

## APPENDIX

From: jean public <jeanpublic1@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 7, 2023 11:06 AM

To: State House Commission [TREAS] <StateHouse.Commission@treas.nj.gov>; jeanpublic1@gmail.com;  
yourviews@app.com

Subject: [EXTERNAL] public comment on agenda for june 8 202390 am - urgent

This message came from an **EXTERNAL** address ([jeanpublic1@gmail.com](mailto:jeanpublic1@gmail.com)). **DO NOT** click on links or attachments unless you know the sender and the content is safe.

**New Jersey State Government Employees Should Forward Messages That May Be Cyber Security Risks To [PhishReport@cyber.nj.gov](mailto:PhishReport@cyber.nj.gov).**

comments on agenda numbers.

1 ok

2 ok

3 ok

4 ok

5. raise rent to \$20,000 a year. it cost taxpayers to make these deals alot of money to cover salaries and benefits of state employees. the taxpayers need those who take advantage of state property to pay a fair share of those costs of state employees salaries and benefits. raise this to a decent level instead of hurting taxpayers for ownin the land.

6. raise rent to \$20,000 a year. it cost taxpayers to make these deals alot of money to cover salaries and benefits of state employees. the taxpayers need those who take advantage of state property to pay a fair share of those costs of state employees salaries and benefits. raise this to a decent level instead of hurting taxpayers for owning the land.

7. raise the price that ann quade pays to \$15,000. the costs of making this new deal is also costly to taxpayers because of the high salaries of nj dep employees, salariesand benefits and pensions - all add up. the taxpayers cannot afford to make these cheap deals where some get buys and offsets and the taxpayers pay the real bill. ann quade should pay \$15,00. this easement benefit is worth about \$200.000 to have a road in.

8. any deal to sell off land owned by the state of nj where it gives a town the rights to that land must have a provision in it that if the land is commercialized by the town, the land reverts to trhe state taxpayers property. this land should not be commercialized at any time in the future without such revesion to the state.

9. the yearly rental should be \$20,000 a month for this land. this \$164,00 a year doesnt pay for the costs of this dept makin jthis deal, which should not penalize taxpayers. this full benefit of this land falls on the pmg nj. taxpayers should not suffer financial loss for lettng this commercial for profit company get a deal that only benefits them for cheap when the taxpayes are paying out huge costs for the attention of nj dot state employees salaries, pensions and benefits which are enormous and costlyh for taxpayer to pay for. the full costs of those amounts need to be covered by this for profit company that is gettnig the benefit. the taxpayers get nothing out of \$164.00 amonth. that costs taxpayers to keep track of that agreement. raise the lease to \$21,00 a year to cover costs to taxpayers.

IX

10. this is allegedly excess. actually no land is excess in nj at any time. no logging of woodlands should be allowed at any time on any part of this property. we need the trees at all times and in full. any logging that takes place means the land reverts back to the state of nj.

11. this is alleged excessland. ok with sale.

jean pubilee

[jeanpublic1@gmail.com](mailto:jeanpublic1@gmail.com)

comments for public record on state house agenda for june 8 2023 9 am

2x