

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

It is ORDERED that, effective September 1, 2009, and until further order, the following Superior Court judges are designated as “Wiretap Judges” to receive applications and issue orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications pursuant to the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Act, *N.J.S.A. 2A:156A-1 et seq.* (hereinafter cited as “the Act”); and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the designated Wiretap Judges are assigned to supervise the Informal Communications Data Warrant (“CDW”) Vicinages as indicated:

<u>Designated Wiretap Judges</u>	<u>Supervisory Vicinages</u>
Judge Albert J. Garofolo	Atlantic and Cape May Counties
Judge Peter E. Doyne Judge Harry G. Carroll	Bergen County
Judge Ronald E. Bookbinder	Burlington County
Judge Samuel D. Natal	Camden County
Judge Walter L. Marshall, Jr.	Cumberland, Gloucester, Salem Counties
Judge Peter J. Vazquez	Essex County
Judge Maurice J. Gallipoli Judge Kevin G. Callahan	Hudson County
Judge Linda R. Feinberg Judge Maria M. Sypek	Mercer County
Judge Travis L. Francis	Middlesex County
Judge Lawrence M. Lawson	Monmouth County
Judge Thomas V. Manahan	Morris, Sussex Counties
Judge Francis R. Hodgson, Jr.	Ocean County
Judge Marilyn C. Clark Judge Ernest M. Caposela	Passaic County
Judge Yolanda Ciccone	Somerset, Hunterdon, Warren Counties
Judge Joseph P. Donohue	Union County

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the judges so designated by this Order as Wiretap Judges shall each have statewide jurisdiction for purposes of the Act; thus any applications made under the Act may be made to any such designated judge at the election of the applicant; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in the absence or unavailability of the designated judge who authorized a particular interception, the applicant may make any further applications to or take any further action appropriate under the Act before any other judge designated by the Order; provided, however, that orders to seal tapes, wires, and all other recordings may be entered by (1) any other designated Wiretap Judge, or (2) the designated Communications Data Warrant Judge in the county or vicinage in which the investigation occurred, or (3) the Assignment Judge or Acting Assignment Judge for the county or vicinage in which the judge who authorized the interception sits; and

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall supersede any and all prior orders designating judges to receive applications for, and to enter, orders authorizing interceptions of wire, electronic, or oral communications under the Act, and any and all prior orders designating judges authorized to seal tapes, wires and other recordings, including the order dated July 16, 2009 which had a September 1, 2009 effective date.

/s/ Stuart Rabner

Chief Justice

Dated: August 10, 2009