

# Commissioner's Monthly Report November 2022

Christine Beyer Commissioner



# **Monthly Report Table of Contents**

2
3
4
5
6-8
9
9
10
11
11-12
13
14

# Sustainability & Exit Plan Performance as of December 2020

TO BE MAINTAINED Successfully Maintained  Measure Description Target Performance							
	Intake Workers Caseload (Local Offices)	95%	100%	<b>√</b>			
	Intake Workers Caseload	90%	100%	⋖			
	Permanency Workers Caseload (Local Offices)	95%	100%	<			
	Permanency Workers Caseload	95%	100%	⋖			
Caseloads	Adoption Workers Caseload (Local Offices)	95%	100%	⋖			
Cas	Adoption Workers Caseload	95%	99%	⋖			
	Supervisor/Worker Ratio	95%	100%	⋖			
	IAIU Investigators Caseload	95%	100%	⋖			
	Adequacy of DAGs Staffing	100%	99%	⋖			
	Child Health Units	Met	Met	⋖			
	Timeliness of Investigation Completion (60 days)	85%	90%	<			
	Timeliness of Investigation Completion (90 days)	95%	97%	⋖			
	IAIU Timeliness of Investigation Completion (60 days)	80%	78%	⋖			
	Initial Family Team Meetings	80%	82%	⋖			
sanres	Subsequent FTMs within 12 months	80%	80%	⋖			
Process Measures	Subsequent FTMs after 12 months Reunification Goal	90%	96%	V			
Proc	Subsequent FTMs after 12 months Other than Reunification Goal	90%	88%	⋖			
	Initial Case Plans- for Children Entering Placement	95%	87%	⋖			
	Timeliness of Current Plans	95%	97%	⋖			
	Caseworker Contacts with Children – NewPlacement/Placement Change	93%	92%	⋖			
	Child Visits with Siblings	85%	83%	V			

TO BE MAINTAINED CONT. Successfully Maintained					
	Measure Description	Target	Performance		
ures	Caseworker Contact with Children in Placement	93%	97%	<b>⋄</b>	
Meas	Parent-Child Visits –weekly	60%	81%	<b>ℯ</b>	
Process Measures	Parent-Child Visits – biweekly	85%	94%	<b>⋄</b>	
Pro	Independent Living Assessments	90%	87%	<b></b> ✓	
ually	Educational Needs (CY 2019)	80%	86%	<b>ℯ</b>	
s Ann	Quality of Case Planning and Services	75%	67%	<b></b> ✓	
easu/e	Housing (July-December 2020)	95%	92%	<b>⋄</b>	
Quality Measures Annually	Employment/Education (Jan-Dec 2020)	85%	85%	❖	
Qua	Quality Investigations (February 2020)	85%	91%	<b>◆</b>	
	Placing Siblings groups of 2 & 3 (CY 2020)	80%	81%	<b>⋄</b>	
	Placing Siblings groups of 4 or More (CY 2020)	80%	95%	<b>⋄</b>	
	Recruitment for Sibling Homes Serving Four or More (Jul-Dec 2020)	Met	Met	❖	
	Placement Stability- First 12 Months in Care (CY 2019)	84%	87%	<b></b> ✓	
nually	Placement Stability- Children in Care 13 –24 Months (CY 2018)	88%	96%	<b>⋄</b>	
Outcome Measures Annually	Abuse and Neglect of Children in Foster Care (CY 2020)	0.49%	0.12%	<b>⋄</b>	
Meası	Repeat Maltreatment In-home (CY 2019)	7.2%	5.1%	<b></b> ✓	
ome I	Maltreatment Post-Reunification (CY 2017)	6.9%	5.1%	❖	
Outc	Permanency within 12 Months (CY 2019)	42%	37%	<b>◆</b>	
	Permanency within 24 Months (CY 2018)	66%	67%	<b></b> ✓	
	Permanency within 36 Months (CY 2017)	80%	84%	<b></b> ✓	
				^	
	Permanency within 48 Months (CY 2016)	86%	89%	V	
	Permanency within 48 Months (CY 2016)  Re-entry to Placement (CY 2018)	86% 9%	9.8%	<b>∜</b>	

FOUNDATIONAL ELEMENTS	
Data Transparency successfully maintained	<b>◆</b>
Case Practice Model successfully maintained	<b>⋄</b>
State Central Registry successfully maintained	<b>◆</b>
Appropriate Placements successfully maintained	<b>⋄</b>
Service Array successfully maintained	<b>◆</b>
Medical/Behavioral Health Services successfully maintained	<b>⋄</b>
Training successfully maintained	<b>⋄</b>
Flexible Funding successfully maintained	<b>⋄</b>
Resource Family Care Support Rates successfully maintained	<b>◆</b>
Permanency successfully maintained	<b>ℯ</b>
Adoption Practice successfully maintained	<b>◆</b>

	TO BE ACHIEVED						
	Measure Description	Target	Performance				
Process Measures Monthly	Caseworker Contacts with Family When Goal is Reunification	90%	83%	<b>-</b>			
unnually	Quality of Teaming (CY 2019)	75%	62%				
Quality Measures Annually	Quality of Case Plans (CY 2019)	80%	58%				
Quality M	Services to Support Transitions (CY 2019)	80%	74%				





### **SUSTAINABILTY AND EXIT PLAN Key Performance Indicators** On or About September 30<sup>th</sup> , 2022

"То	Be Achieved" M	easures		
	Month	<sup>1</sup> Performance	Exit Plan Target	% to Meet Target
CW Visits with Parent 2x/Month	September '22	66%	90%	-24%
"То Е	Be Maintained" N	/leasures		
	Month	Performance	Exit Plan Target	% to Meet Target
Initial FTMs within 45 days	August'22	65%	80%	-15%
Subsequent FTMs within 12 Months	September '22	65%	80%	-15%
Subsequent FTMs after 12 Months - Reunification Goal (n=20)	September '22	55%	90%	-35%
Subsequent FTMs after 12 Months - Other than Reunification Goal	September '22	76%	90%	-14%
Investigation Timeliness CP&P 60 Days	July'22	86%	85%	0%
Investigation Timeliness CP&P 90 Days	July'22	95%	95%	0%
Investigation Timeliness IAIU	September '22	81%	80%	0%
Initial Case Plans	September '22	80%	95%	-15%
Ongoing Case Plans	September '22	98%	95%	0%
Child Visit with Siblings	September '22	78%	85%	-7%
Parent-Child Weekly Visit <sup>2</sup>	September '22	53%	60%	-7%
Parent-Child Visits Bi-weekly	September '22	65%	85%	-20%
CW Visits Child Monthly (at placement site) <sup>3</sup>	September '22	94%	93%	0%
CW Visits Child 2x/Month for first 2 Months in Placement	July '22	91%	93%	-2%
Ind. Living Assessments 14-18 Years Old	September '22	89%	90%	-1%
Supervisor Worker Ratio	September '22	100%	95%	0%
Caseloads: IAIU Investigators	September '22	100%	95%	0%
Caseloads: Intake	September '22	99%	90%	0%
Caseloads: Permanency	September '22	100%	95%	0%
Caseloads: Adoption	September '22	99%	95%	0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reported performance understates actual performance in some measures because data does not exclude all instances where an event could not occur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compliance for Parent-Child Weekly Visits is measured by percent of children with weekly visits averaged over the number of weeks in the month.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Caseworker visits with Children in Placement (all locations) September 2022: 97% .



#### DCF At A Glance Dashboard

On or About September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2022

DCF At a Glance	
DCF: Total Children Served in the Month <sup>1</sup>	65,957
CP&P: Children/Youth Served	29,909
Children Under 18	28,243
OOH Setting (< 18)	2,851
In-Home Setting (< 18)	25,392
Youth 18-21	1,666
OOH Setting (>18)	246
In-Home Setting (>18)	1,420
FCP: Total Clients Served <sup>3</sup> (August)	9,674
DOW: Total Clients Served (August) ( Excludes Displaced Homemaker)	4,109
DCF: Families Served in the Month <sup>4</sup>	23,329
CP&P	15,361
FCP (Family Success Centers & Home Visiting) (August)	7,968

CSOC <sup>5</sup> Quick Facts	
Youth Open with CSOC <sup>2</sup> (unduplicated count)	36,048
DD Eligible Children (unduplicated count)	13,184
MRSS: Dispatches in the month	2,508
MRSS: Interventions (includes prior dispatches)	1,644
Remained in same Living situation	99%
	•
Care Management: Children Served	16,198
OOH Settings: Children Served <sup>10</sup> (BH, I/DD, and SU)	1,079
Behavioral Health Placed out of State	0
Intellectual /Developmental Disabilities Placed out of State	27
	•
PerformCare Calls	9,658
DD Related Calls	1,689

CP&P Quick Facts			
Hotline Referrals	12,828		
CPS Reports	37%		
CWS Referrals	5%		
Number of Human Trafficking Referrals (September 2022)	4		
Response Timeliness	99%		
Monthly Staff Contacts/Children OOH-Placement Site	94%		
Entries to Care	128		
Exits from Care	158		
Subsidized Adoptions/KLG (Includes Subsidized Adoptions and subsidized KLGs)	13,602		

FCP & DoW Quick Facts <sup>7</sup>				
FSCs: Families Served (August)	4,970			
Home Visiting: Families Served (August)	2,998			
SBYSP: Clients Served (August)	1,706			
DV Services: Clients Served (August)	2,524			
Residential	24%			
Non-Residential	76%			
SAARC: Clients Served (August)	1,585			
Displaced Homemaker: Clients Served <sup>9</sup> (October)	1,555			
New Clients	10%			

<sup>1</sup> Some children may be served by both CP&P and CSOC and are over-represented in the final count of children served in the month.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The definition for "Youth Open with CSOC" reflects youth who are involved and eligible to receive services through CSOC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FCP measures clients served in SBYSP and DV Services. Since Family Success Centers and Home Visitation programs report data in terms of families served, each family is assumed to have at least one client.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Families served by DCF includes CP&P families and FCP families. FCP families served data has a one month lag.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>CSOC Children may receive multiple services and are counted multiple times.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> FCP quick facts are based on new families for FSCs, but both new and ongoing clients for Home Visiting and SBYSP. DOW quick facts are based on new clients for DV Services, but both new and ongoing clients served for SAARC, Displaced Homemakers and Rape Prevention Education.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The cumulative number of human trafficking referrals between November 2013 and September 2022 was 1,220. This figure could change depending on when the data is extracted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Appropriate use of shelters include 1) alternative to detention 2) short term placement of an adolescent in crisis not to extend beyond 30 days 3) a basic center for homeless youth.
OOH Behavioral Health Settings: Children Served - Excludes Youth in Detention Alternatives and Diagnostic Settings.

Effective June 2017, All DoW data is reported Monthly except for Residential DV shelters and Unmet Needs Data.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Due to system upgrades within DCF, Displaced Homemaker data will not be available beginning November 2021 . DCF will resume reporting on these data after the new systems have been updated with these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> As of October 2022, the CSOC OOH sections will now include all CSOC Out of Home Treatment Settings (Behavioral Health, Intellectual/Developmentally Disabled, and Substance Use). This includes updating the totals reported, a new 3-year OOH trend report, and a monthly OOH report grouped by similar treatment settings.




# **Section I: Child Protection & Permanency**


CP&P Quick Facts							
			Sep-22	Δ from Sep '21			
Families Under CP&P Supervision			15,361	-8%			
Children Under CP&P Supervision			29,909	-7%			
Children Receiving CP&P In-Home Services			26,812	-6%			
Children in CP&P Out-of-Home Placement							
Resource Family (non-Kin)	43%	1,327					
Resource Family Kinship	46%	1,414	3,097	-12%			
Group and Residential	9%	281					
Independent Living	2%	75					
Children Legally Free for Adoption (Excludes TPR	Appeals)		530	-17%			
Finalized Adoptions to date (CY2022) - As of 9/30	0/2022		363	1%			
Children in Subsidized Kinship Legal Guardianshi	ip		1,261	-6%			
Children in Subsidized Adoptions			12,341	-5%			
Entries to Care			128	-9%			
Exits from Care			158	7%			




Point In Time data is based on data as of the last day of each month.

Axis begins at 2.000 to enhance separation of data.











# **Section I: Child Protection & Permanency**





# **Section I: Child Protection & Permanency**





100%

#### **Section II: Adolescent Services**


#### OAS Quick Facts (September 2022) Youth 18-23

Youth 18-21 years old served by CP&P <sup>1</sup>			
Youth served "In Home" living with a parent/relative or living			
independently <sup>2</sup>			1,420
Youth served "Out-of-Home"			
Resource Family (non-Kin)	(37.4%)	92	
Resource Family Kinship	(11.0%)	27	246
Congregate Care Setting	(24.4%)	60	
Independent Living	(27.2%)	67	
Youth Receiving Adoption or KLG Subsidy			603




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The terms "out-of-home" and "in-home" may not be appropriate for all 18-21 year olds. Youth identified as "in-home" can either be residing with a parent/relative, or living independently. Any of these youth may be receiving services. These definitions are currently being reviewed to better understand how we capture DCPs work with his population. The goal of this ongoing work is to create three meaningful categories for 18-21 year olds 1) Youth in a formal out-of-home placement setting, 2) Youth that achieved permanency.

# Completed Independent Living Assessments of Youth Ages 14-18 years





# **Section III: Institutional Abuse Investigation Unit**



#### IAIU Caseload Report Statewide


No more than 8 new investigations and 12 cases/month






#### IAIU Caseload Report by Region


September 2022








# **Section IV: Children's System of Care**


















#### **Section V: Family & Community Partnerships**



#### **Section VI: Division on Women**


#### Sexual Assault, Abuse and Rape Care Programs (SAARC)






#### **Section VI: Division on Women**

Residential Domestic Violence Programs: Victims' Average Length of Stay (days)



#### Domestic Violence Services - Adults and Children Admitted to Residential and Non-Residential Services Total New Clients



#### **CP&P** Key Performance Indicators by Local Office - 6 Months View

		Met '	Met Target Within 10% of Meeting Target			< 60% of Final Target								
	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure	Measure
Local Office	6	9	10	13	14	16	17	18	19	22	28	29	30	31
Atlantic East	100%	83%	94%	92%	96%	79%	63%	79%	94%	97%	63%	46%	62%	81%
Atlantic West	100%	100%	100%	88%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	81%	58%	75%	78%
Bergen Central	100%	100%	98%	94%	98%	100%	100%		100%	100%	83%	66%	76%	89%
Bergen South	100%	100%	99%	97%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	73%	44%	53%	76%
Burlington East	100%	100%	93%	90%	96%	92%	91%	100%	88%	100%	69%	39%	58%	77%
Burlington West	96%	71%	92%	79%	93%	43%	0%		77%	77%	40%	34%	44%	75%
Camden Central	98%	94%	94%	85%	96%	100%	90%	100%	100%	97%	75%	57%	76%	87%
Camden East	100%	95%	93%	72%	92%	90%	91%		100%	100%	77%	63%	80%	77%
Camden North	85%	95%	94%	81%	92%	67%	48%		100%	100%	57%	54%	74%	92%
Camden South	91%	89%	95%	88%	98%	96%	71%	0%	81%	97%	60%	55%	66%	90%
Cape May	100%	97%	99%	90%	96%	95%	86%	100%	94%	84%	86%	65%	80%	68%
Cumberland East	100%	100%	97%	81%	95%	100%	71%		100%	100%	83%	54%	63%	84%
Cumberland West	100%	100%	92%	81%	98%	100%	75%	50%	100%	100%	68%	54%	62%	63%
Essex Central	100%	100%	90%	93%	98%	100%	67%	100%	100%	92%	72%	43%	61%	82%
Essex North	95%	100%	98%	86%	96%	50%	0%	100%	67%	79%	65%	50%	55%	71%
Essex South	100%	81%	92%	73%	91%	82%	86%		42%	67%	74%	48%	60%	52%
Gloucester East	100%	93%	95%	93%	97%	100%	95%	100%	90%	88%	59%	55%	65%	83%
Gloucester West	92%	94%	92%	83%	94%	95%	73%	100%	95%	88%	63%	61%	64%	91%
Hudson Central	99%	95%	97%	76%	93%	88%	100%	100%	100%	95%	76%	55%	70%	82%
Hudson North	100%	100%	97%	96%	98%	88%	100%		100%	100%	90%	75%	59%	68%
Hudson South	96%	100%	96%	85%	93%	100%	100%		100%	100%	82%	69%	69%	73%
Hudson West	100%	100%	97%	95%	98%	100%	100%		100%	78%	83%	67%	97%	59%
Hunterdon	95%	67%	95%	91%	96%	57%	0%		0%	100%	57%	52%	54%	67%
Mercer North	95%	60%	95%	77%	91%	59%	46%	100%	92%	100%	50%	38%	56%	72%
Mercer South	94%	84%	91%	78%	96%	50%	93%	75%	76%	100%	75%	73%	82%	85%
Middlesex Central	100%	100%	96%	63%	90%	40%	75%	0%	71%	100%	66%	49%	75%	88%
Middlesex Coastal	93%	64%	94%	86%	96%	6%	19%	25%	94%	77%	57%	37%	63%	66%
Middlesex West	100%	58%	93%	65%	85%	33%	17%	33%	30%	62%	34%	41%	44%	59%
Monmouth North	100%	100%	89%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	72%	81%	93%
Monmouth South	100%	90%	93%	93%	98%	79%	100%	100%	94%	100%	76%	43%	55%	85%
Morris East	100%	100%	97%	98%	99%	100%	100%			100%	93%	81%	81%	100%
Morris West	100%	69%	98%	85%	94%	57%	71%		100%	100%	77%	44%	72%	76%
Newark Center City	99%	95%	95%	90%	97%	88%	100%	100%	90%	63%	60%	51%	56%	87%
Newark Northeast	100%	100%	97%	94%	96%	78%	100%	83%	100%	100%	78%	54%	65%	91%
Newark South	99%	100%	96%	95%	98%	89%	92%	100%	100%	100%	75%	58%	67%	89%
Ocean North	100%	100%	95%	94%	98%	100%	80%		82%	100%	76%	66%	74%	78%
Ocean South	95%	93%	97%	92%	96%	92%	78%	63%	100%	97%	81%	53%	77%	85%
Passaic Central	97%	89%	96%	88%	97%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	65%	77%	77%
Passaic North	100%	94%	94%	87%	96%	73%	100%	67%	100%	100%	86%	57%	75%	90%
Salem	82%	100%	96%	65%	88%	100%	100%		100%	100%	78%	68%	76%	93%
Somerset	98%	100%	91%	91%	97%	58%	100%		100%	100%	56%	68%	71%	84%
Sussex	100%	89%	95%	90%	97%	100%	100%		100%	100%	78%	65%	84%	92%
Union Central	100%	100%	94%	87%	96%	85%	100%	100%	88%	75%	64%	40%	63%	96%
Union East	93%	100%	97%	74%	90%	88%	50%	100%	82%	100%	69%	32%	65%	66%
Union West	100%	67%	93%	77%	93%	55%	0%	100%	20%	82%	49%	19%	30%	69%
Warren	78%	100%	82%	74%	91%	100%	20%		60%	100%	80%	14%	61%	44%
Statewide	97%	91%	94%	86%	95%	81%	78%	81%	88%	94%	70%	54%	67%	81%

Blank cells mean that the office did not have any children eligible for that measure during that period.

Measure #	Description of the Measure		Time Period Analyzed
M# 6	Ongoing Case Plans	95%	April'22-September'22
M# 9	Caseworker Visits with Children 2x/Month in 1st& 2nd Months of placement	93%	February'22-July'22
M# 10	Monthly Caseworker Visits with Children at child's placement site	93%	April'22-September'22
M# 13	Investigation Completion within 60 days	85%	February'22-July'22
M# 14	Investigation Completion within 90 days	95%	February'22-July'22
M# 16	Initial Family Team Meeting (FTMs) within 45 days of Child Removal	80%	March'22-August'22
M# 17	3 Subsequent FTMs within 12 Months of Child Removal	80%	April'22-September'22
M# 18	3 Subsequent FTMs after 12 Months in Placement - Reunification Goal	90%	April'22-September'22
M# 19	2 Subsequent FTMs after 12 Months in Placement - Non - Reunification Goal	90%	April'22-September'22
M# 22	Initial Case Plans within 30 Days of Child Removal	95%	April'22-September'22
M# 28	Caseworker visits Parent 2x/Month	90%	April'22-September'22
M# 29	Weekly Parent/Child Visits - Average weekly visits for 6 weeks.	60%	8/20/2022-9/24/2022
M# 30	Bi-weekly Parent-Child Visits	85%	April'22-September'22
M#31	Sibling Visits	85%	April'22-September'22



#### Worker and Office Caseads by Worker Type and by Local Office - September 2022

		Met Target	< 70% of workers in compliance					
	<sup>1</sup> In	take	<sup>2</sup> Perm	anency	<sup>3</sup> Adoption			
Local Office	Worker Compliance	Office Compliant? Yes/No	Worker Compliance	Office Compliant? Yes/No	Worker Compliance	Office Compliant? Yes/No		
Atlantic East	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Atlantic West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Bergen Central	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Bergen South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Burlington East	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Burlington West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Camden Central	92%	Yes	100%	Yes	67%	No		
Camden East	89%	No	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Camden North	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Camden South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Cape May	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Cumberland East	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Cumberland West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Essex Central	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Essex North	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Essex South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Gloucester East	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Gloucester West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Hudson Central	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Hudson North	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Hudson South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Hudson West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Hunterdon	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Mercer North	95%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Mercer South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Middlesex Central		Yes		Yes	100%	Yes		
Middlesex Coastal	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Middlesex West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Monmouth North		Yes		Yes	100%	Yes		
Monmouth South	100%	Yes	100% 100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Morris East		Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Morris West	100%	Yes		Yes	100%	Yes		
Newark Center City	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Newark Northeast	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Newark South	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Ocean North	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	80%	No		
	100%		100%		100%			
Ocean South Passaic Central	97%	Yes Yes	100%	Yes Yes	100%	Yes Yes		
	100%		100%					
Passaic North Salem	100%	Yes Yes	100%	Yes Yes	100%	Yes Yes		
	100%		100%		100%			
Somerset	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Sussex	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Union Central	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Union East	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Union West	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Warren	100%	Yes	100%	Yes	100%	Yes		
Statewide <sup>4</sup>	99%	Yes	100%	Yes	99%	Yes		

<sup>1</sup> Intake

#### 2 Permanency

- Permanency worker compliance: % of workers who meet the casead standards of no more than 15 families and 10 children in placement. Target = 95%
- Office Compliance: % of offices that meet the casead standards of no more than 15 families and 10 children in placement. Target = 95%

#### 3 Adoption

- Adoption worker compliance: % of adoption workers who meet the casead standards of 15 or fewer children. Target = 95%
- Office Compliance: % of offices that meet the casead standards of 15 or fewer children. Target = 95%
- Offices with blank data do not carry adoption caseads, however adoption cases in those offices are handled by other offices in that area.

#### 4 Statewid

- Performance is based on total workers in compliance for the month, not an average. Percentages are rounded to the whole number.

<sup>-</sup> Intake worker compliance: % of workers with no more than 8 new intakes in the month, no more than 12 Primary families and no more than a total of 14 families . Target=90%

<sup>-</sup> Office Compliance: % of offices that meet the case ad standards of no more than 8 new intakes and 12 total families. Target = 95% and 12 total families are considered as a standard of the compliance of the