of application of the Statutory provisions of NJSA 43:6A-9 and NJSA 43:6A-14.2, to my seeking an amount to be calculated by "the Actuary" for the State House Commission. In applying these Statutory provisions, it is important for the State House Commission to consider the case of Steinmann v. State, Dept't of Treasury, Pub. Employees' Ret. Sys., 415 N.J. Super. 335, (App. Div. 2010) which holds that pension statutes should be interpreted liberally "in favor of the persons intended to be benefited thereby." It is submitted that NJSA 43:6A-14.2 is specifically applicable to the application herein and should be interpreted to authorize this matter to be forwarded to "the Actuary" as set forth within this Statute.


HON. MARY ANN C. O'BRIEN, J.S.C.

[EXTERNAL] comments for state house commission meeting of april 26, 2021

jean public <jeanpublic1@gmail.com>

Thu 4/22/2021 1:56 PM

To: State House Commission (TREAS) <StateHouse.Commission@treas.nj.gov>; jean public <jeanpublic1@gmail.com>

***** CAUTION *****

This message came from an **EXTERNAL** address (jeanpublic1@gmail.com). **DO NOT** click on links or attachments unless you know the sender and the content is safe. **Suspicious?** Forward the message to spamreport@cyber.nj.gov.

for the record:

2. rt 24 freeway to hanover twp this deed should have a provision that the land stays permanent in open space condition, with no profiteering going on to use it for any other purpose.

3. no allowance for more billboards. nobody should be putting all these billboards on highways. the highways are being driven at up to 90 to 100 mph and the people don't need billboards to look at. the drivers should be forced to look at the road. this is nothing but a profiteering scheme to the degradation of safety at this site. nj does not need more billboards. stop letting profiteering make our highways look like shi... get rid of this billboard.

7. let pseg find private land to buy to put up their needs. it is way way past time that we let these profiteering utilities destroy the little land we have saved. this should be rejected. pseg does no favors for nj residents and constantly raises rates and tries to screw nj consumers every chance they get. i see absolutely no reason to give up any parkland in any site for this company.

jean public
jeanpublic1@gmail.com

2x