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> The Honorable Jim Florio Governor, State of New Jersey and the Legislature of the State of New Jersey

## Dear Governor Florio and Legislators:

In compliance with the provisions of R.S. 54:1-13, I am pleased to submit the 1991 Annual Report of the Division of Taxation, Department of the Treasury, covering activities of the Division for the State fiscal year ending June 30, 1991.

Tax collections for fiscal year 1991 by the Division totaled $\$ 11.0$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1.3$ billion or $13.4 \%$ over the prior year. However, an estimated $\$ 1.65$ billion of this total represented "new" revenues generated by the provisions of the June 1990 tax package. Adjusting the FY 1991 collections to a comparable FY 1990 base reveals a growth rate of $-3.5 \%$ which more accurately reflects the underlying economic conditions.

The report contains detailed descriptions and data for each of the taxes administered by the Division, County and State Abstract of Ratables tables, and the State School Aid Table of Equalized Valuations. In addition, the report includes discussion of the Division's organization and activities, tables of the distribution of State-collected taxes to local governments, and summaries of legislation and court decisions enacted or effective during the fiscal year.

I hope this report provides a useful overview of the operation of the Division and of our continuing efforts to ensure fair and efficient administration of the tax laws.

Respectfully submitted,

Leslie A. Thompson
Director

## HIGHLIGHTS OF FISCAL 1991

Fiscal year 1991 was a period of extensive organizational and operational change for the Division. The organizational changes were highlighted by two events. One, the passage of the early retirement package for state employees, meant that 80 employees including many of the Division's experienced senior managers announced their retirement from state service. The other, the confirmation of a new Director, Leslie A. Thompson, marked the beginning of a new chapter in the Division's history.

The operational changes were defined by the implementation of the $\$ 2.8$ billion tax package enacted in June, 1990. The normal stress of modifying the Gross Income Tax for 1991 rate changes was complicated by the need to accommodate changes to the 1990 tax base as well. Benefits for a transitional version of the 1990 Homestead Rebate program were mailed in November. Processing for the 1991 rebate program, originally scheduled for April thru October, was compressed into a three month time-frame when the payment date was accelerated from October 31 to July 31. Other modifications to the original tax package included a repeal of the provision extending the sales tax to vehicles over $26,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. and a substantial redefinition of the provisions of the Petroleum Products Gross Receipts tax.

Total revenue collected by the Division increased by $13.4 \%$ from $\$ 9.73$ billion in FY90 to $\$ 11.04$ billion in FY91. However, an estimated $\$ 1.65$ billion of this FY91 total represented "new" revenues generated by the provisions of the June 1990 tax package. Adjusting the FY91 collections to a comparable FY90 base reveals a growth rate of $-3.5 \%$ which more accurately reflects the underlying economic conditions. The devastating impact of the recession is further reflected in the sizable declines in Corporate Business Tax collections ( $-7.3 \%$ ) and Sales Tax collections ( $-8 \%$ ), adjusted to the FY90 base.

## NEW DIRECTOR APPOINTED

Leslie A. Thompson was confirmed as Director of the Division of Taxation on May 9, 1991. Ms. Thompson succeeds John R. Baldwin who served as Director from 1983 to February 1990. Benjamin J. Redmond, Assistant Director, Office of Inspection, served as Acting Director until Director Thompson's appointment.

Ms. Thompson comes to the Division with 20 years of diversified financial experience after a distinguished career as an executive in the private sector.

Most recently, she was Vice President of Finance for Schering

Laboratories, the domestic pharmaceutical arm of Schering-Plough Corporation in Madison, NJ. She was responsible for all accounting, cost accounting/manufacturing support and credit operations for the \$1 billion division.

Prior to being named Vice President of Finance for Schering Laboratories in 1988, Ms. Thompson had served two years as Director of Finance. She was responsible for financial planning, analysis, financial marketing and credit functions, including the integration of the $\$ 300$ million Key Pharmaceuticals acquisition by Schering. She started with Schering as Manager of Financial Planning and Analysis in 1983 and was named Director of Financial Marketing in 1986.

Before joining Schering-Plough Corporation, Ms. Thompson held increasingly responsible financial management positions with Esmark, Inc. of Chicago from 1977-83 and with General Electric Company of Fairfield, CT, from 1970-76.

Director Thompson has a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Marietta College. She is also a graduate of the General Electric Financial Management Program and served as an instructor in 1974.

## "CHEATER-BEATERS" PROGRAM

Governor Florio on April 10, 1991, announced the formation of the Inter-Agency Cost Recovery Task Force to make good on some \$420 million in delinquent taxes owed to the state by corporations, businesses that collect sales taxes, and employers who collect employee withholding taxes. The program, referred to as the "cheater-beaters", was the result of a recommendation of the Governor's Management Review Commission. "When people don't pay their taxes, they aren't cheating their state government, they're cheating their friends, their neighbors and their children," said the Governor. "We do a good job of collecting taxes, but we can and will do better. We all know that money is tight right now and that means every dollar counts."

The Commission's report noted that "the State of New Jersey already collects roughly $\$ 300$ million in delinquent taxes, but efforts to increase the recovery in the first few years it is owed will greatly assist the state because we will be getting the money when the debt is fresh. Generally, the longer the money is owed, the harder it is to collect."

The plan pulls together attorneys from the Department of Law and Public Safety as well as 50 additional audit and enforcement personnel in the Division of Taxation. The Attorney General's Office will shift additional lawyers into its Collections Unit from other areas within the Department at no additional cost.

Since it is estimated that each audit/enforcement staffer will
generate an average of $\$ 500,000$ in recovered revenue each year, annual salaries of $\$ 40,000$ would easily be recovered by receipts.
"With 50 additional audit/enforcement employees, the Division of Taxation would collect at least an additional $\$ 12.5$ million in FY92 and $\$ 25$ million in FY93," the Commission report states. It is expected to take about six months to hire and train new personnel. Of the 50 new staff, 15 will be deployed in out-of-state offices and 35 will be added in New Jersey. The Taxation staff will generate judgment cases for additional collection action by the Collection Unit.

The cost of the new employees and any collection costs will be financed out of a revolving fund. Revenue collected over and above these costs will be provided to the General Fund. There is no cost to the taxpayers, and the State gains through enhanced revenue collection capability.

## AUTOMATED REFUND TRACKING SYSTEM INITIATED

Taxpayers are finding it easy to learn the status of their state income tax refund by calling Taxpayer Services' new talking computer service toll-free. This new service is known as ARIS (Automated Refund Inquiry System). After waiting four to six weeks after mailing their return, residents who filed in January or February were able to quickly learn from ARIS whether their return had been received, a refund was being processed or a check was in the mail.

ARIS is an expansion of Taxpayer Services' two-year-old "TAX TALK" service, which allows taxpayers to listen to pre-recorded messages on various tax topics 24 hours a day, seven days a week. To use ARIS, taxpayers with a touch-tone telephone need only know their social security number and the amount (no cents) of their requested refund from line 38 of the $\mathrm{NJ}-1040$ tax return before dialing.

## 1991 CORPORATE SURTAX OF 0.375\% IMPOSED

A surtax of $0.375 \%$ is being levied on corporate net income for the tax year 1991. The surtax, down from the 1990 level of $0.417 \%$, is in addition to the State's $9 \%$ corporate business tax rate.

The Corporation Business Tax (CBT) was amended in 1986 to require that the State Tax Director certify each year the estimated revenue collection attributable solely to changes in the Federal income tax laws caused by the "Tax Reform Act of 1986."

This same legislation also provided for $\$ 225$ million to be credited to the "Hazardous Discharge Site Cleanup Fund." If the amount certified in any year did not equal the amount to be credited to the Fund for the same year, a surtax was triggered at a rate calculated in accordance
with a formula contained in the amendment. The surtax is expected to generate $\$ 45$ million in additional corporation tax revenue.

The surtax is calculated for use on returns covering the accounting or privilege periods ending on or after July 31, 1991, but not later than June 30, 1992 (the 1991 CBT return). Under the law, the surtax is applied to a taxpayer's entire net income or that portion of entire net income allocated to New Jersey and required to be reported on the CBT return. The surtax provision will expire with privilege periods ending after June 30, 1993.

## RECORD NUMBER OF HOMESTEAD REBATES PROCESSED

The 1991 Homestead Rebate program provides a property tax rebate of up to $\$ 500$ to taxpayers paying more than $5 \%$ of their income in property tax or rent equivalent. Eligibility is restricted to taxpayers with New Jersey Gross Income of $\$ 70,000$ or less. Taxpayers with incomes between $\$ 70,000$ and $\$ 100,000$ received a flat benefit of $\$ 100$ if they are owners or $\$ 35$ if they are tenants.

The 1991 Homestead Rebate processing differed from prior years in two significant ways. First, because the rebate was targeted to gross income, the application was integrated with the New Jersey Gross Income Tax 1040 form and filed with an April 15 due date. Second, the processing period was shortened from a normal six month period to a three month period coinciding with the peak income tax processing period.

Despite the inherent problems of implementing a more complicated, larger program in substantially less time than prior years, the Division successfully processed over 2.5 million rebate applications by the end of the fiscal year. Rebate checks totalling $\$ 640$ million were sent to 2.3 million recipients on July 31, 1991. Approximately 1.4 million of the checks went to homeowners with 0.84 million going to tenants. Senior citizens comprised about $23 \%$ of the claims and received approximately $35 \%$ of the total benefits.
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## CHAPTER I DIVISION ORGANIZATION

The Division of Taxation is headed by a Director appointed by the Governor with advice and consent of the Senate to serve until a successor shall be appointed and qualified.

The Division's organization includes the Office of the Director and the Deputy Director of Operations. The Chief of Staff, Planning/Control, Property Administration, Tax Counselors, and Tax Analysis report directly to the Director of Taxation. Processing/Administration, Data Systems and Telecommunications, Compliance, Audit and Criminal Investigations report to the Deputy Director of Operations, who reports to the Director of Taxation. A description of these activities and fiscal year accomplishments are detailed in Chapter 2.

## TAX ADMINISTRATION

Tax administration involves collection activities by State, county and municipality. As the State tax administrative agency, the Division exercises varying degrees of responsibility for taxes collected at all levels. These include:

Tax Collection: Administration of all taxes collected by the State for State and local purposes except boxing, T.V. and wrestling, parimutuel racing, motor vehicle licenses and fees, outdoor advertising and motor fuels use tax.
Services to Local Taxing Districts: Supervision of local assessment standards and procedures, administrative services to local districts and County Boards of Taxation, examination and approval of local tax maps, compilation and reporting of statistical data, preparation of equalization tables, and certification of assessors.

The Division also exercises certain police functions with regard to administration of fair trade practice requirements under the "Unfair Cigarette Sales Act" (C. 247, P.L. 1952) and "An Act To Regulate The Sale of Motor Fuels." (C. 258, P.L. 1952).

## taX COLLECTIONS

Collections by the Division during fiscal year ended June 30, 1991 totaled $\$ 11.0$ billion or $96.9 \%$ of all major State Tax collections within and outside the Division. This represented an increase of $\$ 1.3$ billion, or $13.4 \%$ over fiscal year 1990. The $\$ 11.0$ billion collected included $\$ 897.3$ million for payment to local governments for their direct support.

This $8.1 \%$ of Division collections represented $\$ 158.7$ million of personal property replacement taxes (save harmless), $\$ 6.1$ million of financial business taxes, $\$ 685.0$ million for Public Utility Franchise and Gross Receipts Taxes, $\$ 30.0$ million for local assistance funding and $\$ 17.5$ million in Insurance Franchise Taxes. Except for Senior Citizen and Veteran Deductions, amounts distributed to each county and municipality are shown in Appendix II.

## SERVICES TO LOCAL TAXING DISTRICTS

The Division supervises and coordinates local property tax procedures in the 21 counties and 567 local taxing districts. Local Property Tax collections totaled $\$ 9.9$ billion representing an increase of $\$ 139.3$ million or $1.4 \%$ over the previous year. This compares with $\$ 11.0$ billion in major State tax collections. State responsibility for tax collections was $52.6 \%$.

The Division apportioned $\$ 59.6$ million to taxing districts for reimbursement of veterans and senior citizen deductions as shown in Appendix III.

## STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibilities of the Division arise under the following statutory provisions:


| TAX SOURCE | Collections (Fiscal Year) |  |  |  |  |  | Percent Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { OF } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% OF } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 1989 | \% OF TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & 1990- \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 . \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| Collected by the Division: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcoholic Beverage ${ }^{1}$............................. | \$ 81,066,184 | 0.7\% | \$ 53,402,009 | 0.5\% | \$ 53,484,609 | 0.5\% | 51.8\% | -0.2\% |
| Business Personal Property | 14,553,142 | 0.1 | 14,320,990 | 0.1 | 19,603,025 | 0.2 | 1.6 | -26.9 |
| Cigarette ${ }^{2}$................. | 271,266,592 | 2.4 | 202,595,717 | 2.0 | 212,172,953 | 2.1 | 33.9 | -4.5 |
| Corporation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporation Business ${ }^{3}$ | 1,063,089,390 | 9.3 | 1,162,835,737 | 11.5 | 1,350,581,694 | 13.3 | -8.6 | -13.9 |
| CBT Banks \& Financials ............. | 48,200,249 | 0.4 | 92,089,805 | 0.9 | 117,155,793 | 1.2 | -47.7 | -21.4 |
| Corporation Income ...................... | 143,132 | $<0.1$ | 1,849,373 | <0.1 | 287,210 | $<0.1$ | - | - |
| Environmental Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill Closure and Contingency | 1,689,746 | <0.1 | 2,253,584 | <0.1 | 2,288,321 | $<0.1$ | -25.0 | -1.5 |
| Litter Control ................ | 9,260,884 | 0.1 | 9,108,911 | 0.1 | 8,671,560 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.0 |
| Public Community Water Systems | 2,952,570 | <0.1 | 2,785,589 | <0.1 | 3,020,302 | $<0.1$ | 6.0 | -7.8 |
| Resource Recovery Investment ..... | 13,825,174 | 0.1 | 17,542,594 | 0.2 | 18,435,794 | 0.2 | -21.2 | -4.8 |
| Solid Waste Importation ................ | 5,547,746 | 0.0 | 5,537,576 | 0.1 | 4,121,066 | <0.1 | 0.2 | 34.4 |
| Solid Waste Recycling ................... | 10,722,180 | 0.1 | 11,634,583 | 0.1 | 11,806,352 | 0.1 | -7.8 | -1.5 |
| Solid Waste Services .................... | 2,654,634 | <0.1 | 3,159,314 | $<0.1$ | 3,097,369 | $<0.1$ | -16.0 | 2.0 |
| Spill Compensation ...................... | 19,277,150 | 0.2 | 18,110,921 | 0.2 | 22,472,396 | 0.2 | 6.4 | -19.4 |
| Financial Business .............................. | 45,244 | <0.1 | 38,713 | $<0.1$ | 54,807 | $<0.1$ | 16.9 | -29.4 |
| Gross Income ${ }^{4}$ | 3,391,026,222 | 29.8 | 2,957,634,330 | 29.2 | 2,902,892,244 | 28.6 | 14.7 | 1.9 |
| Insurance Premiums ${ }^{5}$ | 191,595,607 | 1.7 | 169,983,267 | 1.7 | 227,813,896 | 2.2 | 12.7 | -25.4 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 1,156,290 | <0.1 | 2,812,586 | $<0.1$ | 3,687,192 | $<0.1$ | -58.9 | -23.7 |
| Motor Fuels | 390,969,410 | 3.4 | 404,871,204 | 4.0 | 416,729,504 | 4.1 | -3.4 | -2.8 |
| Petroleum Products | 143,329,285 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public Utility Excise (State Use) ........... | 124,661,999 | 1.1 | 129,915,482 | 1.3 | 122,255,636 | 1.2 | -4.0 | 6.3 |
| Public Utility Excise (Mun. Use) ........... | 960,614,325 | 8.4 | 953,334,945 | 9.4 | 870,932,292 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 9.5 |
| Railroad Franchise .............................. | 2,592,316 | <0.1 | 1,052,571 | <0.1 | 2,472,838 | <0.1 | 146.3 | -57.4 |
| Railroad Property ................................ | 2,169,088 | <0.1 | 2,232,579 | <0.1 | 2,430,084 | <0.1 | -2.8 | -8.1 |
| Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales and Use ${ }^{6}$............................ | 4,013,147,198 | 35.2 | 3,202,569,956 | 31.7 | 3,066,770,144 | 30.2 | 25.3 | 4.4 |
| Alcoholic Beverage Wholesale ${ }^{7}$ | 29,658,481 | 0.3 | 88,057,890 | 0.9 | 89,185,176 | 0.9 | -66.3 | -1.3 |
| Atlantic City Luxury (Local Use) .... | 17,857,117 | 0.2 | 18,942,211 | 0.2 | 15,241,637 | 0.2 | -5.7 | 24.3 |
| Tobacco Products Wholesale ........ | 4,571,489 | <0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Savings Institution ......................... | 6,655,203 | 0.1 | 5,230,595 | 0.1 | 12,081,835 | 0.1 | 27.2 | -56.7 |
| Transfer Inheritance and Estate ........... | 212,825,520 | 1.9 | 200,954,216 | 2.0 | 204,344,684 | 2.0 | 5.9 | -1.7 |
| Total Collected by the Division ${ }^{8}$ | $\overline{\$ 11,037,123,567}$ | 96.8\% | \$9,734,857,248 | 96.3\% | \$9,764,090,413 | 96.2\% | 13.4\% | -0.3\% |

Collected Outside the Division:

|  | 671,219 | $<0.1 \%$ | $\$$ | 837,299 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $9,216,518$ | 0.1 |  | $8,850,231$ |
|  | $342,175,767$ | 3.0 |  | $361,348,527$ |
|  | 239,543 | $<0.1$ |  | 289,664 |
|  | $7,162,913$ | 0.1 |  | $7,944,194$ |
|  | $359,465,960$ | $3.2 \%$ | $\$$ | $379,269,915$ |
| $\$ 11,396,589,527$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 10,114,127,163$ |  |  |


(1) Rate increased on liquor, beer \& wine on July 1,1990 to $\$ 4.20, \$ .10 \& \$ .50$ per gallon respectively. (2) Rate increased to $\$ .40$ per pack of 20 cigarettes e to $\$ .50$. 1989 )
(4) Rate increased to $2 \%-7 \%$ on January 1, 1991.
(5) Payment date change; one-time gain. (FY 1989)
(6) Rate increased to $7 \%$ on July 1, 1990
(7) Rate reduced to $2.9 \%$ on July 1, 1990. (Rate reduced to $1.5 \%$ on July 1, 1991).
(8) Excludes State Realty Transfer Fee collected by the counties and remitted to the state
(9) Excludes casino gambling taxes and lottery profits

# CHAPTER II <br> ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVISION 

The Division's organization includes the Office of the Director and the Deputy Director of Operations.

## OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

The Chief of Staff, Planning/Control, Property Administration, Tax Counselors, and Tax Analysis report directly to the Director of the Division of Taxation.

## CHIEF OF STAFF

This activity includes Legislative Analysis, Staff/Public Relations and Taxpayer Information Services.

## TAXPAYER SERVICES

Information on all taxes and programs administered by the Division is provided by Taxpayer Services' personnel, who responded to over 2,700 inquiries by letter and answered 769,860 telephone calls during fiscal 1991.

The Division's automated telephone system, "Tax-Talk," which provides information to taxpayers 24 hours a day, seven days a week, is available via a toll-free number: 800-323-4400. Tax-Talk provides quality and efficient service through a series of pre-recorded telephone messages concerning various New Jersey tax issues. The Automated Refund Inquiry System (ARIS) entered a pilot phase late in calendar year 1990 and became fully operational for the 1991 tax season. The system provides a direct link between ICD (Tax-Talk) and the Generic Tax System (GENTS), allowing taxpayers to receive information from GENTS on the status of their New Jersey Gross Income Tax Refund. Tax-Talk/ARIS received over 423,000 calls during the fiscal year. Approximately 46,000 (10.9\%) resulted in host interaction and 19,200 (4.5\%) form order requests were processed by Tax-Talk. Callers requiring more information or those with complex questions may choose an option in Tax-Talk/ARIS which permits them to speak directly with a Taxpayer Service Representative.

Ongoing computer system enhancements are allowing Taxpayer Services to provide increased programs and services, giving the general public greater access to the Division.

## Referral Resolution/TACS

Referral Resolution is a specialized unit which enables Taxpayer Services to directly resolve tax return related problems.

Referrals are received through various sources including the hotline, field offices, legislative offices, the State Treasurer and the Director's office. Having the ability to provide quality, thorough solutions in-house prevent the problems from becoming protracted or reoccurring.

Referral Resolution/TACS also staffs a new function; the Tax Practitioner's Hotline, created specifically to address the problems of tax preparers and their clients.

The Taxpayer Automated Contact System (TACS) is a collection and enforcement activity administered by Taxpayer Services relying heavily on phone and/or mail contact for the purpose of collecting delinquent and deficient taxes. In addition, TACS is responsible for handling problems related to business taxes through the Practitioner's Hotline and the referral process. The consolidated efforts of Referral Resolution/ TACS resulted in the successful resolution of 7,057 referrals and the collection of \$1.9 million in unremitted liabilities during fiscal 1991.

## Regional And Walk-In Offices

Personal tax assistance, including the completion of tax returns, was rendered at 10 walk-in regional offices located throughout the State and the main lobby located in the Trenton Taxation Building. The total number of taxpayers assisted was 161,560 .

## Taxpayer Education

Over 1,600 volunteers throughout the State were trained in the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance/Tax Counseling for the Elderly (VITA/ TCE) programs. The volunteers locally assisted nearly 80,000 residents with questions, completion of New Jersey Income Tax returns and general information at over 400 sites statewide. Reference materials, including a comprehensive New Jersey Income Tax coursebook and several newsletters, were provided to volunteers to aid them in their efforts. Technical tax and procedural training was provided to seasonal Division employees and permanent staff members as an integral part
of a commitment to provide accurate up-to-date information to taxpayers.

## Small Business Workshops

The Small Business Workshop Program, held in conjunction with the Internal Revenue Service, continues to provide both State and Federal tax information to new entrepreneurs. Last year, twenty all-day workshops were attended by 943 new business persons. Guest speakers were also provided by Taxpayer Services to various professional, civic and community groups.

## Correspondence

TIS received 2,730 pieces of mail requesting information on subjects ranging from business registration to Homestead Rebate qualifications. Drafts are also prepared for the Director's, Treasurer's and occasionally the Governor's Office.

## Publications And Tax Forms

Taxpayer Information Services is responsible for the design, composing and editing of many publications containing tax information of specific interest to various segments of the populace. The publications are provided to the regional offices for distribution and are also mailed to taxpayers on request.

Package NJX and Reproducible Forms for Libraries continue to be favorably received by the public. These publications are designed to give taxpayers and preparers ready access to State tax forms for easy reference and reproduction.

Over 17,000 practitioners ordered bulk forms and Package NJX in fiscal 1991. Reproducible Forms Packages were distributed to over 400 libraries statewide.

## Service Improvements

The future plans of TIS are aimed at fulfilling our commitment to provide quality customer service to the taxpayers of New Jersey. The Call Management System (CMS) which monitors and administers the Hotline is the pulse of the Division's centralized communication link with the public. Modifications to CMS and ICD (Tax-Talk) will be made throughout the year in order to improve service.

A major project involves the use of TIS systems to act as the primary
conduit for the electronic transfer of funds. The purpose of the project is to enable taxpayers to transfer their tax payments from their bank accounts directly into their Division accounts.

As part of our ongoing Outreach Program a series of "Tax Workshops for Contractors" are being developed for the next fiscal year. The purpose of these workshops is to provide information to and encourage voluntary compliance in the building trade community.

## PLANNING/CONTROL

This activity includes Internal Security which is responsible for conducting investigations of those matters that adversely impact the Division, and Internal Audit which provides independent program reviews of selective functions of the Division.

## INTERNAL SECURITY

Internal Security investigates allegations of criminal and other serious internal-integrity matters, as well as attempts by non-employees to corrupt or impede Division personnel engaged in their official duties. The Internal Security staff coordinates their investigations with the Official Corrupation Unit in the Division of Criminal Justice, Office of the Attorney General and when appropriate, with the County Prosecutors. Internal Security maintains close liaison with the Internal Revenue Service's Internal Security Division and other state and federal law enforcement agencies.

During the course of this fiscal year, Internal Security Special Investigators conducted 35 preliminary investigations and initiated 40 formal investigations of matters relating to Division of Taxation operations in the following areas: bribery, disclosure of tax information, embezzlement, falsification of official documents, threats and assaults on employees, impersonation and employee misconduct.

Referrals to the Attorney General's Office Corruption Unit for prosecutive action resulted in the indictment and conviction of a taxpayer for bribery of a Taxation employee, indictment of a former employee for illegal disclosure of tax information and State Grand Jury action is pending against another former employee for the theft and embezzlement of approximately $\$ 8,000$ in tax remittances. Six thousand of that amount was discovered in the subject's bank account and recovered by Internal Security. Grand Jury activity is pending against a third former employee, also for theft of tax remittances.

Internal Security completed the formal Integrity Awareness Training program that was given to all Division of Taxation professional and technical employees and has initiated the Division's first background investigation program for new employees.

## INTERNAL AUDIT

Internal Audit provides Division management with an independent fact-gathering and analytical capacity which helps assure that policies, objectives and responsibilities are achieved at every level. In addition, it provides a consulting facility to assist management in defining and developing ways to enhance their operations. The Unit's audits have helped management improve the efficiency, effectiveness and integrity of many of the Division's controls and programs.

During the course of the fiscal year, Internal Audit conducted audits of Field Audit, Data Entry, Taxpayer Registration, Liens, Levies and Seizures, GENTS 2 Procurement Compliance and Homestead Rebate. These audits have resulted in improvements to the controls and efficiency of the operations involved and have assured our compliance with laws, regulations and procedures. The Homestead Rebate Audit, which was conducted on a real time basis, afforded the Division an opportunity to more accurately project rebate amounts and improve plans for error and adjustment handling.

Internal Audit also participated in a number of special projects, including development of a write-off policy and procedure, a study of computer system problems and tax processing problems. In addition, it prepared a monthly report of production for all activities of the Division, developed standards and issued guidelines for the Division Procedures Manual and prepared organization charts for all branches of the Division.

Internal Audit coordinated the internal controls assessment project mandated by the State Treasurer again this year. Based on the results of Internal Audit activities and results from past assessments, the work required of individual managers in the Division was significantly reduced.

Internal Audit served as liaison for auditors from the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Legislative Service.

## PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION

Local Property Taxes produced 47.4\% of New Jersey State and Local Taxes collected during fiscal 1991.

Principal activities include: implementation of standards dealing with County Tax Board rules and regulations, Chapter 499, P.L. 1979 revalua-
tion contracts, certification of assessors, and tax maps; preparation of the annual Table of Equalized Valuations and tax abatement statistics; assistance to local property tax administrators; administration of farmland assessments, realty transfer tax, and homestead rebates; apportionment to local taxing districts of revenue sharing, personal property replacement, in lieu payments of state owned property; and services to other departments and individuals.

## TABLE 2

NET LOCAL PROPERTY TAX GROWTH BY YEARS

| Year | Total <br> (millions) | Percent <br> Change | Year | Total <br> (millions) | Percent <br> Change |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | $\$ 4,495.4$ | 8.72 | 1987 | $\$ 6,766.1$ | 11.03 |
| 1983 | $4,888.7$ | 7.86 | 1988 | $7,693.0$ | 13.70 |
| 1984 | $5,175.0$ | 6.73 | 1989 | $8,659.8$ | 12.57 |
| 1985 | $5,517.5$ | 6.62 | 1990 | $9,722.3$ | 12.27 |
| 1986 | $6,094.1$ | 10.50 | 1991 | $9,862.0$ | 1.44 |

Revaluation and Reassessment
Revaluation and reassessment programs are of primary importance in attaining uniform local property tax assessments. During the 1991 tax year 49 municipalities implemented revaluations and 45 municipalities filed tax lists reflecting the performance of reassessment programs.

In fiscal 1991, the Director approved 16 Orders prepared by county boards of taxation directing municipalities to undertake revaluation programs. Also approved were 26 contracts entered into by municipalities for the purpose of accomplishing a revaluation of all real property. The contracts were reviewed and approved in accordance with regulations established under Chapter 424, P.L. 1971. The regulations prescribe standards to be used in the valuation of real property and establish minimum qualifications for firms and individuals engaged in the business of performing revaluation programs. Regulations adopted in FY 1991 also provided for the Director's approval of reassessment programs proposed by local assessors. During the latter half of the 1991 fiscal year, 22 applications for reassessment were reviewed.

## Tax Maps

New Jersey law (Chapter 18, P.L. 1918 and Chapter 167, P.L. 1939) provides for the preparation of "maps for purposes of taxation in all
taxing districts," except townships having populations under 2,500. The Director is responsible for approval of such maps and may direct a municipality in its preparation (N.J.S.A. 40:146-29).

Chapter 424, P.L. 1971, requires inspection of an up-to-date tax map prior to approval of any revaluation contract. Since 1985, 88 taxing districts have developed completely revised tax maps and 401 have existing maps judged current and usable.

Tax maps have never been approved in 10 taxing districts, including those that are not required to have a map, 345 districts have approved tax maps more than twenty years old, and the remaining 212 have maps approved between 1971-1991. Some of those not approved recently are maintained on the basis of current specifications.

## County Tax Board Rules and Regulations

Subsequent to adoption of uniform rules and regulations governing County Boards of Taxation (C. 1991, P.L. 1973), the Director, in cooperation with members of the County Boards, maintains constant review to keep them current with changes in law and practice. Chapter 499, P.L. 1979 establishes the office of County Tax Administrator.

## Equalization Table

Each year the Division publishes a Table of Equalized Valuations showing the average ratio of assessed value to true value of real estate in each of the 567 local taxing districts. This table is certified to the State Commissioner of Education pursuant to Chapter 86, Laws of 1954 (N.J.S.A. 54:1-35.1) for use in calculating and distributing State school aid. Equalized valuations are also the basis for apportioning county taxes among local taxing districts and for apportioning the tax costs for a large number of regional school districts among component taxing districts. Equalized valuations are also the basis for measuring debt limits for local governmental units.

The ratio of assessed valuation to sales price is calculated for each usable sale and all are classified into four groups (vacant land, residential, farm, other ${ }^{1}$ ). An overall district average weighted ratio is calculated for all classes as a weighted average of separate ratios calculated for each class. This district weighted ratio is applied against assessed value of the district to determine aggregate "true value."
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#### Abstract

"True value" is averaged with true value for the preceding year after adjustment for "added and omitted assessments." This averaging has the two-way advantage of avoiding abrupt changes in ratio from year to year and avoiding undue influence of inadequate samples of sales of a single year.

The average ratio of assessed value to true value of all real estate in 1991 was $71.53 \%$.

The Table of Equalized Valuations promulgated October 1, 1991 shows that the aggregate assessed valuation of the real property in the State totaled $\$ 363.1$ billion and the aggregate true value totaled $\$ 507.7$ billion. Total equalized valuation decreased from $\$ 515.3$ billion in 1990 to $\$ 510.2$ billion in 1991, a decrease of $\$ 5.1$ billion, or $1.0 \%$.


## Farmland Assessment

The "Farmland Assessment Act of 1964" (C. 48, P.L. 1964) provides for preferential assessment of land-"actively devoted to agricultural or horticultural use," at its value for such rather than market value. Unit values for various types of farmland are jointly determined with the Department of Agricultural Economics and Marketing, Rutgers University.

In 1991 there were 38,283 "line items" of qualified farm assessments comprising 1,168,805 acres or $24.32 \%$ of total State area. Although large in area, these farmland assessments represent .11\% of the entire property tax base.

## Water Pollution and Air Pollution Tax Exemption

N.J.S.A. 54:4-3.56 et seq. and N.J.S.A. 13:1D-7 provide for exempt status of certain air and water pollution control equipment, facilities and devices upon certification by the State Commissioner of Environmental Protection.

## Business Personal Property

Effective in 1968, all business personal property except that of telephone, telegraph, and messenger system companies was removed from local tax rolls (C. 136, P.L. 1966). A personal property tax replacement program was designed to distribute State collected revenue to municipalities. A "save-harmless" measure assured municipalities of no less than the greater of their business personal property taxes in 1964, 1965, 1966 or 1967 (C. 135, P.L. 1966). Any excess revenues obtained from four replacement tax sources over the "save-harmless" require-
ment is distributed to all municipalities pursuant to a formula (N.J.S.A. 54:11D-4). The "save-harmless" amount of $\$ 106,835,188$ was exceeded in 1975-1976 by $\$ 51,868,646$.

Repeal of most replacement taxes was accompanied by legislation providing annual appropriation of not less than $\$ 158,703,834$, the amount certified on October 15, 1976 (C. 3, P.L. 1977). C. 4, P.L. 1977 provided for the repeal of the business personal property tax on all business machinery and equipment acquired on or after January 1, 1977.

## "In Lieu" of Tax Payments

Chapter 272, Laws of 1977 provides for payments to municipalities for local services "in lieu" of taxes on certain State-owned property.

The law provides that eligible State-owned property shall not include property used or held for future use for highway, bridge or tunnel purposes nor shall it include property which is qualified under another State law for any other payment "in lieu" of taxes.

## Exempt Property Lists

Legislative provisions for payment in lieu of tax revenues to taxing districts for services rendered to state owned exempt properties placed added emphasis on continuing efforts to attain realistic assessment for all exempt properties. Annual lists of exempt property valuations are compiled.

## Assistance to County Boards of Taxation

Close contact with the 21 County Boards of Taxation is maintained by correspondence, telephone, and by visits of the field staff. During the year 5,217 calls were made by the staff for this purpose. Division staff meets monthly with the Executive Committee of the New Jersey Association of County Tax Board Commissioners and County Tax Administrators to discuss problems and plans of the County Boards and assessors. It is through such close cooperation that uniform implementation of new or amended legislation and procedures is developed and maintained.

## Assistance to Local Assessors

Division field staff maintain regular contact with local assessors to provide direct assistance in solving day-to-day administrative problems.

## 1990 SUMMARY OF FARM ASSESSMENT <br> REGULAR FARM (3a) QUALIFIED FARM (3b)

|  | 3a (Regular Farm) |  | 3b (Qualified Farm) |  |  |  | Total Farm |  | \% Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | of Line Items ${ }^{2}$ | Assessed Value | of Line Items ${ }^{2}$ | Total <br> 3b Acreage | 3b Acres as \% of County Area | Assessed Value | No. of Line Items $3 a \& 3 b^{2}$ | Assessed Value | Farm <br> Assessed <br> 3а | Value |
| Atlantic | 940 | \$ 73,537,600 | 1,517 | 40,317.41 | 11.14 | \$ 14,496, 121 | 2,457 | \$ 88,033,721 | 0.46 | 0.09 |
| Bergen | 81 | 29,191,700 | 132 | 2,337.93 | 1.55 | 1,859,350 | 213 | 31,051,050 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Burlington | 1,618 | 251,555,607 | 3,519 | 148,970.18 | 28.41 | 47,285,614 | 5,137 | 298,841,221 | 1.50 | 0.28 |
| Camden | 328 | 13,219,400 | 837 | 12,549.63 | 8.83 | 6,648,580 | 1,165 | 19,867,980 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| Cape May | 246 | 20,078,600 | 573 | 13,452.18 | 7.92 | 3,579,190 | 819 | 23,657,790 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| Cumberland | 1,588 | 116,712,400 | 2,877 | 85,760.82 | 26.67 | 25,508,635 | 4,465 | 142,221,035 | 3.64 | 0.80 |
| Essex | 12 | 2,272,900 | 32 | 451.84 | 0.55 | 307,900 | 44 | 2,580,800 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Gloucester | 1,567 | 119,335,345 | 3,300 | 81,412.41 | 38.71 | 39,372,500 | 4,867 | 158,707,845 | 1.66 | 0.55 |
| Hudson | - | - - | - | - - | - | - | - | 12, - | - | 0. |
| Hunterdon | 2,945 | 764,018,060 | 5,269 | 148,925.57 | 53.25 | 48,103,206 | 8,214 | 812,121,266 | 8.24 | 0.52 |
| Mercer | 694 | 92,785,490 | 1,610 | 49,424.17 | 34.17 | 16,432,790 | 2,304 | 109,218,280 | 1.42 | 0.25 |
| Middlesex | 569 | 75,878,800 | 1,324 | 37,572.66 | 19.01 | 59,120,500 | 1,893 | 134,999,300 | 0.23 | 0.18 |
| Monmouth | 1,720 | 419,291,700 | 2,885 | 74,097.69 | 24.27 | 34,116,108 | 4,605 | 453,407,808 | 1.22 | 0.10 |
| Morris | 629 | 159,632,225 | 1,287 | 34,295.63 | 11.22 | 12,006,686 | 1,916 | 171,638,911 | 0.61 | 0.05 |
| Ocean | 333 | 41,977,210 | 507 | 13,835.28 | 3.37 | 3,230,999 | 840 | 45,208,209 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| Passaic | 79 | 15,115,200 | 191 | 9,057.70 | 7.36 | 2,158,910 | 270 | 17,274,110 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| Salem | 1,763 | 140,296,750 | 3,848 | 125,161.83 | 57.01 | 33,960,150 | 5,611 | 174,256,900 | 9.50 | 2.30 |
| Somerset | 905 | 309,802,005 | 1,767 | 61,348.79 | 31.42 | 18,821,990 | 2,672 | 328,623,995 | 1.90 | 0.12 |
| Sussex | 1,719 | 224,711,929 | 3,404 | 117,187.23 | 34.79 | 22,944,924 | 5,123 | 247,656,853 | 3.64 | 0.37 |
| Union | 14 | 3,537,900 | 30 | 279.49 | 0.42 | 275,000 | 44 | 3,812,900 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Warren | 1,437 | 261,816,986 | 3,374 | 112,366.95 | 48.50 | 25,928,278 | 4,811 | 287,745,264 | 4.84 | 0.48 |
| Total | 19,187 | \$3,134,767,807 | 38,283 | 1,168,805.39 | 24.29 | \$416,157,431 | 57,470 | \$3,550,925,238 | 0.86 | 0.11 |

[^1]Specialized assistance is provided in the case of property exemptions, deductions, Homestead Rebate problems and introductory training for new assessors.

During the year, the field staff was responsible for overseeing the processing and prescreening of 169,379 SR-1A's of which 61,244 were determined to be usable for development of the Director's Ratio. In the process of assisting assessors in carrying out the duties of the office, over 14,397 calls were made and new assessors provided introductory training by field staff personnel. There were 13,174 SR-1A's referred to the field in order to determine the usable/nonusable status for sales ratio purposes.

Other related assistance included 1,846 calls to local officials; 4,415 homestead rebate calls and 919 homestead rebate investigations and 128 senior citizens and veterans municipal audits.

## Assessor Training

Since 1954 the Division has co-sponsored annual in-service training courses for assessors conducted by the Bureau of Government Research at Rutgers, The State University, at strategic locations throughout the State. The Division supplies some of the instructors for courses, is represented on the planning committee and participates in the annual Rutgers Conference for Assessing Officers. The New Jersey Real Property Appraisal Manual, The Handbook for New Jersey Assessors and the Assessors Law Manual are the primary textbooks used in all in-service training courses.

## Real Estate Appraisals

An appraisal unit is maintained for assistance to assessors in appraisals of special purpose or other properties of unusual character. During fiscal 1991, assistance was given in 38 municipalities on 96 separate properties having an appraised value of $\$ 213.1$ million. Valuation data are accumulated as a basis for developing improved property assessment standards for local assessors. In addition to appraisals for assessors, appraisal assistance is often rendered to other state agencies. Appraisals, where necessary, are also prepared in conjunction with the valuation of state owned property reported by taxing districts under C. 272 , P.L. 1977.

During the fiscal year the appraisal unit developed data collection standards to be utilized during a major revaluation program, and actively monitored the collection of property characteristics during the revaluation process to determine compliance with the standards.

## Data Processing for Local Tax Roles

Assessment records for all taxing districts are produced and maintained using a data processing system developed by the State.

Full implementation of the New Jersey Property Tax System (MOD IV) enables county boards of taxation to accomplish mechanically a variety of tasks formerly requiring extensive manual effort.

## Railroad Tax

Local Property administers the Railroad Property Tax and Franchise Tax and determines the amount of State aid payable to taxing districts in lieu of Class II railroad property taxes.

All railroad taxes are presently collected by the State. Local taxing districts receive payments in lieu of railroad property taxes based on 1966 adjusted valuations*. Railroad owned property not used for railroad purposes is assessed and taxed locally.

## TAX COUNSELORS

Tax Counselor Section provides answers to technical or complicated inquiries from any taxpayer or the public regarding taxes administered by the Division. It also drafts rules and regulations and gives internal advice to other units regarding questions or issues which arise under the laws administered by the Division. In cooperating with the Office of the Attorney General, it acts as liaison with respect to the Division's litigating position in tax appeals and in the process of requesting an opinion of the Attorney General. It also coordinates the Division's activities with regard to information given to the public. This public information function is accomplished through impact statements and written responses to rule comments when rules are proposed or adopted to implement provisions of tax laws, and less formally in the form of question and answer booklets, tax return instructions and the State Tax News.
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## OFFICE OF TAX ANALYSIS

This office conducts research on a broad range of tax policy and tax administration issues to provide insight into the impact of current tax structure and the implications of potential changes. The unit continuously monitors economic indicators at the national and state level plus state revenue collections as part of its responsibility to provide revenue projections for use in the State Budget. Tax impact estimates for use in fiscal note worksheets that accompany legislative bills and annual publications on major taxes and Division activities are prepared by this office.

## Tax Studies

The research agenda was dominated by efforts to monitor and evaluate the impact of the $\$ 2.8$ billion tax package adopted in June, 1990. This effort was complicated by the onset of the national recession and the increasing deterioration of the state and regional economies which put increased pressure on overall revenue forecasting activities.

Two major research projects were completed. One, an effort to monitor and evaluate the monthly sales tax collections of a sample of firms in selected business sectors provides better insight into the monthly variations in the sales tax collections. The second, a review of the New Jersey economic outlook, was instituted to benchmark the conditions and assumptions underlying the revenue forecast. The review is targeted to the Appropriations Act (June) and the Governor's Executive Budget (January). Both projects have become ongoing activities.

## Publications

The following publications are available annually:

- Average Assessment/Sales Ratios by Taxing District-by Property Class
- Average Real Estate Tax Bill by Taxing District-by Property Class
- Coefficient of Deviation-Measures of Property Assessment Uniformity by Taxing District
- Owner Occupied Housing Statistics from Homestead Rebate and Income Tax Data Match
- Statistics of Income
- Division of Taxation's Annual Report


## DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

Processing/Administration, Data Systems and Telecommunications, Compliance, Audit and Criminal Investigations report to the Deputy Director of Operations who reports to the Director of Taxation.

## PROCESSING/ADMINISTRATION

This Activity is responsible for processing tax returns and forms, deposit of receipts, taxpayer registration, revenue and taxpayer accounting, and all administrative functions.

## PROCESSING BRANCH

This operation is responsible for the initial processing of all tax returns and for the conversion and control of data input to the various systems used by the Division to administer the taxes. The Branch also maintains the files for the current year's Gross Income Tax returns.

## Data Input and Control Section

This section is responsible for controlling all computer input and output generated by the processing of tax forms and related documents. It consists of two units:

1. Data Capture Unit

Handles input required to service all computer programs including cash verification, tax return editing and billing, delinquencies, audit selection, and the Cash Receipts Accounting System which involves the input of checks and cash receipts.
2. Data Input and Output Control Unit

Controls source documents and the input and output maintenance of hard copy reports and acts as liaison of the Revenue Accounting Section and OTIS. With more than 300 separate computer programs and their applications, volume runs into the millions of entries.

The Data Capture Unit has two locations: Mill Hill Processing Center in Trenton and a satellite center in Lakewood.

The unit is equipped with 10 key-to-disk to tape CMC 1800 keyprocessing systems with a total of 264 CMC 108 keystations.

The following is the comparison listing of jobs and volume of records entered and verified in fiscal years 1990 and 1991:

## JOB

Atlantic City Luxury Tax
Attorney Fees
Bidders Life-Div. of Bldg. \& Construction

Business Personal Property Tax
Cashbooks Adjustments
Cigarette Floor Tax
Corporation Business Tax
Farmland
Gross Income Tax-Employee ${ }^{1}$
Gross Income Tax-Employer
Homestead Rebate (\& updates)
Homestead Delinquent Taxpayers
Inheritance Tax
Insurance Premiums
Local Property Tax
Lifeline Application Forms
Litter Tax
Motor Fuel Tax
New Jersey Sales Tax
NJ/NY Combined State Sales and Use Tax
Petroleum Products
Public Utility Tax
Set-Off Individual Liability Program
Totals

| 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: |
| RECORDS | RECORDS |


| 1,437 | $-0-$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $-0-$ | 40,784 |
| 29,023 | 32,518 |
| 35,005 | 28,867 |
| 21,075 | 20,514 |
| $0-$ | 14,377 |
| 513,560 | 486,726 |
| 13,921 | 10,850 |
| $5,440,630$ | $6,028,865$ |
| $1,973,020$ | $2,041,157$ |
| $1,953,363$ | 46,417 |
| $-0-$ | 66,780 |
| $-0-$ | 1,279 |
| -0 | 2,022 |
| 200,526 | 146,539 |
| 171,989 | 167,411 |
| 36,219 | 16,180 |
| 22,107 | 15,320 |
| $1,411,530$ | $1,471,542$ |
| 32,760 | 43,712 |
| $-0-$ | 2,728 |
| 2,878 | 4,159 |
| 102,611 | 106,339 |
| $11,961,654$ | $10,795,086$ |

With verification, the total number of records processed exceeds 21.5 million.

In addition, 42,298 items were keyed and verified on IBM 129 data recorders. They included certain applications for Corporation Tax, Business Personal Property Tax, Cigarette Tax, and to the Cash Receipts Accounting Systems.

The staff of this unit consists of 104 full time employees. There are 71 day shift and 19 night shift employees in the Mill Hill Processing Center. There are 14 day shift employees in the Lakewood Processing Center.

During the peak processing season of 1991, an additional 511 intermittent employees were hired. During this period, two shifts (day and night) were functioning in both locations.
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## Returns Processing Section

Returns Processing's functions include the extracting, screening, coding and numbering of tax returns, as well as the distribution of miscellaneous mail to the appropriate sections within the Division.

Over 9.8 million pieces of mail were received during 1991.
More than 95 percent of all checks received are deposited in the bank on the day received.

A comparison of mail receipts for the last two fiscal years follows:

| Tax Source | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney Fee | 9,894 | 30,090 |
| Atlantic City Luxury | 1,970 | 2,051 |
| Alcoholic Beverage | 5,129 | 5,363 |
| Business Personal Property Tax | 32,092 | 27,638 |
| Cigarette ${ }^{1}$ | 14,662 | 26,491 |
| Corporation Business | 492,573 | 461,864 |
| Gross Income: |  |  |
| Employee 1040 Estimated | 1,066,092 | 1,101,372 |
| Employee 1040 | 4,071,138 | 4,177,107 |
| Employer Withholdings | 1,386,966 | 1,361,947 |
| NJ-630 Extensions | In Misc. Total | 72,032 |
| Homestead Rebate Application² | 1,581,181 | 54,015 |
| Employer Reconciliations | 153,220 | 206,711 |
| Inheritance | -0- | 37,922 |
| Litter Control | 25,751 | 18,226 |
| Miscellaneous | 566,086 | 554,900 |
| Motor Fuels | 19,784 | 22,180 |
| New York/New Jersey Border | 25,690 | 27,936 |
| Petroleum Products | -0- | 1,687 |
| Sales \& Use/Urban Zone Sales | 1,385,547 | 1,487,627 |
| Tobacco Products | -0- | 1,358 |
| Totals | 10,837,775 | 9,678,517 |

During the month of August, the Division allots space to the Department of Human Services' Lifeline Program and maintains totals of their mail receipts. The total receipts associated with this program for the last fiscal year was 154,622.

Returns Processing has also assumed the duties of processing seven of the manual taxes from the Revenue Accounting Section.

[^4]The Returns Processing Section has a unit called Exception Processing which handles all types of problems for all taxes on an individual basis in order to process problem documents in an efficient manner.

In addition, over 9.8 million returns have been processed. A comparison of returns processed for the major taxes are listed below:

|  | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Business Personal Property | 25,438 | 24,391 |
| Corporation Tax | 485,465 | 467,534 |
| Gross Income: |  |  |
| $\quad$ Employee 1040 Estimated | $1,147,784$ | $1,195,029$ |
| Employee 1040 | $3,931,818$ | $4,039,029$ |
| NJ-630 Extensions | in | 1040 total |
| Employer Withholdings | $1,656,450$ | $1,692,462$ |
| Employer Reconciliations | 218,543 | 229,643 |
| Sales and Use/Urban Zone | $\underline{1,346,405}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 8 , 0 0 1}$ |
| Totals | $8,811,903$ | $9,243,661$ |

## Returns Files Section

The Mill Hill Returns Files Section presently maintains the Gross Income Tax files for documents processed during the current year.

|  | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Total Number of Gross Income Tax Returns |  |  |
| (various types) | $5,842,100$ | $7,237,100$ |
| 1040 Refund |  | $2,808,900$ |
| 1040 Payments \& Mixed |  | $2,350,000$ |
| 1040 Estimated |  | $1,008,700$ |
| Employer Withholding | 689,165 | $1,119,069$ |
| Requests for Documents (various types) |  | 352,114 |
| Audit Adjustment | 186,121 |  |
| Audit-Homestead |  | 543,277 |
| Data Perfection | 37,514 |  |

The addition of a night shift during the peak processing season, allows for a quicker or more efficient program.

## REVENUE ACCOUNTING BRANCH

This Branch is responsible for the preparation of checks that are
received in the Mill Hill Processing Center for deposit into the various depository banks; the reporting of deposit information to appropriate State agencies; and the maintenance of accounting records of all revenue received by the Division. The Branch administers the Set-Off Individual Liability (SOIL) Program and analyzes, interprets, and corrects all transactions rejected by the Generic Tax System and the Cash Receipts Accounting System.

## Book Accounting Section

The Book Accounting Section is responsible for:

- Maintaining the accounting records for all the tax revenues processed by the Mill Hill Processing Center.
- Reporting revenues to the State of New York received under the Interstate Cooperative Sales Tax Agreement.
- Monitoring and setting meters for cigarettes at the district offices, authorizing meter settings at various banks throughout the state, and issuing cigarette tax stamps (decals).
Checks are deposited daily and transmittals of income are forwarded to the Division of Budget and Accounting via on-line computer terminals for the agency accounting systems.

Daily, weekly, monthly, fiscal year, and special revenue and statistical reports are prepared for the taxes processed at Mill Hill.

Yearly distributions of revenue for Financial Business Tax and the Insurance Premium Tax are prepared for certification by the Director. This section also assists in the compiling of figures for the Corporation Bank Tax Distribution in conjunction with the Audit Adjustment Branch.

The Book Accounting Section acts as a liaison with the Departments of Treasury, Labor, Health, Environmental Protection, and Insurance regarding various trust accounts and funds. The Division's revenue accounts are reconciled to the Department of Treasury Financial Information System on a monthly basis.

## Deposit Preparation Section

This section prepares all checks and cash receipts for bank deposit representing payments for tax returns, billings, licenses, etc. It also maintains liaison with depository banks and the Division of Budget and Accounting.

Checks are deposited daily and transmittals of income are forwarded to the Division of Budget and Accounting via on-line computer terminals.

Daily, monthly, fiscal year, and special revenue and statistical reports are prepared for the checks deposited through this section.

During the fiscal year 1991, approximately 5.8 million checks and cash transactions were deposited.

## Set-Off Individual Liability (SOIL) Program

The SOIL Program was implemented during fiscal 1982. The purpose of this system is to provide state agencies with another avenue of collecting debts owed by individuals by "setting-off" those debts against Gross Income Tax refunds and/or Homestead Rebates which might otherwise be payable to these individuals.

During the calendar year 1990 cycle, forty-three (43) state agencies submitted approximately 543,000 debtor names. Over 115,000 of these people filed requests for Gross Income Tax refunds and/or Homestead Rebates which were intercepted and sent to the appropriate agencies. The affected refunds and rebates totaled $\$ 12.2$ million.

For the calendar year 1991 cycle, agencies have submitted approximately 964,000 debtor names to the SOIL Program. Based on early projections, the program is expected to generate about $\$ 17$ million in collections this year.

Since the inception of the SOIL Program in 1982, a total of $\$ 86.2$ million has been set-off to participating agencies.

## Data Perfection Section

The function of this section is to analyze, interpret and correct all rejected returns for the five major taxes and the Homestead Rebate Program. Corrections and adjustments are made on-line through the Generic Tax System directly to the taxpayer's account. This section also ensures that all payment batches are properly accepted through the Cash Receipts Accounting System. This section is responsible for approving, processing, and returning all year end Wage Statement (W-2's) and 1099, informational filings submitted on magnetic media.

During fiscal year 1991, this section handled 546,000 rejected transactions.

## TAXPAYER ACCOUNTING BRANCH

The Taxpayer Accounting Branch's primary responsibility is to issue billings for underpayments of tax, penalty and interest and to review,
approve, and issue refunds requested from the filing of a tax return. These actions are generally limited to 1988 and later tax years, with prior years remaining the responsibility of the Audit Adjustment Branch of Audit Activity. This segregation by return year is based on the taxes converted to the Generic Tax System. This system enhancement allows the adjustment of taxpayer accounts, approval of refunds, approval of bills, and other taxpayer account maintenance functions to be performed on-line which directly updates the taxpayer account.

The Branch has continued to focus on improving the timeliness of issuing bills and approving valid refund claims. It will also continue to make improvements in the collection of outstanding amounts due from taxpayers who fail to respond to the initial requests for tax, penalty and/ or interest liabilities.

## Individual Income Tax Section

This section handles billing and refund activities from the New Jersey Gross Income Tax returns of individuals. Underpayments of tax, penalty, and interest, as well as adjustments to the tax liability based on information shown on the tax return, are approved for billing through this section. Discrepancies between the taxpayer's requested refund and the Division's calculation of the refund are also resolved in this area. In addition, with the incorporation of the Homestead Property Tax Rebate Application as part of the New Jersey Gross Income Tax resident return, the review and approval of rebates now fall under the Individual Income Tax Section.

During fiscal year 1991, this section reviewed 108,671 underpayments and approved billings for over $\$ 10$ million. In addition, 97,692 refund requests and 71,101 Property Tax Homestead Rebates were examined. As a result of billing notices for the personal income tax, $\$ 19$ million was collected. An additional $\$ 6.4$ million in refund claims were denied or adjusted. A total of 25,728 letters from taxpayers were acted upon by this section which were in response to the bills issued or refund or rebate adjustments.

## Business Tax Section

The Business Tax Section is responsible for the review, approval, and adjusting of tax underpayments for Sales \& Use Tax, Gross Income Tax Withholding, Business Personal Property Tax, Litter Control Tax, Petroleum Products Gross Receipts Tax, and Spill Compensation \& Control Tax. As additional taxes are converted into the Generic Tax

System, responsibility for most of those taxes will also come under the Taxpayer Accounting Branch.

The Business Tax Section reviewed 52,294 underpayments for the 6 business taxes. This process resulted in the approval of 32,483 billings. A total of 216,706 billing notices were issued to taxpayers. The section also resolved 23,812 letters from taxpayers regarding problems with their accounts and issued 7,205 assessment letters for additional amounts due. Collections from all billing activities totaled over $\$ 75$ million during fiscal year 1991.

A more highly automated follow-up process has been implemented to secure collections from taxpayers who failed to respond to the Division's initial billing notices. This process has so far yielded over $\$ 5$ million in collections and the resolution of 30,869 deficient items.

## TAXPAYER REGISTRATION BRANCH

The Taxpayer Registration Branch is responsible for registering new taxpayers and maintaining registration information on previously registered taxpayers. This maintenance responsiblity includes the registration information for both business and individual taxpayers. The branch also handles such registration-related activities as issuing licenses and certificates. The tax eligibility information that is maintained on the registration file facilitates the identification of taxpayers who fail to file required returns. These taxpayers are sent delinquent notices. The eligibility information is also used to mail return forms and other notices to taxpayers.

## Taxpayer Registration Section

During the 1991 fiscal year, the section added 63,860 new accounts to the registration file and processed 201,175 changes to existing registration information. The section also issued 28,478 Cigarette Tax licenses and 7,536 Motor Fuels licenses.

## Delinquency Section

The Delinquency Section is responsible for reviewing the responses received from the mailing of delinquent notices for the Sales, Gross Income, and Corporation Business Taxes. The section processed 82,027 responses to these notices during the fiscal year.

## MANAGEMENT SERVICES BRANCH

## Facilities Management Section

This Section is responsible for the effective facilities management of all property occupied by the Division. This includes floor space on six of the ten floors of the Taxation Building, the Mill Hill Processing Center and Annex, the Document Control Center and Annex, a facility in Hopewell, and three warehouse facilities in the City of Trenton; a Satellite Processing Center in Lakewood, New Jersey; three out-of-state audit operations in Chicago, Illinois; Anaheim, California and Dallas, Texas; and ten other field offices in New Jersey located in Bridgewater, Cherry Hill, Fair Lawn, Newark, Northfield, Randolph, Sea Girt, Turnersville, Vineland, and Hamilton Township in the Trenton area.

## Records Section

This Section operates the Division's record management program. This involves the security, inventory, and storage of approximately 500 million tax returns in such a manner to ensure their retrieval as needed, or destruction in accordance with official criteria.

## Mail Services

Mail Services is responsible for proper handling of a large volume of forms, documents, and correspondence for the entire Division. It also performs mail service for several other state agencies. During 1991, Mail Services processed over 11 million pieces of incoming mail and over 12 million pieces of outgoing mail.

## DATA SYSTEMS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS BRANCH

Data Systems and Telecommunications acts as a liaison between the Office of Telecommunications and Information Systems (OTIS), other state and federal agencies, and the end users of data processing resources within Taxation, aids in the automated and manual systems design required by new legislation and recommends and evaluates new technological concepts for the Division. Data Systems and Telecommunications has the general responsibility for the design and specification
of all New Jersey tax forms, site inspection of prospective vendors and overall form quality assurance.

In addition the branch supplies technical support, evaluates suggestion awards, performs special project work, provides numerous management information reports and maintains the TAXNET Help Desk.

The TAXNET Help Desk assists the Division personnel to better utilize the Division's data systems, provides a vehicle for the identification and resolution of system problems and performs system table and file maintenance. During 1991 the TAXNET Help Desk answered 3,128 telephone calls and completed 574 problem notification forms and 635 employee changes.

The Data Systems and Telecommunications branch reviews data processing requests for technical soundness and overall Division desirability. Priorities for these requests are then set by either the Systems Review Board or the Small Projects Priority Committee. During 1991, a total of 140 such requests were evaluated.

Data Systems and Telecommunications participated in the change and design of many systems during the year due to legislative issues, law changes and Division needs. Included in this group was the implementation of chapter 61, P.L. 1990 which required major modifications to the Gross Income Tax System as well as the development of an entirely new system to process Homestead Property Tax Rebates.

Continuous effort is expended to refine and improve existing systems. Refinement of existing data systems have contributed major savings in recent years. For example, recent changes to the method of calculating compound interest will result in the reduction of OTIS operating costs by approximately $15 \%$ during the next fiscal year.

Data Systems and Telecommunications has continued to develop and maintain various micro-based systems which support a variety of applications throughout the Division. Included in this group are the Cigarette Tax System, Intermittent Payroll System and Attorney Fee Collection System.

A substantial effort on the part of Data Systems and Telecommunications was expended on the GENTS (Generic Tax System) system. This effort was concentrated on providing the technical and analytical support required for GENTS and assisting in the prioritization of outstanding system improvement requests and remaining development issues.

Responsibility for telecommunications, including the fiber optic and microwave equipment, within the Division was transferred to the branch during the year.

## COMPLIANCE

The Special Procedures/Investigations Activity is concerned with problem taxpayers or collection activity involving litigation. Regarding taxpayers with special problems, investigations is responsible for establishing field contact with taxpayers for whom on site examination, clarification, inspection or related acts of tax enforcement may be appropriate.

## SPECIAL PROCEDURES

The Special Procedures Branch deals mainly with the collection of overdue tax liabilities but is also responsible for recommending taxpayers for criminal and civil proceedings.

## Bankruptcies

The primary function of the Bankruptcy Section is to submit Proofs of Claims to federal and state court relative to insolvency matters.

The Bankruptcy Section received 5,809 notices of insolvency resulting in 676 Proofs of Claim being filed. The difference between the notices received and the number of Proofs of Claim represented those notices indicating that the business or individual had no assets and/or was not indebted to the Division. The assessed value of claims amounts to $\$ 35.8$ million. Collections totalled $\$ 7.3$ million.

## Bulk Sales

The Bulk Sales Section is responsible for examining tax records of each business which disposes of its assets, either by Sales, Transfer or Assignment, other than in the normal course of business.

Bulk Sale Notices are required under provisions of the Sales and Use Tax Act, the Business Personal Property Tax Act and the State Uniform Commercial Code. The Section processed 2,654 Bulk Sales Notices resulting in collections of $\$ 3.3$ million.

## Condemnations \& Foreclosures

During the fiscal year, 408 collection efforts relative to Condemnation Proceedings and Foreclosures were pursued.

## Deferred Payment Control

The Deferred Payment Control Section monitors monies received by the Special Procedures Branch under installment payment plans. This Section realized revenue of $\$ 5.8$ million from 932 accounts during fiscal 1991.

The Branch continues participation in a joint venture with the Office of the Attorney General to collect deficient and delinquent taxes. While the initial purpose of the project was to secure the assistance of the Attorney General to recover tax debts for those entities operating outside of New Jersey, the scope of the project has widened to include taxpayers within New Jersey whereas the collection efforts of the Division have been, for a variety of reasons, unsuccessful.

Initiated in the fall of 1987, and being coordinated through the Deferred Payment Control Section, the program generated 381 cases during the year. Collections on these matters amounted to $\$ 4.6$ million for the fiscal year.

## Judgments

The Judgment Section collects overdue liabilities from taxpayers who neglected or refused to pay taxes and/or file returns through normal channels. The primary collection vehicle is the Certificate of Debt which is filed with the Clerk of the New Jersey Superior Court.

During fiscal year 1991 the Judgment Section processed 3,224 files which resulted in the filing of 5,633 Judgments. Collections for the fiscal year totaled $\$ 11.7$ million.

## INVESTIGATIONS

The Investigations Branch is responsible for a variety of activities which include establishing contact with taxpayers for the purpose of collecting delinquent and deficient taxes, securing delinquent returns, investigating new businesses, detecting state tax law violations, filing Certificates of Debt through levy or seizure action, prosecuting violators in municipal court, and recommending criminal prosecution when appropriate. Taxpayer service is also provided in each office.

Eight regional field offices, staffed by 96 investigators, are located throughout the state. During fiscal 1991, 26,044 assignments were completed resulting in the collection of $\$ 69.5$ million.

Sophisticated computer systems such as Generic Tax System
(GENTS), Tax Record Image Management System (TRIMS) and Taxation Unremitted Liability Inventory Plotting System (TULIPS), continue to be effective tools to aid the Investigations Branch in the enforcement of the many tax statutes under its jurisdiction. Many taxpayers whose violations may have previously remained undetected are now made aware of their obligations and subject to the enforcement actions of the Division.

The Boat Program is a special project which identifies boat owners in New Jersey who have failed to pay the proper sales and/or use tax on vessels. Approximately $\$ 7.8$ million has been realized since the inception of the program, of which $\$ 1$ million was collected during fiscal year 1991. The Division's Navy now uses seven (7) investigators operating three (3) boats, the original $23^{\prime}$ Pantera racing boat on loan from the U.S. Customs plus a $25^{\prime}$ Sea Ray and a $17^{\prime}$ Boston Whaler, to seek out potential tax evaders on New Jersey's waterways.

An IRS levy match which was developed during the 1990 fiscal year has successfully continued during the 1991 year. This program is a cooperative effort with the IRS in which sources of assets are identified for potential levy against uncooperative New Jersey taxpayers. The levy match program has substantially increased the collections received as a result of levies. During the 1991 fiscal year, 871 levies were performed resulting in 195 closed cases and collections of over $\$ 4$ million.

In addition to the collections of revenue through levies of taxpayer assets, field investigators closed 541 cases as a result of post-judgement and pre-seizure visits (SJS) collecting $\$ 7.3$ million, seized the assets of 141 businesses resulting in collections of $\$ 2.1$ million and conducted 18 auctions collecting approximately $\$ 58,000$. A total of $\$ 13.5$ milion was collected from these various Liens, Levies and Seizure activities during the 1991 fiscal year.

The identification of vendors who are not properly registered to operate a business in the state will continue during the 1992 fiscal year in an attempt to increase voluntary compliance.

The Taxpayer Automated Contact System (TACS) has been in operation during the 1991 fiscal year in each field office. In this program, 1 or 2 paraprofessionals in each regional office perform the initial processing on selected cases by attempting to resolve a taxpayer's deficiency or delinquency by telephone contact. This program has proven to be a successful and relatively inexpensive enforcement tool in the Taxpayer Service area and is increasing the completed cases and collections in the field offices. During the 1991 fiscal year, \$1.2 million was collected through this program in the Investigations Branch.

## Motor Vehicle Casual Sales Section

The Casual Sales Section reviews and processes information received from the Division of Motor Vehicles on all non-dealer vehicle transactions to determine whether the proper amount of sales or use tax was paid.

After proper screening of title transfers, assessment letters are mailed to any transferee where there appears to be an additional tax liability resulting from the sales of motor vehicles, boats, and aircraft. During the year, 18,485 assessments were resolved resulting in \$2.2 million in additional taxes collected.

This section examines all exemption certificates from purchasers who claim exemptions from the New Jersey Sales Tax and bills them for the tax due if the exemption is inappropriate.


#### Abstract

AUDIT Audit Activity is responsible for ensuring tax compliance through the examination of information provided on tax returns, collection of outstanding tax liabilities, and by auditing records at the taxpayer's place of business. Several specialized functions including the Office of Tax Analysis are part of this activity.

Audit has taken the lead in participating in regional and national programs that provide for exchanges of information to encourage greater compliance with New Jersey tax laws. As a result, creative programs can be designed to locate areas of non-compliance. During the year, arrangements began for participation in the Multistate Tax Commission audit program of multinational corporations. These efforts will significantly expand the field presence while positively impacting revenues.


## FIEID AUDIT

The Field Audit Branch performs audits for all taxes that a New Jersey business is responsible. The audit team's examination of the taxpayer's accounting records is comprehensive. Therefore, the auditors verify several different New Jersey taxes during the performance of one audit. In addition, as part of several interstate exchange agreements,
select information may be obtained for other taxing jurisdictions during the performance of the audit.

A system of computerized credit workpapers identified as "Audit Smart Papers" developed by the Computer Audit Support Team (CAST) has been installed on all lap-top PC's assigned to Field Audit.

During the 1991 fiscal year, the eleven audit groups completed 2,481 assignments consisting of 2,378 regular audits of 103 special assignments. The completed audits generated assessments of $\$ 80.8$ million including penalty and interest charges. The distribution of the assessments by tax is as follows:

| Tax | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sales and Use | $\$ 37,540,958$ |
| Corporation Business | $7,205,395$ |
| Business Personal Property | 214,624 |
| Gross Income | 550,005 |
| Border Sales | 28,190 |
| Atlantic City Luxury | 368,718 |
| Letter | 158,925 |
| Cigarette | $2,317,226$ |
| $\quad$ Total Tax | $\$ 48,384,041$ |
| Penalty of Interest | $32,393,359$ |
| Total Assessments | $\$ 80,777,400$ |

The average assessment per audit in fiscal year 1991 was \$33,969. Assessments for each auditor averaged $\$ 841,431$.

## OUT-OF-STATE AUDIT

The out-of-state branch has the responsibility to perform field audits for all the New Jersey taxes on all taxpayers whose accounting records are maintained outside of the state. Currently the Division has offices in Chicago, Anaheim and Dallas.

During this fiscal year the out-of-state branch witnessed a twentytwo percent reduction in audit staff caused by budget restraints and the hiring freeze. With increased productivity the branch still completed 175 audits which generated assessments totaling $\$ 14.3$ million. The distribution of assessment by tax is as follows:

| Tax | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Sales \& Use | $\$ 5,326,514$ |
| Corporation Business | $2,347,265$ |
| Spill Compensation | 106,276 |
| Business Personal Property | 100,758 |
| Litter | 60,590 |
| Gross Income | 14,036 |
| Total Tax | $7,955,439$ |
| Penalty \& Interest | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 7 , 4 6 7}$ |
| Total Assessment | $\$ 14,262,906$ |

Assessments for each auditor averaged in excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ during this period. Collections versus assessments on closed audits since inception of this branch through the end of this fiscal year is $77.7 \%$.

## OFFICE AUDIT

The Office Audit Branch consists of 9 groups charged with 7 areas of specialized responsibilities.

## Regular Office Audit

These three groups have the primary responsibility of determining if domestic and foreign corporations having a taxable status in New Jersey are properly reporting their tax liabilities.

During the recently ended fiscal period the three teams assessed $\$ 27.5$ million dollars.

## Gross Income Tax

This group audits returns of individuals to determine if the proper tax has been reported. The source of these audits include the Division's Audit Selection Branch and information from the Federal Government or other states.

This group assessed in excess of $\$ 7.1$ million during fiscal 1991.

## Reinstatements

This section is responsible for the audit of corporations who have had their charter (domestic) or certificate of authority (foreign) revoked and that wish to become reauthorized to do business.

This section works closely with the Office of the Secretary of State and was responsible for assessments of $\$ 4.2$ million in fiscal 1991.

## Tax Clearance

This group provides tax clearance certificates to corporations desiring to dissolve, merge, withdraw or surrender their certificate of authority or reauthorize. The certificate is required by the Secretary of State prior to completion of these actions.

During fiscal 1991 the Tax Clearance group issued 4,448 certificates and made assessments of 3.1 million dollars.

## Status

The primary responsibility of the Status Section is the issuance of Corporation Franchise Tax Lien Certificates in response to applications desiring the N.J. Corporate tax status of any corporations filing in New Jersey. Audits may be performed as part of this procedure.

The Status section was responsible for $\$ 3.2$ million in billing for fiscal 1991 and issued 13,863 certificates.

## Special Audit

This section is responsible for auditing many of the lesser known taxes in New Jersey. These taxes include the Insurance Premiums Tax, Savings Institution Tax, Landfill Taxes and Financial Business Taxes.

Their efforts resulted in $\$ 7.8$ million in assessments for the most recent fiscal year.

## CITE

The Cooperative Interstate Tax Enforcement team administers the program of cooperative sales tax administration with the State of New York. The agreement provides for an exchange of information and a joint tax collection system and has been in effect since 1986.

During the most recent fiscal year, the program collected $\$ 35$ million in voluntary remittances of sales tax revenues for the States of New York and New Jersey which otherwise would probably have not been collected.

This unit receives information pursuant to the Division participating in exchange programs with other states, regionally and nationally. This information exchange resulted in over 2,500 tax assessments with additional tax collections in excess of $\$ 3.4$ million.

## HEARINGS

The Conference Branch is responsible for hearing all timely received protests of deficiency assessments or findings of the director.

The Branch also assists taxpayers with tax related problems that are administrative in nature and do not require a hearing.

During the fiscal year 1991 the Branch, with a staff of 7 conferees, held 580 hearings, finalized 1,055 cases, and collected \$14,375,509.19.

Additionally, the Branch works closely with the office of the Attorney General in regard to cases which are appealed to the New Jersey Courts. During fiscal 1991, 146 cases were appealed to the Tax Court and 110 previously appealed cases were concluded.

## AUDIT ADJUSTMENT

The responsibility to bill and refund taxes based on information contained on filed taxes returns is handled by the Audit Adjustment Branch. This Branch no longer handles refunds and bills for the Gross Income Tax. This process is now handled by the Taxpayer Accounting Branch.

Refund requests are audited for their validity and to ensure that an outstanding liability for the taxpayer does not exist in another tax.

Total revenue refunded for last fiscal year by this Branch totaled approximately $\$ 134$ million.

The total amount of bills processed and sent to taxpayers totaled approximately 61,000 items.

## TRANSFER INHERITANCE TAX

The Inheritance Tax Branch administers the New Jersey Transfer Inheritance Tax and the New Jersey Estate Tax.

The branch is currently in the early stages of a period of transition from a traditionally billed system to a more clearly defined self-reporting system. The new reporting and processing system is mandatory for estates of decedents having a date of death on or after January 1, 1991.

The new system is designed to streamline processing of returns, shorten processing time, and to allow more effective and productive utilization of available resources. The new procedure includes an auto-
mated screening process which enhances the newly installed automated auditing program.

New processing procedures permit a greater degree of selectivity than was possible under criteria established for filing under the previous "expedited processing" L-5 program. The L-5 program may not be used for estates of individuals dying on or after January 1, 1991.

The new system also puts cross-referencing of other taxes administered by the Division at the fingertips of the auditor. This potential is, of course, a most valuable compliance medium.

During the fiscal year, 8,681 assessments, including 210 non-resident and 589 estate tax assessments were made. Revenue in the amount of $\$ 216,550,983.57$ was collected.

## miscellaneous tax

The Miscellaneous Tax Branch has the administrative responsibility for the Alcohol Beverage, Cigarette, Litter, Motor Fuel, Public Utility, Spill Compensation Control and Petroleum Products Gross Receipts Taxes.

During the past fiscal year, the Branch assessed $\$ 4.4$ million resulting in collections of $\$ 2.5$ million.

This activity is participating in two Federal/Multistate programs that will expand the motor fuel compliance efforts. In one of these programs, New Jersey has been chosen to be a lead state in a combined effort to explore and encourage a cooperative compliance program for motor fuels tax. The program will provide information to evaluate the compliance programs of other states and the Federal Government, and will provide a compliance environment that will develop projects to enhance the administration of these taxes.

The design of a tracking system has been advanced and will with the full development of the computerized program provide the maximum effect with limited resources.

## PUBLIC UTILITY TAX

Adoption of a public utility excise tax for State use (C. 41 and C.42, P.L. 1963) had the effect of bringing a function of tax administration and collection to an activity which was traditionally involved only in valuation and apportionment functions. Addition of the Excise Tax in-
volved an additional computation and assessment of taxes paid directly to the State for State Use.

Public Utility Franchise Taxes and Gross Receipts Taxes are now collected by the State for distribution to municipalities. (P.L. 1980, Chapters 10, 11 \& 12.)

## TABLE 4 <br> PUBLIC UTILITIES GROSS RECEIPTS AND FRANCHISE TAXES COLLECTED BY THE STATE AND AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO MUNICIPALITIES CALENDAR YEAR-1991

| Number of Companies | Classification | Franchise Tax |  | Gross Receipts Tax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross Receipts | Taxes* | Gross Receipts | Taxes |
| 3 | Electric | \$1,447,636,620 | \$ 72,353,619 | \$2,234,504,923 | \$167,576,946 |
| 3 | Gas | 637,148,435 | 32,221,308 | 699,235,454 | 52,958,238 |
| 2 | Electric \& Gas ......... | 3,476,722,431 | 178,445,918 | 4,533,446,046 | 348,032,430 |
| 51 | Water | 317,686,170 | 15,606,175 | 337,809,140 | 24,912,188 |
| 19 | Sewer | 18,338,313 | 982,246 | 21,567,942 | 1,716,830 |
| 3 | Telephone \& |  |  |  |  |
|  | Telegraph ............... | 1,168,601,547 | 58,187,453 | - | - |
| 81 |  | \$7,066,133,516 | \$357,796,719 | \$7,826,563,505 | \$595,196,632 |
| 1 | Municipal Electric .... | 10,626,694 | 529,153 | 11,091,942 | 814,737 |
| 82 | Total ....................... | \$7,076,760,210 | \$358,325,872 | \$7,837,655,447 | \$596,011,369 |
|  | Administrative Cost |  | 102,104 |  | 168,737 |
|  | Net Tax Apportioned |  | \$358,223,768 |  | \$595,842,632 |

*Includes adjustments for credit and advance payments made under c. 35 \& c. 36, P.L. 1979.

## CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

The Office of Criminal Investigation is responsible for the detection, investigation and recommendation of cases for criminal prosecution for violations of the State Tax Code.

The vast majority of cases identified and investigated by the Office of Criminal Investigation are matters that would not normally come to the attention of the division. The assessments and collections resulting from these investigative initiatives contribute significantly towards our revenue enhancement efforts, as these matters would not be identified through the typical audit case selection process.

The Office of Criminal Investigation plays a very important role in our efforts to ensure voluntary compliance with the tax laws. Our system
of taxation relies upon the concept of honest self-assessment by the taxpayers. The deterrent effect produced by successful criminal prosecutions is a necessary ingredient to ensure the viability of a voluntary compliance system of taxation.

In addition to this office's primary goal of recommending cases for criminal prosecution, it has also been successful in generating substantial tax assessments and collections. This unit has, for its size, generated a significant amount of revenue during this past fiscal year.

## Criminal Enforcement Statistics

| Prosecution Recommendations | $70^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Indictments | 15 |
| Pleas | 15 |
| Sentences | 17 |
| Fines | $\$ 22,000$ |
| Probation | 37 |
| years |  |
| Jail | 6 |
| months |  |
| Referrals from Prosecutors | 66 |
| Information Items Investigated | 144 |
| Information Items Evaluated | 832 |

*Represents a prosecution ratio of 3.89 cases per agent.

## Tax

Sales Tax
Gross Income Tax
Corporation Business Tax All Taxes

## Collection Assessments

| $\$ 666,984$ | $\$ 1,350,310$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 323,016 | 549,690 |
| $21,675,000$ |  |
| $\$ 22,665,000$ | $\$ 1,900,000$ |

The amounts of assessments and collections listed were all the result of a criminal investigation, indictment, conviction, or guilty plea.

Resource Commitment Per Tax

| Sales | $55 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gross Income Tax | $20 \%$ |
| Corporation Business Tax | $10 \%$ |
| Motor Fuels Tax | $10 \%$ |
| Cigarette Tax | $5 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $100 \%$ |

It should be noted that the statistics reflected above do not account for all of the money received by the division as a result of our enforcement efforts. The above figures generally represent monies received prior to final adjudication. After adjudication, money paid by the taxpayer pursuant to a court-mandated payment plan to the division is reflected
in the collection figures of the compliance function, because OCl generally transfers the case to that function after conviction. The cost of collecting these monies for the division is little or nothing, because the probation department of the courts collects the money and remits it to the division.

Furthermore, OCI, because of its investigative efforts, has identified numerous cases which did not have criminal prosecution potential, but did have significant civil assessment or collection possibilities involving large revenue potential. These cases were referred to the appropriate functions where, in fact, audit and collection action was initiated.

It should be noted that cigarette investigations are more geared to revenue loss prevention rather than tax recoupment. In this vein, we have seized and destroyed a significant amount of unstamped cigarettes, investigated trailer truck size theft of unstamped cigarettes and seized or decomissioned unregistered vending machines.

In the area of loss preventive initiatives, during this past fiscal year we were very successful, through our investigative efforts, in preventing the issuance of fraudulent tax refunds. In one case, from information developed from other enforcement agencies and our own investigative inquiries of various mail drop locations, $\$ 93,000.00$ in fraudulent refund checks, ready to be mailed, were stopped and not issued. The multiple filer was indicted and is awaiting trial.

We are conducting similar projects relative to the Homestead Rebate Program, and several cases are in the initial stages of investigation.

A major area of noncompliance has been documented relative to motor fuel taxes. Considerable investigative resources are being expended to address this problem, and cases have been forwarded to the Division of Criminal Justice with prosecution recommendation.

Additionally, an agreement among the Division of Taxation, United States Attorney's Office, Internal Revenue Service, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation has been finalized and a joint task force effort implemented. The task force will address the manner in which certain groups of individuals are purchasing and selling large quantities of motor fuels in New Jersey in such a manner as to systematically evade the payment of both federal and state taxes imposed on those fuels.

The Office of Criminal Investigation shares the responsibility with the other functions of the Division of Taxation and contributes significantly to the revenue enhancement goals of this division and to voluntary compliance-the foundation of our system of taxation.

Located within the Office of Criminal Investigation is the Disclosure Office. The Disclosure Office is responsible for establishing and maintaining a program for assuring that the Division of Taxation carries out regulations, orders, guidelines, and instructions covering the release,
nonrelease and protection of records and information regarding individual taxpayers and businesses. During fiscal year 1991, the Disclosure Office handled the following requests:
Requests From Other Agencies Number
Division of Criminal Justice ..... 20
Internal Revenue Service ..... 227
Interstate Agreements ..... 72
State Police ..... 2,396
Division of Law ..... 88
Division of Gaming ..... 11
PAAD Program ..... 2
Miscellaneous ..... 131$\overline{2,947}$
Requests to Other Agencies Number
Internal Revenue Service ..... 185
Division of Law (Includes Subpoenas) ..... 23
Interstate Agreements ..... 38
Miscellaneous ..... 2
Division of Taxation (Revenue Accounting) ..... 53 ..... 301
Case Referrals to Internal Units Number
Office of Criminal Investigation ..... 8
Audit ..... 7
Field Investigations ..... $\frac{3}{18}$

It should be noted that the number of requests from other agencies has increased over 100 percent from the last fiscal year.
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## ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE TAX

Citation: The Alcoholic Beverage Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:41-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Alcoholic Beverage Tax is applied to the first sale or delivery to retailers in New Jersey and is based upon the number of gallons sold or otherwise disposed of in the State. The tax is collected from licensed manufacturers, wholesalers, and State beverage distributors.

## HISTORY

After repeal of the 18th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, New Jersey enacted a tax on the sale of malt and vinous liquors effective April 6, 1933. Sales of ale, beer, lager, porter and wine having an alcoholic content not greater than $3.2 \%$ were taxed at the rate of $\$ .03$ per gallon (C. 85, P.L. 1933).

Enactment of the Alcoholic Beverage Tax Act effective December 5,1933 imposed rates of $3-1 / 3$ cents per gallon of beer and $\$ 1.00$ per gallon of liquor (C. 434, P.L. 1933).

Tax rate on liquor was increased in 1947 to $\$ 1.50$ per gallon (C. 18 P.L. 1947); in 1963 to $\$ 1.80$ per gallon (C. 43, P.L. 1963); in 1969 to $\$ 2.30$ per gallon (C. 52, P.L. 1969); and in 1973 to $\$ 2.80$ per gallon (C. 52, P.L. 1972).

Tax rates on liquor, beer and wine were increased on July 1, 1990 to $\$ 4.20,10$ cents and 50 cents per gallon respectively (C. 41, P.L. 1990). On July 1, 1992 the rates will increase to $\$ 4.40,12$ cents, and 70 cents per gallon respectively.

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) Sales to organizations of Armed Forces Personnel.
(2) Sales under R.S. 54:43-2 for Medicinal, Dental, Industrial and other Non-Beverage Use.

## RATE OF TAX

$$
\text { Types of Beverage } \quad \text { Rate per gallon }
$$

Beer ..... $10 ¢$
Liquor ..... \$4.20
Still Wine, Vermouth and Sparkling Wines ..... \$ . 50
Wine (local) ..... \$ . 50
COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)
1989 ..... \$53,484,609
1990 ..... 53,402,009
1991 ..... 81,066,1841

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.
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## BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX

Citation: The Business Personal Property Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:11A-1et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Business Personal Property Tax applies to individuals, partnerships, corporations, and associations which own tangible personal property used in business in this State. The tax base, referred to as taxable value, is $50 \%$ of original cost.

## HISTORY

Business Personal Property Tax was adopted in 1966 as part of a Business Personal Property Tax Replacement Program designed to exempt business personalty from local taxation (except business personalty of telephone, telegraph and messenger systems companies). It took effect in 1968 (C. 136, P.L. 1966) and provided for replacement tax revenues to be collected by the State for distribution to taxing districts.

The State-administered Business Personal Property Tax with the exception of this tax upon banking corporations was one of the four replacement taxes which constituted the replacement program. The other replacement taxes were: Corporation Business Tax ( $1.25 \%$ of net income tax base), Retail Gross Receipts Tax and Unincorporated Business Tax.

This program was terminated (C. 3, P.L. 1977).
Banking Corporations became subject to Business Personal Property Tax effective January 1, 1975 (C. 170 and 171, P.L. 1975).

Business machinery and equipment acquired on or after January 1, 1977 is exempt from Business Personal Property Tax (C. 4, P.L. 1977).

## EXEMPTIONS (54:11A-2(b))

(1) Goods and chattels held as inventory, including raw materials, finished and partially finished products of manufacturers and processors; supplies and materials used or consumed in production, small tools; and goods and chattels held for sale, resale, leasing or to be furnished under contracts of service;
(2) Goods and chattels so affixed to real property as to become part thereof and not severable or removable without material injury thereto;
(3) Motor vehicles registered in this State pursuant to Title 39 of the Revised Statutes;
(4) Vessels for which tax exemption certificates are or have been issued pursuant to the New Jersey Boat Act of 1962 (C. 73, P.L. 1962) as amended and supplemented;
(5) Goods and chattels used or held for use in business by any person, partnership, association or corporation subject to taxation under Chapter 4 of the laws of 1940, as amended;
(6) Goods and chattels used or held for use in the business of farming;
(7) Goods and chattels used or held for use in business by any life insurance company, domestic or foreign, which is subject to a tax on life insurance premiums collected under the provisions of Chapter 132, laws of 1945, as amended; and
(8) Machinery and equipment acquired on or after January 1, 1977.

## Rate Of TAX

$1.3 \%$ ( $\$ 1.30$ per $\$ 100$ ) of taxable value. Taxable value is $50 \%$ of original cost.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$19,603,025 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 14,320,990 |
| 1991 | 14,553,142 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues collected from general business entities are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use. Revenues collected from Banking Corporations are distributed as follows:

25\% Counties; 25\% Municipalities; 50\% State

## CIGARETTE TAX

Citation: The Cigarette Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:40A-1 et seq. Unfair Cigarette Sales Act of 1952, N.J.S.A. 56:7-18 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Cigarette Tax applies at a rate per package of 20 cigarettes. The Tax is collected primarily from licensed distributors who receive the cigarettes directly from out-of-state manufacturers. Unless otherwise provided by law, every package of cigarettes must be stamped before being transferred from the original acquirer in New Jersey. Other tobacco products are not taxed.

## HISTORY

Effective July 1, 1948, the Cigarette Tax was first imposed at 36 per pack (C. 65, P.L. 1948). Provision was made for issuing licenses to distributors, wholesalers, over-the-counter retail dealers and vending machine dealers. Distributors were granted a $5 \%$ discount on sales of stamps totaling $\$ 100$ or more as compensation for handling and affixing revenue stamps to cigarette packages before delivery to wholesale or retail dealers. In 1952, the Unfair Cigarette Sales Act was adopted to prevent "unfair competition" and "unfair trade practices" in sales of cigarettes (C. 247, P.L. 1952). Also effective in 1952 were increases in license fees; from the original $\$ 250$ to $\$ 350$ for distributors and from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 200$ for wholesale dealers. The wholesale dealer license fee was increased to $\$ 250$ in 1971. Effective June 4, 1968, the discount rate became a percentage of the face amount of any sales of 1,000 stamps or more (C. 51, P.L. 1968). Effective June 18, 1982, a surtax of $5 \%$ of the wholesale price (minimum $5 ¢$ per pack) was imposed. Effective July 1, 1983, the surtax was increased from $5 \%$ to $6 \%$. Rate of tax on packs of 25 cigarettes at $125 \%$ of the tax on packs of 20 cigarettes effective September 1, 1985 or $\$ .3125$ per pack. Discount rate on the 25 cigarettes stamps $.886 \%$ of face value. Effective July 1 , 1987, the $6 \%$ surtax applied to the average wholesale price of cigarettes increased from $6 ¢$ to $8 ¢$. The rate of tax on pack of 25 cigarettes at $125 \%$ of the tax on 20 cigarettes also effective July 1, 1987 or $\$ .3375$ per pack. Effective July 1, 1990, the rate on a pack of 20 cigarettes increased from $27 \phi$ to $40 \phi$ and the rate on a pack of 25 cigarettes
increased from $33-3 / 4 \varnothing$ to $50 ¢$ and the surtax is repealed (C. 39, P.L. 1990).

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) Sales to the United States Government or its agencies;
(2) Interstate Commerce sales; and
(3) Sales to The Veterans Administration for free distribution and consumption by veterans in state hospitals.

## RATE OF TAX

$20 ¢$ for each ten cigarettes or fraction thereof ( $40 ¢$ per pack of twenty cigarettes). The rate on a pack of 25 cigarettes is $50 \phi$.

A distributor is allowed a $1.13 \%$ discount on the purchase of 1,000 or more stamps or meter impressions.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)



## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.
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## TABLE 6 CIGARETTE TAX RATES COMPARISON WITH OTHER STATES

| State | Cigarette Tax (Per Pack) |  | Sales Tax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New Jersey | $40 ¢$ |  | Taxable |
| Connecticut | $40 ¢$ | Taxable |  |
| Maryland | $16 ¢$ | Exempt |  |
| New York | $39 ¢$ (plus $4 ¢$ in New York City and | Taxable (4\%) |  |
|  | $3 ¢-4 ¢$ additional on packs with higher | (Plus 4.25\% New |  |
|  | tar and/or nicotine) | York City) |  |
| Ohio | $18 ¢$ | Taxable |  |
| Pennsylvania | $18 ¢$ | Taxable |  |
| Massachusetts | $26 ¢$ | Exempt |  |

LICENSE FEES-FISCAL YEAR 1991

| Type | Fee | Number | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distributor | \$350 | 102 | \$ 35,700 |
| Wholesale Dealer ........................................ | 250 | 230 | 57,500 |
| Retail Dealer | 5 | 14,718 | 73,540 |
| Vending Machine | 5 | 13,158 | 65,790 |
| Manufacturer | 10 | 5 | 50 |
| Manufacturer's Representative ..................... | 5 | 275 | 1,375 |
|  |  | 28,478 | \$233,956 |

## CORPORATION TAX (a) CORPORATION BUSINESS TAX

Citation: The Corporation Business Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:10A-1 et seq. (C. 162, P.L. 1945 as amended and supplemented).

## DESCRIPTION

Corporation Business Tax Act imposes on a "corporation" a franchise tax for the privilege of having or exercising its corporate charter in this state or doing business, employing or owning capital or property, or maintaining an office in New Jersey. The tax is applicable to every corporation, not expressly exempted, falling within any one of the following categories:
(a) Existing under the laws of New Jersey;
(b) A foreign corporation:
(1) Holding a general certificate of authority issued by the Secretary of State;
(2) Holding any other authorization to engage in corporate activity within New Jersey issued by any other State Agency;
(3) Doing business in New Jersey;
(4) Employing or owning capital or employing or owning property in New Jersey;
(5) Maintaining an office in New Jersey.

The tax is measured by that portion of the net income allocable to New Jersey. The tax applies to Net Income for the firm's accounting period (calendar year or fiscal year), or any part thereof during which the corporation has a taxable status within New Jersey.

## HISTORY

Corporation Business Taxes date back to 1884 when a franchise tax was imposed upon all domestic corporations. Between 1884 and 1946, the franchise tax was based upon the total amount of capital stock issued by the taxpayer and outstanding as of January 1 of each year (C. 159, P.L. 1884).

There was no franchise tax on foreign corporations prior to 1936, when provision was made for an annual tax (C. 264, P.L. 1936). This tax was replaced in 1937 (C. 25, P.L. 1937) with a new franchise tax providing for allocation of capital stock of foreign corporations.

Effective January 1, 1946 (C. 162, P.L. 1945), the tax became a net worth tax applicable to both domestic and foreign corporations and measured by net worth allocated to New Jersey. Allocation was measured by the greater of an assets factor or a three-part business factor (property, sales and payroll).

Chapter 88, Laws of 1954 increased the tax on allocable net worth from $8 / 10$ mills per $\$ 1$ to 2 mills per $\$ 1$.

Chapter 63, Laws of 1958 amended the Corporation Business Tax Act by adding to the tax based upon allocated net worth a tax at $13 / 4 \%$ based upon allocated net income. The 1958 amendment also changed the tax year from a calendar year for all corporations to a privilege period coinciding with the accounting year for each taxpayer.

In 1982, there was enacted into law a measure phasing out the Corporation Business Tax on net worth. The tax will be phased out at $25 \%$ per year over a four year period with taxpayers whose accounting or privilege periods begin on or after April 1, 1983 (C. 55, P.L. 1982).

Net Income Tax rates have changed as follows:
Effective Date
January 1, 1967 (C. 134, P.L. 1966)
January 1, 1968 (C. 112, P.L. 1968)
January 1, 1972 (C. 25, P.L. 1972)
January 1, 1975 (C. 162, P.L. 1975)
January 1, 1980 (C. 280, P.L. 1980)

Rate Change
$13 / 4 \%$ to $31 / 4 \%$
$31 / 4 \%$ to $41 / 4 \%$
$41 / 4 \%$ to $51 / 2 \%$
$51 / 2 \%$ to $71 / 2 \%$
$71 / 2 \%$ to $9 \%$

Chapter 143, Laws of 1985, allows a carryover of net operating loss as a deduction from taxpayer's entire net income for seven years following the year of the loss for taxable years ending after June 30, 1984. (Approved April 22, 1985)

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) Certain Agricultural Cooperative Associations;
(2) Building and Loan Associations and Saving and Loan Associations;
(3) Certain Federal Corporations;
(4) Corporations created under the Limited-Dividend Housing Corporation Law;
(5) Cemetery Corporations;
(6) Non-profit Corporations without capital stock;
(7) Non-stock Mutual Housing Corporations;
(8) Railroad and Canal Corporations;
(9) Street, Railway, Gas, Light, Power and Other Corporations Using the Public Streets;
(10) Utilities subject to franchise tax and Insurance Companies subject to premium tax; and
(11) International Banking Facilities.

## RATE OF TAX

A tax of $9 \%$ upon entire net income, or such portion thereof as may be allocated to New Jersey. The minimum tax is $\$ 25$ for domestic corporations and $\$ 50$ for foreign corporations. (A surtax of $0.375 \%$ is levied on corporate net income for the tax year 1991.)

## INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS OF ESTIMATED TAX

Taxpayers are required to make installment payments of Estimated Tax. The requirement for making these payments is based on the amount of the Total Tax Liability shown on the most recent return.
(a) If the Total Tax Liability is $\$ 500$ or more, the taxpayer must make installment payments. These payments are due on or before the 15th day of the 4th, 6th, 9th and 12th month of the tax year.
(b) If the Total Tax Liability is less than $\$ 500$, installment payments may be made as shown in (a) above or, in lieu of making installment payments, the taxpayer may make a payment of $50 \%$ of the Total Tax Liability.

## BANKING AND FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS

Banking and Financial corporations are subject to the Corporation Business Tax Act at the rate of $9 \%$ on net income.

Chapter 170, P.L. 1975 provides that during each of privilege years 1976, 1977 and 1978, the amount paid by each banking corporation as taxes shall be the greater of (1) the amount which such banking corporation paid in calendar year 1975 as Bank Stock Tax, or (2) a sum equal to total of taxes paid by such banking corporation as Corporation Business Tax and Business Personal Property Tax.

Formerly banks were subject to a tax of $1.5 \%$ on net worth under the Bank Stock Tax Act. Bank Stock Tax was formerly administered by the Division of Taxation and the 21 separate County Boards of Taxation. The corporate tax upon banks is now solely administered by the Division.

Financial business corporations were formerly subject to the Financial Business Tax. These included such corporations as small loan companies and mortgage finance companies which are now subject to the Corporation Business Tax. Financial Businesses not operating in a corporate capacity remain subject to the Financial Business Tax. Both taxes are administered by the Division.

Chapter 171, P.L. 1975 provides that during each of the years 1976, 1977 and 1978, each financial business corporation shall pay as taxes, the greater of a sum equal to the amount such financial business
corporation paid under the Financial Business Tax Act in the calendar year 1975, or a sum equal to the total of the taxes payable by such financial business corporation pursuant to the Corporation Business Tax Act. Chapter 40, P.L. 1978 extended the save harmless provision through 1979. It expired in 1980.

## INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment companies and regulated investment companies are subject to tax under special allocation formulas.

A taxpayer qualifying and electing to be taxed as an Investment Company is subject to an allocation percentage of $25 \%$ of the net worth base and $25 \%$ of the net income base.

In addition, these Investment Companies are subject to a minimum tax of $\$ 250.00$ for combined net worth and net income obligation.
"Regulated Investment Company" means any corporation which, for a period covered by its reports, is registered and regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (54 Stat. 789), as amended.

The Corporation Business Tax on regulated investment companies was eliminated (P.L. 1983, C. 75), approved on February 24, 1983. Regulated investment companies in New Jersey were formerly taxed on both entire net worth and entire net income. These taxes are now eliminated and a set fee of $\$ 250$ per year is imposed.

## DEFERRED PRE-DISSOLUTION PAYMENT, ETC.

Chapter 367, P.L. 1973, approved in 1974, eliminates the requirement for a certificate obtained in case of merger or consolidation involving a domestic or foreign corporation qualified to transact business in New Jersey. It also provides alternatives to actual payment of taxes, or payment on account of such taxes by providing in lieu thereof, for a written undertaking to be given by a domestic corporation, or a foreign corporation authorized to transact business in New Jersey, to pay all taxes when payable on behalf of a corporation which otherwise would have to pay all taxes prior to taking certain corporate actions.

## ALLOCATION FACTOR

If the taxpayer had a regular place of business outside New Jersey, its tax liability is measured by net income allocated to New Jersey, according to a three-fraction formula based on an average of property, sales and payroll. The factor is computed by adding the percentage of the property, sales receipts and payroll fractions and dividing the total by three.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

|  |  | General Business <br> Corporations | Banking <br> Corporations | Financial <br> Corporations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | $\ldots . . .$. | $\$ 1,350,581,6941$ | $\$ 85,576,893$ | $\$ 31,578,900$ |
| 1990 | $\ldots . .$. | $1,162,835,737$ | $70,157,831$ | $21,931,974$ |
| 1991 | ...... | $1,063,089,390$ | $34,007,713$ | $14,192,536$ |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues collected from general business corporations are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

Revenues collected from banking and financial corporations are distributed as follows:

25\% Counties; 25\% Municipalities; 50\% State
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## CHART 1 <br> CORPORATION TAX PERCENT CHANGE

1987-1991


## CORPORATION INCOME TAX

Citation: The Corporation Income Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:10E-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Corporation Income Tax applies to corporations deriving income from sources within the State which are not subject to the tax imposed under the Corporation Business Tax Act.

## HISTORY

The Corporation Income Tax is applicable to accounting periods ending after December 31, 1973.

## RATE OF TAX

$71 / 4 \%$ of entire net income or such portion as is allocable to New Jersey.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)
1989
\$ 287,210
1990
1,849,373
1991
143,132

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

## ENVIRONMENTAL TAXES

## (a) LANDFILL CLOSURE AND CONTINGENCY TAX

Citation: Sanitary Landfill Facility Closure and Contingency Fund Act; N.J.S.A. 13:1E-100 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Landfill Closure and Contingency Tax is levied upon the owner or operator of every sanitary landfill facility located in New Jersey on all solid waste accepted for disposal on or after January 1, 1982.

The Act also requires the sanitary landfill facility owner or operator to establish a monthly payment to an escrow account, administered by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and to deposit into the account $\$ 1.00$ per ton for all solid waste accepted for disposal.

## HISTORY

The Sanitary Landfill Facility Closure and Contingency Fund Act (C. 306, P.L. 1981) was signed into law on November 25, 1981 and became effective January 1, 1982.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is $\$ 0.50$ per ton or $\$ 0.15$ per cubic yard on all solid waste accepted for disposal. The tax rate for solid waste in liquid form is $\$ 0.002$ per gallon.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$2,288,321 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2,253,584 |
| 1991 | 1,689,749 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

All tax revenues are credited to the Sanitary Landfill Facility Contingency Fund, administered by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, established to insure the proper closure and operation of sanitary landfill facilities in this State.

## (b) LITTER CONTROL TAX

Citation: The Clean Communities and Recycling Act: N.J.S.A. 13:1E-92 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Litter Control Tax is imposed on all gross receipts from sales of litter-generating products sold within or into New Jersey by each person engaged in business in the state as a manufacturer, wholesaler, distributor or retailer of such products. Any retailer with less than $\$ 250,000$ in annual retail sales of litter-generating products is exempt from this tax.

## HISTORY

The Clean Communities and Recycling Act, C. 533, P.L. 1985, was enacted and approved on January 21, 1986, amending the Solid Waste Management Act and imposing a Litter Control Tax, effective April 21, 1986, on the sale of certain litter-generating products in New Jersey.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is imposed at $3 / 100$ of $1 \%$ (.0003) on all gross receipts from wholesale sales of litter-generating products sold in New Jersey at the rate of $2.25 / 100$ of $1 \%(.000225)$ on all gross receipts from retail sales.

## COLLECTION (fiscal year)

| 1989 | \$8,671,560 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 9,108,911 |
| 1991 | 9,260,884 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUE

Revenues are deposited in the Clean Communities Account Fund.

## （c）PUBLIC COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM TAX

Citation：N．J．SA．5E．12A－21．

## DESCRIPTION

The P－olic Community Water System Tax is leved upon the owner or oderator do every pudic community water system in New Jersey Dased upon water devered io consumers，not including water Durolasき二 ior resale on or ater Apri 1，1984

## HISTORY

The Sate Drinking Na：ミr fot NJSA． 58 124－1 et seo．．was ミMEーDed 2y C 43 ，PL 1933，signed into law on January 9，1984 imposing ：he Puolio Community hater System Tax eftective April 1 193－

## RATE OF TAX

The tミx rミte is S0 01 per 1000 ga ons of water delivered to a oonsumer not noluding $w$ ater Duronased for resaミ，and daic on a －uarerty Desis

COLLECTIONS（Fiscal Year）

| 1982 | $\$ 3.020302$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 2.785 .589 |
| 1991 | 2952.570 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues from the Rublic Community Water System Tax are de－ Dostad in the Sate Drinking water Fund administered by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and used io insure dean drink ng water in＇vew Jersey．

## (d) RESOURCE RECOVERY INVESTMENT TAX

Citation: N.J.S.A. 13:1E-138b.

## DESCRIPTION

The Resource Recovery investment Tax is leved upon the owners and operators of every sanitary lancfill facility located in New Jersey on all solid waste accepted for disposal on or atter May 1. 1985. The Resource Recovery Investment Tax expires on January 1, 1996

## HISTORY

The Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13.1E-1 et seq. Was amended by C. 38, P.L. 1985, signed into law on February 4,1985 levying three additional taxes on sanitary landfill facilites effective May 1, 1985.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is $\$ 4.00$ per ton or $\$ 1.20$ per oubic yard on all solid waste accepted ior disposal. The tax rate for solid waste in liquid form is $\$ 0.004$ per gallon. However the law allows each county, based on their requirements and following speafic guidelines, to annually adjust the tax rate to a rate not to exceed $\$ 10,00$ per ton of solids and $\$ 0.04$ per gallon of llquids on January 1 of any year the tax is imposed

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)
1989 ..... S18.435.794
1990 ..... 17.542.594
1991 ..... 13.825.17

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The revenues from the Resource Recovery Investment Tax are deposited in the Resource Recovery Investment Tax Fund established in the New Jersey Department of the Treasury and distributed to each county's District Resource Recovery Investment Tax Fund. Counties may use fund revenues to reduce the rates of resource recovery facilities; build sanitary landfills to service resource recovery facilities; build and operate sanitary landfills if resource recovery is not feasible; and finance closure costs of a sanitary landfill.

# (e) SOLID WASTE IMPORTATION TAX 

Citation: N.J.S.A. 13:1E-138c.

## DESCRIPTION

The Solid Waste Importation Tax is levied upon the owner or operator of every sanitary landfill facility located in New Jersey which accepts solid waste for disposal from outside of the solid waste management district on or after May 1, 1985. The Solid Waste Importation Tax expires on January 1, 1996.

## HISTORY

The Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., was amended by C.38, P.L. 1985, signed into law on February 4, 1985, levying three additional taxes on sanitary landfill facilities effective May 1, 1985.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is $\$ 8.00$ per ton or $\$ 2.40$ per cubic yard on all solid waste accepted for disposal. The tax rate for solid waste in liquid form is $\$ 0.004$ per gallon.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$4,121,066 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 5,537,576 |
| 1991 | 5,547,746 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The revenues from the Solid Waste Importation Tax are deposited in the Resource Recovery Investment Tax Fund in the New Jersey Department of Treasury and distributed to the District Resource Recovery Investment Tax Fund for the county wherein the sanitary landfill
receiving out-of-district waste is located. Counties may use fund revenues to reduce the rates of resource recovery facilities; build sanitary landfills to service resource recovery facilities; build and operate sanitary landfills if resource recovery is not feasible; and finance closure costs of a sanitary landfill.

## (f) SOLID WASTE RECYCLING TAX

Citation: Recycling Act; N.J.S.A. 13:1E-92 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Solid Waste Recycling Tax is levied upon the owner or operator of every solid waste facility located in New Jersey and not excluded by law on all solid waste accepted for disposal or transfer at the facility on or after July 1, 1987.

The Solid Waste Recycling Tax terminates on December 31, 1996.

## HISTORY

The Recycling Act (C. 278, P.L. 1981) was signed into law on September 9, 1981 and became effective January 1, 1982. The tax was applicable to sanitary landfills from January 1, 1982 through June 30, 1987 and to solid waste facilities, including sanitary landfills, on and after July 1, 1987.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is $\$ 1.50$ per ton or $\$ 0.45$ per cubic yard on all solid waste accepted for disposal or transfer. The tax rate for solid waste in liquid form is $\$ 0.00225$ per gallon.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$11,806,352 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 11,634,583 |
| 1991 | 10,722,180 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The proceeds constitute a fund, the State Recycling Fund, administered by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, to provide for a comprehensive recycling plan and program in this State.

## (g) SOLID WASTE SERVICES TAX

Citation: N.J.S.A. 13:1E-138a.

## DESCRIPTION

The Solid Waste Services Tax is levied upon the owner or operator of every sanitary landfill facility located in New Jersey on all solid waste accepted for disposal on or after May 1, 1985.

## HISTORY

The Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., was amended by C. 38, P.L. 1985, signed into law on February 4, 1985, levying three additional taxes on sanitary landfill facilities effective May 1, 1985.

## RATE OF TAX

The tax rate is $\$ 0.75$ per ton or $\$ .225$ per cubic yard on all solid waste accepted for disposal. The tax rate for solid waste in liquid form is $\$ 0.002$ per gallon. On the first of January annually the tax rate increases on solids by $\$ 0.05$ per ton.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$3,097,369 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 3,159,314 |
| 1991 | 2,654,634 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The revenue collected from the Solid Waste Services Tax is deposited in the Solid Waste Services Tax Fund administered by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. Monies in the fund are allocated to the counties based on the amount of waste generated and used for implementing county solid waste management plans.

## (h) SPILL COMPENSATION AND CONTROL TAX

Citation: The Spill Compensation and Control Act: N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11, et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Spill Compensation and Control Tax is imposed on owners or operators of one or more refineries, storage or transfer terminals, pipelines, deep water ports or drilling platforms used to refine, store, produce, handle, transfer, process or transport hazardous substances, including petroleum products, (as defined by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection) to insure compensation for hazardous substances discharge cleanup cost and damages.

The tax is also imposed on owners of a hazardous substance which is transferred to a public storage terminal, and to any transferor of a previously untaxed nonpetroleum hazardous substance from a major state facility to one which is a nonmajor facility.


#### Abstract

HISTORY

Spill Compensation and Control Act (C. 141, P.L. 1976) became effective April 1, 1977. Effective April 1, 1980, an alternate tax rate was established on transfers of nonpetroleum hazardous substances. (C. 346, P.L. 1979). The tax base was significantly expanded effective April 1, 1980 (C. 73, P.L. 1980). Effective Nov. 1980, the tax rates were revised from $\$ .01$ to $\$ .04$ per barrel transferred. Effective Aug. 1, 1982 the tax rates reverted back to such rates in effect prior to Nov. 1, 1980. In 1985 the rates reverted to rates in effect Nov. 1, 1980, namely $\$ 0.04$ per barrel, except the rate on petroleum products which remained at $\$ 0.01$ per barrel. Effective February 1, 1987, the tax base was again expanded, the list of hazardous substances was significantly increased and the tax rates were increased by amendment (C. 143, P.L. 1986).


## RATE OF TAX

(1) Nonpetroleum hazardous substances-greater of $\$ 0.0125$ per barrel or $1 \%$ of fair market value;
(2) Petroleum products - \$0.0125 per barrel; and
(3) Precious metals-\$0.0125 per barrel.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$22,472,396 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 18,110,921 |
| 1991 | 19,277,150 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The proceeds constitute a fund (New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund) to insure compensation for cleanup costs and damage associated with the discharge of petroleum products and other hazardous substances.

## FINANCIAL BUSINESS TAX

Citation: The Financial Business Tax Law: N.J.S.A. 54:10B-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Incorporated Financial Businesses became subject to Corporation Tax effective January 1, 1975.

Financial Business Tax continues to apply to any partnership, limited partnership, limited partnership association or any other unincorporated association or individual doing a financial business. The tax is based upon net worth at a rate of $11 / 2 \%$ for the privilege of doing financial business in New Jersey.

## HISTORY

Financial Business Tax was adopted in 1946 at $3 / 4$ of $1 \%$ (C. 174, P.L. 1946). Revenue was equally distributed between municipalities and counties.

Rate was increased to $1 \frac{1}{2} \%$ in 1970 and the State became a recipient of revenues (C. 9, P.L. 1970).

Effective January 1, 1975, Incorporated Financial Businesses became subject to Corporation Business Tax (C. 171, P.L. 1975). Unincorporated Financial Businesses continue to be subject to the tax.

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) National Banks;
(2) Production Credit Association (Farm Credit Act of 1933);
(3) Stock and mutual insurance companies authorized to do business in New Jersey;
(4) Security dealers or brokers, investment companies, or bankers not employing monied capital coming into competition with the business of national banks;
(5) Credit Unions; ${ }^{1}$
(6) Savings Banks, Savings and Loan Associations and Building and Loan Associations; ${ }^{1}$
(7) Pawnbrokers; ${ }^{1}$
(8) State Banks and trust companies; and
(9) Financial business corporations.

## RATE OF TAX

$11 / 2 \%$ of taxable net worth. For a taxpayer doing business in more than one state, the tax base becomes net worth allocable to New Jersey. Allocation is determined by comparing gross business in New Jersey with gross business everywhere else during the taxable year. Minimum tax is $\$ 25$.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1989 ........................................................................................ \$54,807
1990 ........................................................................................ 38,713
1991 ...................................................................................... 45,244

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are distributed as follows:
25\% Counties; 25\% Municipalities; 50\% State.
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## GROSS INCOME TAX

Citation: The New Jersey Gross Income Tax, N.J.S.A. 54A:1-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

This is a graduated tax based on income earned or received on or after July 1, 1976 by New Jersey resident and non-resident individuals, estates and trusts.

## HISTORY

The Gross Income Tax was enacted July 8, 1976, retroactive to July 1, 1976 (C. 47, P.L. 1976).

Pension income for those eligible for Social Security by reason of age ( 62 years or over) or disability is exempt as follows: First $\$ 10,000$ for a married couple filing jointly; $\$ 5,000$ for a married person filing separately; and $\$ 7,500$ for a single taxpayer (C. 40, P.L. 1977).
C. 273 , P.L. 1977 extends the exclusion allowed for pensions to other types of retirement income. The exclusion applies to taxpayers who are 62 years of age or older and whose earned income is not more than $\$ 3,000$.
C. 229, P.L. 1982 increased the rate from $2-1 / 2 \%$ to $3-1 / 2 \%$ on amounts in excess of $\$ 50,000$ effective January 1, 1983.
C. 304, P.L. 1985 allows residents a deduction from taxable income for property taxes paid on the taxpayer's homestead, effective for taxes paid after 1984.
C. 219, P.L. 1989 exempts pension and annuity income of nonresidents from the Gross Income Tax.
C. 61, P.L. 1990, effective January 1, 1991, amends the Gross Income Tax Act to include new graduated rates: from $2 \%$ to $7 \%$; two new filing statuses (head of household and surviving spouse); and increase the amount of the exemption for dependents from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,500$. In addition to these amendments, the new legislation institutes
a new homestead rebate program and repeals the residential property tax deduction and credit and tenant credit. These changes take effect in 1990.

## RATE OF TAX

1) The first set of rates, to be used by married individuals filing jointly and individuals filing as head of household or as a surviving spouse, are as follows:

| Taxable Income | Tax Rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not over $\$ 20,000$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,001-\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 400$ plus $2.5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 20,000$ |
| $\$ 50,001-\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 1,150$ plus $3.5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 50,000$ |
| $\$ 70,001-\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 1,850$ plus $5.0 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 70,000$ |
| $\$ 80,001-\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 2,350$ plus $6.5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 80,000$ |
| Over $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 6,900$ plus $7.0 \%$ of <br> excess over $\$ 150,000$ |

2) The second set of rates, to be used by single individuals or married individuals filing separately, are as follows:

| Taxable Income | Tax Rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not over $\$ 20,000$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 20,001-\$ 35,000$ | $\$ 400$ plus $2.5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 20,000$ |
| $\$ 35,001-\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 775$ plus $5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 35,000$ |
| $\$ 40,001-\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 1,025$ plus $6.5 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 40,000$ |
| Over $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 3,300$ plus $7.0 \%$ <br> of excess over $\$ 75,000$ |

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) Taxpayer, $\$ 1,000$.
(2) Taxpayer's spouse who does not file separately, $\$ 1,000$.
(3) Taxpayer 65 years old or older, \$1,000; also \$1,000 for spouse who is 65 years old or older who does not file separately.
(4) Taxpayer blind or totally disabled, \$1,000; also, on joint return, $\$ 1,000$ for blind or disabled spouse.
(5) For each dependent, $\$ 1,500$.

## DEDUCTIONS

(1) Payments of alimony or for separate maintenance are deductible to the payer if reported as income by the payee.
(2) Unreimbursed medical expenses in excess of $2 \%$ of gross income.

## CREDITS

(1) Amounts withheld by an employer and payments of estimated tax.
(2) Payments of income or wage tax imposed by another state (or political subdivision) or by the District of Columbia, with respect to income subject to tax under this act. This shall not exceed the proportion of tax otherwise due that the amount of the taxpayer's income bears to the taxpayer's entire New Jersey income.

## WITHHOLDING REQUIREMENT

Employers are required to withhold the tax and remit withholdings monthly; if withholdings are expected to be $\$ 18,000$ or more for a semiannual period, withholdings are remitted semi-monthly. (C. 43, P.L. 1978 permits quarterly filings in certain cases.)

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1989 ..... \$2,902,892,244
1990 ..... 2,957,634,330
1991 3,391,026,2221

## disposition of revenues

Revenues are deposited in the "Property Tax Relief Fund" to be used for the purpose of reducing or offsetting property taxes.

CHART 2
GROSS INCOME TAX PERCENT CHANGE 1987-1991


## INSURANCE PREMIUMS TAX

Citation: The Insurance Premiums Tax Law: N.J.S.A. 54:16-1 et seq.; 54:16A-1 et seq.; 54:18A-1 et seq.; 54:17-4 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Insurance Premiums Tax applies to premiums collected on insurance risks in this State during the preceding calendar year. The tax applies to every stock, mutual and assessment insurance company organized or existing under any general or special law of this State or any other state or foreign country transacting business in this State.

Taxable premiums of life insurance companies include all gross contract premiums except premiums for reinsurance and annuity considerations, less certain specified deductions. Non-life companies generally are taxed upon gross premiums less certain deductions. The tax on marine companies is based upon annual underwriting profits, and the tax on foreign fire insurance companies is based upon taxable premiums. Workers compensation insurers are taxed upon net premiums received.

## HISTORY

The first Insurance Premiums Tax in New Jersey was enacted in 1885 , at a rate of $35 / 100$ ths of $1 \%$ on total gross insurance premiums. The law was significantly changed in 1945, at which time the rate of $2 \%$ was established (C. 132, P.L. 1945). The law has existed basically unchanged to the present day with the tax rate remaining at $2 \%$.

Chapter 231, P.L. 1950 provided for retaliatory provisions with subject foreign insurance companies to not less than the rate of tax that the home states of such companies impose on New Jersey insurance companies.

Legislation adopted in 1966 provided for prepayment of the tax (C. 3, P.L. 1966).

Chapter 183, P.L. 1981 provided for repeal of the Insurance Franchise Tax making insurance companies subject only to Insurance Premium Tax. It also provided an additional $50 \%$ prepayment from domestic insurers.

Chapter 8, P.L. 1990 entitled "The Fair Insurance Reform Act of 1990" imposed a surtax on automobile insurance premiums.

## RATE OF TAX

$2 \%$ on premiums of life and non-life insurance companies, except for the following: group accident and health insurance premiums, $1 \%$; on ocean marine, $5 \%$ of three-year average of underwriting profits; additional $1 / 4$ of $1 \%$ on workers compensation premiums; surcharge of $3 \%$ against insured on surplus lines coverage. For both life and nonlife insurance companies the maximum taxable premiums may not exceed a sum equal to $12-1 / 2 \%$ of the total premiums collected. An additional $5 \%$ surtax is imposed on automobile insurance premiums.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$227,813,896 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 169,983,267 |
| 1991 | 191,595,607 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.
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## LOCAL PROPERTY TAX

Citation: The Local Property Tax: N.J.S.A. 54:4-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

An ad valorem tax-The local property tax is measured by property values and is apportioned among taxpayers according to the assessed value of taxable property owned by each taxpayer. The tax applies to real estate and tangible personal property of telephone, telegraph and messenger systems companies.

A local tax-The property tax is a local tax assessed and collected by municipalities for the support of municipal and county governments and local school districts. No part of it is used for support of State government.

Amount of tax (a residual tax) - The amount of local property tax is determined each year, in each municipality, to supply whatever revenue is required to meet budgeted expenditures not covered by monies available from all other sources. School districts and counties notify municipalities of their property tax requirements. Municipalities add their own requirements and levy taxes to raise the entire amount. As a residual local tax, the total property tax is determined by local budgets and not by property valuations or tax rates.

Property assessment (the tax base)-All taxable property is assessed (valued for taxation) by local assessors in each municipality. Assessments are expressed in terms of "taxable value," except for qualified farm land, which is specially valued.

## HISTORY

It may be said that the property tax originated in 1670 with a levy of one half penny per acre of land to support the central government. Through the middle of the 19th century property taxes were levied upon real estate and upon certain personal property at arbitrary rates within certain limits called "certainties." In 1851 the concepts of a general property tax and uniform assessments according to actual value were developed (Public Laws 1851, p. 273).

For almost a century following the 1851 legislation a continuing effort was made to accomplish uniform taxation under a general property tax. In 1875 a constitutional amendment provided that "property shall be assessed for taxes under general laws and by uniform rules according to its value" (Article IV, Section VII, Paragraph 12). Courts held that the 1875 amendment permitted classification of property for tax purposes and also exemption of certain classes from taxation, or the substitution of other kinds of tax "in lieu." Thus began a long period of erosion of the "general property tax" concept. In 1884 a State Board of Assessors was created and given responsibility for assessment of railroad and canal property, thus setting the pattern for State assessment of certain classes of property.

Intangible personal property was eliminated from the "general property tax base" in 1945 (replaced with a corporation net worth tax). Such elimination shifted the emphasis for tax reform to tangible personal property.

The New Jersey State Constitution adopted in 1947 provided (Article VIII, Section I) "property shall be assessed for taxation under general law and by uniform rules. All real property assessed and taxed locally or by the State for allotment and payment to taxing districts shall be assessed according to the same standard of value, except as otherwise permitted herein, and such property shall be taxed at the general tax rate of the taxing district in which the property is situated, for the use of such taxing district."

This was interpreted to preclude any classification of real estate but to leave the door open for classified taxes upon personal property. In 1963 the Constitution was amended to permit assessment of farm property according to its value for agricultural use only. Chapter 51, Laws of 1960 (effective for tax year 1965) provided for such classification and also provided other significant modifications. These were comprehensively summarized in prior Annual Reports.

Personal property provisions of Chapter 51, Laws of 1960 were replaced by Chapter 136, Laws of 1966. For taxes payable in 1968 and thereafter, personal property used in business (other than the businesses of telephone, telegraph and messenger system companies) is subject to a uniform state tax instead of the local tax. Non-business personal property is no longer subject to any property tax and inventories of all businesses were excluded from property taxation.

The 1966 law also provided for replacement of local personal property tax revenues from four tax sources: (1) Retail Gross Receipts Tax, (2) Corporation Business (Net Income) Tax, (3) Business Personal Property Tax and (4) Unincorporated Business Tax. This program was terminated (C. 3, P.L. 1977). Legislation was passed providing for an annual appropriation of not less than $\$ 158.7$ million.

The decision in Switz v. Middletown Township, et al., 23 N.J. 580, required that all taxable property be assessed at "true value" ( $100 \%$ assessment). This was the beginning of a series of New Jersey court decisions which have been a major factor in development of uniform real estate tax assessment.

A long period of legislative history has developed numerous exemptions and various special property tax treatments. These are found principally in R.S. 54:4-3.3 and in R.S. 54:4-3.6. Generally exempt are government-owned property, and property of religious, educational, charitable and various types of non-profit organizations. In addition, qualified veterans and senior citizens are permitted tax deductions of $\$ 50$ and $\$ 250$ respectively.

## RATE OF TAX

Local property tax rate is determined each year in each municipality by relating the total amount of tax levy to the total of all assessed valuations taxable. Expressed in \$1 per \$100 of taxable assessed value, the tax rate is a multiplier for use in determining the amount of tax levied upon each property. The average rate for the State is $\$ 2.71$.

GROSS TAX LEVY (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$8,726,832,862 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 9,782,223,329 |
| 1991 | 9,921,553,312 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

This tax is assessed and collected locally by the taxing districts for support of county and municipal governments and local school district purposes.

## MOTOR FUELS TAX

Citation: The New Jersey Motor Fuels Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:39-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The tax on motor fuels applies to sales of gasoline, diesel fuel or liquefied petroleum gas and compressed natural gas used in motor vehicles on public highways.

## HISTORY

The first gasoline tax law (C. 334, P.L. 1927) became effective in New Jersey on July 1, 1927 at the rate of $2 ¢$ per gallon. A commission in 1934 recommended repeal of exemption certificates and substitution of a refund system. The refund system was enacted, providing a closer check of non-taxable sales of motor fuels (C. 319, P.L. 1935).

Unfair Motor Fuels Practices Act (C. 413, P.L. 1953) enables the Division's auditors and investigators to check dealers' practices in giving rebates, concessions, allowances or discounts. Preferential treatment is unlawful if given with intent to injure, destroy or substantially lessen competition.

Chapter 52, P.L. 1971 provides for taxation of sales of petroleum liquefied gas and liquefied or compressed natural gas at one-half the rate paid on the sales of motor fuels.

The general motor fuels tax rate per gallon has changed as follows:

## Effective Date

Per Gallon
Dec. 1, 1930 .............................................................................. 2¢-3¢
July 1, 1954 ............................................................................... 3¢-4¢
July 1, 1958 ............................................................................. 4¢-5¢
June 1, 1961 ............................................................................ 5¢-6¢
July 1, 1968 ............................................................................... 6¢-7¢
July 1, 1972 ............................................................................... 7c-8¢
July 1, 1988 ......................................................................... 8¢-10.5¢

The diesel fuels tax rate per gallon has changed as follows:
Effective Date Per Gallon
Sept. 1, 1985 ..................................................................... 8¢-11¢
July 1, 1988 ........................................................................ 11ø-13.5¢
Prior to 1985, the diesel fuel tax rate was the same as the general motor fuels tax rate.

## EXEMPTIONS

Motor fuel sales:
(1) to the United States Government,
(2) between licensed distributors,
(3) between licensed gasoline jobbers, and
(4) for export.

## RATE OF TAX

The general motor fuels tax rate is $10.5 ¢$ per gallon; $5.25 ¢$ per gallon on petroleum gas and liquefied or compressed natural gas sold or used to propel motor vehicles on public highways (C. 460, P.L. 1987).

The diesel fuels tax rate is $13.5 ¢$ per gallon of which $3 ¢$ per gallon is refundable for fuel used in passenger automobiles and motor vehicles of less than 5,000 pounds gross weight (C. 73, P.L. 1984, effective Sept. 1, 1985).

Licensed distributors are permitted credit for taxes paid on fuels used by them for purposes qualifying for refund (N.J.S.A. 54:39-66).

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$416,729,504 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 404,871,204 |
| 1991 | 390,969,410 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury. An appropriated amount pursuant to C. 460, P.L. 1988 and C. 73, P.L. 1984 is annually apportioned to the Transportation Trust Fund for maintenance of the State's transportation system.

TABLE 7
MOTOR FUELS TAX RATE: COMPARISON WITH OTHER STATES

| Rates (per gallon) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey ${ }^{1}$ | 10.56 | 13.56 | - |
| Connecticut | $23 ¢$ | 236 | - |
| New York ${ }^{2}$ | 86 | 106 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (N.Y.C. } 4.25 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Massachusetts | 216 | 216 | - |
| Maryland | 18.56 | $18.5 ¢$ | - |
| Ohio | 216 | 216 | - |
| Pennsylvania | 12\$ | 126 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Liquefied petroleum gas and compressed natural gas used in motor vehicles on public highways is taxed at $1 / 2$ the motor fuels tax rate.
${ }^{2}$ New York City -10 per gallon additional on fuels with one-half gram or more of lead in each gallon.

## TABLE 8 <br> MOTOR FUELS DISTRIBUTORS DEALERS LICENSE FEES <br> Fiscal Year 1991

| Type | Fee | Number <br> Issued | Amount | Expiration Date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Retail Dealer | $\$ 10$ | 4,083 | $\$ 40,830$ | March 31, each year. |
| Wholesale Dealer | 5 | 387 | 1,930 | March 31, each year. |
| Transport License | 5 | $\underline{3,066}$ | $\frac{15,330}{7,536}$ | $\$ 58,090$ <br> $\quad$ March 31, each year. <br> $\quad$ Total |

## PETROLEUM PRODUCTS GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

Citation: The New Jersey Petroleum Products Gross Receipts Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:15B-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Petroleum Products Gross Receipts Tax is imposed on all companies engaged in the refining and/or distributing of petroleum products for distribution in this state. It applies to the first sale, not for export, of the petroleum products within New Jersey.

## HISTORY

Petroleum products tax applies to gross receipts from the sale of petroleum products in New Jersey on and after July 1, 1990 (C. 42, P.L. 1990). P.L. 1991, C. 181 revised the tax as follows:

## EXEMPTIONS

Effective July 1, 1990, the following type of gross receipts are exempted from tax:
(1) Receipts from sales of petroleum products used by marine vessels engaged in interstate or foreign commerce
(2) Receipts from sales of aviation fuels used by common carriers in interstate or foreign commerce other than burnout portion

Effective July 1, 1991, the following type of gross receipts are exempted from tax:
(1) Receipts from sales of asphalt and polymer grade propylene used in the manufacture of polypropylene
(2) Receipts from sales to nonprofit entities qualifying for exemption under sales \& use tax as per determination by the Division of Taxation
(3) Receipts from sales to the state of New Jersey, its agencies, instrumentalities or political subdivisions; the United States of America, its agencies and instrumentalities; and the United Nations (if evidenced by invoice meeting statutory standards)

Effective July 1, 1991, the exemption for fuels used for residential use was broadened to include \#4 heating oil, \#6 heating oil and kerosene. (Propane and \#2 heating oil for residential use remain exempt)

## RATE OF TAX

Effective July 1, 1991, the applicable tax rate for fuel oil, aviation fuel and motor fuels (including gasoline) shall be converted by an adjustment to a cents per gallon rate. Statutory calculations requires that a rate of 4 cents per gallon be applied to all sales through December 31, 1991.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1991
\$143,329,285

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues, after deductions for the cost of administering the tax by the State, are for local use. The tax is distributed to the municipalities, subject to the limitations imposed by the State's budget.

## PUBLIC UTILITY TAXES

## (a) PUBLIC UTILITY FRANCHISE TAX (FOR MUNICIPAL USE)

Citation: Public Utility Franchise Tax: N.J.S.A. 54:30A-16 et seq. and N.J.S.A. 54:30A-49 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Franchise Tax applies to persons, copartnerships, associations and corporations, other than those specifically exempted, having lines or mains located in, on or over any street, highway or other public place. Utilities subject to taxation include telegraph, telephone and district messenger systems companies.

The rate is either $2 \%$ or $5 \%$ of a proportion of the gross receipts of the taxpayer for the preceding calendar year. The proportion of gross receipts subject to tax is the ratio of the taxpayer's total length of lines or mains which are located in, on or over any street, highway or other public place to the whole length of lines or mains. Measurements of lengths of lines or mains exclude service connections.

## ADMINISTRATION

Franchise tax is collected by the State for distribution to municipalities together with the gross receipts tax distribution. The tax is payable by the State to the municipal tax collectors in three installments: $25 \%$ within 30 days after certification of the apportionment; $40 \%$ on September 1; and $35 \%$ on December 1.

## HISTORY

The first general tax act specifically taxing public utilities was enacted in 1884 (C. 159, P.L. 1884). It provided for a $2 \%$ Franchise Tax on gross receipts of telegraph, telephone, cable and express companies. In 1900, Voorhees Tax Act included all utilities other than those taxable under the Railroad and Canal Property Tax Act. It also provided
that the receipts collected by the State were to be transferred back to municipalities (C. 195, P.L. 1900). In 1917, Franchise Tax rates were increased to $3 \%$ in 1917, $4 \%$ in 1918 and $5 \%$ in 1919 and thereafter (C. 17, P.L. 1917).

In 1940, significant revisions and amendments were adopted (C. 4 and 5, P.L. 1940). Unit values were applied to each class or type of public utility tangible personal property for the purpose of securing a fair and equitable apportionment of taxes. An accelerated payment schedule was imposed by C. 35 \& 36, P.L. 1979 on all public utility companies paying the Franchise or Gross Receipts Taxes. Chapters 10 and 11, P.L. 1980 amended Chapters 4 and 5, P.L. 1940 to provide for state collection and distribution to municipalities. Chapter 12, P.L. 1980 establishes a Municipal Purposes Tax Assistance Fund and provides for a distribution to certain municipalities of a sum not less than $\$ 27.0$ million.

## RATE OF TAX

The rate is $2 \%$ for gross receipts of $\$ 50,000$ or less and $5 \%$ for gross receipts exceeding $\$ 50,000$ (N.J.S.A. 54:30A-54(a), 54:30A-18).

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$337,435,489 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 354,722,400 |
| 1991 | 357,444,590 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues, after deductions for the cost of administering the tax by the State, are for local use. The tax is distributed to the municipalities, subject to the limitations imposed by the State's budget.

# (b) PUBLIC UTILITY GROSS RECEIPTS TAX (FOR MUNICIPAL USE) 

Citation: Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax: N.J.S.A. 54:30A-16 et seq. and N.J.S.A. 54:30A-49 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax is in addition to the Franchise Tax and is in lieu of local taxes on certain properties of the following public utilities: street, railway, traction, sewerage, water, gas and electric light, heat and power corporations using or occupying public streets, highways, roads or other public places in New Jersey.

## ADMINISTRATION

Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax is collected by the State for distribution to municipalities together with the franchise tax distribution. The tax is payable by the state to the tax collectors in three installments: $25 \%$ within 30 days after municipal certification of the apportionment; $40 \%$ on September 1; and $35 \%$ on December 1.

## HISTORY

Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax was levied in 1919 as an addition to the Franchise Tax (C. 25, P.L. 1919). The tax was in lieu of state, county, school and local taxes on personal property and materials other than land and buildings. The rate of tax was the average rate of the aggregate general property tax.

In 1952 sewerage corporations were included among taxable public utility companies. In 1955 a maximum rate of $7.5 \%$ was adopted (C. 268, P.L. 1955) and in 1956 a minimum of $5 \%$ was established (C. 15, P.L. 1956). The "average rate of taxation" concept was eliminated in 1960 and a tax rate of $7.5 \%$ of gross receipts was established (C. 50, P.L. 1960). Water companies became subject to the Gross Receipts Tax in 1961 (C. 91, 92 and 93, P.L. 1961). An accelerated payment schedule was imposed by C. 35 and 36, P.L. 1979 on all public utility companies paying the Franchise or Gross Receipts Taxes. Chapters 10 and 11,
P.L. 1980 amended chapters 4 and 5, P.L. 1940 to provide for state collection and distribution to municipalities. Chapter 12, P.L. 1980 establishes a Municipal Purposes Tax Assistance Fund and provides for distribution to certain municipalities of a sum not less than $\$ 27.0$ million.

## RATE OF TAX

$7.5 \%$ applied to taxable gross receipts for the preceding calendar year (N.J.S.A. 54:30A-54(b)).

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$533,486,803 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 598,612,545 |
| 1991 | 603,167,735 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Gross Receipts Tax is distributed to the municipalities, subject to the limitations imposed by the State's budget.

## (c) PUBLIC UTILITY EXCISE TAX (FOR STATE USE)

Citation: Public Utility Excise Tax: N.J.S.A. 54:30A-16 et seq. and N.J.S.A. 54:30A-49 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Public Utility Excise Tax is an additional tax on gross receipts of public utilities.

## HISTORY

Public Utility Excise Tax (for State use) was introduced in 1963 to be in effect for three years beginning in 1964 (C. 41 and 42, P.L. 1963). In 1966 the tax was extended indefinitely.

An accelerated payment schedule was imposed by C. 108 and 109, P.L. 1971 on all public utility companies paying excise taxes.

## RATES (Calendar Year Basis)

$0.625 \%$-upon gross receipts subject to the franchise tax. (0.25\% for taxpayers with gross receipts not in excess of $\$ 50,000$ annually);
$0.9375 \%$-upon gross receipts of all utilities except telephone and telegraph.
-for telephone and telegraph the rate is $0.5 \%$.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 |  | \$122,255,636 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  | 129,915,482 |
| 1991 |  | 124,661,999 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

## (d) RAILROAD PROPERTY TAX

Citation: The Railroad Tax Act of 1948; N.J.S.A. 54:29A-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Railroad Tax Law of 1948 as amended distinguishes three classes of property:

Class I: "Main stem" roadbed-that not exceeding 100 feet in width.
Class II: All other real estate used for railroad purposes including roadbed other than "main stem" (Class I), tracks, buildings, water tanks, riparian rights, docks, wharves, piers. Excluded is "tangible personal property': rolling stock, cars, locomotives, ferryboats, all machinery, tools. Facilities used in passenger service are also excluded, being defined as Class III property.

Class III: Facilities used in passenger service: land, stations, terminals, roadbeds, tracks, appurtenances, ballast and all structures used in connection with rendering passenger service, including signal systems, power systems, equipment storage, repair and service facilities (N.J.S.A. 54:20A-2).

The Railroad Property Tax is a State tax on Class II property.

## HISTORY

When the first railroad in New Jersey was chartered (February 4, 1830) the State required payment for the privilege of operating a railroad. Early railroad charters provided for annual payments to the State for the privilege of operating. In general, railroads were required to pay 1/ 2 of $1 \%$ of either (1) the value of their capital stock or (2) cost of the road, equipment and appurtenances.

The first general railroad tax law was enacted in 1884. Tax rates were fixed by the State: $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$ of total valuations (revenues for State use); local rates on Class II property, but not to exceed 1\% (revenues for local use). In 1897 and 1905-08 several amendments involving tax rates were enacted.

In 1941 the Railroad Tax Act taxed railroad property at the rate of $3 \%$. Taxes levied on Class II property were paid to the taxing districts and taxes on other classes of properties were assigned to the State. ${ }^{1}$ The 1941 Railroad Tax Act was amended in 1948: Provision was made for taxation of Class II property at local rates (revenue for local use). Classes I and III properties (as then defined) were taxed at the rate of $1.2 \%$ (revenues for State use). Taxation of "the value of remaining property" (Class IV) was dropped. Minimum and maximum tax provisions were established.

Chapter 251, P.L. 1964 eliminated the tax on Classes I and III properties and the maximum tax provisions. Chapter 139, P.L. 1966 changed the Class II railroad property tax to a State tax. Class III property was defined as "facilities used in passenger service." Hence, such facilities were exempted from taxation. In lieu of revenues from taxes on Class II property, State aid to municipalities was provided and is discussed on next page.

The Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 as amended by the Northeast Real Service Act of 1981, effective August 13, 1981 exempted Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail) from liability for any State tax until Jan. 1, 1987 when Conrail lost its use of a Federal exemption statute. In a similar manner, Public Law 97-102 provided that none of Amtrak's funds may be used to pay State or Local taxes.

## EXEMPTIONS

Main stem (Class I), tangible personal property and facilities used in passenger service (Class III).

## RATE OF TAX

$\$ 4.75$ for each $\$ 100$ of true value of Class II railroad property.

[^10]
## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1989 ..... \$2,430,084
1990 ..... 2,232,579
1991 ..... 2,169,088

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use However, under legislation adopted in 1966, the municipalities where railroad property is located are guaranteed the return of certain replacement revenues.

## (e) RAILROAD FRANCHISE TAX

Citation: The Railroad Tax Act of 1948: N.J.S.A. 54:29A-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Railroad Franchise Tax is levied upon railroads (or systems of railroads) operating within New Jersey. The tax base is that portion of the road's (or system's) net railway operating income of the preceding year allocated to New Jersey. The allocating factor is the ratio of the number of miles of all track in this State to the total number of miles of all track over which the railroad or system operates.

## HISTORY

Prior to 1941 franchise valuations were ascertained as of the first day of January preceding and taxes at the "Average Rate of Taxation" (R.S. 54:24-3). Chapters 291 and 363, P.L. 1941 introduced a tax base formula which took account of both (1) net railway operating income allocated to New Jersey and (2) total valuation of the previous year of all classes of property used for railroad purposes. One-half of the franchise tax levied was assigned to the state, the other half to taxing districts in which railroad property was situated.

Amendments in 1942 provided for (1) a deduction of \$200,000 from net operating income before determining allocation to the State and (2) minimum and maximum tax liabilities.

In 1948, net railway operating income allocated to New Jersey became the tax base and a tax rate of $10 \%$ was imposed. The Railroad Tax Act of 1948 was amended in 1964. These amendments, effective January 1, 1966, eliminated the maximum tax provisions that had been introduced in 1942.

## RATE OF TAX

Railroad Franchise Tax is assessed at the rate of $10 \%$ upon the net railway operating income of the preceding year allocated to New Jersey. The minimum is $\$ 100$ for taxpayers having total railway operating
revenues in the preceding year not in excess of $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 4,000$ to taxpayers with operating revenues in excess of $\$ 1$ million in the preceding year.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1989 ..... \$2,472,838
1990 ..... 1,052,571
1991 ..... 2,592,316

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

## REALTY TRANSFER FEE

Citation: N.J.S.A. 46:15-5 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The realty transfer fee is imposed upon the recording of deeds evidencing transfers of title to real property in the State of New Jersey. As defined in N.J.S.A. 46:15-5, a "deed" is a written instrument entitled to be recorded in the office of a county recording officer which purports to convey or transfer title to a freehold interest in any lands, tenements or other realty in this State by way of grant or bargain and sale thereof from the named grantor to the named grantee. In the case of any deed, the "consideration" is the basis upon which the realty transfer fee is calculated. "Consideration" is the actual amount of money and the monetary value of any other thing of value constituting the entire compensation paid or to be paid for the transfer of title to the lands, tenements or other realty, including the remaining amount of any prior mortgage to which the transfer is subject.

The terms "county recording officer" and "office of the county recording officer" mean the register of deeds and mortgages in counties having such an officer or office, and the county clerk and his office in the other counties.

## HISTORY

The Federal Documentary Tax on real estate transfers expired on January 1, 1968. It had provided the principal basis for developing average assessment ratios for each of the 567 municipalities in the State. These ratios are essential for such purposes mandated by law as: (1) construction of State Table of Equalized Valuations (the basis for distributing State school aid to local districts); (2) construction of County Equalization Tables (the basis for apportioning county costs of government); (3) establishment of debt limits for municipalities, counties and school districts; (4) provision for taxpayer relief from discriminatory local property tax assessments.

Realty Transfer Fee replaced the expiring Federal law both for revenue purposes and to preserve the basis for state, county and municipal equalization processes when Chapter 49, P.L. 1968 was
enacted effective July 3, 1968. The Act requires a record be made of the selling price of real property. This record may be used by the State in its sample of real estate sales for purposes of constructing a Table of Equalized Valuations.

Chapter 176, P.L. 1975, enacted into law on August 4, 1975, and effective on September 1, 1975, increased the fee from $\$ .50$ to $\$ 1.75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration.

Chapter 225, P.L. 1985, enacted into law on July 2, 1985, and effective on January 1, 1986, imposed an additional fee of $\$ .75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration in excess of $\$ 150,000$. Chapter 225 is the law by which present realty transfer fee rates are fixed.

## EXEMPTIONS (N.J.S.A. 46:15-10)

The realty transfer fee does not apply to title transfers:
(1) For a consideration of less than $\$ 100.00$;
(2) By or to the United States of America, this State, or any instrumentality, agency or subdivision thereof;
(3) Solely in order to provide or release security for a debt or obligation;
(4) Which confirm or correct a deed previously recorded;
(5) On a sale for delinquent taxes or assessments;
(6) On partition;
(7) By a receiver, trustee in bankruptcy or liquidation, or assignee for the benefit of creditors;
(8) Eligible to be recorded as "ancient deeds" pursuant to R.S. 46:16-7;
(9) Acknowledged or proved on or before July 3, 1968;
(10) Between husband and wife, or parent and child;
(11) Conveying a cemetery lot or plot;
(12) In specific performance of a final judgment;
(13) Releasing a right of reversion;
(14) Previously recorded in another county and full realty transfer fee paid or accounted for, as evidenced by written instrument, attested by the grantee and acknowledged by the recording officer of the county of such prior recording, specifying the county, book, page, date of prior recording, and amount of realty transfer fee previously paid.
(15) By an executor or administrator of a decedent to a devisee or heir to effect distribution of the decedent's estate in accordance with the provisions of the decedent's will or the intestate laws of this State.
(16) Recorded within ninety days following the entry of a divorce decree which dissolves the marriage between grantor and grantee.

## PARTIAL EXEMPTIONS (N.J.S.A. 46:15-10.1)

Two types of transfers of real property are exempt from the State portion of the realty transfer fee ( $\$ 1.25$ of the $\$ 1.75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration):
(1) The sale of one or two-family residential premises which are owned and occupied by a senior citizen (62 years of age or older), blind person, or disabled person who is the seller in such transaction shall be exempt from payment of $\$ 1.25$ for each $\$ 500.00$ of consideration of the fee imposed.
(2) The sale of low and moderate income housing as defined by Chapter 225, P.L. 1985 shall be exempt from payment of $\$ 1.25$ for each $\$ 500.00$ of consideration of the fee imposed.

Transfers of title to real property upon which there is new construction shall be exempt from payment of $\$ 1.00$ for each $\$ 500.00$ not in
excess of $\$ 150,000.00$. "New Construction" means any conveyance or transfer of property upon which there is an entirely new improvement not previously occupied or used for any purpose.

## RATE OF TAX (N.J.S.A. 46:15-7)

The realty transfer fee is imposed upon the grantor, or seller, at the rate of $\$ 1.75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration recited in the deed, which fee shall be collected by the county recording officer at the time the deed is offered for recording. For each $\$ 500$ of consideration in excess of $\$ 150,000$, an additional fee of $\$ .75$ is imposed.

## COLLECTIONS¹ (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$109,267,198 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 84,363,750 |
| 1991 | 62,467,036 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

The proceeds of the realty transfer fees collected by the county recording officer shall be accounted for and remitted to the county treasurer. An amount equal to $28.6 \%$ of the proceeds from the first $\$ 1.75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration recited in the deed shall be retained by the county treasurer for use of the county and the balance shall be paid to the State Treasurer. 28.6\% of the first $\$ 1.75$ for $\$ 500$ of consideration equals $\$ .50$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration and is retained by the county treasurer for the use of the county. $71.4 \%$ of the first $\$ 1.75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration, or $\$ 1.25$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration is paid to the State Treasurer for the use of the State.

All amounts paid to the State Treasurer in payment of the additional fee of $\$ .75$ for each $\$ 500$ of consideration recited in the deed in excess of $\$ 150,000$ shall be credited to the Neighborhood Preservation Nonlapsing Revolving Fund. In fiscal year 1991, \$12,758,166 was paid to the State Treasurer and credited to the Neighborhood Preservation Nonlapsing Revolving Fund.
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## SALES TAX

## (a) SALES AND USE TAX

Citation: New Jersey Sales and Use Tax Act; N.J.S.A. 54:32B-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Sales and Use Tax Act applies to receipts from (a) retail sale, rental or use of tangible personal property, (b) retail sale of producing, fabricating, processing, installing, maintaining, repairing, storing and servicing tangible personal property and certain advertising services, (c) sales of restaurant meals, (d) rental of hotel and motel rooms and (e) certain admission charges.

A compensating use tax is also imposed on retail purchases of tangible personal property made outside the State for use in New Jersey. The use tax does not apply if the taxable property has already been, or will be, subjected to sales tax.

All persons required to collect the tax must file an Application for Registration. Each registrant's authority to collect the sales tax is certified by a Certificate of Authority, issued by the Division, which must be prominently displayed at each place of business to which it applies.

## HISTORY

Sales and Use Tax Act became effective July 1, 1966. Rate of tax was set at 3\% (C. 30, P.L. 1966).

Additional exemptions from the tax were provided by C. 25 , P.L. 1967. C. 7, P.L. 1970 increased the tax rate to $5 \%$, effective March 1, 1970. This Act and C. 25, P.L. 1970 contained certain transitional provisions relating to this increased rate.

Effective July 1, 1972, sales of alcoholic beverages, except draught beer sold by the barrel, to any retail licensee were made subject to Sales and Use Tax (C. 27, P.L. 1972). The 1972 amendment repealed taxation of sales of packaged liquor by retailer to consumer. The tax
now applies at the wholesale-retail level. Its base is the minimum consumer retail price as filed with the Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

A new tax imposed on wholesale receipts of alcoholic beverage licensees at $6.5 \%$ of the wholesale price supersedes the prior tax imposed under the sales tax law at $5 \%$ of the minimum consumer resale price (C. 62, P.L. 1980).

Production machinery and equipment is exempt from sales tax effective January 1, 1978.

Sale, rental or lease of commercial motor vehicles weighing more than 18,000 pounds is exempt from sales tax effective January 1, 1978. (C. 217, P.L. 1977).

The Division took over administration of the Atlantic City Luxury Sales Tax (C. 60, P.L. 1980).

Recycling equipment is exempt from sales tax effective January 12, 1982 (C. 546, P.L. 1981).

The sales tax rate increased to 6\%, effective January 3, 1983 (C. 227, P.L. 1982).

Non-prescription drugs, household paper products and soaps and detergents are exempt from sales tax, effective July 1, 1983.

The sales tax rate increased to 7\%, effective July 1, 1990 (C. 40, P.L. 1990).

Several major exemptions and services became taxable July 1, 1990, i.e., cigarettes, alcoholic beverages, household soap and paper products.

Household paper products became exempt September 1, 1991.

## MAJOR EXEMPTIONS

(1) Advertising services for newspapers and magazines;
(2) Casual sales except motor vehicles and registered boats;
(3) Clothing, except furs;
(4) Farm supplies and equipment;
(5) Flags of the United States and State of New Jersey;
(6) Food, food products and non-alcoholic beverages (off premises);
(7) Food sold in school cafeterias;
(8) Prescription drugs and other medical aids;
(9) Motor fuels;
(10) Periodicals and textbooks;
(11) Professional and personal services;
(12) Real estate sales;
(13) Tangible personal property used in research and development;
(14) Transportation of persons or property;
(15) Utilities;
(16) Production machinery and equipment;
(17) Non-prescription drugs.

## RATE OF TAX

$7 \%$ on taxable sales.
The bracket system on taxable sales under $\$ 1$ is as follows:
Amount of Sales
$\$ 0.01$ to $\$ 0.10$........................................................................... $\begin{gathered}\text { Tax } \\ \text { None }\end{gathered}$
0.11 to 0.21 ................................................................................ $1 ¢$
0.22 to 0.35 ............................................................................... $2 \varnothing$
0.36 to 0.50 ................................................................................ $3 ¢$
0.51 to 0.64 ................................................................................ $4 ¢$
0.65 to 0.78 ............................................................................... $5 ¢$
0.79 to 0.92 ................................................................................ $6 ¢$
0.93 to 1.07 ................................................................................ $7 ¢$

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$3,066,770,144 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 3,202,569,956 |
| 1991 | 4,013,147,198 ${ }^{1}$ |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.
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## TABLE 9 <br> SALES AND USE TAX RATES: COMPARISON WITH OTHER STATES

| State | Year of Adoption | Rate |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Connecticut | 1947 |  |
| Maryland | 1947 | $8 \%$ |
| Massachusetts | 1966 | $5 \%$ |
| New Jersey | 1966 | $5 \%$ |
| New York | 1965 | $4 \%-$ State; $4.25 \%-$ Local $^{1}$ |
| Ohio | 1934 | $5 \%-$ State; 0.5 |

${ }^{1}$ The State rate is $4 \%$. However, counties and municipalities may impose additional taxes ranging up to $4 \%$.
${ }^{2}$ The law authorizes counties to levy a $1 / 2 \%$ local sales tax. Five counties have done so, bringing their State-local rates to $4-1 / 2 \%$ : Allen Co., Cuyahoga Co., Hamilton Co., Lake Co., and Miami Co.

## TABLE 10 <br> SALES AND USE TAX EXEMPTIONS COMPARISON WITH OTHER STATES

| Item | Conn. | Md. | Mass. | N.J. | N.Y. | Ohio | Pa. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beer On- <br> Premises | T | T | T | T | T | T5 | T |
| Beer OffPremises | T | T | T | T | T | T5 | T |
| Cigarettes | T | E | E | T | T | T | E |
| Clothing | T1 | T | $E^{2}$ | E | T | T | E |
| Food OffPremises | E | E | E | $E^{3}$ | E | E | E |
| Liquor OnPremises | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Liquor OffPremises | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Manufacturing Equipment | E | E | E | E | E ${ }^{4}$ | E | E |
| Motor Fuels | E | E | E | E | T | E | E |
| (T-Taxable; E ${ }^{1}$ Children under <br> ${ }^{2}$ Up to $\$ 175$. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Food and drink are prepared ${ }^{4}$ Taxable in New $53.2 \%$ beer-ex | t.) <br> mpt. <br> off-prem for con City. | cons ption | tion, wh subject | uch fo sales | d dri | cludin | wic |


SALES AND USE TAX CASH COLLECTIONS-CALENDAR YEARS 1989-90 BY TYPE OF BUSINESS (Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

|  | 1990 |  |  |  | 1989 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Business | No. of Vendors | Sales <br> Tax | Use <br> Tax | Total <br> Tax (a) | No. of Vendors | Sales <br> Tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Use } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Tax (a) |
| Exempt Organizations .......... | 354 | \$ 1,180 | \$ - | \$ 1,180 | 341 | \$ 892 | \$ 24 | \$ 916 |
| Manufacturing ...................... | 9,629 | 110,657 | 35,254 | 145,911 | 9,497 | 148,771 | 38,984 | 187,755 |
| Service ................................ | 58,890 | 709,321 | 78,790 | 788,111 | 59,704 | 505,031 | 62,852 | 567,883 |
| Wholesale ........................... | 9,761 | 160,140 | 11,273 | 171,413 | 9,960 | 153,914 | 6,685 | 160,598 |
| Construction ........................ | 16,922 | 68,635 | 8,833 | 77,468 | 17,395 | 67,334 | 9,546 | 76,879 |
| Retail | 83,566 | 1,871,541 | 48,504 | 1,920,045 | 88,399 | 1,665,735 | 31,013 | 1,696,748 |
| Government ......................... | 18 | 65,430 | - | 65,430 | 19 | 61,894 | - | 61,894 |
| Not Classified ...................... | 28,269 | 212,575 | 11,265 | 223,841 | 20,306 | 115,877 | 6,616 | 122,493 |
| Totals ......................... | 207,409 | \$3,199,479 | \$193,920 | \$3,393,400 | 205,621 | \$2,719,448 | \$155,718 | \$2,875,166 |

(a) Totals may not add due to rounding.
TABLE 12
SALES TAX BASE AND SALES AND USE TAX CASH COLLECTIONS BY TYPE OF BUSINESS,
CALENDAR YEAR 1990
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

| Type of Business | Number of Vendors | Gross <br> Receipts | Deductions | Taxable <br> Receipts | Sales <br> Tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Use } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1990 \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Tax (a) } \end{gathered}$ | 1989 <br> Total <br> Tax | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exempt Organizations | 354 | \$ 47,289 | \$ 29,355 | \$ 17,933 | \$ 1,180 | \$ | \$ 1,180 | \$ 910 | 29.7\% |
| Manufacturing | 9,629 | 38,298,597 | 36,612,570 | 1,686,027 | 110,657 | 35,254 | 145,911 | 187,755 | -22.3 |
| Service | 58,890 | 41,421,598 | 30,772,156 | 10,649,442 | 709,321 | 78,790 | 788,111 | 554,392 | 42.2 |
| Wholesale | 9,761 | 33,261,265 | 30,884,848 | 2,376,417 | 160,140 | 11,273 | 171,413 | 160,598 | 6.7 |
| Construction ................ | 16,922 | 8,869,833 | 7,822,258 | 1,047,575 | 68,635 | 8,833 | 77,468 | 76,879 | 0.8 |
| Retail | 83,566 | 72,052,453 | 43,565,821 | 28,486,631 | 1,871,541 | 48,504 | 1,920,045 | 1,696,748 | 13.2 |
| Government | 18 | 709,823 | 7,151 | 702,672 | 65,430 | - | 65,430 | 61,894 | 5.7 |
| Not Classified | 28,269 | 20,368,458 | 17,188,621 | 3,179,837 | 212,575 | 11,265 | 223,841 | 122,493 | 82.7 |
| Totals | 207,409 | \$215,029,316 | \$166,882,781 | \$48,146,535 | \$3,199,479 | \$193,920 | \$3,393,400 | \$2,832,169 | 19.8\% |

(a) Totals may not add due to rounding.

## CHART 4 <br> SALES TAX PERCENT CHANGE

1987-1991


## (b) ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE WHOLESALE SALES TAX

Citation: The Alcoholic Beverage Wholesale Sales Tax Act; N.J.S.A. 54:32C-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Alcoholic Beverage Tax applies to receipts from every sale of alcoholic beverages, except draught beer sold by the barrel, by any wholesaler to any retail licensee.

## HISTORY

The Alcoholic Beverage Wholesale Sales Tax Act (C. 62, P.L. 1980) became effective August 1, 1980. Rate increased to $7.3 \%$ effective March 1, 1984 (C. 531, P.L. 1983). The rate is reduced to $2.9 \%$ effective July 1, 1990, $1.5 \%$ effective July 1, 1991 and no tax on July 1, 1992 and thereafter. (C. 41, P.L. 1990).

## RATE OF TAX

$1.5 \%^{1}$ on taxable sales.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$89,185,176 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 88,057,890 |
| 1991 | 29,658,481 ${ }^{2}$ |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use-89.25\%; $10.75 \%$ is deposited in a special account known as the Alcoholism Education and Rehabilitation Fund.
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## (c) ATLANTIC CITY LUXURY SALES TAX

Citation: The Atlantic City Luxury Sales Tax Act; N.J.S.A. 40:48-8.15 et seq., Sales and Use Tax Act 54:32B-24.1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

The Atlantic City Luxury Sales Tax applies to the receipts from the sale of specified retail sales or sale at retail within Atlantic City.

These taxable sales include:

1. Sales of alcoholic beverages by the drink in restaurants, bars, hotels or other similar establishments;
2. Cover, minimum, entertainment or other similar charges;
3. Room rental in any hotel, inn, rooming or boarding home;
4. The hiring of any rolling chair, beach chair or cabana; and
5. Admissions to any theatre, motion picture, pier, exhibition or place of amusement.

## HISTORY

The Atlantic City Luxury Sales Tax Act (C. 60, P.L. 1980) became effective July 1, 1980.

Effective July 1, 1990, the combined rate for sales subject to both N.J. Sales and Use Tax and Atlantic City Luxury Sales Tax increased from $12 ¢$ to $13 ¢$ (C. 40, P.L. 1990).

## EXEMPTIONS

1. Sales not specified above.
2. Casual or isolated sales.
3. Sales to State of New Jersey or its political subdivisions.
4. Sales exempt under Federal law.
5. Sales by a church or nonprofit charitable association.

## RATE OF TAX

The rate is $4 \%$ on sale of alcoholic beverage and $9 \%$ on other taxable sales.

The State Sales Tax rate is reduced to the extent that the city rate exceeds $7 \%$, and the maximum combined Atlantic City rate and New Jersey rate may not exceed $13 \%$.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$15,241,639 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 18,942,211 |
| 1991 | 17,857,117 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are forwarded to the Atlantic County Improvement Authority.

# (d) TOBACCO PRODUCTS WHOLESALE SALES AND USE TAX 

Citation: The Tobacco Products Wholesale Sales and Use Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:40B-1 to 14

## DESCRIPTION

The Tobacco Products Wholesale Sales and Use Tax is imposed on the receipts from every sale of tobacco products, other than cigarettes, by a distributor or a wholesaler to a retail dealer or consumer.

## HISTORY

The Tobacco Products and Wholesale Sales and Use Tax is applicable to all tobacco products delivered to retail dealers or consumers on and after July 1, 1990, even if sold under a contract entered into before this date. Examples of tobacco products are: cigars; cigarillos; chewing, pipe and smoking tobaccos; tobacco substitutes; and snuff.

## EXEMPTION

1. Cigarettes

## RATE OF TAX

$24 \%$ on the receipts from every sale of tobacco products.

COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)
1991
$\$ 4,571,489$

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUE

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

## SAVINGS INSTITUTION TAX

Citation: The Savings Institution Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:10D-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Savings Institution Tax is applicable to every savings institution doing a financial business in New Jersey. The Act defines "Savings Institution" as any state or federally chartered building and loan association, savings and loan association or savings bank. The tax is based upon net income as of the close of the preceding tax year, but in no event less than $\$ 50$ for savings institutions with assets under $\$ 1$ million and no less than $\$ 250$ for savings institutions with assets of $\$ 1$ million or more.

## HISTORY

Chapter 160, P.L. 1979 reduced the rate from 5\% to 3\% effective January 1, 1980.

## EXCLUSIONS FROM TAX

(1) $100 \%$ of dividends of an owned and qualified subsidiary and
(2) $50 \%$ of other dividends included in taxable income for federal tax purposes.

## RATE OF TAX

$3 \%$ of net income.
Minimum tax is $\$ 50$ for associations with assets of less than $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 250$ for associations with assets of $\$ 1$ million or more.

## PREPAYMENT

In addition to the tax due, for accounting periods ending April, 1980 and thereafter, an $80 \%$ prepayment of tax for the following year must
also be made. Credit against the current year's tax liability for such prepayment is allowed.

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

1989
\$12,081,835
1990
5,230,595
1991
6,655,203

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.

## TRANSFER INHERITANCE AND ESTATE TAX

Citation: The Transfer Inheritance Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:33-1 et seq. and The New Jersey Estate Tax Act: N.J.S.A. 54:38-1 et seq.

## DESCRIPTION

Transfer Inheritance Tax applies to the transfer of all personal property and New Jersey real property having an aggregate value of $\$ 500.00$ or more in estates of resident decedents. In estates of nonresident decedents, the tax applies to real property and tangible personal property located in the State of New Jersey.

Transfer Inheritance Tax is non-recurring at rates based upon the relationship of the ultimate beneficiaries to the decedent and the amount received by each.

The due date of the tax is the date of death. However, an interest penalty at the rate of $10 \%$ per annum applicable to any unpaid taxes does not begin to accrue until eight months after date of death.

The Estate Tax Act provides for an estate tax in addition to the inheritance tax on the estate of a resident decedent where the inheritance taxes paid to New Jersey, other states, territories or the District of Columbia are not sufficient to fully absorb the credit allowable for payment thereof under existing Federal Estate Tax statutes.

An estate may be subject to the Estate Tax even though it is exempt from Transfer Inheritance Tax.

## HISTORY

New Jersey first imposed an inheritance tax in 1892 at a rate of $5 \%$ on property transferred from a decedent to a beneficiary.

In 1909, legislation was enacted which formed the basis of present Transfer Inheritance Tax (54:33-1 et seq.)

In 1934, legislation was enacted which formed the basis of the Estate Tax (54:38-1 et seq.)

On February 27, 1985 an amendment to the Transfer Inheritance Tax Act (C.57, P.L. 1985) eliminated from taxation transfers from decedents to surviving spouses (retroactive to January 1, 1985) and to other Class "A" beneficiaries on a phased out basis through July 1, 1988. On July 1, 1988, other Class " $A$ " beneficiaries became totally exempt from the tax. Class "C" beneficiaries were granted a $\$ 25,000$ exemption effective on July 1, 1988.

## EXEMPTIONS

(1) All transfers having an aggregate value under $\$ 500$;
(2) Life Insurance proceeds paid to a named beneficiary;
(3) Charitable transfers for the use of any educational institution, church, hospital, orphan asylum, public library, etc.;
(4) Transfers for public purposes made to New Jersey or any political subdivision thereof;
(5) Federal civil service retirement benefits payable to a beneficiary other than the estate;
(6) Annuities payable to survivors of military retirees;
(7) Qualified employment annuities paid to a surviving spouse;
(8) Transfers to a spouse:

Date of death Amount of exemption
January 1, 1985 and thereafter
Prior to January 1, 1985
Totally exempt
See other Class "A" beneficiaries below

Other Class " $A$ " beneficiaries (includes a surviving spouse Through Dec. 31, 1984.)

## Date of death

March 29, 1962-June 30, 1978
July 1, 1978-June 30, 1985
July 1, 1985-June 30, 1986
July 1, 1986-June 30, 1987
July 1, 1987-June 30, 1988
July 1, 1988 and thereafter
Class " $C$ " beneficiaries:
Date of death
July 1, 1988 and thereafter
Prior to July 1, 1988

Class "D" beneficiaries:
Date of death
March 29, 1962 and thereafter

## Amount of exemption

\$ 5,000
\$ 15,000
\$ 50,000
\$150,000
\$250,000
Totally exempt

## Amount of exemption

\$ 25,000
If less than $\$ 500$, no tax, if more than $\$ 500$, no exemption.

## Amount of exemption

If less than $\$ 500$, no tax, if more than $\$ 500$, no exemption.

## Classification of beneficiaries:

CLASS A Father, mother, grandparents, wife, husband, child or children of decedent, adopted child or children, issue of any child* or legally adopted child of a decedent, mutually acknowledged child and stepchild.
*The phrase "issue of any child" has been construed in Palmer vs. Kingsley, 27 N.J. 425, to include the legally adopted children of a child of a decedent.

CLASS B This class eliminated by Chapter 61, Laws of 1962, effective July 1, 1963.

CLASS C Brother or sister of decedent; wife or widow of a son of decedent, or husband or widower of a daughter.

CLASS D Every other transferee, distributee or beneficiary.

CLASS E State of New Jersey, municipal corporation with the State of New Jersey, or other political subdivision thereof; proceeds of life insurance transferred to trustees and beneficiaries of trust deeds or agreements; proceeds of life insurance payable to any beneficiary other than the estate of the decedent or his executor or administrator; transfers, surrender or exercise of any right to change the beneficiary of insurance; soldiers' benefits from federal government; and property passing to, for the use of or in trust for any educational institution, church, hospital, orphan asylum, public library or Bible and tract society or to, for the use of or in trust for any institution or organization organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, benevolent, scientific, literary or educational purposes, including any institution instructing the blind in the use of dogs as guides, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private stockholder or other individual or corporation provided that this exemption shall not extend to transfers of property to such educational institutions and organizations of other states, the District of Columbia, territories and foreign countries which do not grant an equal and like exemption on transfers of property for the benefit of such institutions and organizations of this state.

## Current Exemptions To Beneficiaries

CLASS A Entirely exempt
CLASS B Eliminated
CLASS C $\$ 25,000$ to each in class
CLASS D If less than $\$ 500.00$, no tax; if $\$ 500.00$, or more, no exemption.
CLASS E Entirely exempt.

[^14]
## RATE OF TAX <br> Class A Transferees

A SURVIVING SPOUSE IS ENTIRELY EXEMPT EFFECTIVE IN ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING ON OR AFTER JAN. 1, 1985

## REMAINING CLASS A TRANSFEREES ARE ENTIRELY EXEMPT EFFECTIVE IN ESTATES OF DECEDENTS DYING ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 1988

|  |  | Rates applicable to class A transferees in estates of decedents dying prior to dates indicated above. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 / 87 \\ \text { thru } \\ 6 / 30 / 88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 / 86 \\ \text { thru } \\ 6 / 30 / 87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 / 85 \\ \text { thru } \\ 6 / 30 / 86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 1 / 78 \\ \text { thru } \\ 6 / 30 / 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 29 / 62 \\ \text { thru } \\ 6 / 30 / 78 \end{gathered}$ |
| First | \$ 5,000 | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt |
| Next | 10,000 | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | 1\% |
| Next | 35,000 | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | 2\% | 2\% |
| Next | 50,000 | Exempt | Exempt | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Next | 50,000 | Exempt | Exempt | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Next | 50,000 | Exempt | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Next | 50,000 | Exempt | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Next | 50,000 | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Next | 200,000 | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Next | 200,000 | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Next | 200,000 | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Next | 200,000 | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Next | 300,000 | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Next | 300,000 | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| Next | 500,000 | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Next | 500,000 | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Next | 500,000 | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Over | 3,200,000 | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% |

Class C Transferees

| First |  | On or after 7/1/88 | If less than | 3/29/62 thru 6/30/88 <br> no tax-If $\$ 500$ or more; no exemption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ 25,000 | Exempt | 11\% |  |
| Next | 1,075,000 | 11\% | 11\% |  |
| Next | 300,000 | 13\% | 13\% |  |
| Next | 300,000 | 14\% | 14\% |  |
| Over | 1,700,000 | 16\% | 16\% |  |

Class D Transferees
On or after 3/29/82

| If less than $\$ 500 ;$ no tax <br> If more than $\$ 500 ;$ no exemption |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| First | $\$ 700,000$ |  |
| Over | $\$ 700,000$ |  |

## COLLECTIONS (Fiscal Year)

| 1989 | \$204,344,684 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 200,954,216 |
| 1991 | 212,825,520 |

## DISPOSITION OF REVENUES

Revenues are deposited in the State Treasury for general State use.


> TABLE 13
> MAJOR STATE TAX RATES

| State |  | Sales | Motor <br> Fuels | Cigarettes | Corporation <br> Net <br> Incomersonal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |

## MAJOR STATE TAX RATES-Continued

| State |  | Sales | Motor <br> Fuels | Cigarettes | Corporation Personal <br> Net Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 14 CALENDAR OF TAX EVENTS

| TAXES | Payable monthly or bl-monthly | Payable Quarterly | Payable SemiAnnually | Payable Annually | Reports Monthly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Alcoholic Beverage | Bimonthly By the 15th |  |  |  | Licenses |
| 2 Alcoholic Beverage Wholesale Sales | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Atlantic City Luxury Sales | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \begin{aligned} & \text { Business Personal } \\ & \text { Property }\end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 15th } \\ & \text { Feb. 15th } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 5 Cigarette | Taxes are p | repaid by distrib | ors before dis | ibution | Licenses |
| 6 Corporation Business | Due 15th day of | 4th month after | ose of accoun | ting period |  |
| 7 Corporation Income | Due 15th day of | 4th month after | ose of accou | ting period |  |
| 8 Financial Business |  |  |  | By April 15th |  |
| 9 Gross Income |  |  |  | By April 15th ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| 10 Insurance Premium |  |  |  | By March 1st |  |
| 11 Litter Control |  |  |  | By March 15th |  |
| 12 Local Property |  | Feb., May, Aug. \& Nov. 1 |  |  |  |
| 13 Motor Fuels | Monthly by the 22nd |  |  |  | Inventories |
| 14 Public Community Water Systems |  | Jan., April, July \& Oct. 20 |  |  |  |
| 15 Public Utility Excise (for state use) |  |  |  | May 1st |  |
| 16 Public Utility Franchise (for municipal use) |  |  |  | *May, Sept., \& Dec. |  |
| 17 Public Utility Gross Receipts (for municipal use) |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *May, Sept., } \\ & \text { \& Dec. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 18 Railroad Franchise |  |  |  | June 15th |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \text { Railroad Property } \\ & \text { (class II) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Dec. 1st |  |
| 20 Resource Recovery Inv. | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Sales \& Use | Remittance when $\$ 100$ or more | Jan., April, July \& Oct. 20 |  |  |  |
| 22 Sanitary Land. \& Cont. | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Savings Institution | Due $31 / 2$ months af | er close of the | mpanies acc | unting period |  |
| 24 Solid Waste Import | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 25 Solid Waste Recycling | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 26 Solid Waste Services | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |
| 27 Spill Compensation | Monthly by the 20th |  |  |  |  |

1Taxpayers on a fiscal year; tax is due the 15th day of the 4th month following the close of the taxpayer's fiscal year.
*Billed annually, payable by the State in three installments.

CALENDAR OF TAX EVENTS (Continued)

Statute of limitations and other time limits

| TAX | PENALTIES AND INTEREST* | COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT | REFUNDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2 -3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:44-1; N.J.S.A. 54:45-1 | 3 years to assess*3 | 1 year 54:45-6 |
| 2. BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. $54: 49-3^{* 2}-5$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:11A-13, 17 | 5 years after filing for additional assessment 54:11A-12b*1 | 2 years 54:49-14*2 |
| 3. CIGARETTE | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month, $5 \%$ per month not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:40-7 | 3 years to assess*3 | 2 years 54:49-14*2 |
| 4. CORPORATION | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month, $5 \%$ per month not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily; if payment is less than $90 \%$, $1.5 \%$ per month plus $5 \%$ penalty per month, N.J.S.A. 54:10A-19 <br> (4) Deficiency assessment-N.J.S.A. 54:49-6, 11*2 | (1) 5 years for assessment of additional tax 54:10A-19.1*1 <br> (2) 10 years where corporation franchise return duty filed 54:10A-31 | 2 years 54:49-14*2 if no assessment has been made*5 |

[^15]STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| RECORD RETENTION | CRIMINAL PENALTIES | APPEALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 3 years (up to 2 years additional by order of the Director) 54:45-2 | (1) Failure to pay at sale or delivery-crime of fourth degree*7 N.J.S.A. 54:47-5 <br> (2) False swearing with intent to avoid tax-crime of fourth degree*7 N.J.S.A. 54:47-4 | (1) Within 30 days after finding by the Division to the Director-54:45-5; a hearing will be granted <br> (2) To Tax Court Appeals from decision of Director-90 daysRule 8:4-1 (b) |
| 2. 5 years*3 | (1) Reckless or negligent failure to file or pay tax-disorderly person N.J.S.A. 54:52-6 <br> (2) Providing false information to hinder audit-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-7 <br> (3) Failure to file with intent to defraud or evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 <br> (4) Failure to pay taxes with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-9 <br> (5) Filing or preparing false or fraudulent returns with intent to evade crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-10 <br> (6) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-11 <br> (7) Failure to maintain records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-12 <br> (8) Engaging in conduct requiring registration without registering with intent to evade-crime of the third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-13 <br> (9) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-19 | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1 (b) |
| 3. 3 years 54:40A-23 | (1) Forgery or counterfeiting stamps -crime of the third degree*6 N.J.S.A. 54:40A-29 <br> (2) Possession of counterfeit stamps-crime of the third degree*6 N.J.S.A. 54:40-29 <br> (3) Possession of cigarettes with counterfeit stamps-more than 2,000 packs-crime of third degree*6 N.J.S.A. 54:40A-29 <br> (4) Preventing or hindering investigation $-\$ 250$ for each offense N.J.S.A. 54:40A-27 <br> (5) Transporting unstamped cigarettes without proper invoices -disorderly person*B N.J.S.A. 54:40A-32 | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1(b)*2 |
| 4. 5 years*3 | (1) Reckless or negligent failure to file or pay tax-disorderly person N.J.S.A. 54:52-6 <br> (2) Providing false information to hinder audit-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-7 <br> (3) Failure to file with intent to defraud or evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 <br> (4) Failure to pay taxes with intent to evade - crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-9 <br> (5) Filing or preparing false or fraudulent returns with intent to evade crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-10 <br> (6) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-11 <br> (7) Falure to maintain records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-12 <br> (8) Engaging in conduct requiring registration without registering with intent to evade-crime of the third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-13 <br> (9) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1 (b) |

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| TAX | PENALTIES AND INTERESTS* | COLLECTION AND <br> ASSESSMENT* | REFUNDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. CORPORATION INCOME | (1) Failure to file - N.J.S.A. $54: 49-4 * 2-\$ 100$ per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. $54: 49-3^{* 2}-5$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. $54: 49-4^{* 2}-5 \%$ penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2 -3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:10E-18 | 5 years to assess 54:10E-19 | 2 years 54:49-14*2 |
| 6. GROSS INCOME | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2 $-\$ 100$ per month or fraction thereof, 5\% per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extention of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily <br> (4) Additional penalties-N.J.S.A. 54A:9-6 | (1) 3 years after return is filed except where return omits more than $25 \%$ of income, then 6 years. 54A:9-4 | 3 years after return is filed or 2 years after tax is paid whichever is later 54A:9-8a |
| 7. INHERITANCE AND ESTATE | (1) Tax paid more than 8 months after it becomes due and payable $10 \%$-if delay unavoidable $6 \%-$ N.J.S.A. $54: 35-3$ <br> (2) Failure to testity before appraiser after service of subpoena$\$ 200$ penalty-N.J.S.A. 54:34-10 | (1) Tax due is lien for 15 years 54:35-5 <br> (2) 15 years to assess 54:35-5.1 | 3 years from date of final determination or payment - no refund more than 20 years atter date of death of decedent 54:35-10 |
| 8. MOTOR FUELS | (1) Failure to file a report (distributor or jobber) $20 \%$ of taxN.J.S.A. 54:39-27 <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. $54: 49-3^{* 2}-3$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:39-64(b) | 3 years to assess*3 | (1) Distributors 1 year from date of payment 54:39-29 <br> (2) Those refundable 6 months 54:39-67 |

*Penalties and interest were substantially increased by c. 177, P.L. 1975
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| record retention | criminal penalties | APPEALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. 5 years*3 | (1) Reckless or negligent failure to file or pay tax-disorderly person N.J.S.A. $54: 52-6$ <br> (2) Providing talse information to hinder audit-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-7 <br> (3) Failure to file with intent to defraud or evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 <br> (4) Failure to pay taxes with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-9 <br> (5) Filing or preparing false or traudulent returns with intent to evade crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-10 <br> (6) Maintaining or preparing false oo fraudulent records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-11 <br> (7) Failure to maintain records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-12 <br> (8) Engaging in conduct requiring registration without registering with intent to evade-crime of the third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-13 <br> (9) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-19 | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1(b) |
| 6. 2 years*3 | (1) Failure to file report or filing fraudulently-crime of the fourth degree*7 N.J.S.A. $54 A: 9-15$ <br> (2) Wilful failure to withhold-crime of the fourth degree*7 N.J.S.A. 54A:9-15 | (1) Within 90 days to the Director 54A:9-9(b) (2) Within 90 days to Tax Court Rule 8:4-1 (b) |
| 7. 20 years*3 | Wilful and knowing misrepresentation to appraiser-crime of the fourth degree*7 54:34-11 | Appeal from appraisal or assessment of tax-within 90 days after making and entering same to Tax Court Rule 8:4-1 (b) |
| 8. Wholesalers and retailers re cords 2 years 54:39-33; daily1 year 54:39-34 <br> Distributors and gasoline jobbers records 1 year 54:39-25 | (1) Failure to pay tax-crime of the fouth degree N.J.S.A. 54:39-64 <br> (2) Making any false statements-crime of the fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:39-64 <br> (3) Concealing any material fact-crime of the fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:39-64 <br> (4) Obtaining fuel falsely-crime of the fourth degree*7 N.J.S.A. 54:39-56 | (1) Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1(b) from docketed debts 54:39-47 <br> (2) Within 90 days from any order or assessment of the Director 54:39-39 |

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| TAX | PENALTIES AND INTEREST* | COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT' | REFUNDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. PUBLIC UTILITY FRANCHISE AND GROSS RECEIPTS | Failure to file report $\$ 100$ per day 54:30A-19 and 54:30A-55; interest $1.5 \%$ per month*3 | 2 years*3 | 2 years*3 |
| 10. SALES AND USE | (1) Failure to file - N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. $54: 49-3^{* 2}-5$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. $54 \cdot 49-3^{* 2}-3$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:32B-26 | 3 years*1 54:328-27 | 2 years after payment of tax by customer 54:32B-20 |
| 11. SAVINGS INSTITUTION | (1) Failure to file - N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2 $-\$ 100$ per month or fraction thereof, 5\% per month, not to exceed 25\% <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:10D-5 | 5 years to assess 54:100-16*1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { years } 54: 49-14^{* 2} \\ & 54: 49-16^{* 2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 12. SPILL COMPENSATION | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { years } 54: 49-14^{* 2} \text { and } \\ & 54: 49-16 * 2 \end{aligned}$ |

*Penalties and interest were substantially increased by c. 177, P.L. 1975
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| record retention | Criminal penalties | APPEALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. 2 years*3 | Failure to file with intent to defraud-crime of third degree*2 N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1(b) |
| 10. 3 years 54:328-16 | Failure to file with intent to defraud-crime of third degree*2 N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 | (1) Where determination is made by Division, 30 days to appeal to the Director for a hearing $54: 328$-19 <br> (2) Atter Director's decision 90 days to appeal to Tax CourtRule 8:4-1 (b) |
| 11. 5 years*3 | (1) Reckless or negligent failure to file or pay tax-disorderly person N.J.S.A. $54: 52-6$ <br> (2) Providing false information to hinder audit-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-7 <br> (3) Failure to file with intent to defraud or evade -crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 <br> (4) Failure to pay taxes with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52-9$ <br> (5) Filing or preparing false or traudulent returns with intent to evade crime of third degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52-10$ <br> (6) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52-11$ <br> (7) Failure to maintain records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-12 <br> (8) Engaging in conduct requiring registration without registering with intent to evade-crime of the third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-13 <br> (9) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52-19$ | Within 90 days to Tax Court-Rule 8:4-1(b) |
| 12. 2 years for the Director 54:50-10 | (1) Reckless or negligent failure to file or pay tax-disorderly person N.J.S.A. 54:52-6 <br> (2) Providing false information to hinder audit-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52.7 <br> (3) Failure to file with intent to defraud or evade -crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-8 <br> (4) Failure to pay taxes with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-9 <br> (5) Filing or preparing false or traudulent returns with intent to evade crime of third degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52-10$ <br> (6) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. 54.52-11 <br> (7) Failure to maintain records with intent to evade-crime of third degree N.J.S.A. $54: 52 \cdot 12$ <br> (8) Engaging in conduct requiring registration without registering with intent to evade-crime of the third degree N.J.S.S.A. 54:52-13 <br> (9) Knowing verficication of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade-crime of tourth degree N.J.S.A. $54.52-19$ | (1) Within 30 days to the Director $54: 49 \cdot 18$ and $58: 10-23.11$ (d) <br> (2) Subject to rules of Tax Court and/or Superior Court |

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| TAX | PENALTIES AND INTEREST* | COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT* | REFUNDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. STATE TAX UNIFORM PROCEDURE LAW | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | Not a taxing law | 2 years 54:49-14 and 54:49-16 |
| 14. ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE WHOLESALE SALES | (1) Failure to file - N.J.S.A. $54: 49-4^{* 2}-\$ 100$ per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. $54: 49-3^{* 2}-5$ percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4*2-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2 -3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. $54: 32 \mathrm{~V}-12,13$ | 3 years to assess*3 | 2 years N.J.S.A. <br> 54:49-14*2 and N.J.S.A. <br> 54:49-16*2 |
| 15. SOLID WASTE RECYCLING | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, 5\% per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { years 54:49-14 and } \\ & 54: 49-16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16. LANDFILL CLOSURE AND CONTINGENCY | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | 2 years 54:49-14 and 54:49-16 |
| 17. PUBLIC COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM TAX | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, 5\% per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | 2 years 54:49-14 and 54:49-16 |

*Penalties and interest were substantially increased by c. 177, P.L. 1975
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| RECORD RETENTIUr |  | CRIMINAL PENALTIES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13. 2 years for the Director |  |  |
| $54: 50-10$ |  |  |$\quad$| APPEALS |
| :--- |

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| TAX | PENALTIES AND INTEREST* | COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT** | REFUNDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. SOLID WASTE SERVICES TAX | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { years 54:49-14 and } \\ & 54: 49-16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19. RESOURCE RECOVERY investment tax | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3*2-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | 2 years 54:49-14 and 54:49-16 |
| 20. SOLID WASTE IMPORTATION TAX | (1) Failure to file-N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-\$100 per month or fraction thereof, $5 \%$ per month, not to exceed $25 \%$ <br> (2) Failure to pay-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-5 percentage points above prime, compounded daily, N.J.S.A. 54:49-4-5\% penalty <br> (3) Extension of time-N.J.S.A. 54:49-3-3 percentage points above prime, compounded daily | NONE | 2 years 54:49-14 and 54:49-16 |

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND OTHER TIME LIMITS (Continued)

| RECORD OF RETENTION | CRIMINAL PENALTIES | APPEALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. 2 years for the Director 54:50-10 | (1) Failure to file a report or filing fraudulently-crime of the fourth degree-13:1E-141c(1) <br> (2) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade tax-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-19 <br> (3) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent books or recordscrime of the third degree 54:52-11 | (1) Within 30 days to the Director 54:49-18 and 13:1E-141a <br> (2) Subject to rules of Tax Court and/or Superior Court |
| 19. 2 years for the Director 54:50-10 | (1) Failure to file a report or filing fraudulently-crime of the fourth degree-13:1E-141c(1) <br> (2) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade tax-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-19 <br> (3) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent books or recordscrime of the third degree 54:52-11 | (1) Within 30 days to the Director 54:49-18 and 13:1E-141a <br> (2) Subject to rules of Tax Court and/or Superior Court |
| 20. 2 years for the Director 54:50-10 | (1) Failure to file a report or filing fraudulently-crime of the fourth degree-13:1E-141c(1) <br> (2) Knowing verification of false or fraudulent statement with intent to evade tax-crime of fourth degree N.J.S.A. 54:52-19 <br> (3) Maintaining or preparing false or fraudulent books or recordscrime of the third degree 54:52-11 | (1) Within 30 days to the Director $54: 49-18$ and 13:1E-141a <br> (2) Subject to rules of Tax Court and/or Superior Court |

*7 Crime of the fourth degree-Sentence of imprisonment is to be fixed by the court and not to be in excess of eighteen
months. A fine is not to exceed $\$ 7,500.00$.
Disorderly person-Sentence of imprisonment is to be fixed
by the court and not to be in excess of six months. A fine
is not to exceed $\$ 1,000.00$.
See P.L. 1979, c.367-Crime of the fourth degree-
Sentence of imprisonment is to be fixed by the court and not to be in excess of eighteen months. A fine is not to exceed $\$ 5,000.00$

# CHAPTER IV LEGISLATION AND COURT DECISIONS 

## LEGISLATION

## INSURANCE PREMIUMS TAX

P.L. 1991, c.208, approved July 15, 1991, establishes a guaranty fund to pay off policyholders if an insurance company becomes insolvent. The New Jersey Life and Health Insurance Association will assess member insurers at a rate of up to 2 percent each year on defined life insurance, annuity, and health insurance accounts. Each member insurer is allowed to offset 10 percent of the amount assessed against its premium tax liability in each of the five calendar years following the second year after the year in which the assessments were paid. However, the offset cannot exceed the premium tax liability by more than 20 percent of the tax liability in any one year. In addition, the offset may not be applied against the surtax imposed on automobile insurance premiums under the Fair Automobile Insurance Reform (FAIR) Act, P.L. 1990, c.8.

## LOCAL HOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX

P.L. 1991, c.23, approved and effective February 19, 1991, amends C. $40: 48 \mathrm{E}-1$ to authorize the city of Elizabeth to adopt an ordinance imposing a hotel tax. Chapter 23 also cancels any accrued credit balance and revises the way the hotel use or occupancy tax will be calculated.

## LOCAL PROPERTY

P.L. 1990, c. 123, approved December 10, 1990, allows municipal governing bodies ninety days to enact an ordinance forgiving the property taxes of qualified religious organizations when the organization was unable to meet the filing deadline for the claim for exemption.
P.L. 1991, c.62, approved and effective March 14, 1991, amends C.18A:7D-3 by eliminating the necessity for districts to tax at their fair share in order to receive full state foundation aid and eliminating the requirement that school districts increase their tax levies over a five year period.
P.L. 1991, c.65, approved and effective March 15, 1991, makes certain changes in the definitions of the terms "qualified real property," "property tax reduction" and "base year" in the Tenants' Property Tax Rebate Act (C.54:4-6.3).
P.L. 1991, c.75, approved March 28, 1991, amends and supplements various portions of current statutory law to require a number of municipalities to adopt a state fiscal year (July 1 through June 30) for budgeting beginning July 1, 1991. The municipalities are those with a population of more than 35,000, or municipalities that received funds in either state fiscal year 1990 or 1991 under the Municipal Revitalization Program. Chapter 75 also contains certain provisions that affect the assessment process, such as: taxes will be billed June 14 and October 1 for municipalities operating on a state fiscal year basis for the local purpose budget only; the deadline for taxpayers filing appeals from their property assessments was moved from August 15 to April 1, effective in
tax year 1992; and, a taxpayer will have 45 days to appeal after issuance of a notification of an assessment change.
P.L. 1991, c.89, approved April 4, 1991, allows municipalities to employ a property tax quarterly installment leveling mechanism.
P.L. 1991, c.112, approved and effective April 19, 1991, permits certain cities that have not undergone a revaluation since 1970 to postpone the implementation of a revaluation of real property until January 1, 1992.
P.L. 1991, c.203, approved and effective July 12, 1991, increases the membership of boards of taxation in counties of the fifth class having a population of more than 500,000.

## PETROLEUM PRODUCTS GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

P.L. 1991, c.19, approved January 31, 1991, exempts the federal government from paying the petroleum products gross receipts tax and provides for reimbursement on the prior tax paid.
P.L. 1991, c.181, approved June 28, 1991, revised the petroleum gross receipts tax. Effective July 1, 1990, Chapter 181 exempts receipts from sales of petroleum products used by marine vessels engaged in interstate or foreign commerce and receipts from sales of aviation fuels used by common carriers in interstate or foreign commerce, other than the "burnout" portion. Effective July 1, 1991, Chapter 181 provides that the applicable tax rate for fuel oils, aviation fuels and motor fuels (including gasoline), shall be converted by an adjustment to a cents per gallon rate, which shall be $\$ .04$ per gallon through December 31, 1991; then a new rate through June 30, 1992 will be struck based on the November Board of Public Utilities survey. As of July 1, 1991, Chapter 181 also excludes from taxable gross receipts sales of asphalt and polymer grade propylene used in the manufacture of polypropylene. Also as of July 1, 1991, gross receipts from sales to the State of New Jersey, the United States government and the United Nations are not subject to the tax. Sales to qualified nonprofits under a written contract extending one year or longer are also not subject. In addition, Chapter 181 broadens the residential fuels exemption to include No. 4 and No. 6 heating oil and kerosene.

## PUBLIC UTILITY FRANCHISE/GROSS RECEIPTS TAX

P.L. 1991, c.184, approved June 30, 1991, revises the public utility franchise and gross receipts tax structure to establish a unit tax and revises the method and time of payment of those taxes. For 1992 through 1994, gas and electric corporations are subject to an annual franchise tax based on consumption rather than a flat tax based on a percentage of the overall price of the commodity. In addition, the time of payment of those taxes is changed under a revised collection schedule.

## SALES AND USE TAX

P.L. 1990, c.115, approved November 19, 1990, exempts from sales and use tax sales, rentals, or leases of commercial trucks, truck tractors, tractors, trailers or semitrailers and vehicles used in combination with them which are registered in New Jersey and:

1. have a gross vehicle weight rating in excess of 26,000 pounds; or
2. are operated actively and exlusively for the carriage of interstate freight
under a certificate or permit issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission; or
3. are registered as farm vehicles under the motor vehicle statute (N.J.S.A 39:3-24 and 25) and have a gross vehicle weight rating in excess of 18,000 pounds.
P.L. 1991, c.209, approved July 15, 1991, restores for disposable household paper products the sales and use tax exemption that had been repealed by P.L. 1990, c.40. The exemption is effective September 1, 1991.

## COURT DECISIONS

## CORPORATION BUSINESS TAX

Income From Share-Holdings In Publicly-Traded Corporations Was Not Includable In Net Worth And Investment Securities Were Not Includable In Net Worth—American Home v. Taxation Div. Director, 11 N.J. Tax 287 (Tax Ct. 1990).

This is a corporation business tax case wherein plaintiff, American Home Products Corporation (AHPC), seeks review of the Division of Taxation's determinations that: (1) dividend and capital gain income on its minority shareholdings in four publicly-treated corporations and all the interest income earned by AHPC in its investments in certain short-term securities are includible in AHPC in AHPC's entire net income in accordance with N.J.S.A. 54:10A-4(k) and -5(c) for tax years 1979 through 1981 and (2) the value of those dividend paying and interest-bearing investment securities is includible in AHPC's net worth in accordance with N.J.S.A. 54:10A-4(d) and -5(a) for tax year 1981.

The precise issue in this case is whether any part of the investments and the income therefrom may be apportioned to New Jersey pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:10A-6. AHPC's business operations are multi-state and multi-national. During the years in issue its business activities in New Jersey consisted of manufacturing and distribution from plants, distribution from warehouses and maintaining administrative and accounting offices. During the years in issue, AHPC held investments in shares of stock of publicly-traded corporations and in certificates of deposit, commercial paper, United States obligations, municipal bonds and obligations evidenced by repurchase agreements (public interest-bearing investment securities).

The investment securities, together with the interest, dividend and capital gain income earned thereon, were managed and controlled by AHPC from its New York corporation headquarters. Neither the investment securities nor the income therefrom were ever used by AHPC as security for borrowing, working or operating capital or for any other indebtedness. AHPC does not maintain separate segregated bank accounts for its investment income. There were no transfers of personnel, good, services or other property between AHPC and any of the dividend payors; nor was there any functional intregration between their respective business operations or any centralization of their respective managements. AHPC and the public dividend payors neither provided to each other nor shared any corporate or administrative services such as purchasing,
advertising, legal or accounting services, data processing, tax return preparation, banking, insurance or employee benefit plans. Neither AHPC nor any of the public dividend payors made loans or otherwise provided financial assistance to the other. With but two exceptions, AHPC and the public dividend payors had no common directors, officers or employees.

The Court concluded that the only relationship between AHPC's business operations and its out-of-state investment activities was the mere flow of funds arising out of a passive investment and, for that reason, they cannot be "unitary." The Tax Court held that the dividend and capital gain income included by the Division of Taxation in plaintiff's apportionable income base for all years in issue was non-apportionable to New Jersey. Likewise, the value of the shareholdings from which such income was derived was not includible in plaintiff's apportionable net worth base for 1981. However, AHPC conceded that a portion of its cash and cash equivalent accounts were part of its unitary income. Accordingly, the Tax Court determined that the taxable portion of its short-term investment portfolio and the income therefrom should be calculated by ascertaining the difference between the average weekly balance and the lowest weekly balance and then determining the percentage relationship between the difference and the average weekly balance.

For Multinational Corporation, It Was Proper To Include Capital Gain From Certain Stock Sales And Interest From Investing The Proceeds From The Sales, In The Company's Unitary Business Tax Base-Bendix Corp. v. Taxation Div. Director, 125 N.J. 20 (1991), aff'g 237 N.J. Super. 328 (App. Div., 1989), aff'g 10 N.J. Tax 46 (Tax Ct. 1988).

In this case, Bendix Corporation (Bendix) appealed decisions of the Tax Court and the Superior Court, Appellate Division, which had affirmed a corporation business tax assessment. The assessment resulted from the addition, by the Division of Taxation, of three times of income to Bendix's income for its fiscal year ended September 30, 1981. Bendix claimed that these three items of income could not be constitutionally included in the income tax measure of its corporation business tax liability. These three items were: (a) capital gain of approximately $\$ 211.5$ million realized on Bendix's sale of its $20.6 \%$ stock interest in Asarco, Inc. (Asarco); (b) capital gain of approximately $\$ 41.9$ million realized on Bendix's sale of stock in its wholly-owned subsidiary, United Geophysical Corporation (UGC); and (c) interest of approximately $\$ 3.4$ million earned on the proceeds of the sale of Bendix's interests in Asarco and UGC.

The issue was whether the State of New Jersey could include, in the income base of Bendix, capital gain income realized by Bendix on the sale of its interests in Asarco and UGC, and the interest income earned from the investment of the capital gains. The Superior Court had relied upon Bendix documents and acquisition history that demonstrated that the Company acquired other companies' stocks to achieve diversification. The stock had been bought and sold for corporate strategy reasons and as stepping stones to other acquisitions and not just for capacity to generate income. In affirming the lower courts, the Supreme Court emphasized that when the investments in affiliates that generate capital gains become an integral part of a corporation's business, such that they go beyond the passive corporate investment and have as their goals the growth and expansion of existing corporate business activities rather than just the providing of return on capital, a basis is established for making the income from those capital gains subject to a state's apportionable tax formula.

Regulation That Required Leased Property To Be Included In Property Fraction Was Valid-Brunswick Corp. v. Taxation Div. Director, No. 07-14-2199-90-CB (Tax Ct., May 21, 1991).

Taxpayer contested tax assessments made in regard to 1986 and 1987 corporation business tax returns. The assessments resulted from the Division of Taxation's modification of the allocation factor used by the taxpayer to calculate the portion of its income attributable to New Jersey. The Division had relied on N.J.A.C. 18:7-8.5(b) and (c), which makes leased property includible in the property fraction. The validity of that regulation was challenged by the taxpayer. However, the Tax Court held that the regulation was made within the director's authority to promulgate regulations and within the director's discretion to interpret the statutory language. The Court also held that the authority to promulgate such regulation was not an unlawful delegation of legislative authority.

Final Determination Letter Stating That Taxpayer Had 90 Days To Appeal To The Tax Court Was Not Confusing And Failure To So Appeal Within The 90 Days Was Grounds For Dismissal Of Appeal-Engle Investment Co. v. State of New Jersey Division of Taxation, No. 07-14-2269-90 (Tax Ct., Oct. 29, 1990).

Engle Investment Co. (the taxpayer) was assessed corporate business tax, penalty and interest for the fiscal year ending March 21, 1985. Following a conference, a final determination letter dated January 18, 1988, informed the taxpayer that "[i]n the event you disagree with this determination, you have ninety (90) days from the date of this letter to appeal the determination before the The Tax Court of New Jersey ..." Although the assessment was paid in full on March 14, 1988, a complaint was served on the Division of Taxation and the Attorney General on March 31, 1988 seeking a reduction in the tax assessment. No complaint was filed with the Tax Court until March 20, 1990.

The Division moved for summary judgment on the ground that the taxpayer's appeal was untimely under N.J.S.A. 54:51A-14, which provides that ". . all complaints shall be filed within 90 days after the date of action sought to be reviewed." In opposition to the Division's motion, the taxpayer argued that by serving a complaint upon the Division and upon the Attorney General it had shown the proper diligence which statutes of limitation were intended to insure. Service upon these offices instead of filing with the Tax Court, taxpayer maintained, was a good faith error occasioned by the ambiguity of the final determination letter. The Tax Court rejected the corporation's argument, explaining that the final determination letter clearly and specifically informed the taxpayer that appeal should be pursued in the Tax Court. Holding that the appeal was untimely, the Tax Court granted the Division of Taxation's motion for summary judgment and dismissed the corporation's complaint.

Safe-Harbor Lease Property Not Includable in Property Fraction-Reuben H. Donnelly Corporation v. New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Division of Taxation, Docket No. 07-14-0737-89CB (Tax Ct., August 17, 1990).

Plaintiff taxpayer, Reuben H. Donnelly Corporation, was the owner of machinery and equipment that it leased to other corporations in safe-harbor lease transactions under Internal Revenue Code Section 168(f)8. The taxpayer appealed the Director's determination to exclude the taxpayer's "safe-harbor leased" property from its property fraction for purposes of the Corporation Business Tax (CBT) for tax years 1983 and 1984. The taxpayer argued that amendments to the CBT Act in 1982 indicated a legislative intent to include
such property in the property fraction. The taxpayer pointed out that the 1982 amendment to N.J.S.A. 54:10A-6A mandated that the provisions concerning depreciation in N.J.S.A. 54:10A-4(k)(2)(F) be taken into account for the property fraction. As amended, N.J.S.A. 54:10A-4(k)(2)(F) has two parts: (F)(i), which excludes from income accelerated depreciation, and (F)(ii), which excludes all safe-harbor leases. The taxpayer argued that the purpose of the amendment to N.J.S.A. 54:10A-6A was to prevent a reduction in the value of property by the amount of both ACRS depreciation and depreciation for safe-harbor leased property. Therefore, the Legislature must have intended to include safe-harbor leased property in the property fraction.

But the court agreed with the Director's argument that the amendments demonstrated a legislative intent to nullify all effects of a safe-harbor lease transaction for CBT purposes. The court found that the reference in N.J.S.A. 10A-6A to "depreciation" in N.J.S.A. 54:10A-4(k)(2)(F) relates only to subsection $(F)(i)$ since that is the only subsection that speaks specifically of depreciation. The use of the word "deduction" in (F)(ii) means all deductions, other than depreciation, that are associated with safe-harbor leasing transactions. The Court also rejected the taxpayer's argument that the Director's interpretation constituted administrative rule-making. The taxpayer claimed the Director's interpretation changed prior policy reflected in N.J.A.C. 18:7-8.1 and was therefore invalid for failure to comply with the Administrative Procedure Act. The court found that the Director's apparent change in position was dictated by the 1982 CBT amendments, that N.J.A.C. 18:7-8.1 was not a definitional regulation for the property fraction, and that the Director's interpretation was plainly inferable from the 1982 amendments. Therefore, it was not necessary for the Director to adopt a regulation.

## Subsidiary Was Part Of Taxpayer's Unitary Business; Capital Gain And Interest Realized on Sale Of Stock In Subsidiary Was Includible In IncomeInternational Paper Co. v. Taxation Division Director, No. A-5183-89T5 (Super.

 Ct., App. Div., May 13, 1991), aff'g 11 N.J. Tax 147 (Tax Ct. 1990).International Paper Company ("IP") had appealed the Division of Taxation's denial of refund claims. IP had contended that two items of income were not properly includible in adjusted entire net income because the income was not earned as part of IP's unitary business. The first item of income was the capital gain and interest realized by IP on the sale of stock in its foreign subsidiary Canadian International Paper Company ("CIP'). The second item of income at issue was capital gain income realized by IP on the sale of stock in C.R. Bard, Inc. ("Bard").

The Tax Court had found that CIP was clearly part of the unitary business of IP. CIP was a $100 \%$ owned subsidiary and part of a functionally integrated enterprise. IP had control over CIP's board of directors and its capital expenditures, and former officers of IP were officers of CIP. There was a substantial flow of business between IP and CIP, and economies of scale were created through distribution and transportation agreements, making it possible for both companies to compete in broader markets more efficiently. CIP was not a passive investment.

On the second issue, however, the Tax Court had agreed with the taxpayer that IP and Bard were not part of a unitary enterprise because IP and Bard were not unitary and the investment in Bard was passive. The Court had found no evidence of centralization of management, functional integration or
economies of scale. IP had acquired the Bard shares incidental to its purchase of another company. Finally, the Tax Court had rejected IP's argument that the allocation factor was inflated because the demoninators of three fractions did not include CIP payroll, property and receipts. IP failed to prove that the allocation was out of all proportion to the business transacted in New Jersey. The Superior Court affirmed for the reasons given by the Tax Court.

Belridge Oil (A Closely-held Corporation) Was Not Part Of Mobil Corporation's Unitary Business Where Mobil Held Only A 17.9\% Interest And There Was No Centralization Of Management, No Economics Of Scale, No Sharing Of Value And Mobil Was Not In The Business Of Buying And Selling Businesses-Mobil Oil Corp. v. Taxation Div. Dir., 11 N.J. Tax 344 (Tax Ct., 1990).

Mobil Oil Corportion (Mobil) appealed a final determination by the Division of Taxation (Division) with respect to its 1980 corporation business tax return. The Director required Mobil to include in its adjusted entire net income the capital gain derived from its sale of a $17.9 \%$ interest in the Belridge Oil Company (Belridge). Mobil sold the Belridge stock in connection with the Belridge merger with an indirect subsidiary of Shell Oil Company. The issue was whether the investment in Belridge was active and part of its unitary business.

Mobil argued that its investment in Belridge was passive, lacking a sufficient unitary business relationship. In support, Mobil pointed out that it had only a $17.9 \%$ interest and did not control Belridge, which was in reality controlled by three families with a more than $50 \%$ interest, and that this lack of control was further evidenced by Mobil's involuntary sale of shares. Mobil also claimed that there was no functional intergration or other transfers of value between the two corporations, and that any exchanges of information and the granting of rights of way are commonplace within the industry. The Division argued that Mobil's investment in Belridge was active. The Division referred to the fact that the Mobil and Belridge were in the same business and their oil fields shared a common boundary. The Division also argued that Mobil's retention of the Belridge shares was part of its overall corporate strategy to acquire proven oil and gas reserves.

In analyzing the pertinent facts, the Tax Court noted that there was no centralized management or purchasing between Mobil and Belridge, and no other financial assistance was provided by one party to the other. Neither party provided advice or rendered services to the other. There were no common officers, employees or management and no transfers of personnel between the parties. There were no shared administrative functions or facilities. Mobil and Belridge did not use any of the same patents. Each had its own separate recruiting and training policies, union agreements, law firms, accounting firms, and pension and other employee benefit and welfare plans. Mobil did not control Belridge or any of its operations. At no time during Mobil's ownership of Belridge shares did Mobil use those shares as security for working capital or for any other credit purpose.

The Court found that Mobil and Belridge were not functionally integrated and Belridge was not part of the unitary business of Mobil. The Court emphasized that there was no centralization of management, no economics of scale and no sharing of value. It also stressed that Mobil was not in the business of buying and selling businesses. The Court directed that the gain from the sale of the Belridge stock should not be included in Mobil's income apportionable to New Jersey.

## GROSS INCOME TAX

Taxpayer Who Had \$12,000,000 In Gross Volume For Securities Trading, But Whose Purpose Was to Manage His Personal Estate, And Who Did Not Have Separate Bank Accounts And Office, Was Not Engaged In A Busi-ness-Gilligan v. Director, Div. of Taxation, Docket No. 11-10-1620-89GI, (Tax Ct., Jan. 1991).

Taxpayers, Mr. and Mrs. Gilligan, appealed a gross income tax assessment for tax year 1987. At issue was the deductibility of margin interest and other expenses associated with the buying and selling of securities. Mr. Gilligan, upon retirement from a position in the computer industry, began personal, full-time management of his own stock portfolio. Mr. Gilligan asserted he was engaged in a business or profession within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 54A:5-1(b), under which margin interest and other expenses could be used to reduce business income.

The Tax Court held that, although Mr. Gilligan was engaged in his activities full-time, with a $\$ 12,000,000$ gross volume of securities bought and sold in 1987, his activities did not constitute a trade or business. The Court relied on such facts as he maintained no separate office outside his home, he dealt through a single stockbroker, he has an average of three or four broker contacts a day (and even fewer trades) and he had no separate bank account and no employees. Further, his research consisted primarily of reviewing secondary sources, his purchases were held on average more than eight months and his purpose was to enhance the return on his personal estate by investing in higherrisk securities. The Court concluded that the activities had the characteristics of management of a personal estate, rather than the characteristics associated with the operation of a business. Accordingly, the margin interest and expenses were not deductible and the Director's assessment was affirmed.

Portion Of Distribution From Profit Sharing Plan That Was Attributable To Services Rendered Outside New Jersey Was Not New Jersey Source Income And, Thus, Was Not Taxable To A Nonresident-McDonald v. Director, Division of Taxation, No. A-1392-89T3 (Sup. Ct., App. Div. April 12, 1991).

Plaintiffs, Mr. and Mrs. McDonald, were assessed gross income tax on a retirement distribution of $\$ 6,460,999$ from Mr. McDonald's profit sharing plan. They appeal the Tax Court decision, which held that they were nonresident taxpayers for 1985, but that the entire lump sum distribution was taxable to them as nonresidents.

On appeal, plaintiffs first contend that the excess of the lump sum distribution over employer contributions is not New Jersey source income and, therefore, not taxable. Second, plaintiffs maintain that the portions of the employer's contributions to the plan and other deferred income which were attributable to services rendered outside New Jersey are not New Jersey source income and are not subject to tax. Third, plaintiffs assert that the amount credited to the plan prior to, and vested as of, July 1, 1976 (the effective date of the Gross Income Tax Act) was "earned" prior to the effective date of the act and, thus, was not subject to tax. Fourth, the plaintiffs argue that there was insufficient nexus with New Jersey. These were the same issues presented to the Tax Court and decided adversely to plaintiffs.

The Superior Court found merit only in plaintiffs' second contention. Thus, the Court held that the portion of the employer's contributions to the profit sharing plan and other deferred income attributable to services rendered by
the taxpayer outside of New Jersey is not New Jersey source income and, thus, is not subject to New Jersey gross income tax. The Court affirmed the remainder of the Tax Court's judgment for the reasons stated in the Tax Court opinion. The Superior Court remanded the matter to the Tax Court for further proceedings on the allocation issue.

## INSURANCE TAX

FAIR AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE REFORM TAX—FAIR Tax on Attorneys Is Constitutional-N.J. State Bar Ass'n v. Berman, 11 N.J. Tax 433 (Tax Ct., 1991).

The Fair Automobile Insurance Reform Act of 1990 (FAIR Act) imposed on attorneys a $\$ 100$ annual fee (for 7 years) to help reduce a deficit incurred for the Automobile Full Insurance Underwriting Association. The FAIR Act taxes certain classes of people who benefit from the automobile insurance system. The New Jersey State Bar Association filed suit to contest the tax as contrary to the U.S. and New Jersey constitutions. On the issue of equal protection, the Court held that the tax was constitutional because it was not illegally discriminatory, it was related to achieving a governmental objective and there were rational bases for the chosen classifications. On the issue of special legislation, the Court held that the tax was not contrary to the New Jersey Constitution's special legislation clause, because the tax does not arbitrarily distinguish between similarly-situated persons. On the issue of violating due process rights, the Court upheld the tax because the law's standards for determining the members of the class were clear enough and the law's omission of refund provisions was not facially unconstitutional. Last, on the issue of separation of powers, the Court upheld the tax on the basis of the Court's determination that the tax was not regulatory in nature. Thus, the Court held the FAIR Act to be constitutional.

## LOCAL PROPERTY TAX

Storage Tanks And Certain Chemical Process Equipment Were Real Property-Badische Corp. v. Town of Kearny, 11 N.J. Tax 385 (Tax Ct., 1990).

Badische Corp. seeks review of a 1988 assessment. At issue is the true value of the property, the taxable status of certain machinery, equipment and storage tanks and Chapter 123 relief. The property is an owner-occupied chemical plant consisting of 27 buildings, 73 storage tanks and improvements such as water and steam lines and fire hydrants. The disputed items of machinery are items used in esterification (a chemical process) and components of a steam turbine generator. The disputed point for valuation was ecomonic obsolescence (which the Court held to be $23 \%$ ). The Court also held: (1) the lines, hydrants, a truck scale, steel stacks, a bulkhead and some boiler units are improvements to be included in valuation and (2) the 73 storage tanks were real property to be valued using age-life schedules. Further, the court found that the seven items of machinery and equipment in the batch ester building, used in esterification, were special purpose real property. Last, the Court held that Badische was entitled to Chapter 123 relief and applied the Division of Taxation's average ratio for 1988 (77.79\%).

[^16]Taxpayers contested the 1988 and 1989 real property tax assessments on the ten-building headquarters complex of Beneficial Management Corporation in Peapack and Gladstone Borough. The complex is a village-style complex of Italian Palladian architecture. Little expense was spared to provide a pleasing and unusual atmosphere. Only valuation was in issue. The Tax Court held as follows. Due to its unique design and the use of costly materials and specialized labor, this property cannot be valued by the income approach because the rent to be attributed to this owner-occupied building is purely speculative. The property cannot be valued by the sales comparison approach because it is quite different from office buildings that have sold in the market. The most reliable indicator of value was actual cost of construction, trended up to applicable assessment dates. Costs for delay and overtime could not be deducted from the property's value becuase they are customary for a project of this magnitude. Similarly, the food service equipment and mail handling system costs could not be deducted from the property value because they are part of the real property. For the costs for planners, architects, designers, engineers, consultants, etc. and insurance, and the financing charges, taxes and interest and legal fees, costs must be adjusted up by $12 \%$. Ten percent must be added for entrepreneurial profit. The boilers, turbines and related equipment in the special purpose co-generation building are real property and, therefore, the costs for them had to be added in for calculating the value. The property was to be assessed according to these cost determinations.

In Farmland Assessment Case, Municipality Can Present Evidence Concerning A Zoning Violation-Cheyenne Corp. v. Byram Twp., 248 N.J. Super. 588, (App. Div. 1991), aff'g 10 N.J. Tax 412 (Tax Ct., June 1989).

In this farmland assessment case, Byram Township had contended that Cheyenne Corporation's failure to comply with zoning regulations constituted a bar to receiving an assessment of property as farmland. The issue had been whether the use of land in a manner not expressly permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance precluded qualification of such land for farmland assessment. The Tax Court had held that lawful use is not a requirement for farmland assessment qualification. The Tax Court had explained that the town may establish alleged zoning violations in a separate antecedent action, and, also, that the Township had not undertaken an action to enjoin Cheyenne's activities or to prosecute Cheyenne. Emphasizing that the Tax Court should not passively lend its aid to a taxpayer's zoning noncompliance, the Superior Court, Appellate Division reversed the decision of the Tax Court. The Court also held that amounts earned from the agricultural use of contiguous tracts of land owned by different corporate entities cannot be combined to satisfy the minimum average income requirement for farmland assessment. The Superior Court held that the Township should not have been barred from presenting evidence that agricultural use was prohibited. The Court remanded the case for further proceedings.

Property Owner Was Estopped From Raising Issue Of Failure To Provide Formal Notice Of Foreclosure-City of Newark v. (497) Block 1854, 244 N.J. Super. 402 (App. Div., Nov. 1990)

Chiquita Realty, Inc., appealed from a decision of the Superior Court, Chancery Division, which denied a motion to vacate a judgment that foreclosed Chiquita's equity of redemption in two parcels of real estate. The facts were that Chiquita had bought the lots in October of 1984, knowing that there were unpaid property taxes. The City foreclosed Chiquita's equity of redemption in

October of 1985. The sole shareholder of Chiquita, Mr. Powlett, saw the parcels listed in an invitation for bids in May of 1986 and successfully bid on the parcels at the auction. However, since he failed to pay the amount needed to close, the lots were again offered for sale in August of 1988. At that auction, a Mr. Foglia successfully bid on the lots, after which he spent time and over \$15,000 in investments in anticipation of using the land for a soft-drink distribution business. The Chancery Court, emphasizing that Chiquita had waited almost three years between learning that the city foreclosed on the properties and bringing suit, had held that Chiquita should be estopped from raising the issue of Newark's failure to provide formal notice of foreclosure. The Superior Court, Appellate Division, affirmed the decision of the Chancery Court.

Municipality Must File Counterclaim To Tax Appeal Within 20 Days Of Taxpayer's Service Of Complaint-Cumberland Hold. Co. v. Vineland, 11 N.J. Tax 457 (Tax Ct. 1991).

The municipality of Vineland sought to file, out-of-time, counterclaims to property tax appeals filed against it. The appeals were filed on August 13, 1990. N.J.S.A. 54:3-21 required the municipality to file within 20 days from the date of service, if it wanted to file a cross-petition of appeal or a counterclaim. The Tax Court held that the time period of 20 days is a nonmodifiable jurisdictional requirement, and because it is a statutory time period, the doctrine of relaxation of court rules is not applicable. A county board of taxation's action, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:3-21.4, extending the time for a taxpayer to appeal to the county board does not extend the statutory time limit to file direct appeals to the Tax Court.

Where Property Owner Had Deeded Subdivided Property To Builder, With Agreement That The Deed Would Be Recorded Only After The Subdivision Was Approved, The Grantor Was The "Owner" Prior To The Approval And, Thus, Was The Only Proper To Apply For Farmland Assessment—Dautell Builders v. Franklin Bor., 11 N.J. Tax 353 (Tax Ct. 1990).

The taxpayer seeks review of the Franklin Borough assessor's denial of farmland assessment for 1988. The sole issue was whether the application for farmland assessment was filed by the owner of the land, as required by N.J.S.A. $54: 4-23.6$ (c). The land at issue is 40.4 acres, which had been part of a 108 acre tract owned by Mr. and Mrs. Fasolo. The Fasolos had filed an application for farmland assessment for both tracts of land on July 21, 1987 for tax year 1988. A problem arises because the Fasolos had deeded the 40 acre lot to the taxpayer on June 5, 1986. However, on that date, Dautell Builders and the Fasolos had agreed that the deed would not be recorded until the subdivision had been approved by the borough, which did not approve the subdivision until October 28, 1987. The tax assessor denied the application for farmland assessment on the basis that Dautell Builders was the owner and, therefore, was the only party that could file the application. The Court, however, disagreed and found that the Fasolos were still the owners as of July 21, 1987 (the application date) because the parties intended that title should not pass until the subdivision had been approved (which was October 28, 1987). The denial of farmland assessment was reversed.

Taxpayer's Appeal Of An Assessment For 1988 (Which Was Not A Revaluation Year), Court Could Increase The Assessment Based On True Value, Despite Taxing District's Failure To Counterclaim For An IncreaseGabrellian \& Jessourian v. Oakland, 11 N.J. Tax (Tax Ct., Sept. 1990).

This is a local property tax case wherein plaintiff seeks direct review, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:3-21, of the 1988 assessment on property located in Oakland. At issue were the true value of the subject property and the applicability of N.J.S.A. 54:51A-6, commonly referred to as Chapter 123. The year 1988 was not a revaluation year. The subject of the controversy was a neighborhood shopping center containing a Sears bank building and five satellite stores. The court held as follows: (1) plaintiff's market data approach was not probative because shopping centers are not similar enough to provide market data on sales of similar properties; (2) plaintiff's income approach data was flawed, for reasons such as not providing income data for three out of four comparables; and (3) here, where 1988 was not a revaluation year, the Court could increase the assessment based on its findings of true value exceeding assessed value, even though the taxing district had filed no counterclaim seeking an increase.

Approvals For Construction Of An Office Building, Did Not Cause "Leased" Property To Lose Its Exempt Status-Hoboken v. Stevens Institute, 247 N.J. Super. 215 (App. Div., 1991), aff'g 11 N.J. Tax 70 (Tax. Ct., 1990).

This local property tax exemption case involved land of the Trustees of Stevens Institute, an educational institution. The issue was whether certain agreements between the Institute and 777 Sinatra Drive Corporation (the Corporation) resulted in a loss of exemption from property tax. The agreement was referred to as a lease and used leasing terms. The "leased" property included a "physical plant building," which would continue to be used by the Institute until a new one was completed by the Corporation. The Corporation intended to use the land and the planned physical plant building in connection with a proposed office building. The agreement provided that the Corporation could terminate the lease if the Corporation failed to obtain Town approvals to build the plant and office buildings; if terminated, the Institute would refund $\$ 500,000$ of the "prepaid Fixed Rent" amount of $\$ 600,000$. The Tax Court had held that the Institute's property continued to retain its tax exempt status. The Superior Court, Appellate Division, affirmed and held that the buildings and the land continued to be exempt from property tax.

[^17]
#### Abstract

Nursing Home Did Not Fall Within Hospital Exemption-Intercare Health Sys. v. Cedar Grove Tp., 11 N.J. Tax 423 (Tax Ct., 1990).

Intercare Health Systems, Inc., is a nonprofit corporation that controls a 113 bed nursing home. Intercare appealed from the denial of a local property exemption for the facility. The issue was whether the facility fell within the property tax exemption for buildings used for hospital purposes. The Tax Court noted that the pertinent rule, N.J.A.C. 8:43-1.2, defines a hospital as a facility that provides acute care services and convalescent or other medical and nursing care. The Court distinguished this type of facility from a nursing home. Emphasizing that the relationship that the home had with various hospitals was cooperative rather than integral, the Court held that the home did not fall within the hospital exemption.


On Appeal Of Rejection Of Farmland Assessment, Taxpayer In This Case Could Not Claim An Agricultural Use Different From That Claimed In Farmland Assessment Application - Interstate 78 Off. Pk., Ltd., v. Tewksbury Tp., 11 N.J. Tax 172 (Tax Ct., 1990).

This case involves appeals from denials of farmland assessments on property in Tewksbury Township for tax years 1985, 1986 and 1987. The 1985 and 1986 applications for farmland assessment claimed qualification on an alleged hayfield of 14 acres which produced incomes of $\$ 1,200$ for 1985 and $\$ 1,390$ for 1986. The applications did not make any claim of an agricultural use based on breeding and selling horses. However, on appeal, the land owner sought to rely upon a claim based on breeding and selling horses.

The Tax Court held that the tax assessor was entitled to rely on the claim asserted in the application for assessment. The Court rejected the land owner's assertion of a use different from that claimed in its application for assessment where, as here, the assessor had based his rejection of farmland assessment on the representations in the application. The Court held that actual use was not the sole criteria for determining farmland qualification. The 1985 and 1986 claims being tested solely on the basis of hay production, the Court held that the landowner had not produced sufficient evidence to support those claims. There was insufficient evidence of qualification based on hay field use because: (1) the assessor had measured the hay field at less than 5 acres and (2) there was also no evidence to support the claimed income figures.

Although the 1987 application had claimed sales of horses as the basis for farmland assessment, the Court found the evidence failed to demonstrate that the business was the breeding and selling of horses, rather than just the boarding of horses. Thus, the Court also affirmed the assessor's denial of the 1987 application for farmland assessment.

## Purchaser Of Tax Sale Certificate Could Not Rescind The Sale On The Basis Of Fraud Or Mutual Mistake-Kahn Pension Plan v. Moorestown Tp.,

 237 N.J. Super. 328 (Chan. Div., 1990).The Barry L. Kahn Defined Benefit Pension Plan ('Pension Plan'") in 1984 purchased a tax sale certificate covering an industrial property in Moorestown. The Plan also paid later taxes. However, it soon appeared that the property was contaminated with hazardous materials, with potential clean-up costs being $\$ 1.9$ million. The Pension Plan had made the later tax payment even though the Tax Collector advised it of rumors concerning the contamination. The Pension Plan brought suit against Moorestown to rescind the tax sale certificate and for other relief. The Pension Plan alleged fraud, equitable fraud, mutual
mistake and violations of the Environmental Cleanup Responsibility Act (ECRA) and the Consumer Fraud Act. The Court found against the Pension Plan on the fraud and mutual mistake issues on the basis that a certificate purchaser assumes certain risks. On the ECRA issue, the Court explained that ECRA would not apply to a Township's sale of a certificate, because it is a transfer of a lien and not of real property. Similarly, the Consumer Fraud Act did not apply because there was no sale of merchandise or real estate. The Court held that the Pension Plan's complaint must be dismissed.

Appeal Concerning Equallzation Table Was Untlmely And An Alleged Loss of $\$ 41,000$ In School Ald Was Insufflclent To Warrant Walving The Appeal Deadline-Kearny v. Taxation Div. Director, 11 N.J. Tax 232 (Tax Ct., Aug., 1990).

This decision involved two cases challenging the Division of Taxation's 1989 equalization table. The appeals challenged the inclusion of an added assessment of $\$ 139,600,000$ for railroad property in Kearny.

The Director of the Division of Taxation moved to dismiss the complaints, since they were filed approximately four months beyond the statutory filing period provided by N.J.S.A. 54:51A-4(c). In opposing the director's motion, plaintiffs argued: (1) that the Kearny mayor did not receive a certified copy of the table in accordance with N.J.S.A. 54:1-35.1; (2) that the Director was estopped from raising the defense of untimely filing because communications between the treasurer of Kearny and the county tax administrator should be read to imply that the reduced assessment would be reflected in the table; and (3) the general powers of equity and the provisions of N.J.S.A. 54:51A-4(c) permit the court to entertain jurisdiction at this time, where the error alleged is of the magnitude here involved.

The Court held against the plaintiffs on all the arguments because: (1) the Director had sent the table to the Mayor by certified mail; (2) the plaintiffs failed to show that the requirements for estoppel had been met; and (3) the allegedly erroneous inclusion only resulted in a $\$ 41,000$ school aid loss, which was not of a magnitude to warrant the Court "waiving" the normal appeal deadline. The Director's motion to dismiss the complaints as untimely was granted.

[^18][^19]Morristown v. Woman's Club, 124 N.J. 605 (1991), aff'g 242 N.J. Super. 654 (App. Div. 1990), rev'g 10 N.J. Tax 309 (Tax Ct., 1989).

The Woman's Club of Morristown ("Woman's Club") had appealed from a determination of the Tax Court, holding that, because the subject property is used for commercial purposes, it did not qualify for exemption from local property taxation pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:4-3.52. Under the plain language of the statute, there are only two requirements for exemption: (1) ownership by a non-profit corporation, and (2) certification of the property as a historical site by the Department of Environmental Protection. The Woman's Club claimed that, under the plain language of N.J.S.A. 54:4-3.52, the property is tax exempt irrespective of its use. The Town of Morristown ("Morristown") asserted that the statutory exemption is unconstitutional because it grants an exemption based upon the status of the property owner, not the use of the property. The Attorney General, as intervenor, contended that the statute can be construed as constitutional if the property is used, to some degree, for a non-profit, noncommercial purpose.

The Superior Court had agreed with the premise that tax exemption statutes based upon the personal status of the owner, as opposed to the use of the property, run afoul of the New Jersey Constitution. However, the Superior Court had concluded that a statute providing an exemption, even from real property taxation, can be adopted when it plainly appears to advance purposes generally beneficial to society as a whole, unrelated to a particular industry or the status of the taxpayer. Recognition of such exemptions would appear to be in accord with the history and tradition that surround this power of exemption. Finding that the statute does not give preferential treatment to a particular industry, the Superior Court had held the statute constitutional without adding a use requirement. Accordingly, the Superior Court had reversed the judgment of the Tax Court. The Supreme Court agreed with the Superior Court's conclusions and affirmed.

[^20]depreciating government regulation. The Superior Court found that the resale restriction must be considered in fixing the assessment. The Supreme Court affirmed for the reasons expressed by the Superior Court.

Using Land Assessment As Evidence of Land Value Is UnacceptableNational Westminster v. Brigantine, 11 N.J. Tax 502 (Tax Ct., 1991).

This local property tax case involved valuation issues for tax year 1989. The property includes a bank building. The taxpayer sought a reduction in assessment. The Tax Court holdings were: (1) the use of land assessment as evidence of land value is unacceptable; (2) the income approach to value cannot be used in absence of proof establishing economic rent; and (3) sales of property zoned differently from the subject property cannot be used to determine property value unless appropriate adjustment is made.
U.S. Housing And Urban Development Subsidy Is Not Part Of "Annual Gross Rents" For Calculating Payments In Lieu Of Property Taxes-RieseSt. Gerald Hous. v. Paterson, 249 N.J. Super. 205 (App. Div., 1991).

This appeal involved construing a section of the Senior Citizens Nonprofit Rental Housing Tax Law, which authorizes municipalities to exempt from real property taxes nonprofit housing projects for the elderly. The law requires that nonprofit housing projects pay 15\% of their "annual gross rents" in lieu of property taxes. The tax issue was whether a subsidy provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development under 42 U.S.C.A., §1437f (1990) ("section 8") should be considered to be part of "annual gross rents." The Superior Court, Appellate Division, affirmed the decision of the Superior Court, Law Division, that the section 8 subsidy was not part of "annual gross rents."

Tax Court Had Exclusive Jurisdiction Where Township Had Appealed To County Board of Taxation On Same Day That Taxpayer Filed Complaint With Tax Court-Shav Assoc. v. Middletown Tp., 11 N.J. Tax 569 (Tax Ct., 1991).

This case involves a local property tax matter for tax year 1989. A three story masonry office building is on the property. The issues involved jurisdiction, valuation and discrimination. On the jurisdictional issue, the Court held that where township petition of appeal is filed with county board of taxation and timely direct appeal is filed by the taxpayer with the Tax Court for the same property for the same year, N.J.S.A. 54:3-31 vests exclusive jurisdiction over the matter in the Tax Court. On the discrimination issue, the Court held that the original assessment, not the county tax board judgment, is used as the basis when considering a taxpayer's claim for discrimination relief under Chapter 123. On the valuation issue, it is not necessary for funds actually to be set aside for reserve for replacements to be recognized as an expense item.

A Judgment Under R.4:50-1 That Vacated Foreclosure Judgment Resulted In Owner Of Bargain And Sale Deed Not Having Good TitleSonderman v. Remington Const. Co., 244 N.J. Super. 611 (App. Div., 1990).

Mr. Sonderman brought this appeal of a decision by the Superior Court, Chancery Division, denying his action to quiet title to property in the Township of Jackson. The Chancery Division Court had made its judgment subject to the requirement that the Township return the purchase price paid by Sonderman.

The facts were as follows:

1. Lot 15 had been owned by Remington prior to June 29, 1983.
2. As a result of an in rem tax foreclosure, a final judgment was entered on June 29, 1983, which provided inter alia that ownership of Lot 15 passed to the Township.
3. Following acceptance of his bid at public sale in November 1983, Sonderman purchased the property from the Township for $\$ 13,600$, received a bargain and sale deed on November 19, 1984, and duly recorded the same.
4. Prior to the November closing, an order had been entered on April 9, 1984 in the Chancery Division, Superior Court of Ocean County, on a R.4:50-1 application by Remington, vacating that portion of the prior in rem judgment which vested title to Lot 15 in the Township, deleted it from the judgment, and declaring that title to said premises was vested in Remington Construction Co., Inc.
Absent conduct by parties giving rise to estoppel, the bargain and sale deed given by the municipality was voided by the 1984 judgment and did not convey good title. It may not be enforced in a quiet title action against the original owner, even though the correcting judgment has been filed only with the clerk of the Superior Court and the county clerk as a judgment of record. Diligent title search by the purchaser should include a judgment search through the date of conveyance against the conveying municipality, particularly in light of recent opinions under R.4:50-1 which have set aside foreclosures for various equitable reasons. The Chancery Division decision was affirmed by the Superior Court, Appellate Division.

Where Taxpayers Failed To Respond To The Assessor's Request For Income Data, And Taxpayer Stipulated To The Reasonableness Of The Valuation, A Judgment Of Dismissal, Rather Than A Judgment Affirming The Assessment Should Be Entered-Southgate Realty v. Bordentown Tp., 246 N.J. Super. 149 (App. Div., 1991).

Defendant Bordentown Township appealed from a "judgment of affirmance" entered by the Tax Court in response to Bordentown's motion to dismiss plaintiff Southgate Realty Associates' tax appeal. The motion had been made pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:4-34 commonly referred to as "Chapter 91" (L. 1979, c.91, §1). Chapter 91 provides that "[n]o appeal shall be heard" from the tax assessor's valuation with respect to income-producing property, where the owner fails to respond to a request by the assessor for income and expense data within 45 days of such request. The issue raised was whether, when the taxpayer concedes that it did not comply with the assessor's request and there is no question as to the reasonableness of the assessor's valuation, a judgment should be entered affirming the assessment or whether the judgment should dismiss the appeal. A judgment affirming the assessment may trigger application of the Freeze Act, N.J.S.A. 54:51A-8. The Freeze Act provides that when a "final judgment" has been entered by the Tax Court, it is conclusive for the assessment year and for the two successive years, except as to changes in the value of the property occurring after the assessment date. The Superior Court held that the Tax Court should have dismissed Southgate's appeal. The case was remanded to the Tax Court for the entry of a judgment of dismissal.

Unilateral Withdrawal Of A Complaint Does Not Give Rise To Freeze Act Protection-Union City Assoc. v. Union City, 247 N.J. Super. 249 (App. Div., 1991), aff'g 10 N.J. Tax 581 (Tax Ct. 1989).

Union City Associates (Associates) challenged th dismissal of its complaint
seeking reduction of its 1985 assessment. It claimed that the assessment was precluded by the Freeze Act, N.J.S.A. 54:51A-8 and, in any event, constituted an illegal "spot assessment" in violation of N.J. Constitution (1947) Art. VIII, §1, par. 1(a). The Tax Court dismissed the complaint, ruling that: (1) the "spot assessment" claim was precluded by the taxpayer's failure to pursue it in a prior action, and (2) the prior Tax Court judgment based on the unilateral withdrawal of a challenge to a prior assessment did not give rise to Freeze Act protection. The Superior Court, Appellate Division, affirmed. The Superior Court held that the unilateral withdrawal of a complaint, which could occur without the taxing district's opportunity to be heard on the merits or to state its view as to the propriety of an assessment or settlement, cannot be deemed an adjudication or resolution warranting Freeze Act protection. On the spot assessment claim, the Superior Court explained that Associates could have asserted the spot assessment claim in a timely fashion in the initial complaint challenging the 1985 assesment, or even by an expeditious new complaint after its 1986 motion was denied, or when it appealed the dismissal of the prior Freeze Act claim based on the 1983 Hudson County Tax Board judgment.

## Allegations Of Value Change Based On Property Owner Remedying

 Environmental Problems, Changing Use To Income Property, And Making Capital Improvments Were Sufficient For Case To Proceed To Full HearingUnion Min. \& Alloys Corp. v. Kearny, 11 N.J. Tax 280 (Tax Ct., Aug. 1990).This is a local property tax case wherein defendant, Town of Kearny, contested plaintiff's motion for freeze act relief for tax years 1986 and 1987. The motion is also tantamount to a motion to dismiss defendant's freeze act avoidance complaint, on the ground that the town's allegations are insufficient as a matter of law to prevent freeze act relief.

The Tax Court proceeding for the base year of 1985 eventuated in a judgment entered pursuant to settlement. The freeze act, N.J.S.A. 54:51A-8, permits the taxpayer, at its option to freeze the assessment at the level of the base year Tax Court judgment for the two tax years immediately following the base year, absent a revaluation of the entire taxing district or a change in value of the affected property.

The Court explained that the municipality must set forth the nature of the changes in value relied upon, to defeat plaintiff's motion for application of the freeze. The municipality's first allegation of value change was based on expenditures made to remedy environmental problems. The municipality's second allegation of value change was that the property changed from owner-occupied to income property, with numerous leases having been signed by tenants. The third allegation was that a partial lease-up of one building resulted in an increase in value. The municipality's last allegation was that $\$ 1$ million spent on the property for capital improvement had increased the value. The Court held that the municipality was entitled to have a hearing on all the alleged bases of value increase except the third one, which concerned the lease-up of one of the buildings. On that allegation, the Court explained that a mere increase in tenant occupancy unrelated to a change in market conditions does not result in the change in value contemplated by the freeze act. The Court held that the case would proceed to a full hearing.

Suburban Bank Involved In This Case Was Not A Special Purpose Property-United Jersey Bk. v. Lincoln Park Bor., 11 N.J. Tax 549 (Tax Ct., 1991).

This property tax appeal case concerns property located in the Borough of Lincoln Park. Plaintiff, United Jersey Bank (UJB), as tenant, was required by the lease to pay real estate taxes. UJB asserted that the assessment exceeded the true value of the property and was discriminatory. The Court's holdings were: (1) the property should be valued exclusively by a capitalization of the income producible from its current use; (2) a suburban bank branch of the subject's age, size and layout should not be considered a special purpose property; (3) recognition of a value for the excess land area of 15,540 square feet was improper; (4) the appropriate net rent for valuation was $\$ 21$ a square foot; (5) an overall capitalization rate of $10 \%$ based on $70 \%$ financing at $11 \%$ over 25 years and an equity dividend rate of $5 \%$ was appropriate; and (6) UJB proved entitlement to an assessment equal to the true value multiplied by the average ratio.

For Income-Approach Valuation, Rent Concessions Should Be Considered; And An Expense For Replacement Reserves Should Be Allowed, Despite Failure To Actually Set Aside A Reserve-River Office Eqs. v. Middletown Tp., 11 N.J. Tax 404 (Tax Ct., 1990).

Taxpayer appeals a property tax assessment for property containing an office building. The income approach was used for valuation. The Court found that a soft market for office space necessitated rent concessions, which should be considered in arriving at economic rent. The Court also allowed the taxpayer an expense item of reserves for replacement, even though the taxpayer had not set aside funds for each year to replace building components. The Court also found that an expense item for a commission allowance, or a reduction in rental income received, is proper; however, expense items for office expenses and advertising were not proper.

Constructive Trust Should Be Imposed In Favor Of Purchaser Of Tax Sale Certificate When Heirs Intervened Through An Heir Hunter-Wattles v. Plotts, 120 N.J. 444 (1990).

Plaintiff, Gordon Wattles, instituted an action to foreclose a tax-sale certificate on a 6.21-acre parcel of vacant land. After entry of a default against "unknown owners," but before the entry of judgment, an heir hunter, National Asset Recovery (National), discovered out-of-state heirs of the last record owner, Edward Plotts. National entered into an agreement with the Plotts heirs. Under the agreement, if the heirs were successful in upsetting the tax foreclosure and in obtaining title, National could sell the property and divide the net profits with the heirs, after reimbursing itself for its expenses. In the decisions below, the lower courts sustained the rights of the heirs to redeem the property.

The Supreme Court held that the heirs could redeem the property. However, the Court imposed a constructive trust in favor of Wattles on National's interest. Under the constructive trust approach, on agreement to the terms of the contract between National and the Plotts heirs (including payment of fifty percent of the net proceeds to the heirs after a sale), Wattles shall succeed to National's rights, including the right to sell the property at his discretion. The heirs shall retain their interest under the contract, including their right to redeem, their right to fifty per cent of the profits after expenses, and their right to match a bonafide offer. Before distributing the sale proceeds, Wattles and the heirs shall reimburse National for its reasonable expenses under the contract. The judgment of the Appellate Division (230 N.J. Super. 254, 553 A.2d 365) was modified, and the matter was remanded to the Chancery Division.


#### Abstract

Assessments On Condominium Units Did Not Constitute Spot Assessments-Wedgewood Knolls v. West Paterson Bor., 11 N.J. Tax 514 (Tax Ct., 1991).

These consolidated cases involved condominium unit owners appealing 1988 and 1989 property tax assessments. Wedgewood Knolls is a townhousestyle residential condominium complex comprised of 182 units, plus common elements. The developer and the municipality's assessor reached an agreement in 1986 to set the assessments on completed units at 66\% of their market values, which were determined on the basis of offering prices and some early sales.

On the issue of valuation, the Tax Court held that the taxpayers' expert did not estimate the true value of each condominium unit here involved. The expert had averaged the sale price of all units sold (whether or not the unit was the subject of a tax appeal), from the initial sales in 1986 to sales through June 30, 1990, including resales but excluding the auction sales. He arrived at average sale prices on the basis of unit models, sales of units under appeal, and sales by years. The Court concluded that the expert's methodology was without merit. To begin with, the best evidence of the true value of each condominium unit involved in these appeals was the price for which it was sold, adjusted for time when appropriate. Second, the expert's method ignored the requirement of valuing as of October 1 of the pretax year and failed to consider the effect of appreciation, as reflected in price changes, over the multi-year averaging period. Third, the expert's approach presupposed a fungibility within each category of unit model which had not been shown to exist.

The Tax Court also held against the taxpayers on their spot assessment claim. The Court explained that the assessments complained of were determined $a b$ initio on the basis of market values to be reflected in anticipated sales. No unit was singled out for an assessment increase following a sale of such unit. In the alternative, plaintiffs had argued that discrimination in the assessments of their units was shown by comparing the average assessment-to-value ratios of their properties to the average assessment-to-value ratios of Class 2 ( 1 to 4 family residential) properties as reflected in sales for the sampling periods 1986-87 and 1987-88. On the discrimination claim, the Court held that the unit owners did not demonstrate a right of assessment discrimination relief beyond that provided by Chapter 123. The Court explained that, in ascertaining the relief to which condominium unit owners may be entitled, the Court will not resort to the average assessment-to-value ratios applicable only to Class 2 properties. Last, the Court found against the taxpayers on their claim that their clubhouse was a common element and thus could not be separately assessed. The Court found that the evidence indicated that the unit owners do not have exclusive use of the clubhouse and that this factor alone vitiates a conclusion that the clubhouse has no independent value.


## In This Case, Income Approach Was Most Viable Method For Valuing Hotel-Westmount Plaza v. Parsippany Troy Hills, 11 N.J. Tax 127 (Tax Ct.,

 Mar. 1990).This was a local property tax case wherein plaintiff sought direct review of the 1987 and 1988 assessments on its property located in Parsippany. At issue were the true value of the subject property and whether plaintiff was entitled to discrimination relief pursuant to N.J.S.A. 54:51A-6, commonly referred to as C. 123. The subject of the controversy was The Aspen Hotel, containing 196 rooms, with restaurant, lounge and banquet facilities, indoor pool and tennis
court. The facility was situated on 22 acres of land. The holdings of the Court are stated below.

The Court found that in this case, the income approach was the most viable method for ascertaining true value. Further, the hotel's operating revenues, as stabilized by plaintiff's expert and adjusted for occupancy rates, were prima facie economic rent, subject to adjustments for business value and personal property. On business value, the court agreed with the plaintiff's expert, who extracted from hotel revenues the fee customarily paid to a management company. On the adjustment for personal property, again the Court agreed with plaintiff's expert, who deducted $3 \%$ of a stabilized personal property value of $\$ 2,940,000$ from revenues. This adjustment was for a replacement reserve. The Court also agreed with the expert's recognizing of fixtures taxable as real property.

For a capitalization rate, the Court found that the American Council of Life Insurance data supported the defendant's 1986 rate of $10.18 \%$, and that the data supported the plaintiff's 1987 rate of $11.41 \%$. On the issue of excess land, the Court agreed with the plaintiff's expert, who concluded that 18 acres was required for the hotel complex. This left only 4 acres as the figure for land to be valued as excess land, suitable for commercial development. On the issue of entitlement to discriminatory relief, the Court found in favor of the plaintiff. The assessment was revised by applying the average ratio to the true value of the property.

## Problem With One Condominium Lot Being Held By An Outside Party Resulted In Tax Sale Certificate Being Based On Improper AssessmentWynwood Condo. v. Twin Trees Dev., 250 N.J. Super. 510 (Ch. Div., May

 1991).This matter originated in the Law Division; the Chancery Division aspects were part of a complaint containing several completely unrelated causes of action. A Law Division judge had severed some of the counts and transferred them to the Chancery Division. The problems in this case stem from a subdivision of South Brunswick lot 145.2 into lots 15.10, 15.02 and 15.01; on October 21, 1983, BID and Twin Trees properly recorded a condominium master deed for the site, creating the Wynwood Condominium Development. The master deed contained lot 15.02. On December 12, 1986, lot 15.02 was mistakenly deeded back to Alexander Molnar. He deeded it to Wynwood Condominium Association on June 30, 1988, to correct the mistake. The purpose for lot 15.02 is that of a detention drainage basin for the condominium complex.

The 1985 tax bill and subsequent notices of a tax sale for lot 15.02 were sent to Molnar; he did not pay those taxes and a tax sale certificate on the parcel was purchased by David Saltman on September 26, 1986. Saltman moved from summary judgment allowing him to foreclose on his tax sale certificates. The township moved to dismiss Wynwood's counts alleging negligence and nuisance causes of action, which were based on South Brunswick negligently transferring, through the tax sale, the detention drainage basin.

The Court explained that the possibility that a lot in a condominium master deed description might be held by an outside or uninterested party was a contingency not contemplated by The Condominium Act, but it is clear that the legislature intended to protect a condominium's common elements through the filing of a master deed. The Court held that equitable principles require that South Brunswick's assessment of lot 15.02 be deemed erroneous as it was not based on the master deed filed in accordance with N.J.S.A. 46:8B-8. Accordingly, Saltman's motion for summary judgment so that he might proceed
with his tax sale certificate foreclosure action was denied. Also, the Court held that Saltman's tax sale certificates must be rescinded, since they were based on an improper assessment. The Court also denied, without prejudice, the defendant township's motion to dismiss the negligence and nuisance claims against it, deeming the record before it to be inadequate for a resolution of these issues.

## MEDIA RIGHTS TAX

Constitutional Issues Related To Media Rights Tax Presented Fact Questions Which Could Not Properly Be Decided On Motions For Summary Judgment-Titan Sports v. Athletic Control Bd., 11 N.J. Tax 259 (Tax Ct., 1990).

Titan Sports, Inc., a promoter of professional wrestling exhibitions, brought suit alleging that the Athletic Control Board's imposition of tax on income received from lease or sale of boxing and wrestling media rights (N.J.S.A. $5: 2 \mathrm{~A}-20$ ) violated the promoter's rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments and the commerce clause of the United States Constitution. The promoter and the Board both moved for summary judgment. Dismissing the motions, the Tax Court held that: (1) professional wrestling productions constituted a form of First Amendment protected speech; (2) an issue of material fact, precluding entry of summary judgment, existed as to whether the tax's incidental restriction on the promoter's alleged First Amendment freedoms was not greater than essential to furtherance of New Jersey's interest in the safety of combative sports participants; (3) a question of material fact, precluding entry of summary judgment, existed as to whether tax was fairly apportioned, and thus as to whether the tax satisfied the external consistency element for legitimate tax on interstate commerce; and (4) a "minimal connection" existed between the interstate activities and New Jersey, and thus the tax did not violate the due process clause. Accordingly, the Tax Court ordered that the case would proceed to trial on the remaining issues.

## REALTY TRANSFER TAX

As Imposed Upon Only New Cooperatives, and Not Upon Pre-Existing Ones, Realty Transfer Tax Was Constitutional- Drew Associates of NJ, LP v. Travisano, 122 N.J. 249 (1991), rev'g 235 N.J. Super. 194 (App. Div., July 1989).

Drew Associates (Drew) is a limited partnership that owns a multi-unit apartment to be converted into a cooperative. Drew sued to enjoin the enforcement of the Cooperative Recording Act (The Co-op Act). The Act creates a title registration system and taxes the creation and transfer of ownership in cooperative units. The Act applies only to cooperatives that come into existence after May 7, 1988, the effective date of the Act.

Drew had primarily argued that the Act was unconstitutional. Drew argued that taxing the transfer of co-op units to buyers results in double taxation because the Association also paid the transfer tax when it purchased the apartment building. The Appellate Division disagreed. The Appellate Division explained that there is no double taxation because the transfer tax is imposed upon separate owners on distinct transfers at different times. Drew also argued that taxing only newly erected co-ops violates the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution. The Appellate Court partially agreed and found no rational
basis for distinguishing between the pre and post-Act groups for the portion of the transfer tax that generated revenues beyond covering the recording costs. That portion was held to be unconstitutional.

On further appeal, the Supreme Court agreed with the Appellate Division, except as to the portion of the tax held to be unconstitutional. The Supreme Court emphasized that the test of unconstitutionality on these facts was merely whether there was a rational basis for the Act's differential treatment of the coops. The Supreme Court found that there were matters of administrative convenience that rationally justified the differential treatment, as well as that postAct cooperatives are different from pre-Act co-ops due to the benefits received from the Act. The Supreme Court reinstated the judgment of the Chancery Division upholding the transfer tax on post-Act cooperatives.

## SALES AND USE TAX

Aircraft Leasing Company The Leased To Parent Company For 87\% To
94\% Of The Time, And To Third Parties Only 6\% To 16\% Of The Time, Was
Not Predominantly An Air Carrier And Thus Not Exempt From Sales Tax-
Auxiliary Carrier, Inc. v. Director, Div. of Taxation, No. 16-14-1176-89ST, (Tax Ct., Apr. 1991).

At issue in this case is sales and use tax payable for the leasing of aircraft. Auxiliary Carrier, Inc. ("Auxiliary"), a New Jersey corporation, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Cyanamid Company, Inc. ("Cyanamid"). Auxiliary purchased aircraft and leased this aircraft to Cyanamid, which holds an air carrier operating certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Administration. Auxiliary performed no business function other than the leasing of aircraft to Cyanamid. Cyanamid used the aircraft for transport of its executives and for third party charters. Cyanamid coordinated these activities under its Air Transport Department. Cyanamid prepared and distributed brochures, advertised in the Star Ledger newspaper, and paid commissions to travel brokers in order to attract third party charter business. Time was scheduled for Cyanamid executives and third party charters on a "first come, first serve"basis. Third parties pay a higher hourly "market rate." Both the "executive" and the third party rates are less than the total hourly cost of operating the aircraft.

After receiving a Department of Transportation notice concerning a sales tax exemption for "air carriers," Auxiliary filed a claim for refund of taxes paid on the leasing of aircraft from July 1979 through April 1981 to Cyanamid. In December 1981, the Division of Taxation approved the claim and refunded $\$ 14,175$ to Auxiliary. However, in September 1988, the Director imposed a sales and use tax assessment on the leasing of aircraft by Auxiliary to Cyanamid during the period July 1984 to June 1988.

Auxiliary contended that its leasing of the aircraft to Cyanamid meets the requirements for the exemption for air carriers set forth in N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.35. Auxiliary also contended that: (1) the Director is collaterally estopped from making the subject assessment by his issuance of the aforementioned tax refund; and (2) the Director's change in position amounts to rule making, which does not comply with the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act. The Director responded that Cyanamid is not an "air carrier" as that term is used under N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.35.

Since third party usage of the aircraft ranged from only $6 \%$ to $16 \%$, the Court found that the evidence overwhelmingly established that common carriage
was not the predominant use. Therefore, the taxpayer did not meet the test for tax exempt status. On the collateral estoppel and rulemaking issues, the Court found that the refund alone did not constitute a "position" taken by or rule making by the Director. Further, the Court found that the Director's assessment constitutes enforcement of the statute as interpreted by this Court, and, therefore, does not constitute a "change in position" or rule making necessitating compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act. Further, there had been no reliance and injury which would invoke the principles of estoppel. The Court also rejected the taxpayer's arguments that it was denied due process and that it was not the correct party for the assessment. The complaint was dismissed, accordingly.

Manufacturing Exemption Under N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.13(a) Is Limited to Machinery, Apparatus, Or Equipment Used In Manufacturing Of Tangible Personal Property For Sale, To An Ultimate Consumer-GE Solid State, Inc., A Delaware Corporation (Formerly The Solid State Division of R.C.A. Corporation), v. Director, Division of Taxation, 11 N.J. Tax 320 (Tax Ct., Sept. 1990).

Plaintiff, GE Solid State, Inc., challenges a tax deficiency assessed by the Director of the Division of Taxation relative to purchases of photomask machinery and photoplates by plaintiff's predecessor, the Solid State Division of RCA Corporation, during the assessment period of July 1, 1981 through June 30, 1984.

Plaintiff claims the purchases were exempt from sales and use tax pursuant to an exemption provision in the Sales and Use Tax Act, N.J.S.A. 54:32B-1 et seq., for machinery, apparatus or equipment used in the production of tangible personal property at N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.13a. The Director asserts that the purchases by plaintiff's predecessor did not meet the requirements of the exemption provisions.

The facts in this proceeding were as follows:
Plaintiff, GE Solid States, Inc., a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business located in Somerville, New Jersey, is the successor in interest to RCA Corporation. During the assessment period at issue, the Solid State Division of RCA Corporation was engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling integrated circuits or "chips."

The process which eventuates in integrated circuits, packaged and ready for shipment to plaintiff's customers, can be separated into four phases. The first is research and development in which design engineers and layout designers create a prototype. The prototype consists of 7 to 17 photomasks. A photomask is a four- or five-inch glass plate, called a photoplate.

The second phase of plaintiff's operations involves the production of photomasks which takes place in Somerville, New Jersey. The photomasks contain the patterns of the integrated circuits and are used, through a photo-graphic-like pattern transfer process called photolithography, to transfer those patterns to a silicon wafer.

In its photomask operation, plaintiff produces four types of photomasks: (1) projection masters, (2) contact prints, (3) print masters and (4) reticles.

The third area of plaintiff's operations is the manufacture of integrated circuit or wafer fabrication. The fourth area of operations is the packaging of the units.

Plaintiff maintains that the machinery employed in its photomask operation, to fabricate photomasks, is used "directly and primarily in the production of tangible personal property by manufacturing," and accordingly, is exempt from sales or use tax under N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.13a. Plaintiff also contends that its
purchases of photoplates, used solely as reticles and print masters in its photomask operation, are also exempt from sales or use tax. Plaintiff asserts that the reticles and print masters produced by its photomask operation constitute either: (1) machinery, apparatus or equipment "used directly and primarily in the production of tangible personal property by manufacturing" or, alternatively, (2) parts with a useful life of more than one year.

The Tax Court concurred with the Director's argument that the photomask machinery does not qualify for exemption because, first, the photomask machinery does not manufacture a product for sale and, second, the photomask machinery is not used "directly and primarily" in the production of the product that plaintiff does sell, i.e., integrated circuits.

The Court furthermore maintained that the photoplates purchased by plaintiff are not used directly and primarily in the production of a product for sale and, the photoplates do not qualify for exemption because they are not machinery, apparatus or equipment, nor are they parts having a useful life of more than one year.

Repair Services To I.C.C. Licensed Vehicles Are Not Exempt, But Vendor Properly Relied On Exemption Certificates-J.R. Corelli Associates, Inc. v. Taxation Div. Director, No. 04-08-2981-90 ST (Tax Ct., June 28, 1991).
J.R. Corelli Associates, Inc., (Associates) is a domestic corporation specializing in selling, leasing and repairing stretch-type limousines. The Division of Taxation assessed sales tax, most of which related to the Division's determination that the company failed to collect tax on the labor portion of repair bills on I.C.C. licensed vehicles. Associates argued that the repairs were exempted under N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.31, which exempted sales of vehicles registered in New Jersey and operated pursuant to an I.C.C. permit and "repair and replacement parts therefore." Therefore, the issue was whether parts and labor charges were exempt or just parts. The Tax Court agreed with the Director's position and held that the iabor charges were not exempt from sales tax.

However, with respect to most of the repair charges, Associates had accepted exemption certificates based on an assumption that labor charges were exempt. On this issue, the Court agreed with the taxpayer. The Court explained it was not unreasonable for the vendor without prior notice to have interpreted the word "repair" in N.J.S.A. 54:32B-8.31 as a noun. Therefore, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 18:24-10.3, his good-faith acceptance of exemption certificates from the vendees relieved the vendor from any liability for collection or payment of sales tax upon the transactions covered by the certificates.

[^21]New Jersey. On December 30, 1988, the Director filed a Certificate of Debt against New England Kennels in the amount of $\$ 20,951.36$ for unpaid sales and use tax, and corporation business tax. In February of 1989, because of debts owed to Lambriar K.ennels, New England Kennels transferred its assets, consisting of inventory (́dogs), equipment and supplies, to Lambriar Kennels. Lambriar Kennels took over the operation of the Cedar Grove pet store. The Division did not receive notice by registered mail of the transfer of assets, as required by statute.

Taxpayer claimed that the Director had received notice in fact through conversations between the taxpayer's attorney and Division representatives concerning preservation of the inventory. Taxpayer also contended that it orally notified the Division. However, there was no proof of when this oral notice was given. In the alternative, taxpayer argued that there is implied in the notice provision a condition of good faith ability to comply. Taxpayer asserted that it was unable to comply with the ten-day notice requirement because of the emergent need to care for the animals scheduled to be seized by the Director. Taxpayer argued that the pedigree dogs would have been damaged by seizure and transportation and would have been unable to survive in an animal shelter environment. However, there was no proof of this assertion in the record. Finally, taxpayer argued that it should receive a $\$ 7,500$ credit against the tax deficiency for a $\$ 7,500$ security deposit deposited by New England Kennels for taking over the lease for the premises.

The Court upheld the sales tax assessment against Lambriar Kennels. The Court emphasized that the plain language of N.J.S.A. 54:32B-22(c) requires notice by registered mail ten days in advance of transfer and allows no exceptions. Oral notice does not provide the same degree of protection. Further, taxpayer had provided no authority for the assertion that good faith efforts to comply excuse the failure to give written notice. The Court was not persuaded that the safety of the dogs created an emergency situation. The Court also found that taxpayer's argument regarding a credit for the $\$ 7,500$ deposit was without merit.

Use Tax Assessed On Purchase Price of Vessel Brought To New Jersey For Repairs-Samuel B. Pole, III v. Director, Division of Taxation, No. 06-01-1174-89ST (Tax Court, June 1991).

Taxpayer appealed a determination by the Division of Taxation for use tax in the amount of $\$ 15,000$ based on the presence of the vessel in New Jersey from June to December, 1988. Taxpayer brought the vessel to this state for purposes of repairing various problems that arose. During the time the vessel was in New Jersey, it was piloted several times by taxpayer, for purposes of sea trials; frequently, taxpayer gave boat tours and had people on board that were not connected to the repair service.

Taxpayer argued that since he had no intention of keeping or using the vessel here, and only brought it to New Jersey for necessary repairs, that his actions did not result in a taxable use pursuant to the Sales and Use Tax Act. He also argued that he was a nonresident of this State when he purchased the vessel and as such, was exempt from use tax.

The Tax Court found that taxpayer's custody, control and use of the vessel was enough to subject him to the compensating use tax. Based on taxpayer's ownership of a residence in New Jersey until 1990, his receipt of mail at a New Jersey post office box, his possession of a New Jersey driver's license, and his filing of a New Jersey income tax return in 1988, the Court found that he
maintained a place of abode in New Jersey and therefore, was not a nonresident. The Court entered judgment dismissing taxpayer's complaint.

## Taxpayer's Consent To Extension Of Time Within Which Director Could Make An Additional Assessment Provided Taxpayer With An Additional Six Months After The Completion Of The Audit To File Refund Claims For Any Of The Returns Subject To The Audit-Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.

 v. Taxation Div. Director, 11 N.J. Tax 561 (Tax Ct., May 1991).Taxpayer sought refund of $\$ 152,473$ use tax erroneously paid on charges for installation of property which, after payment of the tax, was determined to be real not personal property. The subject use tax was paid for the period January 1, 1982 through December 31, 1984 with tax returns filed February 20, 1982 through January 20, 1985. At issue was whether taxpayer's consent to an extension of time for the Director to make an additional assessment enlarged the refund claim period.

Section 27(b) provides that the Director may impose an additional sales and use tax assessment within three years of the filing of a tax return. Section 27(c) contains an exception to the $\S 20(a)$ two-year refund period if a taxpayer has consented in writing to the extension of the period for assessment.

Taxpayer contended that when a taxpayer consents to extend the time for additional assessment, the taxpayer is entitled to claim a refund of tax paid with any tax return that is the subject to the extension agreement.

The Director contended that taxpayer is limited by the two-year refund period of $\$ 20(\mathrm{a})$ and that execution of the extension agreement does not revive expired refund application periods.

In this case, taxpayer consented to an extension, to December 31, 1985, of the three-year period during which an additional assessment could be made. Section 27 (c) extended the time for filing an application for refund to a date which, in this case, was six months after December 31, 1985.

The Court found that the intent of $\S 27$ (c) is to provide taxpayer with an extended period of time within which to file a claim for refund that is equal to the period of the subject audit plus six months. If the refund period were intended to be less than the additional assessment period, the statute would have so stated.

The Court concluded that N.J.S.A. 54:32B-27(c) extends the time for filing a claim for refund for all returns and periods referred to in the extension agreement. Thus, taxpayer was entitled to a refund of use tax erroneously paid for the period 1982-1984, less the amount concededly due to the state for this period but subject to the right of the Director to reinstate the additional assessment if the Director prevailed on appeal of the real property-personal property issue.

## SANITARY LANDFILL TAXES

Tax Is Due With Respect To A Certain Volume Of Waste Accepted At A Landfill, Even If The Ability To Collect On The Debt For The Disposal Is Doubtful-Edgeboro Disp. Inc., v. Tax Div. Dir., 11 N.J. Tax 463 (Tax Ct., 1991).

Edgeboro Disposal, Inc., challenged a determination by the Director of the Division of Taxation that increased plaintiff's reported tax and escrow account liabilities pursuant to tax provisions in the Solid Waste Management Act. Edgeboro was the operator of a sanitary landfill until December 31, 1987. This
case concerns Edgeboro's December 1987 consolidated sanitary landfill tax return. In calculating the taxes and escrows to be remitted to the Division, Edgeboro deducted 85,753 cubic yards of solid waste from the actual volume received at the facility. This deduction was made because Edgeboro anticipated it would never receive payment for disposal of that waste from the haulers that had deposited it. The Court held that the deduction was improper and that disallowing such a deduction was constitutional.

Ash From Incinerator Is Exempt From Sanitary Landfill Taxes But Waste That Has To Bypass The Incinerator Is Not Exempt-Pollution Control Fin. Author. v. D.E.P., 128 N.J. 356 (1991) aff'd 237 N.J. Super. 163 (App. Div., 1989).

The Pollution Control Financing Authority of Warren County (PCFA) appealed a determination by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) that wastes that bypass an incinerator are subject to three landfill taxes. PCFA was instrumental in constructing New Jersey's first resource recovery facility, which is an incinerator that produces electricity. The three taxes concerned in this appeal were the solid waste services tax, a resource recovery investment tax and a solid waste importation tax. PCFA asserted that wastes from the incinerator were exempt from the taxes under N.J.S.A. 12:1E-138 a, b and c, which exempt the "waste products resulting from the operation of a resource recovery facility." The facts were that, not only did the incinerator produce ash but also, certain wastes would bypass the facility because of unsuitability and limitations on the operating capabilties of the incinerator.

The Superior Court, Appellate Division, had found that only the ash, and not the bypass wastes, fell within the wording of the exemption for wastes from recovery facilities. PCFA had also argued that the NJDEP decision was the type that was required to have been done through rule-making. On that issue, the Court found that NJDEP's determination did not require rule-making, because it merely declared what was inferable from the statutory language. The Supreme Court affirmed for substantially the reasons given by the Superior Court. However, the Supreme Court added observations that the purpose of the taxes is to encourage both resource recovery and recycling and that there is no legislative purpose to exempt some counties from the landfill taxes by virtue of the county having a recovery facility and a laudable recycling program.

## TRANSFER INHERITANCE TAX

Using Gender-Based Mortality Tables To Value Life Estate For Inhertiance Tax Was Constitutional-Estate of David M. Darrin v. Director, Division of Taxation, (Tax Ct., 1991).

This case was heard on remand from the Superior Court, Appellate Division. It was remanded for a full hearing on the issue of whether the Division of Taxation's use of gender-based mortality tables was unconstitutional for equal protection reasons. The Tax Court held that the tables were not unconstitutional.

The Court applied the constitutionality test applicable to gender-based discrimination. That test is whether the tables are substantially related to an important governmental objective. First, the court found that accuracy in the valuation of life estates is an important governmental objective. The Court also found that, in forecasting life expectancy, the degree of accuracy associated with the use of gender-based tables is greater than that associated with the
use of the gender-neutral tables. Further, it was irrelevant that there may have been better ways to increase accuracy.

The Court held that the Division of Taxation met its burden of showing a substantial rerlationship between using gender-based tables for forecasting life expectancy and achieving the important governmental objective of increased accuracy in the valuation of life estates. In conclusion, the Court found that no equal protection violation existed.
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Telephone (609) 292-5082

## COUNTY BOARDS OF TAXATION-1991 (Including Term of Office)

| President | ATLANTIC COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (81) C. Herbert Hyman (93), (90) William J. Polistina (93), <br> (91) Harry Brown (94) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Lois Finifter, 1333 Atlantic Avenue-6th Floor, Atlantic <br> City, NJ 08401 <br> Tele: (609) 343-2252 |
| President | bergen county board of taxation <br> (87) Robert Burns (92), (83) Arnold Schwab (91), (86) William E. DeGise (91), (89) Steven Schuster (93), (90) Gerald A. Calabrese |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Dante Leodori, Room 310-W, Court Plaza South, 21 Main Street, Hackensack, NJ 07601 <br> Tele: (201) 646-3183 |
| President | BURLINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (84) John L. Aloi (89), (78) Samuel P. Alloway, J. (91), <br> (80) Earl D. Emmons (90) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Samuel O. Paglione, 49 Rancocas Road, Mt. Holly, NJ 08060 <br> Tele: (609) 265-5056 |
| President | CAMDEN COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (85) Victor T. Kolton (92), (83) Joseph J. Grassi, Jr. (90), <br> (84) Benjamin G. Vukicevich (90) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Martin Blaskey, Camden County Administration Building, 600 Market Street, Camden, NJ 08101 <br> Tele: (609) 757-6750 |
| President | CAPE MAY COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION (91) John B. Feeley (93), (85) Philip F. Judyski (91), (86) Joseph A. DeFranco (92) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | G. Raymond Brown, III, Central Mail Room, DN 303, Cape May Court House, NJ 08210 <br> Tele: (609) 465-1000-Ext. 1030 |
| President | CUMBERLAND COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION (74) Robert H. Weber (92), (83) Dale F. Kipers (94), (85) M. Jay Einstein (93) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Keron D. Chance, Court House, Bridgeton, NJ 08302 Tele: (609) 451-9100 |
| President | ESSEX COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (84) Joan C. Durkin (93), (86) Robert A. Gaccione (95), <br> (86) O. Vincent McNany (91), (82) Stanley J. Gulkin (92), <br> (91) Finney J. Alati (94) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | George E. McCormack, 110 South Grove Street, East Orange, NJ 07018 <br> Tele: (201) 673-2344 |

President
Co. Tax Admin.

Co. Tax Admin.
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President
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President
Co. Tax Admin.

President
Co. Tax Admin.

GLOUCESTER COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(84) Rudolph Marcucci (93), (85) Jacqueline Clark (91),
(90) Edward J. Burek (92)

Doloris R. Lindsay, C.C. Budd Boulevard, P.O. Box 652, Woodbury, NJ 08096
Tele: (609) 384-6945
HUDSON COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(85) Edna Calabrese (92), (86) Thomas Higgins (91),
(89) Robert Doria (94), (88) Charles Callari (94), (90)

Rafael J. Farguela (95)
Stanley P. Kosakowski, Administration Building, 576
Pavonia Ave., 1st Fl., Jersey City, NJ 07306
Tele: (201) 795-6000
HUNTERDON COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(88) Hiram B. Ely, Jr. (89), (88) Virginia Hook (88)

Robert G. Housedorf, Victorian Plaza, 1 East Main Street, Flemington, NJ 08822
Tele: (908) 788-1173
MERCER COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(85) Rick Kline (92), (86) Joseph Samarone (89), (90) Anne Zamonski (90)
Martin M. Guhl, Mercer County Administration Building, P.O. Box 8068, Trenton, NJ 08650

Tele: (609) 989-6704
MIDDLESEX COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(81) Franklin F. Murphy (93), (89) Irving Verosloff (92),
(90) Joseph Nita (93)

Mrs. Angela Szymanski, 42 Paterson St., P.O. Box 871, New Brunswick, NJ 08903
Tele: (908) 745-3350
MONMOUTH COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(85) John C. Conover ( 90 Holdover), (85) Prospero DeBona (92), (85) Beverly J. Scarano (91)
Gilberto Melendez, Hall of Records, East Main Street, Freehold, NJ 07728
Tele: (908) 431-7403
MORRIS COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(86) William Kersey (92), (85) Helen Lori (91), (91)

George Stafford (93)
Harry P. Struble, Court House, Morristown, NJ 07960
Tele: (201) 285-6707
OCEAN COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION
(86) Lucille C. Foley (92), (85) James P. Montague (91),
(90) Joseph C. Scarpelli (93)

John Fox, Court House, Room 204, 118 Washington Street, CN 2191, Toms River, NJ 08753
Tele: (908) 929-2008

| President | PASSAIC COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (83) Raymond B. Leopizzi (92), (86) Vilmo DiPaolo (93), <br> (90) Richard Mohr (91) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Co. Tax Admin. | James J. Murner, Jr., District Court House, 71 Hamilton <br> Street, Paterson, NJ 07505 <br> Tele: (201) 881-4793 |
| President | SALEM COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (86) Joseph H. Davenport (92), (84) Robert J. Buechler, III (93) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Barbara L. Collins, Court House, 94 Market Street, <br> Salem, NJ 08079 <br> Tele: (609) 935-7510-Ext. 443 |
|  | SOMERSET COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION |
| President | (84) William L. Linville (93), (91) Albert Palfy (92) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Warren G. Nevins, 600 First Ave., Raritan, NJ 08869 Tele: (908) 231-7000 |
| President | SUSSEX COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (86) Patricia Clarkson (90 Holdover), (88) Bernard Mitchell (91), (90) David Herzenberg (92) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Arthur Sears, C.T.A., 16 Church Street, Newton, NJ 07860 <br> Tele: (201) 579-0970 |
| President | UNION COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION <br> (84) Lucille Masciale (90), (85) George W. Crater (88), <br> (86) Abe Rothberg (89) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | John Meeker, 271 N. Broad Street, Elizabeth, NJ 07207 <br> Tele: (908) 527-4770 |
| President | WARREN COUNTY BOARD OF TAXATION (89) Eugene Bleiweiss (93), (85) Frank DeLello (91), (90) John Joyce (92) |
| Co. Tax Admin. | Donna Wameling, Court House, Belvidere, NJ 07823 Tele: (908) 475-5361-Ext. 130 |

# ASSESSORS, DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN NEW JERSEY 1991 

ASSESSORS,
DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN ATLANTIC COUNTY
ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS $\quad$ COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS

| Bergenfield Boroug | Armand Palazzi, Bergenfield, 07621 (201) 387-4077 <br> James A. Anzevino, Bergenfield | Gerard V. Leary, Bergenfield, 07621 (201) 387-4066 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bogota Borough | Thomas McCullum, Bogota, 07603... (201) 342-1730 | Elizabeth Wiemer, Bogota, 07603 (201) 342-1737 |
| Carlstadt Borough | Armand Palazzi, Carlstadt, 07072 <br> (201) 939-1777 <br> Paul Barbire, Woodridge | Joan Trause, Carlstadt, 07072 <br> (201) 939-2304 |
| Cliffside Park Bo | Robert Layton, Cliffside Park, 07010... (201) 945-3456 | Frank Berardo, Cliffside Park, 07010 (201) 945-3456 |
| Closter Boroug | Harold Jonassen, Closter, 07624 (201) 784-0754 | Norma J. Gottemoller, Closter, 07624 (201) 784-0755 |
| Cresskill Boroug | James Anzevino, Cresskill, 07626. <br> (201) 569-5400 | Robert Camasto, Cresskill, 07626 (201) 569-5840 |
| Demarest B | Harold Jonassen, Demarest, 07627. <br> (201) 768-3802 | .Ann Marie Mancuso, Demarest, 07627 (201) 768-3611 |
| Dumont Boroug | .James Anzevino, Dumont, 07628. (201) 387-5030 | William Pizzute, Dumont, 07628 (201) 387-5025 |
| East Rutherford Boroug | Lester L. Plosia, E. Rutherford, 07073. (201) 933-3447 <br> Mario J. Pedoto, East Rutherford Maunice Nafash, East Rutherford | Patrick DeVasto, E. Rutherford, 07073 (201) 933-3446 |
| Edgewater B | Harvey G. Weber, Jr., Edgewater, 07020.. (201) 943-4466 | Michael M. Monaghan, Edgewater, 07020 (201) 943-2413 |
| Elmwood Park | Robert Smith, Elmwood Park, $07407 \ldots$ (201) 796-0993 <br> Charles Raia, Jr., Elmwood Park | . Joseph P. McQueeney, Elmwood Park, 07407 (201) 796-1438 |
| Emerson Boroug | Harold Jonassen, Emerson, 07630........ <br> (201) 599-6332 | $07630 \text { (201) 262-2807 }$ |
| En | ..Raymond D. Picciano, Englewood, 07631. (201) 871-6621 | Peter Tierney, Englewood, 07631 (201) 871 -6607 |
| En | .John P. Campbell, Englewood Clifts, 07632. (201) 568-8567 | Joseph lannaconi, Englewood Cliffs, 07632 (201) 569-5271 |
| Fair | Thomas McCullum, Fair Lawn, 07410.. (201) 794-5318 | Carole Barclay, Fair Lawn, 07410 (201) 794-5338 |
| Fairview | .Patrick DeSena. Fairview, 07022... (201) 943-4468 | Anthony M. Orecchio, Fairview, 07022 (201) 943-3750 |
| Fo | .Timothy Leodori, Fort Lee, 07024. (201) 592-3553 | Joseph lannaconi, Fort Lee, 07024 (201) 592-3538 |
| Franklin Lakes Boro | Barbara Ann Senft, Franklin Lakes, 07417 (201) 891-0048 | Sally Hill, Franklin Lakes, 07417 <br> (201) 891-0048 |
| Garfield | Kurt Hielle, Garfield, 07026. (201) 340-2104 | Rose Mayo, Garfield, 07026-2694 (201) 340-2103 |
| Glen Rock B | Timothy Leodori, Glen Rock, 07452. (201) 670-3961 | Valerie Maene, Glen Rock, 07452 (201) 670-3963 |
| Hackensack | .John J. Johnson, Hackensack, 07601. (201) 646-3925 | Elizabeth D. Yock, Hackensack, 07601 (201) 646-3928 |
| Harrington Park | Raymond J. Damiano, Harrington Park, 07640. (201) 768-2585 | Christine M. Cauvet, Harrington Park, 07640 (201) 768-2554 |
| Hasbrouck Heights B | Evelyn Z. Sommers, Hasbrouck Heights,... 07604 (201) 288-1102 | Conchita Parker, Hasbrouck Heights, 07604 (201) 288-1152 |
| H | Mary C. Dougherty, Haworth, 07641 (201) 384-8824 | Christine Villani, Haworth, 07641 (201) 384-0450 |
| Hillsdale Boroug | Marie Meehan, Hillsdale, $07642 .$. <br> (201) 358-5010 | Lorraine Shoop, Hillsdale, 07642 (201) 358-5000 |
| Ho-Ho-Kus B | Beatrice Barr, Upper Saddle River, 07458 (201) 934-3967 | Catherine Henderson, Ho-Ho-Kus, $07423 \text { (201) 652-4400 }$ |
| Leonia Boroug | Harvey G. Weber, Jr., Leonia, 07605..... (201) 592-5748 | Anna Theodoracopoulos, Leonia, 07605 (201) 592-5734 |
| Little Ferry Boroug | Armand Palazzi, Little Ferry, 07643....... (201) 641-0808 | Frank Dunn, Little Ferry, 07643 (201) 641-4833 |
| Lodi Borough | James A. Comeleo, Lodi, $07644 \ldots$ (201) 365-4005 Ext. 223 | David Schlett, Lodi, 07644 (201) 365-4005 Ext. 220 |
| Lyndhurst Twp | Dominick Notte, Lyndhurst, 07071. (201) 804-2466 | Debbie Ferrato, Lyndhurst, 07071 (201) 804-2463 |


| wh | Stuart A. Stolarz, Mahwah, 07430 .. (201) 529-4033 | Ann Marie Mancuso, Mahwah, 07430 (201) 529-2850 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maywood Borough | Armond Palazzi, Maywood, 07607. (201) 845-2904 | Madelyne Rutherford, Maywood, 07607 (201) 845-2911 |
| Midland Park Borough. | Charles J. Shutt, Midland Park, 07432. (201) 445-0833 | Lillian Freese, Midland Park, 07432 (201) 444-1388 |
| Montvale Borough. | John P. Campbell, Montvale, 07645 (201) 391-5702 | Joan C. Myer, Montvale, 07645 <br> (201) 391-5700 |
| Moonachie Borough. | Harold Jonassen, Moonachie, 07074. (201) 641-1857 | Jean Finch, Moonachie, 07074 (201) 641-1814 |
| New Milford Borough. | Mary C. Dougherty, New Milford, 07646. (201) 967-7294 | Denise Amoroso, New Milford, 07646 (201) 967-7360 |
| North Arlington Borough | Robert Campora, North Arlington, 07031 (201) 991-6060 | Anthony Blasi, North Arlington, 07031 (201) 955-5660 |
| Northvale Borough | John Guercio, Northvale, 07647 (201) 784-4765 | Vincenza Crevier, Northvale, 07647 (201) 767-3330 |
| Norwood Borough. | John Guercio, Norwood, 07648. <br> (201) 767-7206 | Richard Vogler, Norwood, 07648 (201) 767-7200 |
| Oakland Borough | James J. Van Delden, Oakland, 07436 (201) 337-6384 | Lillian Freese, Oakland, 07436 (201) 337-0353 |
| Old Tappan Borough | Irwin Sabin, Old Tappan, 07675. (201) 664-1849 | Christine Cauvet. Old Tappan, 07675 (201) 664-1849 |
| Oradell Borough. | Dolores Cordier, Oradell, 07649. (201) 261-0204 | Gale Nelson, Oradell, 07649 (201) 261-8101 |
| Palisades Park Borough | . Armand Palazzi, Palisades Park, 07650. <br> (201) 585-4111 | Patricia Albanese, Palisades Park, 07650 (201) 585-4112 |
| Paramus Borough | Clifford G. Steele, Paramus, 07652 <br> (201) 265-2100 | Stella Godleski, Paramus, 07652 <br> (201) 265-2100 |
| Park Ridge Borough | Joseph Burek, Park Ridge, 07656.... (201) $391-6161$ | Ann F. Kilmartin, Park Ridge, 07656 (201) 391-6161 |
| Ramsey Borough | M. Richard Muti, Ramsey, 07446 . (201) 825-3400, Ext. 26 | Eleanor Ameye, Ramsey, 07446 (201) 825-3400 Ext. 25 |
| Ridgefield Boroug | ..Irwin Sabin, Ridgefield, 07657 (201) 943-7676 | Frank Berardo, Ridgefield, 07657 (201) 943-7676 |
| Ridgefield Park Village | Gerard Garofalow, Ridgefield Park, 07660. (201) 641-4771 | Elizabeth M. Hannigan, Ridgefield <br> Park, 07660 (201) 641-4950 |
| Ridgewood Village | Charles J. Shutt, Ridgewood, 07451. (201) 670-5540 | .James Ten Hoeve, Ridgewood, 07451 (201) 670-5525 |
| River Edge Borough | Marie K. Meehan, River Edge, 07661 (201) 599-6306 | Erica Quinn, River Edge, 07661 (201) 599-6312 |
| River Vale Towns | Irwin Sabin, River Vale, 07675. <br> (201) 664-2346 | Ann Olivarius, River Vale, 07675 (201) 664-2346 |
| Rochelle Park Township | Armand Palazzi, Rochelle Park, 07662 (201) 587-7731 | Joseph Manzella, Rochelle <br> Park, 07662 (201) 587-7728 |
| Rockleigh Borough. | John Guercio, Rockleigh, 07647. (201) 768-4217 | Ann Olivarius, Rockleigh, 07647 (201) 768-4217 |
| Rutherford Borough | Frank Bucino, Rutherford, 07070. (201) 438-4942 | Eileen Serrao, Rutherford, 07070 <br> (201) 438-1033 |
| Saddle Brook Township | Arthur B. Carlson, Jr., Saddle Brook, 07662 (201) 587-2917 | Michele Sanzari, Saddle Brook, 07662 <br> (201) 587-2914 |
| Saddle River Borough | Beatrice Barr, Upper Saddle River, 07458 (201) 934-3967 | Jeanette Rozema, Saddle River, 07458 (201) 327-4949 |
| South Hackensack Twp | ..Armand Palazzi, S. Hackensack, 07606 (201) 440-1815 | Rose Amore, S. Hackensack, 07606 (201) 641-7185 |
| Teaneck Township | Hubert W. Amundsen, Teaneck, 07666. (201) 837-4846 | Sandra L. Kaye, Teaneck, 07666 (201) 837-4819 |
| Tenafly Borough | Carol C. Byrne, Tenafly, 07670 (201) 568-6100 Ext. 32 | Anita D. Diamond, Tenafly, 07670 (201) 568-6100 Ext. 38 |
| Teterboro Borough | James E. Hall, Teterboro, 07608. <br> (201) 288-2850 | Marion M. Semken, Teterboro, 07608 (201) 288-1200 |
| Upper Saddle River Boro | Beatrice Barr, Upper Saddle River, 07458 (201) 934-3967 | Roy Rossow, Upper Saddle River. 07458 (201) 934-3965 |
| Waldwick Borough. | Mary Ann Gordon, Waldwick, 07463 <br> (201) 652-5300 | Mary Ann Vivianı, Waldwick, 07463 (201) 652-5858 |


|  | uart A. Stolarz, <br> 1) 777-0769 | ivian Desbiens, Wallington, 07057 (201) 777-1031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington | .Raymond J. Damiano, Westwood, 07675. (201) 664-1292 | Kathleen M. Krause, Westwood, 07675 (201) 666-8797 |
| Westwood | .James G. Sealy, Westwood, 07675... (201) 664-7100 | Rebecca Overgaard, Westwood, 07675 (201) 664-7061 |
| Woodcliff | John P. Campbell, Woodcliff Lake, 07675. (201) 391-4977 | Althea Kraus, Woodcliff Lake, 07675 (201) 391-4977 |
| Wood-Ridge | Frank Porfido, Wood-Ridge, 07075 (201) 939-0877 | Doris Marek, Wood-Ridge, 07075 (201) 939-0254 |
| Wyckoff Township. | Joan Kozeniesky, Wyckoff, 07481. (201) 891-7000 | Mary G. Smith, Wyckoff, 07481 (201) 891-7000 |

ASSESSORS, DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN BURLINGTON COUNTY
ASAXING DISTRICT

ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS $\quad$| COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| :--- |

| New H | Donal B. Malloy, Cookstown, 08511. (609) 758-2172 | Mildred I. South, Cookstown, 08511 (609) 758-2695 or 258-3906 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Hanover Township.. | Donald Kosul, Wrightstown, 08562. (609) 758-2522 | Barbara Sprowl, Wrightstown, 08562 (609) 758-2563 |
| Palmyra Boroug | Donn C. Lamon, Palmyra, 08065... (609) 829-6100 | Rudolf K. Creyaufmiller, Palmyra, 08065 (609) 829-6100 |
| Pemberton Bor | Leon Wack, Pemberton, 08068. (609) 894-8222 | Harold C. Griffin, Pemberton, 08068 (609) 894-8222 |
| Pemberton T | Sharon R. Austin, New Lisbon, 08064 (609) 894-8201 | Betty Stover, New Lisbon, 08064 (609) 894-8201 |
| Riverside T | Chester J. Jankowski, Riverside, 08075. (609) 461-1460 <br> Anthony F. Cicali, Riverside | Patricia Collinsworth, Riverside, 08075 (609) 461-1460 |
| Riverton Boro | Chester J. Jankowski, Riverton, 08077 (609) 829-0120 | Anna May Whitelock, Riverton, 08077 (609) 829-0120 |
| Shamong Tow | James J. Noble, Mount Holly, 08060. (609) 268-2377 | Louise Berger, Vincentown, 08088 (609) 268-9530 |
| Southampton T | Dennis DeKlerk, Vincentown, 08088. (609) 859-3235 | Joan B. Wescott, Vincentown, 08088 (609) 859-3232 |
| Springfield To | John M. Schwager, Jobstown, 08041. (609) 723-8420 | Virginia L. Freck, Jobstown, 08041 (609) 723-4848 |
| Tabernacle T | Dennis DeKlerk, Tabernacle, 08088..... (609) 268-1220 | Susan Gerber, Tabernacle, 08088 (609) 268-0447 |
| Washington T | Cornelius Garrison, Pt. Republic, 08241 (609) 652-9268 | Cindy Coates, Egg Harbor, 08215 (609) 965-3308 |
| Westampton Township. | James J. Noble, Mt. Holly, 08060 .. (609) 267-9330 | Franklin E. Hoke, Mt. Holly, 08060 (609) 261-5914 |
| Willingboro Township | Margaret Harper, Willingboro, 08046. (609) 877-2200 Ext. 213 | Joanne Diggs, Willingboro, 08046 (609) 877-2200 |
| Woodland Township. | Teresa Paglione, Chatsworth, 08019. (609) 726-1552 | Ethel Brower, Chatsworth, 08019 (609) 726-1700 |
| /rightstown Borough. | Donald Kosul, Trenton, 08690. (609) 723-4450 | Elizabeth Kirby, Wrightstown, 08562 (609) 723-4450 |


| ASSESSORS, | DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN CAMDEN COUNTY |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | Charles G. Palu (609) 228-4000 | Delores Joyce, (609) 228-4000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haddon Township | Michael J. Sheridan, Westmont, 08108.................. (609) 854-2727 | Marion Schuck, Westmont, 08108 (609) 854-2727 |
| Haddonfield B | Michael J. Sheridan, Haddonfield, 08033. (609) 429-4700 | Janet G. Betley, Haddonfield, 08033 (609) 429-4700 |
| Haddon Heights | Christine Wahl, Haddon Heights, 08035.... (609) 547-7164 | Audrey M. Tursi, Haddon Heights, 08035 (609) 547-7164 |
| Hi-Nella B | . Richard W. Arrowood, Hi-Nella, 08083....... (609) 784-0500 | .Earl W. Schilling, Hi-Nella, 08083 (609) 783-6237 |
| Laurel Springs | . Richard W. Arrowood, Laurel Springs, 08021... (609) 784-0500 | .Janice M. Gattone, Laurel Springs, 08021 (609) 784-0500 |
| La | ristine Wahl, Jr., Somerdale, 08083. <br> 9) 573-6200 | Howard Brown, Lawnside, 08045 609) 573-6200 |
| Lindenwold Borough........... | .Thomas G. Glock, Lindenwold, 08021 .. (609) 783-2121 | Kathleen Borek, Lindenwold, 08021 (609) 783-2121 |
|  | Ronald G. Aaronson, Magnolia, 08049. $\text { (609) } 783-1520$ | William C. Walker, Jr., Magnolia, 08049 (609) 783-1520 |
| Merchantville B | A. Hobart Grant, Merchantville, 08109 $\qquad$ (609) 662-2474 | Daniel P. Gotthold, Merchantville, 08109 (609) 662-2474 |
| Mt. | Stephen J. Kessler, Mt. Ephraim, 08059............... (609) 931-1546 | Catherine Pepe, Mt. Ephraim, 08059 (609) 931-1546 |
| Oaklyn | .Anthony Leone, Oaklyn, 08107.... (609) 858-2457 <br> Howard D. Summerfield, Oaklyn | udith Pierce, Oaklyn, 08107 (09) 858-2457 |
|  | William R. Buffington, Pennsauken, 08110 (609) 665-1000 <br> Anthony R. Leone, Pennsauken | Grisson, Pennsauken, 08110 09) 665-1000 |
| Pine Hill Borough................ | Douglas Kolton, Pine Hill, 08021 (609) 783-7400 | (609) 783-7400 |
| Pi | Michael J. Sheridan, Clementon, 08021................ (609) 783-7078 | Robert W. Mather, Pine Valley, 08021 (609) 783-7078 |
| Runnemede Borough........... | onald G. Aaronson, Runnemede, 08078. 09) 939-5161 | David J. Watson, Runnemede, 08078 (609) 939-4437 |
| Somerdale | Charles Librizzi, Somerdale, 08083 (609) 783-6320 | Elizabeth J. Caswell, Somerdale, 08083 (609) 783-6320 |
|  | Harvey E. Duus, Stratford, 08084 (609) 783-0600 | Donald Carlson, Stratford, 08084 (609) 783-0600 |
|  | Vacancy, Merchantville, 08109..... 609) 547-0706 | Rita M. Drumm, Barrington, 08007 (609) 547-0706 |
| Voorhees | Michael C. Kane, Voorhees, 08043 (609) 429-7767 | Florence Mackin, Voorhees, 08043 (609) 429-7762 |
| W | Christine Wahl, Atco, 08004 (609) 767-0360 | Leah Wilhelm, Atco, 08004 (609) 767-0295 |
|  | Stephen Kessler, Braddock, 08037 $\qquad$ (609) 567-0700 | Charles A. Mauriello, Braddock, 08037 (609) 567-0700 |
|  | uce Coyle, Woodlynne, 08107. $\qquad$ <br> 199) 962-8300 | Curtis Myers, Woodlynne, 08107 (609) 962-8300 |
| ASSESS <br> DISTRICT | UUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS <br> ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS | IN CAPE MAY COUNTY COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| on Borough | John J. Newman, Avalon, 08202........................... (609) 967-8200 | Lillian E. Blackledge, Avalon, 08202 (609) 967-8200 |
| e | .Maryann D. Mason, Cape May, 08204 (609) 884-9545 | Bruce MacLeod, Cape May, 08204 (609) 884-9540 |
| Cape May Point Borou | Andre P. Souchak, Wildwood Crest, 08260........... (609) 884-5603 | Linda M. Monge, Cape May Point, 08212 (609) 884-5603 |
| nis T | Patricia A. Sutton, Dennisville, 08214 (609) 861-5721 | .J. Loren Swagler, Dennisville, 08214 (609) 861-5814 or 861-5122 |
| wer To | Arthur E. Amonette, Villas, 08251... (609) 886-2005 | Joan Taylor, Villas, 08251 (609) 886-2005 |
| ddle T | Joseph H. Ravitz, Cape May Court House, 08210 (609) 465-8726 | Anne Watson, Cape May Court House, 08210 (609) 465-8724 |
| od | .Frank C. Nelson, N. Wildwood, 08260....... (609) 522-1041 | Albert E. Tarbotton, Jr., N. Wildwood, 08260 (609) 522-2049 |

Ocean City.......................... Diana Allegretto, Ocean City, 08226..................... Gary Hink, Ocean City, 08226

(609) $399-6111$



National Park Borough....... James H. Jones, West Deptford, 08066...............Catherine Scarlett, National Park,
(609) $845-3891$

| ASSESSORS, |
| :--- | :--- | DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN HUDSON COUNTY



|  | Robert E. Ohle, Hightstown, 08520 (609) 448-1080 | D. Timothy Roberts, Hightstown, 08520 (609) 490-5108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hopewell Boroug | Christopher Fuges, Hopewell, 08525. (609) 466-0965 | Marian Allen, Hopewell, 08525 (609) 466-0965 |
| Hopewell | Donald Kosul, Titusville, 08560. (609) 737-0607 | Arthur Johnson, Titusville, 08560 (609) 737-0630 |
| Lawrence To | William H. Hough, Lawrenceville, 08648. (609) 896-9400, Ext. 213 | Alice Fish, Lawrenceville 08648 (609) 896-9400, Ext. 219 |
| P | William C. Rockel, Pennington, 08534. (609) 737-0276 | Irene Billings, Pennington, 08534 (609) 737-0276 |
| Princeton B | Carol Ann Caskey, Princeton, 08540... (609) 497-7607 | Decimus W. Marsh, Princeton, 08542 (609) 497-7625 |
| Princeton T | Carol Ann Caskey, Princeton 08540. (609) 924-1084 | John S. Clawson, Jr., Princeton, 08540 (609) 924-1058 |
| Trenton City | Joseph T. Kucinski, Trenton, 08608. (609) 989-3091 | Edward Kirkendoll, Trenton, 08608 (609) 989-3071 |
| Washington Townsh | Laura Houser Jankord, Robbinsville, 08691 (609) 259-7082 | Judith Scheideler, Robbinsville, 08691 (609) 259-9498 |
| West Windsor Town | Steven H. Benner, Princeton Jct., 08550 (609) 799-2400 | Kay T. Reed, Princeton Jct., 08550 (609) 799-2400 |

ASSESSORS, DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN MIDDLESEX COUNTY

| TAXING DISTRICT | ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS | COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carteret Borough. | William H. Marbach, Carteret, 07008. (908) 541-3800 | Patrick DeBlasio, Carteret, 07008 (908) 541 -7814 |
| Cranbury Tow | Linda E. Peters, Cranbury, 08512. (609) 395-0544 | Kathryn M. Hansen, Cranbury, 08512 (609) 395-0544 |
| Dunellen Boro | Thomas Boyle, So. Plainfield, 07080..... (908) 968-3033 | K.A. Gangemi, Dunellen, 08812 (908) 968-3033 |
| East Brunswick Township... | Thomas Mancuso, E. Brunswick, 08816 (908) 390-6845 | Irene Wolff, E. Brunswick, 08816 (908) 390-6835 |
| Edison T | Paul Raffiani, Edison, 08817... (908) 287-0900 | Gary M. Farinich, Edison, 08817 (908) 287-0900 |
| Helmetta B | Edward Heindel, Jamesburg, 08831. (908) 521-0386 | Margaret J. Wilson, Helmetta, 08828 (908) 521-0386 |
| Highland Park | Thomas F. Boyle, So. Plainfield, 07080 (908) 572-3400 | Collen Barcheski, Highland Park, 08904 (908) 572-3400 |
| Jamesburg B | Carmen C. Pirre, Jamesburg, 08831. (908) 521-2222 | Frank J. Jawidzik, Jamesburg, 08831 (908) 521-2222 |
| Metuchen | Sandra A. Blake, Metuchen, 08840. (908) 632-8516 | Eleanor Brennan, Metuchen, 08840 (908) 632-8512 |
| Middlesex B | Vacancy, Middlesex, 08846. (908) 356-7400 | Shirlee Androus, Middlesex, 08846 (908) 356-7400 |
| Milltown B | Eldo Magnani, Jr., Milltown, 08850... (908) 828-2100 | Diane Wagner, Milltown, 08850 (908) 828-2100 |
| Monroe Township | Bridget Mahoney, Jamesburg, 08831. (908) 521-4400 | Josephine Rosnick, Jamesburg, 08831 $\text { (908) } 521-4400$ |
| New Brunswick C | Kathleen Hayes, New Brunswick, 08901 (908) 745-5011 | Elizabeth E. Barry. New Brunswick, $08901 \text { (908) 745-5040 }$ |
| North Brunswick Township. | Susan Supak, N. Brunswick, 08902. (908) 418-2250 | Pamela Roskey, N. Brunswick, 08902 (908) 418-2247 |
| Old Bridge T | Courtney Powell, Old Bridge, 08857. (908) 721-5500 | Joseph Rauch, Old Bridge, 08857 (908) 721-5500 |
| Perth Amboy | William D. Wheeler, Perth Amboy, 08861 (908) 826-0290 | C. Marion LiPira, Perth Amboy, 08861 (908) 826-0290 |
| Piscataway T | Joan Dambach, Piscataway, 08854 (908) 562-2328 <br> Thomas F. Boyle, So. Plainfield John Redmond, Piscataway | Edward Wanzie, Piscataway, 08854 (908) 562-2331 |
| Plainsboro Township | Jean A. Jacobsohn, Plainsboro, 08536. (609) 799-0909 | Philip W. Rodefeld, Plainsboro, 08536 (609) 799-0909 |
| Sayreville Borough | Joseph J. Kupsch, Jr., Sayreville, 08872. (908) 390-7081 | Patrycia M. Mazzocchi, Sayreville, 08872 (908) 390-7040 |
| South Amboy City | Brian J. Enright, South Amboy, 08879 (908) 727-4600 | Jo Anne Brennan, So. Amboy, 08879 (908) 727-4600 |


| So. Brunswick T | Eli Serlenga, Monmouth Jct., 088 (908) 329-4000 <br> Linda E. Peters, Monmouth Jct. | Wendy Bukowski, Monmouth Jct., 08852 (908) 329-4000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Plainfield Borou | Gary F. Toth, So. Plainfield, 07080. (908) 754-9000 | Charles C. Haus, So. Plainfield, 07080 <br> (908) 754-9000 |
| South River Borough | Carl J. Alongi, Jr., So. River, 08882 (908) 257-1209 | Regina Baca. So. River, 08882 <br> (908) 238-3930 |
| Spotswood Borough | Susan Supak, Spotswood, $08884 \ldots$ (908) 251-2068 | Jean Gretch, Spotswood, 08884 (908) 251-2346 |
| Woodbridge Township | John Redmond, Woodbridge, 07095 (908) 634-4500 | Harold F. Mullin, Woodbridge, 07095 (908) 634-4500 |
| ASSESSOR TAXING DISTRICT | UTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS | IN MONMOUTH COUNTY |
| Aberdeen Township | Frank Nelson, Aberdeen, 07747.. (908) 583-4200 | Pauline K. Behr, Aberdeen, 07747 <br> (908) 583-4200 |
| Allenhurst Boroug | Frank A. Anfuso, Allenhurst, 07711 (908) 531-2757 | Edward S. Mazzacco, Allenhurst, 07711 <br> (908) 531-2757 |
| A | E. Lee Jones, Allentown, 08501.. (609) 259-3151 | .H. Marie Mika, Allentown, 08501 (609) 259-3151 |
| As | . Mary Lou Hartman, Asbury Park, 07712..... (908) 775-2100 | A. Glen Paulin, Asbury Park, 07712 (908) 775-2100 |
| Atlantic Highlands | Susan Yancey-Disbrow, Atlantic Highlands... 07716 (908) 291-1122 | .Joan A. Smith, Atlantic Highlands, 07716 (908) 291-3297 |
| Av | Frank A. Anfuso, Avon-by-the-Sea, 07717.... (908) 502-4510 | Geraldine Poeter, Avon-by-the-Sea, 07717 (908) 502-4510 |
| Belmar B | William A. Burkhardt, Belmar, 07719. (908) 681-1176 | Charles F. Ormsbee, Jr., Belmar, 07719 <br> (908) 681-1176 |
| Bradley Beach B | Mary Lou Hartman, Avon-by-the-Sea, 07717 <br> (908) 776-2978 | Betty Jo Arbeitman, Bradley Beach, 07720 (908) 776-2985 |
| Brielle B | William A. Burkhardt, Brielle, 08730... (908) 528-6600 | Karen S. Brisben, Brielle, 08730 (908) 528-6600 |
| Colts Neck Townshi | Bernard J. Marx, Colts Neck, 07722 (908) 462-5470 | Caroline McCoy, Colts Neck, 07722 (908) 462-5470 |
| Deal B | Marie B. Warner, Deal, 07723. (908) 531-1454 | Valentina Nikitich, Deal, 07723 <br> (908) 531-1454 |
| Eatontown Boroug | .John A. Turtora, Eatontown, 07724 (908) 389-7609 | Patricia De Ponti, Eatontown, 07724 (908) 389-7603 |
| Englishtown B | Brian J. Enright, Englishtown, 07726 (908) 446-9235 | David H. Baird, Englishtown, 07726 (908) 446-9235 |
| Fair Haven Borough. | Bernard J. Marx, Fair Haven, 07704 (908) 741-0891 | Dale Connor, Fair Haven, 07704 (908) 741-0891 |
| Farmingdal | Gladys P. Ascough, Farmingdale, 07727. (908) 938-4077 | Patricia Sculthorpe, Farmingdale, 07727 (908) 938-4077 |
| Freehold B | Sharon R. Hartman, Freehold, 07728... (908) 462-1410 | Edward M. Lewis, Freehold, 07728 <br> (908) 462-1410 |
| Freehold T | . Helen J. Ward, Freehold, 07728. (908) 462-7900 | Robert N. Ferrell, Freehold, 07728 (908) 462-7900 |
| Hazlet T | Robert J. Smith, Hazlet, 07730.. (908) 264-1700 | Emily Beam, Hazlet, 07730 (908) 264-1700 |
| Highlands Boroug | Albert Emery, Highlands, 07732...... (908) 872-1519 | .Herbert W. Hartsgrove, Highlands, 07732 (908) 872-1516 |
| Holmdel T | Vincent M. Pomarico, Holmdel, 07733. (908) 946-8197 | Shirley S. Cox, Holmdel, 07733 (908) 946-4455 |
| Howell Township | Gladys P. Ascough, Howell, 07731.... (908) 938-4500 | Susan C. Davison, Howell, 07731 (908) 938-4500 |
| Interlaken Boroug | Ernest Hoffman, Interlaken, 07712. (908) 531-3688 | Leon B. Bruno, Jr., Interlaken, 07712 <br> (908) 531-3688 |
| Keansburg Boroug | Judith Cannon, Keansburg, $07734 .$. (908) 787-0215, Ext. 35 | Carole Carey, Keansburg, 07734 (908) 787-0215, Ext. 26 |
| Keyport Borough. | Judith Cannon, Keyport, 07735.. (908) 739-3303 | Pauline Redmond, Keyport, 07735 (908) 739-3902 |
| Little Silver Borough | Bernard J. Marx, Little Silver, 07739 (908) 842-2400 | Catherine C. Wright, Little Silver, 07739 (908) 842-2400 |


| Loch Arbour Villag | Ernest G. Hoffman, Oceanport, 07757 Helen Pines, Loch Arbour, 0771 (908) 531-4740 (908) 531-4740 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Long Branch Ci | Dennis Raftery, Long Branch, 07740.................. Edward Mazzacco, Long Branch, 07740 <br> (908) 222-7000 <br> (908) 222-7000, Ext. 26 |
| Manalapan Towns | Sharon R. Hartman, Manalapan, 07726. $\qquad$ Ethel Chalmers, Manalapan, 07726 (908) 446-3200 (908) 446-3200 |
| anasquan B | Sharon R. Hartman, Manasquan, 08736. $\qquad$ Joanne Madden, Manasquan, (908) 223-2292 $08736 \text { (908) 223-2292 }$ |
| Marlboro | Cathy Buchalski, Marlboro, 07746 $\qquad$ Shirley Giaquinto, Marlboro, 07746 (908) 536-0200 <br> (908) 536-0200 |
| Matawan | A. Fred Maffeo, Matawan, 07747 $\qquad$ Mary M. Geran, Matawan, 07747 (908) 290-2006 <br> (908) 290-2005 |
| Middletown T | Barbara Clark, Middletown, 07748 $\qquad$ Katie Coutsouros, Middletown, 07748 (908) 615-2000 (908) 615-2000 |
| Millstone | Lawrence Marzocca, Clarksburg, 08510. $\qquad$ David H. Baird, Perrineville, 08535 (908) 462-4770 <br> (908) 462-4770 |
| Monmouth Beach | Frank A. Anfuso, Monmouth Beach, 07750 ..........James C. Fuller, Monmouth Beach, (908) 229-2204 $07750 \text { (908) 229-2204 }$ |
| N | William Nikitich, Neptune, 07753. Michael Bascom, Neptune, 07753 (908) 988-5200 (908) 988-5200 |
| Neptune | Ernest Hoftman, Neptune, 07753............................Joel Popkin, Jr., Neptune, 07753 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (908) 776-7224 }\end{array}$ |
| Ocean | Alba Dello, Oakhurst, 07755. $\qquad$ Martin L. Bailey, Oakhurst, 07755 (908) 531-5000 <br> (908) 531-5000 |
| Oceanport B | Ernest G. Hoffman, Oceanport, 07757 .Peggy L. Warren, Oceanport, 07757 (908) 222-8221 (908) 222-8221 <br> Frank A. Anfuso, Oceanport <br> George F. Barrett, Oceanport |
| Red Bank | A. Fred Maffeo, Red Bank, 07701 $\qquad$ Bruce Loversidge, Red Bank, 07701 (908) 530-2767 <br> (908) 530-2742 |
| elt | Michael L. Ticktin, Roosevelt, 08555. $\qquad$ Dolores Clark, Roosevelt, 08555 (609) 448-0539 (609) 448-0539 |
| Rumson | Robert J. Smith, Rumson, 07760. Marie Pomphrey, Rumson, 07760 (908) 842-3302 (908) 842-1170 |
| Sea Brigh | Frank A. Anfuso, Sea Bright, 07760..................... Patricia Spahr, Sea Bright, 07760 (908) 842-0099 (908) 842-0099 |
| Sea | William A. Burkhardt, Sea Girt, 08750 $\qquad$ Helen B. Brash, Sea Girt, 08750 (908) 449-9433 (908) 449-9433 |
| S | Bernard J. Marx, Little Silver, 07739. $\qquad$ Jane A. Longo, Shrewsbury, 07702 (908) 741-3322 <br> (908) 741-3322 |
| Shrewsbury | Bernard J. Marx, Little Silver, 07739. $\qquad$ Catherine Wright, Eatontown, 07724 (908) 542-0675 (908) 542-0675 |
| South | Mary Lou Hartman, South Belmar, 07719. $\qquad$ Charlotte Nappa, So. Belmar, 07719 (908) 681-3232 (908) 681-3232 |
| Spring Lake | William A. Burkhardt, Spring Lake, 07762.............Susan Schreck, Spring Lake, (908) 449-3888 $07762 \text { (908) 449-3888 }$ |
| Spring Lake Heights Boro | Barbara Clark, Spring Lake Heights, 07762..........Jeanne West, Spring Lake Heights, (908) 449-3500 $07762 \text { (908) 449-3500 }$ |
| Tinton Falls B | Frank C. Nelson, Tinton Falls, 07724 $\qquad$ Lois Emmons, Tinton Falls, 07724 (908) 542-2324 (908) 542-0797 |
| on | Robert Smith, Union Beach, 07735 $\qquad$ Eileen Schlemm, Union Beach, 07735 (908) 264-2360 <br> (908) 264-5662 |
| Upper F | William Nikitich, Cream Ridge, 08514................... Charles T. Faber, Jr., Cream Ridge, (609) $758-7738$ |
| Wall T | Thomas G. Glock, Wall, 07719. $\qquad$ Betty McKelvey, Wall, 07719 (908) 681-6300 <br> (908) 681-6300 |
| West Long Branch | F. Donald Squillante, W. Long Branch, 07764..... Frances Martinson, W. Long Branch, (908) 571-5984 $07764 \text { (908) 571-5984 }$ <br> Frank A. Anfuso, W. Long Branch |
| ASSESSORS, | DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN MORRIS COUNTY |
| TAXING DISTRICT | ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| Boonton Town | Paul G. Parsons, Boonton, 07005. $\qquad$ Robert Kapral, Boonton, 07005 (201) 299-7725 (201) 299-7721 |

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Boonton Township.............. Anthony Scozzafava, Boonton, 07005.................. Carol Champagne, Boonton, } 07005 \\ & \text { (201) 402-4004 } \\ \text { (201) } 402-4004\end{array}\right)$
Riverdale Borough..............Joseph A. DeStefano, Riverdale, 07457............... Kathleen VanOrden, Riverdale, 07457

(201) $835-4060$

| ASSESSORS, | DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN OCEAN COUNTY |
| :--- | :--- |
| ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS |  |$\quad$| COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| :--- |



ASSESSORS, DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COLLECTORS IN SALEM COUNTY
TAXING DISTRICT
ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS

| Peapack-Gladstone Bor | John J. Butler, Peapack, 07977.... (908) 234-2254 | Hattie L. Torma, Peapack, 07977 (908) 234-2251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raritan Borough | .Nicholas R. Cantore, Jr., Raritan, 08869 (908) 231-1300 | Jackson Hurst, Raritan, 08869 (908) 231-1300 |
| Rocky Hill Borough. | George L. Sopko, Somerville, 08876... (908) 722-5554 | Nancy Metcalf, Rocky Hill, 08553 (609) 924-7445, (Thur. A.M.) |
| Somerville Borough. | Eugene J. Flaherty, Somerville, 08876. (908) 725-2300 | .Janet Krommenhoek, Somerville, 08876 (908) 725-2300 |
| So. Bound Brook Boro | William J. lannone, So. Bound Brook, (908) 356-0258 | Catherine A. Hoats, S. Bound Brook, 08880 (908) 356-0258 |
| Warren Township | .Frances Reilly, Warren, 07060 (908) 753-8000 | Loree Saums, Warren, 07060 (908) 753-8000 |
| Watchung Boro | Vacancy, Watchung, 07060.... (908) 756-3366 | Dorothy P. Eaton, Watchung, 07059 (908) 756-8333 |
| ASSESSOR | DEPUTY ASSESSORS AND COL | IN SUSSEX COUNTY |
| TAXING DISTRICT | ASSESSOR-P.O. ADDRESS | COLLECTOR-P.O. ADDRESS |
| Andover Borough. | Malcolm G. Smith, Tranquility, 07879.... (908) 852-2186 | Rita Wildrick, Andover, 07821 (201) 786-6221 |
| Andover To | Donald J. Sherman, Newton, 07860...... (201) 383-2804 | Kathleen Schaffer, 07860 (201) 383-2144 |
| Branchville Boroug | Donald J. DeKorte, Branchville, 07826. (201) 948-4626 | Beverly Bathgate, Branchville, 07826 (201) 948-4626 |
| Byram Town | Donald Sherman, Stanhope, 07874...... (201) 347-4729 | George Micklesavage, Stanhope, 07874 (201) 347-7217 |
| Frankford Township. | John A. Dyksen, Augusta, 07822......... (201) 948-4621 | Carol Hahn, Augusta, 07822 (201) 948-4621 |
| Franklin B | Frank L. Pastor, Franklin, 07416........ (201) 827-9277 | Louise Koelhoffer, Franklin, 07416 (201) 827-6585 |
| Fredon Township | Donald J. DeKorte, Newton, 07860...... (201) 383-7025 | Christine J. Storch, Newton, 07860 (201) 383-7025 |
| Green Tow | Malcolm G. Smith, Tranquility, 07879.... (908) 852-9333 | Victoria Trogani, Tranquility 07879 (201) 852-2974 |
| Hamburg Borough | Donald J. DeKorte, Hamburg, 07419... (201) 827-9230 | Maryann Murphy, Hamburg, 07419 (201) 827-9230 |
| Hampton Township | John A. Dyksen, Newton, 07860 <br> (201) 383-3812 <br> John J. Butler, Gladstone | Carol Hahn, Newton, 07860 (201) 383-1692 |
| Hardyston Township | John A. Dyksen, Stockholm, 07460...... (201) 827-3912 | Gisela Boltzer, Hamburg, 07419 (201) 827-3619 |
| Hopatcong Borough. | Eleanor Schelling, Hopatcong, 07843... (201) 770-1200 Ext. 29 | Dorothy Valli, Hopatcong, 07843 (201) 770-1200 Ext. 27 |
| Layfayette Township | Lowry K. McMillen, Lafayette, 07848..... (201) 383-1817 | Linda V. Pettenger, Lafayette, 07848 (201) 383-1817 |
| Montague Township. | Lowry K. McMillen, Montague, 07827.... (201) 293-3366 | Pamela Jerger, Montague, 07827 <br> (201) 293-7300 |
| Newton T | Susan Yancey-Disbrow, Newton, 07860... (201) 383-3522 | Joyce Carr, Newton, 07860 (201) 383-3524 |
| Ogdensburg Borough... | John J. Butler, Ogdensburg, 07439...... (201) 827-3712 | Margaret Alfano, Ogdensburg, 07439 (201) 827-5934 |
| Sandyston Township. | Robert W. Pastor, Layton, 07851 (201) 948-3520 (Municipal Bldg.) Lowry K. McMillen, Layton | Beverly Bathgate, Branchville, 07826 (201) 948-3721 |
| Sparta Township | John W. Wyckoff, Sparta, 07871 (201) 729-2626 | Lorraine M. Markey, Sparta, 07871 (201) 729-4903 |
| Stanhope Borough. | Ann McKinnon, Stanhope, 07874 $\qquad$ (201) 347-0173 | Nancy Hoyt, Stanhope, 07874 (201) 347-0174 |
| Stillwater Township | Robert Pastor, Middleville, 07855.... (201) 383-9484 | Donna Clouse, Middleville, 07855 (201) 383-9484 |
| Sussex B | Melony K. Whetstone, Sussex, 07461... (201) 875-4831 | Loretta McDonald, Sussex, 07461 (201) 875-4831 |
| Vernon Township.. | Darlene Keeler, Vernon, 07462 <br> (201) 764-4056 | Josephine Prisco, Vernon, 07462 (201) 764-4057 |
| Walpack Township. | John Dyksen, Branchville, 07826. (201) 948-4562 | Delores Rosenkrans, Columbia, 07832 (908) 841-9582 |



Greenwich Township........... Eloise Hagaman, Stewartsville, 08886............... Gordon Kobler, Stewartsville, 08886

(908) $859-0909$
SUMMARY OF 1990 COUNTY TAX BOARD APPEALS
REPORTED PURSUANT TO C. 499 P.L. 1979 (N.J.S.A. 54:3

| County |  | 2$\substack{\text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Dispositions }}$ |  |  | 3 <br> Number of Appeals in each Class of Property |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Class 1 | Class 2 | Class 3A | Class 38 | Class 4 |  |
|  |  | Approved | Dismissed | Withdrawn | Vacant Land | Residential | Farm Regular | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Farm } \\ & \text { Qualified } \end{aligned}$ | Commercial Industrial Apartment | *Business <br> Personal <br> Property |
| Atlantic | 3,908 | 2,364 | 1,113 | 431 | 930 | 2,663 | 28 | 16 | 241 | 2 |
| Bergen | 7,430 | 3,746 | 3,194 | 490 | 691 | 6,133 | 0 | 0 | 597 | 9 |
| Burlington | 1,921 | 1,686 | 136 | 99 | 296 | 1,417 | 38 | 3 | 166 | 0 |
| Camden | 1,625 | 1,139 | 353 | 133 | 195 | 1,138 | 11 | 14 | 255 | 2 |
| Cape May | 2,370 | 1,781 | 529 | 60 | 424 | 1,780 | 12 | 2 | 152 | 0 |
| Cumberland | 1,458 | 958 | 397 | 103 | 172 | 1,226 | 20 | 3 | 36 | 0 |
| Essex | 5,477 | 4,641 | 191 | 170 | 182 | 4,341 | 0 | 0 | 954 | 0 |
| Gloucester | 1,519 | 1,211 | 178 | 130 | 616 | 698 | 41 | 15 | 149 | 0 |
| Hudson | 9,925 | 6,812 | 1,978 | 1,135 | 546 | 6,586 | 0 | 0 | 2,793 | 0 |
| Hunterdon | 1,439 | 942 | 517 | 30 | 379 | 872 | 94 | 20 | 108 | 6 |
| Mercer | 1,465 | 1,358 | 263 | 94 | 75 | 1,222 | 11 | 0 | 150 | 0 |
| Middlesex | 7,921 | 1,799 | 1,812 | 508 | 1,615 | 5,569 | 12 | 6 | 719 | 0 |
| Monmouth | 12,487 | 8,648 | 3,232 | 607 | 1,634 | 9,835 | 85 | 24 | 908 | 1 |
| Morris | 3,118 | 1,706 | 1,259 | 153 | 320 | 2,493 | 13 | 7 | 281 | 4 |
| Ocean | 8,515 | 7,082 | 917 | 516 | 2,710 | 5,482 | 13 | 44 | 266 434 | 0 |
| Passaic | 4,847 | 3,280 | 1,556 | 11 | 619 | 3,780 | 9 | 0 | 434 34 | 0 |
| Salem | 301 | 186 | 113 | 2 | 52 | 207 | 6 | ${ }_{10}$ | 34 198 | 12 |
| Somerset | 2,014 | 1,387 | 531 | 96 | 394 | 1,378 | 22 34 | 10 67 | 198 69 | 12 9 |
| Sussex | 1,632 | 1,086 | 512 | 34 | 410 | 1,043 | 34 0 | 67 0 | 69 451 | 9 0 |
| Union | 1,476 | 569 | 769 | 138 | 40 396 | 985 465 | 0 38 | 7 | 451 83 | 0 |
| $\frac{\text { Warren }}{\text { Total }}$ | 989 | 714 | 237 | 38 4.978 | 396 | 465 59,313 | 487 | 240 | 83 9,044 | 48 |
| Total | 81,837 | 53,095 | 19,787 | 4,978 | 12,696 | 59,313 | 487 | 240 | 9,044 | 48 |

*Telephone, telegraph and messenger systems companies
SUMMARY OF 1990 COUNTY TAX BOARD APPEALS
REPORT PURSUANT TO C. 499 P.L. 1979 (N.J.S.A. 54:3-5.1)

| County | 4 <br> Original Amount of Assessments Involved | 5 <br> Total Amount of Assessment Reductions Granted | 6 <br> Total Amount of Assessment Increases Granted | 7 <br> Number of Appeals in Each Filing Fee Category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \$5.00 | \$25.00 | \$100.00 | \$150.00 | No Fee | Other |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic | 894,166,874 | 79,184,740 | 7,350,735 | 1,582 | 679 | 79 | 35 | 1,533 | 0 |
| Bergen | 2,711,408,769 | 311,775,167 | 7,515,143 | 2,376 | 3,356 | 387 | 245 | 1,030 | 36 |
| Burlington | 803,435,424 | 87,612,849 | 6,589,300 | 1,225 | 479 | 29 | 79 | 93 | 0 |
| Camden | 239,993,933 | 26,892,998 | 5,610,698 | 1,056 | 172 | 40 | 32 | 325 | 0 |
| Cape May | 843,830,800 | 82,862,900 | 5,036,400 | 693 | 551 | 127 | 50 | 935 | 13 |
| Cumberland | 131,514,420 | 16,869,890 | 79,000 | 1,351 | 91 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 0 |
| Essex | 507,212,700 | 110,903,700 | 750,000 | 3,640 | 1,467 | 255 | 115 | 426 | 0 |
| Gloucester | 245,993,200 | 41,023,350 | 4,889,500 | 484 | 96 | 21 | 20 | 911 | 1 |
| Hudson | 2,972,535,027 | 305,318,460 | 300,500 | 6,030 | 2,984 | 366 | 361 | 184 | 0 |
| Hunterdon | 467,752,798 | 60,298,338 | 6,773,035 | 332 | 636 | 56 | 33 | 0 | 428 |
| Mercer | 187,716,990 | 22,069,225 | 350,350 | 888 | 259 | 23 | 21 | 274 | 0 |
| Middlesex | 503,978,160 | 130,901,700 | 2,522,200 | 3,741 | 3,227 | 189 | 209 | 555 | 0 |
| Monmouth | 2,696,614,732 | 278,396,712 | 6,592,785 | 6,068 | 4,172 | 380 | 214 | 1.653 | 0 |
| Morris | 727,625,215 | 58,703,248 | 2,927,110 | 1,679 | 972 | 129 | 70 | 260 | 8 |
| Ocean | 1,102,503,221 | 163,523,690 | 6,994,900 | 5,332 | 753 | 97 | 45 | 2,288 | 0 |
| Passaic | 873,545,317 | 71,230,419 | 575,705 | 1,957 | 1,389 | 63 | 39 | 1,399 | 0 |
| Salem | 24,286,700 | 2,775,925 | 0 | 272 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Somerset | 532,705,411 | 46,764,110 | 7,409,542 | 605 | 582 | 84 | 50 | 693 | 0 |
| Sussex | 228,695,035 | 21,945,990 | 2,064,795 | 810 | 320 | 19 | 11 | 472 | 0 |
| Union | 423,140,375 | 31,695,400 | 940,300 | 819 | 443 | 124 | 44 | 46 | 0 |
| Warren | 209,734,511 | 32,816,550 | 1,256,179 | 387 | 211 | 25 | 15 | 351 | 0 |
| Total | \$17,328,389,612 | \$1,983,565,361 | \$76,528,177 | 41,327 | 22,864 | 2,499 | 1,694 | 13,436 | 486 |

(a)

## APPENDIX 2

TAXES COLLECTED FOR DISTRIBUTION TO MUNICIPALITIES

# TAXES COLLECTED BY THE STATE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO MUNICIPALITIES 

1991
The attached Tables reflect State aid to municipalities totaling $\$ 897,292,417$ in 1991.

## buSiness personal property replacement tax

 (N.J.S.A. 54:11D-1 et seq.)Municipalities are assured of receiving no less from business personal property tax replacements than the greatest of the local levy of personal property tax in 1964, 1965, 1966, and 1967. Four tax laws are specified as the source for obtaining the required revenue. Any excess yield will be distributed in proportion to the local property tax level upon commercial, industrial and farm real estate. To Municipalities $\$ 158,703,834$. Excess was $\$ 51,868,646$.

Legislation was passed which provides an annual appropriation of not less than $\$ 158,703,834$, which was the amount certified by the Director of the Division of Taxation October 15, 1976 (C. 3, P.L. 1977).

## CORPORATION TAX

FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS
(N.J.S.A. 54:10B-1 et seq.)
(N.J.S.A. 54:10A-1 et seq.)

This is a State collected tax on financial businesses in substantial competition with the business of national banks. Distribution is $50 \%$ State; $25 \%$ municipalities and $25 \%$ counties.

To Municipalities $\$ 6,118,105$.

## MUNICIPAL PURPOSES TAX ASSISTANCE FUND

Revenue available under C. 10 and 11, P.L. 1980 is deposited in the Municipal Purposes Tax Assistance Fund for distribution under C. 12, P.L. 1980.

To Municipalities $\$ 30,000,000$.

## PUBLIC UTILITY

(N.J.S.A. 54:30A-16 et seq.)

The Division of Taxation collects the Public Utility Franchise Tax and the Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax for payment to municipalities.

To Municipalities \$685,000,000.

## INSURANCE FRANCHISE

(N.J.S.A. 54:18-12 et seq. and N.J.S.A. 54:16A-1 et seq.)

Insurance franchise taxes are payable by domestic insurance companies ( $87-1 / 2 \%$ ) to the municipality and ( $12-1 / 2 \%$ ) to the county in which the principal office of the taxpayer is located. The Division of Taxation collects the tax for payment to municipalities.

To Counties $\$ 2,497,743$; to Municipalities $\$ 17,470,478$.
TAXES COLLECTED BY THE STATE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO MUNICIPALITIES-1991

| County | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parsonal Property Tax Replacement | Financlal Business Tax | Public Utilly Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Asslatance Fund | Gross Recelpts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Atlantic | \$ 3,052,142 | \$ 219,704 | \$ 579,939 | \$ 23,424,355 |  | \$ 27,276,140 |
| 2. Bergen | 15,294,316 | 351,126 | 973,709 | 66,209,601 | \$ 184,750 | 83,013,502 |
| 3. Burlington | 3,926,242 | 290,814 | 1,353,127 | 32,148,170 |  | 37,718,353 |
| 4. Camden | 7,819,824 | 730,694 | 4,465,952 | 36,235,566 | 237,809 | 49,489,845 |
| 5. Cape May | 1,196,084 | 22,950 | 183,490 | 14,745,504 | ........... | 16,148,028 |
| 6. Cumberland | 2,325,375 | 36,521 | 1,226,828 | 7,847,093 |  | 11,435,817 |
| 7. Essex .......................... | 28,506,680 | 700,778 | 5,596,996 | 55,047,759 | 11,759,611 | 101,611,824 |
| 8. Gloucester | 2,456,932 | 44,143 | 1,349,123 | 16,104,635 |  | 19,954,833 |
| 9. Hudson | 19,918,991 | 54,315 | 3,293,980 | 64,657,523 | 63 | 87,924,872 |
| 10. Hunterdon .................... | 1,715,408 | 31,250 | 64,518 | 11,662,243 |  | 13,473,419 |
| 11. Mercer | 7,483,643 | 538,131 | 1,672,638 | 33,133,556 | 1,129,710 | 43,957,678 |
| 12. Middlesex | 14,796,561 | 700,250 | 1,434,747 | 75,290,109 | ........... | 92,221,667 |
| 13. Monmouth | 5,444,365 | 367,964 | 1,121,371 | 46,683,667 |  | 53,617,367 |
| 14. Morris | 6,868,224 | 437,233 | 399,841 | 32,541,669 | 3,472,542 | 43,719,509 |
| 15. Ocean | 2,003,799 | 197,041 | 972,784 | 39,160,251 | ........... | 42,333,875 |
| 16. Passaic | 10,756,997 | 646,090 | 2,503,887 | 24,471,695 | 166 | 38,378,835 |
| 17. Salem | 2,935,797 | 21,346 | 232,797 | 12,956,682 | ............ | 16,146,622 |
| 18. Somerset | 4,996,777 | 645,177 | 241,872 | 21,114,943 |  | 26,998,769 |
| 19. Sussex | 1,302,404 | 13,540 | 245,701 | 6,998,769 | 591,389 | 9,151,803 |
| 20. Union | 14,244,444 | 64,218 | 1,880,090 | 55,995,077 | 94,438 | 72,278,267 |
| 21. Warren .......................... | 1,658,829 | 4,820 | 206,609 | 8,571,133 | ............ | 10,441,391 |
| Totals | \$158,703,834 | \$6,118,105 | \$30,000,000 | \$685,000,000 | \$17,470,478 | \$897,292,417 |

Difference in Totals Due to Rounding
ATLANTIC COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Assistance } \\ \text { Fund } \end{gathered}$ | Gross <br> Recelpts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Absecon City | \$ 31,079 | . | \$ 37,020 | \$ 513,654 |  | \$ 581,753 |
| 2. Atlantic City | 1,699,035 |  |  | 6,226,565 | \$ 1,486 | 7,927,086 |
| 3. Brigantine City .............. | 28,362 | .......... | 10,077 | 588,591 | ........... | 627,030 |
| 4. Buena Borough ............. | 45,562 |  | 42,071 | 259,096 | $\ldots$ | 346,729 |
| 5. Buena Vista Township ... | 45,571 |  |  | 412,475 | ........... | 458,046 |
| 6. Corbin City .. | 2,005 |  | 907 | 54,290 | ........... | 57,202 |
| 7. Egg Harbor City | 62,001 |  | 40,240 | 243,492 | $\ldots$ | 345,733 |
| 8. Egg Harbor Township ... | 115,564 |  | 44,699 | 5,114,310 | ........... | 5,274,573 |
| 9. Estelle Manor City ......... | 7,679 | ........... | 15,565 | 146,392 | ........... | 154,071 |
| 10. Folsom Borough | 22,273 |  | 15,565 | 128,129 | ......... | 165,967 |
| 11. Galloway Township ........ | 114,459 |  | 50,218 | 2,085,896 | ........... | 2,250,573 |
| 12. Hamilton Township ........ | 149,577 | ........... | ........... | 2,511,018 | ........... | 2,660,595 |
| 13. Hammonton Town ........ | 197,737 | \$ 6 | 70,420 | 774,668 | ........... | 1,042,831 |
| 14. Linwood City ............ | 40,940 | 158,994 | 9,923 | 400,046 | ... | 609,903 |
| 15. Longport Borough ........ | 6,337 |  | ... | 119,359 | ............ | 125,696 |
| 16. Margate City ................. | 55,561 | ........... | 5,868 | 696,115 | ............ | 757,544 |
| 17. Mullica Township .......... | 33,505 |  | 15,395 | 275,169 | ........... | 324,069 |
| 18. Northfield City ........ | 93,913 | 4,546 | 30,564 | 443,591 | ........... | 572,614 |
| 19. Pleasantville City ........... | 138,443 | 56,158 | 140,996 | 987,692 | ........... | 1,323,289 |
|  | 5,963 |  | ............ | 166,618 | ........... | 172,581 |
| 20. Port Republic City ........ 21. Somers Point City ....... | 82,199 |  | 49,056 | 574,341 |  | 705,596 |
| 22. Ventnor City | 65,845 |  | 11,140 | 466,963 | ........... | 543,948 |
| 23. Weymouth Township .... | 8,531 | ........... | 5,781 | 235,885 | ........... | 250,197 |
| Totals | \$3,052,141 | \$219,704 | \$579,940 | \$23,424,355 | \$1,486 | \$27,277,626 |

Difference in Totals Due to Rounding
BERGEN COUNTY

BERGEN COUNTY（Continued）

|  | \％ |  | む－ ద్ల్లై <br>  |  <br>  |  두N | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\circ}$ |  | ¢ |
|  |  |  |  <br>  |  <br>  | ๗్ర్రీ <br>  |  |
|  |  | 呂 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ¢0¢ | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | N |
|  |  |  |  ＂－ |  <br>  | な <br> ずすがめ゚がべべずの |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{0}{\circ}}$ |

BURLINGTON COUNTY

BURLINGTON COUNTY (Continued)

| Taxing Districts | Collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gross Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 26. North Hanover Twp. | 21,776 | $\ldots . . . . . .$. |  | 482,350 | ........... | 504,126 |
| 27. Palmyra Borough .......... | 51,528 | $\ldots$ | 48,330 | 336,934 | ........... | 436,792 |
| 28. Pemberton Borough ...... | 10,292 |  | 12,405 | 37,597 | ........... | 60,294 |
| 29. Pemberton Township ..... | 50,811 |  | 340,201 | 1,409,143 | ............ | 1,800,155 |
| 30. Riverside Township ........ | 177,823 | 6,949 | 22,299 | 482,264 | ........... | 689,335 |
| 31. Riverton Borough | 15,292 | ........... | 14,084 | 155,820 | $\ldots$ | 185,196 |
| 32. Shamong Township ....... | 12,908 | $\ldots$ |  | 241,612 | ....... | 254,520 |
| 33. Southampton Twp. ......... | 40,674 40,111 | ............. | 5,288 | 750,389 311,643 | ............ | 791,063 |
| 35. Tabernacle Township .... | 14,853 | ......... |  | 454,301 |  | 469,154 |
| 36. Washington Township ... | 23,295 | ........ |  | 53,456 | ............ | 76,751 |
| 37. Westampton Twp. .......... | 45,081 | ....... | 11,695 | 500,128 | ............ | 556,904 |
| 38. Willingboro Township .... | 146,373 | ............ | 315,212 | 1,603,808 | ............ | 2,065,393 |
| 39. Woodland Township ...... | 6,643 |  | 6,165 41,966 | 94,167 64,821 | $\ldots$ | 106,975 126,820 |
| 40. Wrightstown Borough .... | 17,947 | 2,086 | 41,966 | 64,821 | ............ | 126,820 |
| Totals | \$3,926,242 | \$290,814 | \$1,353,129 | \$32,148,170 | $\ldots$. | \$37,718,355 |

CAMDEN COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insurance } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Audubon Borough .......... | \$ 149,537 | ........... | \$ 63,529 | \$ 573,811 |  | \$ 786,877 |
| 2. Audubon Park ............... | 8,900 | ........... | 33,247 | 16,849 | ........... | 58,996 |
| 3. Barrington Borough ....... | 241,286 | ........... | 47,844 | 377,985 |  | 667,115 |
| 4. Bellmawr Borough .......... | 104,441 |  | 95,508 | 729,425 | ........... | 929,374 |
| 5. Berlin Borough .............. | 60,669 | \$ 38,595 | 11,355 | 361,211 | $\ldots$ | 471,830 |
| 6. Berlin Township ............. | 14,137 |  | 12,557 | 1,201,769 | ............ | 1,228,463 |
| 7. Brooklawn Borough ....... | 28,529 |  | 13,062 | 130,499 | ........... | 172,090 |
| 8. Camden City ................. | 3,052,370 |  | 1,942,397 | 5,431,408 |  | 10,426,175 |
| 9. Cherry Hill Township ..... | 1,206,985 | 133,808 | 97,897 | 4,844,508 | \$ 237,809 | 6,521,007 |
| 10. Chesilhurst Borough ...... | 5,740 |  | 17,229 | 81,031 |  | 104,000 |
| 11. Clementon Borough ...... | 37,522 | 5,296 | 58,892 | 206,827 | ........... | 308,537 |
| 12. Collingswood Borough .. | 115,852 | 3,799 | 126,204 | 624,930 | ........... | 870,785 |
| 13. Gibbsboro Borough ....... | 58,587 | ............ | 4,361 | 199,122 | ........... | 262,070 |
| 14. Gloucester City .............. | 392,858 | ............ | 130,352 | 1,568,231 | ............ | 2,091,441 |
| 15. Gloucester Township ..... | 156,115 | ........... | 464,371 | 2,616,163 | ........... | 3,236,649 |
| 16. Haddon Township ......... | 111,168 |  | 33,530 | 765,343 |  | 910,041 |
| 17. Haddonfield Borough .... | 109,678 | 432,169 | 14,000 | 702,875 | ........... | 1,258,722 |
| 18. Haddon Heights Boro ... | 45,423 | 16,884 | 43,665 | 555,583 | ........... | 661,555 |
| 19. Hi-Nella Borough ........... | 4,457 |  | 11,766 | 52,642 |  | 68,865 |
| 20. Lauren Springs Boro ..... | 10,871 | 69,878 | 17,460 | 146,746 | ............ | 244,955 |
| 21. Lawnside Borough ........ | 43,644 | ........... | 23,499 | 388,953 | ........... | 456,096 |
| 22. Lindenwold Borough ..... | 25,768 | ........... | 187,257 | 588,650 | ........... | 801,675 |
| 23. Magnolia Borough ........ | 34,776 | ........... | 43,300 | 260,309 | . | 338,385 |
| 24. Merchantville Borough ... | 29,519 |  | 26,101 | 292,328 | ............ | 347,948 |
| 25. Mt. Ephraim Borough .... | 32,157 | ........... | 32,983 | 252,980 |  | 318,120 |

CAMDEN COUNTY (Continued)

| Taxing Districta | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Porsonal Property Tax Replacement | Financlal Business Tax | Public Ulilly Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Groes } \\ \text { Recolpte } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 26. Oaklyn Borough ............ | 26,707 |  | 33,128 | 204,196 | ....... | 264,031 |
| 27. Pennsauken Township .. | 1,142,006 | 28,921 | 199,650 | 3,666,462 | .......... | 5,037,039 |
| 28. Pine Hill Borough ......... | 9,757 | ........... | 134,607 | 435,932 | ........... | 580,296 |
| 29. Pine Valley .................... | 949 | ........... |  | 1,751 | ...... | 2,700 |
| 30. Runnemede Borough .... | 69,972 | ........... | 70,954 | 533,576 | ........... | 674,502 |
| 31. Somerdale Borough ....... | 41,002 | ............ | 39,295 | 313,736 | ........... | 394,033 |
| 32. Stratford Borough .......... | 59,598 | ............ | 18,784 | 459,491 | ............ | 537,873 |
| 33. Tavistock Borough ......... | 2,316 |  | 37 | 1,002 |  | 3,355 |
| 34. Voorhees Township ....... | 150,478 | 1,343 | 33,247 | 1,474,501 | ........... | 1,659,569 |
| 35. Waterford Township ....... | 28,736 | ......... | 88,216 | 746,199 | ........... | 863,151 |
| 36. Winslow Township ......... | 197,908 | ............ | 262,016 | 5,342,704 | ........... | 5,802,628 |
| 37. Woodlynne Borough ...... | 9,410 | ............ | 33,651 | 85,838 | ........... | 128,899 |
| Totals | \$7,819,824 | \$730,693 | \$4,465,951 | \$36,235,566 | \$237,809 | \$49,489,843 |

CAPE MAY COUNTY

| Texing Districte | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Roplacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | $\underset{\text { Tax }}{\text { Insurance }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Assistance } \\ \text { Fund } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Receipts \& } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1. Avalon Borough .............. | \$ 31,033 | ..... | ............ | \$ 429.876 | .......... | \$ 460,909 |
| 2. Cape May City .............. | 108,826 | $\ldots .$. | ........... | 309,269 | ........... | 418,095 |
| 3. Cape May Point Boro ... | 236 | ............ |  | 21,982 | ........... | 22,218 |
| 4. Dennis Township ........... | 16,927 | ........ | \$ 11,061 | 975,135 | $\ldots . . . . .$. | 1,003,123 |
| 5. Lower Township ............. | 149,040 | $\ldots$ | 92,140 | 974,581 | ...... | 1,215,761 |
| 6. Middle Township ........... | 96,299 | ........... | 31,183 | 3,235,392 | .... | 3,362,874 |
| 7. North Wildwood City ..... | 98,179 |  | 3,767 | 396,016 | ..... | 497,962 |
| 8. Ocean City ..................... | 223,828 | \$ 22,950 | .......... | 2,230,147 | $\ldots . .$. | 2,476,925 |
| 9. Sea Isle City .................. | 18,817 | ........... | ........... | 305,562 | ..... | 324,379 |
| 10. Stone Harbor Borough | 20,604 | ........... | .......... | 230,736 | $\ldots$ | 251,340 |
| 11. Upper Township | 9,436 | ......... |  | 4,217,732 | .......... | 4,227,168 |
| 12. West Cape May Boro ... | 4,804 |  | 1,072 | 80,266 | ............ | 86,142 |
| 13. West Wildwood Boro ..... | 2,567 | ........... | 385 | 38,493 | $\ldots$ | 41,445 |
| 14. Wildwood City .............. | 267,082 | ........... | 3,396 | 881,527 | $\ldots . . . . .$. | 1,152,005 |
| 15. Wildwood Crest Boro .... 16. Woodbine Borough | 124,308 | ........... |  | 337,895 | .... | 462,203 |
| 16. Woodbine Borough | 24,099 |  | 40,487 | 80,895 | $\ldots$ | 145,481 |
| Totals | \$1,196,085 | \$22,950 | \$183,491 | \$14,745,504 | .......... | \$16,148,030 |

CUMBERLAND COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Buainess Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Recelpts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Bridgeton City ............... | \$ 579,481 | \$ 29 | \$ 287,092 | \$ 818,209 | ............ | \$ 1,684,811 |
| 2. Commercial Township ... | 39,468 |  | 63,181 | 268,743 | ........... | 371,392 |
| 3. Deerfield Township ....... | 26,042 |  | ........... | 178,172 | ........... | 204,214 |
| 4. Downe Township ........... | 33,870 |  | 4,762 | 170,480 | ........... | 209,112 |
| 5. Fairfield Township ......... | 20,645 | ......... | 32,909 | 255,976 | ........... | 309,530 |
| 6. Greenwich Township ..... | 19,910 | ........... | ........... | 48,747 | ........... | 68,657 |
| 7. Hopewell Township ....... | 36,495 | ......... | ........... | 156,006 | ........... | 192,501 |
| 8. Lawrence Township ...... | 29,219 |  | 20,657 | 139,821 | ........... | 189,697 |
| 9. Maurice River Twp. ........ | 59,276 |  | 104,699 | 383,755 | ........... | 547,730 |
| 10. Millville City ................... | 478,330 | 27 | 232,097 | 2,082,467 |  | 2,792,921 |
| 11. Shiloh Borough ............. | 4,598 |  | 1,260 | 19,093 |  | 24,951 |
| 12. Stow Creek Township ... | 20,760 |  |  | 72,633 |  | 93,393 |
| 13. Upper Deerfield Twp. .... | 109,659 | ........... | ........... | 1,000,087 | ........... | 1,109,746 |
| 14. Vineland City ................. | 867,623 | 36,464 | 480,173 | 2,252,904 |  | 3,637,164 |
| Totals ........................ | \$2,325,375 | \$36,520 | \$1,226,830 | \$7,847,093 | ........... | \$11,435,818 |

ESSEX COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Belleville Town | \$ 910,854 |  | \$ 174,600 | \$ 1,923,975 |  | \$ 3,009,429 |
| 2. Bloomfield Town ....... | 1,039,063 | \$ 3,877 | 198,137 | 2,158,938 | ........... | 3,400,015 |
| 3. Caldwell Borough ....... | 123,074 |  | 10,432 | 498,807 | ........... | 632,313 |
| 4. Cedar Grove Twp. ......... | 169,251 |  | 12,487 | 792,908 |  | 974,646 |
| 5. East Orange City .......... | 1,418,777 | ......... | 1,033,548 | 2,895,788 | \$ 80,995 | 5,429,108 |
| 6. Essex Fells Township .... | 6,004 | 1,296 | ........... | 196,537 |  | 203,837 |
| 7. Fairfield Township .......... | 507,852 | 3 |  | 873,136 | ........... | 1,380,991 |
| 8. Glen Ridge Boro Twp. .. | 42,030 |  | 7,610 | 281,232 | ........... | 330,872 |
| 9. Irvington Township ........ | 724,901 | 1,444 | 678,573 | 1,999,356 |  | 3,404,274 |
| 10. Livingston Township ...... | 406,901 | 4,711 |  | 2,184,995 | 277,898 | 2,874,505 |
| 11. Maplewood Township .... | 296,572 | 239 | 28,232 | 1,173,030 | ........... | 1,498,073 |
| 12. Millburn Township ......... | 437,625 | 285 |  | 2,132,732 |  | 2,570,642 |
| 13. Montclair Township ........ | 393,966 | ........... | 43,875 | 1,983,599 |  | 2,421,440 |
| 14. Newark City .................. | 19,172,987 | 86,090 | 2,918,357 | 25,193,491 | 11,400,718 | 58,771,643 |
| 15. North Caldwell Twp. ...... | 26,802 | ........... | 5,562 | 396,870 | ........... | 429,234 |
| 16. Nutley Township ........... | 600,199 | 6 | 34,837 | 1,572,530 | ........... | 2,207,572 |
| 17. Orange City Township .. | 799,989 | 4,227 | 359,120 | 1,854,717 | ............ | 3,018,053 |
| 18. Roseland Borough ........ | 162,787 | 446 |  | 732,090 | ........... | 895,323 |
| 19. S. Orange Vige. Twp. ... | 164,009 | 3,417 | 21,219 | 1,165,358 |  | 1,354,003 |
| 20. Verona Township .......... | 174,455 |  | 14,728 | 718,319 | ........... | 907,502 |
| 21. West Caldwell Twp. | 242,160 |  | 8,742 | 1,053,333 |  | 1,304,235 |
| 22. West Orange Twp. ........ | 686,421 | 594,737 | 46,939 | 3,266,018 |  | 4,594,115 |
| Totals ......................... | \$28,506,680 | \$700,778 | \$5,596,998 | \$55,047,759 | \$11,759,611 | \$101,611,826 |

GLOUCESTER COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Porsonal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Businese Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | Gross Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Clayton Borough ........... | \$ 45,148 |  | \$ 62,698 | \$ 330,209 | ........... | \$ 438,055 |
| 2. Deptford Township ......... | 169,201 | \$ 1,212 | 175,751 | 1,427,990 | ......... | 1,774,154 |
| 3. East Greenwich Twp. .... | 33,032 |  |  | 1,142,786 | $\ldots$ | 1,175,818 |
| 4. Elk Township ................ | 19,123 | ........... | 32,791 | 289,201 | ....... | 341,115 |
| 5. Franklin Township ......... | 56,009 |  | 120,947 | 1,045,960 | $\ldots .$. | 1,222,916 |
| 6. Glassboro Borough ........ | 245,855 | 3,368 | 148,031 | 1,155,709 | ....... | 1,552,963 |
| 7. Greenwich Township ..... | 393,108 |  | 4,397 | 420,171 | $\ldots . .$. | 817,676 |
| 8. Harrison Township ......... | 38,490 | ............ | 11,245 | 332,140 | ...... | 381,875 |
| 9. Logan Township ............. | 66,548 | ........... |  | 498,816 | ...... | 565,364 |
| 10. Mantua Township ........... | 60,696 | ........... | 70,793 | 576,892 | ............ | 708,381 |
| 11. Monroe Township .......... | 126,176 | $\ldots . . . . . . .$. | 234,802 | 3,199,126 | $\ldots$ | 3,560,104 |
| 12. National Park Boro ........ | 6,747 | ............ | 41,494 | 350,654 | ....... | 398,895 |
| 13. Newfield Borough ......... | 20,446 | ............ | 12,270 | 77,430 | ....... | 110,146 |
| 14. Paulsboro Borough ........ | 122,362 | ............ | 54,118 | 192,548 | ..... | 369,028 |
| 15. Pitman Borough ............ | 120,457 | ........... | 71,133 | 315,330 | ....... | 506,920 |
| 16. South Harrison Twp. ..... | 20,757 |  |  | 80,447 | ...... | 101,204 |
| 17. Swedesboro Borough .... | 86,783 |  | 18,629 | 110,750 | ...... | 216,162 |
| 18. Washington Township ... | 106,320 | 32,914 | 97,783 | 1,855,978 | ........... | 2,092,995 |
| 19. Wenonah Borough ......... | 3,976 | ........... | 4,887 | 99,630 | ............ | 108,493 |
| 20. West Deptford Twp. ...... | 407,630 | ....... | 36,117 | 1,182,649 | ....... | 1,626,396 |
| 21. Westville Borough .......... | 45,409 | 2,099 | 39,240 | 235,796 |  | 322,544 |
| 22. Woodbury City .............. | 161,160 | 4,551 | 83,523 | 729,133 | ........ | 978,367 |
| 23. Woodbury Hts. Boro ..... | 41,968 |  | 22,161 | 198,610 | ............ | 262,739 |
| 24. Woolwich Township ....... | 59,528 | ............ | 6,315 | 256,680 | ............ | 322,523 |
| Totals | \$2,456,932 | \$44,144 | \$1,349,125 | \$16,104,635 | ........... | \$19,954,836 |

HUDSON COUNTY

| Taxing Disticte | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financlal Business Tax | Public Utilly Tax |  | Insurance$\operatorname{Tax}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Receipts \& } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1. Bayonne City | \$ 2,816,890 | \$ 4,646 | \$ 340,714 | \$ 2,964,066 | ........... | \$ 6,126,316 |
| 2. East Newark Borough ... | 223,317 |  | 11,861 | 90,031 |  | 325,209 |
| 3. Guttenburg Town ........... | 104,817 | 2,761 | 35,062 | 199,227 | $\ldots . . .$. | 341,867 |
| 4. Harrison Town ............... | 1,109,919 |  | 63,357 | 7,108,751 |  | 8,282,027 |
| 5. Hoboken City ................. | 1,963,236 |  | 59,812 | 1,842,140 |  | 3,865,188 |
| 6. Jersey City City ............. | 6,978,226 | 41,913 | 1,656,745 | 30,447,859 | \$ 63 | 39,124,806 |
| 7. Kearny Town ................ | 2,268,537 |  | 46,700 | 14,714,835 | ........ | 17,030,072 |
| 8. North Bergen Twp. ........ | 1,176,441 | ............ | 230,553 | 2,796,455 | ...... | 4,203,449 |
| 9. Secaucus Town ............. | 646,635 |  |  | 1,373,971 | $\ldots$ | 2,020,606 |
| 10. Union City City .............. | 1,163,791 | 4,989 | 548,414 | 1,528,096 | ........ | 3,245,290 |
| 11. Weehawken Township ... | 504,136 |  | 15,893 | 479,239 | ......... | 999,268 |
| 12. West New York Town ... | 963,046 | 6 | 284,869 | 1,112,853 | ......... | 2,360,774 |
| Totals | \$19,918,991 | \$54,315 | \$3,293,980 | \$64,657,523 | \$63 | \$87,924,872 |

HUNTERDON COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Alexandria Township ..... | \$ 45,779 | ........... | ........... | \$ 194,615 | .... | \$ 240,394 |
| 2. Bethlehem Township ..... | 30,934 |  |  | 195,755 | ........... | 226,689 |
| 3. Bloomsbury Borough ..... | 13,544 |  | \$ 1,213 | 29,396 | ........... | 44,153 |
| 4. Califon Borough ............ | 13,530 |  | 1,312 | 69,570 | ........ | 84,412 |
| 5. Clinton Town ................ | 42,364 | \$ 11,876 | 2,259 | 86,998 | .......... | 143,497 |
| 6. Clinton Township .......... | 100,233 | ........... | .......... | 724,154 | ........... | 824,387 |
| 7. Delaware Township ....... | 72,078 | ........... | .......... | 234,151 | $\ldots$ | 306,229 |
| 8. East Amwell Township .. | 59,095 | 11 | ......... | 178,916 | ........... | 238,022 |
| 9. Flemington Borough ...... | 108,091 | 19,195 | 4,457 | 217,755 | .......... | 349,498 |
| 10. Franklin Township ......... | 53,402 |  |  | 195,293 | .......... | 248,695 |
| 11. Frenchtown Borough ..... | 45,749 | ........... | 2,390 | 50,966 | .......... | 99,105 |
| 12. Glen Gardner Boro ........ | 6,240 | ........... | 2,698 | 71,675 | ......... | 80,613 |
| 13. Hampton Borough ........ | 7,717 | ...... | 8,138 | 38,776 | ........... | 54,631 |
| 14. High Bridge Borough .... | 84,678 | ........... | 6,339 | 114,238 |  | 205,255 |
| 15. Holland Township ......... | 51,415 | ........... | ........... | 2,632,547 | \$ 9 | 2,683,971 |
| 16. Kingwood Township ..... | 53,838 | ........ |  | 216,689 | ...... | 270,527 |
| 17. Lambertville City ............ | 76,856 | ........... | 5,081 | 179,595 | ........... | 261,532 |
| 18. Lebanon Borough ......... | 11,313 |  | ........... | 76,080 | ........... | 87,393 |
| 19. Lebanon Township ....... | 48,263 | ............ | ............ | 2,485,676 | ............ | 2,533,939 |
| 20. Milford Borough ............ | 192,499 |  |  | 146,092 |  | 338,591 |
| 21. Raritan Township .......... | 318,031 |  | 16,381 | 1,656,259 | ........ | 1,990,671 |
| 22. Readington Township .... | 109,472 | ........... | 13,195 | 1,084,483 | ........... | 1,207,150 |
| 23. Stockton Borough ......... | 5,690 |  | 1,056 | 33,349 |  | 40,095 |
| 24. Tewksbury Township ..... | 84,970 |  | ........... | 396,236 | ........... | 481,206 |
| 25. Union Township ............ | 49,485 | 169 | ........... | 184,176 | ........... | 233,830 |
| 26. West Amwell Twp. ......... | 30,142 |  | ............ | 168,803 |  | 198,945 |
| Totals ........................ | \$1,715,408 | \$31,251 | \$64,519 | \$11,662,243 | \$9 | \$13,473,430 |

MERCER COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | Collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacemen | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | InsuranceTax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assiatance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Recolipts \& } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1. East Windsor Twp. ........ | \$ 283,940 | \$ 20,073 | \$ 36,302 | \$ 2,545,132 |  | \$ 2,885,447 |
| 2. Ewing Township ............ | 1,268,158 | 213 | 61,793 | 2,171,753 | \$ 1,128,053 | 4,629,970 |
| 3. Hamilton Township ........ | 977,727 | 38,844 | 449,040 | 15,036,713 | .......... | 16,502,324 |
| 4. Hightstown Borough ...... | 86,806 | 2,131 | 25,288 | 255,766 | ..... | 369,991 |
| 5. Hopewell Borough ......... | 32,916 |  | 2,438 | 108,217 | .......... | 143,571 |
| 6. Hopewell Township ........ | 277,737 |  |  | 1,230,172 |  | 1,507,909 |
| 7. Lawrence Township ....... | 596,204 | 438,581 | 26,949 | 2,751,817 | 1,657 | 3,815,208 |
| 8. Pennington Borough ...... | 22,639 |  |  | 144,138 | .......... | 166,777 |
| 9. Princeton Borough ......... | 190,926 |  | 13,451 | 725,712 | .......... | 930,089 |
| 10. Princeton Township ....... | 142,513 | 36,391 |  | 1,144,621 | .......... | 1,323,525 |
| 11. Trenton City | 3,282,238 | 1,899 | 1,037,374 | 3,979,055 |  | 8,300,566 |
| 12. Washington Township ... | 63,682 |  | 7.618 | 1,180,404 | ........... | 1,251,704 |
| 13. West Windsor Twp. ....... | 258,156 |  | 12,384 | 1,860,056 | ............ | 2,130,596 |
| Totals ........................ | \$7,483,643 | \$538,132 | \$1,672,637 | \$33,133,556 | \$1,129,710 | \$43,957,678 |

MIDDLESEX COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Ineurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Carteret Borough | \$ 893,876 | ........... | \$ 25,441 | \$1,229,079 | ........... | \$ 2,148,396 |
| 2. Cranbury Township ....... | 140,506 |  |  | 377,709 |  | 518,215 |
| 3. Dunellen Borough ......... | 213,462 |  | 10,518 | 323,827 | ........... | 547,807 |
| 4. East Brunswick Twp. ..... | 587,009 | \$ 28,246 | 49,845 | 2,794,437 | ........... | 3,459,537 |
| 5. Edison Township ........... | 1,328,231 | 31,775 | 94,811 | 14,974,631 | .... | 16,429,448 |
| 6. Helmetta Borough ......... | 68,541 |  | 5,031 | 71,601 |  | 145,173 |
| 7. Highland Park Boro ....... | 133,207 | ........... | 58,474 | 516,071 | ........... | 707,752 |
| 8. Jamesburg Borough ...... | 36,796 |  | 11,066 | 228,323 | ........... | 276,185 |
| 9. Metuchen Borough ....... | 296,771 | 5,285 | 14,078 | 953,020 |  | 1,269,154 |
| 10. Middlesex Borough ........ | 259,445 |  | 17,931 | 1,009,638 | ........... | 1,287,014 |
| 11. Millown Borough .......... | 98,343 | ............ | 8,571 | 186,490 |  | 293,404 |
| 12. Monroe Township ......... | 157,211 |  | 23,319 | 1,685,847 | ........... | 1,866,377 |
| 13. New Brunswick City ...... | 1,138,600 | 2,974 | 301,233 | 2,095,376 | ........... | 3,538,183 |
| 14. North Brunswick Twp. ... | 1,104,157 | 88,283 | 36,562 | 2,523,740 | ........... | 3,752,742 |
| 15. Old Bridge Township .... | 296,258 | 3,270 | 246,710 | 2,988,758 | ............ | 3,534,996 |
| 16. Perth Amboy City .......... | 1,672,439 | 3,401 | 211,140 | 1,625,573 |  | 3,512,553 |
| 17. Piscataway Township .... | 829,027 | 869 | 56,020 | 3,323,732 | ........... | 4,209,648 |
| 18. Plainsboro Township ..... | 74,379 | 16,215 | 12,255 | 1,091,494 | ........... | 1,194,343 |
| 19. Sayreville Borough ......... | 1,449,162 | 1,121 | 47,619 | 9,084,597 | ........... | 10,582,499 |
| 20. South Amboy City ........ | 57,453 |  |  | 3,551,865 | ......... | 3,609,318 |
| 21. South Brunswick Twp. .. | 607,979 | ......... |  | 4,438,659 |  | 5,046,638 |
| 22. South Plainfield Boro .... | 583,461 |  | 18,104 | 1,728,111 | ........... | 2,329,676 |
| 23. South River Borough ..... | 126,011 | ......... | 65,904 | 276,033 |  | 467,948 |
| 24. Spotswood Borough ...... | 236,687 |  | 13,792 | 389,405 | ............ | 639,884 |
| 25. Woodbridge Township .. | 2,407,549 | 518,811 | 106,321 | 17,822,093 |  | 20,854,774 |
| Totals ......................... | \$14,796,561 | \$700,250 | \$1,434,745 | \$75,290,109 | $\ldots$ | \$92,221,665 |

MONMOUTH COUNTY

MONMOUTH COUNTY (Continued)

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Roplacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross Recoipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 31. Matawan Borough ....... | 223,552 |  | 44,966 | 1,447,076 | ........... | 1,715,594 |
| 32. Middletown Township .... | 269,326 | 991 | 82,634 | 4,570,375 | ........... | 4,923,326 |
| 33. Millstone Township ....... | 45,521 |  |  | 644,975 | ........... | 690,496 |
| 34. Monmouth Bch. Boro .... | 7,947 | 280,555 | 2,382 | 248,658 | ........... | 539,542 |
| 35. Neptune Township ........ | 286,123 | 3,251 | 125,166 | 2,273,105 | ............ | 2,687,645 |
| 36. Neptune City Borough .. | 70,462 | ......... | 25,105 | 301,557 | ........... | 397,124 |
| 37. Ocean Township ........... | 152,846 | ........ | 30,653 | 1,639,337 | ........... | 1,822,836 |
| 38. Oceanport Borough ...... | 80,208 |  | 6,902 | 385,146 |  | 472,256 |
| 39. Red Bank Borough ........ | 327,193 | 7,132 | 13,062 | 1,527,598 | \$ 41,642 | 1,916,627 |
| 40. Roosevelt Borough ........ | 4,604 |  | 1,602 | 54,729 |  | 60,935 |
| 41. Rumson Borough .......... | 28,282 | ........... | ........... | 566,801 | ............ |  |
| 42. Sea Bright Borough ...... | 34,872 | 0 | ........... | 131,179 | ............ | $\begin{aligned} & 166,051 \\ & 150707 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43. Sea Girt Borough ......... | 9,699 | 8 | ........... | 150,090 301314 | $\ldots$ | 159,797 389,644 |
| 44. Shrewsbury Borough ..... | 88,330 | 212 |  | 301,314 35,842 | ............... | 389,644 45,235 |
| 45. Shrewsbury Township ... | 749 | 212 | 8,432 1,661 | 35,842 80,012 | .......... | 45,235 90,741 |
| 46. South Belmar Boro ....... 47. Spring Lake Borough ... | 9,068 $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 3 7}$ | ............... | 1,661 | 80,012 274,017 |  | 90,741 300,154 |
| 48. Spring Lake Hts. Boro .. | 27,561 |  | 5,799 | 320,519 | .......... | 353,879 |
| 49. Tinton Falls Borough ..... | 178,046 | 43,155 | ........... | 1,041,475 | ............ | 1,262,676 |
| 50. Union Beach Borough .. | 86,820 |  | 32,251 | 329,332 | ......... | 448,403 |
| 51. Upper Freehold Twp. .... | 61,730 |  |  | 290,242 | ........... | 351,972 |
| 52. Wall Township .............. | 199,122 |  | 20,692 | 3,160,714 | .......... | 3,380,528 |
| 53. W. Long Branch Boro ... | 125,631 | 3,309 | 9,691 | 525,812 | ............ | 664,443 |
| Totals | \$5,444,365 | \$367,966 | \$1,121,370 | \$46,683,667 | \$41,642 | \$53,659,010 |

MORRIS COUNTY

| Taxing Districte | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | $\underset{\text { Tax }}{\substack{\text { Insurance }}}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local } \\ \text { Assistance } \\ \text { Fund } \end{gathered}$ | Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Boonton Town | \$ 324,739 | $\ldots$ | \$ 11,025 | \$ 458,895 | ........ | \$ 794,659 |
| 2. Boonton Township ......... | 45,277 | $\ldots$ | 2,719 | 162,816 | ............ | 210,812 |
| 3. Butler Borough .............. | 173,768 |  | 10,509 | 891,810 | ............ | 1,076,087 |
| 4. Chatham Borough .......... | 120,077 | \$ 8 | 6,486 | 447,471 | $\ldots .$. | 574,042 |
| 5. Chatham Township ........ | 32,064 |  | 7,264 | 726,354 | ............ | 765,682 |
| 6. Chester Borough ............ | 34,838 | $\ldots$ |  | 108,179 | ............ | 143,017 |
| 7. Chester Township .......... | 49,410 |  | 4,657 | 778,085 | ..... | 832,152 |
| 8. Denville Township .......... | 226,250 | 2,557 | 13,208 | 1,251,624 |  | 1,493,639 |
| 9. Dover Town ................. | 299,009 | 2,795 | 67,394 | 542,990 |  | 912,188 |
| 10. East Hanover Twp. ........ | 279,512 |  | ........... | 2,467,262 | \$ 2,195 | 2,748,969 |
| 11. Florham Park Borough .. | 229,768 | 38,815 | ........... | 774,219 | $\ldots$ | 1,042,802 |
| 12. Hanover Township ......... | 906,912 | 13 | ........... | 1,439,526 | $\ldots .$. | 2,346,451 |
| 13. Harding Township ......... | 18,701 |  |  | 412,118 |  | 430,819 |
| 14. Jefferson Township ........ | 63,153 | ............ | 24,162 | 1,769,299 | $\ldots .$. | 1,856,614 |
| 15. Kinnelon Borough .......... | 32,556 | ............ | 6,150 | 428,453 | ............ | 467,159 |
| 16. Lincoln Park Borough ... | 87,068 | ............ | 14,424 | 507,391 | ........... | 608,883 |
| 17. Madison Borough .......... | 169,544 | ........... | 14,604 | 487,186 | .......... | 671,334 |
| 18. Mendham Borough ........ | 47,002 | ............ | .......... | 382,150 | ............ | 429,152 |
| 19. Mendham Township ..... | 14,448 57790 | ........... |  | 404,209 | ............ | 418,657 |
| 20. Mine Hill Township ........ | 57,790 | ........... | 4,140 | 174,969 | ............ | 236,899 |

MORRIS COUNTY (Continued)

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assiatance Fund | Gross Recelpts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 21. Montville Township ........ | 184,356 |  | ... | 2,237,852 |  | 2,422,208 |
| 22. Morris Township ............ | 441,264 |  | ........... | 1,604,796 | 1,312,733 | 3,358,793 |
| 23. Morris Plains Borough .. | 244,214 | 2 | ........... | 459,234 | ........... | 703,450 |
| 24. Morristown Town ........... | 510,829 | 42,938 | 15,349 | 2,062,494 | .......... | 2,631,610 |
| 25. Mountain Lakes Boro .... | 35,963 |  |  | 365,652 |  | 401,615 |
| 26. Mount Arlington Boro .... | 18,031 | ....... | 5,106 | 189,567 | ........... | 212,704 |
| 27. Mount Olive Township .. | 89,290 | ........... | 29,148 | 1,189,302 | ........... | 1,307,740 |
| 28. Netcong Borough .......... | 51,878 |  | 5,348 | 234,455 |  | 291,681 |
| 29. Par-Troy Hills Twp. ........ | 487,670 | 349,506 | 37,249 | 2,394,986 | 2,157,614 | 5,427,025 |
| 30. Passaic Township ......... | 107,171 |  | 7,239 | 1,249,311 |  | 1,363,721 |
| 31. Pequannock Township .. | 125,831 | ........... | 14,190 | 799,423 | ........... | 939,444 |
| 32. Randolph Township ....... | 197,440 |  | 20,065 | 1,211,784 | ........... | 1,429,289 |
| 33. Riverdale Borough ......... | 78,425 | ........... | 1,971 | 318,451 | ........... | 398,847 |
| 34. Rockaway Borough ........ | 182,949 |  | 7,508 | 300,442 | ........... | 490,899 |
| 35. Rockaway Township ...... | 287,109 | 600 | 18,400 | 845,165 | ............ | 1,151,274 |
| 36. Roxbury Township ......... | 388,507 |  | 22,981 | 1,272,508 | ........... | 1,683,996 |
| 37. Victory Gardens Boro .... | 4,352 |  | 3,150 | 23,579 |  | 31,081 |
| 38. Washington Township ... | 107,998 | ............ | 17,406 | 902,899 | ........... | 1,028,303 |
| 39. Wharton Borough .......... | 113,062 |  | 7,992 | 264,763 |  | 385,817 |
| Totals | \$6,868,224 | \$437,234 | \$399,844 | \$32,541,669 | \$3,472,542 | \$43,719,513 |

OCEAN COUNTY

| Taxing Districta | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Ta Replacemen | Financial Business Tax | Public Utitity Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | Gross Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Barnegat Township ........ | \$ 14,786 | ............ | \$ 23,458 | \$ 635,763 | ............ | \$ 674,007 |
| 2. Barnegat Light Boro ...... | 5,979 | ........... |  | 74,970 | . | 80,949 |
| 3. Bay Head Borough ........ | 8,388 | $\ldots .$. | ........... | 142,798 | ........ | 151,186 |
| 4. Beach Haven Borough .. | 25,667 | ........... |  | 209,250 | $\ldots . . . . . .$. | 234,917 |
| 5. Beachwood Borough ..... | 10,926 | ....... | 53,681 | 413,445 | ........... | 478,052 |
| 6. Berkeley Township ......... | 94.592 |  |  | 3,384,102 | ....... | 3,478,694 |
| 7. Brick Township .............. | 199,357 | \$ 161,966 | 82,752 | 3,261,783 | $\ldots . . . . .$. | 3,705,858 |
| 8. Dover Township ............ | 714,101 | 17,451 | 87,376 | 5,887,700 | ............ | 6,700,628 |
| 9. Eagleswood Township ... | 4,649 |  |  | 124,334 | ........... | 128,983 |
| 10. Harvey Cedars Boro ...... | 2,974 | ...... | ........ | 99,490 | $\ldots$ | 102,464 |
| 11. Island Heights Boro ....... | 5,715 | ........... | 1,486 | 86,524 | .......... | 93,725 |
| 12. Jackson Township ......... | 128,909 | ........... | 161,138 | 1,883,328 | ............ | 2,173,375 |
| 13. Lacey Township ............ | 40,864 | $\ldots$ |  | 10,150,746 | ........... | 10,191,610 |
| 14. Lakehurst Borough ........ | 9,725 | ............ | 31,196 | 122,359 | ........... | 163,280 |
| 15. Lakewood Township ...... | 247,480 | ............ | 244,890 | 2,978,446 | $\ldots$ | 3,470,816 |
| 16. Lavallette Borough ........ | 10,780 | ............ |  | 173,064 | ....... | 183.844 |
| 17. Little Egg Harbor Twp. | 23,648 |  | 17,886 | 932,927 | ...... | 974,461 |
| 18. Long Beach Township .. | 26,800 39,041 | ............... |  | 640,650 $1,971,621$ | .............. | 667,450 2,188,842 |
| 20. Mantoloking Borough .... | 1,129 |  |  | 120,145 | ... | 121,274 |
| 21. Ocean Township ........... | 12,629 | 1,400 | 6,389 | 496,750 |  | 517,168 |
| 22. Ocean Gate Borough .... | 2,976 |  | 9,342 | 95,785 | ....... | 108,103 |
| 23. Pine Beach Borough ..... | 3,012 | ............ | 2,803 | 163,673 | $\ldots .$. | 169,488 |
| 24. Plumsted Township ....... | 20,169 | ............ |  | 293,378 | .......... | 313,547 |
| 25. Pt. Pleasant Borough .... | 75,688 |  | 21,678 | 834,990 | .......... | 932,356 |
| 26. Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro | 102,743 | ............ | .......... | 500,402 | ... | 603,145 |
| 27. Seaside Heights Boro ... | 47,689 | ........... | ............ | 124,526 | .... | 172,215 |
| 28. Seaside Park Borough .. | 19,667 |  | ........ | 192,513 | ............ | 212,180 |
| 29. Ship Bottom Borough ... | 23,692 |  |  | 237,433 | ............ | 261,125 |
| 30. S. Toms River Boro ...... | 19,093 | 1,590 | 33,946 | 169,811 | ............ | 224,440 |
| 31. Stafford Township .......... | 32,873 | 14,633 | 11,944 | 2,355,234 | $\ldots . . . . . .$. | 2,414,684 |
| 32. Surf City Borough .......... | 10,952 | ......... |  | 157, 121 | ........... | 168,073 |
| 33. Tuckerton Borough ........ | 17,108 | ............ | 4,636 | 245,190 | ............ | 266,934 |
| Totals ........................ | \$2,003,801 | \$197,040 | \$972,781 | \$39,160,251 | ........... | \$42,333,873 |

PASSAIC COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personai Property Tax Ropiacement | Financiai Business Tax | Pubilc Utility Tax |  | insurance |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Locai } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Receipte \& } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1. Bloomingdale Borough | \$ 66,232 |  | \$ 34,099 | \$ 322,582 | ...... | \$ 422,913 |
| 2. Clifton City ................... | 3,161,018 | \$ 6,171 | 95,189 | 5,527,764 | ........ | 8,790,142 |
| 3. Haledon Borough ........... | 76,348 |  | 29,532 | 401,506 | $\ldots . . . . . .$. | 507,386 |
| 4. Hawthorne Borough ....... | 278,340 | $\ldots$ | 22,439 | 1,027,915 | ..... | 1,328,694 |
| 5. Little Falls Township ...... | 218,459 | $\ldots$ |  | 892,266 | .......... | 1,110,725 |
| 6. North Haledon Boro ...... | 40,458 |  | 10,837 | 389,321 |  | 440,616 |
| 7. Passaic City .................. | 2,280,207 | 1,960 | 502,463 | 2,103,298 | \$ 166 | 4,888,094 |
| 8. Paterson City ............... | 2,698,544 | 622 | 1,624,303 | 5,015,866 | .......... | 9,339,335 |
| 9. Pompton Lakes Boro .... | 268,451 | ......... | 15,972 | 574,584 | ........... | 859,007 |
| 10. Prospect Park Boro ....... | 52,736 | ........... | 29,409 | 149,202 | ........... | 231,347 |
| 11. Ringwood Borough ........ | 20,146 |  | 18,203 |  | ........... |  |
| 12. Totowa Borough ........... | 275,537 | 477,783 | 8,686 | 1,043,852 | $\cdots$ | 1,805,858 |
| 13. Wanaque Borough ......... | 114,261 |  | 48,227 49 49 | 515,620 $3,130,667$ | ${ }_{\text {ane.......... }}$ |  |
| 14. Wayne Township ............ | 862,875 126,111 | 159,554 | 49,519 35,782 | $3,130,667$ $1,602,079$ | ........... | $4,202,615$ $1,763,972$ |
| 16. West Paterson Boro ...... | 217,274 | ............ | 14,320 | 461,040 | $\ldots . . . .$. | 692,634 |
| Totals ........................ | \$10,756,997 | \$646,090 | \$2,538,980 | \$24,471,695 | \$166 | \$38,413,928 |

SALEM COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Financlal Bualness Tax | Public Utilly Tax |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insurance } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br>  <br> Franchlse |  |  |
| 1. Alloway Township ......... | \$ 29,018 |  | ........... | \$ 230,955 | ..... | \$ 259,973 |
| 2. Carneys Point Twp. ...... | 276,992 |  |  | 443,246 | ........... | 720,238 |
| 3. Elmer Borough .............. | 17,679 | ........... | \$ 4,795 | 59,768 | $\ldots$ | 82,242 |
| 4. Elsinboro Township ....... | 8,729 | ............ | 2,960 | 91,657 | $\ldots$ | 103,346 |
| 5. Lower Alloways Creek ... | 14,891 | ........... | .......... | 6,637,067 | ... | 6,651,958 |
| 6. Mannington Township ... | 94,583 | ........... | ........... | 178,039 | ........... | 272,622 |
| 7. Oldman's Township ....... | 42,074 |  |  | 163,210 | ........... | 205,284 |
| 8. Penns Grove Borough .. | 64,364 | \$ 17,283 | 90,084 | 211,320 | .......... | 383,051 |
| 9. Pennsville Township ...... | 1,951,243 | 3,944 | ........... | 3,123,358 | ........... | 5,078,545 |
| 10. Pilesgrove Township ...... | 45,058 |  |  | 378,487 |  | 423,545 |
| 11. Pittsgrove Township ....... | 49,139 | ........... | ........... | 372,985 | ......... | 422,124 |
| 12. Quinton Township ......... | 30,754 |  |  | 188,404 | ........... | 219,158 |
| 13. Salem City ................... | 237,465 | 119 | 110,171 | 438,272 | ........... | 786,027 |
| 14. Upper Pittsgrove Twp. .. | 52,124 |  |  | 313,775 | ........... | 365,899 |
| 15. Woodstown Borough ..... | 21,687 |  | 24,788 | 126,139 |  | 172,614 |
| Totals ........................ | \$2,935,797 | \$21,346 | \$232,798 | \$12,956,682 | ........... | \$16,146,623 |

SOMERSET COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Roplacemen | Financia Business Tax | Public Utitity Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gross Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Bedminster Township .... | \$ 59,577 | ............ | $\ldots$ | \$ 613,758 | ........... | \$ 673,335 |
| 2. Bernards Township ........ | 127,450 | ........... | ........... | 1,452,927 | ............ | 1,580,377 |
| 3. Bernardsville Borough ... | 84,377 | ........ |  | 572,938 | ........... | 657,315 |
| 4. Bound Brook Borough .. | 94,516 | $\ldots$ | \$ 42,902 | 510,686 | ........... | 648,104 |
| 5. Branchburg Township .... | 105,478 |  | ............ | 2,022,891 | .......... | 2,128,369 |
| 6. Bridgewater Township ... | 2,083,809 | \$ 13 | ........... | 4,105,924 | ........... | 6,189,746 |
| 7. Far Hills Borough ........... | 10,591 |  | ........... | 51,192 | $\ldots . .$. | 61,783 |
| 8. Franklin Township .......... | 283,131 | 583,440 | ......... | 3,065,017 | ........... | 3,931,588 |
| 9. Green Brook Twp. ........ | 75,136 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 565,003 $2,255,508$ | $\ldots$ | 640,139 2,484,875 |
| 10. Hillsborough Township .. | 202,536 | 26,831 | ........ | 2,255,508 | ....... | 2,484,875 |
| 11. Manville Borough ........... | 608,076 | ............ | 17,300 | 448,329 | $\ldots \ldots . . . .$. | 1,073,705 |
| 12. Millstone Borough .......... | 2,412 | ............ | 565 | 57,543 | ............ | 60,520 |
| 13. Montgomery Township .. | 124,742 | ............ | 7,491 | 1,074,614 | ............ | 1,206,847 |
| 14. North Plainfield Boro ..... | 142,671 |  | 96,739 | 824,404 | ........... | 1,063,814 |
| 15. Peapack-Gladstone ........ | 28,649 | 13 |  | 200,214 | ............ | 228,876 |
| 16. Raritan Borough ............ | 248,034 | 1,420 | 5,978 | 392,558 | ............ | 647,990 |
| 17. Rocky Hill Borough ....... | 26,014 |  | 732 | 53,005 | ........... | 79,751 |
| 18. Somerville Borough ....... | 252,385 | 33,461 | 47,591 | 987,181 | ........... | 1,320,618 |
| 19. S. Bound Brook Boro ... | 77,440 |  | 22,574 | 232,116 | $\ldots$ | 332,130 |
| 20. Warren Township ........... | 130,156 | ........... | ........... | 1,057,080 | ........... | 1,187,236 |
| 21. Watchung Borough ........ | 229,597 |  | $\ldots . . . . .$. | 572,055 | ........... | 801,652 |
| Totals ........................ | \$4,996,777 | \$645,178 | \$241,872 | \$21,114,943 | ........... | \$26,998,770 |

SUSSEX COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR DISTRIBUTION LOCALLY |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Property Tax Replacement | Finaneial Business Tax | Pubilc Utilly Tax |  | Insurance Tax |  |
|  |  |  | Local Assistance Fund | Gross <br> Recelpte \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Andover Borough .......... | \$ 12,634 | ............ | \$ 1,022 | \$ 107,119 | ............ | \$ 120,775 |
| 2. Andover Township ......... | 62,434 |  | 7,941 | 248,878 |  | 319,253 |
| 3. Branchville Borough ....... | 32,684 | \$ 10,872 |  | 26,443 | \$ 591,389 | 661,388 |
| 4. Byram Township ........... | 31,667 |  | 11,642 | 328,813 | ........... | 372,122 |
| 5. Frankford Township ....... | 61,590 |  | ........... | 328,454 | ... | 390,044 |
| 6. Franklin Borough ........... | 68,316 | ............ | 24,871 | 462,167 | ........... | 555,354 |
| 7. Fredon Township .......... | 35,776 | ............ | ........... | 173,230 | ........... | 209,006 |
| 8. Green Township ............ | 25,218 | ........... | 3,391 | 113,597 | ........... | 142,206 |
| 9. Hamburg Borough ......... | 38,493 |  | 4,086 | 116,306 | ........... | 158,885 |
| 10. Hampton Township ........ | 46,064 | .......... | 5,271 | 274,298 | .......... | 325,633 |
| 11. Hardyston Township ...... | 43,947 | ........... | 7,457 | 335,098 | ........... | 386,502 |
| 12. Hopatcong Borough ...... | 21,248 | ........... | 67,874 | 440,437 | ........... | 529,559 |
| 13. Lafayette Township ........ | 43,295 | ............ | ........... | 101,166 | ........... | 144,461 |
| 14. Montague Township ...... | 12,412 |  | 3,571 | 215,431 | ........... | 231,414 |
| 15. Newton Town ................ | 246,691 | 2,008 | 14,465 | 516,215 | ........... | 779,379 |
| 16. Ogdensburg Borough .... | 68,394 |  | 15,407 | 60,780 | ........... | 144,581 |
| 17. Sandyston Township ..... | 14,603 |  | ........... | 114,055 | ........... | 128,658 |
| 18. Sparta Township ........... | 122,245 | 660 | 16,179 | 766,997 | ........... | 906,081 |
| 19. Stanhope Borough ........ | 40,217 |  | 14,372 | 103,889 |  | 158,478 |
| 20. Stillwater Township ........ | 21,578 | ........ | 5,981 | 191,888 | ........... | 219,447 |
| 21. Sussex Borough ............ | 38,013 |  | 13,834 | 93,361 |  | 145,208 |
| 22. Vernon Township ........... | 112,514 | ........... | 28,337 | 1,392,066 | ........... | 1,532,917 |
| 23. Walpack Township ......... | 2,851 | ........... | ........... | 38,639 | ........... | 41,490 |
| 24. Wantage Township ........ | 99,519 | ....... |  | 449,442 |  | 548,961 |
| Totals ........................ | \$1,302,403 | \$13,540 | \$245,701 | \$6,998,769 | \$591,389 | \$9,151,802 |

UNION COUNTY

| Taxing Districte | collections for distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal Proporty Tax Roplacement | Financial Business Tax | Public Utility Tax |  | Insurance |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Recoipts \& } \\ \text { Franchise } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1. Berkeley Heights Twp. | \$ 745,522 | \$ 6 |  | \$ 869,244 | ........... | \$ 1,614,772 |
| 2. Clark Township ............ | 560,244 | 2,984 | \$ 16,027 | 1,049,267 |  | 1,628,522 |
| 3. Cranford Township ........ | 385,464 | 1,947 | 25,191 | 2,335,292 | \$ 3,075 | 2,750,969 |
| 4. Elizabeth City ............... | 2,867,349 | 6,011 | 861,156 | 12,022,498 | 80,629 | 15,837,643 |
| 5. Fanwood Borough ......... | 40,123 | ......... | 9,589 | 636,096 | ........... | 685,808 |
| 6. Garwood Borough .......... | 232,744 | ........... | 5,113 | 270,866 | ........... | 508,723 |
| 7. Hillside Township .......... | 974,390 | ............ | 104,225 | 1,501,828 | ............ | 2,580,443 |
| 8. Kenilworth Borough ....... | 451,695 |  | 6,589 | 697,902 | ............ | 1,156,186 |
| 9. Linden City 10. Mountainsi............ | 2,739,156 | 2,627 | 35,054 | 18,377,061 | ..... | 21,153,898 |
| 10. Mountainside Borough .. | 253,331 |  | ....... | 638,835 | ............ | 892,166 |
| 11. New Providence Boro ... | 215,949 | 13 | 9,858 | 953,631 | ............ | 1,179,451 |
| 12. Plainfield City ................ | 836,729 | 3,039 | 340,302 | 2,261,713 | ........... | 3,441,783 |
| 13. Rahway City ................. | 832,774 | 3,732 | 103,908 | 1,453,676 | ........... | 2,394,090 |
| 14. Roselle Borough ........... | 216,995 |  | 115,325 63,611 | 1,061,245 | $\ldots$ | $1,393,565$ 736,925 |
| 15. Roselle Park Borough ... | 115,096 | $\ldots$ | 63,611 23,406 | 558,218 | ............... | 736,925 $1,731,733$ |
| 16. Scotch Plains Twp. ........ | 134,130 450,546 |  | 23,406 11,145 | $1,574,197$ $1,169,450$ |  | $1,731,733$ $1,670,730$ |
| 17. Springfield Township <br> 18. Summit City | 450,546 429,230 | 39,589 | 11,145 | $1,169,450$ $2,735,136$ |  | $1,670,730$ $3,164,366$ |
| 19. Union Township .............. | 1,419,562 | 2,707 | 62,269 | 3,764,496 | 10,734 | 5,259,768 |
| 20. Westrield Town .............. | 338,766 | 1,562 | 27,619 | 2,025,620 |  | 2,393,567 |
| 21. Winfield Township .......... | 4,649 | ........... | 59,704 | 38,806 | ........... | 103,159 |
| Totals ..................... | \$14,244,444 | \$64,217 | \$1,880,091 | \$55,995,077 | \$94,438 | \$72,278,267 |

WARREN COUNTY

| Taxing Districts | COLLECTIONS FOR distribution locally |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal <br> Property Tax Replacement | Financial Business Tax | Pubtic Utitity Tax |  | InsuranceTax |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Assistance } \\ & \text { Fund } \end{aligned}$ | Gross Receipts \& Franchise |  |  |
| 1. Allamuchy Township ...... | \$ 39,597 |  |  | \$ 203,942 | ...... | \$ 243,539 |
| 2. Alpha Borough .............. | 32,495 | ....... | \$ 14,243 | 125,083 | ........... | 171,821 |
| 3. Belvidere Town .............. | 98,083 | ....... | 4,289 | 385,127 | ..... | 487,499 |
| 4. Blairstown Township ...... | 31,835 | ........... | ........ | 2,861,372 | ............ | 2,893,207 |
| 5. Franklin Township .......... | 67,476 | ........... | ..... | 172,303 | $\ldots .$. | 239,779 |
| 6. Frelinghuysen Twp. ........ | 32,251 | ......... | .......... | 115,501 | ....... | 147,752 |
| 7. Greenwich Township ..... | 29,662 |  |  | 188,508 | ..... | 218,170 |
| 8. Hackettstown Town ........ | 211,689 | \$ 2,804 | 12,148 | 412,683 | ....... | 639,324 |
| 9. Hardwick Township ........ | 8,735 |  | ......... | 93,720 | ...... | 102,455 |
| 10. Harmony Township ........ | 60,062 | $\ldots$ |  | 166,524 | ........... | 226,586 |
| 11. Hope Township ............. | 20,176 | ............ | 2,118 | 151,757 | $\ldots$ | 174,051 |
| 12. Independence Twp. ....... | 29,052 | ............ | 5,264 | 180,521 | ........... | 214,837 |
| 13. Knowlton Township ........ | 35,546 | ....... |  | 204,738 | ........... | 240,284 |
| 14. Liberty Township ........... | 12,509 | ............ | 3,481 | 110,268 | $\ldots .$. | 126,258 |
| 15. Lopatcong Township .... | 73,631 | ........... | 8,112 | 564.693 | $\ldots . .$. | 646,436 |
| 16. Mansfield Township ...... | 48,309 |  |  | 691,900 |  | 740,209 |
| 17. Oxford Township ........... | 36,663 450 | ......... | 8,792 | 108,174 11.488 | ...... | 153,629 12.114 |
| 18. Pahaquarry Township .... | 484,959 | 2,016 | 109,520 | 697,803 |  | 1,294,298 |
| 20. Pohatcong Township ..... | 55,287 |  | 5,202 | 210,809 | ........... | 271,298 |
| 21. Washington Borough | 121,519 |  | 33,264 | 353,468 |  | 508,251 |
| 22. Washington Township ... | 63,024 | .......... |  | 368,049 | ....... | 431,073 |
| 23. White Township ....... | 65,819 | $\ldots$ | ............ | 192,702 | ........... | 258,521 |
| Totals ................. | \$1,658,829 | \$4,820 | \$206,609 | \$8,571,133 | ............ | \$10,441,391 |

## APPENDIX 3

## PROPERTY TAX AND VETERAN DEDUCTIONS

STATE REVENUE SHARING, AND PROPERTY TAX

| COUNTIES | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic ..................................... | \$ 1,373,087 | \$ 550,150 | \$ 1,923,237 |
| Bergen | 4,534,167 | 2,520,450 | 7,054,617 |
| Burlington ................................ | 1,714,721 | 1,222,158 | 2,936,879 |
| Camden ................................... | 3,450,754 | 1,363,000 | 4,813,754 |
| Cape May ............................... | 834,839 | 348,150 | 1,182,989 |
| Cumberland ............................. | 1,201,838 | 325,500 | 1,527,338 |
| Essex | 2,304,570 | 1,124,550 | 3,429,120 |
| Gloucester ............................... | 1,389,539 | 677,450 | 2,066,989 |
| Hudson | 1,924,936 | 589,150 | 2,514,086 |
| Hunterdon | 368,643 | 267,700 | 636,343 |
| Mercer | 1,836,117 | 827,450 | 2,663,567 |
| Middlesex | 3,564,042 | 1,910,150 | 5,474,192 |
| Monmouth | 1,927,996 | 1,436,500 | 3,364,496 |
| Morris | 1,224,704 | 1,100,300 | 2,325,004 |
| Ocean | 4,780,648 | 1,884,657 | 6,665,305 |
| Passaic | 2,174,681 | 942,400 | 3,117,081 |
| Salem ...................................... | 461,538 | 195,700 | 657,238 |
| Somerset ................................. | 910,914 | 608,550 | 1,519,464 |
| Sussex | 541,393 | 333,291 | 874,684 |
| Union | 2,664,657 | 1,340,550 | 4,005,207 |
| Warren .................................... | 566,970 | 264,550 | 831,520 |
| Total ....................................... | \$39,750,754 | \$19,832,356 | \$59,583,110 |

[^22]| ATLANTIC COUNTY | *Property Tax <br> Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absecon City ........................... | \$ 42,383 | \$ 30,750 | \$ 73,133 |
| Atlantic City ............................. | 195,673 | 49,800 | 245,473 |
| Brigantine City ......................... | 65,540 | 33,000 | 98,540 |
| Buena Borough ....................... | 45,438 | 10,350 | 55,788 |
| Buena Vista Township .............. | 73,558 | 14,600 | 88,158 |
| Corbin City .............................. | 4,625 | 1,300 | 5,925 |
| Egg Harbor City ...................... | 50,742 | 15,850 | 66,592 |
| Egg Harbor Township .............. | 77,750 | 45,500 | 123,250 |
| Estelle Manor City ................... | 8,500 | 3,850 | 12,350 |
| Folsom Borough | 12,759 | 6,850 | 19,609 |
| Galloway Township .................. | 83,500 | 41,550 | 125,050 |
| Hamilton Township .................. | 98,976 | 32,800 | 131,776 |
| Hammonton Town .................... | 132,901 | 34,650 | 167,551 |
| Linwood City | 24,600 | 26,400 | 51,000 |
| Longport Borough .................... | 11,500 | 7,550 | 19,050 |
| Margate City ........................... | 61,588 | 39,950 | 101,538 |
| Mullica Township | 38,613 | 14,950 | 53,563 |
| Northfield City .......................... | 50,494 | 36,250 | 86,744 |
| Pleasantville City ..................... | 111,845 | 31,600 | 143,445 |
| Port Republic City .................... | 7,000 | 3,450 | 10,450 |
| Somers Point City .................... | 78,375 | 31,500 | 109,875 |
| Ventnor City ............................. | 81,477 | 33,800 | 115,277 |
| Weymouth Township ................ | 15,250 | 3,850 | 19,100 |
| Total ......................................... | \$1,373,087 | \$550,150 | \$1,923,237 |

Difference in totals due to rounding

| BERGEN COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allendale Borough .................... | \$ 7,260 | \$ 15,800 | \$ 23,060 |
| Alpine Borough ....................... | 2,250 | 3,100 | 5,350 |
| Bergenfield Borough ................. | 117,621 | 84,850 | 202,471 |
| Bogota Borough ...................... | 46,453 | 25,000 | 71,453 |
| Caristadt Borough .................... | 58,778 | 20,500 | 79,278 |
| Cliffside Park Borough ............. | 118,000 | 41,400 | 159,400 |
| Closter Borouglı ....................... | 31,000 | 29,600 | 60,600 |
| Cresskill Borough ..................... | 34,750 | 32,300 | 67,050 |
| Demarest Borough ................... | 16,250 | 15,800 | 32,050 |
| Dumont Borough ..................... | 103,750 | 64,350 | 168,100 |
| Elmwood Park Boro ................ | 216,937 | 59,450 | 276,387 |
| East Rutherford Boro ................ | 56,000 | 21,300 | 77,300 |
| Edgewater Borough ................. | 21,750 | 9,400 | 31,150 |
| Emerson Borough .................... | 34,024 | 29,700 | 63,724 |
| Englewood City ........................ | 92,510 | 37,450 | 129,960 |
| Englewood Cliffs Boro .............. | 13,500 | 16,550 | 30,050 |
| Fairlawn Borough ...................... | 301,777 | 135,600 | 437,377 |
| Fairview Borough ..................... | 136,500 | 22,100 | 158,600 |
| Fort Lee Borough ................... | 100,508 | 37,050 | 137,558 |
| Franklin Lakes Boro ................ | 14,416 | 26,100 | 40,516 |
| Garfield City .............................. | 297,500 | 55,050 | 352,550 |
| Glen Rock Borough .................. | 29,466 | 40,600 | 70,066 |
| Hackensack City ..................... | 157,751 | 42,200 | 199,951 |
| Harrington Park Boro ............... | 10,320 | 14,700 | 25,020 |
| Hasbrouck Hts Boro .................. | 73,673 | 45,150 | 118,823 |
| Haworth Borough ..................... | 7,500 | 11,600 | 19,100 |
| Hillsdale Borough ...................... | 67,657 | 40,250 | 107,907 |
| Hohokus Borough .................... | 3,000 | 15,400 | 18,400 |
| Leonia Borough ....................... | 25,358 | 18,400 | 43,758 |
| Little Ferry Borough .................. | 53,750 | 24,800 | 78,550 |
| Lodi Borough ........................... | 289,750 | 58,150 | 347,900 |
| Lyndhurst Township ................. | 161,213 | 70,350 | 231,563 |
| Mahwah Township .................... | 50,984 | 39,400 | 90,384 |
| Maywood Borough ................... | 57,760 | 37,200 | 94,960 |
| Midland Park Borough ............. | 38,310 | 26,000 | 64,310 |
| Montvale Borough .................... | 12,250 | 21,500 | 33,750 |
| Moonachie Borough ................ | 24,249 | 8,100 | 32,349 |
| New Milford Borough ............... | 88,281 | 61,500 | 149,781 |
| North Arlington Boro ............... | 116,028 | 49,950 | 165,978 |


| BERGEN COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northvale Borough ................... | 26,750 | 17,300 | 44,050 |
| Norwood Borough .................... | 23,250 | 14,850 | 38,100 |
| Oakland Borough .................... | 31,654 | 44,400 | 76,054 |
| Old Tappan Borough ............... | 6,727 | 12,800 | 19,527 |
| Oradell Borough | 20,053 | 32,850 | 52,903 |
| Palisades Park Boro ................ | 69,073 | 24,500 | 93,573 |
| Paramus Borough .................... | 136,197 | 111,150 | 247,347 |
| Park Ridge Borough ................ | 20,750 | 30,000 | 50,750 |
| Ramsey Borough ..................... | 27,178 | 37,450 | 64,628 |
| Ridgefield Borough .................. | 72,131 | 28,150 | 100,281 |
| Ridgefield Park Village ............. | 55,213 | 34,650 | 89,863 |
| Ridgewood Village ................... | 42,895 | 64,500 | 107,395 |
| River Edge Borough ................ | 49,000 | 46,750 | 95,750 |
| River Vale Township ................ | 30,484 | 33,950 | 64,434 |
| Rochelle Park Twp .................. | 68,529 | 25,100 | 93,629 |
| Rockleigh Borough .................. | $900$ | 600 | 1,500 |
| Rutherford Borough | 101,500 | 58,900 | $160,400$ |
| Saddle Brook Twp ................... | 136,714 | 63,300 | $200,014$ |
| Saddle River Borough .............. | 645 | 7,800 | $8,445$ |
| S Hackensack Twp | 24,021 | $6,900$ | $30,921$ |
| Teaneck Township ................... | 129,295 | 108,050 | $237,345$ |
| Tenafly Borough | 30,622 | 40,250 | 70,872 |
| Teterboro Borough |  |  |  |
| U Saddle River Boro | 8,207 | 22,650 |  |
| Waldwick Borough | $45,258$ | $43,800$ | $89,058$ |
| Wallington Borough | 113,163 | 27,600 | $140,763$ |
| Washington Township ............. | 29,514 | 39,650 | $69,164$ |
| Westwood Borough | 34,514 | $31,600$ | $66,114$ |
| Woodclift Lake Boro | 7,264 | $15,850$ | $23,114$ |
| Wood-Ridge Borough .............. | 66,950 | 30,550 | $97,500$ |
| Wyckoff Township .................... | 36,884 | 56,850 |  |
| Total . | \$4,534,169 | \$2,520,450 | \$7,054,619 |


| BURLINGTON COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Property } \\ & \text { Tax } \\ & \text { Deductions } \end{aligned}$ | Veteran Deduction | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bass River Township ............... | \$ 15,250 | \$ 4.500 | \$ 19,750 |
| Beverly City ............................. | 20,836 | 8,350 | 29,186 |
| Bordentown City ..................... | 25,764 | 10,400 | 36,164 |
| Bordentown Township .............. | 38,077 | 29,750 | 67,827 |
| Burlington City ....................... | 110,953 | 35,150 | 146,103 |
| Burlington Township ................ | 43,236 | 38,900 | 82,136 |
| Chesterfield Township ............. | 11,388 | 9,550 | 20,938 |
| Cinnaminson Twp. .................. | 64,213 | 70,650 | 134,863 |
| Delanco Township ................... | 29,809 | 15,700 | 45,509 |
| Delran Township .................... | 61,353 | 47,250 | 108,603 |
| Eastampton Townsthip .............. | 8,500 | 11,100 | 19,600 |
| Edgewater Park Twp Evesham Township | 37,144 72,815 | 26,300 | 63,444 144.165 |
| Evestam Township .................. | 12,750 3,750 | 71,350 1,900 | 144,165 5,650 |
| Florence Township ................... | 107,414 | 39,100 | 146,514 |
| Hainesport Township ............... | 30,585 | 13.750 | 44,335 |
| Lumberton Township ................ | 15,205 | 16.446 | 31,651 |
| Mansfield Township Maple Shade Two ............. | 29,347 | 27,850 | 57, 197 |
| Maple Shade Twp. ................ Medtord Township .............. | 183,067 36,790 | 60,950 53150 | 244,017 |
| Medford Lakes Boro ................ | 11,577 | 15,750 | 27,327 |
| Moorestown Township ............. | 72,354 | 52,150 | 124,504 |
| Mount Holly Township ............. | 51,459 | 34,100 | 85,559 |
| Mount Laurel Twp <br> New Hanover Twp | 96,487 3.250 | 87,500 2.000 | 183,987 5.950 |
| New Hanover Twp. ................ North Hanover Twp. ............ | 3,250 7,250 | 2.000 9,500 | 5,250 16,750 |
| Palmyra Borough .................... | 55.500 | 25,050 | 80.550 |
| Pemberton Borough ................ | 2,958 | 2,650 | 5,608 |
| Pemberton Township ............... Riverside Township ............ | 87,090 94,580 | 105,650 27,450 | 192.740 122,030 |
| Riverton Borough ...................... | 15,500 | 10,500 | 26,000 |
| Shamong Township ................ | 9,000 | 10.900 | 19,900 |
| Southampton Twp. .................. | 130,290 | ${ }^{60,212}$ | 190,502 |
| Springfield Twp. Tabernacle Township | 13,250 19,000 | 9,950 18.500 | 23,200 37.500 |
| Washington Township .............. | 8.800 | 3,200 | 12,000 |
| Westampton Twp. .................. | 8.859 | 20.800 | 29.659 |
| Willingboro Township .............. | 75,522 5.250 | 128,650 4.150 1, | 204,172 |
| Woooliand Township ................ | 5,250 1,250 | 4.150 1.400 | 9.400 2,650 |
| Total ..................................... | \$1,714.722 | \$1,222,158 | \$2,936,880 |


| CAMDEN COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audubon Borough .................... | \$ 88,061 | \$ 36,150 | \$ 124,211 |
| Audubon Park ......................... | 27,500 | 2,400 | 29,900 |
| Barrington Borough ................. | 59,216 | 31,300 | 90,516 |
| Bellmawr Borough ................... | 160,500 | 57,150 | 217,650 |
| Berlin Borough ....................... | 37,250 | 18,600 | 55,850 |
| Berlin Township ....................... | 52,977 | 16,500 | 69,477 |
| Brooklawn Borough ................. | 34,906 | 9,200 | 44,106 |
| Camden City ............................. | 574,132 | 79,200 | 653,332 |
| Cherry Hill Township ............... | 257,574 | 224,650 | 482,224 |
| Chesilhurst Borough ................ | 12,750 | 2,850 | 15,600 |
| Clementon Borough .................. | 48,000 | 13,750 | 61,750 |
| Collingswood Borough ............. | 108,271 | 43,250 | 151,521 |
| Gibbsboro Borough ................. | 16,250 | 9,600 | 25,850 |
| Gloucester City ........................ | 155,085 | 42,600 | 197,685 |
| Gloucester Township ............... | 275,098 | 140,100 | 415,198 |
| Haddon Township ................... | 136,436 | 61,000 | 197,436 |
| Haddonfield Borough ............... | 56,814 | 43,550 | 100,364 |
| Haddon Heights Boro .............. | 73,764 | 34,350 | 108,114 |
| Hi -Nella Borough | 4,000 | 2,400 | 6,400 |
| Lauren Springs Boro ............... | 18,383 | 8,250 | 26,633 |
| Lawnside Borough ................... | 25,136 | 8,250 | 33,386 |
| Lindenwold Borough ................ | 88,000 | 36,300 | 124,300 |
| Magnolia Borough ................... | 53,682 | 17,000 | 70,682 |
| Merchantville Borough .............. | 21,142 | 9,900 | 31,042 |
| Mt. Ephriam Borough | 78,250 | 22,350 | 100,600 |
| Oaklyn Borough ...................... | 51,732 | 18,050 | 69,782 |
| Pennsauken Township .............. | 366,500 | 126,650 | 493,150 |
| Pine Hill Borough | 43,800 | 18,800 | 62,600 |
| Pine Valley |  |  |  |
| Runnemede Borough ................ | 115,350 | 39,350 | 154,700 |
| Somerdale Borough ................. | 61,919 | 23,250 | 85,169 |
| Stratford Borough .................... | 47,439 | 33,600 | 81,039 |
| Tavistock Borough .................. |  | .... |  |
| Voorhees Township ................. | 49,854 | 39,200 | 89,054 |
| Waterford Township ................. | 70,220 | 29,500 | 99,720 |
| Winslow Township ................... | 145,000 | 57,100 | 202,100 |
| Woodlynne Borough ................ | 35,762 | 6,850 | 42,612 |
| Total ........................................ | \$3,450,753 | \$1,363,000 | \$4,813,753 |


| CAPE MAY COUNTY | *Property Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avalon Borough | \$ 12,249 | \$ 10,800 | \$ 23,049 |
| Cape May City ...................... | 31,953 | 17,250 | 49,203 |
| Cape May Point Boro .............. | 4,225 | 1,850 | 6,075 |
| Dennis Township ...................... | 36,000 | 16,700 | 52,700 |
| Lower Township ...................... | 303,013 | 96,350 | 399,363 |
| Middle Township ...................... | 113,219 | 40,650 | 153,869 |
| North Widwood City ................ | 69,468 | 19,400 | 88,868 |
| Ocean City ................... | 69,456 | 53,750 | 123,206 |
| Sea Isle City ……................. | 23,672 | 13,050 | 36,722 |
| Stone Harbor Borough ............ | 12,269 | 7,100 | 19,369 75945 |
| Upper Township .-................... | 42,695 | 33,250 | 75,945 12,150 |
| West Cape May Boro $\qquad$ | 9,250 10,111 | 2,900 2,100 | 12,150 12,211 |
| West Wildwood Boro Wildwood City | 10,111 42,805 | 2,100 11,800 | 12,211 54,605 |
| Widwood Crest Boro .............. | 34,672 | 17,350 | 52,022 |
| Woodbine Borough | 19,782 | 3,850 | 23,632 |
| Total ..................................... | \$834,839 | \$348,150 | \$1,182,989 |


| CUMBERLAND COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridgeton City .......................... | \$ 150,424 | \$ 37,250 | \$ 187,674 |
| Commercial Township .............. | 77,250 | 16,600 | 93,850 |
| Deerfield Township .................. | 31,688 | 6,150 | 37,838 |
| Downe Township ..................... | 31,649 | 7,300 | 38,949 |
| Fairfield Township .................... | 54,750 | 10,300 | 65,050 |
| Greenwich Township ............... | 10,500 | 2,600 | 13,100 |
| Hopewell Township ................. | 33,797 | 13,200 | 46,997 |
| Lawrence Township ................. | 30,250 | 6,300 | 36,550 |
| Maurice River Twp. ................... | 42,328 | 12,550 | 54,878 |
| Millville City ............................. | 225,459 | 72,200 | 297,659 |
| Shiloh Borough ....................... | 7,000 | 1,800 | 8,800 |
| Stow Creek Township .............. | 10,250 | 3,800 | 14,050 |
| Upper Deerfield Twp. ............... | 59,028 | 21,650 | 80,678 |
| Vineland City ........................... | 437,466 | 113,800 | 551,266 |
| Total ......................................... | \$1,201,839 | \$325,500 | \$1,527,339 |


| ESSEX COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Property } \\ & \text { Tax } \\ & \text { Deductions } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Veteran } \\ & \text { Deductions } \end{aligned}$ | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belleville Town .................. | \$ 281,042 | \$ 82,300 | \$ 363,342 |
| Bloomfield Town ...................................... | 259,400 | 116,100 | 375,500 |
| Caldwell Borough .................... | 23,423 | 15,550 | 38,973 |
| Cedar Grove Twp. .................. | 31,296 | 42,700 | 73,996 |
| East Orange City ................... | 181,436 | 46,150 | 227,586 |
| Essex Fells Township ............... | 1,920 | 5,050 | 6,970 |
| Fairfield Township ................... | 37,750 | 26,400 | 64,150 |
| Glen Ridge Boro Twp. ............. | 12,260 | 20,200 | 32,460 |
| Inington Township .................. | 127,271 75,000 | 35,700 | 162,971 162,600 |
| Livingston Township ............... Maplewood Township ........... | 75,000 90,093 | 87,600 60,550 | 162,600 150,643 |
| Mill burn Township .................. | 19,865 | 50,100 | 69,965 |
| Montclair Township .................. | 90,324 | 66,600 | 156,924 |
| Newark City .......................... | 577,750 | 110,450 | 688,200 |
| North Caldwell Twp. ............... | 6,000 | 17,900 | 23,900 |
| Nutley Township ..................... | 198,593 | 91,500 | 290,093 |
| Orange City Township ............. | 63,500 | 19,150 | 82,650 |
| Roseland Borough .................. | ${ }^{13,412}$ | 16,650 | 30.062 55136 |
| S. Orange Vige. Twp Verona Township | 23,036 46,733 | 30,100 46,200 | 53,136 92,933 |
| West Caldwell Twp. ................... | 27,365 | 35,150 | 62,515 |
| West Orange Twp. ................. | 117,100 | 102,450 | 219,550 |
| Total | \$2,304,569 | \$1,124,550 | \$3,429,119 |


| GLOUCESTER COUNTY | *Property Tax <br> Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clayton Borough ...................... | \$ 50,500 | \$ 16,350 | \$ 66,850 |
| Deptford Township ................... | 177,986 | 89,400 | 267,386 |
| East Greenwich Twp. .............. | 19,587 | 16,350 | 35,937 |
| Elk Township | 27,750 | 10,250 | 38,000 |
| Franklin Township .................... | 112,984 | 37,600 | 150,584 |
| Glassboro Borough .................. | 80,146 | 37,450 | 117,596 |
| Greenwich Townshıp ............... | 63,504 | 26,500 | 90,004 |
| Harrison Township ................... | 16,908 | 12,100 | 29,008 |
| Logan Township ...................... | 20,250 | 10,800 | 31,050 |
| Mantua Township .................... | 63,500 | 38,550 | 102,050 |
| Monroe Township .................... | 187,750 | 68,000 | 255,750 |
| National Park Boro .................. | 36,647 | 14,400 | 51,047 |
| Newfield Borough .................... | 17,500 | 3,550 | 21,050 |
| Paulsboro Borough .................. | 65,140 | 21,450 | 86,590 |
| Pitman Borough | 59,321 | 30,900 | 90,221 |
| South Harrison Twp. ................ | 8,000 | 4,250 | 12,250 |
| Swedesboro Borough ............... | 15,250 | 4,400 | 19,650 |
| Washington Township | 116,662 | 102,650 | 219,312 |
| Wenonah Borough ................... | 6,500 | 8,600 | 15,100 |
| West Deptford Twp. ................. | 89,015 | 62,800 | $151,815$ |
| Westville Borough | 67,750 | 16,000 | 83,750 |
| Woodbury City | 62,354 | 30,600 | 92,954 |
| Woodbury Hts. Boro | 19,786 | 11,950 | $31,736$ |
| Woolwich Township ................. | 4,750 | 2,550 | 7,300 |
| Total ........................................ | \$1,389,540 | \$677,450 | \$2,066,990 |


| HUDSON COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bayonne City | \$ 311,181 | \$ 138,000 | \$ 449,181 |
| East Newark Borough .............. | 11,750 | 2,400 | 14,150 |
| Guttenburg Town ..................... | 19,814 | 4,600 | 24,414 |
| Harrison Town ......................... | 51,159 | 17,500 | 68,659 |
| Hoboken City .......................... | 72,500 | 15,800 | 88,300 |
| Jersey City City ....................... | 738,910 | 197,950 | 936,860 |
| Kearny Town ........................... | 165,437 | 69,300 | 234,737 |
| North Bergen Twp. .................. | 247,525 | 53,200 | 300,725 |
| Secaucus Town ....................... | 94,419 | 40,650 | 135,069 |
| Union City City | 105,118 | 20,700 | 125,818 |
| Weehawken Township ............. | 26,599 | 12,200 | 38,799 |
| West New York Town ............. | 80,523 | 16,850 | 97,373 |
| Total | \$1,924,935 | \$589,150 | \$2,514,085 |


| HUNTERDON COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexandria Township ................ | \$ 11,250 | \$ 8,950 | \$ 20,200 |
| Bethlehem Township ............... | 6,318 | 8,900 | 15,218 |
| Bloomsbury Borough ................ | 3,980 | 2,450 | 6,430 |
| Califon Borough ...................... | 7,891 | 2,950 | 10,841 |
| Clinton Town .......................... | 2,947 | 4,850 | 7,797 |
| Clinton Township .................... | 17,250 | 25,800 | 43,050 |
| Delaware Township .................. | 18,889 | 11,950 | 30,839 |
| East Amwell Township ............. | 14,188 | 12,000 | 26,188 |
| Flemington Borough ................ | 14,250 | 6,550 | 20,800 |
| Franklin Township ................... | 9,750 | 7,500 | 17,250 |
| Frenchtown Borough ............... | 8,000 | 3,550 | 11,550 |
| Glen Gardner Boro .................. | 6,000 | 1,850 | 7,850 |
| Hampton Borough .................. | 5,040 | 3,050 | 8,090 |
| High Bridge Borough ............... | 14,750 | 9,250 | 24,000 |
| Holland Township ................... | 31,000 | 19,550 | 50,550 |
| Kingwood Township ................ | 13,125 | 7,500 | 20,625 |
| Lambertville City ...................... | 39,250 | 10,450 | 49,700 |
| Lebanon Borough .................... | 2,750 | 1,950 | 4,700 |
| Lebanon Township ................... | 25,489 | 15,050 | 40,539 |
| Milford Borough | 11,250 | 4,500 | $15,750$ |
| Raritan Township ..................... | 26,000 | 32,000 | 58,000 |
| Readington Township .............. | 43,500 | 35,450 | 78,950 |
| Stockton Borough ................... | 5,475 | 2,500 | $7,975$ |
| Tewksbury Township ............... | 6,300 | 12,050 | 18,350 |
| Union Township ...................... | 11,000 | $8,550$ | 19,550 |
| West Amwell Twp. ................... | 13,000 | 8,550 | 21,550 |
| Total ...................................... | \$368,642 | \$267,700 | \$636,342 |


| MERCER COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Windsor Twp. ................... | \$ 28,412 | \$ 27,400 | \$ 55,812 |
| Ewing Township ...................... | 186,606 | 123,450 | 310,056 |
| Hamilton Township .................. | 692,690 | 342,950 | 1,035,640 |
| Hightstown Borough ................ | 21,906 | 9,750 | 31,656 |
| Hopewell Borough ................... | 8,313 | 4,850 | 13,163 |
| Hopewell Township ................... | 37.526 | 39,600 | 77,126 |
| Lawrence Township .................. | 101,266 | 61,450 | 162,716 |
| Pennington Borough ................. | 3,250 | 6,350 | 9,600 |
| Princeton Borough .................... | 16,500 | 10,100 | 26,600 |
| Princeton Township .................. | 17,298 | 27,950 | 45,248 |
| Trenton City ............................. | 683,816 | 139,750 | 823,566 |
| Washington Township .............. West Windsor Twp. | 17,181 21,353 | 12,800 21,050 | $\begin{aligned} & 29,981 \\ & 42,403 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total ...................................... | \$1,836,117 | \$827,450 | \$2,663,567 |


| MIDDLESEX COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carteret Borough ...................... | \$ 258,608 | \$ 79,050 | \$ 337,658 |
| Cranbury Township .................. | 7,464 | 5,200 | 12,664 |
| Dunellen Borough .................... | 43,092 | 21,700 | 64,792 |
| East Brunswick Twp. ............... | 102,513 | 131,750 | 234,263 |
| Edison Township ...................... | 317,713 | 223,550 | 541,263 |
| Helmetta Borough .................... | 8,313 | 2,150 | 10,463 |
| Highland Park Boro ................. | 32,237 | 24,700 | 56,937 |
| Jamesburg Borough ................. | 22,500 | 11,100 | 33,600 |
| Metuchen Borough .................. | 63,447 | 47,650 | 111,097 |
| Middlesex Borough ................... | 81,954 | 52,300 | 134,254 |
| Milltown Borough ..................... | 50,550 | 30,950 | 81,500 |
| Monroe Township .................... | 69,114 | 133,500 | 202,614 |
| New Brunswick City ................ | 138,750 | 38,300 | 177,050 |
| North Brunswick Twp. .............. | 86,631 | 56,800 | 143,431 |
| Old Bridge Township ................ | 246,000 | 153,700 | 399,700 |
| Perth Amboy City .................... | 249,801 | 60,400 | 310,201 |
| Piscataway Township ............... | 163,501 | 96,900 | 260,401 |
| Plainsboro Township ............... | 3,500 | 7,650 | 11,150 |
| Sayreville Borough ................... | 211,721 | 138,500 | 350,221 |
| South Amboy City ................... | 89,563 | 25,250 | 114,813 |
| South Brunswick Twp. ............. | 63,229 | 51,650 | 114,879 |
| South Plainfield Boro ................ | 137,351 | 89,750 | 227,101 |
| South River Borough ................ | 170,285 | 50,300 | 220,585 |
| Spotswood Borough ................ | 27,099 | 28,800 | $55,899$ |
| Woodbridge Township .............. | 919,106 | 348,550 | 1,267,656 |
| Total ........................................... | \$3,564,042 | \$1,910,150 | \$5,474,192 |


| MONMOUTH COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Property } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberdeen Township ................. | \$ 80,281 | \$ 45,500 | \$ 125,781 |
| Allenhurst Township ................. | 2,250 | 2,150 | 4,400 |
| Allentown Borough ................... | 8,250 | 5,200 | 13.450 |
| Asbury Park City ..................... | 39,604 | 10,500 | 50.104 |
| Atlantic Highlands .................... | 20,200 | 14,950 | 35, 150 |
| Avon-By-the-Sea .................... | 4,000 | 8,150 15.400 | 12,150 48.150 |
| Belmar Borough ...................... | 32,750 | 15,400 | 48,150 31,950 |
| Bradley Beach Boro ................. | 23,500 12.083 | 8,450 15,800 | 31,950 27.883 |
| Colts Neck Township ................ | 7,293 | 18,450 18,800 | 25,743 |
| Deal Borough ........................ | 2,500 | 4,150 | 6,650 |
| Eatontown Borough ................. | 28,145 | 28,050 | 56,195 |
| Englishtown Borough ................ | 5,292 | 1,600 | 6,892 |
| Fair Haven Borough ................ | 16,750 6,250 | 18,550 3,700 | 35,300 9 |
| Farmingdale Borough ................................ Freehold Borough | 6,250 49,605 | 3,700 24,900 | 9,950 74,505 |
| Freehold Township .................. | 46,999 | 57,350 | 104,349 |
| Hazlet Township ...................... | 84,145 | 79,200 | 163,345 |
| Highlands Borough .................. | 36,056 | 11,500 | 47,556 |
| Holmdel Township .................. | 15,000 | 22,650 | 37,650 |
| Howell Township .................... | 152,345 | 82,150 | 234,495 |
| Interlaken Borough ................. | 2,612 | 5,700 |  |
| Keansburg Borough Keyport Borough | 66,500 37,802 | 17,950 16,500 | 84,450 54,302 |
| Little Silver Borough ................. | 8,750 | 21,550 | 30,300 |
| Loch Arbour Village ................. | 1,250 | 51900 | 2,150 144.553 |
| Long Branch City .................... | 92,753 | 51,800 | 144,553 |


| MONMOUTH COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Property } \\ & \text { Tax } \end{aligned}$ Deductions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Veteran } \\ & \text { Deductions } \end{aligned}$ | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manalapan Township ................ | 99,427 | 67,950 | 167,377 |
| Manasquan Borough ................ | 33,111 | 21,450 | 54,561 |
| Marlboro Township .................. | 31,706 | 51,450 | ${ }^{83,156}$ |
| Matawan Borough .................... | 29,813 | 22,100 | 51,913 |
| Middletown Township ............... | 218,613 | 215,150 | 433,763 |
| Millstone Township ................... | 16,404 | 10,150 | 26,554 |
| Monmouth Bch. Boro ............... | 5,250 | 10,350 | 15,600 |
| Neptune Township ................... | ${ }^{131,712}$ | 83,650 | 215,362 5060 |
| Neptune City Borough ............. | ${ }^{35,750}$ | 14,850 | 50,600 127878 |
| Ocean Township ..................... | 58,428 | 69,450 20.200 | 127,878 28,450 |
| Oceanport Borough ................. | 8,250 61,000 | 20,200 20,000 |  |
| Red Bank Borough ................. Roosevelt Borough .............. | 61,000 4,000 | 20,000 2,100 | 81,000 6,00 |
| Rumson Borough .................... | 28,782 | 22,050 | 50,832 |
| Sea Bright Borough ................. | 8,250 | 3,700 | 11,950 |
| Sea Girt Borough .................... | 3,250 | 11,150 | 14,400 |
| Shrewsbury Borough ............... | 10,000 4.500 |  |  |
| Shrewsbury Township ............. South Belmar Boro ............. | 4,500 16,500 | 700 5,250 | 5,200 21,750 |
| South Belmar Boro .................. | 11,500 | 14,950 | 26,450 |
| Spring Lake Hts. Boro ............. | 26,500 | 19,800 | 46,300 |
| Tinton Falls Borough ................ | 25,778 | 27,150 | 52,928 |
| Union Beach Borough ............. | 59,150 17,250 | 20,550 8,700 | -79,790 |
| Upper Freehold Twp Wall Township | 17,250 80,604 | 8,700 62,900 | 253,504 143,500 |
| W. Long Branch Boro ............ | 19,500 | 26,500 | 46,000 |
| Total ..................................... | \$1,927,993 | \$1,436,500 | \$3,364,493 |


| MORRIS COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boonton Town ......................... | \$ 47,166 | \$ 22,300 | \$ 69,466 |
| Boonton Township | 12,500 | 11,500 | 24,000 |
| Butler Borough ........................ | 38,514 | 23,250 | 61,764 |
| Chatham Borough .................... | 19,401 | 26,750 | 46,151 |
| Chatham Township ................. | 11,250 | 26,500 | 37,750 |
| Chester Borough ..................... | 4,750 | 3,600 | 8,350 |
| Chester Township ................... | 6,680 | 12,500 | 19,180 |
| Denville Township ................... | 68,221 | 46,200 | 114,421 |
| Dover Town ............................ | 58,316 | 27,300 | 85,616 |
| East Hanover Twp. .................. | 33,997 | 30,500 | 64,497 |
| Florham Park Borough ............. | 18,500 | 33,350 | 51,850 |
| Hanover Township ................... | 54,250 | 44,400 | 98,650 |
| Harding Township .................... | 5,005 | 11,300 | 16,305 |
| Jefferson Township .................. | 70.585 | 46,550 | 117,135 |
| Kinnelon Borough ................... | 11,000 | 22,600 | 33,600 |
| Lincoln Park Borough .............. | 33,955 | 25,200 | 59,155 |
| Madison Borough ..................... | 47,250 | 38,800 | 86,050 |
| Mendham Borough .................. | 7,500 | 14,850 | 22,350 |
| Mendham Township ................ | 4,250 | 11,500 | 15,750 |
| Mine Hill Township .................. | 27.500 | 13.550 | 41,050 |
| Montville Township .................. | 38,500 | 43,750 | 82,250 |
| Morris Township | 31,135 | 50,850 | 81,985 |
| Morris Plains Borough .............. | 18,418 | 20,600 | 39,018 |
| Morristown Town ..................... | 27,495 | 19,500 | 46,995 |
| Mountain Lakes Boro | 1,750 | 8,600 | 10,350 |
| Mount Arlington Boro .............. | 12,659 | 8,350 | 21,009 |
| Mount Olive Township .............. | 55,250 | 32.100 | $87,350$ |
| Netcong Borough | 25,750 | 9,050 | 34,800 |
| Par-Troy Hills Twp. | 116,635 | 106,650 | $223,285$ |
| Passaic Township | 33,296 | 24,900 | $58,196$ |
| Pequannock Township | 60,309 | 51,500 | 111,809 |
| Randolph Township | 22,750 | 41,800 | $64,550$ |
| Riverdale Borough | 16,250 | 10,000 | 26.250 |
| Rockaway Borough .................. | 38,500 | 21,950 | 60,450 |
| Rockaway Township ................ | 38,916 | 49,350 | $88.266$ |
| Roxbury Township | 53,108 | 60,950 | 114,058 |
| Victory Gardens Boro .............. | 5.000 | 1,600 | 6,600 |
| Washington Township | 22,393 | $30,450$ | $52,843$ |
| Wharton Borough ..................... | 26,000 | 15,850 | 41,850 |
| Total ........................................ | \$1,224,704 | \$1,100,300 | \$2,325,004 |


| OCEAN COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnegat Township .................. | \$ 70,913 | \$ 41,750 | \$ 112,663 |
| Barnegat Light Boro ................ | 7,500 | 5,050 | 12,550 |
| Bay Head Borough .................. | 5,542 | 5,050 | 10,592 |
| Beach Haven Borough ............. | 16,638 | 9,200 | 25,838 |
| Beachwood Borough ................. | 64,292 | 29,150 | 93,442 |
| Berkeley Township .................... | 1,130,407 | 324,200 | 1,454,607 |
| Brick Township ......................... | 455,050 | 253,250 | 708,300 |
| Dover Township ....................... | 579,461 | 264,624 | 844,085 |
| Eagleswood Township .............. | 13,585 | 4,850 | 18,435 |
| Harvey Cedars Boro ................. | 3,000 | 3,000 | 6,000 |
| Island Heights Boro .................. | 13,053 | 6,900 | 19,953 |
| Jackson Township .................... | 109,500 | 77,488 | 186,988 |
| Lacey Township ....................... | 270,500 | 109,750 | 380,250 |
| Lakehurst Borough .................... | 11,750 | 6,850 | 18,600 |
| Lakewood Township ................. | 331,447 | 104,180 | 435,627 |
| Lavallette Borough ................... | 27,155 | 13,600 | 40,755 |
| Little Egg Harbor Twp. ............. | 139,582 | 62,000 | 201,582 |
| Long Beach Township .............. | 39,942 | 26,800 | 66,742 |
| Manchester Township ............... | 845,808 | 261,000 | 1,106,808 |
| Mantoloking Borough ............... | 250 | 2,150 | 2,400 |
| Ocean Township ...................... | 70,351 | 26,150 | 96,501 |
| Ocean Gate Borough ............... | 22,994 | 7,100 | 30,094 |
| Pine Beach Borough ............... | 17,859 | 8,200 | 26,059 |
| Plumsted Township ................... | 29,750 | 17,664 | 47,414 |
| Pt. Pleasant Borough ............... | 188,196 | 74,700 | 262,896 |
| Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro ............ | 33,473 | 18,050 | 51,523 |
| Seaside Heights Boro .............. | 17,395 | 5,100 | 22,495 |
| Seaside Park Borough .............. | 17,884 | 10,250 | 28,134 |
| Ship Bottom Borough .............. S. Toms River Boro ............ | 22,405 | 8,550 | 30,955 |
| S. Toms River Boro ................. | 20,750 | 8,600 | 29,350 |
| Stafford Township ................... Surf City Borough ............ | 153,467 | 68,200 | 221,667 |
| Surf City Borough .................... Tuckerton Borough .......... | $\begin{aligned} & 18,750 \\ & 31,999 \end{aligned}$ | 9,850 11,400 | 28,600 43,399 |
| Total ........................................... | \$4,780,648 | \$1,884,656 | \$6,665,304 |


| PASSAIC COUNTY | *Property Tax <br> Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bloomingdale Borough ............. | \$ 38,296 | \$ 25,200 | \$ 63,496 |
| Clifton City ............................... | 622,485 | 260,100 | 882,585 |
| Haledon Borough ..................... | 44,566 | 14,300 | 58,866 |
| Hawthorne Borough ................. | 143,679 | 56,950 | 200,629 |
| Little Falls Township ................. | 74,234 | 36,900 | 111,134 |
| North Haledon Boro ................. | 72,007 | 26,750 | 98,757 |
| Passaic City ............................. | 126,294 | 36,750 | 163,044 |
| Paterson City ........................... | 413,000 | 85,650 | 498,650 |
| Pompton Lakes Boro ............... | 59,250 | 36,900 | 96,150 |
| Prospect Park Boro ................. | 28,449 | 8,500 | 36,949 |
| Ringwood Borough .................. | 36,153 | 31,350 | 67,503 |
| Totowa Borough ...................... | 126,931 | 45,650 | 172,581 |
| Wanaque Borough | 63,000 | 28,500 | 91,500 |
| Wayne Township ...................... | 155,087 | 148,650 | 303,737 |
| West Milford Twp. .................... | 86,250 | 65,200 | $151,450$ |
| West Paterson Boro ................ | 85,000 | 35,050 | 120,050 |
| Total ........................................ | \$2,174,681 | \$942,400 | \$3,117,081 |


| SALEM COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alloway Township ................... | \$ 29,000 | \$ 9,750 | \$ 38,750 |
| Carneys Point Twp. ................. | 55,681 | 25,500 | 81,181 |
| Elmer Borough ........................ | 16,285 | 4,350 | 20,635 |
| Elsinboro Township ................. | 14,600 | 6,350 | 20,950 |
| Lower Alloways Creek .............. | 10,580 | 4,900 | 15,480 |
| Mannington Township ............. | 14,250 | 5,400 | $19,650$ |
| Oldman's Township ................. | 7,750 | 4,900 | $12,650$ |
| Penns Grove Borough ............. | 38,000 | 7.750 | 45,750 |
| Pennsville Township | 90,753 | $56,350$ |  |
| Pilesgrove Township | 14,000 | $9,850$ | $23,850$ |
| Pittsgrove Township | 51,749 | $19,850$ | $71,599$ |
| Quinton Township | 27,375 | $9,150$ | $36,525$ |
| Salem City | $50,251$ | 14,000 | $64,251$ |
| Upper Pittsgrove Twp. | $20,250$ | $8,450$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,700 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| Woodstown Borough | 21,014 |  |  |
| Total ........................................ | \$461,538 | \$195,700 | \$657,238 |


| SOMERSET COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Property } \\ & \text { Tax } \\ & \text { Deductions } \end{aligned}$ | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedminster Township ............. | \$ 13,044 | \$ 11,000 | \$ 24,044 |
| Bernards Township .................... | 16,488 | 38,800 | 55,288 |
| Bernardsvile Borough .............. | 16,578 | 18,750 | 35,328 |
| Bound Brook Borough .............. | 71,000 | 21,150 | 92,150 |
| Branchburg Township ............... | 17.412 | 28,750 | 46,162 |
| Bridgewater Township .............. | 138,336 | 95,000 | 233,336 |
| Far Hills Borough ..................... | 1,750 | 1,450 | 3,200 |
| Franklin Township .................... | 119,079 | 87,050 | 206,129 |
| Green Brook Twp. .................. | 22,860 | 17,100 | 39,960 |
| Hillsborough Township .............. | 49,154 | 54,200 | 103,354 |
| Manville Borough ..................... | 139,591 | 48,350 | 187,941 |
| Millstone Borough ................... | 2,750 | 1,750 | 4,500 |
| Montgomeny Township ............ North Plainfield Boro ........... | 12,680 91,000 | 19,000 46,250 | 31,680 137,250 |
| Peapack-Gladstone ..................... | 4,250 | 6,050 | 10,300 |
| Raritan Borough ...................... | 80,284 | 20,650 | 100,934 |
| Rocky Hill Borough .................. | 2,500 | 1,800 | 4,300 |
| Somerville Borough .................. | 58,321 | 28,100 | 86,421 |
| S. Bound Brook Boro .............. | 28,338 | 13,200 | ${ }^{41,538}$ |
| Warren Township Watchung Borough .................. | 20,250 5 5,250 | 32,100 18,050 | 52,350 23,300 |
| Watchung Borough ................. | 5,250 | 18,050 | 23,300 |
| Total | \$910,915 | \$608,550 | \$1,519,465 |


| SUSSEX COUNTY | *Property Tax Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andover Borough ..................... | \$ 3,000 | \$ 1,250 | \$ 4,250 |
| Andover Township ................... | 13,250 | 14,250 | 27,500 |
| Branchville Borough ................. | 7,000 | 2,950 | 9,950 |
| Byram Township ..................... | 24,750 | 20,800 | 45,550 |
| Frankford Township ................. | 24,000 | 15,150 | 39,150 |
| Franklin Borough ..................... | 37,000 | 10,900 | 47,900 |
| Fredon Township ..................... | 5,195 | 6,750 | 11,945 |
| Green Township ...................... | 5,000 | 6,500 | 11,500 |
| Hamburg Borough ................... | 14,750 | 4,900 | 19,650 |
| Hampton Township .................. | 21,000 | 12,550 | 33,550 |
| Hardyston Township ................ | 21,750 | 12,500 | 34,250 |
| Hopatcong Borough ................ | 75,718 | 43,100 | 118,818 |
| Lafayette Township .................. | 8,000 | 4,450 | 12,450 |
| Montague Township ................ | 15,750 | 8,750 | 24,500 |
| Newton Town ......................... | 44,375 | 17,900 | 62,275 |
| Ogdensburg Borough .............. | 15,544 | 8,050 | 23,594 |
| Sandyston Township ............... | 16,250 | 6,050 | 22,300 |
| Sparta Township ..................... | 25,500 | 39,150 | 64,650 |
| Stanhope Borough .................. | 14,310 | 8,450 | 22,760 |
| Stillwater Township .................. | 20,314 | 13,200 | 33,514 |
| Sussex Borough | 13,165 | 4,200 | 17,365 |
| Vernon Township .................... | 68,021 | 48,600 | 116,621 |
| Walpack Township |  | $191$ | $191$ |
| Wantage Township ................... | 47,750 | 22,700 | 70,450 |
| Total ......................................... | \$541,392 | \$333,291 | \$874,683 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline UNION COUNTY \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *Property } \\
& \text { Tax } \\
& \text { Deductions }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Veteran } \\
& \text { Deductions }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& Taxes <br>
\hline Berkeley Heights Twp. ........ \& \$ 28,066 \& \$ 40,550 \& \$ 68,616 <br>
\hline Clark Township ....................... \& 109,959 \& 79.500 \& 189.459 <br>
\hline Cranford Township .................. \& 103,870 \& 92,800 \& 196,670 <br>
\hline Elizabeth City ........................ \& 385,307 \& 99.800 \& 485,107 <br>
\hline Fanwood Borough ................... \& 21,192 \& 27,100 \& ${ }^{48,292}$ <br>
\hline Garwood Borough ................. \& 38,841 \& 18.050 \& 56,891 <br>
\hline Hillside Township .................. \& 115,447 \& 47,300 \& 162,747 <br>
\hline Kenilworth Borough ................. \& 83,579 \& 33,100 \& 116,679 <br>
\hline Linden City ......................... \& 352,196 \& 127,400 \& 479.596 <br>
\hline Mountainside Borough ............. \& 22,500 \& 30,150
41.300 \& 52,650
72,406 <br>
\hline New Providence Boro .............. \& 31,106 \& 41.300 \& 72.406 <br>
\hline Plainfeield City ..........................
Rahway City \& 154,750
223,048 \& 56,100
94.200 \& 210,850
317.248 <br>
\hline Rahway City Roselle Borough \& 223,048
172,500 \& 94,200
57,400 \& 317,248
299,900 <br>
\hline Roselie Borough .................. \& ren

90,715 \& 39,350 \& 130,065 <br>
\hline Scotch Plains Twp. ................. \& 73,232 \& 77,350 \& 150,582 <br>
\hline Springfield Township .............. \& 54.818 \& 51.700 \& 106,518 <br>
\hline  \& 57,776 \& 50,450 \& 108,226 <br>
\hline Union Township ...................... \& 433,253 \& 182,500 \& 615,753 <br>
\hline Westfield Town $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ \& 74,800
37,701 \& 88,600
5,850 \& 163,400
43,551 <br>
\hline Total .................................... \& \$2,664,656 \& \$1,340,550 \& \$4,005,206 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| WARREN COUNTY | *Property Tax <br> Deductions | Veteran Deductions | Taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allamuchy Township ................ | \$ 7,000 | \$ 10,200 | \$ 17,200 |
| Alpha Borough ........................ | 43,000 | 10,950 | 53,950 |
| Belvidere Town ........................ | 12,892 | 8,750 | 21,642 |
| Blairstown Township ................ | 30,351 | 16,000 | 46,351 |
| Franklin Township .................... | 12,412 | 6,150 | 18,562 |
| Frelinghuysen Twp. .................. | 4,750 | 4,000 | 8,750 |
| Greenwich Township ............... | 11,000 | 5,250 | 16,250 |
| Hackettstown Town .................. | 32,000 | 22,300 | 54,300 |
| Hardwick Township .................. | 4,750 | 3,200 | 7,950 |
| Harmony Township .................. | 19,100 | 9,550 | 28,650 |
| Hope Township ...................... | 8,000 | 4,150 | 12,150 |
| Independence Twp. .................. | 13,158 | 9,700 | 22,858 |
| Knowlton Township .................. | 14,000 | 6,650 | 20,650 |
| Liberty Township | 13,517 | $5,850$ | $19,367$ |
| Lopatcong Township | 28,859 | 18,200 | 47,059 |
| Mansfield Township ................. | 22,250 | 12,150 | 34,400 |
| Oxford Township ..................... | 19,527 | 4,950 | 24,477 |
| Pahaquarry Township |  |  |  |
| Phillipsburg Town .................... | 158,716 | 50,900 | 209,616 |
| Pohatcong Township ............... | 33,489 | 16,100 | $49,589$ |
| Washington Borough | 38,849 | 13,650 | $52,499$ |
| Washington Township ............. | 24,350 | 16,950 | 41,300 |
| White Township ....................... | 15,000 | 8,950 | 23,950 |
| Total .......................................... | \$566,970 | \$264,550 | \$831,520 |
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Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the State of New Jersey, for the Year 1991

| COUNTY | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | $3$ | 4 | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | ```(b) \\ Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements)``` | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,979,302,796 \\ 30,896,667,650 \\ 5,084,520,109 \\ 2,352,678,868 \\ 6,540,587,210 \end{array}$ | $\$ 9,908,170,849$ $36,454,739,552$ $11,686,883,316$ $7,065,304,360$ $5,289,145,998$ | $\$ 15,887,473,645$ $67,351,407,202$ $16,771,403,425$ $9,41,983,228$ $11,829,733,208$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,080,100 \\ 6,849,500 \\ 9,658,060 \\ 16,486,968 \\ 127,100 \end{array}$ | \$ $15,876,393,545$ $67,344,557,702$ $16,761,745,365$ $9,401,496,260$ $11,829,606,108$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 120,438,893 \\ 294,730,927 \\ 158,631,590 \\ 98,017,709 \\ 62,163,141 \end{array}$ | $\$ 15,996,832,438$ $67,639,288,629$ $16,920,376,955$ $9,499.513,969$ $11,891,769,249$ |
| 6. Cumberland ..................... 7. Essex 8. Gloucester.................... 9. Hudson 10. Hunterdon ......................... | $787,273,839$ $5,874,885,800$ $2,034,807,300$ $6,527,640,723$ $4,009,378,008$ | $2,482,299,024$ $10,501,530,500$ $5,175,478,170$ $11,440,614,653$ $5,264,778,758$ | $3,269,572,863$ $16,376,416,300$ $7,210,285,470$ $17,968,255,376$ $9,274,156,766$ | $66,485,940$ $13,883,800$ $18,193,625$ $39,419,900$ | $3,203,086,923$ $16,362,532,500$ $7,192,091,845$ $17,988,835,476$ $9,274,156,766$ | $44,649,908$ $131,726,400$ $68,129,504$ $108,680,865$ $39,305,004$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,247,736,831 \\ 16,494,258,900 \\ 7,260,221,349 \\ 18,037,516,341 \\ 9,313,461,770 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Mercer <br> 12. Middlesex <br> 13. Monmouth <br> 14. Morris <br> 15. Ocean $\qquad$ | $1,744,298,248$ $11,459,727,100$ $14,940,438,959$ $9,830,127,150$ $11,535,224,699$ | $4,796,547,324$ $20,960,556,900$ $19,611,632,546$ $16,216,013,729$ $13,086,723,433$ | $6,540,845,572$ $32,420,284,000$ $34,552,071,505$ $26,046,140,879$ $24,621,948,132$ | $18,474,730$ $46,946,100$ $61,123,600$ 460,000 $74,684,100$ | $6,522,370,842$ $32,373,337,900$ $34,490,947,905$ $26,045,680,879$ $24,547,264,032$ | $56,722,646$ $289,656,677$ $301,955,769$ $205,530,614$ $141,746,800$ | $6,579,093,488$ $32,662,994,577$ $34,792,903,674$ $26,251,211,493$ $24,689,010,832$ |
| 16. Passaic <br> 17. Salem <br> 18. Somerset <br> 19. Sussex <br> 20. Union | $6,783,742,616$ $315,637,200$ $5,933,305,178$ $2,293,835,634$ $7,176,360,680$ | $9,303,020,102$ $1,161,993,292$ $10,355,406,673$ $3,884,510,448$ $11,387,148,400$ | $16,086,762,718$ $1,477,630,492$ $16,288,711,851$ $6,178,346,082$ $18,563,509,080$ | $2,807,152$ 76,900 $3,383,500$ 447,744 136,200 | $16,083,955,566$ $1,477,553,592$ $16,285,328,351$ $6,177,893,338$ $18,563,372,880$ | $84,821,306$ $21,192,600$ $106,647,636$ $27,421,213$ $108,311,728$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,168,776,872 \\ 1,498,746,192 \\ 16,391,975,987 \\ 6,205,319,551 \\ 18,671,684,608 \end{array}$ |
| 21. Warren ........................... | 2,066,966,053 | 3,343,558,823 | 5,410,524,876 | 4,826,508 | 5,405,698,368 | 38,028,405 | 5,443,726,773 |
| Totals .......................... | \$144,167,405,820 | \$219,376,056,850 | \$363,543,462,670 | \$395,551,527 | \$363,147,911,143 | \$2,508,509,335 | \$365,656,420,478 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the State of New Jersey, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| COUNTY | GeneralTaxRateto Applyper $\$ 100$Valuation | 8CountyEqualizationTable-AverageRatio ofAssessedto TrueValue ofRealProperty(R.S. $54: 3-17$to R.S.$54: 3-19$ ) | True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139, L. 1966) | 10 <br> EQUALIZATION |  | Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Are Apportioned (Cols. $6+9$ - 10(a) $+10(\mathrm{~b}))$ | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to <br> R.S. 54:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITotalCounty TaxesApportioned(IncludingTotal NetAdjustments) | Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{\text { R }}$ From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) <br> County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
|  | …......... …...... …...... .......... . |  | \$ 85,771 183,049 26,899 | $\$ 145,861,140$ <br> $310,746,265$ <br> $244,588,712$ <br> $167,987,772$ <br> $499,763,473$ | $\$ 2,822,351,362$ <br> $17,915,027,437$ <br> $1,999,545,825$ <br> $9.564,267,800$ <br> $1,301,895,304$ | $\$ 18,673,408,431$ <br> $85,243,752,850$ <br> $18,67,34,068$ <br> $18,895,820,896$ <br> $12,693,901,080$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 81,786,066.82 \\ 201,373,149.59 \\ 102,500,6364 \\ 160,398,474.50 \\ 49,727,727.13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 923,119.61 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ 923,119.61 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |
| 6. Cumberland ....................... 7. Essex 8. Gloucester .............................. 9. Hudson .................... 10. Hunterdon .................... | …........ ......... ....... .......... ..... |  | $1,808,223$ $11,142,882$ | $14,677,586$ <br> $19,094,251$ <br> $179,515,822$ <br> $66,776,606$ <br> $219,154,030$ | $568,707,487$ <br> $23,697,927,556$ <br> $2,39,741,799$ <br> $7,241,343,803$ <br> $108,785,977$ | $3,801,766,732$ <br> $40,174,900,428$ <br> $9,39,47,326$ <br> $25,223,226,420$ <br> $9,203,093,717$ | $34,499,481.85$ $235,551,730.49$ $58,990,073.06$ $132,653,005.82$ $27,711,409.85$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 549,486 $1,388,028$ 189,867 726,509 409,163 | $529,098,716$ <br> $538,427,183$ <br> $109,948,316$ <br> $45,224,990$ <br> $112,991,144$ | $12,266,401,227$ <br> $14,121,646,074$ <br> $7,364,365,617$ <br> $1,533,207,071$ <br> $9,681,654,528$ | $18,846,044,201$ <br> $46,256,929,963$ <br> $41,61,031,975$ <br> $41,675,196,757$ <br> $34,325,849,533$ | $90,055,385.68$ <br> $189,209,153.55$ <br> $164,306,586.74$ <br> $111,976,898.63$ <br> $124,567,925.68$ | $\begin{array}{r} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ 181,920.37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 16. Passaic <br> 17. Salem <br> 18. Somerset <br> 19. Sussex <br> 20. Union $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  | 544,390 338,311 900,701 | $112,991,144$ $29,777,554$ $108,589,623$ $43,025,864$ $14,070,183$ | $9,300,295,734$ $1,058,591,602$ $7,001,651,961$ $2,575,472,172$ $14,925,836,514$ | $25,356,625,852$ $2,527,560,240$ $23,285,376,636$ $8,737,765,859$ $33,584,351,640$ | $111,773,562.36$ $18,600,307.40$ $96,689,564.99$ $29,836,629.03$ $132,683,663.65$ | ............. …....... .......... ......... |  |
| 21. Warren ............................ | ............ | $\ldots$ | ........... | 267,274,304 | 454,644,629 | 5,631,097,098 | 33,646,536.34 | ..... | ............ |
| Totals ......................... | ........... | ........... | \$18,293,279 | \$3,666,593,534 | \$161,813,361,479 | \$523,821,481,702 | \$2,188,537,969.28 | \$1,105,039.98 | \$1,105,039.98 |


| COUNTY | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C Local Taxes to Be Raised for |
|  | IIADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III | IVMunicipalBudgetState Aid(R.S. 52:27D-118.40 ) | Net County Taxes Apportioned Less Municipal Budget State Aid (Col. Alll-AIV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c)CountyOpen SpacePreservationTrust FundTax (C. 30,L. 1989) |  |
|  | (b) <br> Appeals and Corrected Errors (R.S. 54:4-49; R.S. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES <br> (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Atlantic | \$ 580,569.79 | \$ 15,058.57 | $\$ 81,220,555.60$$198,936,874.64$$101,701,962.90$$159,785,794.50$$49,341,967.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 673,443.03 \\ 242,779.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 81,220,555.60 \\ 198,936,874.64 \\ 101,028,519.87 \\ 159,785,794.50 \\ 49,099,188.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,030,728.00 \\ 4,580,000.00 \\ 2,127,134.00 \\ 2,384,234.63 \end{array}$ | \$ 2,325,000.00$\qquad$$\qquad$$\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 933,670.43 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\ 1, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \end{array}$ | \$ $115,279,772.24$$651,110,852.28$$175,580,579.68$$209,659,685.53$$55,099,381.72$ |
| 2. Bergen | 2,436,274.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Burlington | 800,773.97 | 2,100.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Camden | 640,443.00 | 27,763.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Cape May ..................... | 385,760.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Cumberland | 397,501.54 |  | 34,101,980.31 | 296,129.00 | 33,805,851.31 |  | 1,180,201.00 |  | 34,396,416.99 |
| 7. Essex ........ | 5,053,176.96 |  | 230,498,553.53 |  | 230,498,553.53 |  |  |  | 355,792,205.87 |
| 8. Gloucester | 327,531.18 |  | 58,662,541.88 | 32,775.12 | 58,629,766.76 | 861,686.00 |  |  | 89,431,200.48 |
| 9. Hudson .......................... | 4,005,090.64 | 76,333.82 | 128,724,299.00 |  | 128,724,299.00 |  |  |  | 233,445,748.00 |
| 10. Hunterdon ..................... | 256,409.85 |  | 27,455,000.00 |  | 27,455,000.00 | 2,002,530.00 |  |  | 66,671,435.78 |
| 11. Mercer | 745,606.68 |  | 89,309,779.00 |  | 89,309,779.00 | 4,128,999.00 |  | 1,884,604.42 | 124,411,534.00 |
| 12. Middlesex | 7,600,770.55 |  | 181,608,383.00 |  | 181,608,383.00 |  |  |  | 503,149,229.46 |
| 13. Monmouth | 1,673,429.30 | 346.56 | 162,633,504.00 |  | 162,633,504.00 | 4,171,653.00 | 727,106.00 | 4,000,000.00 | 316,297,052.55 |
| 14. Morris | 1,098,002.14 | 7,334.84 | 110,886,231.33 |  | 110,886,231.33 |  |  | . .......... | $324,785,780.98$ |
| 15. Ocean .......................... | 1,081,255.68 |  | 123,486,670.00 |  | 123,486,670.00 | 9,253,000.00 | 3,000,000.00 |  |  |
| 16. Passaic .......................... | 1,031,032.76 |  | 110,742,529.60 | * 579,312.42 | 110,163,217.18 |  |  |  | 222,624,094.26 |
| 17. Salem .......................... | 167,975.33 | 14,221.13 | 18,446,553.20 | 364,370.00 | 18,082,183.20 |  |  |  | 18,442,181.75 |
| 18. Somerset ...................... | 1,189,564.99 |  | 95,500,000.00 |  | 95,500,000.00 | 3,504,364.00 |  | 3,492,000.00 | 167,734,490.65 |
| 19. Sussex | 140,489.37 |  | 29,696,139.66 | 20,866.00 | 29,675,273.66 | 1,948,739.00 | 1,051,546.00 | ........... | 74,681,550.84 $289,897,333.46$ |
| 20. Union | 832,648.65 |  | 131,851,015.00 |  | 131,851,015.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Warren ............................ | 242,897.15 | ............ | 33,403,639.19 | 121,174.00 | 33,282,465.19 | 1,850,508.00 |  | ............ | 46,681,318.56 |
| Totals | \$30,687,204.61 | \$143,208.67 | \$2,157,993,973.34 | \$2,330,848.57 | \$2,155,663,124.77 | \$39,843,575.63 | \$8,283,853.00 | \$11,579,664.96 | \$4,254,019,774,72 |
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|  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  | 15 <br> Total Ratables Determined Pursuant to R.S. 54:1-35 After Equalization Under R.S. 54:1-33 and R.S. 54:1-34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section C <br> Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D | Total <br> Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) |  |  | (d) |  |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) | (c) |  | Which Tax Rate is |  | Surplus Revenue Appropriated | Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | Receipts | Total |  |
|  | Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets | As Required by Local Municipal Budget | Local Municipal Purposes | Computed (Cols. AV + $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a})$, (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{CII})$ |  |  |  | from Delinquent Taxes and Liens | Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \\ & 4 . \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 23,062,409.22$$89,171,640.89$$36,551,693.97$$30,936,835.44$$8,009,624.94$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,428,050.20 \\ 1,845,421.50 \\ 78,810.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,101,922.98 \\ 402,882,958.07 \\ 56,965,548.72 \\ 99,071,663.51 \\ 65,086,454.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 367,382,108.67 \\ 1,345,482,008.38 \\ 374,785,152.24 \\ 501,581,112.98 \\ 181,096,294.35 \end{array}$ | $\$ 2,419,252,515$$9,540,077,293$$2,885,747,187$$1,584,389,889$$934,104,970$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,375,060.99 \\ 56,034,753.02 \\ 26,812,855.59 \\ 19,778,655.84 \\ 13,745,370.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 74,085,148.42 \\ 210,496,791.92 \\ 81,275,067.11 \\ 75,738,597.76 \\ 43,783,764.28 \end{array}$ | $\$ 18,403,455.00$$23,939,683.36$$14,610,463.92$$17,365,471.23$$12,786,630.00$ | $\$ 113,863,664.41$$290,471,228.30$$122,698,386.62$$112,882,724.83$$70,315,765.21$ | $\$ 18,491,641,792$$83,323,405,199$$18,497,521,486$$18,681,722,512$$12,600,166,435$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 148,020.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,344,193.17 \\ 64,132,919.31 \\ 13,343,663.83 \end{array}$ | $647,379.71$$2,618,529.33$$6,628,469.75$$\ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | $\begin{array}{r} 21,361,342.01 \\ 310,568,721.18 \\ 42,069,354.65 \\ 232,313,772.68 \\ 14,624,953.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93,735,384.19 \\ 963,610,929.22 \\ 204,335,671.72 \\ 601,112,289.43 \\ 148,196,063.16 \end{array}$ | $583,844,995$$4,660,683,480$$806,468,800$$5,870,782,339$$953,711,259$ | $3,385,669.54$$24,797,022.33$$11,468,860.66$$2,355,000.00$$19,110,165.07$ | $13,659,544.34$$389,056,545.54$$48,823,964.41$$52,872,182.90$$25,472,788.29$ | $5,002,496.71$$34,245,739.77$$10,826,124.60$$13,782,000.00$$7,670,813.77$ | $22,047,710.59$$448,099,307.64$$71,118,949.67$$69,009,182.90$$52,253,767.13$ | $3,726,359,015$$39,090,137,114$$9,275,203,010$$24,817,683,446$$9,453,736,804$ |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | 37,442,144.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.12.13.14.15. | $\begin{array}{r} 83,807,260.85 \\ 16,497,381.59 \\ 105,791,359.51 \\ 104,300,553.55 \\ 100,592,945.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 783,979.00 \\ 4,346,387.59 \\ 21,000.00 \\ 3,358,595.00 \end{array}$ | $83,388,212.62$$170,574,649.01$$155,155,346.42$$170,018,321.08$$108,403,586.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 387,714,368.89 \\ & 876,176,030.65 \\ & 748,797,021.48 \\ & 709,990,886.94 \\ & 526,942,725.81 \end{aligned}$ | $1,756,010,383$$4,631,203,800$$4,117,844,625$$3,031,591,890$$2,653,698,420$ | $11,251,077.91$$31,282,812.49$$48,411,393.40$$28,066,578.80$$43,462,612.66$ | $53,269,135.22$$184,964,131.46$$130,332,268.47$$105,609,870.93$$86,935,348.49$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,013,811.09 \\ & 22,484,024.18 \\ & 30,281,604.00 \\ & 15,289,400.95 \\ & 25,798,204.03 \end{aligned}$ | $75,534,024.22$$238,730,968.13$$209,025,265.87$$148,965,850.68$$156,196,165.18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,596,719,354 \\ & 45,302,640,409 \\ & 41,269,942,353 \\ & 40,201,969,310 \\ & 34,225,701,302 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 . \\ & 17 . \\ & 18 . \\ & 19 . \\ & 20 . \end{aligned}$ | $19,328,775.13$$7,934,329.09$$50,189,958.02$$31,130,163.67$$57,802,933.04$ | $802,106.00$$43,911.55$$\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$$2,344,003.00$ | $165,075,334,49$$3,767,232.20$$66,700,095.94$$32,091,214.61$$184,839,369.78$ | $517,993,527.06$$48,269,837.79$$387,120,908.61$$170,578,487.78$$666,734,654.28$ | $2,236,825,561$$241,424,650$$1,483,590,474$$691,454,409$$3,041,572,130$ | $13,964,739.00$$4,871,401.54$$17,658,136.29$$8,846,108.00$$35,684,594.41$ | $69,618,903.12$$30,444,645.50$$49,359,053.94$$21,193,965.81$$90,387,232.30$ | $11,593,186.77$$4,622,870.00$$10,250,500.00$$8,165,037.00$$10,610,644.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95,176,828.89 \\ 39,938,917.04 \\ 77,267,690.23 \\ 38,205,110.81 \\ 136,682,470.71 \end{array}$ | $23,973,066,614$$2,404,727,996$$22,851,519,635$$8,682,364,247$$33,346,231,988$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. | 10,054,141.00 |  | 9,084,364.94 | 100,952,797.69 | 537,206,072 | 12,235,870.45 | 21,038.338.05 | 6,802,982.32 | 40,077,190.82 | 5,824,474,956 |
|  | \$892.424,925.47 | \$26,094,662.63 | \$2,533,144,419.14 | \$9,922,588,261.32 | \$54,661,485,141 | \$454,598.738.92 | \$1,858,417,288.26 | \$315,545,142.70 | \$2,628,561,169.88 | \$514,636,934,977 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Taxable Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 3 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | (b) $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Improvements } \\ \text { (Inclucles } \\ \text { Pantial } \\ \text { Exomptions } \\ \text { Abatoments) }\end{array}\right\}$ |  | rotal Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Absesesed Value) | Net Total Taxabie Value of Land and (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Tolegraph and Mossonger Companioe (C. 136, L. 1968) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Valuation } \\ \text { TCu.able } \\ \text { (Col. } 4+5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Absecon City ................... 2. Atlantic City 3. Brigantine City 4. .................. 5uena Borough 5. Buena Vista Township ..... | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 84,263,100 \\ 2,280,801,350 \\ 399,724,500 \\ 13,711,200 \\ 73,065,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 179,557,600$ $4,038,470,242$ $621,004,500$ $79,930,600$ $146,431,900$ | $\$ 263,820,700$ $6,39.271,592$ $1,000.72900$ $93.64,1,000$ $219,496,900$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,200 \\ & 296,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 263,820,700$ $6.39 .264,392$ $1,020.729 .000$ $93,34,5000$ $219,496,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,406,168 \\ 19,833,625 \\ 4,797,763 \\ 2,362,097 \\ 1,503,611 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 6. Corbin City <br> 7. Egg Harbor City <br> 8. Egg Harbor Township <br> 9. Estelle Manor City <br> 0. Folsom Borough | $6,449,000$ $28,495,400$ $31,015,400$ $47,241,175$ $19,954,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,753,600 \\ 93,630,300 \\ 688,98,900 \\ 43,757,400 \\ 52,435,700 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14,202,600 \\ & 122,125,700 \\ & 97,124,700 \\ & 90.31,575 \\ & 72,390,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,294,517 \\ 126.499 .982 \\ 9877.837 .555 \\ 91.597 \\ 73,648,284 \\ \hline, 287 \end{array}$ |
|  | $261,279,800$ 274.155 .900 $108.156,100$ 227.561 .500 $177,8131,550$ | $\begin{array}{r}532,195,600 \\ 500,11,800 \\ 318,24,700 \\ 273,3988,700 \\ 107,661,550 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 793,475,400 \\ & 774,277,700 \\ & \hline 426.825,800 \\ & 50.0999800 \\ & 285,475,100 \end{aligned}$ |  | $793,475.400$ 774,277,700 500,929,800 285,475,100 |  | 804,613,748 782,388,924 502,366,500 285,648,463 |
|  | $659,185,900$ $61,056,600$ $119,160,200$ $142,453,200$ $16,066,421$ |  | $1,301,373,500$ <br> $158,088,600$ <br> $333,68.500$ <br> 50101072,217 <br> $40,020,421$ | 10,408,500 | $1,301,373,500$ <br> $338,087.600$ <br> 339.687 .500 <br> $490.678,717$ <br> $40,020,421$ |  | $1,303,281,381$ $159,670,330$ $334,974,371$ $515,273,396$ $40,837,493$ |
| 21. Somers Point City <br> 22. Ventnor City <br> 23. Weymouth Township | $\begin{array}{r} 147,633,600 \\ 492,806,600 \\ 18,869,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 337,039,000 \\ 549,491,240 \\ 32,540,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 484,672,600 \\ 1,04,296,840 \\ 51,409,000 \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 484,672,600 \\ 1,042,296,840 \\ 51,041,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,381,899 \\ 6,310,575 \\ 571,372 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 491,054,490 \\ 1,048,607,415 \\ 51,612,772 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals .................... | \$5,979,302,796 | \$9,908,170,849 | \$15,887,473,645 | \$11,080,100 | \$15,876,393,545 | \$120,438,893 | \$15,996,832,438 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | GeneralTaxRateto ApplyPer $\$ 100$Valuation | 8 <br> County Equalization TableAverage Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property <br> (R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to R.S. <br> 54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139, L. 1966) | $10$ <br> EQUALIZATION |  | 11Not Valuationon WhichCounty TaxesApreAportioned(Cols. $6+9$$-10(\mathrm{a})$$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ ) | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added Under R.S. $54: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITotalCounty TaxesApportioned(IncludingTotal NetAdjustments) | Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{ }$ From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a)County EqualizationTable Appeals(R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D̄educt Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Absecon City <br> 2. Atlantic City <br> 3. Brigantine City <br> 4. Buena Borough <br> 5. Buena Vista Jownship | $\begin{aligned} & 3.367 \\ & 2.328 \\ & 2.091 \\ & 3.024 \\ & 1.990 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.73 \\ & 90.36 \\ & 84.59 \\ & 78.41 \\ & 87.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 85,771 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{gathered}$ |  | $\$ 110,466,759$ $761,018,844$ $187,698,071$ $27,498,219$ $32,888,196$ | $\$ 375,693,627$ $7,100,222,632$ $1,213,224,834$ $123,205,316$ $253,888,707$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,645,468.43 \\ 31,097,658.72 \\ 5,313,699.84 \\ 539,616.44 \\ 1,111,985.46 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 6. Corbin City <br> 7. Egg Harbor City <br> 8. Egg Harbor Township <br> 9. Estelle Manor City <br> 10. Folsom Borough | 1.803 <br> 3.262 <br> 2.539 <br> 1.493 <br> 2.305 | 76.67 95.65 69.60 118.18 92.20 | ............. .......... ......... ........ | \$ $\begin{array}{r}\text { c.............. } \\ \text { 13,596, } \\ \text { \% }\end{array}$ | $4,438,627$ <br> $7,495,853$ <br> $432,759,299$ <br> $6,943,263$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,733,144 \\ 133,955,835 \\ 1,420,596,854 \\ 77,992,524 \\ 80,591,550 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $82,047.70$ $586,701.72$ $6,221,950.84$ $341,592.80$ $352,976.05$ |  |  |
| 11. Galloway Township <br> 12. Hamilton Township <br> 13. Hammonton Town <br> 14. Linwood City <br> 15. Longport Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 2.701 <br> 2.542 <br> 2.726 <br> 2.073 <br> 1.827 <br> 1 | 72.95 74.83 80.09 104.88 54.66 | ............ .......... .......... ........ | ….......... $\ldots . . .$. 21,490,489 | $\begin{aligned} & 299,772,368 \\ & 268,025,688 \\ & 114,178,530 \\ & 237,422,408 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1,104,386,116$ <br> $1,050,414,612$ <br> $550,272,764$ <br> $480,876,011$ <br> $523,070,871$ | $4,837,006.43$ <br> $4,600,621.25$ <br> $2,410,092.68$ <br> $2,106,147.77$ <br> $2,290,953.44$ | .............. …....... .......... ........ |  |
| 16. Margate City <br> 17. Mullica Township <br> 18. Northfield City <br> 19. Pleasantville City <br> 20. Port Republic City | 1.381 <br> 2.842 <br> 3.285 <br> 2.510 <br> 2.640 | $\begin{array}{r} 106.55 \\ 69.97 \\ 76.97 \\ 108.64 \\ 62.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $76,514,287$ $\ldots . . . . .$. $34,259,564$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69,363,761 \\ 103,436,736 \\ \ldots 4,361,555 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,226,767,094 \\ 229,034,091 \\ 438,411,107 \\ 481,013,832 \\ 65,199,048 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5,373,012.43$ <br> $1,003,126.85$ <br> $1,920,159.37$ <br> $2,106,751.40$ <br> $285,559.74$ | ............. …....... .......... .-...... |  |
| 21. Somers Point City ............ 22. Ventnor City 23. Weymouth Township ........ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.724 \\ & 1.754 \\ & 2.360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.57 \\ & 99.05 \\ & 77.82 \end{aligned}$ | …......... ............ . | …........ | $\begin{array}{r} 106,003,410 \\ 13,641,615 \\ 14,938,160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 597,057,900 \\ 1,062,249,030 \\ 66,550,932 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,615,002.91 \\ 4,652,453.81 \\ 291,480.74 \end{array}$ | …......... | ............ ............ . |
| Totals ....................... | ........... | .......... | \$ 85,771 | \$145,861,140 | \$2,822,351,362 | \$18,673,408,431 | \$81,786,066.82 | ........... |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Atlantic, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised for |
|  | IIADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM(b)eals and Corrected ErrorsS. 54:4-49; R.S. 54:4-53) |  | III <br> Not County Taxes Apportioned | NVMunlcipalBudgotState Aid(R.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}$.118.40) |  | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26 : 3A2-18) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1980) |  |
|  |  |  | (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Absecon City <br> 2. Atlantic City <br> 3. Brigantine City <br> 4. Buena Borough <br> 5. Buena Vista Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 349.65 \\ 239,218.00 \\ 53,394.69 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $\$ 5,211.22$ |  | $\$ 1,645,118.78$ $30,858,440.72$ $5,260,305.15$ $539,616.44$ $1,117,196.68$ |  | 1,645,118,78 $30,858,440.72$ $5,260,305.15$ $539,616.44$ $1,117,196.68$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 120,781.72 \\ 390,039 . . . . \\ 398 \\ 81,609.27 \\ 8.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 75,475.13 \\ 243,731.31 \\ 24,751.38 \\ 51,005.08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,784.68 \\ 355,011.13 \\ 60.661 .24 \\ 6,160.27 \\ 12,694.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,293,240.00 \\ 32,816,440.50 \\ 6,156,339.50 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Corbin City <br> 7. Egg Harbor City <br> 8. Egg Harbor Township <br> 9. Estelle Manor City <br> 10. Folsom Borough | 671.07 $28,808.42$ 495.80 | $\begin{gathered} . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . \\ 163.49 \end{gathered}$ | $82,047.70$ $586,030.65$ $6,193,142.42$ $341,097.00$ $353,139.54$ |  | $82,047.70$ $586,030.65$ $6,193,142.42$ $341,097.00$ $353,139.54$ | $6,022.52$ $43,065.45$ $456,707.60$ $25,073.81$ $25,909.37$ | $3,763.40$ $26,911.11$ $285,391.40$ $15,668.34$ $16,190.47$ | 936.66 $6,697.79$ $71,029.84$ $3,899.63$ $4,029.58$ | $110,000.00$ $1,078,813.10$ $14,959,402.00$ $893,017.00$ $924,638.00$ |
| 11. Galloway Township <br> 12. Hamilton Township <br> 13. Hammonton Town <br> 14. Linwood City <br> 15. Longport Borough | $5,368.55$ $71,488.63$ $4,268.94$ $8,901.88$ | ….......... ....... $9,683.86$ | $4,831,637.88$ $4,529,132.62$ $2,405,823.74$ $2,115,831.63$ $2,287,051.56$ |  | $4,831,637.88$ $4,529,132.62$ $2,405,823.74$ $2,115,831.63$ $2,287,051.56$ | $355,049.03$ $337,697.73$ $176,907.16$ $168,162.03$ | $221,866.11$ $211,023.48$ $110,547.28$ $96,605.79$ $105,082.54$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55,219.31 \\ & 52,520.73 \\ & 27,513.64 \\ & 24,043.80 \\ & 26,153.54 \end{aligned}$ | $9,347,521.15$ $8,173,339.00$ $6,451,771.00$ $3,480,848.00$ $508,712.00$ |
| 16. Margate City <br> 17. Mullica Township <br> 18. Northfield City <br> 19. Pleasantville City <br> 20. Port Republic City | $\begin{array}{r} 57,460.48 \\ 546.65 \\ 52,800.68 \\ 1,734.69 \\ 333.06 \end{array}$ | …......... $\cdots$ .......... ..........$~$ | $5,315,551.95$ $1,002,580.20$ $1,867,358.69$ $2,105,016.71$ $285,226.68$ |  | $5,315,551.95$ $1,002,580.20$ $1,867,358.69$ $2,105,016.71$ $285,226.68$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,632.16 \\ 154,641.11 \\ 20,960.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 246,451.89 \\ 46,011.90 \\ 88,074.78 \\ 96,633.48 \\ 13,098.84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $61,338.35$ <br> $11,451.70$ <br> $21,920.56$ <br> $24,050.69$ <br> $3,259.95$ | $4,582,244.50$ $1,417,258.50$ $3,694,781.00$ $5,737,110.52$ $669,748.00$ |
| 21. Somers Point City <br> 22. Ventnor City <br> 23. Weymouth Township | $\begin{array}{r} 13,478.39 \\ 45,148.65 \\ 1,101.56 \end{array}$ | ............. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,601,524.52 \\ 4,607,305.16 \\ 290,379.18 \end{array}$ | .............. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,601,524.52 \\ 4,607,305.16 \\ 290,379.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 191,948.11 \\ 341,502.38 \\ 21,395.46 \end{array}$ | $119,946.20$ $213,400.96$ $13,369.78$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,852.90 \\ 53,112.45 \\ 3,327.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,438,906.47 \\ 4,778,282.00 \\ 767,360.00 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$580,569.79 | \$15,058.57 | \$81,220,555.60 |  | \$81,220,555.60 | \$3,030,728.00 | \$2,325,000.00 | \$933,670.43 | \$115,279,772.24 |

TAXING DISTRICTS

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section C Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + $B(a),(b),(c)$ $+\mathrm{Cla}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ $+\mathrm{ClI})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | Surplus | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Receipts from Delinquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> Total of cellaneous Revenues $+b+c)$ |
|  | SChOOL PURPOSES |  | LI$\substack{\text { Local } \\ \text { Muricipal } \\ \text { Purposes }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional Consolldated and Joint 8chool Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | As Required by Local Municlpal Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Absecon City <br> 2. Atlantic City <br> 3. Brigantine City <br> 4. Buena Borough <br> 5. Buena Vista Township | B \$  <br> $B$ $1,289,269.82$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,844.25 \\ 772,485.95 \\ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,738,886.35 \\ 83,528,762.16 \\ 8557,310.63 \\ 994,573.04 \\ 468,252.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,930,130.91 \\ 147,558,654.51 \\ 21,40,873.36 \\ 2,89,980.22 \\ 4,395,961.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 42,705,200 \\ 1,357,389,800 \\ 25,756,100 \\ 11,894,500 \\ 22,305,800 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,008,570.25$ $5,000,000.00$ $670,000.00$ $120,000.00$ $145,000.00$ | $\$ 1,531,782.96$ $31,649,903.32$ $1,741,931.64$ $921,784.32$ $1,103,650.62$ | $\$ 475,000.00$ <br> $6,300,000.00$ <br> $1,250,000.00$ <br> $242,000.00$ <br> $380,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3,015,353.21 } \\ 42,949,903.32 \\ 3,661,931.64 \\ 1,283,784.32 \\ 1,628,650.62 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Corbin City <br> 7. Egg Harbor City <br> 8. Egg Harbor Township <br> 9. Estelle Manor City <br> 10. Folsom Borough | G 752,218.88 | 40,000.00 | $54,886.00$ $1,591,011.00$ $3,111,687.00$ $88,598.00$ $373,194.00$ | $257,656.28$ $4,124,747.98$ $25,077,360.26$ $1,367,353.78$ $1,697,100.96$ | $4,379,900$ $19,754,600$ $187,102,800$ $10,109,800$ $3,318,500$ | $80,000.00$ $177,111.00$ $2,948,619.00$ $290,000.00$ $230,000.00$ | $145,129.00$ $1,101,61.00$ $7,791,534.00$ $318,302.00$ $325,441.00$ | $23,000.00$ <br> $260,000.00$ <br> $1,782,351.00$ <br> $60,000.00$ <br> $150,000.00$ | $248,129.00$ $1,538,722.00$ $12,522,504.00$ $668,302.00$ $705,441.00$ |
| 11. Galloway Township <br> 12. Hamilton Township <br> 13. Hammonton Town <br> 14. Linwood City <br> 15. Longport Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { G } & 4,855,334.14 \\ \text { G } & 4,972,101.00 \\ \text { M } & 2,253,398.33 \end{array}$ | 181,564.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,060,000.00 \\ & 1,607,312.94 \\ & 2,713,927.22 \\ & 2,260,787.02 \\ & 2,120,782.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,726,627.62 \\ 19,883,127.50 \\ 11,886,490.04 \\ 10,413,078.57 \\ 5,215,944.43 \end{array}$ | $165,150,500$ $138,080,400$ $56,999,900$ $50,265,900$ $12,320,000$ | $1,810,000.00$ $2,614,557.28$ $368,000.00$ $484,934.00$ $266,885.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,443,152.00 \\ 4,371,042.72 \\ 2,484,068.36 \\ 2,142,54.86 \\ 434,284.27 \end{array}$ | $1,200,000.00$ $1,050,000.00$ $823,000.00$ $278,104.00$ $300,000.00$ | $7,453,152.00$ $8,035,600.00$ $3,675,068.36$ $2,905,583.86$ $1,001,169.27$ |
| 16. Margate City <br> 17. Mullica Township <br> 18. Northfield City <br> 19. Pleasantville City <br> 20. Port Republic City | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{G} & 1,130,683.98 \\ \mathrm{M} & 2,235,327.52 \end{array}$ | $634,485.00$ $7,975.00$ $49,750.00$ | $7,155,287.99$ $855,514.95$ $3,086,463.91$ $4,810,968.00$ $35,750.32$ | $17,995,359.68$ $4,537,133.39$ $11,001,901.46$ $12,928,420.51$ $1,077,793.98$ | $84,542,600$ $7,988,900$ $53,070,900$ $74,456,215$ $3,429,300$ | $1,500,000.00$ $450,000.00$ $435,384.46$ $1,250,000.00$ $170,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,009,456.01 \\ 861,469.40 \\ 2,263,195.60 \\ 3,866,395.00 \\ 291,129.48 \end{array}$ | $300,000.00$ $525,000.00$ $410,000.00$ $900,000.00$ $95,000.00$ | $3,809,456.01$ $1,836,469.40$ $3,108,580.06$ $6,016,395.00$ $556,129.48$ |
| 21. Somers Point City <br> 22. Ventnor City <br> 23. Weymouth Township | M 2,908,885.39 | $\begin{array}{r} 28,446.00 \\ 675,500.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,052,243.45 \\ 7,713,864.29 \\ 121,859.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,371,753.04 \\ 18,382,967.24 \\ 1,217,691.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,777,000 \\ 37,749,200 \\ 2,704,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400.000 .00 \\ & 756,000.00 \\ & 200,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,748,682.02 \\ 2,216,708.92 \\ 321,948.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 840,000.00 \\ & 650,000.00 \\ & 110,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,988,682.02 \\ 3,622,708.92 \\ 631,948.92 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ......................... | \$23,062,409.22 | \$2,428,050.20 | \$139,101,922.98 | \$367,382,108.67 | \$2,419,252,515 | \$21,375,060.99 | \$74,085,148.42 | \$18,403,455.00 | \$113,863,664.41 |

Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues
Apportioned) for the support of the County Budget ....................... \$43,096,170.21
0.4379814596
0.0321489943
0.0200895418
Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Column 11 for apportionment

Net County Taxes Apportioned (12A III)
*Adjustments (Net Total 12A IIb) $+1-\ldots$
Total County Taxes Apportioned
(Including Adjustments - Total
(Including Adjustments-Total 12A I)
*Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments
are deducted.
Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Bergen, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | Taxable Value |  | $2$ | $3$ | 4 | 8 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telograph and Messenger Syotem Companies (C. 138, L. 1906) |  |
| 1. Allendale Borough <br> 2. Alpine Borough <br> 3. Bergenfield Borough <br> 4. Bogota Borough <br> 5. Carlstadt Borough $\qquad$ | $\$ 211,304,200$ $504,041,400$ $867,492,000$ $189,158,600$ $529,566,110$ | $\$ 273,714,300$ $272,001,900$ $522,426,800$ $137,896,600$ $552,721,350$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 485,018,500 \\ 776,043,300 \\ 1,389,918,800 \\ 327,055,200 \\ 1,082,287,460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text {............. } \\ \text { …........ } \\ \$ 247,200 \\ 227,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 485,018,500 \\ 776,043,300 \\ 1,389,918,800 \\ 326,808,000 \\ 1,082,060,360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 960,698 \\ 513,587 \\ 2,777,329 \\ 430,497 \\ 2,271,974 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 485,979,198 \\ 776,556,897 \\ 1,392,696,129 \\ 327,238,497 \\ 1,084,332,334 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Cliftside Park Borough .... 7. Closter Borough ............ 8. Cresskill Borough 9. Demarest Borough .......... 10. Dumont Borough ........... | $637,890,200$ $1354,026,200$ $354,526,591$ $236,116,900$ $369,571,900$ | $897,953,766$ $218,555,400$ $339,435,943$ $201,198,340$ $717,364,700$ | $1,535,843,966$ $353,581,600$ $693,962,534$ $437,315,240$ $1,086,936,600$ |  | $1,535,843,966$ $353,581,600$ $693,962,534$ $437,315,240$ $1,086,936,600$ | $6,963,005$ $3,568,074$ 847,933 308,036 $9,035,838$ | $1,542,806,971$ $357,149,674$ $694,810,467$ $437,623,276$ $1,095,972,438$ |
| 11. Elmwood Park Boro ........ 12. East Rutherford Boro ....... 13. Edgewater Boro 14. Emerson Borough ............. 15. Englewood City ........... | $669,340,950$ $178,780,200$ $264,738,300$ $252,310,800$ $986,220,700$ | $553,073,450$ $332,729,000$ $384,898,310$ $194,913,500$ $1,110,384,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,222,414,400 \\ 511,509,200 \\ 649,636,610 \\ 447,224,300 \\ 2,096,605,600 \end{array}$ |  | $1,222,414,400$ $511,509,200$ $649,598,910$ $447,224,300$ $2,096,272,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,315,904 \\ 3,479,096 \\ 837,287 \\ 1,031,956 \\ 18,433,734 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1,225,730,304$ $514,988,296$ $650,436,197$ $448,256,256$ $2,114,705,834$ |
| 16. Englewood Cliffs Boro <br> 17. Fairlawn Borough <br> 18. Fairview Borough <br> 19. Fort Lee Borough <br> 20. Franklin Lakes Boro | $720,865,800$ $1,148,585,500$ $286,931,100$ $876,215,500$ $970,084,800$ | $530,108,000$ $1,233,866,300$ $256,537,150$ $2,052,171,344$ $1,063,306,900$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,250,973,800 \\ & 2,382,451,800 \\ & 543,468,250 \\ & 2,928,386,844 \\ & 2,033,391,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81,600 \\ & 12,500 \end{aligned}$ | $1,250,973,800$ $2,38,451,800$ $543,386,650$ $2,928,374,344$ $2,033,391,700$ | $1,671,861$ $14,286,779$ 541,530 $11,443,754$ $2,199,759$ | $1,252,645,661$ $2,396,738,579$ $543,928,180$ $2,939,818,098$ $2,035,591,459$ |
| 21. Garfield City <br> 22. Glen Rock Borough <br> 23. Hackensack City <br> 24. Harrington Park Boro <br> 25. Hasbrouck Hts. Boro | $583,385,300$ $315,458,400$ $1,114,250,000$ $232,664,200$ $248,295,980$ | $858,809,200$ $579,214,800$ $1,374,269,300$ $207,017,000$ $453,320,880$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,442,194,500 \\ 894,673,200 \\ 2,488,519,300 \\ 439,681,200 \\ 701,616,860 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $1,442,194,500$ $894,673,200$ $2,488,519,300$ $439,681,200$ $701,616,860$ | $2,357,193$ $1,296,485$ $24,820,729$ 506,718 $1,324,243$ | $1,444,551,693$ $89,969,685$ $2,513,340,029$ $440,187,918$ $702,941,103$ |
| 26. Haworth Borough <br> 27. Hillsdale Borough <br> 28. Hohokus Borough <br> 29. Leonia Borough <br> 30. Little Ferry Borough | $217,756,700$ $314,335,020$ $110,524,500$ $172,713,900$ $211,166,700$ | $176,601,800$ $308,295,790$ $165,363,400$ $226,243,000$ $269,988,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 394,358,500 \\ & 622,630,810 \\ & 275,887,900 \\ & 398,956,900 \\ & 481,155,500 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 394,358,500 \\ & 622,630,810 \\ & 275,887,900 \\ & 398,438,900 \\ & 481,155,500 \end{aligned}$ | 358,569 $9,258,931$ 428,187 589,437 $7,666,668$ | $\begin{aligned} & 394,717,069 \\ & 631,889,741 \\ & 276,316,087 \\ & 399,028,337 \\ & 488,822,168 \end{aligned}$ |
| 31. Lodi Borough <br> 32. Lyndhurst Township <br> 33. Mahwah Township <br> 34. Maywood Borough <br> 35. Midland Park Borough | $469,667,600$ $884,989,775$ $986,592,900$ $368,076,400$ $239,271,100$ | $509,345,000$ $798,462,100$ $967,020,150$ $314,031,700$ $291,291,900$ | $979,012,600$ $1,683,451,875$ $1,953,613,050$ $682,108,100$ $530,563,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 450,000 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 978,562,600 \\ 1,683,451,875 \\ 1,953,613,050 \\ 682,108,100 \\ 530,563,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,752,023 \\ 4,113,592 \\ 14,879,086 \\ 1,230,371 \\ 2,632,326 \end{array}$ | $980,314,623$ $1,687,565,467$ $1,968,492,136$ $683,338,471$ $533,195,326$ |
| 36. Montvale Borough <br> 37. Moonachie Borough <br> 38. New Milford Borough <br> 39. North Arlington Boro <br> 40. Northvale Borough | $223,019,750$ $112,180,400$ $494,454,900$ $289,881,800$ $145,903,624$ | $387,036,450$ $193,672,800$ $467,658,200$ $494,511,400$ $207,053,276$ | $610,056,200$ $305,853,200$ $962,113,100$ $784,393,200$ $352,956,900$ |  | $610,056,200$ $305,853,200$ $962,113,100$ $784,393,200$ $352,956,900$ | $1,396,638$ 667,490 887,682 792,462 639,771 | $\begin{aligned} & 611,452,838 \\ & 306,520,690 \\ & 963,000,782 \\ & 785,185,662 \\ & 353,596,671 \end{aligned}$ |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Bergen, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICT8 | 1Taxable Value |  | $2$ | 3 | 4 | $5$ <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable <br> Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 41. Norwood Borough <br> 42. Oakland Borough <br> 43. Old Tappan Borough <br> 44. Oradell Borough <br> 45. Palisades Park Boro | $177,981,395$ $482,973,600$ $152,397,600$ $341,784,300$ $453,803,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170,092,000 \\ & 506,963,855 \\ & 186,098,100 \\ & 307,561,840 \\ & 402,125,500 \end{aligned}$ | $348,073,395$ $1,059,937,455$ $338,495,700$ $649,346,140$ $855,928,500$ |  | $348,073,395$ $1,059,937,455$ $338,495,700$ $649,346,140$ $855,928,500$ | 791,054 $4,765,055$ 641,017 $1,552,567$ 556,771 | $348,864,449$ $1,064,702,510$ $339,136,717$ $650,898,707$ $856,485,271$ |
| 46. Paramus Borough <br> 47. Park Ridge Borough <br> 48. Ramsey Borough <br> 49. Ridgefield Borough <br> 50. Ridgefield Park Village | $778,105,400$ $386,110,800$ $535,839,700$ $387,796,800$ $209,053,800$ | $2,238,481,400$ $458,653,100$ $855,186,300$ $353,291,250$ $209,533,300$ | $3,016,586,800$ $844,763,900$ $1,391,026,000$ $741,088,050$ $418,587,100$ |  | $3,016,586,800$ $844,763,900$ $1,391,026,000$ $741,088,050$ $417,217,700$ | $7,564,495$ $2,869,295$ $13,811,805$ $1,115,698$ 865,896 | $3,024,151,295$ $84,633,195$ $1,404,837,805$ $742,203,748$ $418,083,596$ |
| 51. Ridgewood Village <br> 52. River Edge Borough <br> 53. River Vale Township <br> 54. Rochelle Park Twp. <br> 55. Rockleigh Borough | $1,256,233,400$ $434,762,300$ $247,841,100$ $167,154,500$ $76,219,800$ | $1,336,837,000$ $374,710,600$ $328,544,500$ $231,670,200$ $94,659,500$ | $2,593,070,400$ $809,472,900$ $576,385,600$ $398.824,700$ $170,879,300$ |  | $2,593,070,400$ $809,472,900$ $576,385,600$ $398,824,700$ $170,879,300$ | $13,949,349$ $13,080,361$ 581,068 $22,596,759$ 578,521 | $2,607,019,749$ $822,553,261$ $576,966,668$ $421,421,459$ $171,457,821$ |
| 56. Rutherford Borough <br> 57. Saddle Brook Twp. <br> 58. Saddle River Borough <br> 59. S. Hackensack Twp. <br> 60. Teaneck Township | $703,084,240$ $624,172,000$ $602,758,600$ $166,041,580$ $1,426,376,900$ | $551,656,880$ $822,354,750$ $476,512,500$ $188,620,000$ $1,455,319,200$ | $1,254,741,120$ $1,446,526,750$ $1,079,271,100$ $354,661,580$ $2,881,696,100$ | $3,329,200$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $1,251,411,920$ $1,446,526,750$ $1,079,271,100$ $354,661,580$ $2,881,696,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,201,634 \\ 2,087,791 \\ 1,274,975 \\ 767,994 \\ 6,403,755 \end{array}$ | $1,270,613,554$ $1,448,614,541$ $1,080,546,075$ $355,429,574$ $2,888,099,855$ |
| 61. Tenafly Borough <br> 62. Teterboro Borough <br> 63. U. Saddle River Boro <br> 64. Waldwick Borough <br> 65. Wallington Borough | $357,829,900$ $106,133,300$ $524,259,650$ $279,509,400$ $300,744,100$ | $465,359,240$ $199,482,300$ $667,925,400$ $388,729,700$ $333,525,225$ | $823,189,140$ $305,615,600$ $1,192,185,050$ $668,239,100$ $634,269,325$ |  | $823,189,140$ $305,615,600$ $1,192,185,050$ $668,239,100$ $634,026,025$ | $1,047,124$ $1,403,289$ $3,115,320$ 834,324 $1,370,934$ | $824,236,264$ $307,018,889$ $1,195,300,370$ 669.073 .424 $635,396,959$ |
| 66. Washington Township <br> 67. Westwood Borough <br> 68. Woodcliff Lake Boro <br> 69. Wood-Ridge Borough <br> 70. Wyckoff Township | $332,242,800$ $317,075,000$ $166,949,450$ $124,076,735$ $653,999,800$ | $312,339,500$ $323,903,300$ $280,672,150$ $191,180,663$ $578,285,400$ | $644,582,300$ $640,978,300$ $447,621,600$ $315,257,398$ $1,232,285,200$ |  | $644,582,300$ $640,978,300$ $447,621,600$ $315,257,398$ $1,232,285,200$ | 634,240 $1,191,454$ $1,443,722$ 638,148 $5,479,270$ | $645,216,540$ $642,169,754$ $449,065,322$ $315,895,546$ $1,237,764,470$ |
| Totals | \$30,896,667,650 | \$36,454,739,552 | \$67,351,407,202 | \$6,849,500 | \$67,344,557,702 | \$294,730,927 | \$67,639,288,629 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Bergen, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | GeneralTaxRateto Apolyper $\$ 100$Valuation | 8CountyEqualizationTableAverageRatio ofAssessedto TrueValue ofRealPropery(R.S. $54: 3-17$to R.S.54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Railroad Property <br> L. 1966) (C. 139, | 10 <br> EQUALIZATION |  | Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Are Apportioned (Cols. $6+9$ - 10(a) <br> $+10(b))$ | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under R.S. 54:3-17 R.S. $54: 3-19$ |  |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { II }}{\text { Adjustments Resuring From }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Table Appeals <br> (a) County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Allendale Borough <br> 2. Alpine Borough <br> 3. Bergenfield Borough <br> 4. Bogota Borough <br> 5. Carlstadt Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 2.71 \\ .68 \\ 2.51 \\ 2.80 \\ 1.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.00 \\ 103.91 \\ 87.32 \\ 75.02 \\ 65.10 \end{array}$ |  | \$ 26,849,029 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 275,641,194 \\ 211,463,869 \\ 10 ., 662,759 \\ 617,268,158 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 761,620,392 \\ 749,707,868 \\ 1,604,159,998 \\ 447,901,256 \\ 1,701,600,492 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,799,192.22$ $1,771,051.01$ $3,789,541.64$ $1,058,086.76$ $4,019,727.42$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$7,618.34 } \\ 4,193.80 \\ 18,810.03 \\ 6,078.07 \\ 17,133.85 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Cliffside Park Borough <br> 7. Closter Borough <br> 3. Cresskill Borough <br> 9. Demarest Borough <br> 10. Dumont Borough | 1.54 4.47 2.12 2.44 2.03 | $\begin{array}{r} 92.12 \\ 39.17 \\ 74.66 \\ 72.45 \\ 106.44 \end{array}$ |  | 62,764,150 | $141,244,154$ $554,777,099$ $239,54,818$ $167,149,784$ | $1,684,051,125$ $911,866,773$ $934,365,285$ $604,773,060$ $1,033,208,288$ | $3,978,270.17$ $2,154,122.48$ $2,207,271.20$ $1,428,668.40$ $2,440,770.15$ |  | $17,446.64$ $9,343.74$ $8,221.34$ $5,522.12$ $13,025.70$ |
| 11. Elmwood Park Boro <br> 12. East Rutherford Boro <br> 13. Edgewater Boro <br> 14. Emerson Borough <br> 15. Englewood City | $\begin{aligned} & 1.53 \\ & 1.73 \\ & 1.39 \\ & 2.58 \\ & 2.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.78 \\ & 54.83 \\ & 88.06 \\ & 74.42 \\ & 88.60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $56,342,764$ $460,255,223$ $151,889,407$ $157,314,061$ $303,832,480$ | $1,282,073,068$ $975,243,519$ $802,325,604$ $605,570,317$ $2,418,538,314$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,028.668 .77 \\ & 2,303,838.73 \\ & 1,895,351.02 \\ & 1,430.51 .78 \\ & 5,713,3656.04 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 17,715.85 \\ 10,069.09 \\ 7,553.09 \\ 7,661.69 \\ 23,175.93 \end{array}$ |
| 16. Englewood Clifts Boro <br> 17. Fairlawn Borough <br> 18. Fairview Borough <br> 19. Fort Lee Borough <br> 20. Franklin Lakes Boro | 1.01 1.94 2.25 1.75 1.31 | $\begin{aligned} & 84.03 \\ & 91.64 \\ & 82.27 \\ & 60.93 \\ & 87.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 51,151$ $\square$ |  | $272,760,503$ $259,785,265$ $129,066,814$ $1,889,125,089$ $307,769,788$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,525,406,164 \\ 2,656,574,995 \\ 672,994,994 \\ 4,828,943,187 \\ 2,343,361,247 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,603,499.77 \\ 6,275,684.21 \\ 1,589,830.54 \\ 11,407,516.28 \\ 5,535,772.64 \end{array}$ |  | $16,187.82$ $34,164.17$ $7,658.55$ $34,264.13$ $17,303.16$ |
| 21. Garfield City <br> 22. Gien Rock Borough <br> 23. Hackensack City <br> 24. Harrington Park Boro <br> 25. Hasbrouck Hts. Boro | 1.76 2.73 2.34 2.34 2.10 2.54 | $\begin{array}{r} 104.41 \\ 80.58 \\ 87.05 \\ 94.60 \\ 72.50 \end{array}$ |  | $35,118,167$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221,437,471 \\ 438,214,673 \\ 25,60,755 \\ 272,132,950 \end{array}$ | $1,409,433,526$ $1,117,427,156$ $2,951,554,702$ $465,868,673$ $975,074,053$ | $3,329,535.11$ $2,639,722.19$ $6,972,521.11$ $1,100,531.58$ $2,303,438.39$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 17,525.36 \\ 13,149.81 \\ 31,495.44 \\ 5,385.98 \\ 11,532.54 \end{array}$ |
| 26. Haworth Borough <br> 27. Hillsdale Borough <br> 28. Hohokus Borough <br> 29. Leonia Borough <br> 30. Little Ferry Borough | 1.89 <br> 2.86 <br> 2.70 <br> 3.40 <br> 2.30 | 88.50 71.77 48.85 50.55 68.57 |  |  | $52,488,854$ $249,541,727$ $291,535,958$ $392,743,284$ $227,363,975$ | $447,205,923$ $881,431,468$ $567,852,045$ $791,71,621$ $716,186,143$ | $1,056,444.16$ $2,082,224.50$ $1,341,449.09$ $1,870,419.12$ $1,691,861.92$ |  | $4,659.06$ $10,727.83$ $6,798.62$ $6,971.66$ $8,685.47$ |
| 31. Lodi Borough <br> 32. Lyndhurst Township <br> 33. Mahwah Township <br> 34. Maywood Borough <br> 35. Midland Park Borough | 2.78 1.41 1.64 1.85 1.99 | 75.44 105.55 82.54 92.38 88.99 | $80,187$ $\qquad$ | $58,732,665$ $\qquad$ | $335,776,209$ $444,835,017$ $65,886,165$ $73,531,311$ | $1,316,090,832$ $1,628,912,989$ $2,413,327,153$ 749,224636 $606,726,637$ | $3,109,029.66$ $3,848,016.17$ $5,701,054.61$ $1,769,909.46$ $1,433,283.38$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$ 923,119.61 } \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots \ldots . . . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $15,479.19$ $21,564.43$ $20,935.50$ 9.299 .60 $7,298.93$ |
| 36. Montvale Borough <br> 37. Moonachie Borough <br> 38. New Milford Borough <br> 39. North Arlington Boro <br> 40. Northvale Borough | 2.98 1.89 1.97 1.66 2.81 | 46.97 53.44 99.76 87.83 72.47 |  |  | $699,685,692$ $282,509,817$ $5,685,753$ $119,198,751$ $145,807,411$ | $1,311,138,530$ $589,030,507$ $968,686,535$ $904,384,413$ $499,404,082$ | $3,097,330.73$ $1,391,479.43$ $2,288,349.02$ $2,136,446.74$ $1,179,753.00$ |  | $13,232.13$ $7,056.33$ $12,344.50$ $11,417.79$ $6,796.13$ |
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\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Deducted Under R.S. $64: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under |
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\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Add Underpayment <br>

\hline | 41. Norwood Borough |
| :--- |
| 42. Oakland Borough |
| 43. Cld Tappan Borough |
| 44. Oradell Borough |
| 45. Palisades Park Boro | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.82 \\
& 2.21 \\
& 2.54 \\
& 2.41 \\
& 1.81 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 55.84 \\
& 96.11 \\
& 55.22 \\
& 68.49 \\
& 82.78 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cdots \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
279,542,198 \\
49,717,974 \\
277,36,511 \\
302,583,980 \\
187,514,074 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
628,406,647 \\
1,114,420,484 \\
616,505,228 \\
9533,482,687 \\
1,043,999,345
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $1,484,498.53$

$2,632,619.46$
$1,456,383.55$
$2,252,432.65$

$2,466,262.09$ \&  \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
5,705.24 \\
1,986.95 \\
5,458.51 \\
11,455.25 \\
10,414.36 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline | 46. Paramus Borough |
| :--- |
| 47. Park Ridge Borough |
| 48. Ramsey Borough |
| 49. Ridgefield Borough |
| 50. Ridgefield Park Village | \& 1.78

1.76
2.03
1.47
3.79 \& 79.53
100.60
87.93
76.23

47.10 \&  \& 69,301 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
868,111,665 \\
204,425,117 \\
251,276,213 \\
479,024,245
\end{array}
$$ \& $3,892,262,960$

$847,563,894$
$1,609,262,922$
$993,479,961$
$897,107,841$ \& $9,194,776.45$
$2,002,218.40$
$3,801,596.39$
$2,346,919.07$
$2,119,257.13$ \&  \& $46,115.86$
$8,448.48$
$16,826.67$
$14,627.43$
$8,723.90$ <br>

\hline | 51. Ridgewood Village |
| :--- |
| 52. River Edge Borough |
| 53. River Vale Township |
| 54. Rochelle Park Twp. |
| 55. Rockleigh Borough | \& 2.17

2.05
3.06
2.03
r
.62 \& 91.48
95.84
61.29
72.37

109.39 \&  \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
254,742,882 \\
39,734,419 \\
367,442,987 \\
158,698,657
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $2,861,762,601$

$862,287,680$
$944,409,655$
$580,120,116$
$162,598,485$ \& $6,760,403.30$
$2,037,000.72$
$2,230,999.23$
$1,370,430.22$
$384,109.90$ \&  \& $31,318.29$
$10,770.07$
$10,224.89$
$8,878.67$
$3,342.48$ <br>

\hline | 56. Rutherford Borough |
| :--- |
| 57. Saddle Brook Twp. |
| 58. Saddle River Borough |
| 59. S. Hackensack Twp. |
| 60. Teaneck Township | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2.06 \\
1.31 \\
.73 \\
1.79 \\
2.06
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 92.21

100.98
94.53
92.71

100.16 \& $$
51,711
$$ \&  \& $113,634,416$

$13,934,354$
$63,191,997$
$48,108,777$
$13,608,720$ \& $1,384,247,970$
$1,46,600,606$
$1,143,738,072$
$403,538,351$
$2,901,708,575$ \& $3,270,038.73$
$3,455,132.85$
$2,701,877.03$
$953,287.32$
$6,854,768.54$ \& .............
..........
.........
........ \& $15,618.59$
$15,862.79$
$7,916.98$
$5,125.57$
$31,146.80$ <br>

\hline | 61. Tenafly Borough |
| :--- |
| 62. Teterboro Borough |
| 63. U. Saddle River Boro |
| 64. Waldwick Borough |
| 65. Wallington Borough | \& 3.91

1.87
1.55
2.27

1.47 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
42.45 \\
141.92 \\
91.02 \\
87.64 \\
108.56
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
75,582,016 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\
42,771,601
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,125,102,596 \\
124,737,461 \\
98,832,962
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,949,338,860 \\
231,436,873 \\
1,320,037,831 \\
767,906,386 \\
592,625,358
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4,604,965.09 \\
546,728.30 \\
3,118,353.74 \\
1,814,041.76 \\
1,399,971.62 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
19,102.81 \\
5,245.18 \\
14,224.43 \\
9,405.64 \\
7,998.84
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | 66. Washington Township |
| :--- |
| 67. Westwood Borough |
| 68. Woodclift Lake Boro |
| 69. Wood-Ridge Borough |
| 70. Wyckoff Township | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.20 \\
& 2.36 \\
& 3.24 \\
& 3.35 \\
& 2.31 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 74.95

72.80
47.77
49.95
66.28 \&  \&  \& $216,988,392$
$250,160,411$
$492,811,568$
$340,723,973$

$633,089,916$ \& | $862,204,932$ |
| ---: |
| $892,330,165$ |
| $941,876,890$ |
| $656,619,519$ |
| $1,870,854,386$ | \& $2,036,805.24$

$2,107,970.73$
$2,225,016.02$
$1,551,146.40$
$4,419,559.53$ \&  \& $7,938.84$
$9,635.24$
$8,5985.97$
$10,256.20$
$21,505.46$ <br>
\hline Totals ......................... \& ......... \& ............ \& \$183,049 \& \$310,746,265 \& \$17,915,027,437 \& \$85,243,752,850 \& \$201,373,149.59 \& \$923,119.61 \& \$923,119.61 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

TAXING DISTRICTS
APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { Municipal } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { State Aid } \\ \text { (R.S. 52:27D- } \\ \text { 118.40) } \end{gathered}$ | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. Alll-AIV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Sorvice Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-10) | (c)CountyOpen SpacePreservationTrust FundTax (C. 30,L. 1980) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49 | orrected Errors $\text { R.S. } 54: 4-53 \text { ) }$ | County Taxes |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by Dlatrict School Budget |
| 1. Allendale Borough <br> 2. Alpine Borough <br> 3. Bergenfield Borough <br> 4. Bogota Borough <br> 5. Carlstadt Borough | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,578.78 \\ 13,368.10 \\ 11,359.57 \\ 2,185.59 \\ 370,253.91 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 1,799,231.78$ $1,761,876.71$ $3,796,992.10$ $1,061,979.24$ $3,666,607.36$ |  | $\$ 1,799,231.78$ $1,761,876.71$ $3,796,992.10$ $1,061,979.24$ $3,666,607.36$ |  |  |  | $\$ 5,283,774.00$ <br> $2,163,165.00$ <br> $20,969,023.50$ <br> $5,454,479.00$ <br> $3,856,621.00$ |
| 6. Cliffside Park Borough <br> 7. Closter Borough <br> 8. Cresskill Borough <br> 9. Demarest Borough <br> 10. Dumont Borough | $28,213.33$ $3,735.32$ $8,654.16$ $3,279.80$ $38,431.97$ |  | $3,967,503.48$ $2,159,730.90$ $2,206,838.38$ $1,430,910.72$ $2,415,363.88$ |  | $3,967,503.48$ $2,159,730.90$ $2,206,838.38$ $1,430,910.72$ $2,415,363.88$ |  |  |  | $11,167,314.50$ <br> $5,956,796.00$ <br> $8,845,344.00$ <br> $4,013,289.00$ <br> $14,255,733.00$ |
| 11. Elmwood Park Boro <br> 12. East Rutherford Boro <br> 13. Edgewater Boro <br> 14. Emerson Borough <br> 15. Englewood City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $17,658.46$ $13,229.64$ $197,164.45$ $3,162.00$ $67,539.57$ |  | $2,300,678.18$ $3,028,726.16$ $1,705,739.66$ $1,435,051.47$ $5,669,001.40$ |  | $2,300,678.18$ $3,028,726.16$ $1,705,739.66$ $1,435,051.47$ $5,669,001.40$ |  |  |  | $9,619,982.00$ $4,316,330.00$ $2,817,105.50$ $8,007,316.00$ $19,309,195.50$ |
| 16. Englewood Cliffs Boro <br> 17. Fairlawn Borough <br> 18. Fairview Borough <br> 19. Fort Lee Borough <br> 20. Franklin Lakes Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227,388.65 \\ 31,419.29 \\ 18,831.70 \\ 505,615.52 \\ 13,963.04 \end{array}$ |  | $3,392,298.94$ $6,278,429.09$ $1,578,657.39$ $10,936,164.89$ $5,539,112.76$ |  | $3,392,298.94$ $6,278,429.09$ $1,578,657.39$ $10,936,164.89$ $5,539,112.76$ |  |  |  | $4,609,891.00$ $28,18,661.50$ $6,353,896.50$ $21,736,867.00$ $8,087,376.50$ |
| 21. Garfield City <br> 22. Glen Rock Borough <br> 23. Hackensack City <br> 24. Harrington Park Boro <br> 25. Hasbrouck Hts. Boro | $\begin{array}{r} 38,159.42 \\ 11,387.01 \\ 71,519.18 \\ 819.19 \\ 16,027.97 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,308,901.05 \\ & 2,641,484.99 \\ & 6,932,497.37 \\ & 1,105,098.37 \\ & 2,298,942.96 \end{aligned}$ |  | $3,308,901.05$ $2,641,484.99$ $6,932,497.37$ $1,105,098.37$ $2,298,942.96$ |  |  |  | $13,680,228.00$ $12,790,133.00$ $26,569,923.50$ $3,858,730.00$ $9,261,125.50$ |
| 26. Haworth Borough ............ 27. Hillsdale Borough 28. Hohokus Borough ............ 29. Leonia Borough 30. Little Ferry Borough .......... | $\begin{array}{r} 665.87 \\ 7.497 .34 \\ 879.39 \\ 4,479.18 \\ 374.36 \end{array}$ |  | $1,060,437.35$ $2,085,434.99$ $1,347,368.32$ $1,872,911.60$ $1,700,173.03$ |  | $1,060,437.35$ $2,085,444.99$ $1,347,368.32$ $1,872,911.60$ $1,700,173.03$ |  |  |  | $2,510,599.50$ $6,780,197.00$ $3,535,225.00$ $7,093,763.66$ $5,220,518.00$ |
| 31. Lodi Borough ................ 32. Lyndhurst Township ....... 33. Mahwah Township 34. Maywood Borough ........ 35. Midland Park Borough .... | $\begin{array}{r} 76,981.47 \\ 42,767.23 \\ 78,934.84 \\ 13,450.53 \\ 3,109.84 \end{array}$ |  | $3,047,527.38$ $3,826,813.37$ $4,719,935.66$ $1,765,758.53$ $1,437,472.47$ |  | $3,047,527.38$ $3,826,813.37$ $4,719,935.66$ $1,765,758.53$ $1,437,472.47$ |  |  |  | $14,465,909.00$ <br> $11,396,980.50$ <br> $18,012,392.75$ <br> $6,215,801.00$ <br> $6,363,919.42$ |
| 36. Montvale Borough <br> 37. Moonachie Borough <br> 38. New Milford Borough <br> 39. North Arlington Boro <br> 40. Northvale Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 569.11 \\ 1,986.35 \\ 14,559.07 \\ 4,090.37 \\ 378.05 \end{array}$ |  | $3,109,993.75$ $1,396,549.41$ $2,286,134.45$ $2,143,774.16$ $1,186,171.08$ |  | $3,109,993.75$ $1,396,549.41$ $2,286,134.45$ $2,143,774.16$ $1,186,171.08$ |  |  |  | $5,441,681.00$ $2,897,537.0 \mathrm{C}$ $11,512,955.50$ $8,676,582.00$ $3,234,928.50$ |


| taxing districts | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Soction C } \\ \text { Local Taxes } \\ \text { to Be } \\ \text { Ralised for } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { I ISTRICT } \\ \text { SCHOOL } \\ \text { PURPOSES } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  |  |  |  |  | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Trust Fund Tax (C. 30 , L. 1989) |  |
|  | Apponis and | Ciod Erro |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | y District School Budget |
| 41. Norwood Borough .......... 42. Oakland Borough 43. O.IT Tappan Borough ...... 44. Oradel Borough 45. Palisades Park Boro ....... |  |  | $1,489,069.43$ $2,636,7699$ $1,460,771.10$ $2,258,217.91$ $2,458,492.56$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.546,147.50 \\ \hline 10.582,156.50 \\ 30.912,5040 \\ \hline 4.473,00990 \\ 8,024,796.00 \end{array}$ |
| 46. Paramus Borough ......... 47. Park Ridge Borough ...... 48. Ramsey Eororgh 49. RAidgetiold Borough....... 50. Ridgetield Park Village .... | $16,173.16$ $20,882.49$ 14,333.60 72,696.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.782 .041 .88 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 2.030 .41 .34 \\ 2 ., 236.711 .58 \\ 1,368.889 .58 \\ 1,340,493.93 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,918,761.00 \\ 4.382,8090 \\ 7.525,333.90 \\ 3,813,941.00 \\ 92,796.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | 76,486.65 26,246.30 $5,205.05$ $42,335.96$ |  | $3,209.170 .67$ 3.444 .749 .34 2.694 .33 953.237 .55 $6,843,579.38$ 4 |  | $3,209.170 .67$ 3.444 .79 .34 2.694 .31 .55 $953,237.54$ $6,843,579.38$ 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1,290.41$ $3,65.33$ 3.888 .05 766.65 $16,447.79$ |  | $4,622,777.49$ $548,31.15$ 3.128 .60 .12 1.822 .60 .75 $1,391,522.67$ 1 |  | $4,622,777.49$ <br> $548,31.15$ <br> $3,128.690 .12$ <br> $1,822.680$ <br> $1,391,522.67$ <br> 1,35 | (1) |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 817.39 \\ 3,012.72 \\ 7.211 .87 \\ 6.717 .09 \\ 3,646.12 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,003,091.00 \\ 5,249,840.00 \\ 12,831,396.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$2,436,274.95 | ........... | 198,936,874.64 | $\ldots$ | 198,936,874.64 | ........... |  |  | \$651,110,852.28 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Bergen, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS |  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  | 13 | amount of miscellaneous revenues for the SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Section $C$Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + (a) + Cla, b, ( $+\mathrm{CII})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) <br> Surplus ropriated , | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticlpated | (c)RecelptsfomDollnquentTaxes andLiens | (d)Total ofMiscellianeousRevenues(a $+b+c)$ |
|  |  | SChOol Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (c) <br> As Required by Local Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Allendale Borough Alpine Borough Bergenfield Borough Bogota Borough <br> Borough |  |  |  | \$ 3,292,715.16$1,311,607.00$ <br> 1035 $10,175,710.54$2.615881002 5,346,290.00 | \$ 13,137,835.57 5,236,648.71 34,941,726.14 $9,132,339.24$ $15,942,167.85$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 46,846,700 \\ 980,79,800 \\ 121,6450,000 \\ 21,38,600 \\ 29,484,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 400,000.00 \\ 167,700.00 \\ 135,000 \\ 150.000 \\ 1,049,000.00 \\ 1,0000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,930,725.54$ $796,932.00$ $5,105,601.54$ $2,072.5655$ 2,00 $2,191,171.00$ | $\$ 335,870.00$ $200,000.00$ 429.000 .00 165.00 .00 $200,000.00$ | \$ 2,666,595.54 ,164,632.00 2,387,565.00 3,440,171.00 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 9 \\ 90 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Cliftside Park Borough <br> Closter Borough <br> Cresskill Borough <br> Demarest Borough Dumont Borough |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,672,814.66 \\ & 2,393,401.72 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8,595,438.00 4,142,638.00 $3,635,006.00$ $2,800,474.92$ 5,550,012.97 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,000,000.00 \\ 610,000 \\ 1.69458180 .00 \\ 225.8000 \\ 447,000.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | $7,469,354.00$ <br> 3.210 .402 .00 <br> 3.407 .354 .00 <br> 1.21 .155 .14 <br> $4,606,381.191$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 111 . \\ & 12 . \\ & 13 \\ & 14 . \\ & 15 . \end{aligned}$ | Elmwood Park Boro East Rutherford Boro Edgewater Boro Emerson Boroug Englewood City |  | 1,591,087.01 | \$ 1,000,543.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,072,342.00 \\ 670,789.95 \\ 4,477,837.48 \\ 20.088,543.48 \\ 20,052,207.24 \end{array}$ |  | $65,074,600$ $552,153,400$ $38,471,400$ $52,30.500$ $301,876,700$ | $400,000.00$ $1,400,000.00$ $1,404,150.00$ $1,378,17.00$ $1,800,000.00$ | $9,422,962.00$ $6.061,40.68$ 3 $3,735,439.72$ $1,404,462.00$ $5,802,317.25$ 1 | $500,000.00$ $312,00.00$ $272,000.00$ $220,000.00$ $1,100,000.00$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 . \\ & 17 . \\ & 18 . \\ & 19 . \\ & 20 . \end{aligned}$ | Englewood Cliffs Boro <br> Fairlawn Borough <br> Fairview Borough <br> Fort Lee Borough | , | 8,431,675.66 | $\square$ |  |  | $660,634,100$ $179,58,300$ $84.5144,000$ $848.810,800$ $130,141,550$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150,822.96 \\ 2,535,000.00 \\ 800,000.00 \\ 180,000.00 \end{array}$ |  | $610,000.00$ <br> 450.100 .00 <br> 467.000 <br> 1.989000000 <br> $894,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,272,446.86 \\ 9387,418.50 \\ 3176,401.00 \\ 11,438,742.28 \\ 3,137,420.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 . \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | Garfield City Glen Rock Borough Hackensack City Harrington Park Boro <br> Hasbrouck Hus. Boro | N | 1,764,915.05 | 844,878.50 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,372,544.14 \\ 5,438,41.00 \\ 24,288,181.89 \\ 24,514,785.00 \\ 6,264,254.00 \end{array}$ |  | 148,469,500 315,611,000 37,417,900 |  |  | $600,000.00$ 175000.00 800.000 .00 130.000 .00 $275,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r}14,283,763.07 \\ 3,409,97.00 \\ 14,024,59.00 \\ 1,4111.651 .00 \\ 2,932,979.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 . \\ & 27 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Haworth Borough Hilisdale Borough Hohokus Borough Leonia Borough Little Ferry Borough | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & N \\ & P \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,729,903.01 \\ & 3,561,129.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  | $7,435,869.86$ $16,130,327.79$ $7,4344,278.42$ $13,538,29.203$ $11,222,568.03$ | $33,562,200$ $52.363,200$ $187.744,400$ $57.43,700$ $266,940,500$ | $360,000.00$ $1,702,214.38$ $780,000.00$ $340,000.00$ $525,000.00$ | $927,223.00$ $2,085,201.93$ $785,097.86$ $1,988,792.68$ $1,692,082.00$ | $30,000.00$ 280.00 .00 120.0000 240.00000 $175,000.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,317,223.00 \\ & 4,067,416.31 \\ & 1,685,097.86 \\ & 2,548,792.68 \\ & 2,392,082.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 31. <br> 32 <br> 33 <br> 34 <br> 34 <br> 35. | Lodi Borough Lyndhurst ownship lahwah Township Maywood Borough Midand Park Borough |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27.159,780.05 \\ & 23,71,43.87 \\ & 3,1677.54 .87 \\ & 1,2611.332 .64 \\ & 10,584,290.89 \end{aligned}$ | $143,886,100$ $188,153,800$ $167,644,500$ $44,705,100$ 54,178,200 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000,000.00 \\ .1,500,000.00 \\ 28,793.10 \\ 710,000.00 \end{array}$ | $595,852.29$ 3 3.929.895.92 $4,257,297.24$ $2,465,5644.04$ $1,370,801.00$ | $300,000.00$ 400.00 .00 480.00 .00 4390.000.00 $200,000.00$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36 . \\ & 37 \\ & 38 \\ & 38 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | Montvale Borough Moonachie Borough New Milford Borough Northvale Borough | N | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5,364,299.92 } \\ \ldots \ldots . . \\ \hline 2,125,90988\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 936,400.00 \\ 689,799.00 \\ 407,000.00 \\ 2,472,920.00 \end{array}$ |  | 115.553 .08 46.200 .00 375.000 .00 180.000 .00 $190,000.00$ |  |


| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONWENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | MMOUNT OF MSCELLNEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNHCIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { Local Taxes to be Raised for } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cots. AV + $+\mathrm{Cla}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ + CII | Total Amount of Real Property Exempl rom Taxaton | Surplus | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (c) } \\ & \text { Receipts } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Delinquent } \\ & \text { Taxes and } \\ & \text { Liens } \end{aligned}$ | (d)Total ofMisceellaneousRevenues(a $+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})$ |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) Regional Consolimed Jomm Scheol Budgets | (c) As Required by Locad uncoud Budged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 48,936.500 9575,900 ${ }^{23,620,500}$ 67,251,700 | $\begin{array}{r} 500.000 .00 \\ 650.000 .00 \\ 320.000 .00 \\ 1,51.165 .00 \\ \hline 184.000 .00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 80,000.00 200,000.00 $275,000.00$ $608,000.00$ | 1,469,776.11 3.505.076.00 $3.895,056.00$ 3.830,489.74 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16,036,951.86 \\ 4,522,50.00 \\ 7.9288 .358 .10 \\ 512.34 . .00 \\ 5,750,76300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53,792,346.12 \\ & 14.82, .640 .89 \\ & 28.45,63, .37 \\ & 10.856 .63,34 \\ & 15,813,926.32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $561,489,100$ <br> $50.281,60$ <br> 107902.700 <br> $61,73,90$ <br> $55,103,800$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.360 .000 .00 \\ 285,500.00 \\ 700,000.00 \\ 700,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $6,905,311.14$ $1,963,448.00$ $2,712,82.56$ $522,919.08$ $2,878,366.00$ | $800,000.00$ $2800,000.00$ 345,000.00 | $10.065,313.14$ <br> 2.528 .848 .00 <br> $4.31,2929.56$ <br> 62.929 .08 <br> $3,923,366.00$ |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { RD } & 4,829,859.79 \\ \mathrm{P} & 3,768,580.25 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,764,548.59 \\ 5.599 .169 .00 \\ 4,107.469 .87 \\ 3,335,41990 \\ 627,529.60 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 454,044,500 \\ 71,41,100 \\ 15.855 .400 \\ 2.2 .854 .600 \\ 81,371,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,750.000 .00 \\ 1.072 .261 .80 \\ 380.869 .00 \\ 500.000 .00 \\ 63,193.00 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | $5,738,738.57$ $1,787.019 .87$ $1,454,272.58$ $1,067,952.79$ $223,984.08$ | 700.000 .00 <br> 250.000 .00 <br> 180.000 .00 <br> 75.000 .00 <br> $20,000.00$ <br>  | $11,188,738.57$ <br> $3,10,281.67$ <br> $2,015,141.58$ <br> $1,642,2922.79$ <br> $307,177.08$ |
| 56. Rutheriord Borough ........ 57. Sadole Brook Tp. ....... 58. Saddie RRiver Borough..... 59. S. Hackensack Twp. ...... 60. Teaneck Township ........ |  |  | $9,581,199.00$ <br> $5,535,421.00$ <br> $2,492,225.83$ <br> $3,078.661 .66$ <br> $18,180,743.00$ <br> $, 717,18.4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,129,127.67 \\ 18.898 .939 .84 \\ 7,860.545 .49 \\ 6.330 .8143 .50 \\ 59,418,322.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $120,966,900$ <br> $135.175,300$ <br> $31,36,600$ <br> $32.661,600$ <br> $305,494,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} 650.000 .00 \\ 100.000 .00 \\ 1,048,0000000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 474.000 .00 <br> 150.000 .00 <br> 160.000 .00 <br> 40.000 .00 <br> $700,000.00$ <br>  <br> 13,160 | $4,419,676.00$ <br> $3,388.788 .00$ <br> $1,894.198 .59$ <br> $1,292.284 .61$ <br> $11,484.882 .00$ |
|  | NH 4,463,752.36 |  |  | $32,163,408.44$ 2,655,721.47 15,133,431.25 9,291,214.49 | 93,641,036 174,341,500 59,205,250 45,914,400 | ${ }^{9500.000 .00}$ $350,000.00$ ${ }_{465,000.00}^{60,000}$ |  | 173.166 .28 <br> 1.20 .20 <br> 500.200 .00 <br> 212.60 .00 <br> 220.000 .00 <br>  | $3,444,794.24$ $638,349.85$ 2,643,637. 82 4,203,382.00 2,273,839.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 606,248.00 \\ 360,100.00 \\ 356,919.78 \\ \mathbf{1 , 7 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,471,465.00 \\ & 2.037+16.00 \\ & 1,034,136.00 \\ & 2 ., 399,072.13 \\ & 2,429,900.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150,000.00 \\ & 316.000 .00 \\ & 435.000 .00 \\ & 181.949 .00 \\ & 400.000 .00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Totals | \$89,171,640.89 | \$1,845,421.50 | \$402,882,958.07 | \$1,345,482,008.38 | \$9,540,077,293 | \$56,034,753.02 | \$210,496,791.92 | \$23,939,683.36 | \$290,471,228.30 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r}
\$ 198,936,874.64 \\
\$ 2,46,274.95 \\
\$ 201,373,149.59 \\
\\
\$ 163,785,144.14 \\
.2362321494
\end{array} \\
& \text { Franklin Lakes Borough .............. } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Net Valuation } \\
\$ 2,035,591,459
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Total Tax Levy } \\
\$ 1,534.261 .00
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Tax Rate } \\
.076
\end{array} \\
& \$ 10,344,524.00 \\
& \text { \$15,936,548.00 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Carlstadt-East Rutherford Regional High School District
Amount to be Apportioned ............................................ Northern Highlands Regional High School District
Amount to be Apportioned Northern Valley Regional High School District
Amount to be Apportioned Pascack Valley Regional High School District Ramapo Indian Hills Regional High School District
Amount to be Apportioned River Dell Regional High School District Westwood Regional School District
Amount to be Apportioned
Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Burlington, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Vatue |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | (b) <br>  |  | Tetal Tqxable Value Partia Exemptions (Asceceed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and improvement (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Texeble Velve of Mechinery, Implements \& Equipment of Tolegraph and Moscenger Companies (C. 136, L. 1086) |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 13,794,000 \\ 83,971,925 \\ 53,462,930 \\ 70,192,390 \\ 110,832,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 31,119,000 \\ 31,271,980 \\ 147,027,630 \\ 193,231,700 \\ 322,298,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,913,000 \\ 40,243,905 \\ 200.49 .560 \\ 263,361,990 \\ 433,110,700 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 341,100 \\ 1,233,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,913,000 \\ 39,902,805 \\ 200.49 .560 \\ 262,12,790 \\ 433,130,700 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | $208,237,058$ $77,90,500$ $273,184,600$ $58,755,700$ $153,461,500$ | $477,836,400$ $107,288,400$ $586.643,750$ $95,49.400$ $495,666,000$ | $686,073,458$ 179,199100 $859,638,100$ 154.455 .100 $649,127,500$ | $\begin{gathered} . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \cdots . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ 980,800 \\ 9.0 . \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,958,555 \\ & \hline 1,15.968 \\ & 6,63431.910 \\ & 5,467.256 \\ & 2,403,745 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $58,277,810$ $40,64,700$ $589,781,200$ $3,695,200$ $63,980,920$ | $133,707,650$ $134,815,064$ $1,398,657,500$ $15,691,400$ $199,148,660$ | $191,9855,460$ 175,799764 $1,9888,438,700$ $19,386.760$ $263,129,580$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Hainesport Township <br> 17. Lumberton Township <br> 19. Maple Shade Twp. <br> 20. Medford Township | $59,235,500$ $146,278.400$ 100.721 .100 $159.44,500$ $505,196,500$ | $130,886,000$ $255.53,500$ $168,130.200$ 526.446 .000 $830,589,550$ 8 | $190,121,500$ $40,161.900$ $2688.81,300$ $683.870,500$ $1,355,786,050$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,300 \\ 107,400 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{array}$ | $190,092,200$ <br> $401,745,500$ <br> $268.51,500$ <br> $685.571,500$ <br> $1,335,786,050$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,499,195 \\ & 2,000.724 \\ & 2, .369427 \\ & 2,2,40,688 \\ & 8,109,046 \end{aligned}$ | $191,591,395$ 403755,24 $271,220,727$ $6881,141,188$ $1,343,895,096$ |
| 21. Medtord Lakes Boro....... 22. Moorestown Towship... 23. Mount Holly Towshnip..... 24. Mount Laurel Townshnip... 25. New Hanover Township + | $38,32,9090$ $40,7655,800$ $96,36,800$ $497,894,140$ $11,464,700$ | $92,766,500$ <br> $948,956,900$ <br> $225,615,800$ <br> $1,171,172,005$ <br> $19,710,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131,087,450 \\ 1,356,602,700 \\ 321,978,600 \\ 1,669,066,145 \\ 31,175,300 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 131,087,450 \\ 1,350,976,600 \\ 321,978,600 \\ 1,669.066,145 \\ 31,175,300 \end{array}$ | 353,067 $23,544,52$ $10.857,36$ $11,330.102$ $2,124,231$ |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Burlington, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, implements \& Equipment of telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L.1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. 4 + 5) |
| 26. North Hanover Twp. <br> 27. Palmyra Borough <br> 28. Pemberton Borough <br> 29. Pemberton Township <br> 30. Riverside Township* | $\begin{array}{r} 66,073,500 \\ 34,467,450 \\ 632,758,000 \\ 88,243,950 \\ 88,243,760 \end{array}$ | $100,995,700$ $122,282,600$ $21,989,600$ $574,329,500$ $174,100,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 167,069,200 \\ 156,750,050 \\ 28,747,600 \\ 806,637,450 \\ 262,344,360 \end{array}$ |  | $167,069,200$ $156,750,050$ $28,747,600$ $806,637,450$ $262,344,360$ | $1,167,362$ 540,356 924,041 $7,980,359$ $3,630,682$ | $168,236,562$ $157,290,406$ $29,671,641$ $814,617,809$ $265,975,042$ |
| 31. Riverton Borough <br> 32. Shamong Township <br> 33. Southampton Twp. <br> 34. Springfield Twp. <br> 35. Tabernacle Township | $58,478,400$ $122,821,500$ $129,569,150$ $79,584,096$ $127,372,050$ | $72,424,300$ $172,791,900$ $332,964,400$ $95,426,607$ $216,481,000$ | $130,902,700$ $295,613,400$ $462,533,550$ $175,010,703$ $343,853,050$ |  | $130,902,700$ $295,613,400$ $462,533,550$ $175,010,703$ $343,853,050$ | 361,063 $2,537,170$ $4,752,759$ $1,759,320$ $1,236,963$ | $131,263,763$ $298,150,570$ $467,286,309$ $176,770,023$ $345,090,013$ |
| 36. Washington Township <br> 37. Westampton Township + <br> 38. Willingboro Township <br> 39. Woodland Township <br> 40. Wrightstown Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 20,525,700 \\ 88,679,400 \\ 189,606,750 \\ 32,871,830 \\ 5,366,350 \end{array}$ | $24,818,550$ $207,058,600$ $782,972,620$ $32,750,600$ $15,976,650$ | $45,344,250$ $295,738,000$ $972,579,370$ $65,622,430$ $21,343,000$ | $405,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,344,250 \\ 295,738,000 \\ 972,174,270 \\ 65,622,430 \\ 21,343,000 \end{array}$ | 894,335 $2,432,537$ $6,557,543$ 831,212 $3,471,982$ | $46,238,585$ $298,170,537$ $978,731,813$ $66,453,642$ $24,814,982$ |
| Totals .......................... | \$5,084,520,109 | \$11,686,883,316 | \$16,771,403,425 | \$9,658,060 | \$16,761,745,365 | \$158,631,590 | \$16,920,376,955 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{General
Tax
Rate
to Apply
per \(\$ 100\)
Valuation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
County Equalization TableAverage Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property \\
(R.S. 54:3-17 \\
to R.S. \\
54:3-19)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139, L. 1966)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
10
\] \\
EQUALIZATION
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Net Valuation
on Whieh
Ceunty TVaxes
Apere
Aportioned
(Cols. $6+9$
$-10(a)$

$+10(b)$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| 12 |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Deducted Under R.S. 54:3-17 to |
| R.S. 54:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Ameunts Added Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.8. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{soction A County Taxes} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1
Totel
County Taxee
Apportioned
Inoluoling
Totual Nol
Adjustmentas)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjuatmente "I Resulting From} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduot Overpayment \& Add Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Bass River Township |
| :--- |
| 2. Beverly City |
| 3. Bordentown City |
| 4. Bordentown Township |
| 5. Burlington City | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.284 \\
& 4.403 \\
& 2.497 \\
& 3.769 \\
& 1.758
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
56.65 \\
54.12 \\
102.45 \\
61.00 \\
108.54
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,843,511 \\
27,400,754
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 35,344,895 \\
34,704,345 \\
172,748,442
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $\$ 81,133,297$

$74,717,965$
$199,150,108$
$439,073,428$

$412,595,032$ \& | $\$ 445,304.73$ |
| ---: |
| $410,093.81$ |
| $1,093,046.72$ |
| $2,409,879.54$ |
| $2,264,551.35$ | \& ............

...........
.........
…- \& …........
$\cdots$
$\cdots . . . . . . . . . .$.
...........$~$ <br>

\hline | 6. Burlington Township |
| :--- |
| 7. Chesterfield Township |
| 8. Cinnaminson Twp. |
| 9. Delanco Township |
| 10. Delran Township | \& 2.000

1.752
1.979
2.152

2.073 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
101.95 \\
102.60 \\
97.31 \\
96.64 \\
98.64
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& $2,731,280$

$\ldots . . . . . .$.

$\ldots . . . .$. \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,186,707 \\
37,650,489 \\
12,142,287 \\
17,855,553
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 691,218,720 \\
& 177,573,788 \\
& 903,932,149 \\
& 167,134,643 \\
& 668,405,998
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $3,793,793.34$

$974,623.86$
$4,961,283.12$
$917,328.01$
$3,668,584.42$ \& ...........
….....
….......
-.... \&  <br>

\hline | 11. Eastampton Township |
| :--- |
| 12. Edgewater Park Twp |
| 13. Evesham Township |
| 14. Fieldsboro Borough |
| 15. Florence Township | \& | 2.125 |
| :--- |
| 3.453 |
| 1.793 |
| 2.471 |
| 3.419 | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
100.15 \\
59.64 \\
104.26 \\
82.96 \\
60.65 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& 76,290,119 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
411,250 \\
123,061,264 \\
\ldots, 36 \ldots \ldots 9 \\
189,341,995 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | $193,761,843$ |
| ---: |
| $298,908,920$ |
| $1,936,264,423$ |
| $25,949,059$ |
| $453,416,722$ | \& $1,063,472.92$

$1,640,578.65$
$10,627,297.65$
$142,422.89$
$2,488,603.52$ \& …....... \& $\ldots$ <br>

\hline | 16. Hainesport Township |
| :--- |
| 17. Lumberton Township |
| 18. Mansfield Township |
| 19. Maple Shade Twp |
| 20. Medford Township | \& | 2.410 |
| :--- |
| 1.972 |
| 1.786 |
| 2.243 |
| 1.931 | \& 93.98

111.54
95.78
99.86

95.48 \&  \& 37,359,382 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
14,250,730 \\
13,522,834 \\
5,989.491 \\
67,467,264 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& $205,842,125$

$366,395,842$
$284,743,561$
$694,130,679$
$1,411,362,360$ \& $1,129,776.24$
$2,010,984.46$
$1,562,831.26$
$3,809,775.80$
$7,746,342.76$ \& ............
….....
..........
........ \&  <br>

\hline | 21. Medford Lakes Boro |
| :--- |
| 22. Moorestown Township |
| 23. Mount Holly Township |
| 24. Mount Laurel Twp. |
| 25. New Hanover Twp. | \& 3.880

2.298
2.850
2.491

0.711 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
55.94 \\
88.86 \\
96.75 \\
71.86 \\
110.99
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& .............

$\ldots . . . . . . .$.
$\ldots, 908,588$ \& $103,518,561$
$192,452,617$
$16,508,815$
$661,592,399$ \& $234,959,078$
$1,566,973,739$
$349,344,784$
$2,341,988,646$
$30,390,943$ \& $1,289,586.29$
$8,600,424.68$
$1,917,398.76$
$12,854,138.18$
$166,802.42$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Burlington, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | General Tax Rate to Apply per sion Valuation | 8 <br> County <br> Equalization <br> Table- <br> Average <br> Ratio of Assessed to True <br> Value of Real <br> Property <br> (R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to R.S. <br> 54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Rallioad Property (C. 139 , L. 1906) | 10 <br> EQUALIZATION |  | 11 <br> Not Valuation on Which County Taxes are Apportioned (Cols. $6+9$ - 10(a) $+10(\mathrm{~b}))$ | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under R.S. $84: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> County Taxes Apportioned total Net (Including Adjustments) | Adjustments "1̈esulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) <br> County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 26. North Hanover Twp. <br> 27. Palmyra Borough <br> 28. Pemberton Borough <br> 29. Pemberton Township <br> 30. Riverside Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 1.327 <br> 3.590 <br> 2.956 <br> 2.129 <br> 1.927 | $\begin{array}{r} 92.14 \\ 58.30 \\ 79.87 \\ 111.81 \\ 100.15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ............ ........... ......... ......... | $83,283,276$ | $15,488,348$ <br> $114,287,199$ <br> $7,670,784$ <br> $7, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $183,724,910$ $271,577,605$ $37,32,425$ $731,334,533$ $273,727,312$ | $1,008,384.64$ $1,490,569.16$ $204,956.03$ $4,013,971.27$ $1,502,367.95$ |  | ….......... <br> …....... <br> .......... <br> ......... |
| 31. Riverton Borough <br> 32. Shamong Township <br> 33. Southampton Twp <br> 34. Springfield Twp. <br> 35. Tabernacle Township | 2.376 1.779 1.720 1.849 1.689 | $\begin{array}{r} 93.71 \\ 100.67 \\ 94.11 \\ 99.80 \\ 98.87 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $1,348,549$ $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. ...... | $9,457,608$ $31,106 \ldots \ldots 9$ $2,025,217$ $4,675,076$ | $140,721,371$ $296,802,021$ $498,392,778$ $178,795,240$ $349,765,089$ | $772,357.27$ $1,629,014.81$ $2,735,47.17$ $981,327.87$ $1,919,705.63$ |  | ........... <br> …..... <br> ......... <br> ........ <br> ....... |
| 36. Washington Township <br> 37. Westampton Twp. <br> 38. Willingboro Township <br> 39. Woodland Township <br> 40. Wrightstown Borough | 1.738 2.308 2.958 2.105 0.886 | $\begin{array}{r} 120.12 \\ 102.08 \\ 91.27 \\ 96.60 \\ 106.41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $6,606,091$ <br> $4,209,010$ <br> $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ <br> 608,152 | $9 . . . . . . . . . . . .$. $98,188,733$ $2,607,893$ $\ldots . . . . .$. | $\begin{array}{r} 39,632,494 \\ 293,961,527 \\ 1,076920,546 \\ 69,061,535 \\ 24,206,830 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $217,525.20$ $1,613,424.60$ $5,910,739.80$ $379,048.17$ $132,861.17$ |  |  |
| Totals |  |  | ............ | \$244,588,712 | \$1,999,545,825 | \$18,675,334,068 | \$102,500,636.12 | $\ldots$ | ............ |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Burlington, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRalsed forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | $\square$ |  | III <br> Net County Taxes portioned | IVMunicipalBugetState Aid(R.S. $52.27 \mathrm{D}-$118.40 ) |  | (a) <br> County Library Taxe8 | (b) <br> Local Health Sorvice Taxes (R.3. 26: 3A2-10) | (c) <br> County Opon Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1889) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rected Errors } \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 4-53) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by Dletrict School Budget |
| 1. Bass River Township <br> 2. Beverly City <br> 3. Bordentown City <br> 4. Bordentown Township <br> 5. Burlington City | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 270.22 \\ 1,183.43 \\ 246.36 \\ 24,133.06 \\ 7,657.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 445,034.51$ $408,910.38$ $1,092,800.36$ $2,385,746.48$ $2,256,893.55$ |  | $\$ 445,034.51$ $408,910.38$ $1,092,800.36$ $2,385,746.48$ $2,256,893.55$ | $\$ 27,144.30$ $24,997.96$ $66,628.50$ $146,898.25$ $138,039.53$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 555,779.00 \\ 890,836.50 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 4,816,645.00 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Burlington Township 7. Che..... 8. Chesterfield Township 9. Delananinson Twp. Township ........... 10. Delran Township ............ | 3.758 .29 $1,111.80$ $2,521.61$ $12,255.87$ $142,656.80$ |  | $3,790,035.05$ $973,512.06$ $4,958,761.51$ $905,072.14$ $3,525,927.62$ | $\$ 21,774.25$ | $3,790,035.05$ $951,737.81$ $4,958,761.51$ $905,072.14$ $3,525,927.62$ | $231,257.04$ $59,409.83$ $302,423.34$ $55,917.27$ $223,624.72$ |  |  | $7,411,671.00$ $1,288,468.00$ $10,475,728.00$ $1,90,463600$ $7,421,296.00$ |
| 11. Eastampton Township <br> 12. Edgewater Park Twp. <br> 13. Evesham Township <br> 14. Fieldsboro Borough <br> 15. Florence Township | $\begin{array}{r} 8,525.20 \\ 76,621.68 \\ 2,649.17 \end{array}$ | \$ 2,100.75 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $1,065,573.67$ $1,632,053.45$ $10,550,675.97$ $142,422.89$ $2,485,954.35$ |  | $1,065,573.67$ $1,632,053.45$ $10,550,675.97$ $142,422.89$ $2,485,954.35$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,825.78 \\ 100,004.22 \\ 647,804.76 \\ 81681.63 \\ 151,697.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $1,961,975.00$ <br> $3,391,291.78$ <br> $15,001,687.50$ <br> $218,781.50$ <br> $4,359,005.00$ |
| 16. Hainesport Township <br> 17 Lumberton Township <br> 18. Mansfield Township <br> 19. Maple Shade Twp. <br> 20. Medford Township | $\begin{array}{r} 3,907.36 \\ 9,838.65 \\ 17,055.15 \\ 87,014.40 \\ 9,338.85 \end{array}$ |  | $1,125,868.88$ $2,001,145.81$ $1,545,776.11$ $3,722,761.40$ $7,737,003.91$ | 123,309.00 | $1,125,868.88$ $2,001,145.81$ $1,422,467.11$ $3,722,761.40$ $7,737,003.91$ | $68,867.41$ $122,582.93$ $95,265.00$ $232,231.28$ $472,191.32$ |  |  | $1,682,152.00$ $3,235,943.00$ $1,866,871.00$ $8,601,764.25$ $10,901,645.00$ |
| 21. Medford Lakes Boro <br> 22. Moorestown Township <br> 23. Mount Holly Township <br> 24. Mount Laurel Twp. <br> 25. New Hanover Twp. | $\begin{array}{r} 49,821.11 \\ 662.02 \\ 190,625.54 \\ 4,371.21 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,289,586.29 \\ 8,550,603.57 \\ 1,916,736.74 \\ 12,663,512.64 \\ 162,431.21 \end{array}$ | $162,431.21$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,289,586.29 \\ 8,550,603.57 \\ 1,916,736.74 \\ 12,663,512.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78,608.90 \\ 116,878.26 \\ 10,167.72 \end{array}$ |  | ….......... | $1,958,608.00$ $18,029.236 .00$ 38717.56 .00 $18,725,541.00$ |
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| taxing distaicts | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C Local Taxes Raised for DISTRICT PURPOSES SCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Not } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Apaxes } \\ \text { Apporioned } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30 L. 1989) |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required School Budget |
| 26. North Hanover Twp......... 27. Patmyra Borough 28. Pemberton Borough ....... 29. Pemberton Tounship 30. Riverside Township ........ |  | $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,006,451.50 \\ & 1,489.107 .37 \\ & 3.204 .95 .48 \\ & 3.959,3969.09 \\ & 1,497,347.62 \end{aligned}$ | 233.549.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 772.902 .50 \\ 1,489.107 .37 \\ \text { 204.915.48 } \\ 3.959 .396 .09 \\ 1,497,347.62 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 789.501 .00 \\ 2.812,372.00 \\ 479.93 \\ 9.19 .543 .00 \\ 2.831,454.48 \\ 2.831,126.25 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots$ | $768,704.66$ $1,604,788.68$ $2,722,362.50$ 969517.73 $1,907,914.88$ |  | $768,704.66$ $1,604,738.68$ $2,722,362.50$ 969511.73 $1,907,914.88$ | 47.080 .33 99.29 .33 166.744 .38 59.82 .49 $117,018.88$ 13.89 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.669 .49 \\ & 7.769 .92 \\ & 2.880 .57 \\ & 148.42 \\ & \hline 48.42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $211,855.71$ $1,60,665.58$ $5,907,859.53$ 378901.75 $132,379.57$ | 132,379.57 | $211,855.71$ $1,65.655 .58$ $5,90,859.23$ $378,901.75$ | $13,259.61$ $98,349.00$ <br> $23,105.52$ $8,098.44$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 578,393.42 \\ 2.698 .60 .80 \\ 15.411,06000 \\ 878,811.00 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$800,773.97 | \$2.100.75 | \$101.701,962.90 | \$673,443.03 | \$101.028.519.87 | \$4,580,000.00 |  |  | \$175,580,579.68 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV B(a). + (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{ClII}$ | Total Amount of Axil Propery Exempt fitoTaxation |  | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated |  |  |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} P & \$ 475,489.86 \\ B & 2,530,46 . . .40 \\ B & 5,595,515.10 \end{array}\right\|$ | \$ 78,810.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 437,045.00 \\ & 1,328,0000 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1,908,552.00 \\ 440,720.00 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,503,447.67 \\ 1,761,789.84 \\ 5,01,891.26 \\ 10,0.036,7111.83 \\ 7,731,108.08 \\ \\ \hline, 738,554\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,430,400 \\ 59,12,300 \\ 29,18080 \\ 45,797,600 \\ 122,043,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 301,755.00 \\ 180.000 \\ 140.0000 \\ 700.00000 \\ 1,290,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 285,645.00 \\ 4155.536 .00 \\ 713,718.00 \\ 1.885 .484 .00 \\ 7,404,658.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 170,000.00$ <br> $145,000.00$ <br> 2185.57 .00 <br> 225.000 .00 <br> $250,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 757,400.00 \\ 740.536 .00 \\ 1,072,29200 \\ 2.810,448.00 \\ 8,944,658.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | N $\begin{array}{r}868,017.84 \\ \\ \\ \hline \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . ~\end{array}$ | $\qquad$ | 2,365,591.00 <br> 1,405,181.68 470,936.00 2,310,640.00 | $13,798,554.09$ <br> $3,157.633 .48$ <br> $17,142,094.53$ <br> $3,334,388.41$ <br> $13,481,488.34$ <br>  | $61,506,500$ 156.544 .200 $544,034,100$ $6,330,300$ $46,578,800$ | $1,388,851.00$ $563,000.00$ $700,00.00$ $356,663.00$ | $5,878,073.00$ $7737,930.00$ $2,82,739.44$ 775.890 .00 $2,929,860.00$ | $500,000.00$ 2000.000 .00 450.000 .00 $150,000.00$ $400,000.00$ | $7,766,924.00$ <br> $1,50,930.00$ <br> $3,97739.44$ <br> $1,242,153.00$ <br> $3,329,860.00$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|lr\|} \hline \text { RV } & 692,818.43 \\ \text { L } & 5,093,657.87 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $823,324.00$ 948.243 .00 $4,772.026 .00$ 109653.00 $2,029,788.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,108,516.88 \\ 6,071,592.45 \\ 36,065,852.10 \\ 479.539 .02 \\ 9,026,444.35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,792,300 \\ 9,930,300 \\ 136,335,900 \\ 940,900 \\ 30,676,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}478.000 .00 \\ 400.0000 \\ 1,3977.00000 \\ 25.0000 \\ 584,866.59 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $836,763.00$ $1,251,77500$ $5,848,425.00$ 133.601 .00 $2,363,702.00$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,424,763.00 \\ & 1,84,875.00 \\ & 8,295181.50 \\ & 8,24.60 .100 \\ & 3,313,568.59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 16. Hainesport Township <br> 18. Mansertion Township <br> 19. Maple Shade Twp. <br> 20. Medford Township | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { RV } & 768,942.85 \\ \text { RV } & 1,437.47 .17 \\ \text { N } & 1,457,610.81 \\ \mathrm{~L} & 4,008,535.57 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ |  | 4,616,432.14 4,961,384.91 15,430,303.93 25,939,032.80 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,306,843.00 \\ 484,000.00 \\ 2,423,650.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 707,702.00 \\ 1,613,194.00 \\ 1,477,227.00 \\ 3,455,628.58 \\ 3,624,305.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350,000.00 \\ 100.000 .00 \\ 2351000 \\ 401.041 .00 \\ 1,000,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,057,702.00 \\ & 3,020,037.00 \\ & 2,196,227.00 \\ & 3,857,270.00 \\ & 7,048,155.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 21. Mediord Lakes Boro ...... 22. Moorstown Township.... 23. Mount Holly Township .... 24. Mount Laurel TTp. 25. New Hanover Twp. ......... | $\left.\begin{array}{\|rr\|} \hline \mathrm{L} & 620,478.82 \\ \text { RV } & 1.568,45.40 \\ \mathrm{~L} & 5.563,743.50 \\ \text { NHW } & 226,565.18 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | $5,098,798.66$ $31,583,687.57$ $9.485,108.60$ $41,852,797.15$ $236,732.90$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.009,800 \\ 10.028,500 \\ 141,218,00 \\ 50.628 .1063 \\ 1,035,320,999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 328.825 .00 \\ 1,436,750.00 \\ 5799.150 .00 \\ 3.475 .000 .00 \\ 513,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 580,647.76 \\ 3,997,04.00 \\ 2,225,373.00 \\ 4,932,000.00 \\ 825,676.00 \end{array}$ | $100,000.00$ $1,100,00.00$ $220,000.00$ $1,800,000.00$ $65,000.00$ | 1,009,472.76 6,534,562.00 10,198,000.00 1,403,676.00 |
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$\begin{array}{ll}\text { NHW New Hanover-Wrightstown School District ................. } & \text { \$ } \\ \text { P Pinelands Regional High School } & 438,325.7 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~\end{array}$ \$ $475,489.86$
$\$ 101,701,962.90$
$\$ \quad 798,673.22$
Total County Taxes apportioned
(including adjustments - Total 12.A.I) .............................. \$102,500,636.12
*Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned
Net Underpayments are deducted from the Net Taxes Apportioned

| REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| B-Bordentown Regional High School |  |  |  |
| L-Lenape Regional High School....................................................... | $\$ 818,162,577.50$ |  |  |
| N-Northern Burlington County Regional High School | $\$ . . .$. | $\$ 3,813,834.00$ |  |

> Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus
Net County Taxes apportioned (13.A.III)
*Adjustments (Net Total-12.A.II)
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | General tax Aate to Apply per $\begin{aligned} & \text { paluation }\end{aligned}$ | 8 <br> County Equalization Table Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real <br> Property <br> (R.8. 84:3-17 <br> to R.S. <br> 84:3-19) | True Value of Class II Rallroad Property (C. 139, L. 1966) | $10$ <br> EQUALIZATION |  | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ \\ \text { Net Valuation } \\ \text { on Which } \\ \text { County Taxes } \\ \text { Are } \\ \text { Apportioned } \\ \text { (Cols. } 6+9 \\ -10(\mathrm{a}) \\ +10(\mathrm{~b}) \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under <br> R.8. $84: 3-17$ <br> to <br> R.S. 84:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added Under R.S. 84:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1TotalCounty TaxesApportionedIncludingtotal NetAdjustments) | Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{\text { Rom }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) <br> County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Audubon Borough <br> 2. Audubon Park <br> 3. Barrington Borough <br> 4. Bellmawr Borough <br> 5. Berlin Borough | 3.764 3.067 4.295 2.791 6.264 | 63.87 100.00 66.69 105.02 36.02 |  | $\$ 17,593,633$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 132,725,240 \\ 21,029,130 \\ 185,796,746 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 358,194,479$ $9,538,824$ $257,567,113$ $422,130,356$ $292,203,926$ |  <br> $\$ 3,040,559.29$ <br> $80,970.96$ <br> $2,186,376.13$ <br> $3,583,282.53$ <br> $2,480,393.10$ |  |  |
| 6. Berlin Township ............. 7. Brooklawn Borough ........ 8. Camden CCity 9. Cherry Hill fownship......... 10. Chesilhurst Borough ....... | $\begin{array}{r}2.005 \\ 2.454 \\ 18.101 \\ 8.017 \\ 6.154 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125.28 \\ 116.43 \\ 24.60 \\ 31.34 \\ 47.59 \end{array}$ | \$ 26,899 $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,075,344 \\ 9,805,806 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735,479,949 \\ 3,198,740,985 \\ 17,194,214 \end{array}$ | $249,588,956$ $66,701,619$ $970,284,247$ $4,645,127,802$ $32,774,213$ | $2,118,653.00$ $566,201.28$ $8,236,324.49$ $39,430,486.47$ $278,206.16$ |  |  |
| 11. Clementon Borough <br> 12. Collingswood Borough <br> 13. Gibbsboro Borough <br> 14. Gloucester City <br> 15. Gloucester Township | 2.207 4.605 3.379 6.724 6.866 | $\begin{array}{r} 127.86 \\ 54.14 \\ 74.41 \\ 41.60 \\ 39.29 \end{array}$ |  | $38,250,428$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224,098,871 \\ 33,579,296 \\ 192,757656 \\ 1,002,216,845 \end{array}$ | $143,549,018$ $488,716,482$ $125,926,245$ $324,848,540$ $1,653,478,206$ | $1,218,525.70$ $4,148,503.43$ $1,068,933.58$ $2,757.499 .15$ $14,035,663.35$ |  |  |
| 16. Haddon Township <br> 17. Haddonfield Borough <br> 18. Haddon Heights Boro <br> 19. Hi-Nella Borough <br> 20. Lauren Springs Boro | $\begin{aligned} & 6.834 \\ & 4.740 \\ & 4.151 \\ & 3.877 \\ & 4.222 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 36.87 47.64 61.10 63.00 62.52 |  |  | $404,808,939$ $491,119,327$ $147,858,070$ $87,512,932$ $27,463,950$ | $639,322,803$ $940,867,033$ $378,173,441$ $22,822,241$ $80,730,725$ | $5,426,935.53$ <br> $7,986,614.45$ <br> $3,210,151.23$ <br> $193,728.16$ <br> $685,288.31$ |  |  |
| 21. Lawnside Borough <br> 22. Lindenwold Borough <br> 23. Magnolia Borough <br> 24. Merchantville Borough <br> 25. Mt. Ephraim Borough ..... | 6.776 4.629 7.586 4.761 3.965 | $\begin{aligned} & 48.07 \\ & 64.09 \\ & 39.12 \\ & 58.88 \\ & 65.76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 48,884,602 \\ 163,542,113 \\ 84,824,047 \\ 64,253,727 \\ 56,126,479 \end{array}$ | $93,105,369$ $455,521,192$ $138,715,968$ $163,296,584$ $162,279,983$ | $790,331.32$ $3,866,722.93$ $1,177,500.02$ $1,386,154.27$ $1,377,524.78$ |  |  |
| 26. Oaklyn Borough 27. Pennsauken Township...... 28. Pine Hill Borough ........... 29. Pine Valley ................ 30. Runnemede Borough ..... | 2.512 7.296 6.265 2.709 2.508 | $\begin{array}{r} 104.86 \\ 37.16 \\ 49.08 \\ 66.67 \\ 107.52 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,531,995 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \\ 19,972,461 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,001,797,235 \\ 97,743,758 \\ 3,487,834 \end{array}$ | $155,281,475$ $1,573,529,342$ $192,221,692$ $10,390,669$ $303,448,545$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,318,117.47 \\ 13,357,011.92 \\ 1,631,687.04 \\ 88,201.91 \\ 2,575,843.82 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 31. Somerdale Borough ......... 32. Stratford Borough 33. Tavistock Borough ......... 34. Vorhees Township ......... 35. Waterford Township ....... | $\begin{aligned} & 4.111 \\ & 2.516 \\ & 1.828 \\ & 2.947 \\ & 6.082 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 69.41 105.69 100.00 78.42 47.87 |  | $13,758,105$ | $59,497,168$ 128,191 $377,313,041$ $180,347,487$ | $191,837,366$ $284,829,597$ $3,039,666$ $1,734,431.234$ $346,307,130$ | $1,628,424.66$ $2,417,795.60$ $25,802.41$ $14,722,838.69$ $2,939,651.86$ |  |  |
| 36. Winslow Township 37. Woodlynne Borough $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.390 \\ & 4.564 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.74 \\ & 70.50 \end{aligned}$ | ............... | ....... | $\begin{array}{r} 517,930,307 \\ 14,787,453 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 935,472,159 \\ 49,566,556 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,940,819.69 \\ 420,749.81 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Totals ........................ | ........... | .......... | \$26,899 | \$167,987,772 | \$9,564,267,800 | \$18,895,820,896 | \$160,398,474.50 | ......... | ........ |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | III | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { Municipal } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { State Aid } \\ \text { (R.S. } 52: 27 \mathrm{LD} \\ \text { 118.40) } \end{gathered}$ | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. All-AlV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Sorvice Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-10) | (c) <br> County Open Space Proservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1089) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49; |  | Net County Taxes |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES <br> (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by Dlatrict School Budget |
| 1. Audubon Borough ........... 2. Audubon Park 3. Barrington Borough .......... 4. Belmawr. Borough ......... 5. Berlin Borough .............. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,907.00 \\ 951 . .00 \\ 508.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 8,232.00$ | $\$ 3,038,652.29$ $80,970.96$ $2,185,425.13$ $3,582,774.53$ $2,488,625.10$ |  | $\$ 3,038,652.29$ <br> $80,970.96$ <br> $2,185,425.13$ <br> $3,582,774.53$ <br> $2,488,625.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2.450 .08 \\ 6,157.12 \\ 108,425.83 \\ 75,053.72 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\$ 3,940,227.00$ <br> $75,103.00$ <br> $3,028,309.91$ <br> $4,230.855 .50$ <br> $1,942,184.58$ |
| 6. Berlin Township ............ 7. Brooklawn Borough ........ 8. Camden City 9. Cherry Hill fownship ....... 10. Chesilhurst Borough ....... | $\begin{array}{r} 335.00 \\ 90,436.00 \\ 230,983.00 \end{array}$ |  | $2,118,318.00$ $566,201.28$ $8,145,888.49$ $39,199,503.47$ $278,206.16$ |  | $2,118,318.00$ <br> $566,201.28$ <br> $8,145,888.49$ <br> $39,199,503.47$ <br> $278,206.16$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,107.90 \\ 17,132.57 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 8,418.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $1,616,669.00$ <br> $833,670.00$ <br> $17,247,313.23$ <br> $62,931,373.00$ <br> $359,455.98$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,738.00 \\ 5,524.00 \\ 1,521.00 \\ 30,389.00 \end{array}$ |  | $1,212,787.70$ $4,142,979.43$ $1,077,323.58$ $2,755,978.15$ $14,005,274.35$ |  | $1,212,787.70$ $4,142,979.43$ $1,077,323.58$ $2,755,978.15$ $14,005,274.35$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,871.13 \\ 32,344.65 \\ 424,702.33 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 872,911.50 \\ 5,377,690.50 \\ 989,407.40 \\ 3,286,570.00 \\ 14,071,005.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 16. Haddon Township <br> 17. Haddonfield Borough <br> 18. Haddon Heights Boro <br> 19. Hi-Nella Borough <br> 20. Lauren Springs Boro | $\begin{array}{r} 16,870.00 \\ 5,761.00 \\ 190.00 \\ 618.00 \\ 7,890.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $5,410,065.53$ $7,980,853.45$ $3,209,961.23$ $193,10.16$ $677,398.31$ |  | $5,410,065.53$ <br> $7,980,853.45$ <br> $3,209,961.23$ <br> $193,110.16$ <br> $677,398.31$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 164,212.56 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 5,861.98 \\ 20,736.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $7,659,278.00$ <br> $11,644,263.00$ <br> $4,326,297.50$ <br> $245,635.06$ <br> $1,001,956.00$ |
| 21. Lawnside Borough <br> 22. Lindenwold Borough <br> 23. Magnolia Borough <br> 24. Merchantville Borough <br> 25. Mt. Ephraim Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 2,016.00 \\ 2,202.00 \\ 291.00 \\ 662.00 \end{array}$ |  | $790,331.32$ $3,864,706.93$ $1,175,298.02$ $1,385,863.27$ $1,376,862.78$ |  | $790,331.32$ $3,864,706.93$ $1,175,298.02$ $1,385,863.27$ $1,376,862.78$ | $23,914.48$ $117,002.39$ $35,629.74$ $41,943.36$ $41,682.25$ |  |  | $1,444,128.30$ <br> $3,879,024.19$ <br> $1,338,494.50$ <br> $2,094.546 .50$ <br> $1,727,293.54$ |
| 26. Oaklyn Borough <br> 27. Pennsauken Township <br> 28. Pine Hill Borough <br> 29. Pine Valley <br> 30. Runnemede Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 26,413.00 \\ 58,593.00 \\ 430.00 \\ 17,961.00 \end{array}$ |  | $1,291,704.47$ $13,298,418.92$ $1,631,257.04$ $88,201.91$ $2,557,882.82$ |  | $1,291,704.47$ $13,298,418.92$ $1,631,257.04$ $88,201.91$ $2,557,882.82$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,884.65 \\ 49,372 . .89 \\ 2,668.88 \end{array}$ |  |  | $1,976,782.00$ $18,550,417.00$ $1,600,335.50$ $2,715,376.50$ |
| 31. Somerdale Borough ........ 32. Strattord Borough 33. Tavistock Borough 34. Vo.......... V5ees Township 35. Waterford Township ......... | $\begin{array}{r} 18,064.00 \\ 32,822.00 \\ 80,275.00 \\ 978.00 \end{array}$ |  | $1,610,360.66$ $2,384,973.60$ $25,802.41$ $14,642,563.69$ $2,938,673.86$ |  | $1,610,360.66$ $2,384,973.60$ $25,802.41$ $14,642,563.69$ $2,938,673.86$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49,274.18 \\ 780.75 \\ 445,495.43 \end{array}$ |  |  | $1,456,919.47$ <br> $2,592,600.50$ <br> $3,600.00$ <br> $14,819,221.50$ <br> $3,314,535.00$ |
| 36. Winslow Township <br> 37. Woodlynne Borough | 115.00 | 11,141.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,951,960.69 \\ 420,634.81 \end{array}$ | ............... | $\begin{array}{r} 7,951,960.69 \\ 420,634.81 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240,279.67 \\ 12,731.28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | …............ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,882,722.37 \\ 583,513.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals ...................... | \$640,443.00 | \$27,763.00 | \$159,785,794.50 | ............ | \$159,785,794.50 | \$2,127,134.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | \$209,659,685.53 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Camden, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIOMMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVEMUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNMCIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section C <br> Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a})$, (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{Cl})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) <br> Surplus Revenue propriated | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Receipts from Detriquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> Total of Muscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | II <br> Local Municipal Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional Consolidated and Joint Schiool Budgets | (c) <br> As Required by Local Municipal Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Audubon Borough ........... 2. Audubon Park 3. Barrington Borough ........ 4. Bellmawr Borough .......... 5. Berlin Borough .......... | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{B} & 1,727,237.48 \\ \mathrm{E} & 1,050,860.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 1,505,151.00$ $126,826.00$ $1,869,039.10$ $2,614,331.00$ $1,105,732.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,484,030.29 \\ 285,350.04 \\ 7,148,931.26 \\ 12,263,624.34 \\ 6,662,455.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,614,850 \\ 484,600 \\ 35,472,300 \\ 45,077,600 \\ 18,515,670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,070,664.00 \\ 40,063.71 \\ 345,500.00 \\ 120,000.00 \\ 543,734.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,567,935.00 \\ 210,049.79 \\ 1,129,130.43 \\ 2,045,953.00 \\ 1,211,011.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 221,300.00 \\ 140,000.00 \\ 450,000.00 \\ 262,700.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,859,899.00 \\ 250,113.50 \\ 1,614,630.43 \\ 2,615,953.00 \\ 2,017,445.00 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Berlin Township <br> 7. Brooklawn Borough <br> 8. Camden City <br> 9. Cherry Hill Township <br> 10. Chesilhurst Borough | $\begin{array}{rr} \mathrm{L} & 1,424,264.86 \\ & \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . \\ \mathrm{L} & 223,365.61 \end{array}$ | ............. | $1,022,112.00$ $459,000.00$ $17,098,987.07$ $13,810,980.98$ $88,878.98$ | $6,245,471.76$ $1,876,003.85$ $42,492,188.79$ $115,941,857.45$ $958,324.91$ | $14,773,600$ $10,562,900$ $262,822,106$ $311,327,073$ $2,898,140$ | $145,000.00$ $264,500.00$ $3,100,000.00$ $177,500.00$ | $1,844,950.76$ <br> $709,500.00$ <br> $1,817,302.50$ <br> $12,989.013 .02$ <br> $772,621.02$ | $205,900.24$ $70,000.00$ $2,473,046.99$ $3,100,000.00$ $175,000.00$ | $2,195,851.00$ $1,044,000.00$ $4,290,349.49$ $19,189,013.02$ $1,125,121.02$ |
| 11. Clementon Borough <br> 12. Collingswood Borough <br> 13. Gibbsboro Borough <br> 14. Gloucester City <br> 15. Gloucester Township | L $734,051.16$ <br> E $455,240.00$ <br> B $5,501,673.11$ | …........... ......... ......... ....... | $1,149,703.00$ $2,662,000.00$ $563,580.00$ $2,8369558.17$ $10,699,541.00$ | $4,006,324.49$ $12,182,669.93$ $3,117,895.63$ $8,879,106.32$ $44,702,196.29$ | $20,685,900$ $33,395,900$ $9,118,700$ $24,088,000$ $115,183,229$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525,000.00 \\ & 500,000.00 \\ & 159,020.00 \\ & 200,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $699,997.00$ <br> $1,978,000.00$ <br> $610,000.00$ <br> $3,931,372.90$ <br> $642,883.00$ | $244,300.00$ $275,000.00$ $83,000.00$ $570,000.00$ $1,015,613.00$ | $1,469,297.00$ $2,753,000.00$ $852,020.00$ $4,701,372.90$ $1,658,496.00$ |
| 16. Haddon Township <br> 17. Haddonfield Borough <br> 18. Haddon Heights Boro <br> 19. Hi-Nella Borough <br> 20. Lauren Springs Boro |  | ............ | $2,786,025.00$ $1,684,332.00$ $2,019,950.00$ $110,00.00$ $548,000.00$ | $16,019,581.09$ $21,309.448 .45$ $9,556,208.73$ $554,607.20$ $2,248,090.31$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,463,650 \\ 66,517,600 \\ 44,709,800 \\ 2,230,800 \\ 2,611,350 \end{array}$ | $540,000.00$ $2,882,207.00$ $347,240.00$ $115,000.00$ $277,000.00$ | $2,163,214.00$ $1,935,325.47$ $1,464,880.00$ $210,000.00$ $410,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310,611.00 \\ 220.000 .00 \\ 140,000.00 \\ 150,000.00 \\ 50,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $3,013,825.00$ <br> $5,037,532.47$ <br> $1,952,120.00$ <br> 340.000 .00 <br> $737,000.00$ |
| 21. Lawnside Borough <br> 22. Lindenwold Borough <br> 23. Magnolia Borough <br> 24. Merchantville Borough <br> 25. Mt. Ephraim Borough | $\begin{array}{lr} \mathrm{L} & 2,550,223.59 \\ \mathrm{~S} & 664,384.00 \end{array}$ | ….......... ........... ........ ....... | $736,757.00$ $3,097,802.00$ $873,049.44$ $1,190,846.00$ $1,060,000.00$ | $2,995,131.10$ $13,508,759.10$ $4,086,855.70$ $4,713,199.13$ $4,205,838.57$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,636,450 \\ 26,738,400 \\ 7,036,834 \\ 8,942,400 \\ 7,539,900 \end{array}$ | $303,115.00$ $500,000.00$ $291,052.00$ $225,000.00$ $395,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,726.00 \\ 2,411,000.00 \\ 671,566.56 \\ 1,033,350.00 \\ 800,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,000.00 \\ 840,000.00 \\ 163,000.00 \\ 160,000.00 \\ 70,000.00 \end{array}$ | $467,841.00$ $3,751,000.00$ $1,125,618.56$ $1,418,350.00$ $1,265,000.00$ |
| 26. Oaklyn Borough <br> 27. Pennsauken Township <br> 28. Pine Hill Borough <br> 29. Pine Valley <br> 30. Runnemede Borough | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { L } & 1,048,196.84 \\ \text { B } & 1,158,265.89\end{array}$ | ............ | $753,100.00$ $9,860,880.00$ $1,587,341.00$ $96,043.00$ $1,675,000.00$ | $4,061,471.12$ $41,709,715.92$ $5,916,503.27$ $186,913.79$ $8,106,525.21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,073,100 \\ & 67,355,600 \\ & 23,580,400 \\ & 41,508,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 290,900.00 \\ 550,000.00 \\ 234,000.00 \\ 6,227.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 794,100.00 \\ 11,064,709.00 \\ 1,626,377.46 \\ 100.560 .00 \\ 1,455,000.00 \end{array}$ | $101,000.00$ $1,640,000.00$ $335,000.00$ $420,000.00$ | $1,186,000.00$ <br> $13,254,709.00$ <br> $2,195,377.46$ <br> $106,787.00$ <br> $1,875,000.00$ |
| 31. Somerdale Borough <br> 32. Stratford Borough <br> 33. Tavistock Borough <br> 34. Voorhees Townsh <br> 35. Waterford Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | S $954,456.00$ <br> $S$ $1,337,489.00$ <br> $E$ $5,408,942.00$ <br> $S$ $1,836,071.96$ | …........... …....... ......... ....... | $1,365,894.00$ $1,194,141.00$ $22,973.00$ $4,666,420.00$ $2,000,940.77$ | $5,436,904.31$ $7,509,204.10$ $53,156.16$ $39,982,642.62$ $10,090,221.59$ | $10,564,687$ $70,974,300$ 312,500 $109,585,700$ $26,848,600$ | $90,000.00$ $150,000.00$ $2,225.00$ $2,479,708.13$ $594,000.00$ | $885,000.00$ $1,009,000.00$ $4,652.00$ $4,731,690.87$ $1,798,475.98$ | $210,000.00$ $140,000.00$ $1,100,000.00$ $390,000.00$ | $1,185,000.00$ <br> $1,299.000 .00$ <br> $6,877.00$ <br> $8,311,399.00$ <br> $2,782,475.98$ |
| 36. Winslow Township 37. Woodlynne Borough | L 4,862,113.94 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,559,749.00 \\ 570,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,496,825.67 \\ 1,586,879.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,366,250 \\ 3,772,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,200,000.00 \\ 115,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,664,251.00 \\ 306,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,575,000.00 \\ 75,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,439,251.00 \\ 496,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$30,936,835.44 |  | \$99,071,663.51 | \$501,581,112.98 | \$1,584,389,889 | \$19,778,655.84 | \$75,738,597.76 | \$17,365,471.23 | \$112,882,724.83 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8,387,176.48 \\ & 6,915,042.00 \end{aligned}$ | S-Sterling Reg Total |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,956,320.00 \\ \$ 30,936,835.44 \end{array}$ |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cape May, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 |  | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatementes) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Avalon Borough <br> 2. Cape May City <br> 3. Cape May Point Boro <br> 4. Dennis Township <br> 5. Lower Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,244,207,500 \\ 263,427,000 \\ 57,204,000 \\ 151,084,900 \\ 227,346,350 \end{array}$ | $\$ 430,796,400$ $333,699,800$ $30,931,200$ $148,500,200$ $577,769,900$ | $\$ 1,675,003,900$ $597,126,800$ $88,135,200$ 299585,100 $805,116,250$ | $\text { \$ } 1,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,675,003,900 \\ 597,126,800 \\ 88,135,200 \\ 299,584,100 \\ 805,116,250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,839,248 \\ 1,474,046 \\ 34,805 \\ 3,674,258 \\ 7,464,801 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,678,843,148 \\ 598,600,846 \\ 88,170,005 \\ 303,258,358 \\ 812,581,051 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Middle Township <br> 7. North Wildwood City <br> 8. Ocean City <br> 9. Sea Isle City <br> 10. Stone Harbor Borough | $162,779,150$ $251,255,825$ $2,126,253,300$ $464,429,900$ $586,268,100$ | $327,199,600$ $430,029,489$ $1,234,887,100$ $314,464,100$ $275,656,500$ | $489,978,750$ $681,285,314$ $3.361,140,400$ $778,894,000$ $861,924,600$ |  | $489,978,750$ $681,285,314$ $3,361,140,400$ $778,894,000$ $861,924,600$ | $7,551,712$ $1,484,128$ $8,776,252$ $2,684,900$ 738,943 | $497,530,462$ $682,769,442$ $3.369,916,652$ $781.578,900$ $862,663,543$ |
| 11. Upper Township (R) <br> 12. West Cape May Boro <br> 13. West Wildwood Boro <br> 14. Wildwood City <br> 15. Wildwood Crest Boro | $349,718,100$ $38,923,790$ $19,209,300$ $182,195,995$ $406,395,000$ | $386,167,000$ $52,479,250$ $30,890,700$ $247,803,759$ $443,007,500$ | $735,885,100$ $91,403,040$ $50,100,000$ $429,999,754$ $849,402,500$ | $126,100$ | $735,885,100$ $91,403,040$ $50,100,000$ $429,873,654$ $849,402,500$ | $14,358,345$ 546,391 52,926 $6,56,794$ $1,282,674$ | $750,243,445$ $91,949,431$ $50,152,926$ $436,380,448$ $850,685,174$ |
| 16. Woodbine Borough | 9,889,000 | 24,863,500 | 34,752,500 | ........... | 34,752,500 | 1,692,918 | 36,445,418 |
| Totals .......................... | \$6,540,587,210 | \$5,289,145,998 | \$11,829,733,208 | \$127,100 | \$11,829,606,108 | \$62,163,141 | \$11,891,769,249 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cape May, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS |  |  | True Value of Class II Railroad (C. 139, L. 1866) | 10 EqUALIZATION |  | 11 <br> Not Valuation on Which County Taxes Apportioned (Cols. $6+9$ <br> $+10(b))$ | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts <br> Deducted Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> A.S 54 <br> ค.8. 54:3-19 |  |  | section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { County Taxes } \\ \text { Apportioned } \\ \text { Aincluding } \\ \text { Total No } \\ \text { Adjustmonts) } \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  (R.8. $\mathrm{Bl}^{2}: 2-37$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Avalon Borough .............. 2. Cape May City ........... 3. Cape May Point Boro ..... 4. Dennis Township ............ 5. Lower Township .......... |  | $\begin{gathered} 113.21 \\ 77.83 \\ 65.41 \\ 100.01 \\ 63.85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | \$ 192,250,414 | $\$ 177,299,503$ $46,636,972$ 833,463 $463,505,203$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,486,592,734 \\ 775,90,349 \\ 134,806977 \\ 304,091,821 \\ 1,276,086,254 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5.823,653.15 \\ 3,03955.51 .5 \\ \hline 58.09 .96 \\ 1,199.264 .60 \\ 4999.044 .48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 6. Middle Township <br> 7. North Wildwood City <br> 8. Ocean City <br> 9. Sea Isle City <br> 10. Stone Harbor Borough | 2.560 <br> 2.040 <br> 1.350 <br> 1.540 <br> .990 | $\begin{array}{r} 68.46 \\ 102.13 \\ 107.27 \\ \hline 85.95 \\ 89.97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9,749,976 \\ 212,954,105 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 728,177,915 \\ 67,01966 \\ 3,156.96,456 \\ 3961,294,503 \\ 961,180,250 \\ \hline 961 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 11. Upper Township .......... 12. West Cape May Boro .... 13. West Wid Wwood Boro ..... 14. Wildoood City 15. Wildwood Crest Boro ...... | $\begin{array}{r}1.980 \\ \begin{array}{r}1.450 \\ 1 \\ 1.840 \\ 3.470 \\ 1.390\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 98.69 <br> 95.59 <br> 91.13 <br> 78.92 <br> 112.17 <br> 77.01 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,505,231 \\ 4,475,639 \\ 5,017,569 \\ 124,396,873 \end{array}$ |  | $2,980,195.13$ <br> $377,740.42$ <br> 216,127 <br> $2,196,177$ <br> $3,000,281.28$ <br> 3, |  |  |
| 16. Woodbine Borough | 2.910 | 77.00 | ........... | ........... | 11,345,088 | 47,790,506 | 187,217.48 |  |  |
| Totals ....................... | ........... | $\ldots$ | ........... | \$499,763,473 | \$1,301,895,304 | \$12,693,901,080 | \$49,727,727.13 |  |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cape May, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised for |
|  | ADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Net County Taxes portioned |  |  | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-48 | orrected Errors R.S. $54: 4-53$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Avalon Borough <br> 2. Cape May City <br> 3. Cape May Point Boro <br> 4. Dennis Township <br> 5. Lower Township | \$ $238,717.94$ <br> $8,796.94$ <br> 125.54 <br> $4,621.83$ <br> $10,768.86$ |  | $\$ 5,584,935.21$ $3,030,754.11$ $527,974,09$ $1,186,642.77$ $4,988,235.62$ |  | $\$ 5,584,935.21$ $3,030,754.11$ $527,974.09$ $1,186,642.77$ $4,988,235.62$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 361,240.10 \\ 195,009.63 \\ 33,957.78 \\ 76,368.65 \\ 320,937.70 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 143,505.10 \\ 77,953.42 \\ 13,57.84 \\ 30,57.84 \\ 128,315.73 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,313,601.50 \\ 1,539,919.00 \\ 68,054.00 \\ 2,702,630.00 \\ 6,525,131.57 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Middle Township <br> 7. North Wildwood City <br> 8. Ocean City <br> 9. Sea Isle City <br> 10. Stone Harbor Borough | $5,055.05$ $26,384.83$ $47,999.52$ 610.55 $13,387.94$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,847,545.74 \\ 2,610,135.48 \\ 12,319,244.36 \\ 3,565,422.92 \\ 3,751,987.92 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,847,545.74 \\ 2,610,135.48 \\ 12,319,244.36 \\ 3,565,422.92 \\ 3,751,987.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183,194.91 \\ & 168,214.39 \\ & 229,314.66 \\ & 241,456.25 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 73,244.02 \\ 67,440.75 \\ 316,979.10 \\ 91,690.80 \\ 96,478.46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,919,534.00 \\ 3,966,499.38 \\ 13,936,311.00 \\ 2,243,685.00 \\ 878,473.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 11. Upper Township <br> 12. West Cape May Boro <br> 13. West Wildwood Boro <br> 14. Wildwood City <br> 15. Wildwood Crest Boro | $1,210.44$ $1,645.73$ 121.52 $7,591.72$ $18,678.56$ |  | $2,978,984.69$ $376,094.69$ $216,006.15$ $2,189,225.56$ $2,981,603.37$ | $\$ 242,779$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $2,736,205.69$ $376,094.69$ $216,006.15$ $2,189,225.56$ $2,981,603.37$ | $191,606.39$ $24,207.39$ $13,893.94$ $140,901.93$ $191,892.22$ |  | $76,609.20$ $9,673.05$ $5,555.64$ $56,442.37$ $76,603.61$ | $4,345,262.75$ <br> $453,948.00$ <br> $253,842.18$ <br> $4,822,322.50$ <br> $3,530,197.84$ |
| 16. Woodbine Borough | 43.16 | ............ | 187,174.32 | ............ | 187,174.32 | 12,038.69 | ............ | 4,813.40 | 599,970.00 |
| Totals ......................... | \$385,760.13 | ........... | \$49,341,967.00 | \$242,779 | \$49,099,188.00 | \$2,384,234.63 | ............ | \$1,269,390.11 | \$55,099,381.72 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cape May, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MIBCELLANEOUB REVENUES FOR THE SUPPOAT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S.ection CLecal taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Tetal Tax Levy en Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + $B$ (a), (b), (c) + Cla, b, $\epsilon$ $+\mathrm{CII})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | riated | (b) <br> Miscoilaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Recoipts from Dolinquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b)RegionalConsilidatedandJoint 8 schoolBudgets | (c) <br> As Required by Local Municipal Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Avalon Borough <br> 2. Cape May City <br> 3. Cape May Point Boro <br> 4. Dennis Township <br> 5. Lower Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,787,681.69 \\ \ldots . . . . . . \\ 4,840,400.27 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,238,000.00 \\ 3,14,67.16 \\ 383,50.16 \\ 575,11.84 \\ 5,348,577.43 \end{array}$ | $\$ 12,641,281.91$ $10,779,015.01$ $1,027,070.55$ $4,572,060.64$ $22,151,598.32$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,362,500 \\ 169,166,700 \\ 9,215,700 \\ 30,002,900 \\ 46,941,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,000.00 \\ 543,000.00 \\ 79,500.00 \\ 800,000.00 \\ 1,092,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  <br> $\$ 2,576,000.00$ <br> $2,82,880.84$ <br> $106,673.92$ <br> $1,16,801.52$ <br> $3,149,217.61$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500,000 \\ 775,000 \\ 45,000 \\ 525,000 \\ 2,267,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  <br> $\$ 3,206,000.00$ <br> $4,138,880.84$ <br> $231,173.92$ <br> $2,431,801.52$ <br> $6,508,217.61$ |
| 6. Middle Township <br> 7. North Wildwood City <br> 8. Ocean City <br> 9. Sea Isle City <br> 10. Stone Harbor Borough |  | \$ 107,600 | $1,696,680.00$ $7,113,849.70$ $18,549,730.52$ $5,884,588.87$ $3,530,431.39$ | $12,720,198.67$ $13,926,139.70$ $45,229,864.98$ $12,033,397.25$ $8,498,827.02$ | $96,330,600$ $23,623,700$ $115,853,910$ $29,620,700$ $168,900,900$ | $1,452,658.00$ $763,770.25$ $1,920,000.00$ $1,075,000.00$ $1,018,000.00$ | $5,100,823.00$ $4,224,645.98$ $6,544,167.42$ $1,444,232.53$ $1,485,259.73$ | $1,375,000$ $1,500,000$ $1,521,630$ 350,000 300,000 | $7,928,481.00$ $6,488,416.23$ $9,985,797.42$ $2,869,232.53$ $2,803,259.73$ |
| 11. Upper Township <br> 12. West Cape May Boro <br> 13. West Wildwood Boro <br> 14. Wildwood City <br> 15. Wildwood Crest Boro | $381,542.98$ $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. ..... | 21,725 | $79,829.69$ $431,969.00$ $7,85,338.72$ $4,966,850.19$ | $7,349,684.03$ $1,325,295.80$ $921,266.91$ $15,115,956.08$ $11,747,147.23$ | $43,953,000$ $5,119,100$ 854,800 $52,286,260$ $52,385,300$ | $2,861,529.51$ $351,027.00$ $100,000.00$ $185,000.00$ $1,093,886.17$ | $1,834,865.44$ <br> $407,037.48$ <br> $87,000.00$ <br> $5,271,264.12$ <br> $4,190,699.61$ | 400,000 <br> 155,000 <br> 77,000 <br> $2,206,000$ <br> 600,000 | $8,096,394.95$ <br> $913,064.48$ <br> $264,000.00$ <br> $7,662,264.12$ <br> $5,884,585.78$ |
| 16. Woodbine Borough | ............ | ............ | 253,493.84 | 1,057,490.25 | 31,487,600 | 280,000.00 | 434,195.08 | 190,000 | 904,195.08 |
| Totals ......................... | \$8,009,624.94 | \$148,020 | \$65,086,454.95 | \$181,096,294.35 | \$934,104,970 | \$13,745,370.93 | \$43,783,764.28 | \$12,786,630 | \$70,315,765.21 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Imprevements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptiens \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L.1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Bridgeton City <br> 2. Commercial township <br> 3. Deerfield Township <br> 4. Downe Township <br> 5. Fairfield Township | $\$ 23,259,900$ $27,193,800$ $21,492,700$ $38,164,300$ $22,649,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 143,295,350 \\ 76,823,525 \\ 58,414,900 \\ 35,779,400 \\ 70,576,100 \end{array}$ | $\$ 166,555,250$ $104,017,325$ $79,907,600$ $73,943,700$ $93,226,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,264,740 \\ 13,500 \end{array}$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 162,290,510$ $104,003,825$ $79,907,600$ $73,943,700$ $93,226,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,219,437 \\ 3,120,125 \\ 1,115,469 \\ 850,337 \\ 1,130,987 \end{array}$ | $\$ 166,509,947$ $107,123,950$ $81,023,069$ $74,794,037$ $94,356,987$ |
| 6. Greenwich Township <br> 7. Hopewell Township <br> 8. Lawrence Township <br> 9. Maurice River Twp. <br> 10. Millville City | $9,151,200$ $30,41,500$ $35,983,300$ $29,994,473$ $127,700,800$ | $25,088,100$ $102,286,600$ $47,870,700$ $37,491,549$ $542,805,200$ | $34,239,300$ $132,688,100$ $83,854,000$ $67,486,022$ $670,506,000$ | $15,528,600$ | $34,239,300$ $132,688,100$ $83,854,000$ $67,486,022$ $654,977,400$ | 392,548 $1,415,869$ $2,123,922$ $1,057,031$ $7,225,703$ | $34,631,848$ $134,103,969$ $85,977,922$ $68,543,053$ $662,203,103$ |
| 11. Shiloh Borough <br> 12. Stow Creek Township <br> 13. Upper Deerfield Twp. <br> 14. Vineland City | $2,018,566$ $8,226,400$ $35,56,400$ $375,980,600$ | $8,585,300$ $33,224,000$ $141,886,500$ $1,158,171,800$ | $10,603,866$ $41,450,400$ $176,942,900$ $1,534,152,400$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text {.............. } \\ \text {........... } \\ 46,679,100 \end{array}$ | $10,603,866$ $41,450,400$ $176,942,900$ $1,487,473,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122,751 \\ 666,667 \\ 1,426806 \\ 19,782,256 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,726,617 \\ 42,117,067 \\ 178,369,706 \\ 1,507,255,556 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ......................... | \$787,273,839 | \$2,482,299,024 | \$3,269,572,863 | \$66,485,940 | \$3,203,086,923 | \$44,649,908 | \$3,247,736,831 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cumberland, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{7

General
Tax
Rate
to Apply
per $\$ 100$

Valuation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
County <br>
Equalization <br>
Table - <br>
Average <br>
Ratlo of <br>
Assessed <br>
to True <br>
Value of Real <br>
Property <br>
(R.S. 54:3-17 <br>
to R.S. <br>
54:3-19)

} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{

9 <br>
True Value of Class II Rallioad Property (C. 138 , L. 1966)

} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

$$
10
$$ <br>

EQUALIZATION

\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \\
& \\
& \\
& \text { Net Valuation } \\
& \text { on Which } \\
& \text { County Taxes } \\
& \text { Are } \\
& \text { Aportioned } \\
& \text { (Cols. } 6+9 \\
& -10(\mathrm{a}) \\
& +10(\mathrm{~b}) \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| $12$ |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Deducted Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Section A County Taxes} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total County Taxes Apportioned (Including Adjustments)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments "I Resulting From} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{County Equallzation Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37)} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Add
Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Bridgeton City |
| :--- |
| 2. Commercial Township |
| 3. Deerfield Township |
| 4. Downe Township |
| 5. Fairfield Township | \& | 6.06 |
| :--- |
| 2.63 |
| 2.14 |
| 1.59 |
| 2.11 | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
52.10 \\
92.68 \\
94.67 \\
121.14 \\
94.27 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& \$ 11,734,053 \& | $\$ 164,822,001$ |
| ---: |
| $9,320,639$ |
| $5,600,478$ |
| $6,343,650$ | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 331,331,948 \\
116,444,589 \\
86,623,547 \\
63,059,984 \\
100,700,637 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | $\$ 3,006,702.23$ |
| ---: |
| $1,056,687.13$ |
| $786,073.35$ |
| $572,243.63$ |
| $913,817.19$ | \& | ............ |
| :--- |
| …....... |
| .......... |
| ........ | \& …….... <br>


\hline | 6. Greenwich Township |
| :--- |
| 7. Hopewell Township |
| 8. Lawrence Township |
| 9. Maurice River Twp |
| 10. Millville City | \& 2.65

2.13
2.13
3.75
3.26 \& 92.68
96.91
104.82
61.08
86.38 \& ............
…........
…......
…..... \& 2,943,533 \& $3,376,860$
$5,720,257$
$44,921,623$
$118,045,275$ \& $38,008,708$
$139,824,226$
$83,034,389$
$113,464,676$
$780,248,378$ \& $344,913.52$
$1,268,847.80$
$753,503.20$
$1,029,645.64$
$7,080,435.66$ \& ............
…......
.........
..........
..... \&  <br>

\hline | 11. Shiloh Borough |
| :--- |
| 12. Stow Creek Township |
| 13. Upper Deerfield Twp. |
| 14. Vineland City | \& | 2.99 |
| :--- |
| 2.19 |
| 2.76 |
| 2.65 | \& 78.79

88.74
67.21

94.89 \&  \& ............... \& | $3,010,162$ |
| ---: |
| $6,149,108$ |
| $91,367,751$ |
| $110,029,683$ | \& $13,736,779$

$48,266,175$
$269,737,457$

$1,617,285,239$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
124,655.67 \\
437,995.84 \\
2,447,757.36 \\
14,676,203.63 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | …........... |
| :--- |
| .......... |
| ........ | \& | ….......... |
| :--- |
| …....... |
| ......... | <br>

\hline Totals ........................ \& ........... \& ........... \& .......... \& \$14,677,586 \& \$568,707,487 \& \$3,801,766,732 \& \$34,499,481.85 \& .......... \& ............ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cumberland, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C <br> Local Taxes <br> Raised tor <br> Raised <br> DISTIICT <br> SCHOL <br> PURPOSES |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADDUSTMENTS } \\ \text { RESULTING FROM } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \text { Not } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Coxes } \\ \text { Apportioned } \end{gathered}$ |  | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLoess MunicipalBudgetStato Aid(Col. Alli-AlV) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (a) } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Library } \\ \text { Taxes } \end{gathered}$ | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30 L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-4 | orrected Errors R.S. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Add } \\ & \text { Underpayment } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
|  | $\$ 9,983.09$ 10.052 .20 2.166 .15 186.48 750.07 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$ } 2,996,719.14 \\ 1,0466634.93 \\ 783,907.20 \\ 572,057.15 \\ 913,067.12 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  <br> $\$ 179.007 .37$ <br> 62.91 .1 .05 <br> 46.799 .75 <br> 34.069 .16 <br> 54.405 .13 |  | \$ 3,647,019.00 1,045,613.00 $545,896.00$ 569.026 .00 551,090.00 |
|  | 419.68 803.25 $1,41.69$ 260.37 .72 $80,631.83$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $344,493.84$ $1,268,044.55$ 752.091 .51 $1,003,27.92$ $6,999803.83$ |  | $344,493.84$ $1,268,044.55$ 752.091 .51 $1,03,272.92$ $6,999,803.83$ |  | $20,534.81$ <br> $75,542.27$ 44,860.66 $64,301.10$$421,541.64$ |  | $345,851.17$ $961,162.00$ 796.96 .50 $1,275,107.00$ $6,492,042.00$ |
|  | $264,724.38$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 124,655.67 \\ 437.995 .84 \\ 2.447,757.36 \\ 14.411 .479 .25 \end{array}$ | \$296,129.00 | $124,655.67$ $437,995.84$ $2,151,628.36$ $14,411,479.25$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,421.52 \\ 26,076.57 \\ 145,729.97 \end{array}$ | $\cdots(\underset{\sim}{\cdots} .$ |  |
| Totals | \$397,501.54 |  | \$34,101,980.31 | \$296,129.00 | \$33.805,851.31 |  | \$1.180,201.00 |  | \$34,396,416.99 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Cumberland, for the Year 1991 (Continued)


[^25]Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues
.907459196
$\$ 34.101980 .31$

$\$ 34,499,481.85$
Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Essex, for the Year 1991

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Essex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | Ge |  |  | 10 <br> EQUALIZATION |  | Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Apportioned (Cols. $6+$ <br> $+10(\mathrm{~b})$ <br> 10(b)) | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounte Deducted Under <br> A.S. $64: 3-17$ <br> to <br> R.S. 64:3-10 |  |  | seotion A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustments " Rosulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | County (a)TableTaualizationApooals (f.8.G4:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D̄eduet } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9.49 \\ 14.09 \\ 9.59 \\ 9.73 \\ 15.92 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 28.07 17.69 22.45 28.18 26.09 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 77,399 \\ \ldots, \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ 430,382 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,224,857,075 \\ 2,063,918,745 \\ 375,575,960 \\ 742,979,151 \\ 1,066,964,796 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | N | $N$ |
| 6. Essex Fells Township ...... 7. Fairfield Township 8. Gown Ridge Twp. ........... 9. Ivien Rton Township 10. Livingston Township .......... | $\begin{array}{r}7.41 \\ 1.62 \\ \text { 1.94 } \\ 14.54 \\ 7.44 \\ \\ \hline 6.8\end{array}$ | 17.89 97.92 90.07 20.94 27.12 |  |  | $322,404,600$ $66,697,932$ $362,476,294$ $1,068,171,741$ $2,386,696,845$ |  |  | o | o |
| 11. Maplewood Township ..... 12. Millour Townshi 13. Motclair Township.......... 14. Nowark City 15. North Caldweil Twp. ....... | $\begin{array}{r}6.82 \\ 3.16 \\ 3.41 \\ \text { 1.46 } \\ \text { 19,96 } \\ 4.32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 36.41 48.59 100.66 15.75 41.27 | 27,325 102,078 901,229 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 977,489,372 \\ 1,791,744,131 \\ 5,923,244,047 \\ 440,677,308 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 8,979,926.12 20,316,744.64 40,693,165.13 4,395,076.73 | $N$ | N |
| 16. Nutley Township............ 17. Orang CClity Township .... 18. Roseland Borough 19. Sorange Vige Twp...... 20. Verona Township .......... | $\begin{array}{r}8.97 \\ \hline 8.37 \\ 19.37 \\ 6.24 \\ 2.77 \\ 4.41 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 26.44 18.02 26.54 95.15 46.70 | 227,270 72,540 |  | $1,407,478,637$ <br> $57,38,1212$ <br> $751,777,941$ <br> $61,756.674$ <br> $616,744,317$ |  |  | E | E |
| 21. West Caldwell Twp <br> 22. West Orange Twp. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \\ & 5.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102.38 \\ 49.66 \end{gathered}$ | ........... | 15,704,407 | 1,504,886,778 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,166,310,493 \\ & 2,967,999,578 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,838,261.00 \\ 17,401,846.15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Totals ....................... |  | $\ldots$ | \$1,808,223 | \$19,094,251 | \$23,697,927,556 | \$40,174,900,428 | \$235,551,730.49 |  |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Essex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICT8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSMENSRESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Net County Taxes pportioned | IVMunicipalBudgetState Aid(R.S. 52.2 ind118.40 ) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunieipalBuagetState Aid(Col. Alli-AlV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c)CountyOpen SpacePreservationTrust FundTax (C. 30,L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (A.8. 54:4-4 | orrected Errors <br> R.8. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES <br> (a) |
|  | Deduet Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Belleville Twp. <br> 2. Bloomfield Twp <br> 3. Caldwell Borough Twp. <br> 4. Cedar Grove Twp. <br> 5. East Orange City | $\$ 140,726.19$ <br> $194,072.66$ <br> $24,617.20$ <br> $37,089.84$ <br> $124,158.32$ |  | $\$ 9,780,668.54$ <br> $14,470,331.76$ <br> $2,815,736.59$ <br> $6,008,270.46$ <br> $8,320,990.94$ |  | $\$ 9,780,668.54$ <br> $14,470,331.76$ <br> $2,815,736.59$ <br> $6,008,270.46$ <br> $8,320,990.94$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \$ 19,543,269.00 \\ 27,778,5688.50 \\ 8,976,827.14 \\ 16,233,375.91 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 6. Essex Fells Township ..... 7. Fairifield Township .......... 8. Glon Ridge Twp. 9. IVvington Township 10. Li........ Livingston Township ....... | $1,832.91$ $55,809.29$ $17,494.33$ $96,541.14$ $40,986.72$ |  | $2,300,295.64$ $10,014,274.26$ $3,527,198.89$ $7,913,560.92$ $19,142,031.67$ |  | $2,300,295.64$ $10,014,274.26$ $3,527,198.89$ $7,913,560.92$ $19,142,031.67$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,026,771.00$ <br> $4,942,684.00$ <br> $8,855,897.88$ <br> $12,584,304.00$ <br> $38,161,477.00$ |
| 11. Maplewood Township <br> 12. Millburn Township <br> 13. Montclair Township <br> 14. Newark City <br> 15. North Caldwell Twp. | $\begin{array}{r} 7,887.16 \\ 25,582.80 \\ 379,257.88 \\ 3,498,153.78 \\ 20,778.54 \end{array}$ |  | $8,972,038.96$ $20,291,161.84$ $16,976,793.24$ $37,195,011.35$ $4,374,298.19$ |  | $8,972,038.96$ $20,2911,161.84$ $16,976,793.24$ $37,195,011.35$ $4,374,298.19$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,304,041.57 \\ 34,279,928.00 \\ 83,890,2855.50 \\ 3,829,965.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 16. Nutley Township <br> 17. Orange City Township <br> 18. Roseland Borough <br> 19. S. Orange VIge. Twp. <br> 20. Verona Township | $45,053.60$ $24,882.46$ $13,701.90$ $54,605.66$ $24,134.14$ |  | $11,135,409.22$ $4,009,209.54$ $5,759,909.84$ $6,755,156.43$ $6,721,908.94$ |  | $11,135,409.22$ $4,009,209.54$ $5,759,909.84$ $6,7551,156.43$ $6,721,908.94$ | E | E | E | $20,757,099.87$ <br> $8,643,948.00$ <br> $2,109,528.00$ <br> $11,843,234.00$ |
| 21. West Caldwell Twp. <br> 22. West Orange Twp. | $\begin{array}{r} 95,561.12 \\ 130,249.32 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,742,699.88 \\ 17,271,596.83 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,742,699.88 \\ 17,271,596.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 33,031,051.50 |
| Totals ........................ | \$5,053,176.96 | .... | \$230,498,553.53 | ........... | \$230,498,553.53 |  |  |  | \$355,792,205.87 |

Abstract of Ratabies and Exemptions in the County of Essex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | amount of miscellaneous revenues for the SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + (a) (b), (c) $+\mathrm{Cla}_{\mathrm{c}}$ b, c + CII) | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | Surplus Revenue Appropriated | (b) <br> Miscollaneous Revenues Antlolpated | (c) <br> Recolpte from Dallnquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | SChOOL ' PURPOSES |  | II$\substack{\text { Local } \\ \text { Punicipal } \\ \text { Purposes }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Belleville Twp. <br> 2. Bloomfield Twp <br> 3. Caldwell Borough Twp. <br> 4. Cedar Grove Twp. <br> 5. East Orange City | C\$ 4,935,997.52 | \$ 488,620.00 |  <br> $\$ 15,011,396.40$ <br> $19,329,506.80$ <br> $2,67,969.00$ <br> $1,498,4470.15$ <br> $34,303,877.91$ | $\$ 44,335,333.94$ <br> $61,578,407.06$ <br> $10,430,703.11$ <br> $16,483,567.75$ <br> $59,346,864.76$ | $\$ 67,493,700$ <br> $73,316,300$ <br> $52,265,300$ <br> $78,281,900$ <br> $202,542,400$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,250,000.00 \\ 575,000.00 \\ 247,150.00 \\ 1,782,733.00 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \end{array}$ |  <br> $\$ 7,942,543.91$ <br> $9,845,493.20$ <br> $2,420,133.00$ <br> $2,297.526 .34$ <br> $4,810,691.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,400,000.00 \\ 650,000.00 \\ 199,272.00 \\ 525,000.00 \\ 2,600,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 11,592,543.91$ <br> $11,070,493.20$ <br> $2,866555.00$ <br> $4,605,259.34$ <br> $7,410,691.00$ |
| 6. Essex Fells Township <br> 7. Fairfield Township <br> 8. Glen Ridge Twp. <br> 9. Irvington Township <br> 10. Livingston Township | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline A \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{aligned} & 1,048,425.26 \\ & 6,965,787.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots . .$. | 827.472 .00 $4,685,359.00$ $4,397,269.85$ $22,808.039 .36$ $8,481,496.36$ | $5,202,963.90$ $26,608,104.40$ $16,780,366.62$ $43,305,903.88$ $65,785,005.03$ | $10,683,600$ $92,137,400$ $62,646,600$ $85,214,400$ $124,808,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 665,811.00 \\ 300,000.00 \\ 805,000.00 \\ 5,120,000.00 \end{array}$ | $794,418.00$ $2,660,878.00$ $1,204,952.92$ $2,977,781.73$ $7,459,236.88$ | $71,582.00$ $860,000.00$ $218,655.00$ $1,000,000.00$ $1,500,000.00$ | $1,531,811.00$ $3,820,878.00$ $2,228,607.92$ $3,977,781.73$ $14,079,236.88$ |
| 11. Maplewood Township <br> 12. Millburn Township <br> 13. Montclair Township <br> 14. Newark City <br> 15. North Caldwell Twp |  | 1,988,937.53 | $10,134,203.74$ $13,279,187.00$ $17,978,636.59$ $81,704,578.50$ $2,248,518.82$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,752,624.88 \\ 52,874,390.41 \\ 71,224,295.36 \\ 202,789,825.35 \\ 13,328,475.39 \end{array}$ | $96,328,700$ $152,900,300$ $438,209,000$ $2,420,646,780$ $57,870,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 828,842.00 \\ 8,70,000.00 \\ 2,771,722.88 \\ 950,948.45 \end{array}$ | $4,440,523.60$ <br> $5,731,873.00$ <br> $10,885,772.63$ <br> $295,739,323.14$ <br> $1,211,368.30$ | $587,394.00$ $500,000.00$ $2,113,564.65$ $17,764,200.00$ $173,500.00$ | $5,856,759.60$ <br> $7,071,873.00$ <br> $15,771,060.16$ <br> $313,503,523.14$ <br> $2,335,816.75$ |
| 16. Nutley Township <br> 17. Orange City Township <br> 18. Roseland Borough <br> 19. S. Orange Vige. Twp. <br> 20. Verona Township | $\begin{array}{\|rr} \hline \text { R } & 4,050,345.03 \\ C & 14.047,138.32 \end{array}$ | 140,971.80 | $12,883,132.55$ $10,521,355.09$ $4,220,523.18$ $9,604,829.65$ $4,962,109.23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44,775,641.64 \\ & 23,31,484.43 \\ & 16,140,306.05 \\ & 30,407,124.40 \\ & 23,527,252.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,496,800 \\ 83,080,200 \\ 17,901,900 \\ 185,860,600 \\ 61,515,900 \end{array}$ | $1,500,000.00$ $1, \ldots \ldots \ldots$. $1,00000.00$ $1,225,000000$ 1,00 | $6,805,417.21$ $2,210,898.15$ $1,509,224.74$ $3,688,253.00$ $2,350,824.23$ | $425,000.00$ $1,063,572.12$ $119,000.00$ $465,000.00$ $410,000.00$ | $8,730,417.21$ $3,274,470.27$ $1,728,224.74$ $6,123,253.00$ $3,985,824.23$ |
| 21. West Caldwell Twp <br> 22. West Orange Twp. | C 11,563,150.48 | .............. | $\begin{array}{r} 5,904,210.00 \\ 23,105,580.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,210,060.36 \\ & 73,408,228.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,745,500 \\ 173,737,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,378,000.00 \\ & 1,486,815.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,887,739.56 \\ & 9,181,673.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300,000.00 \\ 1,300,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,565,739.56 \\ 11,968,488.00 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$64,132,919.31 | \$2,618,529.33 | \$310,568,721.18 | \$963,610,929.22 | \$4,660,683,480 | \$24,797,022.33 | \$389,056,545.54 | \$34,245,739.77 | \$448,099,307.64 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Gloucester, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1Taxable Value |  | 2 | $3$ | 4 | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of elephone Tolegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 136, L. 1966) |  |
| 1. Clayton Borough <br> 2. Deptford Township <br> 3. East Greenwich Twp. <br> 4. Elk Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,876,000 \\ 164,002,000 \\ 29,948,100 \\ 17,058,400 \\ 94,537,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 81,209,625 \\ 382,765,700 \\ 108,040,000 \\ 57,314,400 \\ 251,659,600 \end{array}$ | $\$ 108,085,625$ $546,767,900$ $137,988,100$ $74,372,800$ $346,197,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 327,025 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \\ \\ 60,100 \end{array}$ | $\$ 107,758,600$ $546,767,900$ $137,988,100$ $74,372,800$ $346,137,200$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,179,460 \\ 2,705,609 \\ 648,075 \\ 645,064 \\ 3,431,362 \end{array}$ | $\$ 108,938,060$ $549,473,509$ $138,636,175$ $75,017,864$ $349,568,562$ |
| 6. Glassboro Borough <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Harrison Township <br> 9. Logan Township <br> 10. Mantua Township | $\begin{array}{r} 181,435,500 \\ 53,707,200 \\ 37,770,600 \\ 81,997,300 \\ 75,050,900 \end{array}$ | $344,397,700$ $267,103,700$ $109,365,800$ $243,337,800$ $201,012,500$ | $525,833,200$ $320,810,900$ $147,136,400$ $325,335,100$ $276,063,400$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,725,900 \\ 22,100 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \ldots \ldots 9,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 524,107,300 \\ & 320,788,800 \\ & 147,136,400 \\ & 325,335,100 \\ & 276,003,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,502,550 \\ 336,218 \\ 2,156,056 \\ 2,163,672 \\ 3,745,930 \end{array}$ | $538,609,850$ $321,125,018$ $149,292,456$ $327,498,772$ $279,749,530$ |
| 11. Monroe Township <br> 12. National Park Boro <br> 13. Newfield Borough <br> 14. Paulsboro Borough <br> 15. Pitman Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ R | $129,772,600$ $9,541,300$ $12,283,500$ $39,195,800$ $106,495,700$ | $390,182,600$ $41,21,300$ $43,584,700$ $148,040,300$ $257,110,900$ | $519,955,200$ $50,760,600$ $55,868,200$ $187,236,100$ $363,606,600$ |  | $519,955,200$ $50,760,600$ $55,868,200$ $187,236,100$ $363,123,000$ | $7,301,207$ 137,175 333,915 $3,448,461$ $1,042,100$ | $527,256,407$ $50,897,775$ $56,202,115$ $190,684,561$ $364,165,100$ |
| 16. South Harrison Twp. <br> 17. Swedesboro Borough <br> 18. Washington Township <br> 19. Wenonah Borough <br> 20. West Deptford Twp. $\qquad$ | $13,560,100$ $3,764,000$ $616,124,900$ $47,882,800$ $141,337,800$ | $42,126,345$ $2,621,700$ $1,212,228,900$ $78,743,800$ $500,290,400$ | $55,686,445$ $26,38,700$ $1,828,353,800$ $126,626,600$ $641,628,200$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,800 \\ 14,860,700 \end{array}$ | $55,686,445$ $26,385,700$ $1,828,294,000$ $126,626,600$ $626,767,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 422,521 \\ 1,536,328 \\ 10,070,376 \\ 450,884 \\ 2,396,942 \end{array}$ | $56,108,966$ $27,922,028$ $1,838,364,376$ $127,077,484$ $629,164,442$ |
| 21. Westville Borough <br> 22. Woodbury City <br> 23. Woodbury Hts. Boro <br> 24. Woolwich Township | $\begin{aligned} & 24,238,500 \\ & 60,032,900 \\ & 29,745,500 \\ & 38,448,000 \end{aligned}$ | $80,123,700$ $1555,56,100$ $96,532,000$ $60,870,600$ | $104,362,200$ 215.629 .000 $126,277.500$ $99,318,600$ | $\begin{gathered} 594,600 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{gathered}$ | $104,362,200$ $215,034,400$ $126,277,500$ $99,318,600$ |  | $104,936,445$ $221,361.585$ $127,217,000$ $100,953,269$ |
| Totals .......................... | \$2,034,807,300 | \$5,175,478,170 | \$7,210,285,470 | \$18,193,625 | \$7,192,091,845 | \$68,129,504 | \$7,260,221,349 |

R-REVALUED DISTRICTS
TAXING DISTRICTS

1. Clayton Borough ......
2. Deptford Township
3. East Greenwich Twp.
4. Elk Township ..........
5. Franklin Township ...
6. Glassboro Borough
7. Greenwich Township
8. Harrison Township
9. Logan Township
10. Mantua Township
11. Monroe Township
12. Monroe Township
13. National Park Boro
14. Newfield Borough
15. Newfield Borough
16. Paulsboro Borough
17. Pitman Borough
18. South Harrison Two.
19. South Harrison Twp. 18. Washington Townshi
20. Wenonah Borough
21. West Deptford Twp.
22. Westville Borough
23. Woodbury City ........
24. Woodbury Hts. Boro
25. Woolwich Township

Totals
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Soction C <br> Local Taxes <br> to Be <br> Raised for <br> I <br> DISTRICT <br> SCHOOL <br> PURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Net County Taxes Apportioned | IVMuniclpalBudgetState Aid(R.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}-$118.40 ) |  | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26 : 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and Corrected Errors (R.S. 54:4-49; R.S. $54: 4-53$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES <br> (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required by District School Budget |
| 1. Clayton Borough <br> 2. Deptford Township <br> 3. East Greenwich Twp <br> 4. Elk Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,740.24 \\ 31,668.13 \\ 2,930.30 \\ 3,249.63 \\ 4,054.69 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 1,017,505.21$ $6,173,227.89$ $1,516,326.77$ $714,643.38$ $3,014,224.54$ |  | $\$ 1,017,505.21$ $6,173,227.89$ $1,516,326.77$ $714,643.38$ $3,014,224.54$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40,493.89 \\ 60,362.68 \\ 28,445.52 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,849,609.25 \\ 11,429,048.38 \\ 1,753,383.50 \\ 725,460.00 \\ 2,609,277.23 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Glassboro Borough <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Harrison Township <br> 9. Logan Township <br> 10. Mantua Township $\square$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,541.32 \\ 30,644.95 \\ 3,947.28 \\ 11,389.49 \\ 15,083.80 \end{array}$ |  | $2,989,090.03$ $3,738,650.59$ $1,486,933.94$ $2,279,394.55$ $2,583,424.08$ |  | $2,989,090.03$ $3,738,650.59$ $1,486,933.94$ $2,279,394.55$ $2,583,424.08$ | $118,987.34$ $149,057.46$ $59,177.67$ $90,648.00$ $102,772.22$ |  |  | $5,056,557.50$ $4,493,307.50$ $1,255,772.87$ $4,070,876.00$ $2,854,325.50$ |
| 11. Monroe Township ............ 12. National Park Boro ........ 13. Newtield Borough 14. Paulsboro Borough ......... 15. Pitman Borough ............ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,705.70 \\ 5,301.00 \\ 527.04 \\ 1,123.14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $5,211,049.99$ <br> $476,241.16$ <br> $349,492.37$ <br> $1,333,947.83$ <br> $2,091,620.17$ |  | $5,211,049.99$ <br> $476,241.16$ <br> $349,492.37$ <br> $1,333,947.83$ <br> $2,091,620.17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,954.94 \\ & 13,864.68 \\ & 83,248.29 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $7,169,437.87$ <br> $488,638.33$ <br> 647,208600 <br> $2,383,236.98$ <br> $3,445,833.75$ |
| 16. South Harrison Twp. <br> 17. Swedesboro Borough <br> 18. Washington Township <br> 19. Wenonah Borough <br> 20. West Deptford Twp. | $\begin{array}{r} 113.40 \\ 161,259.35 \\ 219.62 \\ 7,836.97 \end{array}$ |  | $514,118.03$ $386,654.83$ $10,965,459.83$ $683,004.00$ $6,423,724.59$ | $\$ 32,775.12$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $481,342.91$ $38,354.83$ $10,965,459.83$ $683,004.00$ $6,423,724.59$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,462.48 \\ 15,389.61 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \\ \ldots \ldots . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 701,152.00 \\ 437,481.12 \\ 20,361,626.77 \\ 609,585.00 \\ 11,029,929.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 21. Westville Borough <br> 22. Woodbury City <br> 23. Woodbury Hts. Boro <br> 24. Woolwich Township | $\begin{array}{r} 13,798.79 \\ 457.47 \\ 10,938.87 \end{array}$ |  | $901,168.51$ $2,310,890.45$ $893,610.44$ $608,138.70$ |  | $901,168.51$ $2,310,890.45$ $893,610.44$ $608,138.70$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,565.04 \\ & 24,256.18 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 753,720.00 \\ 3.828,929.97 \\ \times \quad 70,033.50 \\ \times \quad 706,499.96 \end{array}$ |
| Totals .......................... | \$327,531.18 | ........... | \$58,662,541.88 | \$32,775.12 | \$58,629,766.76 | \$861,686.00 | ........... | ............ | \$89,431,200.48 |


| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section | Total <br> Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation |  |  |  |  |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (c) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Which Tax } \\ & \text { Rate is } \\ & \text { Computed } \\ & \text { (Cols. AV }+ \\ & \text { B(a), (b), (c) } \\ & + \text { Cla, }^{2}, \mathrm{c} \\ & + \text { CII) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Surplus Revenue Approprlated | Miscellaneous Revenues Anticlpated | Receipts from Delinquent Taxes and Liens | Total of Miscellaneous Revenues$(a+b+c)$ |
|  | Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets | As Required by Local Municipal Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Clayton Borough <br> 2. Deptford Township <br> 3. East Greenwich Twp. <br> 4. Elk Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | (K) \$ 1,119,055.31 <br> (S) $767,327.21$ <br> (S) $3,131,330.97$ |  | $\$ 1,137,312.77$ $4,712,077.26$ $309,881.30$ $718,499.25$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,044,921.12 \\ 22,314,353.53 \\ 4,759,009.56 \\ 2,954,375.36 \\ 11,163,333.56 \end{array}$ | $\$ 21,148,100$ $66,805,600$ $9,711,600$ $3,972,600$ $40,881,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 350,000.00 \\ 1,108,000.00 \\ 151,616.00 \\ 210,650.00 \\ 538,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  <br> $\$ 1,052,133.12$ <br> $4,422,806.39$ <br> $1,743,357.48$ <br> $563,478.16$ <br> $2,794,224.42$ | $\$ 375,000.00$ <br> $1,358,000.00$ <br> $150,000.00$ <br> $301,800.00$ <br> $1,300,000.00$ |  <br> $\$ 1,777,133.12$ <br> $6,888,806.39$ <br> $2,044,973.48$ <br> $1,075,928.16$ <br> $4,632,224.42$ |
| 6. Glassboro Borough |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,261,471.06 \\ 2,935,167.00 \\ 522,091.30 \end{array}$ | $12,426,105.93$$11,316,182.55$$4,831,719.20$$6,440,918.55$$10,468,601.38$ | $187,775,300$$15,205,200$$14,943,900$$8,128,200$$16,297,700$ | $544,996.17$$245,000.00$$535,000.00$$1,360,271.00$$875,000.00$ | $3,494,236.70$$1,823,160.00$$852,632.67$$2,155,422.00$$1,715,427.00$ | $889,000.00$$78,000.00$$277,000.00$$280,000.00$$490,000.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,928,232.87 \\ & 2,146,160.00 \\ & 1,664,632.67 \\ & 3,795,693.00 \\ & 3,080,427.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Greenwich Township ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Harrison Township .......... | (C) 1,507,743.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Logan Township ............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Mantua Township ........... | (C) 2,757,243.58 |  | 2,170,836.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Monroe Township | (G) 445,124.06 |  | $4,436,312.41$$403,000.00$$375,938.71$$1,906,753.78$$1,801,443.20$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,816,800.27 \\ 1,831,958.49 \\ 1,386,503.76 \\ 5,623,938.59 \\ 7,422,145.41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $31,331,600$$11,695,000$$4,253,400$$20,724,900$$59,181,800$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1,400,000.00 \\ 200,101.81 \\ 200,000.00 \\ 340,000.00 \\ 200,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $6,620,191.60$$696,387.35$$216,377.39$$1,121,461.06$$1,523,114.67$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,100,000.00 \\ 157,500.00 \\ 45,000.00 \\ 399,454.00 \\ 240,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $9,120,191.60$$1,053,989.16$$461,377.39$$1,860,915.06$$1,963,114.67$ |
| 12. National Park Boro ......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Newfield Borough ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Paulsboro Borough ......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Pitman Borough .............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. South Harrison Twp. ....... | (K) 400,240.64 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 207,215.34 \\ 4,222,378.09 \\ 460,584.75 \\ 3,673,691.90 \end{array}$ | $1,603,198.03$$1,351,577.89$$35,549,464.69$$2,402,606.08$$21,127,345.99$ | $2,221,500$$2,908,700$$109,359,100$$11,672,100$$47,665,400$ | $404,500.00$$92,677.09$$1,701,766.72$$176,175.68$ | $539,936.16$ <br> $436,244.27$ <br> $5,517,883.00$ <br> $363,179.42$ <br> $6,484,522.17$ | $150,000.00$$220,000.00$$1,606,265.00$$22,691.00$$441,414.60$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,094,436.16 \\ 748,921.36 \\ 8,825,914.72 \\ 562,046.10 \\ 6,925,936.77 \end{array}$ |
| 17. Swedesboro Borough ..... | (K) 304,836.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Washington Township ..... | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19. Wenonah Borough .......... | (G) 649,432.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20. West Deptford Twp. ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. Westville Borough ........... | (G) 825,814.23 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,042,222.46 \\ 3,126,585.25 \\ 744,392.00 \\ 493,000.00 \end{array}$ | $3,522,925.20$ <br> $9,266,405.67$ <br> $3,442,481.02$ <br> $2,268,799.89$ | $8,024,600$$78,651,300$$23,979,300$$9,930,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240,000.00 \\ & 187,500.00 \\ & 248,000.00 \\ & 159,606.19 \end{aligned}$ | $1,193,050.05$ <br> $2,356,814.52$ <br> $601,968.88$ <br> $535,955.93$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,000.00 \\ 550,000.00 \\ 90,000.00 \\ 180,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1,558,050.05$ <br> $3,094,314.52$ <br> $939,968.88$ <br> $875,562.12$ |
| 22. Woodbury City ............... | ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23. Woodbury Hts. Boro ....... | (G) 998,610.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24. Woolwich Township ........ | (K) 436,905.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | \$13,343,663.83 |  | \$42,069,354.65 | \$204,335,671.72 | \$806,468,800 | \$11,468,860.66 | \$48,823,964.41 | \$10,826,124.60 | \$71,118,949.67 |
| (C) Clearview Regional High School <br> (G) Gateway Regional High School <br> (K) Kingsway Regional High School <br> (S) Southern Regional High School |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,264,987.00 \\ 2,918,980.66 \\ 2,261,037.99 \\ 3,898,658.18 \\ 13,343,663.83 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County Percentage Level of Taxable Value of Real Property - 100\% Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues |  |  |  | Adjustments (Net Total 12A IIb) .......................................................... $+327,531.18$ <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appropriated) for the support of the County Budget Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Column 11 for apportionment of County Taxes |  |  | \$24,308,904.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total County Taxes Apportioned (Including Adjustments - Total 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . 628192364 | (Including Adju Net Overpaymen are deducted. |  | ments-Total 12A are added to th | Taxes Appor | ned and Net U | 8,990,073.06 derpayments |  |
| Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Column 11 for apportionment of County Library Taxes |  |  | $.025002776$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hudson, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) Land | (b) Improvements (Includes Pantial Exemptions \& Abatements) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& tolephone Telegraph and Messenger Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Valuation } \\ \text { Taxable } \\ \text { (Col. } 4+5) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\$ 1,132,610,100$ $90,982,700$ $40.567,500$ $174,803,550$ $740,463,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,433,142,600 \\ 29.557,000 \\ 199,312,100 \\ 364,941,046 \\ 999,597,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,565,752,700 \\ 39,539,700 \\ 239,879,600 \\ 539,744,559 \\ 1,740,060,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 66,800 \\ 980,000 \\ 9600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,565,752,700 \\ 39,539,700 \\ 239,812,800 \\ 539,7444,596 \\ 1,739,080,200 \end{array}$ | \$ $12,673,108$ <br> 764,205 <br> 3798,843 <br> $2,248,51$ <br> $3,164,885$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 2,578,425,808 \\ 40,303,905 \\ 240,192,643 \\ 541,993,347 \\ 1,742,245,085 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | $1,783,781,120$ 356.309080 $21,898,924$ $944,92,980$ $79,010,431$ | $4,208,467,583$ $726,624,720$ $513,994,166$ $1,685,325,700$ $207,755,938$ | $5,992,248,703$ $1,091,93,800$ $7,75593,83,108$ $2,600.251 .500$ $286,766,369$ |  | $5,956,733,003$ $1,091,933,800$ $7.759,893108$ $2.588,234,100$ $286,466,369$ | $60,429,776$ $7.010,36$ $4.617,252$ $8.908,20$ $4,777,730$ |  |
| 11. Weehawken Township 12. West New York Town | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 492,852,800 \\ & 571,435,600 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 488,480,200 583,416,500 | $\begin{array}{r} 981,333,000 \\ 1,154,852,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 540,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 981,333,000 \\ 1,154,312,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,957,982 \\ & 1,748,666 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 983,290,982 \\ 1,156,060,766 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$6,527,640,723 | \$11,440,614,653 | \$17,968,255,376 | \$39,419,900 | \$17,928,835,476 | \$108,680,865 | \$18,037,516,341 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hudson, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | General Tax Rate to Apply per $\$ 100$ | 8 <br> County Equallzation Table Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property (R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Rallioad Property (C. 130 , L. 1986) | $10$ <br> EQUALIZATION |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \\ & \text { Net Valuation } \\ & \text { on Which } \\ & \text { County Taxes } \\ & \text { Are } \\ & \text { Apportioned } \\ & \text { (Cols. } 6+9 \\ & -10(a) \\ & +10(\mathrm{~b}) \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) | (b) |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Amounts <br> Deducted Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to <br> R.S. 54:3-19 | Amounts Added Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |  | Total County Taxes Apportioned (Including Adjustments) | Adjustments " Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) <br> County Equallzation Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Bayonne City <br> 2. East Newark Borough <br> 3. Guttenburg Town <br> 4. Harrison Town <br> 5. Hoboken City | $\begin{aligned} & 2.699 \\ & 5.533 \\ & 3.773 \\ & 2.524 \\ & 2.256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.840 \\ 43.380 \\ 59.750 \\ 87.300 \\ 109.280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text {............. } \\ \cdots . . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \$ 6,701,903 \end{array}$ | \$66,776,606 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 495,976,767 \\ 59,927,923 \\ 166,646,665 \\ 128,096,999 \end{array}$ | $\$ 3,074,402,575$ $100,231,828$ $406,839,308$ $670,090,346$ $1,682,170,382$ |  <br> $\$ 16,168,777.77$ <br> 527.135 .31 <br> $2,139,633.38$ <br> $3,524,112.94$ <br> $8,846,804.68$ |  |  |
| 6. Jersey City City <br> 7. Kearny Town <br> 8. North Bergen Twp. <br> 9. Secaucus Town <br> 10. Union City City | $\begin{array}{r} 3.679 \\ 3.897 \\ 8.314 \\ 1.698 \\ 15.441 \end{array}$ | 79.230 50.280 29.570 96.860 18.780 | 3,154,454 909,060 377,465 |  | $1,791,343,315$ $1,188,282,451$ $1,777,562,527$ $119,013,232$ $1,275,266,223$ | $7,811,660,548$ $2,288,135,671$ $2,518,072,887$ $2,726,533,104$ $1,566,510,322$ | $41,082,779.61$ $12,033,673.62$ $13,242,950.44$ $14,339,276.26$ $8,238,529.81$ |  |  |
| 11. Weehawken Township <br> 12. West New York Town | $\begin{aligned} & 1.816 \\ & 2.982 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.790 \\ & 93.380 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ..... | ….......... | $\begin{aligned} & 124,030,250 \\ & 115,197,451 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,107,321,232 \\ & 1,271,258,217 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,823,580.51 \\ 6,685,751,49 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| Totals ........................ | ........ | ........... | \$11,142,882 | \$66,776,606 | \$7,241,343,803 | \$25,223,226,420 | \$132,653,005.82 | .... | ........... |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hudson, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DIStricts | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Section $A$ County <br> County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  |  | iv <br> Municipal Budget S.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}-$ R. 118.40) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (a) } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Libray } \\ \text { Taxes } \end{gathered}$ | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Trust Fund Tax (C. 30 , L. 1989) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Appeals and } \\ & \text { (R.S. } 54: 4-48 \end{aligned}$ | orrected Errors R.S. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  |  | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required by District School Budget |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \$ 138,001.33 \\ 729.17 \\ 52,668.09 \\ 291,790.13 \\ 271,990.91 \end{array}$ |  |  <br> $\$ 16,030,776.44$ <br> 5266.40 .14 <br> 2.086 .965 .29 <br> 3.232 .32 .81 <br> $8,574,813.77$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 16,030,776.44 \\ 526,40.14 \\ 2.086 .965 .29 \\ 3.232 .32 .81 \\ 8,574,813.77 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 76.383 .82 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \end{gathered}$ | $39,348.064 .78$ <br> 11.463 .42 .44 <br> $12.982,451.20$ <br> 14.1788 .972 .68 <br> $8,148,820.50$ <br>  |  | $39,348,064.78$ <br> $11,463,42.44$ <br> 12.982 .45 .20 <br> $14,978,47.26$ <br> $8,148,820.50$ | …) |  | $\cdots$ | $76,256.411 .00$ <br> 23.91 .26 .96 <br> 26.020 .750 .00 <br> 11.501 .456 .50 <br> $15,740,237.50$ |
| 11. Weehawken Township 12. West New York Town | $\begin{aligned} & 151,849.71 \\ & 206,229.34 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,671,730.80 \\ & 6,479.522 .15 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.671,730.80 \\ & 6,479,522.15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,457,283.50 \\ 12,504,014.90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$4,005,090.64 | \$76.383.82 | \$128.724,299.00 |  | \$128,724,299.00 | .-.. |  |  | \$233,445,748.00 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hudson, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> amount of miscellaneous revenues for the 8UPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Ralsed for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cole. AV + $B(a)$ (b), (c) $+\mathrm{Cla}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ $+\mathrm{CII})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) |  |  |  |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) | (c) |  |  |  | Surplus Revenue Appropriated | Miscellaneous Revenues Anticlpated | Recelpts from Delinquent Taxes and Liens | Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | Regional Consolidated and <br> Joint School Budgets | As Required by Local Municlpal Budget | Local <br> Municlpal <br> Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Bayonne City ................. | $\ldots$ | \$ 1,478,059.00 | $\$ 24,408,507.99$$906,132.18$$3,275,538.49$$4,000,868.12$$7,295,057.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,588,540.93 \\ 2,229,765.32 \\ 9,060,768.42 \\ 13,678,881.18 \\ 39,297,512.27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,252,227,900$ <br> $2,520,000$ <br> $10,773,600$ <br> $54,826,100$ <br> $861,820,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 500,000.00 \\ 180,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,610,574.07 \\ 781,794.98 \\ 109,729.00 \\ 13,315,741.48 \\ 2,416,774.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 800,000.00$$66,00.00$$265,000.00$$300,00.00$$500,000.00$ | $\$ 3,910,574.07$ <br> $1,021,7949.98$ <br> $374,729.00$ <br> $13,990,741.48$ <br> $2,916,774.00$ |
| 2. East Newark Borough ..... | ............... | 18,042.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Harrison Town .................. | ............... | 517,261.25 |  |  |  | 375,000.00 |  |  |  |
| 5. Hoboken City .................... |  | 452,385.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Jersey City City .............. |  | 3,094,113.00 | 102,642,489.00 | 221,341,077.78 | 2,517,726,599 | ............ | 21,239,860.00 | 7,500,000.00 | 28,739,860.00 |
| 7. Kearny Town ................... | .............. | 346,981.00 | 7,114,809.00 | 42,816,479.40 | 389,300,610 |  | 1,803,128.00 | 429,000.00 | 2,232,128.00 |
| 8. North Bergen Twp. .......... | ............... | 422,920.00 | 22,114,818.00 | 61,562,964.20 | 164,193,500 |  | 1,593,204.00 | 833,000.00 | 2,426,204.00 |
| 9. Secaucus Town ............... |  | 41,950.00 | 18,534,402.38 | 44,256,781.56 | 292,650,100 | 1,300,000.00 | 4,628,163.47 | 735,000.00 | 6,663,163.47 |
| 10. Union City City ................ |  |  | 21,080,467.00 | 44,969,525.00 | 50,169,950 | 1,300,000.00 | 2,525,740.92 | 1,500,000.00 | 4,025,740.92 |
| 11. Weehawken Township .... | ........... | 256,758. 50 | $5,717,917.66$ | $17,846,931.96$ | $42,476,780$ | ......... | $326,400.00$ | $160,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 486,400.00 \\ 2,221,072.98 \end{array}$ |
| 12. West New York Town ..... | ......... | 256,758.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals ......................... | ............ | \$6,628,469.75 | \$232,313,772.68 | \$601,112,289.43 | \$5,870,782,339 | \$2,355,000.00 | \$52,872,182.90 | \$13,782,000.00 | \$69,009,182.90 |

[^26]Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hunterdon, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | 3 | 4 | $5$ <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable <br> Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Alexandria Township <br> 2. Bethlehem Township <br> 3. Bloomsbury Borough <br> 4. Califon Borough <br> 5. Clinton Town | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 126.509,227 \\ 109,047,886 \\ 21,845,850 \\ 29,199,095 \\ 72,554,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 156,587,700$ $147,579,549$ $32,936,800$ $43,354,600$ $89,499,700$ | $\$ 283,096,927$ $256,627,435$ $54,782,650$ $72,553,695$ $162,053,700$ |  | $\$ 283,096,927$ $256,627,435$ $54,782,650$ $72,553,695$ $162,053,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,079,650 \\ 692,384 \\ 150,173 \\ 758,972 \\ 805,308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 284,176,577 \\ 257,319,819 \\ 54,932,823 \\ 73,312,667 \\ 162,859,008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 6. Clinton Township <br> 7. Delaware Township <br> 8. East Amwell Township <br> 9. Flemington Borough <br> 10. Franklin Township | $495,108,275$ $151,094,700$ $124,988,713$ $138,639,200$ $131,848,083$ | $657,401,214$ $189,263,300$ $207,938,717$ $192,889,200$ $153,481,200$ | $1,152,509,489$ $340,358,000$ $332,927,430$ $331,528,400$ $285,329,283$ |  | $1,152,509,489$ $340,358,000$ $332,927,430$ $331,528,400$ $285,329,283$ | $6,814,165$ $1,386,536$ $1,115,149$ $2,970,700$ $1,035,129$ | $1,159,323,654$ $341,744,536$ $334,042,579$ $334,499,100$ $286,364,412$ |
| 11. Frenchtown Borough <br> 12. Glen Gardner Boro <br> 13. Hampton Borough <br> 14. High Bridge Borough <br> 15. Holland Township | $26,516,555$ $31,715,236$ $18,793,891$ $87,200,173$ $157,659,279$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,914,700 \\ 57,203,920 \\ 40,014,800 \\ 116,717,800 \\ 204,706,450 \end{array}$ | $79,431,255$ 88,19156 $58,808,691$ $203,917,973$ $362,365,729$ |  | $79,431,255$ $88,919,156$ $58,808,691$ $203,917,973$ $362,365,729$ | 706,185 259,404 466,665 753,735 $2,509,111$ | $80,137,440$ $89,178,560$ $59,275,356$ $204,671,708$ $364,874,840$ |
| 16. Kingwood Township <br> 17. Lambertville City <br> 18. Lebanon Borough <br> 19. Lebanon Township <br> 20. Milford Borough | $134,906,500$ $120,018,836$ $44,702,607$ $233,414,677$ $25,270,291$ | $141,013,875$ $149,855,300$ $64,963,800$ $225,739,600$ $55,042,900$ | $275,920,375$ $269,874,136$ $109,666,407$ $459,154,277$ $80,313,191$ |  | $275,920,375$ $269,874,136$ $109,666,407$ $459,154,277$ $80,313,191$ | 997,560 $2,474,385$ 777,050 $1,238,618$ 625,737 | $276,917,935$ $272,348,521$ $110,443,457$ $460,392,895$ $80,938,928$ |
| 21. Raritan Township <br> 22. Readington Township <br> 23. Stockton Borough <br> 24. Tewksbury Township <br> 25. Union Township | $540,491,200$ $606,777,437$ $16,076,400$ $328,471,500$ $136,213,755$ | $821,112,800$ $735,362,833$ $24,209,900$ 348974,800 $239,303,200$ | $1,361,604,000$ $1,342,14,270$ $40,286,300$ $678,464,300$ $375,516,955$ |  | $1,361,604,000$ <br> $1,342,140,270$ <br> $40,28,300$ <br> $678,446,300$ <br> $375,516,955$ | $3,733,000$ $4,085,928$ 174,381 $1,656,550$ $1,486,515$ | $1,365,337,000$ $1,346,226,198$ $40,460,681$ $680,102,850$ $377,003,470$ |
| 26. West Amwell Twp. .......... | 100,314,642 | 115,710,095 | 216,024,742 | ............ | 216,024,742 | 552,014 | 216,576,756 |
| Totals ......................... | \$4,009,378,008 | \$5,264,778,758 | \$9,274,156,766 | .... | \$9,274,156,766 | \$39,305,004 | \$9,313,461,770 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{7

General
Tax
Rate
to Apply
par

Paluation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
8 <br>
County <br>
Equallzation <br>
Table - <br>
Average <br>
Ratio of <br>
Assessed <br>
to True <br>
Value of Real <br>
Property <br>
(R.S. 54:3-17 <br>
to R.S. <br>
54:3-19)

} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139 , L. 1986)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

$$
10
$$ <br>

EQUALIZATION
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Net Valuation
on Which
County Taxes
Are
Apportioned
(Cols. $6(9$
$-10+9$

$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| $12$ |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& (a) \& (b) \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Section A County Taxes} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Amounts \& Amounts Added \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{I
Total
County Texes
Apportioned
Including
Jotal Not
Adjualtments)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments Resulting From} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Under } \\
& \text { R.S. } 54: 3-17 \\
& \text { to } \\
& \text { R.S. } 54: 3-19
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | Under |
| :--- |
| R.S. 54:3-17 |
| to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A | \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{County Equalization Table Appeale (R.S. 54:2-37)} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Add Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Alexandria Township |
| :--- |
| 2. Bethlehem Township |
| 3. Bloomsbury Borough |
| 4. Califon Borough |
| 5. Clinton Town | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.600 \\
& 1.690 \\
& 1.600 \\
& 2.110 \\
& 2.050
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 98.83

102.27
104.57
107.99

100.35 \&  \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,990,504 \\
1,661,609 \\
4,709,065
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 146,175 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . \\
1,467,557
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 290,322,752 \\
253,329,315 \\
53,271,214 \\
68,603,602 \\
164,326,565 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $\$ 874,190.03$

$762,99.19$
$160,404.80$
$206,552.11$
$494,803.26$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 6. Clinton Township |
| :--- |
| 7. Delaware Township |
| 8. East Amwell Township |
| 9. Flemington Borough |
| 10. Franklin Township | \& 1.460

1.360
1.540
1.740
1.540 \& 105.23
101.46
109.09
110.16
105.39 \&  \& $51,067,249$
363,139
$24,339,189$
$24,420,677$
$11,542,712$ \&  \& $1,108,256,405$
$341,381,397$
$309,703,390$
$310,078,423$
$274,821,700$ \& $3,337,067.76$
$1,027,932.57$
$932,547.01$
$933,676.27$
$827,514.85$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 11. Frenchtown Borough |
| :--- |
| 12. Glen Gardner Boro |
| 13. Hampton Borough |
| 14. High Bridge Borough |
| 15. Holland Township | \& 1.850

1.920
2.370
2.050

1.100 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
95.74 \\
97.53 \\
93.12 \\
110.40 \\
100.08 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& \% ${ }_{\text {\%............. }}$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5,875,975 \\
& 2,549,572 \\
& 4,670,580 \\
& 4,661,515
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $86,013,415$

$91,728,132$
$63,945,936$
$189,498,716$
$369,536,355$ \& $258,994.75$
$276,202.32$
$192,547.43$
$570,599.05$
$1,112,709.88$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 16. Kingwood Township |
| :--- |
| 17. Lambertville City |
| 18. Lebanon Borough |
| 19. Lebanon Township |
| 20. Milford Borough | \& 1.250

1.840
1.660
1.340
1.780 \& 108.35
96.79
100.41
109.21
100.48 \&  \& $18,045,982$
$\ldots \ldots . . .$.

$35,273,513$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
13,451,150 \\
148,208 \\
9,743,423
\end{array}
$$ \& $258,871,953$

$285,799,671$
$110,591,665$
$425,119,382$

$90,682,351$ \& | $779,488.61$ |
| ---: |
| $860,570.59$ |
| $333,002.25$ |
| $1,280,075.78$ |
| $273,053.37$ | \&  \&  <br>


\hline | 21. Raritan Township |
| :--- |
| 22. Readington Township |
| 23. Stockton Borough |
| 24. Tewksbury Township |
| 25. Union Township $\qquad$ | \& 1.860

1.590
1.830
1.470

1.380 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
101.40 \\
98.73 \\
98.91 \\
95.83 \\
108.50
\end{array}
$$ \&  \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
23,847,016 \\
732,500 \\
15,492,306
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $1,364,035,000$

$1,370,073,214$
$41,191,181$
$715,595,156$
$350,369,341$ \& $4,107,241.97$
$4,125,423.62$
$124,036.67$
$2,154,726.57$
$1,054,996.14$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline 26. West Amwell Twp. ........... \& 1.590 \& 101.19 \& ............ \& 631,270 \& ........ \& 215,945,486 \& 650,233.00 \& ........... \& ............ <br>
\hline Totals ......................... \& ........... \& ........... \& ............ \& \$219,154,030 \& \$108,785,977 \& \$9,203,093,717 \& \$27,711,409.85 \& $\ldots$ \& ........... <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hunterdon, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | "IADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | IIINetCountyTaxesApportioned | IVMunicipalBudgetState Aid(R.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{DD}$118.40 ) | V <br> Not County Taxes Apportioned Less Municipal Buaget State Aid (Col. Alll-AIV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26 : 3A2-19) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (c) } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Open Space } \\ \text { Preservation } \\ \text { Trust Fund } \\ \text { Tax (C. 30, } \\ \text { L. 1988) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49 | orrected Errors A.S. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES <br> (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Alexandria Township <br> 2. Bethlehem Township <br> 3. Bloomsbury Borough <br> 4. Califon Borough <br> 5. Clinton Town $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,277.84 \\ 1,367.79 \\ 8,043.59 \\ 139.43 \\ 3,045.50 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 867,912.19 \\ 761,431.40 \\ 152,361.21 \\ 206,432.68 \\ 491,757.76 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 867,912.19 \\ 761,431.40 \\ 152,361.21 \\ 206,432.68 \\ 491,757.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,757.12 \\ 61,135.51 \\ 12,331.84 \\ 16,571.59 \\ 39,508.96 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\$ 2,475,775.00$ $2,463,110.50$ $614,064.00$ $771,519.48$ $1,120,743.50$ |
| 6. Clinton Township <br> 7. Delaware Township <br> 8. East Amwell Township <br> 9. Flemington Borough <br> 10. Franklin Township | $53,757.21$ $6,387.34$ $4,527.52$ $4,688.86$ $9,165.64$ |  | $3,283,310.55$ $1,021,545.23$ $928,019.49$ $928,987.41$ $818,349.21$ |  | $3,283,310.55$ $1,021,545.23$ $928,019.49$ $928,987.41$ $818,349.21$ | $264,154.82$ $82,087.75$ $74,531.46$ $65,816 \ldots$ |  |  | $8,447,263.75$ $2,217,971.00$ $2,935,360.00$ $2,625,692.81$ $1,883,021.50$ |
| 11. Frenchtown Borough <br> 12. Glen Gardner Boro <br> 13. Hampton Borough <br> 14. High Bridge Borough <br> 15. Holland Township | $3,219.12$ $1,310.18$ 1.63 $4,184.45$ 992.72 |  | $255,775.63$ $274,892.14$ $192,545.80$ $566,414.60$ $1,111,717.16$ | …........ …..... …....... .......... | $255,775.63$ $274,892.14$ $192,545.80$ $566,414.60$ $1,111,717.16$ | $20,580.22$ $22,083.51$ $15,454.94$ $45,541.95$ $89,247.63$ |  |  | $686,098.00$ $962,976.00$ $677,749.00$ $2,323,621.00$ $1,180,000.00$ |
| 16. Kingwood Township <br> 17. Lambertville City <br> 18. Lebanon Borough <br> 19. Lebanon Township <br> 20. Milford Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $19,526.86$ $71,460.96$ $11,481.41$ $6,071.53$ 72.21 |  | $759,961.75$ $853,109.63$ $321,520.84$ $1,274,004.25$ $272,981.16$ |  | $759,961.75$ $853,109.63$ $321,520.84$ $1,274,004.25$ $272,981.16$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,222.96 \\ 25,968.97 \\ 62,860.81 \end{array}$ |  |  | $1,830,652.50$ $1,484,063.00$ $1,170,909.00$ $2,775,342.00$ $797,280.00$ |
| 21. Raritan Township <br> 22. Readington Township <br> 23. Stockton Borough <br> 24. Tewksbury Township <br> 25. Union Township | $25,850.84$ $37,621.01$ 103.48 $31,518.80$ 4.870 .02 | ............ .......... ........... .......... | $4,081,391.13$ $4,087,802.61$ $123,933.19$ $2,123,207.77$ $1,050,126.12$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,081,391.13 \\ 4,087,802.61 \\ 123,933.19 \\ 2,123,207.77 \\ 1,050,126.12 \end{array}$ | $328,055.45$ $328,552.35$ $9,949.00$ $170,889.57$ $84,342.85$ |  |  | $10,933,596.24$ $8,203,593.50$ $261,872.00$ $3,908,197.00$ $2,724,052.00$ |
| 26. West Amwell Twp. .......... | 4,723.91 | ......... | 645,509.09 | .... | 645,509.09 | 51,884.69 | ........... | ........... | 1,196,913.00 |
| Totals ........................ | \$256,409.85 | .......... | \$27,455,000.00 | ...... | \$27,455,000.00 | \$2,002,530.00 | ........... | ........... | \$66,671,435.78 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Hunterdon, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Mercer, for the Year 1991

| taxing districts | Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | (b) Improvements (Includens (Iarial Exemption \& Abatoments) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Equipment of Telegraph and Messenger System (C. 136, L. 1966) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Valuation } \\ \text { TTaxable } \\ \text { (Col. } 4+5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. East Windsor Twp. .......... 2. Ewing Township 3. Hamilton Township 4......... Hightstown Borough 5. Hopewell Borough .......... | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 350.736,340 \\ 37.490 .723 \\ 278.534,100 \\ 12.019 .600 \\ 14,11,1,500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 790,158,400$ $196,678,795$ $1,017.574,200$ $36.942,600$ $50,349,650$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 1,140,894,740 \\ 234,16.518 \\ 1,296,108,300 \\ 48,962,200 \\ 64,461,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 295,160 \\ \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,140,894,740 \\ 233,87,358 \\ 1,296,108,300 \\ 48,96,200 \\ 64,461,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,779,320 \\ 3,439,707 \\ 14,133,977 \\ 1,603,972 \\ 1,205,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.145 .674 .060 \\ 237.314 .065 \\ 1,310.242 .277 \\ 50.566 .172 \\ 65.666 .170 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 178,523,100 \\ 126.19965 \\ 25.137 .970 \\ 142,669.800 \\ 239,902,900 \end{array}$ |  | $636.801,180$ 564.087 .744 97.17 .425 $433,71,250$ $768.689,800$ | 100,600 | $636.801,180$ $563.987,144$ $97+171.425$ $433,761,200$ $768,989,800$ | $2,323.048$ 2,09897 1.522 .652 5.2686 .647 $1,757,537$ | $639.124,228$ $506.077,101$ 98.104 43940.077 $730.047,847$ $770,747,337$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11. Trenton City } \\ & \text { 12. Washington Township } \\ & \text { 13. West Windsor Twp. } \end{aligned}$ | $62,065,365$ $28,406,600$ $248,580,600$ | $251,796,900$ $77,054,050$ $587,534,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313,862,265 \\ & 105,460,650 \\ & 86,115,400 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,016,170 \\ 62,800 \end{array}$ | $295,846,095$ $105,460,650$ $836,052,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,0999.575 \\ 11,7783,036 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301,945,670 \\ & 106238,686 \\ & 847,755,798 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals .................... | \$1,744,298,248 | \$4,796,547,324 | \$6,540,845,572 | \$18,474,730 | \$6,522,370,842 | \$56,722,646 | \$6,579,093.488 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Mercer, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Class il Railiroad
Property (C. 139 , L. 1868)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
10 \\
equalization
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Net Valuation on Which County Taxes
Are Apportioned (Cols. \(6+9\) \(-10(a)\)
\(+10(b)\) \\
\(+10(b))\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
12 \\
APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(a) \\
Amounts Deducted \\
R.S. 54:3-17 \\
R.S. \(\stackrel{\text { to }}{54: 3-19}\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
(b) \\
Amounts Added S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7
\end{tabular}} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Soction A County Taxes} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
County Taxes Apportioned fotal Net Adjustments)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments Resulting From} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37)} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Add } \\
\& \text { Underpayment }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1. East Windsor Twp. ..........
2. Ewing Township
3. Hamilton Township.........
4. Hightstown Borough ........
5. Hopewell Borough ......... \& 2.74
16.02
17.01
14.08
4.09
4.09 \& 94.54
12.89
31.59
20.23
46.52

4, \&  \&  \& \begin{tabular}{r}
$\$ 77,039,033$ <br>
$1,640,394,622$ <br>
$2,849,587,120$ <br>
$196,216,708$ <br>
$75,830,166$ <br>
\hline

 \& $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,22,713,093 \\ 1,877,756,604 \\ 4,159,829,397 \\ 246,782,880 \\ 141,496,336 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 

$\$ 5,842,706.15$ <br>
$8,92,816.37$ <br>
$19,87,648,27$ <br>
$1,179,246,27$ <br>
$676,136.96$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} \&  \&  <br>

\hline  \& | 3.39 |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}3.03 \\ 3.04 \\ 3.94 \\ 3.57 \\ 3.76\end{array}{ }^{1}$ |
|  | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 51.78 \\
& 22.09 \\
& 44.15 \\
& 47.26 \\
& 42.38
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $\cdots$ \&  \&  \&  \& $5,963,494.53$

$12,360,31.22$
$1,065,12.81$
4.467 .817 .21
$8,723,069.61$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline 11. Trenton City 12. Washington Township 13. West Windsor Twp. \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
19.35 \\
6.28 \\
4.27
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15.95 \\
& 25.69 \\
& 42.33
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 436,638 \\
& \stackrel{4}{\cdots}, \cdots, \ldots . . \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,660,558.437 \\
309,024,123 \\
1,153,471,683
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,962,940,745 \\
415,262,809 \\
2,001,227,481
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9,379,866.81 \\
& 1,984,323.70 \\
& 9,562,819.76
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \&  \& …........

$\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$
$\cdots$ <br>
\hline Totals ....................... \& $\cdots$ \& ........... \& \$549,486 \& ...... \& \$12,266,401,227 \& \$18,846,044,201 \& \$90,055,385.68 \& .... \& ........... <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Mercer, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C Local Taxes Raised for DISTRICT SCHPOOLPURPOSES |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADUUSIIMENTS } \\ & \text { RESULTING FROM } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \substack{\text { Not } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Tpanes } \\ \text { Apporioned }} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26 : 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. ${ }^{30}$, 1989 ) L. |  |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required <br> by District School Buaget |
| 1. East Windsor Twp. <br> 2. Ewing Township <br> 3. Hamilton Township <br> 5. Hopewell Borough <br> 4. Hightstown Borough | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 149,695.16 \\ 25.231 .91 \\ 52,597.69 \\ 3,747.51 \\ 55.62 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,693.010 .99 \\ \hline 8.947 .584 .46 \\ 19.855 .055 .5 \\ 1,175.498 .86 \\ 676,081.34 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 518,659.82 \\ 814,695.81 \\ 107,028.65 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 122,271.31 \\ 187,75.66 \\ 415,982.94 \\ 24.688 .29 \\ 14,149.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,386,143.00 \\ 50,914,494.50 \end{array}$ |
|  | 49.920 .35 $249,391.48$ 488.48 6.963 .55 $4,782.05$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,913,574.18 \\ 12,110.91 .74 \\ 1,064,720.40 \\ 4.460 .850 .46 \\ 8,718,287.56 \end{array}$ | .......... $\cdots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 538,432.75 \\ 1,102,708.44 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}124,799.07 \\ 258.666 .34 \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ $22,2990.13$ $93,488.77$ 182,549.17 | $22,850,900.75$ $\qquad$ |
| 11. Trenton City 12. Washingti.n........ 13. West Windsor Twp. | $\begin{array}{r} 160,052.70 \\ 42,760.25 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,219,814.11 \\ & 1,984,323.70 \\ & 9,520,059.51 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9,219,814.11 \\ & 1,984,323.70 \\ & 9,520,059.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180,667.77 \\ & 866,805.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{. . . . . . . . . . .}{\ldots}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196,294.07 \\ 41.526 .28 \\ 200,122.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,882,482.75 \\ 3,377,513.00 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ....................... | \$745,606.68 | ....... | \$89,309,779.00 | ...... | \$89,309,779.00 | \$4,128,999.00 |  | \$1,884,604.42 | \$124,411,534.00 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Mercer, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> amount of miscellaneous revenues for the SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Ralsed for |  |  | Section D | Total Amount of Real Property Exampt from Taxation |  |  |  |  |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | 11 | Total Tax Levy on |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) | (c) |  | Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a})$ (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{CII})$ |  | Surplus Revenue Appropriated | Miscellaneous Revenues Anticlpated | Receipts from Delinquent Taxes and Liens | Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets | As Required by Local Municipal Budget | Local Municlpal Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. East Windsor Twp. <br> 2. Ewing Township <br> 3. Hamilton Township <br> 4. Hightstown Borough <br> 5. Hopewell Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 4,859,409.00 \\ 5,673,806.44 \\ 20,644,859.00 \\ 1,736,065.45 \\ 478,503.47 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 31,388,534.12$ <br> $38,010,005.37$ <br> $91,800,387.02$ <br> $7,119,681.15$ <br> $2,681,966.74$ | $\$ 82,935,700$$137,883,390$$145,554,730$$22,549,438$$4,210,950$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,071,300.00 \\ 500, \ldots . . . . . . .00 \\ 39,600.00 \\ 125,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 3,914,291.00$$3,140,059.53$$1,354,614.00$$1,006,807.50$$507,288.68$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 715,000.00 \\ 342,576.85 \\ 1,001,621.60 \\ 427,900.00 \\ 88,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 5,700,591.00$$3,482,636.38$$2,856,235.60$$1,444,307.50$$720,288.68$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Hopewell Township ......... | $\star *$ $12,902,708.39$ <br> $\star *$ $2,346,32 . . .31$ <br> $\cdots * *$ $7,592,172.62$ <br> $* * *$ $14,344,008.26$ |  | $2,132,298.91$$9,099,453.12$$453,164.44$$3,50,084.79$$5,700,221.00$ | $21,611,813.30$$45,422,648.39$$3,886,503.28$$15,646,609.85$$28,345,065.99$ | $37,599,900$$101,754,250$$13,335,800$$390,167,000$$210,041,800$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,302,529.91 \\ 995,000.00 \\ 909 ., 000.00 \\ 1,458,648.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2,914,209.37$$9,426,044.42$$708,412.47$$7,740,239.00$$6,891,198.53$ | $\begin{array}{r} 750,000.00 \\ 1,200,000.00 \\ 80,112.64 \\ 640,000.00 \\ 588,600.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $4,966,739.28$$11,621,044.42$$788,525.11$$9,289,239.00$$8,938,446.53$ |
| 7. Lawrence Township ......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Pennington Borough ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Princeton Borough ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Princeton Township ......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Trenton City <br> 12. Washington Township <br> 13. West Windsor Twp. | ............ | \$ 783,979.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 23,317,695.00 \\ 1,081,695.00 \\ 4,710,957.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,400,264.93 \\ 6,665,725.75 \\ 36,135,163.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 522,373,335 \\ 5,706.290 \\ 81,897,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350,000.00 \\ 4,500,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,355,717.00 \\ & 2,530,205.72 \\ & 4,780,048.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,800,000.00 \\ & 280,000.00 \\ & 2,100,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,155,717.00 \\ 3,160,205.72 \\ 11,380,048.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **** 20,837,217.97 | ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals ......................... | \$83,807,260.85 | \$783,979.00 | \$83,388,212.62 | \$387,714,368.89 | \$1,756,010,383 | \$11,251,077.91 | \$53,269,135.22 | \$11,013,811.09 | \$75,534,024.22 |
|  | *East Windsor-Hightstown Regional School District <br> **Hopewell Valley Regional School District <br> ***Princeton Regional School District <br> ***West Windsor-Plainsboro (Middlesex Co.) <br> Regional School District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\$ 89,309,779.00$
$\$ 745,606.68$
\$90,055,385.68 Underpayments

Net County Taxes Apportioned (12A III)
tTotal Adjustments Apportioned (12A II)
tTotal Adjustments
Total County Taxes Apportioned (12A I)
(Including Adjustments) $\stackrel{+}{\text { tet }}$ are deducted.
\$ 1,884,604.42
\$ 1,884,604.42 .01000000 $\$ 54,712,101.00$
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TAXING DISTRICTS
Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Middlesex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 7 | 8CountyEqualizationTable-AverageRatio ofAssessedto TrueValue ofRealProperty(R.S. $54: 3-17$to R.S.$54: 3-19$ ) | True Value of Class II Raliroad Property (C. 139, <br> L. 1966) | 10EQUALIZATION |  | Not Valuation on Which County Taxes are Apportioned (Cole. $6+0$ - 10(a) $+10(\mathrm{~b}))$ | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) | (b) |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  | General Tax Rate to Apply per $\$ 100$ Valuation |  |  | AmountsDeductedUnderR.S. $54: 3-17$toR.S. $54: 3-19$ | AmountsAddedUnderR.S. $54: 3-17$to R.S. $54: 3-19$and N.J.S.A.54:11 D.7 |  | Total County Taxes Apportioned (including Total Not Adjustments) | Adjustments Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County Equaization } \\ & \text { Table Appeale } \\ & \text { (R.s. } 6: / 2-37 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Carteret Borough ............ | 2.28 | 100.00 |  |  | \$ 44,457,637 | \$ 1,109,249,547 | \$ 4,537,269.72 |  | ***** |
| 2. Cranbury Township ........ | 2.25 | 73.62 |  | . | 128,114,612 | 461,977,937 | 1,889,672.63 |  |  |
| 3. Dunellen Borough ........... | 5.03 | 44.69 | \$ 89,654 |  | 188,245,722 | 335,468,781 | 1,372,200.10 | ............ | Huwer |
| 4. East Brunswick Twp. ...... | 3.75 | 55.88 |  |  | 1,483,619,420 | 3,338,874,900 | 13,657,319.98 | ........... | .......... |
| 5. Edison Township ........... | 1.63 | 105.25 |  | \$ 276,481,292 |  | 6,924,976,679 | 28,325,895.74 |  |  |
| 6. Helmetta Borough ........... | 2.75 | 50.86 | ........... |  | 46,898,074 | 92,251,687 | 377,345.92 | ........... | .... |
| 7. Highland Park Boro ........ | 3.16 | 82.76 | ........... | ........... | 116,486,896 | 650,818,293 | 2,662,104.43 | ........... | ........... |
| 8. Jamesburg Borough ....... | 3.53 | 57.33 | ........... | ........... | 95,534,632 | 223,180,608 | 912,897.03 | ........... | ........... |
| 9. Metuchen Borough ......... | 4.21 | 45.88 | ......... | ........... | 552,878,321 | 1,016,254,310 | 4,156,882.39 | ........... | .......... |
| 10. Middlesex Borough ........ | 3.59 | 60.11 |  |  | 338,226,306 | 831,351,120 | 3,400,555.15 |  |  |
| 11. Milltown Borough ............ | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ... |  |
| 12. Monroe Township ........... | 5.94 | 28.41 | ........... | ........... | 1,210,156,813 | 1,689,166,826 | $6,909,360.95$ | ... | ... |
| 13. New Brunswick City ....... | 2.65 | 90.64 | ........... | ........... | 174,763,825 | 1,537,502,655 | 6,288,994.46 | ............ | ........... |
| 14. North Brunswick Twp. .... | 3.31 | 50.97 | ............ |  | 1,205,908,522 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,396,067,869 \\ & 2,814,450,279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,800,866.03 \\ 11,512,215.69 \end{array}$ | ........... | ........... |
| 15. Old Bridge Township ...... | 2.53 |  |  | 99,150,453 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 319,330 | ........... |  |  |  |  | .......... |
| 17. Piscataway Township ..... | 2.66 | 68.56 |  |  | $1,105,486,338$ | 3,453,673,990 | $14,126,893.70$ | .......... | .......... |
| 18. Plainsboro Township ...... | 2.78 | 67.18 |  |  | 446,823,737 | 1,358,296,498 | 5,555,970.33 |  |  |
| 19. Sayreville Borough ......... | 4.75 | 33.93 47.37 |  | ........... | 1,504,267,895 | 2,234,731,141 | $9,140,934.95$ 1,541564 | ........... | ........... |
| 20. South Amboy City .......... | 3.88 | 47.37 | 701,207 |  | 199,154,081 | 376,874,191 | 1,541,564.62 |  |  |
| 21. South Brunswick Twp. .... | 1.66 | 106.78 |  | 153,466,971 |  | 2,893,629,593 | 11,836,090.43 | ........... | ..... |
| 22. South Plainfield Boro ..... | 2.85 | 70.14 |  |  | 618,802,075 | 2,008,635,272 | 8,216,113.35 |  | ........... |
| 23. South River Borough ..... | 4.14 | 53.28 |  |  | 322,711,946 | 685,024,795 | 2,802,022.56 | ........... | ........... |
| 24. Spotswood Borough ...... | 4.38 | 51.01 | 195,200 |  | 194,036,305 | 386,182,684 | 1,579,640.04 | ........... | ........... |
| 25. Woodbridge Township .... | 3.67 | 49.82 | 82,637 |  | 3,533,252,877 | 6,929,425,917 | 28,344,094.89 |  |  |
| Totals |  |  | \$1,388,028 | \$529,098,716 | \$14,121,646,074 | \$46,256,929,963 | \$189,209,153.55 | ..... | ........... |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Middlesex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | IIADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | IVMunicipalBudgotState Aid(8.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}$ -118.40) |  | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49 | orrected Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Carteret Borough <br> 2. Cranbury Township <br> 3. Dunellen Borough <br> 4. East Brunswick Twp. <br> 5. Edison Township | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \$ 151,779.07 \\ 9,531.59 \\ 594.13 \\ 89975.33 \\ 829,311.94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 4,385,490.65$ $1,880,141.04$ $1,371,605.97$ $13,567,344.65$ $27,496,583.80$ |  | $\$ 4,385,490.65$ $1,880,141.04$ $1,371,605.97$ $13,567,344.65$ $27,496,583.80$ |  |  |  | $\$ 12,681,417.50$ <br> $3,607,163.00$ <br> $4,482,491.00$ <br> $41,452,876.00$ <br> $69,836,506.50$ |
| 6. Helmetta Borough <br> 7. Highland Park Boro <br> 8. Jamesburg Borough <br> 9. Metuchen Borough <br> 10. Middlesex Borough | 49.07 $87,387.99$ $1,863.08$ $9,958.77$ $8,985.12$ |  | $377,296.85$ $2,574,716.44$ $911,033.95$ $4,146,923.62$ $3,391,570.03$ |  | $377,296.85$ <br> $2,574,716.44$ <br> $911,033.95$ <br> $4,146,923.62$ <br> $3,391,570.03$ |  |  |  | $575,000.00$ <br> $10,456,433.00$ <br> $2,6959,762.00$ <br> $11,029,573.78$ <br> $9,939,070.25$ |
| 11. Milltown Borough <br> 12. Monroe Township <br> 13. New Brunswick City* <br> 14. North Brunswick Twp. <br> 15. Old Bridge Township | $31,671.98$ $25,454.85$ $239,257.77$ $147,842.87$ $169,192.77$ |  | $1,858,613.09$ $6,883,906.10$ $6,049,736.69$ $9,653,023.16$ $11,343,022.92$ |  | $1,858,613.09$ $6,883,906.10$ $6,049,736.69$ $9,653,023.16$ $11,343,022.92$ |  |  |  | $5,537,257.00$ $16,209,341.00$ $19,948,156.00$ $23,025,283.00$ $44,112,104.50$ |
| 16. Perth Amboy City <br> 17. Piscataway Township <br> 18. Plainsboro Township <br> 19. Sayreville Borough <br> 20. South Amboy City | $4,831,171.77$ $106,885.48$ $374,363.76$ $28,160.78$ 489.34 |  | $11,540,791.62$ $14,0201008.22$ $5.181,606.57$ $9.112,774.17$ $1,541,075.28$ |  | $3,540,791.62$ $14,020,008.22$ $5,181,606.57$ $9.112,74.17$ $1,541,075.28$ |  |  |  | $18,637,505.00$ $39,243,432.50$ $20,450,641.00$ $4,395,502.00$ |
| 21. South Brunswick Twp <br> 22. South Plainfield Boro <br> 23. South River Borough <br> 24. Spotswood Borough <br> 25. Woodbridge Township | $\begin{array}{r} 49,294.46 \\ 20,374.05 \\ 5,948.10 \\ 381,226.48 \end{array}$ |  | $11,786,795.97$ $8,195,739.30$ $2,796,074.46$ $1,579,640.04$ $27,962,868.41$ |  | $11,786,795.97$ $8,1956739.30$ $2,796,074.46$ $1.579,640.04$ $27,962,868.41$ |  |  |  | $31,078,872.43$ $24,739,551.00$ $8,437,557.00$ $4,892,246.50$ $75,685,487.50$ |
| Totals ......................... | \$7,600,770.55 | ........... | \$181,608,383.00 | ......... | \$181,608,383.00 | ............ | $\ldots$ | ........... | \$503,149,228.46 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Middiesex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section C Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV $B(a)$ (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{Cli})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from raxation | (a) $\begin{gathered}\text { Surplus } \\ \text { Revenue } \\ \text { Appropriated }\end{gathered}$ | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Recelpts from Doilinquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> otal of colianeous avenues <br> b + c) |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\quad$ (b)RegionalConsolidatedandJoint SchoolBudgots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Carteret Borough <br> 2. Crantury Township <br> 3. Dunellen Borough <br> 4. East Brunswick Twp. <br> 5. Edison Township | $\ldots$ | \$ 3,593,562.00 | $\$ 7.151,450.51$ <br> $1,998,722.00$ <br> $1.544,229.00$ <br> $14.545,229.00$ <br> $15,843,207.53$ | $\$ 24,218,358.66$ <br> $7,486,026.04$ <br> $7,398,325.97$ <br> $69,565,449.65$ <br> $116,769,859.83$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 90,461,900 \\ 14,642,000 \\ 13,21,800 \\ 151,274,100 \\ 765,607,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 900,000.00 \\ 970,500.00 \\ 1,500,00.00 \\ 6,318,746.95 \end{array}$ | $\$ 8,545,204.21$ <br> $1,141,105.42$ <br> $1,282,284.00$ <br> $13,820.463 .00$ <br> $27,892,088.21$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,187,000.00 \\ 160,00.38 \\ 240,000.00 \\ 1,50,000.00 \\ 2,500,000.00 \end{array}$ | $10,632,204.21$ <br> $2,271,605.80$ <br> $1,52,224.80$ <br> $16,820.463 .00$ <br> $36,710,835.16$ |
| 6. Helmetta Borough <br> 7. Highland Park Boro <br> 8. Jamesburg Barough <br> 9. Metuchen Borough <br> 10. Middlesex Borough | $\ldots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 292,722.17 \\ 3,835,044.34 \\ 897,000.00 \\ 4,311,658.00 \\ 4,360,482.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,245,019.02 \\ 16,866,193.78 \\ 4,503,795.95 \\ 19,488,155.40 \\ 17,691,122.28 \end{array}$ | $3,220,200$ $90,212,400$ $13,747,600$ $52,453,300$ $27,929,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159,004.00 \\ & 525,000.00 \\ & 452,329.00 \\ & 225,000.00 \\ & 980,000.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 283,445.64 \\ 2,211,728.52 \\ 812,823.67 \\ 3,090,205.00 \\ 2,634,197.42 \end{array}$ | $65,000.00$ $405,500.00$ $260,000.00$ $450,000.00$ $440,000.00$ | $507,449.64$ <br> $3,142,228.52$ <br> $1,525,152.67$ <br> $3,765,205.00$ <br> $4,054,197.42$ |
| 11. Milltown Borough <br> 12. Monroe Township <br> 13. New Brunswick City <br> 14. North Brunswick Twp. <br> 15. Old Bridge Township | $\cdots$ | 752,825.59 | $\begin{array}{r}1,426,945.01 \\ 5,343,234.00 \\ 9,336,068.48 \\ 6,663,622.45 \\ 18,117,577.82 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $8,822,815.10$ $28,436,481.10$ $36,086,786.76$ $39,341,928.61$ $73,572,705.25$ | $20,976,200$ $50,009,800$ $1,012,547,700$ $81,972,100$ $316,782,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} 850,000.00 \\ 287,000.00 \\ 780,000.00 \\ 2,050,000.00 \end{array}$ | $1,361,857.61$ <br> $3,432,716.24$ <br> $29,359110.69$ <br> $8,067,669.71$ <br> $1,313,966.00$ | $213,260.00$ $872,000.00$ $2,750,000.00$ $934,263.00$ $680,000.00$ | $2,425,117.61$ <br> $4,591,716.24$ <br> $32,889,110.69$ <br> $11,051,932.71$ <br> $1,993,966.00$ |
| 16. Perth Amboy City <br> 17 Piscataway Township <br> 18. Plainsboro Township <br> 19. Sayreville Borough <br> 20. South Amboy City | \$16,497,381.59 | ........... | $15,412,311.00$ <br> $9,034,157.00$ <br> $3,633,979.00$ <br> $5,100.120 .00$ <br> $915,467.00$ | $37,590,607.62$ $62,297,597.72$ $25,312,967.16$ $34,663,535.17$ $6,852,044.28$ | $287,757,000$ $726,456,400$ $112,828,200$ $121,207,700$ $33,346,400$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,242,082.00 \\ & 1,609,450.00 \\ & 4,800,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,438,436.00 \\ 8,515,491.00 \\ 2,516169.00 \\ 16,123,191.00 \\ 410,353.96 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 720,000.00 \\ 1,730,000.00 \\ 200,000.00 \\ 475,00000 \\ 167,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $3,158,436.00$ <br> $11,487,573.00$ <br> $41,325,619.00$ <br> $21,398,191.00$ <br> $577,353.96$ |
| 21. South Brunswick Twp. <br> 22. South Plainfield Boro <br> 23. South River Borough <br> 24. Spotswood Borough <br> 25. Woodbridge Township | ...... |  | $7,585,843.20$ <br> $6,625,377.00$ <br> $3,750,679.56$ <br> $1,922,666.12$ <br> $20,926,856.82$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,451,511.60 \\ 39,560,667.30 \\ 14,984,31.02 \\ 8,394,552.66 \\ 124,575,212.73 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 197,361,000 \\ 80,026,500 \\ 39,886,300 \\ 21,088,200 \\ 306,188,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1,400,000.00$ $1,780,000.00$ $900,000.00$ $250,000.00$ $3,303,700.54$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,220,208.00 \\ 5,300,045.00 \\ 1,694,809.44 \\ 1,474,649.74 \\ 32,021,912.98 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000.80 \\ 690,000.00 \\ 275,000.00 \\ 170,000.00 \\ 4,100,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,920,208.80 \\ 7,770,045.00 \\ 2,869,809.44 \\ 1,894,649.74 \\ 39,425,613.52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$16,497,381.59 | \$4,346,387.59 | \$170,574,649.01 | \$876,176,030.65 | \$4,631,203,800 | \$31,282,812.49 | \$184,964, 131.46 | \$22,484,024.18 | \$238,730,968.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1Taxable Value |  | $2$ | $3$ | $4$ | $5$ $5$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Imprevements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies <br> (C. $138, \mathrm{~L} .1966$ ) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Aberdeen Township <br> 2. Allenhurst Boro <br> 3. Allentown Borough <br> 4. Asbury Park City <br> 5. Atlantic Highlands Boro | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 333,502,600 \\ 50,087,100 \\ 19,170,050 \\ 94,052,600 \\ 153,834,227 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 528,618,800 \\ 61,653,600 \\ 43,886,150 \\ 312,607,350 \\ 173,899,217 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 862,121,400 \\ 111,740,700 \\ 63,056,200 \\ 406,659,950 \\ 327,733,444 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 245,050 \\ 10,023,500 \\ 285,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 862,121,400 \\ 111,740,700 \\ 62,811,150 \\ 396,636,450 \\ 327,447,544 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,030,500 \\ 277,140 \\ 2,018,202 \\ 11,898,482 \\ 3,679,482 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 865,151,900 \\ 112,017,840 \\ 64,829,352 \\ 408,534,932 \\ 331,127,026 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Avon-By-the-Sea Boro <br> 7. Belmar Borough <br> 8. Bradley Beach Boro <br> 9. Brielle Borough <br> 10. Colts Neck Township | $149,743,700$ $265,933,000$ $169,306,400$ $354,792,700$ $412,561,750$ | $103,945,992$ $239,713,900$ $165,194,280$ $240,239,400$ $526,135,750$ | $253,689,692$ $505,646,900$ $334,500,680$ $595,032,100$ $938,697,500$ |  | $253,689,692$ $505,646,900$ $334,500,680$ $595,032,100$ $938,697,500$ | 319,983 796,493 520,616 702,684 $4,377,645$ | $254,009,675$ $506,443,393$ $335,021,296$ $595,734,784$ $943,075,145$ |
| 11. Deal Borough <br> 12. Eatontown Borough <br> 13. Englishtown Borough <br> 14. Fair Haven Borough <br> 15. Farmingdale Borough | $318,442,200$ $332,609,700$ $28,403,872$ $155,644,200$ $23,154,800$ | $196,636,600$ $586,641,700$ $40,113,500$ $160,451,100$ $45,745,500$ | $515,078,800$ $919,251,400$ $68,517,372$ $316,095,300$ $68,900,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} 174,900 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . \end{array}$ | $515,078,800$ $919,076,500$ $68,517,372$ $316,095,300$ $68,900,300$ | 589,134 $12,495,676$ $3,791,838$ 403,033 $1,250,843$ | $515,667,934$ $931,572,176$ $72,309,210$ $316,498,333$ $70,151,143$ |
| 16. Freehold Borough <br> 17. Freehold Township <br> 18. Hazlet Township <br> 19. Highlands Borough <br> 20. Holmdel Township | $134,317,000$ $549,821,200$ $227,648,550$ $153,303,200$ $266,149,145$ | $331,083,200$ $1,042,218,700$ $379,209,825$ $156,157,800$ $695,521,672$ | $465,400,200$ $1,592,039,900$ $606,858,375$ $309,461,000$ $961,670,817$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,022,700 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 227,200 \end{array}$ | $464,377,500$ $1,592,039,900$ $606,858,375$ $309,233,800$ $961,670,817$ | $21,746,906$ $88,691,964$ $1,595,456$ 514,793 $8,022,277$ | $486,124,406$ $1,680,731,864$ $608,453,831$ $309,748,593$ $969,693,094$ |
| 21. Howell Township <br> 22. Interlaken Borough <br> 23. Keansburg Borough <br> 24. Keyport Borough <br> 25. Little Silver Borough | $987,932,650$ $50,952,400$ $158,864,350$ $132,732,900$ $279,177,500$ | $1,262,725,790$ $55,151,300$ $201,797,870$ $190,303,900$ $287,778,100$ | $2,250,658,440$ $106,103,700$ $360,662,220$ $323,036,800$ $566,955,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,900 \\ 268,200 \\ 1,110,400 \end{array}$ | $2,250,643,540$ $106,103,700$ $360,394,020$ $321,926,400$ $566,955,600$ | $13,213,363$ 161,800 636,086 $10,317,599$ $2,781,087$ | $2,263,856,903$ $106,265,500$ $361,030,106$ $332,243,999$ $569,736,687$ |
| 26. Loch Arbour Village <br> 27. Long Branch City <br> 28. Manalapan Township <br> 29. Manasquan Borough <br> 30. Marlboro Township | $14,956,800$ $626,686,000$ $263,139,550$ $302,905,125$ $224,450,500$ | $16,595,700$ $736,519,575$ $653,182,250$ $270,451,200$ $681,195,969$ | $31,552,500$ $1,363,205,575$ $916,321,800$ $573,356,325$ $905,646,469$ | $33,646,600$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $31,552,500$ $1,329,558,975$ $916,321,800$ $573,356,325$ $905,646,469$ | 79,442 $10,792,009$ $3,458,525$ 868,614 $5,431,956$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,631,942 \\ 1,340,350,984 \\ 919,780,325 \\ 574,224,939 \\ 911,078,425 \end{array}$ |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | $\stackrel{1}{\text { Taxable Value }}$ |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | La) | (b) <br> Improvements (includes Partial Exemptions Abatements) Abatements) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptlons 8 Abatements Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | $\delta$ <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements 8 Equipmont of relegraph and Mossenger 8yatem (C. 136, L. 1060) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Valuation } \\ \text { Taxable } \\ \text { (Col. } 4+5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 31. Matawan Borough .......... 32. Midalotown Township .... 33 Milltone Township 34 35. Nontoouth Bch. Boro....... 3optune Township ....... | $213,574,400$ $2,004,467,100$ $333,691,485$ $172.843,950$ $702,517,300$ | $280,067,206$ $2,578,775,200$ $258,674,550$ $213,437,900$ $814,128,200$ | $493,641,606$ $4,583,242,300$ $592,366,035$ $386,281,800$ $1,516,645,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 725,000 \\ 11,700,900 \\ 963,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 492,916,606 \\ 4,571,541,400 \\ 592.366,035 \\ 385.318,100 \\ 1,516,645,500 \end{array}$ |  | $494,584,166$ <br> $4,595,308,157$ <br> $595,370.867$ <br> $385,966,743$ <br> $1,526,764,100$ |
| 36. Neptune City Borough .... 37 Ocean Township 38. Oceanport Borough ......... 3. Red Bank BBorough 40. Roosevelt Borough ......... 4. | $100,653,500$ $2688,180,700$ $194,79,000$ $427,291,150$ $5,923,690$ | $141,459,900$ $62,140,200$ $262,282,600$ $413,177,150$ $18,052,800$ | $242,113,400$ $890,320,900$ 457,073600 $840,468,300$ $23,976,490$ | $222,000$ | $242,113,400$ $890,320,900$ 457.073 .600 840.246 .300 $23,776,490$ | 591,048 $2,347,8424$ $16,338,801$ 70,845 7085 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 243,045,000 \\ 67,21,900 \\ 384,869,300 \\ 200,252,800 \\ 13,044,600 \end{array}$ | $281,128,200$ $73,725,100$ $179,550,000$ $231,30,600$ $19,053,100$ | $524,173,200$ $140,987,000$ $564,49,300$ $431,643,400$ $32,097,700$ |  | $524,173,200$ $149,987,000$ $564,49,300$ $431,643,400$ $32,097,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} 654,595 \\ 43,930 \\ 491,236 \\ 3,302,460 \\ 67,112 \end{array}$ | $524,827,795$ <br> $141,400.930$ <br> 564901536 <br> 434945.860 <br> $32,164,812$ |
| 46. South Belmar Boro 47....... 48pring Lake Borough.... 48. Spring Lake His. Boro ..... 49. Tinton Falss Borough .... 50. Union Beach Borough .... | $63,601,800$ $526,742,200$ $89,582,440$ $379,144,500$ $129,692,250$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,371,930 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 632,30,300 \\ 13,039,300 \\ 1647,97,100 \\ 158,94,900 \\ 158,82,900 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 303,180 $5,830,846$ 3,661,469 463,622 | 125,276,910 864,876,346 $930,710,869$ 288,491,122 |
| 51. Upper Freehold Twp. 52. Wall Township 53. W. Long Branch Boro | $\begin{aligned} & 131,187,875 \\ & 847,407,400 \\ & 206,416,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,403,720 \\ 1,084,285,000 \\ 352,600,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270,591,595 \\ 1,931,692,400 \\ 559,017,200 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 270,591,595 \\ 1,931,692,400 \\ 559,017,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,066,063 \\ & 9,019,946 \\ & 1,337,347 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 272,657,658 \\ 1,940,712,346 \\ 560,354,547 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ...................... | \$14,940,438,959 | \$19,611,632,546 | \$34,552,071,505 | \$61,123,600 | \$34,490,947,905 | \$301,955,769 | \$34,792,903,674 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | O | County Equalization TableAverage Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property (R.S. 84:3-17 to R.8. 54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Ralliond Property (C. 139 . L. 1966) | $10$ <br> EQUALIZATION |  | 11Net Valuationon WhichCounty TaxesAreApportioned(Cols. $6(+9$$-10(\mathrm{a})$$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ ) | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) | (b) |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Amounts Deducted | Amounts Added |  | 1TotalCounty TaxesApportioned(IncludingTotal NetAdjustments) | Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{ }$ From |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 3-17 \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 3-19 \end{aligned}$ | R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. |  |  | County $\stackrel{(a)}{\text { Equalization }}$ Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Aberdeen Township <br> 2. Allenhurst Boro <br> 3. Allentown Borough <br> 4. Asbury Park City <br> 5. Atlantic Highlands Boro | $\begin{aligned} & 2.506 \\ & 1,272 \\ & 2.917 \\ & 3.686 \\ & 2.001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.97 \\ 91.31 \\ 73.15 \\ 89.97 \\ 102.22 \end{array}$ | .............. .......... ..........$~$ ........$~$ | $\begin{array}{r} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \$ 5,406,750 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85,604,110 \\ 11,644,068 \\ 23,36,700 \\ 57,527,596 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 950,756,010 \\ 123,631,908 \\ 88,196,052 \\ 466,062,528 \\ 325,720,276 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,753,462.48 \\ 488,082.87 \\ 348,186.67 \\ 1,839,954.93 \\ 1,285,901.77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 6. Avon-By-the-Sea Boro ..... 7. Belmar Borugh .......... 8. Bradley Beach Boro ....... 9. Brille Borough .......... 10. Colts Neck Township ..... | 1.493 1.871 1.936 1.343 1.248 | $\begin{array}{r} 107.34 \\ 111.35 \\ 109.34 \\ 108.84 \\ 98.36 \end{array}$ |  | $16,572,712$ $48,836,379$ $26,934,300$ $45,892,180$ | $\begin{array}{r} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ 20,095,871 \end{array}$ | $237,436,963$ $457,607,014$ $308,086,996$ $549,842,604$ $963,171,016$ | $937,370.60$ $1,806,573.65$ $1,216,287.85$ $2,170,707.90$ $3,802,475.32$ | ............. …....... .......... ........ | ............. ........... .......... ........ |
| 11. Deal Borough ................. 12. Eatontown Borough ....... 13. Englishtown Borough ..... 14. Fair Haven Borough ...... 15. Farmingdale Borough ..... | 0.951 <br> 2.063 <br> 1.822 <br> 3.019 <br> 2.120 | 79.31 94.45 108.15 63.18 85.42 |  | ........... $4,249,700$ 4........ | $135,310,645$ $66,395,092$ $185,562, \ldots \ldots 9$ $12,898,890$ | $650,978,579$ $997,967,268$ $68,059,510$ $502,061,272$ $83,050,033$ | $2,569,979.72$ $3,939,846.45$ $268,690.19$ $1,982,073.34$ $327,870.85$ |  | …........ .......... .......... ......... |
| 16. Freehold Borough .......... 17. Freehold Township ......... 18. Hazlet Township 19. Highlands Borough ......... 20. Holmdel Township .......... | 2.180 1.907 3.729 2.349 2.858 | $\begin{array}{r} 93.46 \\ 86.88 \\ 58.10 \\ 102.12 \\ 55.34 \end{array}$ |  | ........... $\ldots . . . . .$. $5,265,286$ | $41,705,252$ $252,645,530$ $446,564,244$ $802,923,521$ | $527,829,658$ $1,933,377,394$ $1,055,018,075$ $304,483,307$ $1,772,616,615$ | $2,083,803.62$ $7,632,725.35$ $4,165,075.70$ $1,202,060.94$ $6,998,062.47$ |  |  |
| 21. Howell Township ............ 22. Interlaken Borough ....... 23. Keansburg Borough ....... 24. Keyport Borough ......... 25. Litle Silver Borough ....... | 1.621 1.259 2.882 2.246 1.956 | 99.55 93.47 105.55 104.81 97.20 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16,858,774 \\ & 10,371,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,817,755 \\ 7,413,846 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 18,767,213 \end{array}$ | $2,287,674,658$ $113,679,346$ $344,171,332$ $321,872,335$ $588,503,900$ | $9,031,445.40$ $448,791.44$ $1,358,744.16$ $1,270,710.59$ $2,323,337.72$ |  |  |
| 26. Loch Arbour Village <br> 27. Long Branch City <br> 28. Manalapan Township <br> 29. Manasquan Borough <br> 30. Marlboro Township | 2.681 2.625 3.819 1.543 4.149 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.12 \\ & 87.40 \\ & 47.19 \\ & 98.01 \\ & 40.96 \end{aligned}$ | \$ 79,905 $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. ..........$~$ | …........... | $\begin{array}{r} 7,525,812 \\ 201,781,962 \\ 1,031,415,851 \\ 14,378,097 \\ 1,310,635,051 \end{array}$ | $39,157,754$ $1,542,212,851$ $1,951,196,176$ $588,603,036$ $2,221,713,476$ | $154,589.78$ $6,088,458.04$ $7,703,071.62$ $2,323,729.10$ $8,771,039.13$ |  |  |

TAXING DISTRICTS
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| taxing distaicte | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section $A$County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C Local Taxes to Be Raised for DISTRICT SCHOOL PURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Tpaxes } \\ \text { Apporiiened } \end{gathered}$ |  | $V$Net CountyTaxesApeorionedLess MunieipalBuatgetState Aid(Col. Alll-AIM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { County } \\ & \text { Uibrary } \\ & \text { Taxes } \end{aligned}$ | (b) <br> Local Health Service taxes (R.S. 26 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Tax (C. 30 , L. 1989) |  |
|  | 84:4 | 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required by District School Budget |
| 1. Aberdeen Township........ 2. Allonhurst Bor 3. Allontown Boroug....... 4. Astory Park Couty 5. Atlantic Pighlands Boro... | $\$ 96,192.79$ $1,499.30$ $15,291.07$ $26,146.90$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,428.89 \\ 13,183.43 \\ 47,823.33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,886.57 \\ 30,544.48 \\ 21,052.63 \end{array}$ | $\$ 89,906.42$ <br> $\$ 11,967.92$ <br> $8,563.89$ <br> 44.869 .28 <br> $30,962.31$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 118,945.00 \\ 6.053 .003 .25 \\ 1,807,103.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | $11,902.05$ 222.73 .61 45.960 .70 22.624 .99 $11,029.51$ | \$ 299.31 | $\begin{aligned} & 929.468 .55 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 9,783,790.04 \\ 1,1,179626.46 \\ 1.144 .064 .91 \\ 3,791,445.81 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $925,468.55$ $1,783,790.04$ $1,170,626.46$ $2,148.6464$ $3,791,445.81$ | $\begin{array}{r} . . . . . . . . . . . \\ \begin{array}{c} 81,40.61 .61 \\ 143,607.77 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $15,504.57$ $29,849.23$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $2,568,345.32$ $3,85,884.48$ $264,373.00$ $1,981,841.43$ $320,704.20$ |  | $2.568,345.32$ $3,857.884 .48$ $264,37.00$ $1,981,841.43$ $320,704.20$ | $97,251.88$ 1464085 10,030.41 12,173.77 | $4,418.25$ $5,370.26$ |  | $914,934.00$ $6,3889.92 .00$ $549,261.72$ 3.747 .63900 $787,497.50$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 34,124.04 \\ & 69.416 .81 \\ & 10,369.14 \\ & 28.499 .39 \\ & 17,041.52 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,049.679 .58 \\ & 7.563 .308 .54 \\ & 4,154,760.56 \\ & 1,173,631.55 \\ & 1,981,020.95 \end{aligned}$ |  | $2,049,679.58$ $7,563,088.54$ $41.154,706.56$ $1,173.5631 .55$ $6,981,020.95$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{l} 86,646.29 \\ 157.349 .73 \\ 44.583 .22 \\ 264,386.94 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 21. Howell Township............. 22. Interlaken Borough 23. Keansbourg Borough ........ 24. Keypor Boroug 25. Uttle Silver Borough....... |  | $47.25$ | $8,866,116.07$ 448.006 .47 $1,342,944.19$ $1,243,984.34$ $2,315,436.52$ |  | $8,866,116.07$ $448,06.47$ $1,342,944.19$ $1,243,944.34$ $2,315,436.52$ | $\begin{gathered} 336,382.36 \\ \hline 16.966 .70 \\ 50.91961 \\ 87,701.58 \end{gathered}$ | $148,341.70$ | $\begin{array}{r}118.108 .27 \\ 11.01 .31 \\ 33.024 .24 \\ 30.58 .24 \\ 56.950 .76 \\ 56 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4666 .475 .50 \\ 124.950 \\ 5.642 .951 .50 \\ 4.035 .71 .50 \\ 4.054 .912 .50 \\ 4.054 .00 \end{array}$ |
| 26. Loch Arbour Viliage 27........ 27. Ong Branch Cility 28. Manaapan Toinstion ...... 29. Manaquan Borough ..... 30. Martboro Township ...... | 1.064 .18 129.854 .67 13.42 .19 6.41 .07 $98,407.48$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots .$ | $153,525.60$ $5,958,603.37$ $7,689.579 .43$ $2,31,315.03$ $8,672,631.65$ |  | $153,525.60$ $5,98,603.37$ $7,689.579 .43$ $2,317.315 .03$ $8,672,631.65$ | $5,817.86$ $291,806.11$ $87,770.32$ $328,815.15$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \% } \\ \begin{array}{r}38.7 \times 7 \times 1.76 \\ 145,133.26\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.775 .06 146.57 .42 189.12 .41 57.001 .35 213.369 .39 | $\begin{array}{r} 18.312 .051 .50 \\ \text { R } \begin{array}{r} 15.096 .015 .22 \\ 4668.073 .00 \\ 16,971,971.00 \\ \hline \end{array} \mathbf{r} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised for |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { ADJUSTMENTS } \\ \text { RESUUTING FROOM } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (b) <br> Local Health Sorvice Taxes (R.8. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Lax (C. ${ }^{30}$ ) |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { (b) } \\ \text { Appeals and Corrected Errors } \\ \text { (R.S. 54:4-49; R.S. } 54: 4-53 \text { ) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PURPOSES |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | by Dietrict 8chool Budget |
| 31. Matawan Borough 32......... Midddetown Township ..... 33. Millstone Township 34. Monmouth Bch. Boro ...... 35. Neptune Township ........ | $33,046.86$ $103,967.06$ 36.50 .79 51.49 $80,947.69$ |  | $1,819,180.86$ <br> $20,182,857.43$ <br> $2,160.629 .05$ <br> $1,653,847.21$ <br> $5,818,004.44$ |  | $1,819,180.86$ <br> $20,182,857.43$ <br> $2,160.629 .95$ <br> $1,653,847.21$ <br> $5,818,004.44$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81,953.47 \\ & 62,621.41 \end{aligned}$ | 97,298.19 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 52,461,154.00 \\ 51,645,300.00 \\ 1,532,88.50 \\ 18,232,807.00 \end{array}$ |
| 36. Neptune City Borough ..... 37. Ocean Township 38. Oceanport Borough .......... 39. Red Bank Borough ........ 40. Roosevelt Borough ........ | $13,966.25$ $3,877.92$ $5,51.48$ $77,035.70$ |  | $892,188.72$ <br> 7.343, <br> $1,9350.69$ <br> 1.902 .202 .40 <br> $2,97487.45$ <br> $178,032.11$ |  |  | $33,845.74$ 278.082 .72 $711,965.22$ $6,740.85$ | 14,914.08 <br> 31,891.66 <br> 2,979.19 | $\begin{array}{r}21,929.70 \\ 180,626.07 \\ 46,731.87 \\ \\ \hline 4.378 .82\end{array}$ $73,054.58$ $4,378.82$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,779,441.00 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 3,499,393.00 \\ 6.543,959.00 \\ 829,605.00 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 41. Rumson Borough .......... 42 Sea Brigt Borough ...... 43 Sea Girt Borough 44. Shrewsbury Borough ...... 45. Shrewsbury Township ..... | $\begin{array}{r} 5,854.42 \\ 1,087.01 \\ 7,406.54 \\ 22,991.64 \end{array}$ |  | $4,745,956.33$ <br> 899.507 .57 <br> $2,135.595 .73$ <br> $1,634.443 .91$ <br> $127,784.74$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,745,956.33 \\ 899.507 .57 \\ 2.135 .595 .73 \\ 1,634443.91 \\ 117,784.74 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 46. South Belmar Boro ........ 47. Spring Lake Borough..... 48. Spring Lake His. Boro..... 49. Tinton Falls Borough ..... 50. Union Beach Borough .... | $\begin{array}{r}2.795 .15 \\ 24.016 .42 \\ 11.162 .74 \\ 117.131 .91 \\ 5,521.58 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $441,463.50$ $3,160,944.68$ $1,764,84.85$ $3,37,3355.61$ $1,095,175.83$ |  | $441,463.50$ $3,160,944.68$ $1,764,854.85$ $3,37,335.61$ $1,095,175.83$ | $16,725.39$ <br> $66,827.70$ <br>  <br> 10.29 .69 <br> $128,298.69$ $41,492.25$ |  | $10,860.65$ $77+75.30$ $43,48.49$ 82.424 .43 $26,931.18$ |  |
| 51. Upper Freehold Twp. <br> 52. Wall Township <br> 53. W. Long Branch Boro | 46,569.62 19,015.39 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,037,912.80 \\ & 7,639,854.56 \\ & 2,333,815.92 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,037,912.80 \\ & 7,639,854.56 \\ & 2,333,815.92 \end{aligned}$ | 39,516.92 $289,661.97$ $88,450.99$ $\qquad$ | 127,813.05 | $\begin{array}{r} 25.570 .04 \\ 187.812 .64 \\ 57,373.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,494.288 .00 \\ 4,005,373.09 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$1,673,429.30 | \$346.56 | \$162,633,504.00 | .......... | \$162,633,504.00 | \$4,171,653.00 | \$727,106.00 | \$4,000,000.00 | \$316,297,052.55 |
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| TAXING DISTAMCTS | nepontionmintit of taxes |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | section CLocal Taxes to bo Reved for |  |  | section D <br> Total Tax Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + + Cla, b. c $+\mathrm{ClI})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt fom Taxation |  | (b) <br> Miscollaneous Rovenues Anticipated |  | (d) <br> Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | SCHOOL 'PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Aepionel Coneolidetiod Jain 2 Jain gohool suogets |  |  |  |  | Surplus Revenue Apprepriated |  |  |  |
| 1. Aberdeen Township ........ 2. Allonhurst Boron Bug........ 3. Allontown Borough ...... 4. Asbur Park City 5. Allantic Highlands Boro ... | $\left.\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline R \$ 14,245,716.34 \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ R \\ R, 68,871.97, .924 .38 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\text { \$ } 11,000.0 .$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,681,915.00 \\ 787,900.00 \\ 5666,000.00 \\ 7,08.508 .31 \\ 1,785,130.69 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 70,342,600 \\ 6.350,000 \\ 10.97,650 \\ 163,24,100 \\ 31,231,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 925,000.00 \\ 81,8000 \\ 200.00000 \\ 84.00000 \\ 450,000.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 550,000.00 \\ 30,00.00 \\ 75,0000 \\ 2.089 .000 .00 \\ 280,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\$ 3.933,148.00$ $1,067.30 .00$ 1634.000 .00 11.644 .578 .01 $1,718,279.64$ |
| 6. Avon-By-the-Sea Boro ...... 7. Belmar Boroug 8. Bradiley Beach Boro........ 9. Briele Borough 10. Colts Neck Township....... | 2,101,868.55 |  | $1,574,296.29$ $4,758971.54$ $2,357,2888.92$ $2,059,237.00$ $942,309.15$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,790,385.39 \\ 9,471,73.78 \\ 6,483,862.91 \\ 7,996.391 .39 \\ 11,762,295.38 \end{array}$ | $10,814,800$ $86.617,900$ $12,391,400$ 12.767 .600 $114,103,700$ | $212,427.71$ <br> 450.0000 <br> $633,000.75$ <br> $1,166.40 .00$ <br> $1,494,326.85$ | $282,435.00$ <br> $2,922,783.92$ <br> $1,04,761.97$ <br> 568.975 .00 <br> $2,209,674.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200,000.00 \\ & 612,00000 \\ & 350.000 .00 \\ & 231,00000 \\ & 400,00000 \end{aligned}$ | $694,862.71$ $4,054,783.92$ $2,024.668 .72$ $1,16,395 . .00$ $4,104,000.85$ |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} R & 4,043,656.47 \\ R & 188.988 .36 \\ R & 1,683,750.71 \\ R & 206,946.72 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $1,258.162 .22$ <br> 4.685 .277 .77 <br> $2.693,62.05$ <br> $2,017.5650$ <br> 146.515 .91 <br> 1.39 |  | $25,114,500$ 1449.96 .800 $9,136,755$ $18.82, .150$ $3,735,800$ | $\begin{array}{r} 463,000.00 \\ 2,710.00000 \\ 50.00000 \\ 460.00000 \\ 226,100.00 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ |  | $60,000.00$ 575.000 .00 7500000 200.000 .00 $55,000.00$ | $1,838.141 .13$ <br> $6.097,257.68$ <br> $1446,282.48$ <br> 1.644 .432 .00 <br> $433,558.87$ |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} R & 1,771,512.42 \\ R & 6,034,495.83 \\ R & 1,812,234.62 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $3,394,416.64$ $1,541,099.00$ $3,642,670.64$ $2,70,8,82.67$ $3,367,470.56$ | $10,555.063 .98$ <br> $32,045,31.85$ <br> $22.687,1437$ <br> $7,7755.5977$ <br> $27,710,144.65$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113,507,900 \\ 179,579,300 \\ 65,435,750 \\ 31,354,200 \\ 80,951,945 \end{array}$ | $730,042.00$ $5,218,148.00$ $102,600.00$ 168.00 .00 $3,250,288.00$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,893,680.86 \\ 12,9268846.00 \\ 44,708,744.00 \\ 1,749,719.00 \\ 6,991,823.44 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|rr\|} \hline R & 5,585,736.85 \\ & \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ \hline R & 2,191,808.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,064,228.69 \\ & 733,74.48 \\ & 3,332,694.00 \\ & 2,150.6988 .00 \\ & 2,433,895.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 187,534,100 \\ 3,063,900 \\ 34,046,600 \\ 66,866,000 \\ 46,115,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,200,000.00 \\ 103,700000 \\ 374,30.00 \\ 726,273.00 \end{array}$ | $10,035.990 .10$ <br> 54.306 .38 <br> 55.18380 <br> $1,278.652 .00$ <br> $1,118,124.00$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15,235,990.10 \\ 278.060 .38 \\ 852,183.00 \\ 2.045 .002 .00 \\ 2,093,397.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 26. Loch Arbour Village ........ 27. Long Branch 28. 28. Manalapan Townshio...... 29. Manaspuan Borosugh ...... 30. Marboro Township ........ | $\quad 435,207.65 \mid$ |  |  | $847,881.17$ $35,175,370.61$ $35,118.701 .95$ 8.856 .711 .97 $37,794,360.41$ | 652,800 $201,23,300$ 62.88 .400 $6.6011,700$ $123,311,925$ |  |  | $16,000.00$ $1.80,0000$ 1.00 .00000 $1,040.000000$ $1,200,00000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 224,516.40 \\ 11,392,139.47 \\ 8,653,999.65 \\ 2,535.468 . .36 \\ 9,038,446.00 \end{array}$ |
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| taxina districts | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | (b) <br> improvements (Includes Partial Abatoments) $\underset{\text { Abatements) }}{\text { Examption }}$ |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and 2-3) (Col. 2-3) | 5 Taxable Value of Machinery, Equipment of tolephone Tolegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Valuation } \\ & \text { (Tol. } 4+5+5) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 145,796,550 \\ 115,93,050 \\ 181,102,00 \\ 190,79,00 \\ 145,490,310 \\ 2456 \end{array}$ | $\$ 221,655,250$ $181,888.500$ $287,720,700$ $333,488.556$ $416,311,010$ |  | $\$ 221,654,250$ $181,777,500$ $287,625,600$ $333,418,556$ $416,311,010$ | $\$ 5,574,138$ 709,363 77595 519,494 681,329 | $\$ 227,228,388$ $182,286,836$ $288,40,551$ $333,3988,050$ $416,992,339$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 76,352,600 \\ & \hline 24,11,700 \\ & 505,967,810 \\ & 245,209.314 \\ & 786,910,600 \end{aligned}$ | $140,624,300$ $364,920,600$ 9055533,410 39684,764 $1,124,374,900$ |  | $140,624,300$ $364,920.600$ $905.532,410$ 319644,764 $1,124,374,900$ | 888,653 83,251 $5,703,906$ $5,36,646$ $2,854,282$ | $141,512,953$ <br> 365,599851 <br> $911,236,316$ <br> $325,050,410$ <br> $1,127,229,182$ |
|  | 324,319,400 332,227,100 498,816,500 376,867,500 | $837,729,300$ $619,121,650$ 32440000 5641,200 $434,302,700$ | $1,162,048,700$ $751,348,750$ $706,664,676$ $1,063,230,600$ $811,170,200$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,162,048,700 \\ 951,348,750 \\ 706,664,676 \\ 1,063,230,660 \\ 811,170,200 \end{array}$ | $3,846,715$ $19,836,45$ $1,475,20$ 5 $5,234,200$ $2,177,195$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,165,895,415 \\ 771,185,165 \\ 708,139,896 \\ 1.068,465,405 \\ 813,347,395 \end{array}$ |
| 16. Lincoln Park Borough ..... 17. Madison Borouhg 18. M Mendham Borough ....... 19. Mendamem Townhip 20. Mine Hill Township ......... |  |  | $333,962,004$ $848,788,450$ $589.936,017$ $820,116,265$ 204,402,800 |  | 333,962,004 848,788,450 820,216,265 204,402,800 |  |  |
| 21. Montville Township ......... 22. Moris Townhip 23. Morris Tlainsho Borough...... 24. Moristown Town 25. Mountain Lakes Boro....... | $335,315,600$ $1,140,487,800$ $112,285,320$ $509,657,800$ $84,095,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 644,093,500 \\ 1,648,282.000 \\ 1,271722.551 \\ 7877.74 ., 725 \\ 130,785,100 \end{array}$ |  |  | $979,409,100$ $2,788,769,800$ $384,007,871$ $1,297,422.525$ $214,878,700$ | $2,865,322$ $9,986.328$ $3,71,015$ $33,792,036$ $1,056,686$ | $982,274,422$ $2,788,756,108$ $385,718,886$ $1,31,2144561$ $215,935,386$ |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | $3$ | $4$ | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of telephone Telegraph and Messenger Systom Companies (C. 136, L. 1866) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 26. Mount Arlington Boro <br> 27. Mount Olive Township <br> 28. Netcong Borough <br> 29. Par-Troy Hills Twp. <br> 30. Passaic Township | $93,993,800$ $21,58,168$ $53,389,500$ $63,62,500$ $169,779,725$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,828,000 \\ 418,508,905 \\ 109,659,000 \\ 1,825,515,300 \\ 305,975,395 \end{array}$ | $219,821,800$ $640,057,073$ $163,048,500$ $2,459,117,800$ $475,755,120$ |  | $219,821,800$ $640,057,073$ $163,048,500$ $2,458,777,600$ $475,755,120$ | 869,496 $2,795,857$ $5,150,952$ $10,983,006$ $6,333,477$ | $220,691,296$ $642,852,930$ $168,199,452$ $2,469,760,606$ $482,088,597$ |
| 31. Pequannock Township .... 32. Randolph Township 33. Riverdale Borough 34. Rockaway Borough ........ 35. Rockaway Township ....... | $239,946,300$ $255,603,729$ $130,096,300$ $177,713,800$ $388,730,400$ | $370,315,700$ $580,616,900$ $123,369,409$ $271,022,100$ $659,793,700$ | $610,262,000$ $836,220,629$ $253,465,709$ $448,735,900$ $1,048,524,100$ |  | $610,262,000$ $836,220,629$ $253,465,709$ $448,735,900$ $1,048,524,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,409,298 \\ 3,59,660 \\ 10,813,257 \\ 1,749,473 \\ 2,841,444 \end{array}$ | $611,671,298$ $839,813,289$ $264,278,266$ $450,485,373$ $1,051,365,544$ |
| 36. Roxbury Township <br> 37. Victory Gardens Boro <br> 38. Washington Township <br> 39. Wharton Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 265,442,700 \\ 22,634,500 \\ 158,258,100 \\ 38,790,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 411,874,900 \\ 30,205,100 \\ 416,407,100 \\ 95,138,800 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $677,317,600$ $52,839,600$ $574,665,200$ $133,929,400$ | ........... $\cdots$ 19, 700 ........ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,317,600 \\ 52,839,600 \\ 54,645,500 \\ 133,929,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $684,582,381$ $52,985,937$ $577,008,412$ $134,205,447$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$9,830,127,150 | \$16,216,013,729 | \$26,046,140,879 | \$460,000 | \$26,045,680,879 | \$205,530,614 | \$26,251,211,493 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{7

General
Tax
Rate
to Agply
pers $\$ 100$

aluation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{County Equallzation TableAverage Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property (R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19)} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
9 <br>
True Value of Class II Ralliroad Property (C. 139. L. 1966)

} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

$$
10
$$ <br>

EQUALIZATION
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Net Valuation
on Which
County Taxes
Aro
Apportioned
(Cols. $6(9$
$-10(\mathrm{a})$

$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| 12 |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Deducted Under |
| R.S. 54:3-17 to |
| R.S. $54: 3-19$ |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Section A County Taxes} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{'
Total
County Taxes
Apportioned
(Including
Total Not
Adjustments)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{ }$ From} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{County Equalization Table Appeals (月.S. $54: 2-37$ )} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Add } \\
\text { Underpayment }
\end{gathered}
$$ <br>

\hline 1. Boonton Town ..............
2. Boonton Township ..........
3. Butler Sorough
4. Chatham Borough ...........

5. Chatham Township ......... \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.680 \\
& 3.060 \\
& 3.650 \\
& 4.090 \\
& 4.190
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 41.63 \\
& 46.41 \\
& 63.83 \\
& 39.24 \\
& 38.38
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $\begin{array}{r} \\ \$ 47,965 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \hline 81,757\end{array}$ \& ........ \& $\$ 327,739,628$

$213,619,772$
$170,688,445$
$524,411,825$
$670,539,889$ \& $\$ 555,015,981$
$395,906,608$
$459,089,996$
$858,431,632$
$1,087,532,228$ \& $\$ 1,491,269.94$
$1,063,759.68$
$1,233,526.84$
$2,306,516.09$
$2,922,085.45$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline 6. Chester Borough...........
7. Chester Township
8. Denville Township .............
9. Dover Town
10. East Hanover Twp. ........... \& 2.640
3.500
2.310
5.180
1.910 \& 73.21
51.92
71.76
44.14

72.40 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
175,586 \\
90,593
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& $53,520,270$

$340,814,882$
$371,089,309$
$419,710,895$
$451,106,503$ \& $195,033,223$
$706,574,733$
$1,282,501,211$
$744,851,898$
$1,578,335,685$ \& $524,033.89$
$1,898,492.47$
$3,445,946.73$
$2,001,339.21$
$4,240,823.05$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 11. Florham Park Borough |
| :--- |
| 12. Hanover Township |
| 13. Harding Township |
| 14. Jefferson Township |
| 15. Kinnelon Borough | \& 1.570

2.590
1.140
2.020
2.110 \& 74.60
51.06
68.03
93.90

80.91 \&  \& $$
\cdots \cdot
$$ \& $417,137,868$

$990,892,583$
$334,583,110$
$72,841,194$
$193,443,557$ \& $1,583,033,283$
$1,962,077,748$
$1,042,723,006$
$1,141,306,599$
$1,006,790,952$ \& $4,253,445.00$
$5,271,897.87$
$2,801,687.75$
$3,066,571.56$
$2,705,142.08$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 16. Lincoln Park Borough |
| :--- |
| 17. Madison Borough |
| 18. Mendham Borough |
| 19. Mendham Township |
| 20. Mine Hill Township | \& 4.460

2.910
1.740
1.550

2.240 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
47.53 \\
58.81 \\
98.69 \\
100.56 \\
93.84
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

92,369
\] \& \$ 3,539,410 \& $373,280,943$

$605,312,949$
$10,983,934$
$16,666,874$ \& $708,690,977$
$1,490,480,393$
$604,182,804$
$81,179,176$
$221,917,759$ \& $1,904,178.60$
$4,004,765.06$
$1,623,376.06$
$2,198,361.95$
$596,269.83$ \& ${ }_{\text {…....... }}$ \&  <br>

\hline | 21. Montville Township |
| :--- |
| 22. Morris Township |
| 23. Morris Plains Borough |
| 24. Morristown Town |
| 25. Mountain Lakes Boro | \& 2.810

1.420
3.640
1.990

4.930 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
52.76 \\
104.92 \\
51.74 \\
84.59 \\
40.21
\end{array}
$$ \& 151,170 \& 96,889.276 \& $890,176,361$

$372,956,940$
$266,757,808$
$321,383,789$ \& $1,872,450,783$
$2,701,866,832$
$758,675,826$
$1,598,123,539$
$537,319,175$ \& $5,031,079.58$
$7,259.633 .83$
$2,038,482.66$
$4,293,990.95$
$1,443,720.47$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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| TAXING DISTRICTS |  |  | True Value of Class II Railroad Property <br> L. 1966) (C. 139, | 10 equalzation |  | Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Apportioned (Cols. $6+9$ - 10(a) <br> $+10(b))$ | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> R.S. 54:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added Under to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D.7 |  | section $A$county Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjustments "I Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\substack{\text { (a) } \\ \text { Table } \\ \text { Equalization } \\ \text { Topeals }}$ (R.S. 54:2-37) abie Appeals(R.S. $54: 2-37$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 26. Mount Arlington Boro ..... 27. Mount Olive Township.... 28. Noutcong Borough 29. Par-TTOY Hills Twp. ......... 30. Passaic Township ......... | $\begin{aligned} & 2.280 \\ & 4.750 \\ & 2.090 \\ & 3.290 \\ & 2.660 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.47 \\ 43.78 \\ 103.73 \\ 52.38 \\ 66.58 \end{array}$ |  | 2,489,769 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,302,886 \\ 826,396,076 \\ 2,271,0,0,818 \\ 245,356,005 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 604,535.75 \\ 3,447,78.54 \\ 1245,24.60 \\ 12,737981.59 \\ 1,954,567.63 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 3.380 4.170 1.760 2.010 3.570 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.58 \\ & 48.20 \\ & 95.07 \\ & 99.07 \\ & 57.74 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $998,908,926$ $1,749,230,643$ $282,134,001$ $464,228,245$ $1,833,730,406$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,683,963.90 \\ 4,699999.94 \\ 758,064.58 \\ 1,247,323.78 \\ 4,927,041.98 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 36. Roxbury Township .......... 37. Victory Gardens Boro 38. Wanhingon Township 39. Wharton Borough ........ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.270 \\ & 1.650 \\ & 4.130 \\ & 4.820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46.47 \\ 115.94 \\ 46.09 \\ 42.64 \end{array}$ |  | 7,029,861 | 801,756,256 <br> 677,968,277 <br> 186,130,714 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,486,425,706 \\ 45,956,076 \\ 1,254,976,689 \\ 320,336,161 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,993,870.54 \\ 123,49.17 \\ 3,371,991.22 \\ 860,709.81 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Totals ....................... |  |  | \$726,509 | \$109,948,316 | \$15,533,207.071 | \$41,675,196,757 | \$111,976,898.63 | $\ldots$ | .... |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Morris, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| taxing distaicts | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C Local Taxes to Be Raised for DISTRI DISTRICT PURPOSES |
|  | ADUUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { III } \\ \substack{\text { Net } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Cpperas } \\ \text { Appoioned }} \end{gathered}$ |  | $V$Net CountyTaxesApporionedLess MunieipalButgetSutat Aid(Col. Alll-AIV) |  | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Tax (C. 30 , L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appoals and (R.S. 54:4-48; | orrected Errors R.S. 54:4-83) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Add } \\ & \text { Underpayment } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Boonton Town 2. Boonton Towns......... 3. Buther Borounh ................ 4. Chatham Borough ......... 5. Chatham Township ........ | $\$ 15,549.55$ <br> $1,663.34$ <br> $8,843.94$ <br> $11,260.79$ <br> $23,327.98$ |  |  <br> $\$ 1,475,720.39$ <br> $1,062,096.34$ <br> $1,24,682.90$ <br> $2,295,255$ <br> $2,898,757.47$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,755,805.22 \\ 3,24,575.50 \\ 6,963,905.00 \\ \hline . . . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ |
|  | $1,256.95$ 5,217.57 1,301.26 |  | $522,776.94$ <br> $1,891,16.04$ <br> 3 <br> $3,44,729.16$ <br> $1,929.465 .10$ <br> $4,239.521 .79$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1,367,172.16$ 4 4 4,899,014.05 $8,630,009.00$ 8,040,112.00 |
|  |  | $\$ 7,334.84$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,249,051.84 \\ & 5.023 .678 .24 \\ & 2,79.454 .57 \\ & 3,059,392.41 \\ & 2,712,476.92 \\ & \hline 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $5,973,415.00$ <br> $9,649.504 .00$ <br> $34,07.385 .50$ <br> $14,2933.609 .58$ <br> $11,025,375.00$ |
| 16. Lincoln Park Borough ..... 17. Madison Boroug 18. Mendam Borong ........ 19. Mendamam Townhip 20. Mine Hill Township ......... |  |  |  |  | $1,875,956.96$ <br> 3.979 .993 .17 <br> $1.65 ., 292.54$ <br> $2,175,125.52$ <br> $591,157.91$ |  |  |  | $8,405,432.00$ <br> $13.80,751.50$ <br> 3.537 .653 .21 <br> 3.79 .682 .25 <br> $2,978,202.50$ |
| 21. Monnville Township .......... 22. Morns Townsin 23. Morsin Tlains Borough ..... 24. Morstown Town 25. Mountain Lakes Boro...... | $20,837.20$ 15,033.08 $18,954.14$ $1,907.10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,597,444.75 <br> 7,109,664.00 <br> 7,614,006.36 |
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| taxing districts | 12 <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C to Be Raised for DISTAICT SCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | RESULTING FROM <br> ADJUSMENTS AD ESULTING FROM |  | III$\substack{\text { Not } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { Taxes } \\ \text { Apportioned }}$ |  |  | (a) <br> County Taxas | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-10) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Tax (C. 30 . L. 1080) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Appoals and } \\ & \text { (R.S. } 54: 4-48 \end{aligned}$ | (b) Errora <br> R.S. 54:4-53) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | by Dletrict School Budget |
| 26. Mount Arlington Boro <br> 27. Mount Olive Township <br> 28. Netcong Borough <br> 30. Par-Troy Hills Twp <br> Passaic Township |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 602.691 .86 \\ 3,873.50 .82 \\ 441.832 .82 \\ 12.618 .444 .93 \\ 1,921,193.37 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $32,712.17$ $20,133.16$ 8,020.66 141,440.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36. Roxbury Township <br> 37. Victory Gardens Boro <br> 38. Washington Township <br> 39. Wharton Borough | $5,974.52$ 20.41 .56 $2,39.01$ $43,328.90$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,987,896.02 \\ 1030,047.61 \\ 3,36,952.21 \\ 817,380.91 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,987,896.02 \\ 103,047.61 \\ 3,369.592 .21 \\ 817,380.91 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~}{. . . . . . . . . ~}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18,410.646 .16 \\ & 651.292 .00 \\ & 10.658 .015 .00 \\ & 2,690,614.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$1,098,002.14 | \$7,334.84 | 110,886,231.33 | .......... | 110,886,231.33 | ...... | ..... | $\ldots$ | \$324,785,780.98 |
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | 14 <br> AMOUNT OF MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + B(a), (b), (c) $+\mathrm{Cla}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ $+\mathrm{ClI})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) <br> Surplus Revenue Appropriated | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Receipts from Delinquent Taxes and Lions | (d) <br> Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})$ |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | IILocalMunicipal <br> Purposes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) | (c) <br> As Required by Local Municipal Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regional Consolldated and Joint School Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26. Mount Arlington Boro | $\begin{array}{r} 716,237.99 \\ 2,136,857.00 \end{array}$ |  | $1,306,004.80$$7,234,423.62$$1,005,431.00$$19,156,266.88$$3,637,787.40$ | $5,011,407.66$$30,494,872.44$$3,501,123.72$$81,114,186.31$$12,818,277.47$ | $13,160,600$$38,833,500$$9,320,600$$240,715,270$$28,325,950$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,000.00 \\ 125,000.00 \\ 927,000.00 \\ 850,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 666,003.81 \\ 4,273,384.13 \\ 572,300.00 \\ 13,448,679.76 \\ 2,641,722.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350,000.00 \\ 675,000.00 \\ 80,000.00 \\ 1,886,000.00 \\ 235,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,131,003.81 \\ 4,948,384.13 \\ 777,300.00 \\ 16,261,679.76 \\ 3,726,722.11 \end{array}$ |
| 27. Mount Olive Township .... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28. Netcong Borough ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29. Par-Troy Hills Twp. .......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30. Passaic Township ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. Pequannock Township .... | $\begin{aligned} & 2,456,697.88 \\ & 8,466,905.01 \end{aligned}$ |  | $3,910,696.77$$7,597,007.00$$1,501,050.55$$2,358,872.98$$9,255,602.00$ | $20,616,081.50$$34,995,858.03$$4,629,381.47$$9,045,633.78$$37,444,365.57$ | $123,034,800$$73,600,000$$18,401,100$$50,342,600$$440,337,200$ | $1,225,000.00$$1,000,000.00$$110,000.00$$575,000.00$$1,366,497.00$ | $2,240,877.79$$4,610,532.56$$676,280.11$$967,737.45$$3,794,858.00$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,000.00 \\ & 800,000.00 \\ & 285,000.00 \\ & 185,000.00 \\ & 590,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $3,715,877.79$$6,410,532.56$$1,071,280.11$$1,727,737.45$$5,751,355.00$ |
| 32. Randolph Township ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33. Riverdale Borougr. .......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34. Rockaway Borough ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35. Rockaway Township ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,534,030.52 \\ & 1,485,019.69 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,793,761.07 \\ 18,6699.59 \\ 4,20,52 . .00 \\ 1,471,747.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,192,303.25 \\ 873,019.20 \\ 23,782,162.73 \\ 6,464,761.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,397,800 \\ 4,177,900 \\ 39,904,300 \\ 9,385,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,258,000.00 \\ 1477,000.00 \\ 1,300,000.00 \\ 189,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,637,441.00 \\ 240,797.00 \\ 1,984,306.00 \\ 869,503.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,126,000.00 \\ 50,000.00 \\ 570,000.00 \\ 115,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $6,021,441.00$$437,797.00$$3,84,06600$$1,173,503.00$ |
| 37. Victory Gardens Boro ..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38. Washington Township ..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39. Wharton Borough ........... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals ......................... | \$104,300,553.55 | $\ldots$ | \$170,018,321.08 | \$709,990,886.94 | \$3,031,591,890 | \$28,066,578.80 | \$105,609,870.93 | \$15,289,400.95 | \$148,965,850.68 |

[^27]Net County Taxes Apportioned (12A III)
Adjustments (Net Total 12A II)
(Including Adjustments - Total 12A I)

Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 9 3 3 , 1 2 5 . 3 7}$ .26868955

100\%
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | 3 | 4 | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions a Abatemente) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery. Implements \& Equipment of Telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Barnegat Township <br> 2. Barnegat Light Boro <br> 3. Bay Head Borough <br> 4. Beach Haven Borough <br> 5. Beachwood Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 147,968,800$ $244,650,300$ $169,838,650$ $125,593,760$ $188,281,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 299,979,100 \\ 87,051,974 \\ 81,311,050 \\ 155,176,896 \\ 207,224,700 \end{array}$ | $\$ 447,947,900$ $331,702,274$ $251,149,700$ $280,770,656$ $395,505,800$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 447,947,900 \\ 331,702,274 \\ 251,149,700 \\ 280,770,656 \\ 395,505,800 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$, 463,630 \\ 422.278 \\ 387.921 \\ 712.254 \\ 1,171,438 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 454,411,530$ $332,124.552$ $251,537.621$ $281,482.910$ $396,677,238$ |
|  | $794,875,500$ $507,885,900$ $1,118,426,400$ $43,140,300$ $290,411,000$ | $1,314,678,300$ $1,278,422,100$ $1,760,164,100$ $49,376,300$ $101,476,000$ | $2,109,553,800$ $1,786,308,000$ $2,878,590,500$ $92,516,600$ $391,887,000$ | $\text { \$ } 14,971,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,109,553,800 \\ 1,786,308,000 \\ 2,863,619,400 \\ 92,516,600 \\ 391,887,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,782,273 \\ 9,844,308 \\ 18,423,606 \\ 644,127 \\ 750,272 \end{array}$ | $2,120,336,073$ $1,796,152,308$ $2,882,043,006$ $93,160,727$ $392,637,272$ |
| 11. Island Heights Boro <br> 12. JacksQn Township <br> 13. Lacey गownship <br> 14. Lakehurst Borough <br> 15. Lakewood Township | $73,932,600$ $845,406,200$ $663,350,000$ $19,915,500$ $250,319,700$ | $60,100,800$ $801,164,200$ $846,657,600$ $40,737,500$ $1,041,526,700$ | $134,033,400$ $1,646,570,400$ $1,510,007,600$ $60,653,000$ $1,291,846,400$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 . . . . . . . . \\ 59,800 \\ 59,890.800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134,033,400 \\ 1,646,570,400 \\ 1,510,001,800 \\ 60,653,000 \\ 1,232,255,600 \end{array}$ | 177,062 $11,009,185$ $11,200,485$ $2,802,501$ $14,510,191$ | $\begin{array}{r} 134,210,462 \\ 1,657,579,585 \\ 1,521,202,285 \\ 63,455,501 \\ 1,246,765,791 \end{array}$ |
| 16. Lavallette Borough 17........ 18ttle Egg Harbor Twp. 18. Long Beach Township .... R 19. Manchester Township R 20. Mantoloking Borough ..... | $238,650,900$ $128,400,969$ $1,727,055,200$ $466,736,300$ $320,379,900$ | $149,548,200$ $297,545,800$ $577,454,394$ $1,099,161,100$ $112,822,200$ | $388,199,100$ $425,946,769$ $2,304,509,594$ $1,565,897,400$ $433,202,100$ | 116,400 | $388,199,100$ $425,830,369$ $2,304,509,594$ $1,565,897,400$ $433,202,100$ | $2,518,155$ $4,294,033$ $4,771,188$ $11,256,877$ 281,301 | $390,717,255$ $430,124,402$ $2,309,280,782$ $1,577,154,277$ $433,483,401$ |
| 21. Ocean Township 22. Ocean Gate..... 23. Pine Beach Borough R Be.... R 24. Plumsted Township 25. Pt. Pleasant Borough ....... | $\begin{array}{r} 242,102,173 \\ 62,034,650 \\ 56,675,900 \\ 114,946,097 \\ 694,048,500 \end{array}$ | $182,301,946$ $61,946,350$ $68,844,600$ $131,863,950$ $601,869,900$ | $424,404,119$ $123,981,000$ $125,520,500$ $246,810,047$ $1,295,918,400$ |  | $424,404,119$ $123,981,000$ $125,520,500$ $246,810,047$ $1,295,918,400$ | $1,916,525$ 340,785 313,334 $3,584,519$ $7,688,868$ | $426,320,644$ $124,321,785$ $125,833,834$ $250,394,566$ $1,303,607,268$ |
| R 26. Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro <br> 27. Seaside Heights Boro <br> 28. Seaside Park Borough <br> R 29. Ship Bottom Borough <br> 30. S. Toms River Boro | $429,544,000$ $137,850,100$ $321,711,600$ $237,713,000$ $36,921,800$ | $254,793,600$ $135.084,940$ $162,560,600$ $136,213,730$ $56,912,800$ | $684,337,600$ $272,935,040$ $484,272,200$ $373,926,730$ $93,834,600$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 684,337,600 \\ 272,935,040 \\ 484,272,200 \\ 373,926,730 \\ 93,834,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 763,232 \\ & 558,559 \\ & 950,929 \\ & 612,571 \\ & 546,300 \end{aligned}$ | $685,100,832$ $273,493,599$ $485,223,129$ $374,539,301$ $94,380,900$ |
| 31. Stafford Township <br> 32. Surf City Borough <br> 33. Tuckerton Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 637,537,880 \\ 111,167.500 \\ 87,752,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697,029,353 \\ 144,158,450 \\ 91,564,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,334,567,153 \\ 255,325,950 \\ 179,316,800 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {c.i......... }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,334,567,153 \\ 255,325,950 \\ 179,316,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,017,293 \\ & 1,653,476 \\ & 1,377,324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,343,584,446 \\ 256,979,426 \\ 180,694,124 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$11,535,224,699 | \$13,086,723,433 | \$24,621,948,132 | \$74,684,100 | \$24,547,264,032 | \$141,746.800 | \$24,689,010,832 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXIING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{General
Tax
Rate
Rate
Apply
Per
Valuo
Vation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
County \\
Equalization \\
Table- \\
Average \\
Ratio of \\
Assessed \\
to True \\
Value of Real \\
Property \\
(R.8. 84:3-17 \\
to R. 8 \\
84:3-19)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Clase II Railroad Property (C. 139 , L. 1986)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
10
\] \\
EQUALZATION
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Not Valuation
on Which
County Taxes
Aportioned
(Coler $6+9$
$-10+9$

$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| $12$ |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounte Deducted Under |
| R.8. 84:3-17 |
| to |
| R.8. 84:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under R.8. 84:3-17 to R.8. 84:3-19 and N.J.8.A. 84:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Section A County Taxes} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{| Total |
| :--- |
| County Taxes Apportioned Including Adjustments) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments Resulting From} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Add Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Barnegat Township |
| :--- |
| 2. Barnegat Light Boro |
| 3. Bay Head Borough |
| 4. Beach Haven Borough |
| 5. Beachwood Borough | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 2.910 \\
.889 \\
1.575 \\
2.408 \\
1.703 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 78.52

92.08
58.62
47.39
101.28 \& ...........
\$ 409,163
$\ldots \ldots . . . .$.

$\ldots \ldots .$. \&  \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline 123,216,145 \\
29,242,278 \\
178,162,222 \\
313,874,702
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | $\$ 577,627,675$ |
| ---: |
| $361,366,830$ |
| $430,109,006$ |
| $595,357,612$ |
| $392,200,056$ | \& |  |
| ---: |
| $\$ 2,096,201.01$ |
| $1,311,394.08$ |
| $1,560,858.27$ |
| $2,160.542 .65$ |
| $1,423,287.34$ | \&  \& | $\$ 3,729.52$ |
| ---: |
| $2,336.32$ |
| $2,780.24$ |
| $3,665.90$ |
| $2,524.29$ | <br>


\hline | 6 Berkeley Township |
| :--- |
| 7. Brick Township |
| 8. Dover Township |
| 9. Eagleswood Township |
| 10. Harvey Cedars Boro | \& 1.659

3.950
3.407
2.285

.953 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 84.12 \\
& 38.37 \\
& 47.33 \\
& 82.00 \\
& 93.05
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\square$ \& \[

\cdots \cdot . . . .

\] \& | $405,100,390$ |
| ---: |
| $2,881,422,745$ |
| $3,225,489,652$ |
| $20,512,200$ |
| $29,592,408$ | \& | $2,525,436,463$ |
| ---: |
| $4,677,575,053$ |
| $6,107,532,658$ |
| $113,672,927$ |
| $422,229,680$ | \& | $9,164,765.99$ |
| ---: |
| $16,974,840.35$ |
| $22,164,132.19$ |
| $41,517.12$ |
| $1,532,264.33$ | \& \$ 169,796.43 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline 16,148.81 \\
31,204.36 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \\
2,783.04 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | 11 Island Heights Boro |
| :--- |
| 12. Jackson Township |
| 13. Lacey Township |
| 14. Lakehurst Borough |
| 15. Lakewood Township | \& | 1.859 |
| :--- |
| 2.321 |
| 1.332 |
| 3.224 |
| 3.493 | \& | 99.66 |
| :--- |
| 98.88 |
| 89.52 |
| 79.16 |
| 60.74 | \&  \& \[

\cdots . . . .
\] \& 794,679

$23,970,080$
$179,715,283$
$16,294,886$

$807,882,798$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
135,005,141 \\
1,681,549,665 \\
1,700,917,568 \\
79,750,387 \\
2,054,648,589 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& $489,931.36$

$6,102,315.15$
$6,172,60.94$
$289,412.80$

$7,456,284.80$ \&  \& | 849.80 |
| ---: |
| $10,683.06$ |
| $10,627.44$ |
| 473.38 |
| $12,915.20$ | <br>


\hline | 16. Lavallette Borough |
| :--- |
| 17. Little Egg Harbor Twp |
| 18. Long Beach Township |
| 19. Manchester Township |
| 20. Mantoloking Borough | \& 1.688

4.067
.942
2.051

.696 \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 58.33 \\
& 47.20 \\
& 98.32 \\
& 91.31 \\
& 97.46
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \&  \& ... \& | $278,445,125$ |
| ---: |
| $477,518,760$ |
| $42,315,407$ |
| $151,049,202$ |
| $11,455,954$ | \& $669,162,380$

$907,643,162$
$2,351,596,189$
$1,728,203,479$
$444,939,355$ \& $2,428,378.90$
$3,293,821.60$
$8,533,902.59$
$6,271,621.05$

$1,614,677.36$ \&  \& | $4,450.89$ |
| ---: |
| $5,808.19$ |
| $15,880.88$ |
| $11,321.99$ |
| $2,802.05$ | <br>


\hline | 21. Ocean Township |
| :--- |
| 22. Ocean Gate Borough |
| 23. Pine Beach Borough |
| 24. Plumsted Township |
| 25. Pt. Pleasant Borough | \& 1.703

1.511
1.543
1.622

1.734 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
102.13 \\
112.44 \\
110.04 \\
101.01 \\
95.96
\end{array}
$$ \&  \& $8,261,002$

$13,5644,463$
$11,294,184$

$1,447,776$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\
\ldots . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\
58,933,696
\end{array}
$$ \& $418,059,642$

$110,757,322$
$114,539,650$
$248,946,790$

$1,362,540,964$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline 1,517,131.33 \\
401,936.44 \\
415,662.44 \\
903,423.67 \\
4,944,638.00 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline 2,683.35 \\
720.44 \\
796.04 \\
1,486.02 \\
8,906.83 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline | 26. Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro |
| :--- |
| 27. Seaside Heights Boro |
| 28. Seaside Park Borough |
| 29. Ship Bottom Borough |
| 30. S. Toms River Boro | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.444 \\
& 1.890 \\
& 1.306 \\
& 1.246 \\
& 2.515 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 95.82 |
| ---: |
| 85.78 |
| 101.60 |
| 99.06 |
| 86.36 | \&  \& 6,180,383 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
37,263,794 \\
48,113,551 \\
5,523,769 \\
15,651,998 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $722,364,626$

$321,607,150$
$479,042,746$
$380,063,070$

$110,032,898$ \& | $2,621,448.95$ |
| ---: |
| $1,67,106.88$ |
| $1,738,437.98$ |
| $1,399,242.42$ |
| $399,307.52$ | \& $\ldots$ \& $4,633.92$

$2,145.56$
$2,899.75$
$2,765.52$
687.29 <br>

\hline | 31. Stafford Township |
| :--- |
| 32. Surf City Borough |
| 33. Tuckerton Borough | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.535 \\
& 1.916 \\
& 1.922
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 96.21 \\
& 49.70
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
97.27
$$ \& ........... \& ............... \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
54,772,148 \\
259,505,681 \\
5,834,975
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,398,356,594 \\
516,485,107 \\
186,529,099
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
5,074,612.31 \\
1,874,315.67 \\
676,912.19 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& …........... \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8,687.49 \\
& 3,351.11 \\
& 1,171.69 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Totals ........................ \& ........... \& ............ \& \$409,163 \& \$45,224,990 \& \$9,681,654,528 \& \$34,325,849,533 \& \$124,567,925.68 \& \$181,920.37 \& \$181,920.37 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISTRICTSCHOOLPURPOSESS |
|  | ADJUSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Not County Taxes Apportioned | IV <br> Municipal <br> Budget <br> Stade Aid <br> (R.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}-1$ <br> 118.40 ) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. Alll- AlV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appoais and | orrocted Errors R.S. $54: 4-53$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Barnegat Township <br> 2. Barnegat Light Boro <br> 3. Bay Head Borough <br> 4. Beach Haven Borough <br> 5. Beachwood Borough |  <br> $\$ 13,663.27$ <br> $1,726.44$ <br> $7,321.72$ <br> $14,134.06$ <br> $7,357.60$ |  |  <br> $\$ 2,086,267.26$ <br> $1,312,003.96$ <br> $1,556,316.79$ <br> $2,150,074.49$ <br> $1,418,454.03$ |  | $\$ 2,086,267.26$ <br> $1,312,003.96$ <br> $1,556,316.79$ <br> $2,150,0744.49$ <br> $1,418,454.03$ | $\$ 169,287.11$ $106,373.84$ $126,248.56$ $115,073.75$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 8,582.84 \\ 43,697.33 \\ 39,827.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,486,420.93 \\ 998,891 \ldots \\ 712,141.00 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Berkeley Township <br> 7. Brick Township <br> 8. Dover Township <br> 9. Eagleswood Township <br> 10. Harvey Cedars Boro | $14,911.61$ $325,161.75$ $148,949.59$ $7,494.92$ $14,216.81$ |  | $9,166,003.19$ $16,680,882.96$ $21,845,386.17$ $392,898.26$ $1,520,830.56$ |  | $9,166,003.19$ <br> $16,680,882.96$ <br> $21,834,386.17$ <br> $392,898.26$ <br> $1,520,830.56$ | $743,192.70$ $1,356,269.59$ $1,775,346.83$ $32,104.72$ $123,458.19$ | $257,315.60$ $468,726.49$ $613,750.75$ $11,058.42$ ......... |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,976,832.25 \\ 37,270,057.80 \\ 649,29 . . .00 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 11. Island Heights Eoro <br> 12. Jackson Township <br> 13. Lacey Township <br> 14. Lakehurst Borough <br> 15. Lakewood Township | $5,099.96$ $52,190.84$ $16,199.90$ $3,779.82$ $94,588.96$ |  | $485,681.20$ $6,060,807.37$ $6,167,028.48$ $286,106.36$ $7,374,611.04$ |  | $485,681.20$ $6,060,807.37$ $6,167,028.48$ $286,106.36$ $7,374,611.04$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,434.32 \\ 491,975.04 \\ 500,110.99 \\ 23,240.53 \end{array}$ | $13,640.83$ $170,219.70$ $173,134.26$ $8,036.45$ $207,092.21$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 896,651.00 \\ 22,057.967 .50 \\ 13,409,700.00 \\ 870.120 .00 \\ 22,825,413.25 \end{array}$ |
| 16. Lavallette Borough <br> 17. Little Egg Harbor Twp. <br> 18. Long Beach Township <br> 19. Manchester Township <br> 20. Mantoloking Borough | $1,277.18$ $7,668.06$ $77,860.09$ $34,846.40$ 401.16 |  | $2,431,552.61$ $3,291,961.73$ $8,471,923.38$ $6,248,096.64$ $1,617,078.25$ |  | $2,431,552.61$ $3,291,961.73$ $8,471,933.38$ $6,248,096.64$ $1,617,078.25$ | $197,118.55$ $266,946.47$ $687,717.81$ $506,913.31$ $131,087.24$ | $68,256.96$ $9,418.30$ $175,438.45$ $45,392.56$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,895,528.00 \\ 4,902,112.88 \\ 17,045,216.50 \\ 128,845.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 21. Ocean Township <br> 22. Ocean Gate Borough <br> 23. Pine Beach Borough <br> 24. Plumsted Township <br> 25. Pt. Pleasant Borough | $21,765.63$ $2,547.54$ $6,833.00$ $37,263.31$ $50,140.70$ |  | $1,498,049.05$ $400,109.34$ $409,625.48$ $867,646.38$ $4,903,404.13$ |  | $1,498,049.05$ $400,109.34$ $409,625.48$ $867,646.38$ $4,903,404.13$ | $121,707.48$ $32,46.37$ $33,290.27$ $70,803.45$ $398,131.30$ | $42,082.86$ $11,235.08$ $11,508.57$ $24,409.92$ $137,725.11$ |  | $4,652,676.50$ $576,174.00$ $2,794,691.00$ $13,525,274.00$ |
| 26. Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro <br> 27. Seaside Heights Boro <br> 28. Seaside Park Borough <br> 29. Ship Bottom Borough <br> 30. S. Toms River Boro | $21,640.28$ $1,155.78$ $11,863.76$ $40,941.03$ $2,053.36$ |  | $2,604,442.59$ $1,168,096.66$ $1,729,473.97$ $1,341,066.91$ $397,941.45$ |  | $2,604,442.59$ $1,168,096.66$ $1,729,473.97$ $1,341,066.91$ $397,941.45$ | $211,399.84$ $94,701.90$ $140,347.20$ $109,221.58$ $32,283.49$ | $73,144.74$ $32,791.50$ $48,566.42$ $11,173.35$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,954,254.50 \\ 1,775,674.00 \\ 971,247.00 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 31. Stafford Township <br> 32. Surf City Borough <br> 33. Tuckerton Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 32,700.85 \\ 501.19 \\ 2,999.11 \end{array}$ |  | $5,050,598.95$ <br> $1,877,165.59$ <br> $675,084.77$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,050,598.95 \\ 1,877,165.59 \\ 675,084.77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 409,818.22 \\ 152,170.78 \\ 54,759.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 141,818.68 \\ 18,955.19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | …......... | $\begin{array}{r} 8,094,546.71 \\ 978,202.82 \end{array}$ |
| Totals .......................... | \$1,081,255.68 | ........... | \$123,486,670.00 | ........... | \$123,486,670.00 | \$9,253,000.00 | \$3,000,000.00 | ........... | \$178,847,929.64 |
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Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Passaic, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partlal Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of tolephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Bloomingdale Borough <br> 2. Clifton City ( R$)^{*}$ <br> 3. Haledon Borough <br> 4. Hawthorne Borough <br> 5. Little Falls Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 198,265,770 \\ 2,424,305,000 \\ 103,688,300 \\ 703,235,150 \\ 331,547,800 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 274,816,940$ $2,750,436,400$ $227,547,100$ $672,269,680$ $421,115,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 473,082,710 \\ 5,174,741,400 \\ 331,235,400 \\ 1,375,504,830 \\ 752,663,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 404,700 \\ 20,700 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 472,678,010 \\ 5,174,520,700 \\ 331,235,400 \\ 1,375,504,830 \\ 752,663,300 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,040,901$ $21,334,017$ $9,744,452$ $2,759,763$ $9,447,777$ | $\$ 473,718,911$ $5,195,854,717$ $340,979,852$ $1,378,264,593$ $762,111,077$ |
| 6. North Haledon Boro <br> 7. Passaic City <br> 8. Paterson City <br> 9. Pompton Lakes Boro <br> 10. Prospect Park Boro | $152,558,100$ $62,963,350$ $106,907,745$ $280,830,600$ $55,319,500$ | $180,150,700$ $212,576,322$ $527,450,312$ $430,228,933$ $152,580,500$ | $332,708,800$ $275,539,672$ $634,358,057$ $71,1059,533$ $207,900,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,325,102 \\ 856,650 \end{array}$ | $332,708,800$ $274,214,570$ $634,358,057$ $710,202,883$ $207,900,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 635,462 \\ 5,114,366 \\ 6,094,678 \\ 1,965,288 \\ 512,787 \end{array}$ | $333,344,262$ $279,328,936$ $640,452,735$ $712,168,171$ $208,412,787$ |
| 11. Ringwood Borough <br> 12. Totowa Borough <br> 13. Wanaque Borough <br> 14. Wayne Township <br> 15. West Milford Twp. | $505,553,500$ $375,391,900$ $371,101,980$ $434,632,300$ $540,553,620$ | $338,726,200$ $796,084,500$ $197,645,060$ $929,334,100$ $912,251,900$ | $844,279,700$ $1,171,476,400$ $568,747,040$ $1,363,966,400$ $1,452,805,520$ |  | $844,279,700$ $1,171,476,400$ $568,747,040$ $1,363,966,400$ $1,452,805,520$ | $2,933,714$ $3,944,976$ $1,943,721$ $6,638,985$ $10,019,205$ | $847,213,414$ $1,175,421,376$ $570,690,761$ $1,370,605,385$ $1,462,824,725$ |
| 16. West Paterson Boro ........ | 136,888,001 | 279,805,955 | 416,693,956 | ............ | 416,693,956 | 691,214 | 417,385,170 |
| Totals ......................... | \$6,783,742,616 | \$9,303,020,102 | \$16,086,762,718 | \$2,807,152 | \$16,083,955,566 | \$84,821,306 | \$16,168,776,872 |

[^29]TAXING DISTRICTS

1. Bloomingdale Borough
2. Clifton City
Haledon Borough
. Little Falls Township
3. North Haledon Boro
4. Paterson City
5. Pompton Lakes Boro
6. Prospect Park Boro
7. Ringwood Borough
Totowa Borough
8. Wayne Township
9. West Milford Twp.
-16. West Paterson Boro
Totals
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| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C <br> Local Taxes <br> Raised for <br> DISTRICT <br> SCHOOL <br> PURPOSES |
|  | ADJUST゙MENTS RESULTING FROM |  |  | iv <br> Municipal Budget State Aid R.S. 52.270 118.40) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. All-AIV) | (a) <br> County Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appoals and (R.3. 54:4-48; | orreted Errors R.S. $54: 4-53$ ) | County |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budge |
| 1. Bloomingale Borough .... 2. Clitton City 3. 4. Haredon Bough.......... 4. Hawherne Borough....... 5itle Falls Township ...... | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,648.80 \\ 60,801.35 \\ 20.659 .20 \\ 33.595 .81 \\ 11,894.33 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,919,304.82 \\ 24,226.248 .51 \\ 1,566.574 .93 \\ 5,90 ., 201.33 \\ 4,505,674.08 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 1,919,304.82$ $24,2266.24 .51$ 1.596 .574 .93 $5,902,01.33$ $4,505,674.08$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,564,186.13 \\ 6.420 .672 .63 \\ 13.957 .14 .58 \\ 3,084,099.98 \\ 896,670.28 \end{array}$ | 579,312.42 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,564,186.13 \\ 6.420,672.63 \\ 13,337.837 .16 \\ 3,0846,079.80 \\ 896,670.28 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10,727.08$ 226.317 .71 52.22 .31 221.458 .79 156.212 .77 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,680,439.58 \\ 5,172,13.66 \\ 2,376,157.68 \\ 23,235,61.61 .66 \\ 7,255,673.77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16. West Paterson Boro ........ | 1,684.82 |  | 3,949,932.66 |  | 3,949,932.66 | $\ldots . . . . . . .$. | $\ldots$ | ...... | 5,145,163.62 |
| Totals ........................ | \$1.031,032.76 |  | \$110,742,529.60 | \$579,312.42 | \$110,163,217.18 | ........... |  |  | \$222,624,094.26 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Passaic, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | AMOUNT OF MISCELANEOUS REVENUES FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Cemputed (Cols. AV + $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a})$, (b), (c) $+\mathrm{Cli})$ $+\mathrm{Cla}, \mathrm{b}, \underset{\epsilon}{ }$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from Taxation | (a) <br> rplus | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticipated | (c) <br> Recelpts from Dolinquent Taxes and Lions | (d) |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | ॥ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional Consolidated and Joint School Budgets | As Required by Local Budget Municipal |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total of Miscellaneous Revenues (a $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ ) |
| 1. Bloomingdale Borough <br> 2. Clifton City <br> 3. Haledon Borough <br> 4. Hawthorne Borough <br> 5. Little Falls Township | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 1,408,801.93 \\ 2,720, \ldots 84.17 \end{array}$ | \$ 802,106.00 | \$ $2,904,092.00$ $24,684,692.74$ $2,318,700.00$ $4,621,873.00$ $4,313,502.00$ | $\$ 11,091,366.32$ <br> $92,744,482.25$ <br> $7,923,465.02$ <br> $23,24,248.02 .10$ <br> $15,480,856.75$ | $\$ 26,853,745$ $377,31, .000$ $69,605,200$ $101,466,400$ $121,280,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 200,000.00 \\ 2,600000.00 \\ 850,000.00 \\ 1,400000.00 \\ 475,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { \$ 1,199,330.78 } \\ 20,678,40.18 \\ 1,371,276.87 \\ 2,946,642.00 \\ 2,119,077.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 400,000.00$ $2,110,000.00$ $19,632.97$ $355,000.00$ $742,383.00$ | $\$ 1,799,930.78$ $25,378,402.18$ $2,340,909.84$ $4,771,642.00$ $3,336,460.00$ |
| 6. North Haledon Boro <br> 7. Passaic City <br> 8. Paterson City <br> 9. Pompton Lakes Boro <br> 10. Prospect Park Boro | $\begin{array}{r} 2,397,405.00 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ 7 . \ldots \ldots \ldots \end{array}$ | ... | $3,370,297.00$ $22,687,053.00$ $44,573,074.04$ $4,404,958.00$ $1,179,940.00$ | $11,238,973.55$ $43,687,978.13$ $95,348,328.69$ $17,657,006.80$ $4,414,498.73$ | $62,358,800$ $130,093,500$ $300,457,216$ $85,880,300$ $18,542,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} 450,600.00 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \\ 236,000.00 \\ 150,100.00 \end{array}$ | $1,303,250.14$ $2,463,283.00$ $13,045,254.99$ $1,919,016.93$ $561,658.00$ | $140,000.00$ $1,065,440.00$ $2,200,000.00$ $380,00.00$ $39,000.00$ | $1,893,850.14$ <br> $3,528,723.00$ <br> $15,245,254.99$ <br> $2.535,016.93$ <br> $750,758.00$ |
| 11. Ringwood Borough <br> 12. Totowa Borough <br> 13. Wanaque Borough <br> 14. Wayne Township <br> 15. West Milford Twp. | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 3,211,848.95 \\ 3,774,019.21 \\ 2,266,622.05 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | ..... | $4,807,367.00$ $3,3954,603200$ $34,634,68.00$ $24,430,000$ $10,345,000.00$ | $19,769,535.53$ <br> $17,404,778.17$ <br> $12,806,505.73$ <br> $93,810,457$ <br> $36,233,304.01$ | $89,630,200$ $185,960,100$ $235,798,700$ $269,530,100$ $97,154,500$ | $852,000.00$ $2,032,700.00$ $672,118.00$ $2,096,221.00$ $1,200,000.00$ | $2,394,938.16$ $2,695,712.00$ $1,389,556.25$ $8,904,588.00$ $5,074,520.86$ | $475,000.00$ $330,000.00$ $312,075.80$ $1,339,655 . .00$ $1,256,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,721,938.16 \\ 5,058,412.00 \\ 2,373,750.05 \\ 12,394,464.00 \\ 7,530,520.86 \end{array}$ |
| 16. West Paterson Boro ........ | 2,637,123.37 | ........... | 3,404,500.71 | 15,136,711.36 | 64,902,900 | 700,000.00 | 1,552,395.96 | 265,000.00 | 2,517,395.96 |
| Totals ........................... | \$19,328,775.13 | \$802,106.00 | \$165,075,334.49 | \$517,993,527.06 | \$2,236,825,561 | \$13,964,739.00 | \$69,618,903.12 | \$11,593,186.77 | \$95,176,828.89 |
| Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues <br> Appropriated) for the support of the County Budget .................... \$ 78,642,222.25 <br> Net County Taxes Apportioned (12A III) <br> $\ddagger$ Adjustments (Net Total 12A lib) $\pm$ <br> Total County Taxes Apportioned $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ \$110,742,529.60 <br> \$111,773,562.36 |  |  |  | Rates per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment of County Taxes <br> 440806134 $\dagger$ Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments are deducted. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Salem, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | (b) Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and mprovement (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements 8 Equipment of Tolograph and Mossenger Companios (C. 138, L. 1966) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Valuation } \\ & \text { (Col. } 4+5+5) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\$ 18,924,200$ $15,337,750$ $10,213,800$ $4,324,600$ $18,767,350$ | $\$ 51,337,700$ $64,876,170$ $42,533,300$ $20,861,200$ $92,008,600$ | $\$ 70,261,900$ 80.21 .920 $52,747,100$ 25.185 .800 $110,775,950$ |  | $\$ 70,261,900$ $80,21,920$ $52.747,100$ 25.185 .800 $110,775,950$ |  | $\$ 70,737,250$ $80,73.416$ $54,714,764$ 25.366 .633 $111,827,566$ |
|  | $18,515,650$ $22,836,700$ $24.092,2460$ $23,767,700$ $23,177,800$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 89,821,450 \\ & 86,58,800 \\ & 91970,650 \\ & 184,104.996 \\ & 107,551,450 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 89.821,450 \\ 86.528 .400 \\ 91983,750 \\ 184.164 .996 \\ 107,551,450 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,037,600 \\ 684,615 \\ 3,506,934 \\ 1,13,368 \\ 951,647 \end{array}$ | $90,859,050$ $95,400,684$ $185,297,364$ 108,503,097 |
| 11. Pittsgrove Township <br> 12. Quinton Township <br> 13. Salem City <br> 14. Upper Pittsgrove Twp 15. Woodstown Borough <br> 15. Woodsiown Borough ... | $60,916,900$ $11,747,300$ $18,032,2.20$ $39,772,60$ $10,331,400$ | $169,233,700$ $44,938,200$ $10,7170.406$ $73,357,200$ $46,198,500$ | $230.140,600$ 56.65 .500 $12.1742,566$ $113,399.800$ 56.529 .900 |  | $230.140,600$ 56.65 .500 $121.742,576$ $113,399.800$ $56.529,900$ |  | $231,919,183$ <br> $57,40.620$ <br> $126,62,776$ <br> 1144.677 .999 <br> $57,602,465$ |
| Totals ....................... | \$315,637,200 | \$1,161,993,292 | \$1,477,630,492 | \$76,900 | \$1,477,553,592 | \$21,192,600 | \$1,498,746,192 |

(R) Revaluation
Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Salem, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{General
Tax
Rate
to Apply
per \(\$ 100\)
Valuation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
County Equalization \\
Table- \\
Average \\
Ratlo of \\
Assessed \\
to True \\
Value of Real \\
Property \\
(R.S. 54:3-17 \\
to R.S. \\
54:3-19)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139, L. 1966)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
10
\]} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Net Valuation
on Which
County Taxes
Are
Apportioned
(Cols. $6+9$
$-10+9$

$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| $12$ |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts |
| Deducted Under |
| R.S. 54:3-17 |
| to |
| R.S. 54:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under R.S. $54: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Section A County Taxes} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{| Total |
| :--- |
| County Taxes |
| Apportioned Including Total Not Adjustments) |} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Resulting From} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Add Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Alloway Township |
| :--- |
| 2. Carneys Point Twp. |
| 3. Elmer Borough |
| 4. Elsinboro Township |
| 5. Lower Alloways Creek Twp. | \& 3.08

7.12
2.31
4.3
.70 \& 56.86
31.12
109.58
54.23
88.09 \&  \& \$ 3,978,310 \& $\$ 54,654,658$
$188,129,668$
$21,614,067$
$16,688,553$ \& $\$ 125,391,908$
$268,860,084$
$50,736,454$
$46,960,700$
$128,516,119$ \& $\$ 922,758.62$
$1,978,540.44$
$373,369.39$
$345,583.63$
$945,749.68$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline 6. Mannington Township .....
7. Oldmans Township
8. Penns Grove Borough .....
9. Pensville Township
10. Pilesgrove Township ...... \& 1.96
2.97
3.199
6.86
2.61 \& 96.28
88.92
121.98
27.77
67.05 \& ….........
…......
..........
......... \& 14,999,120 \& $8,148,716$
$12,682,514$
$564,167,352$
$55,014,292$ \& $99,007,766$
$99,895,929$
$80,401,564$
$749,464,716$
$163,517,389$ \& $728,597.81$
$735,133.80$
$591,674.84$
$5,515,308.50$
$1,203,323.91$ \& …........
........
..........
.......
....... \&  <br>

\hline | 11. Pittsgrove Township |
| :--- |
| 12. Quinton Township |
| 13. Salem City |
| 14. Upper Pittsgrove Twp |
| 15. Woodstown Borough | \& 2.33

2.83
2.37
1.90
5.16 \& 86.88
71.20
115.01
91.38
47.27 \&  \& 10,800,124 \& $36,748,834$
$24,171,507$
$12,781,853$

$63,789,588$ \& | $268,668,017$ |
| ---: |
| $81,576,127$ |
| $115,821,652$ |
| $127,349,762$ |
| $121,392,053$ | \& | $1,977,127.02$ |
| ---: |
| $600,318.44$ |
| $852,331.14$ |
| $937,166.47$ |
| $893,323.71$ | \&  \&  <br>

\hline Totals ......................... \& ...... \& ........... \& ......... \& \$29,777,554 \& \$1,058,591,602 \& \$2,527,560,240 \& \$18,600,307.40 \& ... \& ...... <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Salem, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| taxina districts | 12 APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal TaxestoRaised forDISTRICTSIMSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADJUSTMENTS } \\ \text { RESUULTING FROM } \end{gathered}$ |  | IIINotCountyCaxesApportloned | IV <br> Municipal Budget State Aid R. 52:27D. 118.40) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBugoetState Ald(Col. All-AlV) | (a) <br> County <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { Ubrary } \\ \text { Taxes }\end{array}$ | (b) <br> Local Health <br> Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund L. 1989) |  |
|  | S. $54 / 4$ | ciod Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | s Required School Budge |
| 1. Alloway Township........... 2. Carney Poont Twp........... 3. Elmer Borough 4. Elsingoro Townshi. 5. Lower Alloways Creek Twp. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 92,642.46 \\ & 1,979,331.43 \\ & 1.972,163.43 \\ & 345.577 .48 \\ & 815,408.26 \end{aligned}$ | \$8,965.00 $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. $\ldots, \ldots \ldots 2.00$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,299,313.00 \\ 698.419 .000 \\ 514,919.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1.89 17.549 .30 301.60 $2,000.13$ |  | $728,595.92$ $784,564.04$ $57,125.54$ $5,515,006.90$ $1,201,323.78$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 728.595 .92 \\ 748.954 \\ 574,125 . .54 \\ 5.571 .50 .54 \\ 1,062,385.90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 982,858.00 \\ 1,041,500.00 \\ 7,029,896.50 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,691.28 \\ & 5,395.36 \\ & 8,113.70 \\ & 1,210.62 \\ & 41.76 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,975,435.74 \\ 5944.923 .08 \\ 844,21.44 \\ 935,955.85 \\ 893,281.95 \end{array}$ | $134,235.00$ | $1,975,435.74$ <br> 59.923 .08 <br> 844.217 .44 <br> 80.72 .45 <br> $893,281.95$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,199,428.25 \\ & 906,442.00 \\ & 1,403,467.00 \\ & 1,365,939.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ....................... | \$167,975.33 | \$14,221.13 | \$18,446,553.20 | \$364,370.00 | \$18,082,183.20 | ............ |  | $\ldots$ | \$18.442,181.75 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Salem, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Somerset, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | 3 | 4 | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatoments) | Total <br> Taxable <br> Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of tolephone Tolegraph and Messenger Systom (C. $138, \mathrm{~L} .1966$ ) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Bedminster Township <br> 2. Bernards Township <br> 3. Bernardsville Borough <br> 4. Bound Brook Borough <br> 5. Branchburg Township | $\$ 429,096,863$ $833,365,700$ $530.666,200$ $197.266,000$ $520,797,200$ | $\$ 724,539,655$ $1,30,229,800$ $404,535,200$ $262,220,400$ $637,523,300$ | $\$ 1,153,636,518$ $2,133,5995,500$ $935,201,400$ $459,4866,400$ $1,158,320,500$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,153,636,518 \\ 2,133,595,500 \\ 935,201,400 \\ 459,486,400 \\ 1,158,320,500 \end{array}$ | $\$ 12,046,880$ $12,001,000$ $10,735,798$ $14,992,300$ $6,369,198$ | $\$ 1,165,683,398$ $2,145,596,500$ $945,937,198$ $474,478,700$ $1,164,689,698$ |
|  | $750,530,840$ $67,245,200$ $362,177,700$ $225,793,500$ $320,927,600$ | $1,610,791,548$ $53,386,600$ $1,091,034,000$ $261,895,400$ $751,287,500$ | $2,361,322,388$ $120,631,800$ $1,453,211,700$ $487,688,900$ $1,072,215,100$ | ......... \$ $2,461,800$ $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $2,361,322,388$ $120,631,800$ $1,450,749,900$ $487,688,900$ $1,072,215,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,676,371 \\ 262,787 \\ 12,016,181 \\ 760,724 \\ 6,047,067 \end{array}$ | $2,368,998,759$ $120,894,587$ $1,462,766,081$ $488,449,624$ $1,078,262,167$ |
| 11. Manville Borough <br> 12. Millstone Borough <br> 13. Montgomery Township <br> 14. North Plainfield Boro <br> 15. Peapack-Gladstone Boro | $73,706,930$ $13,547,100$ $298,984,200$ $383,594,400$ $200,601,130$ | $193,855,425$ $17,040,200$ $604,026,000$ $546,100,050$ $366,488,450$ | $267,562,355$ $30,587,300$ $903,010,200$ $929,694,450$ $567,089,580$ |  | $267,562,355$ $30,587,300$ $903,010,200$ $929,694,450$ $567,089,580$ | 294,019 40,808 $3,653,800$ $2,540,494$ 775,288 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 267,856,374 \\ 30,628,108 \\ 906,664,000 \\ 932,234,944 \\ 567,864,868 \end{array}$ |
| 16. Raritan Borough <br> 17. Rocky Hill Borough <br> 18. Somerville Borough <br> 19. S. Bound Brook Boro <br> 20. Warren Township | $153,914,845$ $7,785,500$ $157,070,970$ $17,520,700$ $225,400,500$ | $340,004,248$ $22,285,650$ $307,861,747$ $55,556,600$ $555,750,200$ | $493,919,093$ $30,071,150$ $464,932,717$ $73,077,300$ $781,150,700$ | 78,400 <br> 785,900 <br> 57,400 | $493,840,693$ $30,071,150$ $464,146,817$ $73,019,900$ $781,150,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,613,000 \\ 46,562 \\ 10,843,139 \\ 458,682 \\ 2,278,877 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 495,453,693 \\ 30,117.712 \\ 474,989,956 \\ 73,478,582 \\ 783,429,577 \end{array}$ |
| 21. Watchung Borough ......... | 163,312,100 | 248,994,700 | 412,306,800 | ........... | 412,306,800 | 1,194,661 | 413,501,461 |
| Totals .......................... | \$5,933,305,178 | \$10,35\%,406,673 | \$16,288,711,851 | \$3,383,500 | \$16,285,328,351 | \$106,647,636 | \$16,391,975,987 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Somerset, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{TAXING DISTRICTS} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{7

General
Taxa
Rate
to Apply
per
Valua

Vation} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
8 <br>
County Equalization Table Average Ratio of Assessed to True <br>
Value of Real Property (R.S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19)

} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139 , L. 1968)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{

$$
10
$$ <br>

equalization
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{11

Net Valuation
on Which
County Taxes
Are
Apportioned
(Cols. $6+9$
$-10(a)$

$+10(\mathrm{~b})$ )} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{| $12$ |
| :--- |
| APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (a) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Deducted Under R.S. $54: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| (b) |
| :--- |
| Amounts Added Under R.S. $54: 3-17$ to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. 54:11 D-7 |} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sectlon A County Taxes} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1
Total
County Taxes
Apportioned
(ncluding
Otal Nol
Adjualments)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Adjustments " Resulting From} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{(a)
County Equallzation
Table Appeals
(A.S. $54: 2-37$ )} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Deduct Overpayment \& Underpayment <br>

\hline | 1. Bedminster Township |
| :--- |
| 2. Bernards Township |
| 3. Bernardsville Borough |
| 4. Bound Brook Borough |
| 5. Branchburg Township | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.060 \\
& 1.630 \\
& 1.580 \\
& 2.390 \\
& 1.740
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
100.18 \\
96.98 \\
104.37 \\
95.17 \\
100.08
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $\$ 1188,390$

57,990 \& \$ 33,028,782 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 3,354,970 \\
74,868,933 \\
27,640,652 \\
5,168,042 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ \& $\$ 1,169,038,368$

$2,220,465,433$
$913,026,806$
$502,177,342$
$1,169,857,740$ \& $\$ 4,854,283.12$
$9,220,200.27$
$3,791,227.67$
$2,085,227.54$
$4,857,685.46$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 6. Bridgewater Township |
| :--- |
| 7. Far Hills Borough |
| 8. Franklin Township |
| 9. Green Brook Twp. |
| 10. Hillsborough Township | \& 2.420

1.420
3.840
1.690

3.590 \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 66.43 \\
& 73.00 \\
& 42.69 \\
& 95.09 \\
& 54.42
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \&  \& ......... \& | $1,323,993,567$ |
| ---: |
| $45,564,222$ |
| $1,965,501,295$ |
| $29,947,101$ |
| $909,250,458$ | \& $3,692,992,326$

$166,458,809$
$3,428,267,376$
$518,396,725$

$1,987,512,625$ \& | $15,334,680.89$ |
| ---: |
| $6911,199.03$ |
| $14,235,444.20$ |
| $2,152,576.46$ |
| $8,252,893.36$ | \&  \&  <br>


\hline | 11. Manville Borough |
| :--- |
| 12. Millstone Borough |
| 13. Montgomery Township |
| 14. North Plainfield Boro |
| 15. Peapack-Gladstone Boro | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.560 \\
& 2.050 \\
& 2.020 \\
& 2.400 \\
& 1.150
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
46.63 \\
88.54 \\
79.50 \\
105.12 \\
107.30
\end{array}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
39,459,181 \\
36,101,660 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
334,844,085 \\
4,095,441 \\
232,926,162
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $602,700,459$

$34,723,549$
$1,139,590,162$
$892,775,763$
$531,763,208$ \& $2,502,636.99$
$144,185.12$
$4,732,003.19$
$3,707,137.79$
$2,208,079.08$ \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 16. Raritan Borough |
| :--- |
| 17. Rocky Hill Borough |
| 18. Somerville Borough |
| 19. S. Bound Brook Boro |
| 20. Warren Township | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.150 \\
& 2.670 \\
& 3.590 \\
& 6.450 \\
& 3.170 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 96.23 \\
& 54.08 \\
& 68.08 \\
& 3.68 \\
& 42.49 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 161,931 $\qquad$

$\qquad$

$\qquad$ \&  \& | $31,134,723$ |
| ---: |
| $27,336,465$ |
| $227,977,532$ |
| $114,242,274$ |
| $1,067,395,582$ | \& $526,750,347$

$57,454,177$
$70,967,488$
$187,720,856$
$1,850,825,159$ \& $2,187,263.81$
$238,571.16$
$2,918,983.08$
$779,486.98$
$7,685,316.05$ \& .............
….......
…...... \&  <br>
\hline 21. Watchung Borough ......... \& 3.330 \& 42.44 \& ............ \& ............ \& 576,410,457 \& 989,911,918 \& 4,110,483.74 \& ........... \& ............ <br>
\hline Totals .......................... \& ......... \& \& \$338,311 \& \$108,589,623 \& \$7,001,651,961 \& \$23,285,376,636 \& \$96,689,564.99 \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Somerset, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised forIDISICTSCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJSTMENTSRESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Net <br> County <br> Taxes portioned | IV <br> Municipal <br> Budgot <br> State Aid <br> (R.S. $52: 270$ <br> 118.40 ) | VNet CountyTaxesApportonedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. Alli-AIV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-49 | rected Errors <br> .S. $54: 4-53$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Bedminster Township <br> 2. Bernards Township <br> 3. Bernardsville Borough <br> 4. Bound Broo! Borough <br> 5. Branchburg Township | $\$ 16,903.54$ $44,760.30$ $44,186.14$ $9,982.10$ $7,244.70$ | …......... $\cdots$ $\cdots . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ $\ldots . . . . . .$. ........$~$ | $\$ 4,837,379.58$ $9,175,439.97$ $3,747,041.53$ $2,075,245.44$ $4,850,440.76$ |  | $\$ 4,837,379.58$ $9,175,439.97$ $3,747,041.53$ $2,075,245.44$ $4,850,440.76$ | $\$ 323,355.78$ |  | $\$ 175,315.31$ $332,992.99$ $136,922.43$ $75,309.23$ $175,438.18$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,552,174.00 \\ 16,988,905.86 \\ 8,004,605.50 \\ 5,981,617.50 \\ 12,378,793.30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 6. Bridgewater Township <br> 7. Far Hills Borough <br> 8. Franklin Township <br> 9. Green Brook Twp. <br> 10. Hillsborough Township | $65,102.28$ 155.99 $212,904.59$ $8,080.88$ $4,765.29$ |  | $15,269,578.61$ $691,043.04$ $14,022,539.61$ $2,144,495.58$ $8,248,128.07$ |  | $15,269,578.61$ $691,043.04$ $14,022,539.61$ $2,144,495.58$ $8,248,128.07$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1,017,673.94 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . \\ 142,997.49 \\ 549,847.33 \end{array}$ |  | $553,821.07$ $24,963.06$ $514,121.49$ $77,741.57$ $298,058.13$ | $\begin{array}{r} 420,240.00 \\ 32,868,638.25 \\ 4,783.729 .00 \\ 24,426,147.50 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Manville Borough <br> 12. Millstone Borough <br> 13. Montgomery Township <br> 14. North Plainfield Boro <br> 15. Peapack-Gladstone Boro | $3,661.77$ 175.76 $67,758.74$ $40,804.23$ $634,200.80$ |  | $2,498,975.22$ $144,009.36$ $4,664,244.45$ $3,666,333.56$ $1,573,878.28$ |  | $2,498,975.22$ $144,009.36$ $4,664,244.45$ $3,666,333.56$ $1,573,878.28$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,600.52 \\ 310,918.21 \\ 244,380.20 \\ 104,971.47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 90.384 .22 $5,207.33$ $170,899.09$ $133,885.47$ $79,746.08$ | $6,585.062 .21$ <br> $353,299.00$ <br> $11,331,117.50$ <br> $12,613,554.60$ <br> $1,968,507.00$ |
| 16. Raritan Borough <br> 17. Rocky Hill Borough <br> 18. Somerville Borough <br> 19. S. Bound Brook Boro <br> 20. Warren Township | $\begin{array}{r} 1,203.54 \\ 3,978.83 \\ 1,079.15 \\ 264.95 \\ 19,361.24 \end{array}$ | ….......... | $2,186,060.27$ $234,592.33$ $2,917,903.93$ $779,222.03$ $7,665,954.81$ |  | $2,186,060.27$ $234,592.33$ $2,917,903.93$ $779,222.03$ $7,665,954.81$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,663.88 \\ \ldots 11,130 . . . . \end{array}$ |  | $78,994.33$ $8,616.14$ $105,420.80$ $28,151.63$ $277,559.74$ | $\begin{array}{r} 354,481.00 \\ 9,041,103.12 \\ 2,478,167.31 \\ 8,695,321.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 21. Watchung Borough ......... | 2,990.17 | ........... | 4,107,493.57 | ........... | 4,107,493.57 | 273,824.30 | ........... | 148,451.71 | 3,909,027.00 |
| Totals .......................... | \$1,189,564.99 | ............ | \$95,500,000.00 | $\ldots$ | \$95,500,000.00 | \$3,504,364.00 | ............ | \$3,492,000.00 | \$167,734,490.65 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Somerset, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Sussex, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1Taxable Value |  | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{4}$ | $5$ $5$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) |  | Total Taxable Value Partial Exomptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of telephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L.1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Andover Borough <br> 2. Andover Township <br> 3. Branchville Borough <br> 4. Byram Township <br> 5. Frankford Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 16,986,900 } \\ 43,541,800 \\ 6,229,950 \\ 97,023,800 \\ 196,679,850 \end{array}$ | $\$ 25,779,400$ $123,135,340$ $37,796,850$ $201,746,400$ $203,305,151$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 42,766,300 \\ 166,67,140 \\ 44,026,800 \\ 298,770,200 \\ 399,985,001 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 42,766,300 \\ 166,677,140 \\ 44,026,800 \\ 298,770,200 \\ 399,985,001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 474,069 } \\ 423,835 \\ 522,407 \\ 929,415 \\ 2,269,533 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 43,240,369 \\ 167,100,975 \\ 44,549,207 \\ 299,699,615 \\ 402,254,534 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Franklin Borough <br> 7. Fredon Township <br> 8. Green Township <br> 9. Hamburg Borough <br> 10. Hampton Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,431,050 \\ 23,402,700 \\ 82,323,900 \\ 37,726,200 \\ 115,502,344 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,260,450 \\ 84,982,240 \\ 121,201,800 \\ 107,090,100 \\ 196,882,300 \end{array}$ | $135,691,500$ $108,384,940$ $203,525,700$ $144,816,300$ $312,384,644$ |  | $135,691,500$ $108,384,940$ $203,525,700$ $144,816,300$ $312,384,644$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,456,593 \\ 416,430 \\ 752,344 \\ 330,402 \\ 1,093,921 \end{array}$ | $137,148,093$ $108,801,370$ $204,278,044$ $145,146,702$ $313,478,565$ |
| 11. Hardyston Township <br> 12. Hopatcong Borough <br> 13. Lafayette Township <br> 14. Montague Township <br> 15. Newton Town | $62,383,000$ $335,406,200$ $23,713,750$ $79,796,730$ $169,167,400$ | $119,265,725$ $522,311,900$ $64,982,150$ $117,851,600$ $225,199,510$ | $\begin{array}{r} 181,648,725 \\ 857,718,100 \\ 88,695,900 \\ 197,648,330 \\ 394,366,910 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 181,648,725 \\ 857,718,100 \\ 88,695,900 \\ 197,648,330 \\ 394,366,910 \end{array}$ | 838,309 $1,883,416$ 313,486 $1,023,881$ $3,595,629$ | $182,487,034$ $859,601,516$ $89,009,386$ $198,672,211$ $397,962,539$ |
| 16. Ogdensburg Borough <br> 17. Sandyston Township <br> 18. Sparta Township <br> 19. Stanhope Borough <br> 20. Stillwater Township | $66,993,375$ $53,987,000$ $421,654,550$ $21,615,350$ $97,816,300$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73,024,600 \\ 64,570,400 \\ 551,431,900 \\ 65,565,400 \\ 133,245,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140,017,975 \\ 118,557,400 \\ 973,086,450 \\ 87,180,750 \\ 231,061,800 \end{array}$ | \$ 231,344 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,786,631 \\ 118,557,400 \\ 973,086,450 \\ 87,180,750 \\ 231,061,800 \end{array}$ | 201,294 614,484 $2,982,522$ 378,283 762,644 | $139,987,925$ $119,171,884$ $976,068,972$ $87,559,033$ $231,824,444$ |
| 21. Sussex Borough <br> 22. Vernon Township <br> 23. Walpack Township <br> 24. Wantage Township | $\begin{array}{r} 9,828,700 \\ 229,077,385 \\ 935,800 \\ 67,611,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,893,900 \\ 491,423,332 \\ 1,575,100 \\ 199,989,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,722,600 \\ 720,50,717 \\ 2,51,900 \\ 267,601,000 \end{array}$ | 216,400 <br> ................. | $\begin{array}{r} 60,506,200 \\ 720,500,717 \\ 2.510,900 \\ 267,601,000 \end{array}$ | $1,176,517$ $3,865,552$ 100,553 $1,015,694$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,682,717 \\ 724,366,269 \\ 2,611,453 \\ 268,616,694 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................ | \$2,293,835,634 | \$3,884,510,448 | \$6,178,346,082 | \$447,744 | \$6,177,898,338 | \$27,421,213 | \$6,205,319,551 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Sussex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS |  |  | True Value of Class II Property (C. 139, L. 1986) | 10 <br> EgUALIZATION |  | 11 <br> Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Apportioned (Cols. $6+8$ <br> $+10(b))$ $+10($ a) | 12 APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) <br> Amounts Deducted Under <br> R.S. $54: 3-17$ <br> R.S. 54:3-19 | (b) <br> Amounts Added S. 54:3-17 to R.S. 54:3-19 54:11 D.7 and N.J.S.A. |  | Section Acounty Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1TotalCount TVxesApportionedIncludingfotal NeiAdjustments) | Adjustments Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | County Equallzation Table Appoals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Deduct } \\ \text { Overpayment } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1.930 4.560 2.267 3.690 1.980 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.09 \\ & \hline 4.97 \\ & 45.15 \\ & 59.49 \\ & 97.33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ……... $\cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\$ 623,278$ <br> $206,939,590$ <br> 56,69772 <br> $204,927,423$ <br> $14,200,497$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 43,863,647 \\ 374,040,565 \\ 101,218999 \\ 504,627,038 \\ 416,455,031 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 149.780 .09$ <br> $1.277,26289$ <br> $1,345.69 .90$ <br> $1,723.137 .23$ <br> $1,422,058.50$ <br>  | …….. $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots \cdots$ $\cdots$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.350 \\ & 3.600 \\ & 2.050 \\ & 2.050 \\ & 2.060 \\ & 2.120 \end{aligned}$ | 58.10 52.57 108.14 100.70 94.95 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 100,543,841 \\ 99,657,405 \\ 1,011,777 \\ 19,088,052 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | 237,691,934 208,458,775 146,158,479 332,566,617 | $811,640.66$ <br> $711,818.93$ <br> $649,320.27$$499,083.68$ <br> $135,606.85$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.410 \\ & 2.110 \\ & 4.350 \\ & 1.720 \\ & 1,840 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.22 \\ 97.96 \\ 45.22 \\ 93.08 \\ 107.08 \end{array}$ |  | 13,985,078 | $\begin{array}{r} 182,017,384 \\ 188866,609 \\ 109.47,135 \\ 15,382,413 \end{array}$ | 364,504,418 198,479,521 $214,054,624$ $383,977,461$ | $1,244,664.05$ <br> 2.999682 .97 <br> 677,74302 <br> 730.926 .93 <br> $1,311,158.16$ |  |  |
| 16. Ogdensburg Borough ..... 17. Sandyston Township ...... 18. Spara Townshis 19. Stannope Borugh 20. Still..... Stilwater Township ......... | 1.990 1.570 2.700 5.160 2.050 | 114.73 99.26 74.08 48.54 91.65 |  | $14,918,558$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,828,133 \\ 345,53,283 \\ 94,074,779 \\ 22,232,867 \end{array}$ | $125,069,367$ <br> $121,000,017$ <br> $1,322,612,255$ <br> $181,633,812$ <br> $254,057,311$ | $427,071.22$ <br> $41,175.71$ <br> $4,516,20.74$ <br> $620,220.40$ <br> $867,523.10$ |  | , |
| 21. Sussex Borough <br> 22. Vernon Township <br> 23. Walpack Township <br> 24. Wantage Township | $\begin{array}{r} 3.180 \\ 3.410 \\ 419 \\ 4.090 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.64 \\ 50.45 \\ 100.75 \\ 1044.44 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 26,742,190 \\ 714,235,158 \\ 563,088 \\ 339,854,498 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,424,907 \\ 1,438,601,427 \\ 3,174,541 \\ 608,471,192 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 301,942.30 \\ 4,912,356.04 \\ 10,840.03 \\ 3,077,731.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  | \$43,025,864 | \$2,575,472,172 | \$8,737,765,859 | \$29,836,629.03 | $\ldots$ | .......... |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Sussex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeBaised for |
|  | $\qquad$ |  | III <br> Net County Taxes Apportioned | IVMunicipalBudgetState Aid(R.S. $52: 27 \mathrm{D}$ -118.40 ) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalBudgetState Aid(Col. All-AIV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c)CountyOpen SpacePreservationTrust FundTax (C. 30,L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.3. 54:4-49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rrected Errors } \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 4-53 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | As Required by District School Budget |
| 1. Andover Borough <br> 2. Andover Township <br> 3. Branchville Borough <br> 4. Byram Township <br> 5. Frankford Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,809.63 \\ 23,561.79 \\ 14,164.75 \\ 2,963.95 \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 147,970.46$ $1,253,665.10$ $345,629.90$ $1,708,972.48$ $1,419,094.55$ | \$ 19, ${ }_{\text {c.i........ }}$ | $\$ 147,970.46$ $1,253,665.10$ $326,286.90$ $1,708,972.48$ $1,419,094.55$ | $\$ 11.448 .53$ $97,306.72$ $26,699.17$ $132,152.67$ $109,662.29$ | $\$ 9.570 .86$ $81,164.43$ $22,331.19$ $110,886.85$ $91,707.86$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 351,856.00 \\ 3,588,78.50 \\ 3,288,268.50 \end{array}$ |
| 6. Franklin Borough ............ 7. Fredon Township 8. Green Township 9. Hamburg Borough ............. 10. Hampton Township ......... | $7,825.95$ $2,282.08$ $14,150.74$ $1,577.53$ $17,711.20$ |  | $803,814.71$ $709,536.85$ $635,169.53$ $497,506.15$ $1,117,895.65$ |  | $803,814.71$ $709,536.85$ $635,169.53$ $497,506.15$ $1,117,895.65$ | $62,125.49$ $54,846.55$ $49,229.30$ $38,452.09$ $86,595.69$ | $51,985.53$ $45,860.85$ $41,150.09$ $32,156.04$ $72,338.17$ |  | $2,459,221.00$ $1,567,554.50$ $2,946,589.00$ $1,270,153.50$ $2,173,179.00$ |
| 11. Hardyston Township <br> 12. Hopatcong Borough <br> 13. Lafayette Township <br> 14. Montague Township <br> 15. Newton Town | $\begin{array}{r} 7,743.42 \\ 4,511.88 \\ 486.35 \\ 1,445.40 \\ 2,907.84 \end{array}$ |  | $1,236,920.63$ $2,995,171.09$ $677,256.67$ $729,481.53$ $1,308,250.32$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,236,920.63 \\ 2,995,171.09 \\ 677,256.67 \\ 729,481.53 \\ 1,308,250.32 \end{array}$ | $95,666.00$ $231,405.96$ $52,322.68$ $56,370.19$ $101,106.66$ | $79,976.20$ $43,760.98$ $47,140.97$ $84,544.53$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,697,204.50 \\ 10,393,209.50 \\ 1,906,312.82 \\ 2,007.871 .75 \\ 4,301,706.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 16. Ogdensburg Borough ..... 17. Sandyston Township ..... 18. Sparta Township 19. Stanhope Borough ........... 20. Stillwater Township ......... | $2,157.77$ 75.35 $27,776.50$ 581.09 193.57 |  | $424,913.45$ <br> $413,100.36$ <br> $4,488,514.24$ <br> $619,639.31$ <br> $867,329.53$ |  | $424,913.45$ $413,100.36$ $4,488,514.24$ $619,639.31$ $867,329.53$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,844.66 \\ 31,911.87 \\ 47,873.80 \\ 67,0001.76 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $26,690.96$ $\ldots 33.05$ $56,039.61$ |  | $1,003,818.50$ <br> $16,418,274.00$ <br> $1,640,128.00$ <br> $1,554,096.23$ |
| 21. Sussex Borough <br> 22. Vernon Township <br> 23. Walpack Township <br> 24. Wantage Township |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 301,201.38 \\ 4,908,805.31 \\ 10,840.03 \\ 2,075,460.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,523.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 301,201.38 \\ 4,998,805.31 \\ 9,317.03 \\ 2,075,460.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,276.10 \\ 379.249 .22 \\ 837.37 \\ 160,354.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,464.77 \\ 700.38 \\ 134,108.78 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 15,113,322.54 |
| Totals ......................... | \$140,489.37 | ............ | \$29,696,139.66 | \$20,866.00 | \$29,675,273.66 | \$1,948,739.00 | \$1,051,546.00 | ........... | \$74,681,550.84 |

TAXING DISTRICTS
Âbstract of Ratabies and Exemptions in the County of Sussex, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Union, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | 3 | $4$ | $5$ <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | $\begin{gathered} \text { (b) } \\ \text { Improvements } \\ \text { (Includes } \\ \text { Partial } \\ \text { Exemptions \& } \\ \text { Abatements) } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Net Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2 3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements \& Equipment of telephone Tolegraph and Mossenger 8ystem Companies (C. 138, L. 1986) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Berkeley Heights Twp <br> 2. Clark Township <br> 3. Cranford Township <br> 4. Elizabeth City <br> 5. Fanwood Borough | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 237,890,100 \\ 254,924,600 \\ 743,917,200 \\ 291,238,500 \\ 85,605,100 \end{array}$ | $\$ 577,375,000$ $448,729,500$ $89,468,800$ $664,348,300$ $135,607,900$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 815,265,100 \\ 703,654,100 \\ 1,639,386,000 \\ 955,586,800 \\ 221,213,000 \end{array}$ | \$ 136,200 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 815,265,100 \\ 703,654,100 \\ 1,639,249,800 \\ 955,586800 \\ 221,213,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,707,907 \\ 1,281,950 \\ 16,445,600 \\ 8,849,824 \\ 383,628 \end{array}$ | $\$ 816,973,007$ $704,936,050$ $1,655,695,400$ $964,436,624$ $221,596,628$ |
| 6. Garwood Borough <br> 7. Hillside Township <br> 8. Kenilworth Borough <br> 9. Linden City <br> 10. Mountainside Borough | $67,989,000$ $106,065,900$ $302,606,900$ $1,141,023,000$ $186,745,200$ | $112,172,800$ $207,252,600$ $460,461,600$ $1,870,638,900$ $300,052,850$ | $180,161,800$ $313,318,500$ $763,068,500$ $3,011,661,900$ $486,798,050$ |  | $180,161,800$ $313,318,500$ $763,068,500$ $3,011,661,900$ $486,798,050$ | 292,460 559,173 869,508 $12,400,364$ 946,206 | $180,454,260$ $313,877,673$ $763,938,008$ $3,024,062,264$ $487,744,256$ |
| 11. New Providence Boro <br> 12. Plainfield City <br> 13. Rahway City <br> 14. Roselle Borough <br> 15. Roselle Park Borough | $303,749,400$ $89,176,800$ $526,090,500$ $375,229,980$ $106,167,200$ | $427,032,200$ $295,611,500$ $834,731,000$ $426,789,600$ $195,528,700$ | $730,781,600$ $384,788,300$ $1,360,821,500$ $802,019,580$ $301,695,900$ |  | $730,781,600$ $384,788,300$ $1,360,821,500$ $802,019.580$ $301,695,900$ | $6,898,747$ $6,961,140$ $16,135,538$ $10,225,735$ 556,155 | $737,680,347$ $391,749,440$ $1,376,957,038$ $812,245,315$ $302,252,055$ |
| 16. Scotch Plains Twp. <br> 17. Springfield Township <br> 18. Summit City <br> 19. Union Township <br> 20. Westfield Town | $294,516,700$ $415,264,500$ $461,553,500$ $407,196,900$ $779,189,500$ | $581,632,000$ $637,873,900$ $689,028,300$ $618,602,600$ $1,007,046,200$ | $876,148,700$ $1,053,138,400$ $1,150,581,800$ $1,025,799,500$ $1,786,235,700$ |  | $876,148,700$ $1,053,138,400$ $1,150,581,800$ $1,025,799,500$ $1,786,235,700$ | $1,918,566$ $2,301,439$ $3,571,311$ $6,865,559$ $9,135,426$ | $878,067,266$ $1,055,439,839$ $1,154,153,111$ $1,032,665,059$ $1,795,371,126$ |
| 21. Winfield Township ........... | 220,200 | 1,164,150 | 1,384,350 | ........... | 1,384,350 | 5,492 | 1,389,842 |
| Totals ........................ | \$7,176,360,680 | \$11,387,148,400 | \$18,563,509,080 | \$136,200 | \$18,563,372,880 | \$108,311,728 | \$18,671,684,608 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Union, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 7 | 8 <br> County <br> Equalization <br> rable - <br> Average <br> Ratio of <br> Assessed <br> to True <br> Value of Real <br> Property <br> (R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to R.S. <br> 54:3-19) | 9 | $10$ |  | 11 | APPO | TIONMENT OF | XES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) | (b) |  |  | Section A County Taxes |  |
|  | General <br> Tax Rato to Apply per \$100 Valuation |  | True Value of Class II Ralliroad Property (C. 139. L. 1986) | Amounts Deducted Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to <br> R.S. 54:3-19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amounts } \\ & \text { Added } \\ & \text { Under } \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 3-17 \\ & \text { to R.S. } 54: 3-19 \\ & \text { and N.J.S.A. } \\ & \text { S4:11 D.7 } \end{aligned}$ | Net Valuation on Which County Taxes Are Apportioned (Cols. $6+8$ - 10(a) $+10(\mathrm{~b}))$ | ITotalCounty TaxesApportionedIncludingIotal NotAdjuatmente) | Adjustments $\stackrel{\text { Resulting }}{ }$ From |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | County (a) qualizationTable Appeale(R.S. $5: 2.237$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |
| 1. Berkeley Heights Twp. <br> 2. Clark Township <br> 3. Cranford Township <br> 4. Elizabeth City <br> 5. Fanwood Borough | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$ } 3.56 \\ 3.41 \\ 2.08 \\ 8.05 \\ \text { 5.02 } \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}50.07 \\ 58.05 \\ 102.15 \\ 26.86 \\ 45.30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$ 313,896 38,715 | \$ 14,070,183 | $\$ 854,817,998$ $536,213,638$ $2,719,654,912$ $268,913,189$ | $\$ 1,617,791,005$ $1,241,149,688$ $1,641,939,113$ $3,684,130,251$ $490,509,817$ |  <br> $\$ 6,604,842.57$ <br> $4,903,482.71$ <br> $6.486,904.95$ <br> $14.555,109.01$ <br> $1,937,885.84$ |  | …......... …....... …...... ......... |
| 6. Garwood Borough <br> 7. Hillside Township <br> 8. Kenilworth Borough <br> 9. Linden City <br> 10. Mountainside Borough | 3.79 9.73 1.79 1.79 1.93 2.62 | 58.94 29.04 9692 89.50 55.99 | ............ …...... .......... -.... |  | $135,986,401$ $799,649,820$ $48,542,676$ $509,516,426$ $399,340,695$ | $316,440,661$ $1,113,527,493$ $812,480,684$ $3,533,578,690$ $887,084,951$ | $1,250,180.64$ <br> $4,399,278.24$ <br> $3,209,914.99$ <br> $13,960,316.14$ <br> $3,504,658.44$ |  |  |
| 11. New Providence Boro <br> 12. Plainfield City <br> 13. Rahway City <br> 14. Roselle Borough <br> 15. Roselle Park Borough | $\begin{array}{r}3.09 \\ 10.70 \\ 2.67 \\ 3.04 \\ 5.25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 61.73 23.60 99.79 95.50 47.81 |  | ... | $464,426,761$ <br> $1,277,836,425$ <br> $36,187,462$ <br> $4,343,157$ <br> $333,884,881$ | $1,202,107,108$ <br> $1,699,841,844$ <br> $1,413,144,500$ <br> $857,588,472$ <br> $636,136,936$ | $4,749,234.91$ <br> $6,597,141.91$ <br> $5,582,992.68$ <br> $3,388,124.97$ <br> $2,513,223.42$ | ….......... …...... ......... ........ | …........... …...... …...... |
| 16. Scotch Plains Twp <br> 17. Springfield Township <br> 18. Summit City <br> 19. Union Township <br> 20. Westfield Town | 4.09 2.42 3.54 6.93 2.88 | 50.29 73.86 43.51 28.25 64.00 |  | ....... | $872,742,752$ $396,033,442$ $1,521,430,380$ $2,673,800,561$ $1,022,206,992$ | $1,750,810,018$ $1,451,473,281$ $2,675,875,602$ $3,706,465,620$ $2,817,578,118$ | $6,917,027.61$ $5,734420.44$ $10,5711,738.35$ $14,643,350.66$ $11,131,570.78$ |  | .............. …...... …....... ....... |
| 21. Winfield Township ........... | 71.97 | 13.00 | ............ | ........... | 9,307,946 | 10,697,788 | 42,264.39 | . | ............ |
| Totals .......................... | ..... | ........... | \$900,701 | \$14,070,183 | \$14,925,836,514 | \$33,584,351,640 | \$132,683,663.65 | ........... | .......... |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Union, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section C to Be Raised for DISTRICT SCHOOLPURPOSES |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | IIINetCountyTaxesApportloned | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { Municlpal } \\ \text { Budgot } \\ \text { State Aid } \\ \text { (R.S. } 52: 27 \mathrm{D} \text { - } \\ 118.40 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | VNot CountyTaxesApportionedLess MuncicipalButgetState Aid(Col. AllidAIIM) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { County } \\ & \text { Libryy } \\ & \text { Taxayes } \end{aligned}$ | (b) <br> Local Health Service Taxes (ค.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appoalis and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Berkeley Heights Twp. .... 2. Clark Township 3. Cranford Town....... 4. Elizabeth Cownship ........ 5. Fanwood Borough ........... |  |  | $\$ 6,591,389.09$ $4,797,643.79$ $6,477,851.57$ $14,375.859 .64$ $1,935,679.09$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ 6,591,389.09 } \\ 4,797,643.79 \\ 6,477,851.57 \\ 14,375.859 .64 \\ 1,935,679.09 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,500,046.00 \\ 7,916,352.00 \\ 19,27,9888.87 \\ 37,667,281.34 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,247,620.53 \\ 4,236,10.25 \\ 3,198,904.25 \\ 13,924,579.98 \\ 3,499,097.23 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,247,620.53 \\ 4,236,10.25 \\ 3,198,904.25 \\ 13,924,57.98 \\ 3,499,097.23 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11. New Providence Boro ..... 12. Plainfiel City e............. 13. Ranway City 14. Roselle Boorogn 15. Rosel...... Rosele Park Borough ..... |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,740,729.28 \\ & 6,475,096.79 \\ & 5.565,611.71 \\ & 3,361.55 .81 \\ & 2,506,145.32 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $11,703,536.00$ 17,704,186.00 10,349,608.00 8,577,522.50 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.815 .68 \\ .752 .73 \\ 31.103 .03 \\ 64.430 .90 \\ 15,310.01 \end{array}$ |  | $6,912,211.93$ <br> $5,726,899.71$ <br> $10.540,635.31$ <br> $14.578,859.76$ <br> $11,116,260.77$ |  |  |  | (1) |  | 7,890,763.00 18,480,763.50 35,166,437.00 $35,166,437.00$ |
| 21. Winfeld Township .......... | ........... | ........... | 42,264.39 | .... | 42,264.39 | $\ldots$ | ........... | $\ldots$ | 652,240.00 |
| Totals ......................... | \$832,648.65 | ........... | \$131,851,015.00 | ............ | \$131,851,015.00 | ........... | ........... | ........... | \$289,897,333.46 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Union, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS |  | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | amount of miscellaneous mevenues for the SUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Section $C$Local Taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cole. AV + $+\mathrm{Cla}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ $+\mathrm{ClI})$ | Total Amount of Roal Property Exompt trom Taxation | (a)$\begin{gathered}\text { Surplus } \\ \text { Aovenue } \\ \text { Appropriated }\end{gathered}$ | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Rovenues Anticipated |  | (d)Total ofMiscolilaneousRovenues(at $+b+c)$ |
|  |  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (c) <br> As Required by Local Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Berkeley Heights Twp. <br> Clark Township <br> Cranford Township <br> Elizabeth City <br> Fanwood Borough | $\begin{array}{\|r} \$ 7,550,431.45 \\ 5,907,440.29 \\ \ldots, \ldots . . . \\ 6,534,501.53 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,023,914.43 \\ 23,996,45.40 \\ 34,325.172 .86 \\ 79.509 .288 .98 \\ 11,124,075.01 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ 78,183,400 88,200,100 787,555,100 7,168,800 | $\$ 1,292,350.00$ 970,00000 $870,000.00$ $430,000.00$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 2,829,606.32 \\ 3,50,977.49 \\ 5,36,078.00 \\ 5,545,6955.65 \\ 1,385,255.33 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 350,000.00 \\ 275.00000 \\ 455.644 .00 \\ 1,530.00000 \\ 210,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,471,956.32 \\ 4,74,977.49 \\ 6,717,722.00 \\ 7,055,6955.65 \\ 2,025,255.33 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6 . \\ 7 . \\ 8 . \\ 9 . \\ 10 . \end{array}$ | Garwood Borough Hillside Township Kenilworth Borough Linden City Mountainside Borough | $\begin{aligned} & 1,185,336.38 \\ & 4,240,655.56 \\ & 3,581,105.61 \end{aligned}$ | 270,975.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,278,727.14 \\ 13,267,197.64 \\ 24,461,77.00 \\ 15,176,242.08 \\ 2,376,069.83 \end{array}$ |  | $11,800,300$ $40,426,200$ $78,888,200$ $346,813,800$ $131,655,800$ | $590,228.00$ <br> $1,29 ., 249.00$ <br> 2 <br> $1,30053,800.000$ | $878,914.18$ <br> 355.819 .25 <br> $1,721,315.60$ <br> $25,139.000 .81$ <br> $1,457,072.61$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,534,142.18 \\ 855.891 .25 \\ 3.513 .84 .60 \\ 29,1299000.81 \\ 2,960,874.61 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 11. <br> 12 <br> 12 <br> 13 <br> 14 <br> 15 | New Providence Boro ..... Plaanfied City Rahway City Roselle Boroung............ Roselle Park Borough ..... | $\cdots$ | 231,891.50 a...... $\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r}6,321,930.23 \\ 16,141,825.39 \\ 13,440,134.00 \\ 10,917.732 .77 \\ 4,767,119.48 \\ \hline 6,316.96 .3\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22,766,195.51 \\ & 41,888,734.68 \\ & 36,709,931.71 \\ & 24,628,916.58 \\ & 15,850,787.30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $60,673,800$ $85,421,600$ $183,931,400$ $124,645,630$ $35,912,000$ | 992,675.68 <br> 500,000.00 <br> $500,000.00$ |  | $\square$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 . \\ & 17 . \\ & 18 . \\ & 19 . \\ & 20 . \end{aligned}$ | Scotch Plains Twp. Springfield Township Summit City Union Township Westrield Town | $\begin{array}{r} 22.598,833.22 \\ 6,204,629.00 \end{array}$ | 848,296.50 |  | 35,828,013.49 40,763,041.50 $71,532,841.91$ $51,632,890.77$ 51,632,890.7 | $188,351,400$ $12.556,800$ $202,288.600$ $173,940,900$ $116,438,800$ | $1,050,000.00$ 4.165 .129 .35 4.60 .000 .00 7.955 .736 .00 $5,99,256.00$ |  | $600,000.00$ <br> 450.000 .00 <br> $48,000.00$ <br> $1,2660,000.00$ <br> $560,000.00$ <br>  | 5,322,754.66 $7,537,280.83$ 10.86764 .41 19,467.772.14 13,813,645.00 |
|  | Winfeld Township ......... |  |  | 305,629.18 | 1,000,133.57 | 846,600 | 112,868.38 | 279,658.64 |  | 392,527.32 |
|  | Totais | \$57,802,933.04 | \$2,344,003.00 | \$184,839,369.78 | \$666,734,654.28 | \$3,041,572,130 | \$35,684,594.41 | \$90,387,232.30 | \$10,610,644.00 | \$136,682,470.71 |
| Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues <br> Appropriated) for the support of the County Budget <br> Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment <br> of County Taxes <br> County Percentage Level of Taxable Value of Real Property <br> 84,794,77.00 <br> 3950775828 <br> 100\% |  |  |  |  | New County Taxes Apportioned (12A III) $\ddagger$ Adjustments (Net Total 12A lib) $\pm$ Total County Taxes Apportioned <br> (Including Adjustments - Total 12A I) $\ddagger$ Net Overpaym are deducted. $\qquad$ $\$ 131,851,015.00$ $\$ \quad 832,648.65$ $\qquad$ <br> $\$ 132,683,663.65$ Underpayments |  |  |  |  |  |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Warren, for the Year 1991

| TAXING DISTRICTS | 1 <br> Taxable Value |  | $2$ | 3 | $4$ | 5 <br> 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) <br> Land | (b) <br> Improvements (Includes Partial Exemptions \& Abatements) | Total <br> Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 1(a) + (b)) | Total Taxable Value Partial Exemptions \& Abatements (Assessed Value) | Not Total Taxable Value of Land and Improvements (Col. 2-3) | 5 <br> Taxable Value of Machinery, Implements a Equipment of elephone Telegraph and Messenger System Companies (C. 138, L. 1966) | Net Valuation Taxable (Col. $4+5$ ) |
| 1. Allamuchy Township <br> 2. Alpha Borough <br> 3. Belvidere Town <br> 4. Blairstown Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 122,602,100 \\ 42,885,40 \\ 56,901,730 \\ 88,017,312 \\ 84,630,427 \end{array}$ | $\$ 197,580,300$ $74,233,350$ $100,362,110$ $192,285,950$ $118,257,487$ | $\$ 320,182,400$ $117,118,800$ $157,263,840$ $280,303,262$ $202,887,914$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 320,182,400 \\ 117,118,800 \\ 157,263,840 \\ 280,303,262 \\ 202,887,914 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,905,412 \\ 216,723 \\ 1,903,954 \\ 1,131,220 \\ 1,979,057 \end{array}$ | $\$ 322,087,812$ $117,335,523$ $159,167,794$ $281,434,482$ $204,866,971$ |
| 6. Frelinghuysen Twp. <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Hackettstown Town <br> 9. Hardwick Township <br> 10. Harmony Township | $51,598,320$ $50,312,170$ $156,044,600$ $57,352,180$ $97,494,695$ | $82,226,200$ $75,532,800$ $233,332,350$ $54,165,200$ $267,732,234$ | $133,824,520$ $125,844,970$ $389,376,950$ $111,517,380$ $365,226,929$ |  | $133,824,520$ $125,844,970$ $389,213,400$ $111,517,380$ $365,226,929$ | 723,554 $1,538,806$ $5,356,145$ 325,310 $1,026,762$ | $134,548,074$ $127,383,776$ $394,569,545$ $111,842,690$ $366,253,691$ |
| 11. Hope Township <br> 12. Independence Twp <br> 13. Knowlton Township <br> 14. Liberty Township <br> 15. Lopatcong Township | $28,813,033$ $106,908,410$ $78,580,850$ $78,935,300$ $120,138,219$ | $68,981,750$ $149,116,080$ $93,162,100$ $85,380,310$ $187,155,980$ | $97,794,783$ $256,024,490$ $171,742,950$ $164,315,610$ $307,294,199$ |  | $97,794,783$ $256,024,490$ $171,742,950$ $164,315,610$ $307,294,199$ | 712,345 $1,482,051$ 840,352 482,031 $1,387,088$ | $98,507,128$ $257,506,541$ $172,583,302$ $164,797,641$ $308,681,287$ |
| 16. Mansfield Township <br> 17. Oxford Township <br> 18. Pahaquarry Township <br> 19. Phillipsburg Town <br> 20. Pohatcong Township | $82,199,960$ $56,630,460$ 399,000 $203,641,090$ $99,139,750$ | $\begin{array}{r} 184,165,150 \\ 50,788,700 \\ 394,681,210 \\ 157,466,850 \end{array}$ | $266,365,110$ $107,419,160$ 399,000 $598,322,300$ $256,606,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,350 \\ 4,120,608 \end{array}$ | $266,365,110$ $107,376,810$ 399,000 $594,201,692$ $256,606,600$ | $1,534,368$ 476,034 79,803 $6,276,499$ $1,121,943$ | $267,899,478$ $107,852,844$ 478,803 $600,478,191$ $257,728,543$ |
| 21. Washington Borough <br> 22. Washington Township <br> 23. White Township | $\begin{aligned} & 130,805,000 \\ & 151,192,630 \\ & 121,743,367 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159,501,950 \\ & 209,572,700 \\ & 207,878,062 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290.306,950 \\ & 360,765,330 \\ & 329,621,429 \end{aligned}$ | 500,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 290,306,950 \\ & 360,765,330 \\ & 329,121,429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,630,685 \\ & 1,846,498 \\ & 1,051,765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294.937,635 \\ & 362,611,828 \\ & 330,173,194 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ......................... | \$2,066,966,053 | \$3,343,558,823 | \$5,410,524,876 | \$4,826,508 | \$5,405,698,368 | \$38,028,405 | \$5,443,726,773 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Warren, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | G | 8 <br> County Equallzation TableAverage Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property <br> (R.S. 54:3-17 to R.8. 54:3-19) | True Value of Class II Railroad Property (C. 139 , L. 1986) | $10$ <br> EQUALIZATION |  |  | $12$ <br> APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (a) | (b) |  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Amounts | Amounts Added |  | Total County Taxes Apportioned (Including Adjustments) | Adjustments Resulting From |  |
|  |  |  |  | Under $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.S. } 54: 3-17 \\ & \text { R.S. } 54: 3-19 \end{aligned}$ | Under <br> R.S. 54:3-17 <br> to R.S. 54:3-19 and N.J.S.A. |  |  | (a) <br> County Equalization Table Appeals (R.S. 54:2-37) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deduct Overpaymant | $\begin{gathered} \text { Add } \\ \text { Underpayment } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Allamuchy Township <br> 2. Alpha Borough <br> 3. Belvidere Town <br> 4. Blairstown Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | 1.620 1.890 1.980 1.950 1.640 | $\begin{array}{r} 112.02 \\ 108.12 \\ 107.85 \\ 65.61 \\ 114.24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\$ 31,858,093$ $7,419,048$ $7,587,981$ $21,802,890$ | \$ 149,195,579 | $\$ 290,229,719$ $109,916,475$ $151,579,813$ $430,630,061$ $183,064,081$ | $\$ 1,734,160.24$ <br> $656,765.21$ <br> $995,709.06$ <br> $2,573,070.51$ <br> $1,093,831.65$ |  | ............. $\cdots$ $\cdots . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ ...........$~$ |
| 6. Frelinghuysen Twp. <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Hackettstown Town <br> 9. Hardwick Township <br> 10. Harmony Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 1.890 1.780 2.420 1.390 1.360 | $\begin{array}{r} 95.05 \\ 92.61 \\ 77.63 \\ 109.11 \\ 133.57 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text {............... } \\ 8,820,542 \\ 88,202,466 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,591,430 \\ 11,451,685 \\ 120,992,671 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ . . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $143,139,504$ $138,835,461$ $515,562,216$ $103,022,148$ $278,051,225$ | $855,277.12$ $829,559.90$ $3,080,551.16$ $615,570.71$ $1,661,392.16$ | ............. …....... …...... ........ |  |
| 11. Hope Township <br> 12. Independence Twp <br> 13. Knowlton Township <br> 14. Liberty Township <br> 15. Lopatcong Township | 2.620 1.730 1.780 1.610 1.530 | $\begin{array}{r} 77.21 \\ 96.89 \\ 99.06 \\ 105.04 \\ 107.94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \cdots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ 7,308,624 \\ 18,866,094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,755,662 \\ 9,610,015 \\ 3,406,988 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . \\ \hline . . . . . . . . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $128,262,790$ $267,116,556$ $175,990,290$ $157,489,017$ $289,815,193$ | $766,386.82$ $1,596,056.09$ $1,051,564.83$ $941,017.32$ $1,731,683.40$ |  |  |
| 16. Mansfield Township <br> 17. Oxford Township <br> 18. Pahaquarry Township <br> 19. Phillipsburg Town <br> 20. Pohatcong Township | $\begin{aligned} & 2.300 \\ & 1.970 \\ & 6.580 \\ & 2.010 \\ & 1.560 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.20 \\ 117.16 \\ 87.81 \\ 99.32 \\ 110.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,319,321 \\ \ldots \ldots . . . . \\ 21,338,598 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,078,114 \\ \ldots 64,208 \\ 25,590,384 \\ \ldots . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 348,977,592 \\ 93,533,523 \\ 543,011 \\ 626,068,575 \\ 236,389,945 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $2,085,186.41$ $558,874.94$ $3,244.56$ $3,740,841.00$ $1,412,460.60$ |  |  |
| 21. Washington Borough <br> 22. Washington Township <br> 23. White Township | $\begin{aligned} & 2,640 \\ & 1.840 \\ & 1.380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.49 \\ 101.74 \\ 114.11 \end{array}$ | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,046,701 \\ 36,703,946 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,907,893 \\ . . . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 309,845,528 \\ & 359,565,127 \\ & 293,469,248 \end{aligned}$ | $1,851,367.25$ <br> $2,48,448.31$ <br> $1,753,517.09$ | ................ | …........... |
| Totals .......................... | ........... | ............ | ............ | \$267,274,304 | \$454,644,629 | \$5,631,097,098 | \$33,646,536.34 | .......... | ............ |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Warren, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | $12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section A County Taxes |  |  |  |  | Section B |  |  | Section CLocal Taxesto BeRaised for |
|  | ADJUSTMENTS RESULTING FROM |  | III <br> Not County Taxes Apportioned | IV <br> Municlpal <br> Budget <br> Suate Aid <br> (R.S. $52: 270-$ <br> 118.40 ) | VNet CountyTaxesApportionedLess MunicipalSudgetState Aid(Col. Alll-AlV) | (a) <br> County Library Taxes | (b) <br> Local Health Sorvice Taxes (R.S. 26: 3A2-19) | (c) <br> County Open Space Preservation Trust Fund Tax (C. 30, L. 1989) |  |
|  | Appeals and (R.S. 54:4-48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rected Errors } \\ & \text { IS. } 54: 4-83 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (a) |
|  | Deduct Overpayment | Add Underpayment |  |  |  |  |  |  | by District School Budget |
| 1. Allamuchy Township <br> 2. Alpha Borough <br> 3. Belvidere Town <br> 4. Blairstown Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | $\$ 9,605.50$ $12,869.37$ $35,968.88$ 717.92 $7,435.17$ |  | $\$ 1,724,554.74$ $643,895.84$ $869,740.18$ $2,572,352.59$ $1,086,396.48$ | $121,174.00$ | $\$ 1,724,554.74$ $643,895.84$ $869,740.18$ $2,451,178.59$ $1,086,396.48$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 137,106.29 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \\ 204111.38 \\ 86,410.01 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\$ 2,844,863.51$ <br> $1,059,301.00$ <br> $1,876,794.00$ <br> $1,531,333.00$ <br> $1,032,869.00$ |
| 6. Frelinghuysen Twp. <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Hackettstown Town <br> 9. Hardwick Township <br> 10. Harmony Township |  |  | $849,836.45$ $818,493.30$ $3,045,535.02$ $605,944.68$ $1,661,392.16$ |  | $849,836.45$ $818,493.30$ $3,045,535.02$ $605,944.68$ $1,661,392.16$ | $67,586.55$ $65,247.52$ $48,329.21$ $131,820.92$ |  |  | $890,587.00$ $1,376,738.00$ $5,120,476.00$ $366,327.00$ $3,107,031.00$ |
| 11. Hope Township <br> 12. Independence Twp <br> 13. Knowlton Township <br> 14. Liberty Township <br> 15. Lopatcong Township | 814.50 $7,266.08$ $15,217.92$ $5,184.70$ $38,242.81$ |  | $765,572.32$ $1,588,790.01$ $1,036,346.91$ $935,832.62$ $1,693,440.59$ |  | $765,572.32$ $1,588,790.01$ $1,036,346.91$ $935,832.62$ $1,693,440.59$ | $60,769.84$ $126,275.54$ $82,624.72$ $74,395.71$ $135,444.63$ |  |  | $1,665,568.00$ <br> $2,407,659.00$ <br> $1,078,760.00$ <br> $1,569,388.50$ <br> $2,487,770.00$ |
| 16. Mansfield Township ......... 17. Oxford Township 18. Pahaquarry Township ...... 19. Phillipsburg Town 20. Pohatcong Township ....... | $\begin{array}{r} 2,230.44 \\ 1,370.04 \\ 11,085.71 \\ 3,228.20 \end{array}$ |  | $2,082,955.97$ $557,504.90$ $3,244.56$ $3,729,755.29$ $1,409,232.40$ |  | $2,082,955.97$ $557,504.90$ $3,244.56$ $3,729,755.29$ $1,409,232.40$ | $165,297.08$ $44,272.56$ 257.44 $111,900.82$ |  |  | $1,916,031.00$ <br> $1,217,696.00$ <br> $6,062,718.00$ <br> $2,157,089.00$ |
| 21. Washington Borough <br> 22. Washington Township <br> 23. White Township | $\begin{array}{r} 11,827.80 \\ 10,514.55 \\ 8,168.12 \end{array}$ | .............. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,839,539.45 \\ & 2,137,933.76 \\ & 1,745,348.97 \end{aligned}$ | …......... | $\begin{aligned} & 1,839,599.45 \\ & 2,137,933.76 \\ & 1,745,348.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169,931.34 \\ & 138,718.44 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {….......... }}^{\text {........... }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,116,223.00 \\ & 2,154,808.55 \\ & 2,641,288.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals | \$242,897.15 |  | \$33,403,639.19 | \$121,174.00 | \$33,282,465.19 | \$1,850,508.00 |  |  | \$46,681,318.56 |

Abstract of Ratables and Exemptions in the County of Warren, for the Year 1991 (Continued)

| TAXING DISTRICTS | APPORTIONMENT OF TAXES |  |  |  | 13 | amount of miscellaneous revenues for the BUPPORT OF THE LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section CLocal taxes to be Raised for |  |  | Section D <br> Total Tax Levy on Which Tax Rate is Computed (Cols. AV + $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a})$, (b), (c) + Cla, b, c $+\mathrm{CII})$ | Total Amount of Real Property Exempt from raxation |  | (b) <br> Miscellaneous Revenues Anticlpated | (c) <br> Recelpts from Dellinquent Taxes and Liens | (d) <br> Total of Miscellaneous Revenues $(a+b+c)$ |
|  | SCHOOL PURPOSES |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Local } \\ \text { Municlpal } \\ \text { Purposes }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (b) <br> Regional <br> Consolidated <br> and <br> and <br> Joint <br> Budgets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Allamuchy Township <br> 2. Alpha Borough <br> 3. Belvidere Town <br> 4. Blairstown Township <br> 5. Franklin Township | $\begin{array}{r} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\ \\ \$ 1,280,758.45 \\ 1,134,068.28 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 509,648.00 \\ 509,804.00 \\ 393,220.41 \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $\$ 5,216,172.54$ $2,213,000.84$ $3,139,754.59$ $5,467,389.42$ $3,339,743.77$ | $\$ 25,153,004$ $13,488,400$ $29,403,175$ $33,227,965$ $14,682,200$ | $\$ 95,000.00$ $100,000.00$ $559,402.00$ $340,760.00$ $734,212.00$ | $\$ 497,692.00$ $429,253.00$ $690,085.68$ $3,465,909.00$ $475,938.00$ | $\$ 150,000.00$ $115,400.00$ $350,000.00$ $316,000.00$ $250,000.00$ | $\$ 742,692.00$ $644,653.00$ $1,599,487.68$ $4,122,669.00$ $1,460,150.00$ |
| 6. Frelinghuysen Twp. <br> 7. Greenwich Township <br> 8. Hackettstown Town <br> 9. Hardwick Township <br> 10. Harmony Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $628,195.60$ $\ldots \ldots . . . .$. $482,409.79$ | ... | $94,942.00$ $1,344,835.17$ $40,689.78$ $69,584.00$ | $2,531,147.60$ $2,260,478.82$ $9,510,846.19$ $1,543,700.46$ $4,969,828.08$ | $8,258,700$ $5,749,360$ $60,910,300$ $8,912,000$ $7,813,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 324,000.00 \\ & 372,036.64 \\ & 954,478.00 \\ & 217,942.66 \\ & 592,885.12 \end{aligned}$ | $281,823.00$ $455,086.36$ $1,424,908.05$ $167,907.56$ $440,012.78$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225,000.00 \\ & 235,000.00 \\ & 500,000.00 \\ & 202,000.00 \\ & 160,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $830,823.00$ $1,062,123.00$ $2,879,386.05$ $587,850.22$ $1,192,897.90$ |
| 11. Hope Township <br> 12. Independence Twp. <br> 13. Knowiton Township <br> 14. Liberty Township <br> 15. Lopatcong Township | 865,113.16 | $\ldots .$. | $86,195.03$ $319,238.83$ $69,588.50$ $405,979.57$ | $2,578,105.19$ $4,441,963.38$ $3,062,844.79$ $2,649,205.33$ $4,722,634.79$ | $7,755,100$ $14,549,100$ $11,711,700$ $12,124,700$ $14,708,900$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308,867.00 \\ & 645,420.37 \\ & 329,448.43 \\ & 521,475.50 \\ & 801,965.00 \end{aligned}$ | $379,285.05$ $642,615.00$ $581,805.68$ $375,984.00$ $1,284,360.37$ | $250,000.00$ $333,190.00$ $385,000.00$ $239,950.00$ $259,774.69$ | $938,152.05$ $1,621,225.37$ $1,296,254.11$ $1,137,409.50$ $2,346,100.06$ |
| 16. Mansfield Township ......... 17. Oxford Township 18. Pahaquarry Township ...... 19. Phillipsburg Town ........ 20. Pohatcong Township ..... | 1,976,898.69 | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 296,839.27 \\ 28,000.66 \\ 2,268,773.36 \\ 340,927.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,141,182.74 \\ 2,116,312.73 \\ 31,502.66 \\ 12,061,246.65 \\ 4,019,149.88 \end{array}$ | $36,764,000$ $27,300,000$ $1,461,025$ $89,070,350$ $13,047,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 924,640.71 \\ 232,257.50 \\ 55,000.00 \\ 1,664,158.00 \\ 426,864.00 \end{array}$ | $1,106,913.70$ $652,260.26$ $15,804.88$ $3,812,756.48$ $813,130.64$ | $\begin{aligned} & 351,402.49 \\ & 415,000.00 \\ & 761,804.14 \\ & 250,859.00 \end{aligned}$ | $2,382,956.90$ $1,299,517.76$ $70,804.88$ $6,238,718.62$ $1,490,853.64$ |
| 21. Washington Borough <br> 22. Washington Township <br> 23. White Township | $\begin{aligned} & 1,780,653.65 \\ & 1,906,043.38 \end{aligned}$ | . | $\begin{array}{r} 2,031,807.70 \\ 274,291.00 \end{array}$ | $7,768,223.80$ $6,643,008.03$ $4,525,355.41$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,346,500 \\ & 24,821,448 \\ & 47,946,845 \end{aligned}$ | $509,160.08$ $1,220,761.00$ $305,136.44$ | $1,345,305.00$ $787,744.00$ $911,757.56$ | $\begin{aligned} & 370,400.00 \\ & 357,202.00 \\ & 325,000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,224,865.08 \\ & 2,365,707.00 \\ & 1,541,894.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ......................... | \$10,054,141.00 | ............ | \$9,084,364.94 | \$100,952,797.69 | \$537,206,072 | \$12,235,870.45 | \$21,038,338.05 | \$6,802,982.32 | \$40,077,190.82 |

[^30]DIVISION OF TAXATION-DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY-STATE OF NEW JERSEY
STATE EQUALIZATION TABLE-R.S. $54: 1-33$
FOR THE YEAR 1991

| COUNTY | ASSESSED VALUE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY | ASSESSED Value of REAL PROPERTY | PERCENTAGE <br> BY WHICH <br> ASSESSED <br> Value of real PROPERTY SHOULD <br> BE INCREASED | TRUE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *ATLANTIC ........................ | \$ 120,438,893 | \$ 15,876,393,545 | 15.71 | \$ 18,371,202,899 |
| *BERGEN ......................... | 294,730,927 | 67,344,557,702 | 23.29 | 83,028,674,272 |
| *BURLINGTON ................... | 158,631,590 | 16,761,745,365 | 9.41 | 18,338,889,896 |
| *CAMDEN ......................... | 98,017,709 | 9,401,496,260 | 97.67 | 18,583,704,803 |
| *CAPE MAY ...................... | 62,163,141 | 11,829,606,108 | 5.99 | 12,538,003,294 |
| *CUMBERLAND ................ | 44,649,908 | 3,203,086,923 | 14.94 | 3,681,709,107 |
| *ESSEX ......................... | 131,726,400 | 16,362,532,500 | 138.10 | 38,958,410,714 |
| *GLOUCESTER .................. | 68,129,504 | 7,195,327,945 | 27.96 | 9,207,073,506 |
| **HUDSON ......................... | 104,962,615 | 17,308,789,670 | 42.78 | 24,712,720,831 |
| *HUNTERDON ................... | 39,305,004 | 9,274,156,766 | 0.39 | 9,414,431,800 |
| *MERCER ........................ | 56,722,646 | 6,522,370,842 | 184.25 | 18,539,996,708 |
| *MIDDLESEX ...................... | 289,656,677 | 32,373,337,900 | 39.02 | 45,012,983,732 |
| *MONMOUTH .................... | 301,955,769 | 34,490,947,905 | 18.78 | 40,967,986,584 |
| *MORRIS ............................ | 205,530,614 | 26,045,680,879 | 53.42 | 39,996,438,696 |
| *OCEAN ............................ | 141,746,800 | 24,547,264,032 | 38.85 | 34,083,954,502 |
| *PASSAIC .......................... | 84,821,306 | 16,083,955,566 | 48.52 | 23,888,245,308 |
| *SALEM ........................... | 21,192,600 | 1,477,553,592 | 61.32 | 2,383,535,396 |
| *SOMERSET ..................... | 106,647,636 | 16,285,328,351 | 39.66 | 22,744,871,999 |
| *SUSSEX .......................... | 27,421,213 | 6,177,898,338 | 40.10 | 8,654,943,034 |
| *UNION ............................. | 108,321,728 | 18,563,372,880 | 79.05 | 33,237,910,260 |
| *WARREN ......................... | 38,028,405 | 5,405,698,368 | 6.96 | 5,786,446,551 |
| TOTAL ................................. | \$2,504,801,085 | \$362,531,101,437 |  | \$512,132,133,892 |

*Adjustments were made to take into consideration the effect of Revaluation or Reassessment programs adopted in 1991 by several taxing districts. **Hudson County -Estimated

[^31]
## TABLE OF EQUALIZED VALUATIONS

 YEAR 1991Promulgated by the Director, Division of Taxation, as of October 1, 1991, for use by the Commissioner of Education in the calculation and distribution of State School Aid, under Chapter 86, Laws of 1954 (N.J.S.A. 54:1-35.1 et seq.).

A Table of Equalized Valuation reflecting revisions resulting from appeals filed with the State Tax Court will be published subsequently, and will be available upon request at the Local Property Branch, Division of Taxation Building, Trenton, New Jersey 08646.
Compilation of Equalized Valuations in the State of New Jersey, As of October 1, 1991

| COUNTY | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic <br> Bergen <br> Burlington <br> Camden <br> Cape May $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 15,876,393,545$ $67,344,557,702$ $16,761,745,365$ $9,401,496,260$ $11,829,606,108$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.61 \\ & 83.18 \\ & 90.47 \\ & 49.77 \\ & 91.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 19,695,540,914$ $80,966,541,881$ $18,526,879,335$ $18,890,218,441$ $12,985,197,557$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85,771 \\ 183,049 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots .9 \\ 26,899 \\ . . . . . . . . . . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 120,438,893 \\ 294,730,927 \\ 158,631,590 \\ 98,017,709 \\ 62,163,141 \end{array}$ | $\$ 19,816,065,578$ $81,261,455,857$ $18,685,510,925$ $18,988,263,049$ $13,047,360,698$ |
| Cumberland <br> Essex <br> Gloucester <br> Hudson <br> Hunterdon | $3,203,086,923$ $16,362,532,500$ $7,192,091,845$ $17,928,835,476$ $9,274,156,766$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.58 \\ 40.99 \\ 74.17 \\ 76.20 \\ 100.90 \end{array}$ | $3,878,892,797$ $39,921,684,650$ $9,696,991,170$ $23,528,825,948$ $9,190,998,453$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,808,223 \\ 11,142,882 \end{array}$ | $44,649,908$ $131,726,400$ $68,129,504$ $18,680,865$ $39,305,004$ | $3,923,542,705$ $40,055,219,273$ $9,765,120,674$ $23,648,640,695$ $9,230,303,457$ |
| Mercer <br> Middlesex <br> Monmouth <br> Morris <br> Ocean | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6,522,370,842 } \\ 32,373,337,900 \\ 34,490,947,905 \\ 26,045,680,879 \\ 24,547,264,032 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.77 \\ & 73.06 \\ & 85.99 \\ & 65.60 \\ & 75.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,233,470,686 \\ & 44,307,650,795 \\ & 40,110,109,852 \\ & 39,700,900,434 \\ & 32,435,259,816 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 549,486 \\ 1,388,028 \\ 189,867 \\ 726,509 \\ 409,163 \end{array}$ | $56,722,646$ $289,656,677$ $301,955,769$ $205,530,614$ $141,746,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,290,742,818 \\ & 44,598,695,500 \\ & 40,412,255,488 \\ & 39,907,157,557 \\ & 32,577,415,779 \end{aligned}$ |
| Passaic <br> Salem <br> Somerset <br> Sussex <br> Union $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $16,083,955,566$ $1,477,553,592$ $16,285,328,351$ $6,177,898,338$ $18,563,372,880$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.42 \\ & 58.82 \\ & 72.64 \\ & 73.87 \\ & 56.67 \end{aligned}$ | $24,216,156,259$ $2,511,820,166$ $22,420,227,401$ $8,363,051,682$ $32,756,957,211$ | $\begin{gathered} 544,390 \\ 338,311 \\ 900,701 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,821,306 \\ 21,192,600 \\ 106,647,636 \\ 27,421,213 \\ 108,311,728 \end{array}$ | $24,301,521,955$ $2,533,012,766$ $22,527,213,348$ $8,390,472,895$ $32,866,169,640$ |
| Warren .................................... | 5,405,698,368 | 100.57 | 5,374,831,104 | .......... | 38,028,405 | 5,412,859,509 |
| Totals ............................. | \$363,147,911,143 | 71.53 | \$507,712,206,552 | \$18,293,279 | \$2,508,509,335 | \$510,239,009,166 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Atlantic County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equallzed Valuation |
| Absecon City <br> Atlantic City <br> Brigantine City <br> Buena Borough <br> Buena Vista Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 263,820,700 \\ 6,319,264,392 \\ 1,020,729,000 \\ 93,345,000 \\ 219,496,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.88 \\ & 78.29 \\ & 89.02 \\ & 73.28 \\ & 85.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 377,533,915 \\ 8,071,611,179 \\ 1,146,628,847 \\ 127,381,277 \\ 255,645,120 \end{array}$ | \$ 85,771 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,406,168 \\ 19,853,625 \\ 4,797,763 \\ 2,362,097 \\ 1,503,611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 378,940,083 \\ 8,091,550,575 \\ 1,151,426,610 \\ 129,743,374 \\ 257,148,731 \end{array}$ |
| Corbin City <br> Egg Harbor City <br> Egg Harbor Township <br> Estelle Manor City <br> Folsom Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,202,600 \\ 122,125,700 \\ 977,214,300 \\ 90,971,575 \\ 72,390,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.16 \\ 91.25 \\ 69.39 \\ 115.51 \\ 85.08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,110,027 \\ 133,836,384 \\ 1,408,292,693 \\ 78,756,450 \\ 85,084,626 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 91,917 \\ 4,334,282 \\ 10,623,255 \\ 617,749 \\ 1,258,287 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,201,944 \\ 138,170,666 \\ 1,418,915,948 \\ 79,374,199 \\ 86,342,913 \end{array}$ |
| Galloway Township <br> Hamilton Township <br> Hammonton Town <br> Linwood City <br> Longport Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $793,475,400$ $774,277,700$ $426,825,800$ $500,929,800$ $285,475,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.37 \\ 67.86 \\ 74.21 \\ 102.31 \\ 56.24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,081,471,174 \\ 1,140,992,779 \\ 575,159,412 \\ 489,619,588 \\ 507,601,529 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11,138,348 \\ 8,111,224 \\ 9,268,434 \\ 1,436,700 \\ 173,363 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,092,609,522 \\ 1,149,104,003 \\ 584,427,846 \\ 491,056,288 \\ 507,774,892 \end{array}$ |
| Margate City <br> Mullica Township <br> Northfield City <br> Pleasantville City <br> Port Republic City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $1,301,373,500$ $158,078,600$ $333,687,500$ $490,678,717$ $40,020,421$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.94 \\ 67.59 \\ 77.04 \\ 102.12 \\ 62.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,252,043,006 \\ 233,878,680 \\ 433,135,384 \\ 480,492,281 \\ 64,320,831 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,907,881 \\ 1,591,730 \\ 1,286,871 \\ 24,594,679 \\ 817,072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,253,950,887 \\ 235,470,410 \\ 434,422,255 \\ 505,086,960 \\ 65,137,903 \end{array}$ |
| Somers Point City <br> Ventnor City <br> Weymouth Township | $\begin{array}{r} 484,672,600 \\ 1,042,296,840 \\ 51,041,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.46 \\ & 98.86 \\ & 79.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 617,732,093 \\ 1,054,316,043 \\ 63,897,596 \end{array}$ |  | 6,381,890 6,310,575 571,372 | $\begin{array}{r} 624,113,983 \\ 1,060,626,618 \\ 64,468,968 \end{array}$ |
| Totals .............................. | \$15,876,393,545 | 80.61 | \$19,695,540,914 | \$85,771 | \$120,438,893 | \$19,816,065,578 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

|  |  |  <br> 留等 <br> 先天気等 <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circlearrowleft} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}}$ | － | － | ¢ |
|  |  | ® <br>  <br>  |  <br>  Ni®N N กِ $\infty$ |  <br>  뚠 |  |  |  <br> 等 |  |
|  |  | Oั Nヘ ஸ்ठ்ス |  <br>  |  |  |  <br>  | MुO ఫి N <br>  | 억ㄴํㅇ ウĩ ஸ்ウ |
|  |  |  | §o 웅 둥 웅 <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  | 8 잉 싱ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ <br> ヘī <br> が <br> $\div-$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Montvale Borough .................... | 610,056,200 | 48.85 | 1,248,835,619 | ............ | 1,396,638 | 1,250,232,257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moonachie Borough ................ | 305,853,200 | 58.04 | 526,969,676 |  | 667,490 | 527,637,166 |
| New Milford Borough ............... | 962,113,100 | 103.80 | 926,891,233 | ............ | 887,682 | 927,778,915 |
| North Arlington Boro ................ | 784,393,200 | 94.46 | 830,397,205 |  | 792,462 | 831,189,667 |
| Northvale Borough ................... | 352,956,900 | 70.90 | 497,823,554 | ........... | 639,771 | 498,463,325 |
| Norwood Borough .................... | 348,073,395 | 58.88 | 591,157,261 | ............ | 791,054 | 591,948,315 |
| Oakland Borough ..................... | 1,059,937,455 | 97.00 | 1,092,719,026 |  | 4,765,055 | 1,097,484,081 |
| Old Tappan Borough ............... | 338,495,700 | 58.79 | 575,770,879 |  | 641,017 | 576,411,896 |
| Oradell Borough ...................... | 649,346,140 | 73.86 | 879,158,056 |  | 1,552,567 | 880,710,623 |
| Palisades Park Boro ................ | 855,928,500 | 88.51 | 967,041,577 |  | 556,771 | 967,598,348 |
| Paramus Borough .................... | 3,016,586,800 | 73.90 | 4,081,984,844 | ........... | 7,564,495 | 4,089,549,339 |
| Park Ridge Borough ................ | 844,763,900 | 103.30 | 817,777,251 | ........... | 2,869,295 | 820,646,546 |
| Ramsey Borough ..................... | 1,391,026,000 | 88.68 | 1,568,590,438 |  | 13,811,805 | 1,582,402,243 |
| Ridgefield Borough ................. | 741,088,050 | 80.88 | 916,280,972 |  | 1,115,698 | 917,396,670 |
| Ridgefield Park Village ............. | 417,217,700 | 51.62 | 808,248,160 |  | 865,896 | 809,114,056 |
| Ridgewocd Village | 2,593,070,400 | 94.94 | 2,731,272,804 | ........... | 13,949,349 | 2,745,222,153 |
| River Edge Borough ................. | 809,472,900 | 98.94 | 818,145,240 | .......... | 13,080,361 | 831,225,601 |
| River Vale Township ................ | 576,385,600 | 63.10 | 913,447,861 | ............ | 581,068 | 914,028,929 |
| Rochelle Park Twp .................. | 398,824,700 | 71.77 | 555,698,342 |  | 22,596,759 | 578,295,101 |
| Rockleigh Borough .................. | 170,879,300 | 92.82 | 184,097,501 |  | 578,521 | 184,676,022 |
| Rutherford Borough |  |  |  |  | 19,201,634 | 1,309,849,241 |
| Saddle Brook Twp ................... | 1,446,526,750 | 109.61 | 1,319,703,266 | 51,711 | 2,087,791 | 1,321,842,768 |
| Saddle River Borough .............. | 1,079,271,100 | 100.93 | 1,069,326,365 |  | 1,274,975 | 1,070,601,340 |
| S Hackensack Twp | 354,661,580 | $95.69$ | $370,635,991$ |  | $767,994$ | $371,403,985$ |
| Teaneck Township | 2,881,696,100 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,047,124 | 1,831,576,677 |
| Teterboro Borough | 305,615,600 | 141.92 | 215,343,574 | ............ | 1,403,289 | 216,746,863 |
| U Saddle River Boro ................ | 1,192,185,050 | 96.07 | 1,240,954,564 | ............ | $3,115,320$ | $1,244,069,884$ |
| Waldwick Borough | $668,239,100$ | 92.78 | $720,240,461$ |  | 834,324 | $721,074,785$ |
| Wallington Borough | $634,026,025$ |  | $551,183,191$ |  | 1,370,934 | 552,554,125 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 634,240 | 823,120,268 |
| Westwood Borough | 640,978,300 | 72.05 | 889,629,840 |  | 1,191,454 | 890,821,294 |
| Woodcliff Lake Boro ................. | 447,621,600 | 48.55 | 921,980,639 | ............ | 1,443,722 | 923,424,361 |
| Wood-Ridge Borough ............... | 315,257,398 | 52.41 | $601,521,462$ |  | $638,148$ | $602,159,610$ |
| Wyckoff Township .................... | 1,232,285,200 | 67.48 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | \$67,344,557,702 | 83.18 | \$80,966,541,881 | \$183,049 | \$294,730,927 | \$81,261,455,857 |


| Burlington County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* |  | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Bass River Township <br> Beverly City <br> Bordentown City <br> Bordentown Township <br> Burlington City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 44,913,000$ $3,902,805$ $200,490,560$ $262,127,790$ $433,130,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.16 \\ 50.10 \\ 103.89 \\ 61.11 \\ 108.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 74,655,918 \\ 79,646,317 \\ 192,983,502 \\ 428,944,183 \\ 400,379,645 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 875,402 \\ 110,815 \\ 503,059 \\ 4,197,196 \\ 6,865,086 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 75,531,320 \\ 79,757,132 \\ 193,486,561 \\ 433,141,379 \\ 407,244,731 \end{array}$ |
| Burlington Township <br> Chesterfield Township <br> Cinnaminson Twp. <br> Delanco Township <br> Delran Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 686,073,458 \\ & 179,189,100 \\ & 859,638,350 \\ & 154,45,100 \\ & 648,146,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.29 \\ 105.80 \\ 96.72 \\ 96.00 \\ 97.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 727,620,594 \\ & 169,365,879 \\ & 888,790,684 \\ & 160,859,49 \\ & 663,608,785 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,958,555 \\ 1,115,968 \\ 6,643,310 \\ 567,256 \\ 2,403,745 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 731,579,149 \\ & 170,481,847 \\ & 895,433,994 \\ & 161,426,735 \\ & 666,012,530 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eastampton Township <br> Edgewater Park Twp. <br> Evesham Township <br> Fieldsboro Borough <br> Florence Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $191,985,460$ $175,329,764$ $1,988,438,700$ $19,386,600$ $262,244,620$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.17 \\ & 57.49 \\ & 99.34 \\ & 87.31 \\ & 59.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195,564,286 \\ 304,974,368 \\ 2,001,649,587 \\ 22,204,329 \\ 439,344,312 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,365,133 \\ 517,892 \\ 24,115,842 \\ 26,169 \\ 1,830,107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196,929,419 \\ 305,492,260 \\ 2,025,765,429 \\ 22,230,498 \\ 441,174,419 \end{array}$ |
| Hainesport Township <br> Lumberton Township <br> Mansfield Township <br> Maple Shade Twp. <br> Medford Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190,092,200 \\ 401,754,500 \\ 268,851,300 \\ 685,870,500 \\ 1,335,786,050 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.39 \\ 108.08 \\ 94.72 \\ 100.23 \\ 96.49 \end{array}$ | $199,278,960$ $371,719,560$ $283,837,943$ $684,296,618$ $1,384,377,708$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,499,195 \\ & 2,000,724 \\ & 2,369,427 \\ & 2,270,688 \\ & 8,109,046 \end{aligned}$ | $200,778,155$ $373,720,284$ $286,207,370$ $686,567,306$ $1,392,486,754$ |


| Medford Lakes Boro ................. | 131,087,450 | 56.13 | 233,542,580 | ............ | 353,067 | 233,895,647 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moorestown Township .............. | 1,350,976,600 | 89.17 | 1,515,057,306 | ........... | 23,544,522 | 1,538,601,828 |
| Mount Holly Township ............. | 321,978,600 | 93.85 | 343,077,890 | ........... | 10,857,369 | 353,935,259 |
| Mount Laurel Twp. ................... | 1,669,066,145 | 74.18 | 2,250,021,765 | ......... | 11,330,102 | 2,261,351,867 |
| New Hanover Twp. .................. | 31,175,300 | 102.44 | 30,432,741 | ......... | 2,124,231 | 32,556,972 |
| North Hanover Twp. ................. | 167,069,200 | 99.63 | 167,689,652 | ........... | 1,167,362 | 168,857,014 |
| Palmyra Borough .................... | 156,750,050 | 55.35 | 283,197,922 | ........... | 540,356 | 283,738,278 |
| Pemberton Borough ................. | 28,747,600 | 72.19 | 39,822,136 |  | 924,041 | 40,746,177 |
| Pemberton Township ................ | 806,637,450 | 105.06 | 767,787,407 |  | 7,980,359 | 775,767,766 |
| Riverside Township .................. | 262,344,360 | 94.30 | 278,201,866 | ........... | 3,630,682 | 281,832,548 |
| Riverton Borough ..................... | 130,902,700 | 93.61 | 139,838,372 |  | 361,063 | 140,199,435 |
| Shamong Township ................. | 295,613,400 | 102.37 | 288,769,561 |  | 2,537,170 | 291,306,731 |
| Southampton Twp. ................... | 462,533,550 | 94.31 | 490,439,561 | ........... | 4,752,759 | 495,192,320 |
| Springfield Twp. ...................... | 175,010,703 | 97.85 | 178,856,109 |  | 1,759,320 | 180,615,429 |
| Tabernacle Township ............... | 343,853,050 | 101.96 | 337,243,086 | ........... | 1,236,963 | 338,480,049 |
| Washington Township .............. | 45,344,250 | 137.36 | 33,011,248 |  | 894,335 | 33,905,583 |
| Westampton Twp. ................... | 295,738,000 | 102.42 | 288,750,244 | ............ | 2,432,537 | 291,182,781 |
| Willingboro Township .............. | 972,174,270 | 88.86 | 1,094,051,621 | ............ | 6,557,543 | 1,100,609,164 |
| Woodland Township ................. | 65,622,430 | 92.73 | 70,767,206 |  | 831,212 | 71,598,418 |
| Wrightstown Borough .............. | 21,343,000 | 96.06 | 22,218,405 |  | 3,471,982 | 25,690,387 |
| Totals ............................ | \$16,761,745,365 | 90.47 | \$18,526,879,335 |  | \$158,631,590 | \$18,685,510,925 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Camden County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Audubon Borough <br> Audubon Park <br> Barrington Borough <br> Bellmawr Borough <br> Berlin Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 225,035,080 \\ 9,267,500 \\ 165,990,450 \\ 438,272,400 \\ 103,303,183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.06 \\ 116.93 \\ 65.93 \\ 91.77 \\ 33.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 368,547,462 \\ 7,925,682 \\ 251,767,708 \\ 477,576,986 \\ 310,406,199 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 434,259 \\ 42,194 \\ 554,455 \\ 1,451,589 \\ 3,103,997 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 368,981,721 \\ 7,967,876 \\ 252,322,163 \\ 479,028,575 \\ 313,510,196 \end{array}$ |
| Berlin Township <br> Brooklawn Borough <br> Camden City <br> Cherry Hill Township <br> Chesilhurst Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 310,226,700 \\ 75,986,450 \\ 218,898,822 \\ 1,438,548,910 \\ 15,449,099 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.58 \\ 114.44 \\ 26.59 \\ 31.39 \\ 47.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,408,635 \\ 66,398,506 \\ 823,237,390 \\ 4,582,825,454 \\ 32,388,048 \end{array}$ | \$ 26,899 $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,437,600 \\ 520,975 \\ 15,878,577 \\ 7,837,907 \\ 130,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269,846,235 \\ 66,919,481 \\ 839,142,866 \\ 4,590,663,361 \\ 32,518,948 \end{array}$ |
| Clementon Borough Collingswood Borough Gibbsboro Borough Gloucester City Gloucester Township | $\begin{array}{r} 180,776,150 \\ 259,757,800 \\ 91,476,150 \\ 128,291,500 \\ 645,210,230 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.31 \\ 53.78 \\ 72.28 \\ 40.92 \\ 37.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156,774,044 \\ 483,000,744 \\ 126,558,038 \\ 313,517,840 \\ 1,721,478,735 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,023,296 \\ 4,859,811 \\ 870,799 \\ 3,799,384 \\ 6,051,131 \end{array}$ | $157,797,340$ $487,860,555$ $127,428,837$ $317,317,224$ $1,727,529,866$ |
| Haddon Township <br> Haddonfield Borough <br> Haddon Heights Boro <br> Hi-Nella Borough <br> Lauren Springs Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 233,885,200 \\ 442,899,400 \\ 229,551,800 \\ 14,204,500 \\ 45,209,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.99 \\ & 47.82 \\ & 61.70 \\ & 58.84 \\ & 58.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 632,293,052 \\ 926,180,259 \\ 372,045,057 \\ 24,140,891 \\ 76,964,590 \end{array}$ |  | 628,664 $6,848,306$ 763,571 104.809 $8,057,775$ | $\begin{array}{r} 632,921,716 \\ 933,028,565 \\ 372,808,628 \\ 24,245,700 \\ 85,022,365 \end{array}$ |


| Lawnside Borough ................... | 44,074,808 | 46.02 | 95,773,159 | $\ldots$ | 145,959 | 95,919,118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lindenwold Borough ................ | 290,345,500 | 64.66 | 449,034,179 | ........... | 1,633,579 | 450,667,758 |
| Magnolia Borough ................... | 53,754,850 | 36.53 | 147,152,614 | ........... | 137,071 | 147,289,685 |
| Merchantville Borough ............... | 90,571,450 | 56.91 | 159,148,568 | ........... | 8,471,407 | 167,619,975 |
| Mt. Ephraim Borough ............... | 105,542,470 | 63.87 | 165,245,765 | ............ | 611,034 | 165,856,799 |
| Oaklyn Borough ........................ | 161,364,600 | 102.74 | 157,061,125 | ........... | 448,870 | 157,509,995 |
| Pennsauken Township .............. | 568,795,000 | 35.55 | 1,599,985,935 | ........... | 2,937,107 | 1,602,923,042 |
| Pine Hill Borough .................... | 93,958,700 | 43.33 | 216,844,450 | ........... | 519,234 | 217,363,684 |
| Pine Valley ............................. | 6,875,880 | 66.67 | 10,313,304 | ........... | 26,955 | 10,340,259 |
| Runnemede Borough ................ | 320,211,000 | 107.06 | 299,094,900 | ........... | 3,210,006 | 302,304,906 |
| Somerdale Borough ................. | 131,710,450 | 64.84 | 203,131,477 | ............ | 629,748 | 203,761,225 |
| Strattord Borough ..................... | 296,649,502 | 103.89 | 285,541,921 | ........... | 1,938,200 | 287,480,121 |
| Tavistock Borough ................... | 2,906,400 | 100.35 | 2,896,263 | ........... | 5,075 | 2,901,338 |
| Voorhees Township ................. | 1,349,421,651 | 79.55 | 1,696,318,857 | ............ | 7,696,542 | 1,704,015,399 |
| Waterford Township .................. | 164,766,175 | 45.60 | 361,329,331 |  | 1,193,468 | 362,522,799 |
| Winslow Township ................... | 413,582,900 | 42.73 | 967,898,198 | ............ | 3,958,952 |  |
| Woodlynne Borough ................ | 34,724,600 | 68.07 | 51,013,075 |  | 54,503 | 51,067,578 |
| Totals ............................ | \$9,401,496,260 | 49.77 | \$18,890,218,441 | \$26,899 | \$98,017,709 | \$18,988,263,049 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Cape May County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Avalon Borough <br> Cape May City <br> Cape May Point Boro <br> Dennis Township <br> Lower Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,675,003,900 \\ 597,126,800 \\ 88,135,200 \\ 299,584,100 \\ 805,116,250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.31 \\ 77.33 \\ 67.28 \\ 97.66 \\ 62.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,621,337,625 \\ 772,180,008 \\ 130,997,622 \\ 306,762,339 \\ 1,282,644,974 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,839,248 \\ 1,474,046 \\ 34,805 \\ 3,674,258 \\ 7,464,801 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,625,176,873 \\ 773,654,054 \\ 131,032,427 \\ 310,436,597 \\ 1,290,109,775 \end{array}$ |
| Middle Township <br> North Wildwood City <br> Ocean City <br> Sea Isle City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Stone Harbor Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $489,978,750$ $681,285,314$ $3,361,140,400$ $778,894,000$ $861,924,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.57 \\ 98.10 \\ 105.49 \\ 82.78 \\ 84.74 \end{array}$ | $736,035,376$ $694,480,442$ $3,186,217,082$ $940,920,512$ $1,017,140,194$ |  | 7,551,712 <br> 1,484,128 <br> 8,776,252 <br> 2,684,900 <br> 738,943 | $743,587,088$ $695,964,570$ $3,194,993,334$ $943,605,412$ $1,017,879,137$ |
| Upper Township <br> West Cape May Boro <br> West Wildwood Boro <br> Wildwood City <br> Wildwood Crest Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 735,885,100 \\ 91,403,040 \\ 50,100,000 \\ 429,873,654 \\ 849,402,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.52 \\ 92.93 \\ 88.49 \\ 69.61 \\ 115.01 \end{array}$ | $739,434,385$ $98,356,871$ $56,616,567$ $617,545,832$ $738,546,648$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,358,345 \\ 546,391 \\ 52,926 \\ 6,506,794 \\ 1,282,674 \end{array}$ | $753,792,730$ $98,903,262$ $56,669,493$ $624,052,626$ $739,829,322$ |
| Woodbine Borough | 34,752,500 | 75.58 | 45,981,080 | ............ | 1,692,918 | 47,673,998 |
| Totals .............................. | \$11,829,606,108 | 91.10 | \$12,985, 197,557 | ........... | \$62,163,141 | \$13,047,360,698 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Cumberland County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Bridgeton City Commercial Township <br> Deerfield Township <br> Downe Township <br> Fairfield Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 162,290,510$ $104,003,825$ $79,907,600$ $73,943,700$ $93,226,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.83 \\ 92.37 \\ 88.41 \\ 117.68 \\ 83.12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 325,688,360 \\ 112,594,809 \\ 90,382,988 \\ 62,834,551 \\ 112,158,325 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,219,437 \\ 3,120,125 \\ 1,115,469 \\ 850,337 \\ 1,130,987 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 329,907,797 \\ 115,714,934 \\ 91,498,457 \\ 63,684,888 \\ 113,289,312 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Greenwich Township Hopewell Township Lawrence Township <br> Maurice River Twp. <br> Millville City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,239,300 \\ 132,688,100 \\ 83,854,000 \\ 67,486,022 \\ 654,977,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.27 \\ 90.62 \\ 105.13 \\ 54.15 \\ 84.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,491,085 \\ 146,422,534 \\ 79,762,199 \\ 124,627,926 \\ 779,085,762 \end{array}$ |  | 392,548 $1,415,869$ $2,123,922$ $1,057,031$ $7,225,703$ | $34,883,633$ $147,838,403$ $81,886,121$ $125,684,957$ $786,311,465$ |
| Shiloh Borough <br> Stow Creek Township <br> Upper Deerfield Twp. <br> Vineland City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,603,866 \\ 41,450,400 \\ 176,942,900 \\ 1,487,473,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.98 \\ & 86.57 \\ & 62.05 \\ & 89.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,333,423 \\ 47,880,790 \\ 285,161,805 \\ 1,663,468,240 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 122,751 \\ 666,667 \\ 1,426,806 \\ 19,782,256 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,456,174 \\ 48,547,457 \\ 286,588,611 \\ 1,683,250,496 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ............................. | \$3,203,086,923 | 82.58 | \$3,878,892,797 | .......... | \$44,649,908 | \$3,923,542,705 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Essex County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 <br> Equalized Valuation |
| Belleville Twp. <br> Bloomfield Twp. <br> Caldwell Twp. <br> Cedar Grove Twp. <br> East Orange City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 465,118,000 \\ 434,526,200 \\ 107,013,500 \\ 287,561,600 \\ 365,748,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.27 \\ & 17.90 \\ & 22.12 \\ & 28.24 \\ & 25.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1,589,060,471$ $2,427,520,670$ $483,786,166$ $1,018,277,620$ $1,438,256,783$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 47,399 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \\ 430,382 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,184,200 \\ 2,818,500 \\ 1,851,600 \\ 535,300 \\ 7,232,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,591,244,671$ $2,430,186,569$ $485,637,766$ $1,018,812,920$ $1,445,919,165$ |
| Essex Fells Township <br> Fairfield Township <br> Glen Ridge Twp. <br> Invington Township <br> Livingston Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,149,400 \\ 1,633,580,500 \\ 241,323,700 \\ 293,810,200 \\ 880,144,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.78 \\ & 88.74 \\ & 40.59 \\ & 21.14 \\ & 28.47 \end{aligned}$ | $354,648,129$ $1,840,861,506$ $594,539,788$ $1,389,830,653$ $3,091,479,452$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 89,200 \\ 17,240,700 \\ 770,800 \\ 4,193,700 \\ 4,949,200 \end{array}$ | $354,737,329$ $1,858,102,206$ $595,310,588$ $1,394,024,353$ $3,096,428,652$ |
| Maplewood Township <br> Millburn Township <br> Montclair Township <br> Newark City <br> North Caldwell Twp. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $552,735,700$ $1,665,941,700$ $2,946,240,700$ $979,568,500$ $308,595,800$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.02 \\ 50.38 \\ 102.60 \\ 14.96 \\ 42.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,453,802,472 \\ 3,306,752,084 \\ 2,871,579,630 \\ 6,547,917,781 \\ 727,134,307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,325 \\ 102,078 \\ 901,229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,333,200 \\ 7,468,900 \\ 17,236,000 \\ 36,773,800 \\ 336,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,455,162,997 \\ 3,314,220,984 \\ 2,888,917,708 \\ 6,585,592,810 \\ 727,470,507 \end{array}$ |
| Nutley Township <br> Orange City Township <br> Roseland Borough <br> S. Orange Vige. Twp. <br> Verona Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496,436,000 \\ 119,919,400 \\ 258,293,200 \\ 1,087,429,900 \\ 532,909,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.69 \\ & 17.44 \\ & 26.05 \\ & 54.75 \\ & 47.74 \end{aligned}$ | $1,792,834,958$ $687,611,239$ $991,528,599$ $1,986,173,333$ $1,116,275,241$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227,270 \\ \times 72,540 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,987,000 \\ 511,100 \\ 656,400 \\ 12,188,700 \\ 928,000 \end{array}$ | $1,795,821,958$ $688,349,609$ $992,184,999$ $1,998,434,573$ $1,117,203,241$ |
| West Caldwell Twp <br> West Orange Twp. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,180,123,400 \\ & 1,455,362,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.39 \\ 47.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,141,428,958 \\ & 3,070,384,810 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,891,500 \\ & 7,750,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,143,320,458 \\ & 3,078,135,210 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ............................ | \$16,362,532,500 | 40.99 | \$39,921,684,650 | \$1,808,223 | \$131,726,400 | \$40,055,219,273 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Gloucester County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property |  | 4 Assessed Valuatlon of Class II Rallroad Property |  | Equallzed Valuation |
| Clayton Borough <br> Deptford Township <br> East Greenwich Twp. <br> Elk Township <br> Franklin Township | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 107,758,600 \\ 546,667,900 \\ 137,988,100 \\ 74,372,800 \\ 346,137,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.12 \\ & 50.10 \\ & 54.71 \\ & 59.44 \\ & 68.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 170,720,215 \\ 1,091,353,094 \\ 252,217,328 \\ 125,122,476 \\ 506,270,587 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,179,460 \\ 2,705,609 \\ 648,075 \\ 645,064 \\ 3,431,362 \end{array}$ | $\$ 171,899,675$ $1,094,058,703$ $252,865,403$ $125,767,540$ $509,701,949$ |
| Glassboro Borough <br> Greenwich Township <br> Harrison Township <br> Logan Township <br> Mantua Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 524,107,300 320,788,800 147,136,400 325,335,100 276,003,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 107.81 \\ 61.75 \\ 64.51 \\ 89.79 \\ 64.42 \end{array}$ | 486,139,783 519,496,032 362,328,878 428,443,962 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,502,550 \\ 336,218 \\ 2,156,056 \\ 2,163,672 \\ 3,745,930 \end{array}$ | 500,642,333 519,832,250 230,239,144 432,189,892 $364,492,550$ $432,189,892$ |
| Monroe Township <br> National Park Boro <br> Newfield Borough <br> Paulsboro Borough <br> Pitman Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 519,955,200 \\ 50,76,600 \\ 55,868,200 \\ 187,236,100 \\ 363,123,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.08 \\ 61.98 \\ 100.53 \\ 86.36 \\ 106.65 \end{array}$ | 837,556,701 <br> 81,898,354 <br> 55,573,660 <br> $216,808,824$ $340,481,013$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,301,207 \\ 137,175 \\ 333,915 \\ 3,448,461 \\ 1,042,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 844,857,908 \\ 82,035,529 \\ 55,907,575 \\ 220,257,285 \\ 341,523,113 \end{array}$ |
| South Harrison Twp <br> Swedesboro Borough <br> Washington Township <br> Wenonah Borough <br> West Deptford Twp $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $55,686,445$ $26,385,700$ $1,828,294,000$ $126,626,600$ $626,767,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.99 \\ 40.99 \\ 100.18 \\ 106.38 \\ 55.66 \end{array}$ | $83,126,504$ $64,371,066$ $1,825,008,984$ $119,032,337$ $1,126,064,499$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 422,521 \\ 1,536,328 \\ 10,070,376 \\ 450,884 \\ 2,396,942 \end{array}$ | $83,549,025$ $65,907,394$ $1,835,079,360$ $119,483,21$ $1,128,461,441$ |
| Westville Borough <br> Woodbury City <br> Woodbury Hts. Boro Woolwich Township | 104,362,200 215,034,400 99,318,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 68.57 \\ 56.05 \\ 87.96 \\ 101.88 \end{array}$ | 152,198,046 383,647,458 97,485,866 |  | $\begin{array}{r}574,245 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 6,327,185 $\qquad$ 1,634,669 | $\begin{array}{r} 152,772,291 \\ 389,974,643 \\ 14,401,915 \\ 99,120,535 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................... | \$7,192,091,845 | 74.17 | \$9,696,991,170 | ........... | \$68,129,504 | \$9,765,120,674 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Hudson County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Bayonne City <br> East Newark Borough <br> Guttenburg Town <br> Harrison Town <br> Hoboken City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 2,565,752,700$ $39,539,700$ $239,812,800$ $539,744,596$ $1,739,080,200$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.23 \\ 45.07 \\ 58.62 \\ 84.58 \\ 108.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,812,400,197 \\ 87,729,532 \\ 409,097,236 \\ 638,146,838 \\ 1,607,580,144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ \$ 6,701,903 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,673,108 \\ 764,205 \\ 379,843 \\ 2,248,751 \\ 3,164,885 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,825,073,305 \\ 88,493,737 \\ 409,477,079 \\ 640,395,589 \\ 1,617,446,932 \end{array}$ |
| Jersey City City <br> Kearny Town <br> North Bergen Twp. <br> Secaucus Town <br> Union City City | $\begin{array}{r} 5,956,733,003 \\ 1,091,933,800 \\ 735,893,108 \\ 2,598,234,100 \\ 286,466,369 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.74 \\ 53.77 \\ 32.60 \\ 104.45 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ | $7,565,066,044$ $2,030,749,117$ $2,257,340,822$ $2,487,538,631$ $1,432,331,845$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,154,454 \\ 909,060 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 377,465 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,429,776 \\ 7,010,360 \\ 4,617,252 \\ 8,908,307 \\ 4,777,730 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,628,650,274 \\ & 2,038,668,537 \\ & 2,261,958,074 \\ & 2,496,824,403 \\ & 1,437,109,575 \end{aligned}$ |
| Weehawken Township <br> West New York Town | $\begin{array}{r} 981,333,000 \\ 1,154,312,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101.85 \\ 93.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 963,508,100 \\ 1,237,337,442 \end{array}$ | .............. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,957,982 \\ & 1,748,666 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 965,466,082 \\ 1,239,086,108 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ........................... | \$17,928,835,476 | 76.20 | \$23,528,825,948 | \$11,142,882 | \$108,680,865 | \$23,648,649,695 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Hunterdon County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Alexandria Township <br> Bethlehem Township <br> Bloomsbury Borough <br> Califon Borough <br> Clinton Town $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 283,096,927$ $256,627,435$ $54,782,650$ $72,553,695$ $162,053,700$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.60 \\ 101.85 \\ 96.10 \\ 96.40 \\ 102.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 281,408,476 \\ 251,966,063 \\ 57,005,879 \\ 75,263,169 \\ 158,379,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,079,650 \\ 692,384 \\ 150,173 \\ 758,972 \\ 805,308 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 282,488,126 \\ 252,658,447 \\ 57,156,052 \\ 76,022,141 \\ 159,184,608 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Clinton Township <br> Delaware Township <br> East Amwell Township <br> Flemington Borough <br> Franklin Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,152,509,489 \\ 340,358,000 \\ 332,927,430 \\ 331,528,400 \\ 285,329,283 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.42 \\ 96.62 \\ 101.95 \\ 106.21 \\ 106.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,114,397,108 \\ 352,264,542 \\ 326,559,519 \\ 312,144,243 \\ 267,914,820 \end{array}$ |  | 6,814,165 <br> 1,386,536 <br> 1,115,149 <br> 2,970,700 <br> 1,035,129 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,121,211,273 \\ 353,651,078 \\ 327,674,668 \\ 315,114,943 \\ 268,949,949 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Frenchtown Borough <br> Glen Gardner Boro <br> Hampton Borough <br> High Bridge Borough <br> Holland Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $79,431,255$ $88,919,156$ $58,808,691$ $203,917,973$ $362,365,729$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.95 \\ 106.05 \\ 94.74 \\ 101.14 \\ 100.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82,784,007 \\ 83,846,446 \\ 62,073,771 \\ 201,619,511 \\ 31,281,883 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 706,185 \\ 259,404 \\ 466,665 \\ 750,735 \\ 2,509,111 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83,490,192 \\ 84,105,850 \\ 62,540,436 \\ 202,733,246 \\ 363,790,994 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Kingwood Township <br> Lambertville City <br> Lebanon Borough <br> Lebanon Township <br> Milford Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 275,920,375 \\ 269,874,136 \\ 109,666,407 \\ 459,154,277 \\ 80,313,191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108.12 \\ 97.93 \\ 92.81 \\ 102.32 \\ 79.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 255,198,275 \\ & 275,578,613 \\ & 118,162,275 \\ & 448,743,429 \\ & 101,546,581 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 997,560 \\ 2,474,385 \\ 777,050 \\ 1,238,618 \\ 625,737 \end{array}$ | $256,195,835$ $278,052,998$ $118,939,325$ $449,982,047$ $102,172,318$ |
| Raritan Township Readington Township <br> Stockton Borough <br> Tewksbury Township <br> Union Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,361,604,000 \\ 1,342,140,270 \\ 40,286,300 \\ 678,446,300 \\ 375,516,955 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.14 \\ 103.11 \\ 102.98 \\ 94.51 \\ 100.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,373,415,372 \\ 1,301,658,685 \\ 39,120,509 \\ 717,856,629 \\ 374,244,524 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,733,000 \\ 4,085,928 \\ 174,381 \\ 1,656,550 \\ 1,486,515 \end{array}$ | $1,377,148,372$ $1,305,744,613$ $39,294,890$ $719,513,179$ $375,731,039$ |
| West Amwell Twp. .................... | 216,024,742 | 109.90 | 196,564,824 | .... | 552,014 | 197, 116,838 |
| Totals .............................. | \$9,274,156,766 | 100.90 | \$9,190,998,453 | ........... | 39,305,004 | \$9,230,303,457 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Mercer County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 <br> Equalized Valuation |
| East Windsor Twp. <br> Ewing Township <br> Hamilton Township <br> Hightstown Borough <br> Hopewell Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,140,894,740 \\ 233,874,358 \\ 1,296,108,300 \\ 48,962,200 \\ 64,461,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.56 \\ & 12.72 \\ & 31.02 \\ & 20.98 \\ & 46.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,157,563,657 \\ 1,838,634,890 \\ 4,178,298,839 \\ 233,375,596 \\ 139,405,601 \end{array}$ | \$ 47,917 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,779,320 \\ 3,439,707 \\ 14,133,977 \\ 1,603,972 \\ 1,205,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,162,342,977 \\ 1,842,122,514 \\ 4,192,432,816 \\ 234,979,568 \\ 140,610,621 \end{array}$ |
| Hopewell Township <br> Lawrence Township <br> Pennington Borough <br> Princeton Borough <br> Princeton Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 636,801,180 \\ 563,987,144 \\ 97,171,425 \\ 433,761,200 \\ 768,989,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.38 \\ & 23.98 \\ & 44.96 \\ & 49.61 \\ & 44.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,239,395,056 \\ 2,351,906,355 \\ 216,128,614 \\ 874,342,270 \\ 1,747,306,976 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,323,048 \\ & 2,089,957 \\ & 1,522,652 \\ & 5,286,647 \\ & 1,757,537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,241,718,104 \\ 2,353,996,312 \\ 217,651,266 \\ 879,693,848 \\ 1,749,064,513 \end{array}$ |
| Trenton City <br> Washington Township <br> West Windsor Twp. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 295,846,095 \\ & 105,460,650 \\ & 836,052,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.28 \\ & 25.29 \\ & 41.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,817,236,456 \\ 417,005,338 \\ 2,022,871,038 \end{array}$ | 436,638 <br> ............... | $\begin{array}{r} 6,099,575 \\ 778,036 \\ 11,703,198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,823,772,669 \\ 417,783,374 \\ 2,034,574,236 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ............................. | \$6,522,370,842 | 35.77 | \$18,233,470,686 | \$549,486 | \$56,722,646 | \$18,290,742,818 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Middlesex County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Carteret Borough <br> Cranbury Township <br> Dunellen Borough <br> East Brunswick Twp. <br> Edison Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,059,651,900 \\ 332,505,000 \\ 143,831,300 \\ 1,842,234,200 \\ 7,166,498,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.75 \\ & 76.90 \\ & 46.88 \\ & 56.58 \\ & 97.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,106,686,057 \\ 432,386,216 \\ 306,807,381 \\ 3,255,981,265 \\ 7,330,706,424 \end{array}$ | \$ 89,654 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,140,010 \\ 1,358,325 \\ 3,302,105 \\ 13,021,280 \\ 34,959,371 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,111,826,067 \\ 433,744,541 \\ 310,199,140 \\ 3,269,002,545 \\ 7,365,665,795 \end{array}$ |
| Helmetta Borough <br> Highland Park Boro <br> Jamesburg Borough <br> Metuchen Borough <br> Middlesex Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $45,113,100$ $532,605,600$ $125,898,700$ $454,692,800$ $491,688,400$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.17 \\ & 92.24 \\ & 60.71 \\ & 48.02 \\ & 62.59 \end{aligned}$ | $70,302,478$ $577,412,836$ $207,377,203$ $946,882,132$ $785,570,219$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 240,513 \\ 1,725,797 \\ 1,747,276 \\ 8,683,189 \\ 1,436,414 \end{array}$ | $70,542,991$ $579,138,633$ $209,124,479$ $955,565,321$ $787,006,633$ |
| Milltown Borough <br> Monroe Township <br> New Brunswick City <br> North Brunswick Twp. <br> Old Bridge Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $245,044,900$ $476,036,500$ $1,278,205,100$ $1,185,531,100$ $2,898,983,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.90 \\ 26.01 \\ 95.07 \\ 51.35 \\ 104.58 \end{array}$ | $454,628,757$ $1,830,205,690$ $1,344,488,377$ $2,308,726,582$ $2,772,024,288$ |  | 485,391 $2,973,513$ $84,533,730$ $4,628,247$ $14,617,732$ | $455,114,148$ $1,833,179,203$ $1,429,022,107$ $2,313,354,829$ $2,786,642,020$ |
| Perth Amboy City <br> Piscataway Township <br> Plainsboro Township <br> Sayreville Borough <br> South Amboy City $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,643,169,100 \\ 2,306,652,800 \\ 905,938,600 \\ 726,664,000 \\ 176,141,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.32 \\ & 79.08 \\ & 64.28 \\ & 33.30 \\ & 49.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,760,789,863 \\ 2,916,859,889 \\ 1,409,363,099 \\ 2,182,174,174 \\ 353,414,526 \end{array}$ | $319,330$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $701,207$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,025,630 \\ 41,534,852 \\ 5,534,161 \\ 3,799,246 \\ 877,103 \end{array}$ | $1,769,134,823$ $2,958,394,741$ $1,414,897,260$ $2,185,973,420$ $354,992,836$ |
| South Brunswick Twp <br> South Plainfield Boro <br> South River Borough <br> Spotswood Borough <br> Woodbridge Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,028,592,500 \\ 1,380,584,600 \\ 361,540,900 \\ 189,750,100 \\ 3,375,783,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102.84 \\ 70.37 \\ 54.87 \\ 51.65 \\ 56.06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,944,955,757 \\ 1,961,893,705 \\ 658,904,502 \\ 367,376,767 \\ 6,021,732,608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text {............ } \\ \ldots \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\ 195,200 \\ 82,637 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,504,064 \\ 9,248,597 \\ 771,949 \\ 2,201,079 \\ 20,307,103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,963,459,821 \\ 1,971,142,302 \\ 659,676,451 \\ 369,773,046 \\ 6,042,122,348 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ............................ | \$32,373,337,900 | 73.06 | \$44,307,650,795 | \$1,388,028 | \$289,656,677 | \$44,598,695,500 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Monmouth County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Aberdeen Township <br> Allenhurst Boro <br> Allentown Borough <br> Asbury Park City <br> Atlantic Highlands Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 862,121,400 \\ 111,740,700 \\ 62,811,150 \\ 396,636,450 \\ 327,447,544 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.84 \\ 102.38 \\ 72.07 \\ 94.33 \\ 103.36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ 899,542,362 } \\ 109,143,094 \\ 87,152,976 \\ 420,477,526 \\ 316,802,964 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,030,500 \\ 277,140 \\ 2,018,202 \\ 11,898,482 \\ 3,679,482 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 902,572,862 \\ 109,420,234 \\ 89,171,178 \\ 432,376,008 \\ 320,482,446 \end{array}$ |
| Avon-By-the-Sea Boro <br> Belmar Borough <br> Bradley Beach Boro <br> Brielle Borough <br> Colts Neck Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 253,689,692 \\ & 505,646,900 \\ & 334,500,680 \\ & 595,032,100 \\ & 938,697,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113.10 \\ & 112.23 \\ & 112.48 \\ & 112.80 \\ & 100.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224,305,652 \\ & 450,545,220 \\ & 297,386,807 \\ & 527,510,727 \\ & 932,264,872 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 319,983 \\ 796,493 \\ 520,616 \\ 702,684 \\ 4,377,645 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224,625,635 \\ & 451,341,713 \\ & 297,907,423 \\ & 528,213,411 \\ & 936,642,517 \end{aligned}$ |
| Deal Borough <br> Eatontown Borough <br> Englishtown Borough <br> Fair Haven Borough $\qquad$ <br> Farmingdale Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 515,078,800 \\ 919,076,500 \\ 68,517,372 \\ 316,095,300 \\ 68,900,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.14 \\ 96.95 \\ 105.47 \\ 65.52 \\ 80.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 713,998,891 \\ 947,990,201 \\ 64,963,849 \\ 482,440,934 \\ 85,899,888 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 589,134 \\ 12,495,676 \\ 3,791,838 \\ 403,033 \\ 1,250,843 \end{array}$ | $714,588,025$ $960,485,877$ $68,755,687$ $482,843,967$ $87,150,731$ |
| Freehold Borough <br> Freehold Township <br> Hazlet Township <br> Highlands Borough <br> Holmdel Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 464,377,500 \\ 1,592,039,900 \\ 606,858,375 \\ 309,233,800 \\ 961,670,817 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.33 \\ 93.02 \\ 60.06 \\ 104.54 \\ 56.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 462,850,095 \\ 1,711,502,795 \\ 1,010,420,205 \\ 295,804,285 \\ 1,696,367,643 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 21,746,906 \\ 88,691,964 \\ 1,595,456 \\ 514,793 \\ 8,022,277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 484,597,001 \\ 1,800,194,759 \\ 1,012,015,661 \\ 296,319,078 \\ 1,704,389,920 \end{array}$ |
| Howell Township <br> Interlaken Borough <br> Keansburg Borough <br> Keyport Borough <br> Little Silver Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,250,643,540 \\ 106,103,700 \\ 360,394,020 \\ 321,926,400 \\ 566,955,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.38 \\ & 103.45 \\ & 104.35 \\ & 101.83 \\ & 100.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,198,323,442 \\ 102,565,201 \\ 345,370,407 \\ 316,141,019 \\ 566,785,564 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 13,213,363 \\ 161,800 \\ 636,086 \\ 10,317,599 \\ 2,781,087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,211,536,805 \\ 102,727,001 \\ 346,006,493 \\ 326,458,618 \\ 569,566,651 \end{array}$ |
| Loch Arbour Village <br> Long Branch City <br> Manalapan Township <br> Manasquan Borough <br> Marlboro Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,552,500 \\ 1,329,558,975 \\ 916,321,800 \\ 573,356,325 \\ 905,646,469 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.16 \\ 90.78 \\ 49.69 \\ 104.8 \\ 41.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,980,442 \\ 1,464,594,597 \\ 1,844,076,877 \\ 550,351,627 \\ 2,168,693,652 \end{array}$ | 79,905 $\square$ ............... | $\begin{array}{r} 79,442 \\ 10,792,009 \\ 3,458,525 \\ 868,614 \\ 5,431,956 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,059,884 \\ 1,475,466,511 \\ 1,847,535,402 \\ 551,220,241 \\ 2,174,125,608 \end{array}$ |


| Matawan Borough ................... | 492,916,606 | 110.09 | 447,739,673 | .... | 1,667,560 | 449,407,233 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middletown Township ............... | 4,571,541,400 | 86.18 | 5,304,643,073 | ....... | 23,766,757 | 5,328,409,830 |
| Millstone Township .................. | 592,366,035 | 106.55 | 555,951,229 | ..... | 3,004,832 | 558,956,061 |
| Monmouth Bch. Boro ............... | 385,318,100 | 95.02 | 405,512,629 | ........... | 648,643 | 406,161,272 |
| Neptune Township .................. | 1,516,645,500 | 105.84 | 1,432,960,601 |  | 10,118,600 | 1,443,079,201 |
| Neptune City Borough ............. | 242,113,400 | 107.19 | 225,873,122 | ........... | 591,048 | 226,464,170 |
| Ocean Township ..................... | 890,320,900 | 49.34 | 1,804,460,681 | ........... | 2,347,824 | 1,806,808,505 |
| Oceanport Borough ................. | 457,073,600 | 100.55 | 454,573,446 |  | 892,801 | 455,466,247 |
| Red Bank Borough .................. | 840,246,300 | 117.19 | 716,994,880 | 109,962 | 16,338,895 | 733,443,737 |
| Roosevelt Borough .................. | 23,976,490 | 54.69 | 43,840,720 |  | 70,845 | 43,911,565 |
| Fumson Borough | 524,173,200 | 47.62 | 1,100,741,705 | ........... | 654,595 | 1,101,396,300 |
| Sea Bright Borough ................. | 140,987,000 | 70.37 | 200,351,002 | ........... | 433,930 | 200,784,932 |
| Sea Girt Borough .................... | 564,419,300 | 110.48 | 510,879,164 |  | 491,236 | 511,370,400 |
| Shrewsbury Borough ............... | 431,643,400 | 106.49 | 405,337,027 |  | 3,302,460 | 408,639,487 |
| Shrewsbury Township .............. | 32,097,700 | 99.45 | 32,275,214 |  | 67,112 | 32,342,326 |
| South Belmar Boro .................. | 124,973,730 | 116.73 | 107,062,221 | ........... | 303,180 | 107,365,401 |
| Spring Lake Borough ............... | 859,045,500 | 111.52 | 770,306,223 | ........... | 5,830,846 | 776,137,069 |
| Spring Lake Hts. Boro ............. | 252,079,540 | 62.53 | 403,133,760 |  | $412,530$ | 403,546,290 |
| Tinton Falls Borough ................ | 927,049,400 | 108.54 | 854,108,531 |  | 3,661,469 | 857,770,000 |
| Union Beach Borough .............. | 288,027,500 | 105.50 | 273,011,848 |  | 463,622 | 273,475,470 |
|  | 270,591,595 | 99.59 | 271,705,588 | ........... | 2,066,063 | 273,771,651 |
| Wall Township | 1,931,692,400 | 102.99 | 1,875,611,613 | ........... | 9,019,946 | 1,884,631,559 |
| W. Long Branch Boro ............ | 559,017,200 | 96.92 | 576,782,088 |  | 1,337,347 | 578,119,435 |
| Totals | \$34,490,947,905 | 85.99 | \$40,110,109,852 | \$189,867 | \$301,955,769 | \$40,412,255,488 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Morris County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | $2$ <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | $3$ <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* |  | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | $6$ <br> Equalized Valuation |
| Boonton Town <br> Boonton Township <br> Butler Borough <br> Chatham Borough <br> Chatham Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 221,654,250 \\ 181,877,500 \\ 287,625,600 \\ 333,418,556 \\ 416,311,010 \end{array}$ | 42.78 <br> 48.64 <br> 65.74 <br> 39.56 <br> 40.24 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 518,125,877 \\ 373,925,781 \\ 437,519,927 \\ 842,817,381 \\ 1,034,570,104 \end{array}$ | \$ 47,965 $\qquad$ $81,757$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,574,138 \\ 409,336 \\ 775,951 \\ 519,494 \\ 681,329 \end{array}$ | $\$ 523,747,980$ $374,335,117$ $438,295,878$ $843,418,632$ $1,035,251,433$ |
| Chester Borough <br> Chester Township <br> Denville Township <br> Dover Town <br> East Hanover Twp. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $140,624,300$ $364,920,600$ $905,532,410$ $319,684,764$ $1,124,374,900$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.48 \\ & 55.07 \\ & 75.12 \\ & 44.83 \\ & 80.67 \end{aligned}$ | $186,306,704$ $662,648,629$ $1,205,447,830$ $713,104,537$ $1,393,795,587$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 . \ldots \ldots . . . \\ 175,586 \\ 90,593 \end{array}$ | 888,653 839,251 $5,703,906$ $5,365,646$ $2,854,282$ | $\begin{array}{r} 187,195,357 \\ 663,487,880 \\ 1,211,327,322 \\ 718,560,776 \\ 1,396,649,869 \end{array}$ |
| Florham Park Borough <br> Hanover Township <br> Harding Township <br> Jefferson Township <br> Kinnelon Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 1,162,048,700 \\ 951,348,750 \\ 706,664,676 \\ 1,063,230,600 \\ 811,170,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.98 \\ & 53.81 \\ & 72.07 \\ & 95.54 \\ & 84.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,937,393,631 \\ 1,767,977,606 \\ 980,525,428 \\ 1,112,864,350 \\ 962,470,574 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,846,715 \\ 19,836,415 \\ 1,475,220 \\ 5,234,805 \\ 2,177,195 \end{array}$ | $1,941,240,346$ $1,787,814,021$ $982,000,648$ $1,118,099,155$ $964,647,769$ |
| Lincoln Park Borough <br> Madison Borough <br> Mendham Borough <br> Mendham Township <br> Mine Hill Township | $\begin{aligned} & 333,962,004 \\ & 848,788,450 \\ & 589,936,017 \\ & 820,216,265 \\ & 204,402,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.91 \\ 59.64 \\ 100.81 \\ 105.04 \\ 99.51 \end{array}$ | $682,809,250$ $1,423,186,536$ $585,195,930$ $780,860,877$ $205,409,306$ | $92,369$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,448,030 \\ 36,286,625 \\ 3,262,853 \\ 1,502,321 \\ 848,085 \end{array}$ | $684,257,280$ $1,459,565,530$ $588,458,783$ $782,363,198$ $206,257,391$ |


| Montville Township .................. | 979,409,100 | 57.32 | 1,708,669,051 | ........... | 2,865,322 | 1,711,534,373 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morris Township ..................... | 2,788,769,800 | 103.89 | 2,684,348,638 |  | 9,986,308 | 2,694,334,946 |
| Morris Plains Borough ............. | 384,007,871 | 51.93 | 739,472,118 |  | 1,711,015 | 741,183,133 |
| Morristown Town .................... | 1,297,422,525 | 90.75 | 1,429,666,694 | 151,170 | 33,792,036 | 1,463,609,900 |
| Mountain Lakes Boro ............... | 214,878,700 | 42.74 | 502,757,838 |  | 1,056,686 | 503,814,524 |
| Mount Arlington Boro .............. | 219,821,800 | 101.64 | 216,274,892 | ........... | 869,496 | 217,144,388 |
| Mount Olive Township ............. | 640,057,073 | 51.70 | 1,238,021,418 | .......... | 2,795,857 | 1,240,817,275 |
| Netcong Borough ................... | 163,048,500 | 108.69 | 150,012,421 | $\ldots$ | 5,150,952 | 155,163,373 |
| Par-Troy Hills Twp. .................. | 2,458,777,600 | 54.60 | 4,503,255,678 | ......... | 10,983,006 | 4,514,238,684 |
| Passaic Township ..................... | 475,755,120 | 68.49 | 694,634,428 |  | 6,333,477 | 700,967,905 |
| Pequannock Township ............. | 610,262,000 | 63.12 | 966,828,264 |  | 1,409,298 | 968,237,562 |
| Randolph Township ................. | 836,220,629 | 49.50 | 1,689,334,604 | ....... | 3,592,660 | 1,692,927,264 |
| Riverdale Borough ................... | 253,465,709 | 97.26 | 260,606,322 | $\ldots . . . . .$. | 10,813,257 | 271,419,579 |
| Rockaway Borough ................... | 448,735,900 | 101.89 | 440,412,111 | .... | 1,749,473 | 442,161,584 |
| Rockaway Township ................. | 1,048,524,100 | 59.56 | 1,760,450,134 |  | 2,841,444 | 1,763,291,578 |
| Roxbury Township .................. | 677,317,600 | 49.26 | 1,374,984,978 | 87,069 | 7,264,781 | 1,382,336,828 |
| Victory Gardens Boro ............. | 52,839,600 | 110.45 | 47,840,290 |  | 146,337 | 47,986,627 |
| Washington Township .............. | 574,645,500 | 48.70 | 1,179,970,226 |  | 2,362,912 | 1,182,333,138 |
| Wharton Borough ...................... | 133,929,400 | 43.71 | 306,404,484 |  | 276,047 | 306,680,531 |
| Totals ............................ | \$26,045,680,879 | 65.60 | \$39,700,900,434 | \$726,509 | \$205,530,614 | \$39,907,157,557 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Ocean County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 <br> Equalized Valuation |
| Barnegat Township Barnegat Light Boro <br> Bay Head Borough <br> Beach Haven Borough <br> Beachwood Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 447,947,900 \\ 331,702,274 \\ 251,149,700 \\ 280,770,656 \\ 395,505,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.25 \\ 100.14 \\ 60.18 \\ 46.68 \\ 104.88 \end{array}$ | $\$ 558,190,530$ $331,238,540$ $417,330,841$ $601,479,554$ $377,103,166$ | \$ 409,163 $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,463,630 \\ 422,278 \\ 387,921 \\ 712,254 \\ 1,171,438 \end{array}$ | $\$ 564,654,160$ $331,660,818$ $418,127,925$ $602,191,808$ $378,274,604$ |
| Berkeley Township <br> Brick Township <br> Dover Township <br> Eagleswood Township <br> Harvey Cedars Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $2,109,553,800$ $1,786,308,000$ $2,863,619,400$ $92,516,600$ $391,887,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.64 \\ & 40.06 \\ & 49.41 \\ & 95.25 \\ & 99.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,302,001,091 \\ 4,459,081,378 \\ 5,795,627,201 \\ 97,130,289 \\ 394,530,353 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,782,273 \\ 9,844,308 \\ 18,423,606 \\ 644,127 \\ 750,272 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,312,783,364 \\ 4,468,925,686 \\ 5,814,050,807 \\ 97,774,416 \\ 395,280,625 \end{array}$ |
| Island Heights Boro <br> Jackson Township <br> Lacey Township <br> Lakehurst Borough <br> Lakewood Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $134,033,400$ $1,646,570,400$ $1,510,001,800$ $60,653,000$ $1,232,255,600$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105.14 \\ 100.75 \\ 95.99 \\ 76.57 \\ 66.25 \end{array}$ | $127,480,883$ $1,634,313,052$ $1,573,082,404$ $79,212,485$ $1,860,008,453$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 177,062 \\ 11,009,185 \\ 11,200,485 \\ 2,802,501 \\ 14,510,191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127,657,945 \\ 1,645,322,237 \\ 1,584,282,889 \\ 82,014,986 \\ 1,874,518,644 \end{array}$ |


| Lavallette Borough | 388,199,100 | 60.50 | 641,651,405 | . | 2,518,155 | 644,169,560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little Egg Harbor Twp. ............. | 425,830,369 | 48.67 | 874,933,982 |  | 4,294,033 | 879,228,015 |
| Long Beach Township ............. | 2,304,509,594 | 101.13 | 2,278,759,610 |  | 4,771,188 | 2,283,530,798 |
| Manchester Township | 1,565,897,400 | 98.74 | 1,585,879,481 |  | 11,256,877 | 1,597,136,358 |
| Mantoloking Borough .. | 433,202,100 | 100.38 | 431,562,164 |  | 281,301 | 431,843,465 |
| Ocean Township | 424,404,119 | 104.71 | 405,313,837 | ........... | 1,916,525 | 407,230,362 |
| Ocean Gate Borough | 123,981,000 | 113.76 | 108,984,705 |  | 340,785 | 109,325,490 |
| Pine Beach Borough | 125,520,500 | 108.04 | 116,179,656 |  | 313,334 | 116,492,990 |
| Plumsted Township | 246,810,047 | 98.70 | 250,060,838 |  | 3,584,519 | 253,645,357 |
| Pt. Pleasant Borough | 1,295,918,400 | 99.06 | 1,308,215,627 |  | 7,688,868 | 1,315,904,495 |
| Pt. Pleasant Bch. Boro | 684,337,600 | 103.33 | 662,283,558 |  | 763,232 | 663,046,790 |
| Seaside Heights Boro | 272,935,040 | 90.80 | 300,589,251 | ........... | 558,559 | 301,147,810 |
| Seaside Park Borough | 484,272,200 | 116.85 | 414,439, 196 |  | 950,929 | 415,390,125 |
| Ship Bottom Borough | 373,926,730 | 104.33 | 358,407,678 |  | 612,571 | 359,020,249 |
| S. Toms River Boro ................. | 93,834,600 | 89.24 | 105,148,588 |  | 546,300 | 105,694,888 |
| Stafford Township | 1,334,567,153 | 101.21 | 1,318,611,948 |  | 9,017,293 | 1,327,629,241 |
| Surt City Borough | 255,325,950 | 52.61 | 485,318,285 |  | 1,653,476 | 486,971,761 |
| Tuckerton Borough | 179,316,800 | 99.01 | 181,109,787 |  | 1,377,324 | 182,487,111 |
| Totals | \$24,547,264,032 | 75.68 | \$32,435,259,816 | \$409,163 | \$141,746,800 | \$32,577,415,779 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Passaic County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | $2$ <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True <br> Value of Real Property* |  | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 <br> Equalized <br> Valuation |
| Bloomingdale Borough <br> Clifton City <br> Haledon Borough <br> Hawthorne Borough <br> Little Falls Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 472,678,010 \\ 5,174,520,700 \\ 331,235,400 \\ 1,375,504,830 \\ 752,663,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108.64 \\ 97.44 \\ 99.33 \\ 105.86 \\ 76.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 435,086,534 \\ 5,310,468,699 \\ 333,469,647 \\ 1,299,362,205 \\ 985,935,682 \end{array}$ | \$ 111,455 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,040,901 \\ 21,334,017 \\ 9,744,452 \\ 2,759,763 \\ 9,447,777 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 436,127,435 \\ 5,331,914,171 \\ 343,214,099 \\ 1,302,121,968 \\ 995,383,459 \end{array}$ |
| North Haledon Boro <br> Passaic City <br> Paterson City <br> Pompton Lakes Boro <br> Prospect Park Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 332,708,800 \\ & 274,214,570 \\ & 634,358,057 \\ & 710,202,883 \\ & 207,900,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.00 \\ 20.32 \\ 19.75 \\ 105.15 \\ 104.77 \end{array}$ | $554,514,667$ $1,349,481,152$ $3,211,939,529$ $675,418,814$ $198,434,666$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,693 \\ 338,242 \end{array}$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 635,462 $5,114,366$ $6,094,678$ $1,965,288$ 512,787 | $555,150,129$ $1,354,690,211$ $3,218,372,449$ $677,384,102$ $198,947,453$ |
| Ringwood Borough <br> Totowa Borough <br> Wanaque Borough <br> Wayne Township <br> West Milford Twp. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $844,279,700$ $1,171,476,400$ $568,747,040$ $1,363,966,400$ $1,452,805,520$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.69 \\ 103.73 \\ 111.27 \\ 27.94 \\ 87.53 \end{array}$ | $814,234,449$ $1,129,351,586$ $511,141,404$ $4,881,769,506$ $1,659,780,098$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,933,714 \\ 3,944,976 \\ 1,943,721 \\ 6,638,985 \\ 10,019,205 \end{array}$ | $817,168,163$ $1,133,296,562$ $513,085,125$ $4,888,408,491$ $1,669,799,303$ |
| West Paterson Boro ................. | 416,693,956 | 48.13 | 865,767,621 | ............ | 691,214 | 866,458,835 |
| Totals ............................ | \$16,083,955,566 | 66.42 | \$24,216,156,259 | \$544,390 | \$84,821,306 | \$24,301,521,955 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Salem County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Railroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Alloway Township <br> Carneys Point Twp. <br> Elmer Borough <br> Elsinboro Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ Lower Alloways Creek Twp. | $\$ 70,261,900$ $80,213,920$ $52,747,100$ $25,185,800$ $110,775,950$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.18 \\ 30.57 \\ 101.49 \\ 49.66 \\ 84.31 \end{array}$ | $\$ 129,682,355$ $262,394,243$ $51,972,707$ $50,716,472$ $131,391,235$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 475,350 \\ 516,496 \\ 1,967,664 \\ 160,833 \\ 1,051,616 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,157,705 \\ 262,910,739 \\ 53,940,371 \\ 50,877,305 \\ 132,442,851 \end{array}$ |
| Mannington Township Oldmans Township Penns Grove Borough Pennsville Township Pilesgrove Township $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,821,450 \\ 86,528,800 \\ 91,893,750 \\ 184,164,996 \\ 147,551,450 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.41 \\ 94.00 \\ 110.54 \\ 25.15 \\ 66.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100,460,183 \\ 92,051,915 \\ 83,131,672 \\ 732,266,386 \\ 161,222,380 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,037,600 \\ 684,615 \\ 3,506,934 \\ 1,132,368 \\ 951,647 \end{array}$ | $101,497,783$ $92,735,530$ $86,638,606$ $733,398,754$ $162,174,027$ |
| Pittsgrove Township <br> Quinton Township <br> Salem City <br> Upper Pittsgrove Twp. <br> Woodstown Borough | $\begin{array}{r} 230,140,600 \\ 56,685,500 \\ 121,742,676 \\ 113,309,800 \\ 56,529,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.51 \\ 67.98 \\ 107.22 \\ 89.84 \\ 46.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 272,323,512 \\ 83,385,555 \\ 113,544,745 \\ 126,123,998 \\ 11,152,808 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,778,583 \\ 719,120 \\ 4,879,100 \\ 1,258,109 \\ 1,072,565 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274,102,095 \\ 84,104,675 \\ 118,423,845 \\ 127,382,107 \\ 122,225,373 \end{array}$ |
| Totals .............................. | \$1,477,553,592 | 58.82 | \$2,511,820,166 | ........... | \$21,192,600 | \$2,533,012,766 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Somerset County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DI8TRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | 4 <br> Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | Assossed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 <br> Equalized Valuation |
| Bedminster Township <br> Bernards Township <br> Bernardsville Borough <br> Bound Brook Borough <br> Branchburg Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\$ 1,153,636,518$ $2,133,595,500$ $935,201,400$ $459,486,400$ $1,158,320,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.56 \\ 96.16 \\ 93.56 \\ 98.44 \\ 105.84 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,147,212,130$ $2,218,797,317$ $999,573,963$ $466,767,980$ $1,094,407,124$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 118,390 \\ 57,990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,046,880 \\ 12,001,000 \\ 10,735,798 \\ 14,992,300 \\ 6,369,198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,159,259,010 \\ 2,230,798,317 \\ 1,010,428,151 \\ 481,818,270 \\ 1,100,776,322 \end{array}$ |
| Bridgewater Township <br> Far Hills Borough <br> Franklin Township <br> Green Brook Twp. <br> Hillsborough Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $2,361,322,388$ $120,631,800$ $1,450,749,900$ $487,688,900$ $1,072,215,100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.98 \\ 76.00 \\ 42.80 \\ 100.46 \\ 56.25 \end{array}$ | $3,423,198,591$ $158,726,053$ $3,389,602,570$ $485,455,803$ $1,906,160,178$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,676,371 \\ 262,787 \\ 12,016,181 \\ 760,724 \\ 6,047,067 \end{array}$ | $3,430,874,962$ $158,988,840$ $3,401,618,751$ $486,216,527$ $1,912,207,245$ |
| Manville Borough <br> Millstone Borough <br> Montgomery Township <br> North Plainfield Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Peapack-Gladstone Boro $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267,562,355 \\ 30,587,300 \\ 903,010,200 \\ 929,694,450 \\ 57,089,580 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.70 \\ 98.87 \\ 83.59 \\ 104.86 \\ 106.98 \end{array}$ | $538,354,839$ $30,936,887$ $1,080,284,962$ $886,605,426$ $530,089,344$ |  | 294,019 40,808 $3,653,800$ $2,540,494$ 775,288 | $\begin{array}{r} 538,648,858 \\ 30,977,695 \\ 1,083,938,762 \\ 889,145,920 \\ 530,864,632 \end{array}$ |
| Raritan Borough <br> Rocky Hill Borough <br> Somerville Borough <br> S. Bound Brook Boro <br> Warren Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 493,840,693 \\ 30,071,9150 \\ 464,146,817 \\ 73,019,900 \\ 781,150,700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.32 \\ & 55.29 \\ & 67.98 \\ & 41.00 \\ & 45.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 507,440,087 \\ 54,388,045 \\ 682,769,663 \\ 178,097,317 \\ 1,733,194,364 \end{array}$ | $161,931$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,613,000 \\ 46,562 \\ 10,843,139 \\ 458,682 \\ 2,278,877 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 509,215,018 \\ 54,434,607 \\ 693,612,802 \\ 178,555,999 \\ 1,735,473,241 \end{array}$ |
| Watchung Borough .................... | 412,306,800 | 45.40 | 908,164,758 | ........... | 1,194,661 | 909,359,419 |
| Totals ............................ | \$16,285,328,351 | 72.64 | \$22,420,227,401 | \$338,311 | \$106,647,636 | \$22,527,213,348 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Sussex County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Andover Borough <br> Andover Township <br> Branchville Borough <br> Byram Township <br> Frankford Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 42,766,300 \\ 166,677,140 \\ 44,026,800 \\ 298,770,200 \\ 399,985,001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102.36 \\ 48.46 \\ 58.92 \\ 62.09 \\ 100.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 41,780,285 \\ 343,947,875 \\ 74,723,014 \\ 481,188919 \\ 398,709,132 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 474,069 \\ 423,835 \\ 522,407 \\ 929,415 \\ 2,269,533 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 42,254,354 \\ 344,371,710 \\ 75,245,421 \\ 482,118,334 \\ 400,978,665 \end{array}$ |
| Franklin Borough <br> Fredon Township <br> Green Township <br> Hamburg Borough <br> Hampton Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135,691,500 \\ & 108,384,940 \\ & 203,525,700 \\ & 144,816,300 \\ & 312,384,644 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.13 \\ 55.26 \\ 108.87 \\ 105.41 \\ 100.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221,972,027 \\ & 196,136,337 \\ & 186,943,786 \\ & 137,383,835 \\ & 311,388,202 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,456,593 \\ 416,430 \\ 752,344 \\ 330,402 \\ 1,093,921 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 223,428,620 \\ & 196,552,767 \\ & 187,696,130 \\ & 137,744,237 \\ & 312,482,123 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hardyston Township <br> Hopatcong Borough <br> Lafayette Township <br> Montague Township <br> Newton Town $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 181,648,725 \\ 857,718,100 \\ 88,695,900 \\ 197,648,330 \\ 394,366,910 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.94 \\ 102.40 \\ 55.20 \\ 94.98 \\ 105.85 \end{array}$ | $363,733,931$ $837,615,332$ $160,680,978$ $208,094,683$ $372,571,479$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 838,309 \\ 1,883,416 \\ 313,486 \\ 1,023,881 \\ 3,595,629 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 364,572,240 \\ & 839,498,748 \\ & 160,994,464 \\ & 209,18,564 \\ & 376,167,108 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ogdensburg Borough <br> Sandyston Township <br> Sparta Township <br> Stanhope Borough <br> Stillwater Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,786,631 \\ 118,557,400 \\ 973,086,450 \\ 87,180,750 \\ 21,061,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.19 \\ 95.96 \\ 74.83 \\ 49.21 \\ 91.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121,353,096 \\ 123,548,770 \\ 1,300,396,165 \\ 177,160,638 \\ 252,140,768 \end{array}$ |  | 201,294 614,484 $2,982,522$ 378,283 762,644 | $121,554,390$ $124,163,254$ $1,303,378,687$ $177,538,921$ $252,903,412$ |
| Sussex Borough <br> Vernon Township <br> Walpack Township <br> Wantage Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60,506,200 \\ 720,500,717 \\ 2,510,900 \\ 267,601,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.04 \\ 51.20 \\ 100.75 \\ 48.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,172,016 \\ 1,407,227,963 \\ 2,492,208 \\ 556,690,243 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,176,517 \\ 3,865,552 \\ 100,553 \\ 1,015,694 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86,348,533 \\ 1,411,093,515 \\ 2,592,761 \\ 557,705,937 \end{array}$ |
| Totals ............................ | \$6,177,898,338 | 73.87 | \$8,363,051,682 | ............ | \$27,421,213 | \$8,390,472,895 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property

| Union County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratlo of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | 5 <br> Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equallized Valuation |
| Berkeley Heights Twp. <br> Clark Township <br> Cranford Township <br> Elizabeth City <br> Fanwood Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 815,265,100 \\ 703,654,100 \\ 1,639,249,800 \\ 955,586,800 \\ 221,213,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.27 \\ & 59.83 \\ & 91.96 \\ & 26.29 \\ & 47.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1,559,718,959$ $1,176,089,086$ $1,782,568,291$ $3,634,791,936$ $470,065,873$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 313,896 \\ 38,715 \end{array}$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,707,907 \\ 1,281,950 \\ 16,445,600 \\ 8,849,824 \\ 383,628 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,561,426,866$ $1,177,371,036$ $1,799,327,787$ $3,643,680,475$ $470,449,501$ |
| Garwood Borough <br> Hillside Township <br> Kenilworth Borough <br> Linden City <br> Mountainside Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180,161,800 \\ 313,318,500 \\ 763,068,500 \\ 3,011,661,900 \\ 486,798,050 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.11 \\ 29.29 \\ 100.42 \\ 87.77 \\ 56.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 304,790,729 \\ 1,069,711,506 \\ 759,877,017 \\ 3,431,311,268 \\ 861,437,002 \end{array}$ |  | 292,460 559,173 869,508 $12,400,364$ 946,206 | $305,083,189$ $1,070,270,679$ $760,746,525$ $3,443,711,632$ $862,383,208$ |
| New Providence Boro <br> Plainfield City <br> Rahway City <br> Roselle Borough <br> Roselle Park Borough $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 730,781,600 \\ 384,788,300 \\ 1,360,821,500 \\ 802,019,580 \\ 301,695,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.45 \\ & 2.67 \\ & 96.43 \\ & 95.58 \\ & 48.68 \end{aligned}$ | $1,133,873,701$ $1,625,637,093$ $1,411,201,390$ $839,108,161$ $619,753,287$ | 255,979 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,898,747 \\ 6,961,140 \\ 16,135,538 \\ 10,225,735 \\ 556,155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,140,772,448 \\ 1,632,854,212 \\ 1,427,336,928 \\ 849,333,896 \\ 620,309,442 \end{array}$ |
| Scotch Plains Twp. <br> Springfield Township <br> Summit City <br> Union Township <br> Westfield Town $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $876,148,700$ $1,053,138,400$ $1,150,581,800$ $1,025,799,500$ $1,786,235,700$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.55 \\ & 75.34 \\ & 43.88 \\ & 28.80 \\ & 64.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,699,609,505 \\ & 1,397,847,624 \\ & 2,622,109,845 \\ & 3,561,803,819 \\ & 2,785,769,963 \end{aligned}$ | $292,111$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,918,566 \\ & 2,301,439 \\ & 3,571,311 \\ & 6,865,559 \\ & 9,135,426 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,701,528,071 \\ & 1,400,149,063 \\ & 2,625,973,267 \\ & 3,568,669,378 \\ & 2,794,905,389 \end{aligned}$ |
| Winfield Township .................... | 1,384,350 | 14.01 | 9,881,156 | ............ | 5,492 | 9,886,648 |
| Totals ............................. | \$18,563,372,880 | 56.67 | \$32,756,957,211 | \$900,701 | \$108,311,728 | \$32,866, 169,640 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.

| Warren County, 1991 Table of Equalized Valuations, State School Aid (C. 86, P.L. 1954) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAXING DISTRICT | 1 <br> Aggregate Assessed Valuation of Real Property* | 2 <br> Average Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Real Property | 3 <br> Aggregate True Value of Real Property* | Assessed Valuation of Class II Rallroad Property | Assessed Valuation of All Personal Property | 6 Equalized Valuation |
| Allamuchy Township <br> Alpha Borough <br> Belvidere Town <br> Blairstown Township <br> Franklin Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 320,182,400 \\ 117,118,800 \\ 157,263,840 \\ 280,303,262 \\ 202,887,914 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.04 \\ 98.83 \\ 107.85 \\ 69.14 \\ 118.47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 278,322,670 \\ 118,505,312 \\ 145,817,191 \\ 405,414,032 \\ 171,256,786 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 1,905,412 \\ 216,723 \\ 1,903,954 \\ 1,131,220 \\ 1,979,057 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 280,228,082 \\ 118,722,035 \\ 147,721,145 \\ 406,545,252 \\ 173,235,843 \end{array}$ |
| Frelinghuysen Twp. <br> Greenwich Township <br> Hackettstown Town <br> Hardwick Township <br> Harmony Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133,824,520 \\ & 125,844,970 \\ & 389,213,400 \\ & 11,517,380 \\ & 315,226,929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.67 \\ 97.29 \\ 83.28 \\ 111.47 \\ 121.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141,358,952 \\ & 129,350,365 \\ & 467,355,187 \\ & 10,042,505 \\ & 299,857,906 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 723,554 \\ 1,538,806 \\ 5,356,145 \\ 325,310 \\ 1,026,762 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 142,082,506 \\ & 130,889,171 \\ & 472,711,332 \\ & 100,367,815 \\ & 300,884,668 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hope Township Independence Twp. <br> Knowlton Township <br> Liberty Township <br> Lopatcong Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97,794,783 \\ 276,024,480 \\ 171,742,950 \\ 164,315,610 \\ 307,294,199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.37 \\ 103.78 \\ 99.61 \\ 110.53 \\ 106.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128,053,926 \\ & 246,699,258 \\ & 172,415,370 \\ & 148,661,549 \\ & 288,106,318 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 712,345 \\ 1,482,051 \\ 840,352 \\ 482,031 \\ 1,387,088 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128,766,271 \\ & 248,181,309 \\ & 173,255,722 \\ & 149,143,580 \\ & 289,493,406 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mansfield Township <br> Oxford Township <br> Pahaquarry Township <br> Phillipsburg Town <br> Pohatcong Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266,365,110 \\ 107,376,810 \\ 399,000 \\ 594,201,692 \\ 256,606,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.00 \\ 114.86 \\ 87.81 \\ 102.61 \\ 111.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 320,921,819 \\ 93,484,947 \\ 454,390 \\ 579,087,508 \\ 229,563,965 \end{array}$ |  | $1,534,368$ 476,034 79,803 $6,276,499$ $1,121,943$ | $\begin{array}{r} 322,456,187 \\ 93,960,981 \\ 534,193 \\ 585,364,007 \\ 230,685,908 \end{array}$ |
| Washington Borough <br> Washington Township <br> White Township $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290,306,950 \\ & 360,765,330 \\ & 329,121,429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.27 \\ 104.40 \\ 123.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 298,454,765 \\ & 345,560,661 \\ & 266,085,722 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,630,685 \\ & 1,846,498 \\ & 1,051,765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 303,085,450 \\ & 347,407,159 \\ & 267,137,487 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals ............................. | \$5,405,698,368 | 100.57 | \$5,374,831,104 | ........... | \$38,028,405 | \$5,412,859,509 |

*Exclusive of Class II Railroad Property.
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$\therefore 2$
$\rightarrow$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other properties are subclassified into commercial, industrial and apartments for statistical purposes.

[^1]:    (1) REGULAR FARMS (LAND AND IMPROVEMENTS) ARE VALUED BY THE SAME STANDARD AS OTHER TAXABLE PROPERTY. QUALIFIED FARMS (LAND ONLY)
    (2) NUMBER OF LINE ITEMS CANNOT BE INTERPRETED AS THE NUMBER OF FARMS SINCE SOME FARMS ENCOMPASS MORE THAN ONE LINE ITEM.

[^2]:    *No State aid was paid since calendar year 1982, except for 1984-1988 payments to those municipalities in which Class II railroad property owned by New Jersey Transit Corporation is located (P.L. 1984, CH 58).

[^3]:    The increase in Gross Income Tax—Employee records is as a result of the shift from refund to payment returns and the method of capturing this information.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cigarette Floor Tax mail receipts of 11,797 are included in the 1991 figure due to a July 1, 1991 tax increase.
    ${ }^{2}$ Homestead Rebate mail receipts for 1991 consisted of correspondence for the Taxpayer Accounting Section, due to the Homestead Application being processed on the back of the $1990,1040$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rates increased on liquor, beer \& wine on July 1, 1990 to $\$ 4.20, \$ .10, \$ .50$ per gallon respectively.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rate increased to $40 ¢$ on a pack of 20 cigarettes and $50 ¢$ on a pack of 25 cigarettes on July 1, 1990.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes tax receipts from windfall profits court decision.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exempt only if organized under Laws of New Jersey.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Installment payment date requirement for foreign insurance companies changed from October 1 to June 1 and increased this installment payment from $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ effective June 1, 1989. (P.L. 1989, c.81)

[^10]:    IIn 1941 the definitions of classes differed from those in effect from June 1966 onwards. Class III was "value of all the tangible personal property" and Class IV was "value of remaining property." Class III is now "facilities used in passenger service" and Class IV is no longer in use.

[^11]:    'State share: 1989—\$54,257,441; 1990—\$42,506,342; 1991—\$31,735,097.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rate increased to 76 effective July 1, 1990.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rate decreased to $1.5 \%$ effective July $1,1991$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rate decreased to $2.9 \%$ effective July 1, 1990.

[^14]:    Note: This Class A exemption applies to estates of decedents dying on or after July 1 , 1988. For Class " $A$ " and Class " $C$ " exemptions applicable to estates of decedents dying prior to July 1, 1988, see item (8) under exemptions.

[^15]:    *Penalties and interest were substantially increased by c. 177, P.L. 1975

[^16]:    Architecturally Unique And Expensive Office Building Complex Must Be Valued Using Cost Approach-Beneficial v. Peapack and Gladstone Bor., 11 N.J. Tax 359 (Tax Ct., 1990).

[^17]:    County Authority's Exemption From Property Tax Is Not Necessarily Effective Upon Acquiring The Property-Hudson Cty., Imp. v. Town Of Kearny, 244 N.J. Super. 619 (App. Div. 1990).

    The Hudson County Improvement Authority (HCIA) appealed a Tax Court decision, Hudson Cty. Imp. Auth. v. Kearny, 10 N.J. Tax 589 (Tax Ct., 1989). The facts were that HCIA acquired properties on May 25, June 2 and June 26 of 1988 to perform solid waste management functions. HCIA, which was created by the Hudson County Board of Chosen Freeholders, is exempt from property tax, and the issue centered on when the exemption became effective. The Town of Kearny argued that the exemption was not effective until 1989, while HCIA argued the exemption was effective upon acquisition. The Tax Court had pointed out that municipalities base their budgets on the tax ratables existing on the assessment date and, also, that county budgets could be affected by a mid-year exemption. The Tax Court emphasized that the statutory sections on tax exemption did not require exemption to be effective upon acquisition. The Tax Court had held that the property tax exemption was not effective upon acquisition and denial of exemption during 1988 was proper. The Superior Court, Appellate Division, affirmed for substantially the reasons given by the Tax Court.

[^18]:    1990 Table Of Equalized Values Could Not Be Disputed By Attacking Calculations Used For 1989 Table-Kearny v. Taxation Div. Director, 11 N.J. Tax 497 (Tax Ct., 1991).

    Kearny appealed the determination by the Director of the Division of Taxation of the average ratio of assessed to true value of real estate as calculated for the 1990 equalized valuations table. Kearny claimed that its equalized valuation was higher than the $50.02 \%$ shown by the table. To contest the Director's figure, Kearny wanted to delete an assessment from the total of assessed values computed for the 1989 table, because that table is an integral part of the computation of the 1990 table. However, a prior case, Kearny v. Taxation Div. Director, 11 N.J. Tax 232 (Tax Ct. 1990) determined that Kearny could not dispute the 1989 table in and of itself, because it failed to file its appeal within the required time period. Thus, the issue in this case was whether Kearny could now indirectly attack the 1989 table computations. The Tax Court held that it could not, the main reason being that the Director was reasonable in relying upon the 1989 table for his calculations for the 1990 table.

[^19]:    Statute Providing Tax Exemption Based Only On Property Being Owned By A Nonprofit And Being A Certified Historical Site Is Constitutional-

[^20]:    Affordable Housing Deed Restriction, Which Limited Subsequent Sales To A Price Determined By Using Initial Purchase Price Plus Consumer Price Index Increases, Must Be Taken Into Account For Value AssessmentProwitz v. Ridgefield Park Village, 122 N.J. 199 (1991), aff'g 237 N.J. Super. 435 (App. Div., 1989), rev'g 10 N.J. Tax 103 (Tax Ct., 1988).

    The issue raised in these consolidated appeals was whether the local real property assessment of an "official" affordable housing unit must take into account a deed restriction which limits its resale price to its initial purchase price plus consumer price index increases. The taxpayers had purchased condominium units from the Housing Development Corporation of Bergen County (HDC). A purchaser who met certain criteria and purchased a HDC condominium, like the taxpayers here involved, would be subject to resale restrictions contained in the master deed. As part of a general revaluation, Ridgefield Park Village determined that the affordable housing units should be assessed on the basis of fair market value without consideration of the deed restrictions. The taxpayers contended that the restriction must be considered in determining the assessment value.

    Although the Tax Court found in favor of the Village, the Superior Court, Appellate Division, reversed. The appellate court distinguished an encumbrance on land from an encumbrance on title, which is usually only of temporary duration. Burdens on the land ordinarily must be taken into account in assessing value. The Superior Court also deemed the restriction here analogous to value-

[^21]:    Transferee of Assets of Business Is Liable For Sales Taxes Owed By Business When Transferee Failed To Give Written Notice Pending Trans-fer-Lambriar Kennels, Inc. v. Division of Taxation, State of New Jersey, 14-24-1200-89ST (Tax Court, Oct. 23, 1990).

    Taxpayer, Lambriar Kennels, Inc., contested a sales and use tax deficiency assessment imposed by the Division of Taxation by reason of taxpayer's acquisition of the assets of New England Kennels/Reigning Dogs and Cats (New England Kennels). N.J.S.A. 54:32B-22(c) requires purchasers or transferees of the assets of a business to notify the Division by registered mail 10 days before taking possession. For failure to comply, the purchaser or transferee is personally liable for sales taxes due.

    Lambriar Kennels is a Kansas corporation whose primary business is the brokerage of dogs. New England Kennels operated a pet store in Cedar Grove,

[^22]:    Difference in totals due to rounding
    *Property Tax Deductions include Three Categories-Citizens 65 or More, Citizens Less Than 65 Who Are Permanently And Totally

[^23]:    * $=$ Re-Valued District
    $+=$ Re-Assessed District

[^24]:    
    Total County Taxes Apportioned
    (Including Adjustments-Total 12 A I)
    N Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments are deducted.

    Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues $\$ 20,960,067.00$
    Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Column 11 for apportionment
    of County Taxes
    County Percentage Level of Taxable Value of Real...................................................... 39174503

[^25]:    $\ddagger$ Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments
    Total amount to be raised by Taxation for County
    \$ 1,180,201.00
    0540265964

    Board of Health Purposes …...........................................
    Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment
    of Local Health Service Taxes

[^26]:    Total County Taxes Apportioned
    (Including Adjustments - Total 12A I) ....................................................... \$132,653,005.82
    $\dagger$ Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net Underpayments
    are deducted.

    Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Col. 11 for apportionment 0.525916088 $\$ 128,724,299.00$
    $\$ \quad 3,928,706.82$

[^27]:    $\$ 110,886,231.33$
    $\$ \quad 1,090,677.30$
    \$111,976,898.63

[^28]:    $R=$ REVALUED DISTRICT

[^29]:    *(R) Denotes Revaluation

[^30]:    Total County Taxes Apportioned
    (Including Adjustments - Total
    (Including Adjustments - Total 12A I)
    $\$ 33,525,362.34$
    0.04740886

    Net Overpayments are added to the Net Taxes Apportioned and Net
    Underpayments are deducted from the Net Taxes Apportioned.
    Rate per $\$ 100$ to be applied to Column 11 for apportionment

    Total Amount of Miscellaneous Revenues (including Surplus Revenues $\$ 19,986,358.91$
    0.59751298
    $\$ 33,282,465.19$
    $\$ 33,282,465.19$
    $\$ \quad 242,897.15$

[^31]:    Leslie A. Thompson, Director Division of Taxation

