

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
1060 Broad Street Newark 2, N. J.

BULLETIN 772

JULY 25, 1947.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM

1. LICENSED PREMISES - GENCO'S "ADVANCE ROLL", DESCRIBED HEREIN, CONSTITUTES BAGATELLE OR PINBALL MACHINE - BARRED BY RULE 7 OF STATE REGULATIONS NO. 20.
2. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (New Brunswick) - ILLICIT LIQUOR - AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 120 DAYS.
3. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Jersey City) - FALSE STATEMENT IN LICENSE APPLICATION - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES DURING PROHIBITED HOURS IN VIOLATION OF LOCAL ORDINANCE AND REGULATIONS NO. 38 - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BELOW FAIR TRADE MINIMUM - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 40 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
4. APPELLATE DECISIONS - BILL BLOCH, INC. v. UNION CITY - ORDER REMANDING PROCEEDINGS.
5. APPELLATE DECISIONS - BILL BLOCH, INC. v. UNION CITY - ORDER REMANDING PROCEEDINGS.
6. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Ventnor City) - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BELOW FAIR TRADE MINIMUM - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
7. APPELLATE DECISIONS - KNEHR v. HOPATCONG.
8. APPELLATE DECISIONS - STEGEIL v. SAYREVILLE.
9. REFERENDUM (R.S. 33:1-45) - SALE FOR CONSUMPTION ON PREMISES - CONSEQUENCES OF AFFIRMATIVE OR NEGATIVE VOTE - EFFECT OF NEGATIVE VOTE ON PLENARY RETAIL CONSUMPTION AND CLUB LICENSES.
10. NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL LICENSES ISSUED AND AMOUNT OF FEES PAID FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1946 TO JUNE 30, 1947 AS REPORTED TO THE COMMISSIONER OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BY THE LOCAL ISSUING AUTHORITIES PURSUANT TO R.S. 33:1-19.
11. APPELLATE DECISIONS - UNION COUNTY RETAIL LIQUOR STORES ASSOCIATION v. ROSELLE AND EIDELMAN.
12. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS (Paterson) - ILLICIT LIQUOR - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 25 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.
13. STATE LICENSES - NEW APPLICATIONS FILED.
14. RECAPITULATION OF ACTIVITY BY QUARTERLY PERIODS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1946-47.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
1060 Broad Street Newark 2, N. J.

BULLETIN 772

JULY 25, 1947.

1. LICENSED PREMISES - GENCO'S "ADVANCE ROLL", DESCRIBED HEREIN, CONSTITUTES BAGATELLE OR PINBALL MACHINE - BARRED BY RULE 7 OF STATE REGULATIONS NO. 20.

ABC Distributing Corp.
Newark 2, N. J.

Gentlemen:

You have asked whether it is permissible for a machine known as Genco's Advance Roll to be located at taverns or other licensed premises in New Jersey.

It appears that the machine in question is about 2' wide, 4' high and 6' in length and has an automatic scoreboard. On insertion of a coin, the player gets a certain number of wooden balls, about 2" in diameter, which he is to roll down the playing surface. This surface is level or has a slight incline for the first half of the machine and thereafter has a slight decline.

Various buttons are located in the downward slanting part of the playing surface, and these buttons bear different numbers. At the foot of the playing surface there is a series of holes, which likewise are numbered. The balls generally bound around the playing surface quite freely, especially if banked off the sides of the machine. Whenever they come in contact with the buttons, which depress easily and allow the balls to roll over them, there is an automatic clicking or ringing up of a score on the scoreboard. After generally careening about the playing surface and building up a score on the clicks of the buttons, the balls can score further, depending upon the holes at the foot of the machine into which they drop. Premium scores are registered when and if the player can get certain arrangements of numbers depending upon the buttons contacted and the holes into which the balls drop.

Under Rule 7 of State Regulations No. 20 adopted by this Department, no game or device commonly known as a bagatelle or pinball machine may be allowed in taverns or other licensed premises in New Jersey.

The feature of the machine in question whereby the balls frequently, if not generally, bound and rebound about without any substantial degree of control, automatically clicking up scores and possible combinations when contacting the buttons until the ball eventually loses force and lands in a hole at the foot of the machine, clearly brings the game within the prohibited category of a bagatelle or pinball machine. While there may perhaps be a certain measure of skill in rolling the balls towards certain numbered buttons or holes when such number is needed to fill out a combination for a premium score, this does not eliminate the above described feature. Nor is the case altered by the fact that the balls are hand rolled rather than propelled by a plunger and are larger in size than is usual in the ordinary bagatelle or pinball machine.

Accordingly, I must advise that no licensee in this State may allow the machine in question to be located in his establishment on penalty of having the license suspended or revoked.

Very truly yours,
ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

2. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - ILLICIT LIQUOR - AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 120 DAYS.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against)
)
 WILLIAM ANKLOWITZ,)
 t/a The Rathskeller,)
 129 Albany Street,)
 New Brunswick, N. J.,)
 Holder of Plenary Retail Consumption License C-26 for the fiscal years 1946-47 and 1947-48, issued by the Board of Commissioners of the City of New Brunswick.)

CONCLUSIONS

AND

ORDER

 Charles A. Stanziale, Esq., Attorney for Defendant-licensee.
 William F. Wood, Esq., Appearing for Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

Defendant pleaded non vult to a charge alleging that he possessed illicit alcoholic beverages at his licensed premises, in violation of R.S. 33:1-50.

On April 3, 1947, an agent of the Alcohol Tax Unit, Internal Revenue Service of the United States Treasury Department, seized four 4/5 quart bottles labeled "Kinsey Blended Whiskey", three 4/5 quart bottles labeled "Philadelphia Blended Whiskey", three 4/5 quart bottles labeled "Seagram's Seven Crown Blended Whiskey", one 4/5 quart bottle labeled "Schenley Reserve Blended Whiskey", and one 4/5 quart bottle labeled "Golden Wedding Blended Whiskey", when preliminary tests thereof indicated that the contents of the respective bottles were not genuine as labeled. Subsequent analyses of the contents of the bottles in question by the Federal chemist revealed differences in characteristics between the whiskey described on the labels on said bottles and the whiskey contained in genuine bottles of the respective brands.

Defendant has a previous adjudicated record. Effective January 13, 1947, defendant's license was suspended by the local issuing authority for five days as a result of violation of Rule 1 of State Regulations No. 38. Defendant was also a major stockholder and officer of a corporation, Almac Tavern, which held a plenary retail consumption license at 5 Railroad Plaza, New Brunswick, N. J., which license was suspended on two occasions, once by the local issuing authority and once by the State Commissioner of the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, and then finally revoked by the State Commissioner, effective September 24, 1943.

The present licensee must be held responsible, not only for his previous record, but as well for the serious record of infractions committed by the corporation of which he was a major stockholder and officer.

Under all of the circumstances, and considering the plea entered herein, I shall suspend the defendant's license for a period of one hundred and twenty days. Any further violation may well result in outright revocation of the defendant's license.

Although this proceeding was instituted during the 1946-47 licensing period, it does not abate but remains fully effective against the renewal license for the fiscal year 1947-48. State Regulations No. 16.

Accordingly, it is, on this 9th day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that plenary retail consumption license C-26, issued for the 1947-48 fiscal year by the Board of Commissioners of the City of New Brunswick to William Anklowitz, t/a The Rathskeller, for premises 129 Albany Street, New Brunswick, be and the same is hereby suspended for one hundred and twenty (120) days, commencing at 2 A. M., July 16, 1947, and terminating at 2 A. M., November 13, 1947.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

- 3. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - FALSE STATEMENT IN LICENSE APPLICATION - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES DURING PROHIBITED HOURS IN VIOLATION OF LOCAL ORDINANCE AND REGULATIONS NO. 38 - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BELOW FAIR TRADE MINIMUM - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 40 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against
 DESPINA VAFIADIS,
 663 Ocean Avenue,
 Jersey City 4, N. J.,
 Holder of Plenary Retail Distribution License D-66 for the 1946-47 and 1947-48 licensing years, issued by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Jersey City.

CONCLUSIONS
AND
ORDER.

John J. Meehan, Esq., Attorney for Defendant-licensee.
Edward F. Ambrose, Esq., Appearing for Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

Defendant pleads non vult to charges alleging (1) that she falsely answered a question in her application for her current license, in violation of R.S. 33:1-25; (2) sale of alcoholic beverages on Sunday, May 4, 1947, in violation of a municipal ordinance; (3) sale and delivery of alcoholic beverages in original containers for off-premises consumption on Sunday, May 4, 1947, in violation of Rule 1 of State Regulations No. 38; and (4) sale of a 4/5 quart bottle of Golden Wedding Blended Whiskey below the minimum consumer price, in violation of Rule 6 of State Regulations No. 30.

Defendant failed to disclose in her application for her current license that a license formerly held by her for premises 403 Myrtle Avenue, Irvington, N. J., had been suspended for five days, commencing July 1, 1940.

On Sunday, May 4, 1947, at about 12:50 P. M., an ABC agent purchased six cans of beer from an employee of defendant-licensee. Said sale of alcoholic beverages on Sunday was in violation of Rule 4 of a Resolution adopting Rules and Regulations governing the sale and distribution of alcoholic beverages for plenary retail distribution licensees, passed by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Jersey City on April 18, 1939. Such sale was also in violation of Rule 1 of State Regulations No. 38, which prohibits any such type of sale or activity at any time on Sunday.

On May 19, 1947, an employee of the defendant sold a 4/5 quart bottle of Golden Wedding Blended Whiskey to an ABC investigator for the sum of \$3.45, whereas the established minimum resale price of

this product is \$3.90. Bulletin 751.

Defendant has a prior record. In 1940, her license was suspended five days, effective July 1, 1940, for a Fair Trade violation.

Under all the circumstances, I shall suspend defendant's license for a period of forty days, less five days for the plea, leaving a net suspension of thirty-five days.

Although this proceeding was instituted during the 1946-47 licensing year, it does not abate but remains fully effective against the renewal license for the 1947-48 licensing year. State Regulations No. 16.

Accordingly, it is, on this 10th day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Distribution License D-66, issued for the 1947-48 licensing year by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Jersey City to Despina Vafiadis, for premises 663 Ocean Avenue, Jersey City, be and the same is hereby suspended for a period of thirty-five (35) days, commencing at 9:00 A. M., July 17, 1947, and terminating at 9:00 A. M., August 21, 1947.

ERWIN B. HOCK,
Commissioner.

4. APPELLATE DECISIONS - BILL BLOCH, INC. v. UNION CITY - ORDER REMANDING PROCEEDINGS.

BILL BLOCH, INC.,)
Appellant,)
v.)
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE)
CITY OF UNION CITY,)
Respondent.)
-----)

ON APPEAL FROM
REVOCATION OF LICENSE
ORDER

Louis Steisel, Esq., Attorney for Appellant.
James C. Agnew, Esq., by Cyril J. McCauley, Esq., Attorney for Respondent.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

This matter being opened to the Commissioner by Louis Steisel, Esq., counsel for appellant, in the presence of Cyril J. McCauley, Esq., counsel for respondent.

And it appearing that in disciplinary proceedings instituted by respondent, the appellant herein was found guilty of the charges preferred against it and its license revoked; and

It further appearing that the contents of a letter received from the Commissioner of Alcoholic Beverage Control had been misconstrued by some members of respondent Board in reaching a decision to impose said penalty,

It is, on this 11th day of July, 1947, on motion of Louis Steisel, Esq., counsel for appellant, ORDERED that this cause be

and hereby is remanded to the Board of Commissioners of the City of Union City, and it is further

ORDERED that said Board of Commissioners consider and determine the proper penalty to be imposed herein and forthwith enter an order consistent with said determination.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

5. APPELLATE DECISIONS - BILL BLOCH, INC. v. UNION CITY - ORDER REMANDING PROCEEDINGS.

BILL BLOCH, INC.,)
Appellant,)
v.)
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE)
CITY OF UNION CITY,)
Respondent.)

ON APPEAL FROM
DENIAL OF LICENSE
ORDER

Louis Steisel, Esq., Attorney for Appellant.
James C. Agnew, Esq., by Cyril J. McCauley, Esq., Attorney for Respondent.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

This matter being opened to the Commissioner by Louis Steisel, Esq., counsel for appellant, in the presence of Cyril J. McCauley, Esq., counsel for respondent,

And it appearing that application for renewal of appellant's license for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1947, was denied substantially because of the revocation of the 1946-47 license held by appellant; and an order remanding the case having been entered in the appeal from revocation of the license (decided herewith),

It is, on this 11th day of July, 1947, on motion of Louis Steisel, Esq., counsel for appellant,

ORDERED that the proceedings herein be and hereby are remanded to the Board of Commissioners, the local issuing authority, for their further consideration.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

6. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BELOW FAIR TRADE MINIMUM - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 10 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against)
)
 JACOB AND ETHEL SKOLNICK,)
 t/a E. & J. Liquor Store,)
 5211 Ventnor Avenue,)
 Ventnor City, N. J.,)
)
 Holders of Plenary Retail Distribution License D-2 for the 1946-47 and 1947-48 licensing years, issued by the Common Council of the City of Ventnor City.)

CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

 Jacob and Ethel Skolnick, Defendant-licensees, Pro Ses.
 Edward F. Ambrose, Esq., Appearing for Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

Defendants plead non vult to a charge alleging that they sold six 4/5 quart bottles of Schenley Reserve Blended Whiskey and six 4/5 quart bottles of Three Feathers Reserve Blended Whiskey below the established Fair Trade price, in violation of Rule 6 of State Regulations No. 38.

On June 27, 1947, an ABC agent purchased the liquor in question for the sum of \$43.64, whereas the minimum retail price of said items, as established in Bulletin 765, effective June 3, 1947, was \$48.48.

Defendants have no previous adjudicated record. I shall, therefore, suspend defendants' license for a minimum period of ten days, less five days' remission for the plea entered herein, or a net suspension of five days. Re Mack Drug Co. Inc., Bulletin 695, Item 9.

Although this proceeding was instituted during the 1946-47 licensing period, it does not abate but remains fully effective against the renewal license for the 1947-48 licensing year. State Regulations No. 16.

Accordingly, it is, on this 14th day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Distribution License D-2, issued for the 1947-48 licensing year by the Common Council of the City of Ventnor City to Jacob and Ethel Skolnick, t/a E. & J. Liquor Store, for premises 5211 Ventnor Avenue, Ventnor City, be and the same is hereby suspended for a period of five (5) days, commencing at 9:00 A. M., July 21, 1947, and terminating at 9:00 A. M., July 26, 1947.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

7. APPELLATE DECISIONS - KNEHR v. HOPATCONG.

ADOLPH KNEHR,)
)
 Appellant,)
 v.) ON APPEAL
 BOROUGH COUNCIL OF THE) ORDER
 BOROUGH OF HOPATCONG,)
)
 Respondent.)
 -----)

Morris, Downing & Sherred, Esqs., Attorneys for Appellant.
 Harry R. Walker, Jr., Esq., Attorney for Respondent.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

This is an appeal from the action of respondent which in effect denied appellant's application for a renewal of his plenary retail consumption license for premises located at Indian Trail, Borough of Hopatcong.

It appears from the pleadings herein that, at a meeting of the Borough Council held on June 30, 1947, one councilman moved that the application of appellant for renewal of his license be approved but no one seconded the motion and appellant was advised that his license would not be renewed. On July 1, 1947, appellant duly appealed to the Commissioner from the action of respondent.

It further appears that at a special meeting of respondent held on July 18, 1947, the following resolution was adopted:

"...Be It Resolved, that the governing body of the Borough of Hopatcong respectfully requests the Commissioner of Alcoholic Beverage Control to re-mand this matter to the governing body of the Borough of Hopatcong so that a license may be issued to the aforesaid Adolph Knehr."

No reason appearing to the contrary,

It is, on this 22nd day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that the appeal herein be and the same is hereby re-manded to respondent to proceed in accordance with said resolution.

ERWIN B. HOCK
 Commissioner.

8. APPELLATE DECISIONS - STEGEIL v. SAYREVILLE.

ANDREW S. STEGEIL,)
)
 Appellant,)
 v.) ON APPEAL
 BOROUGH COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH) CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER
 OF SAYREVILLE,)
)
 Respondent.)
 -----)

John Hanson, Jr., Esq. (Thomas L. Hanson, Esq., of Counsel),
 Attorney for Appellant.

Joseph T. Karcher, Esq., by Theodore Appleby, Esq., Attorney for
Respondent.
Sidney Simandl, Esq., Attorney for Objectors.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

On June 6, 1947, the appellant filed his petition of appeal herein from the denial by the respondent on May 7, 1947, of his application for a plenary retail consumption license for premises 921 Main Street, Sayreville, N. J.

The Borough, with a population of 8186 according to the last Federal census, has issued and outstanding 58 consumption licenses. Under the recent limitation law (P.L. 1947, Chapter 94), which became effective on May 15, 1947, "no new plenary retail consumption ... license shall be issued in a municipality unless and until the... number of such licenses existing in the municipality is fewer than one for each one thousand of its population as shown by the last then preceding Federal census." Thus, it is apparent that there now exist in the respondent municipality 50 consumption licenses in excess of the limitation fixed by the new law.

The State Commissioner may not now direct the issuance of a license in violation of the provisions of the cited statute, even though the application therefor was pending and denied by the local issuing authority prior to the effective date of the statute. DePadova v. Little Falls, Bulletin 770, Item 9. Since, in view of the foregoing, the respondent's action must be affirmed, there is no necessity for any consideration of this appeal on the merits.

Accordingly, it is, on this 16th day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that the petition herein be and the same is hereby dismissed.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

9. REFERENDUM (R.S. 33:1-45) - SALE FOR CONSUMPTION ON-PREMISES - CONSEQUENCES OF AFFIRMATIVE OR NEGATIVE VOTE - EFFECT OF NEGATIVE VOTE ON PLENARY RETAIL CONSUMPTION AND CLUB LICENSES.

July 23, 1947

City Council
Bridgeton, N. J.

Gentlemen:

The July 14th issue of the Beverage Retailer Weekly reports that as the result of a petition filed with the City Clerk a referendum will be held in Bridgeton, at the general election in November, on the following question:

"Shall the retail sale of all kinds of alcoholic beverages, for consumption on the licensed premises by the glass or other open receptacle... be permitted in this municipality?"

The indicated newspaper account states: "The Bridgeton Ministerial Association seeks to close the city's 13 taverns but would permit the two package stores and six clubs to continue in business." (According to this Department's records there are 14 plenary retail consumption, 2 plenary retail distribution, and 8 club licenses outstanding in Bridgeton.)

It is not my function or purpose to comment upon the merits of any proposed local option referendum, but it would seem that certain points should be made clear, with respect to the particular proposed referendum, if serious confusion and general misunderstanding are to be avoided. The Commissioner does not comment upon a referendum's merits when he advises of the effect of a "yes" or "no" majority vote. Certainly, an apparent misconception as to the legal effect of a scheduled referendum should be corrected before the referendum is held.

First, it is important to repeat and to note well that the proposed proposition (as reported, and as authorized by Revised Statutes, 33:1-45) is: "Shall the retail sale of all kinds of alcoholic beverages, for consumption on the licensed premises by the glass or other open receptacle...be permitted in this municipality?"

If a majority of the legal voters voting upon the quoted question shall vote "yes", sale for consumption on the licensed premises by the glass or other open receptacle shall be permitted in Bridgeton as heretofore. (Revised Statutes, 33:1-45.)

If a majority of the legal voters voting upon the quoted question shall vote "no", it shall be unlawful for the City Council to issue licenses which shall permit sale for consumption on the licensed premises by the glass or other open receptacle, "and all licenses theretofore issued...which have licensed such prohibited sale shall, to the extent that they permitted such prohibited sale, become void and inoperative thirty days after the date of such vote." (Revised Statutes, 33:1-45.)

A plenary retail consumption license in Bridgeton would not be rendered void by a majority of "no" votes at a referendum held under Revised Statutes, 33:1-45. Such a license now entitles the holder (1) to sell for consumption on the licensed premises by the glass or other open receptacle, and (2) also to sell in original containers for consumption off the licensed premises. (Revised Statutes, 33:1-12(1).) As to the first privilege, a plenary retail consumption license would become void and inoperative as a result of a majority of "no" votes at the indicated referendum. The second privilege would remain in full force and effect and such license, and any renewal or transfer thereof, would permit only sales in original containers for consumption off the licensed premises. Thus, though nominally a plenary retail consumption license, the license privilege would be restricted to that granted a plenary retail distribution licensee. (Re Dennis, Bulletin 65, Item 11.)

Neither Revised Statutes, 33:1-45 nor any other of the five local option sections of our Alcoholic Beverage Law excepts club licenses, or mentions club licenses. In the event of a majority of "no" votes at a referendum held under Revised Statutes, 33:1-45, the City's club licensees would be prohibited from selling by the glass or other open receptacle for consumption on the licensed premises. And a club license does not permit sales for consumption off the licensed premises.

Very truly yours,
ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
1060 Broad Street Newark 2, NJ.

JULY 24, 1947

10. NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL LICENSES ISSUED AND AMOUNT OF FEES PAID FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1ST 1946 TO JUNE 30, 1947 AS REPORTED TO THE COMMISSIONER OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BY THE LOCAL ISSUING AUTHORITIES PURSUANT TO R.S. 33:1-19.

C L A S S I F I C A T I O N O F L I C E N S E S

| County | Plenary Retail Consumption | | Plenary Retail Distribution | | Club No. Issued | Limited Retail Distribution | | Seasonal Retail Consumption | | Number Surren- dered Expired | Number Licen- ses in Effect | Total Fees Paid | |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | No. Issued | Fees Paid | No. Issued | Fees Paid | | No. Issued | Fees Paid | No. Issued | Fees Paid | | | | |
| Atlantic | 492 | \$193,265.16 | 65 | \$ 21,776.90 | 13 | \$ 1,137.28 | | | | 1 | 569 | \$216,179.34 | |
| Bergen | 822 | 283,912.80 | 289 | 70,195.78 | 51 | 5,036.93 | 35 | \$ 1,438.98 | 10 | \$ 2,233.52 | 5 | 1202 | 362,818.01 |
| Burlington | 185 | 65,065.37 | 23 | 6,155.83 | 36 | 4,133.68 | 1 | 25.00 | | | 1 | 249 | 75,379.88 |
| Camden | 456 | 215,581.26 | 78 | 27,244.37 | 64 | 5,877.31 | | | 8 | 2,025.80 | 6 | 600 | 250,728.74 |
| Cape May | 132 | 62,242.83 | 11 | 3,505.00 | 12 | 1,200.00 | | | | | | 155 | 66,947.83 |
| Cumberland | 81 | 30,936.57 | 12 | 3,100.00 | 28 | 3,648.56 | | | | | | 121 | 37,685.13 |
| Essex | 1373 | 732,343.64 | 349 | 174,402.79 | 90 | 11,315.69 | 29 | 1,323.11 | | | | 1846 | 919,385.23 |
| Gloucester | 107 | 30,700.00 | 13 | 2,484.40 | 11 | 741.52 | | | | | | 131 | 33,925.92 |
| Hudson | 1575 | 679,974.33 | 299 | 114,712.82 | 64 | 7,533.47 | 60 | 2,306.58 | | | 8 | 1990 | 803,527.20 |
| Hunterdon | 80 | 21,182.07 | 3 | 558.08 | 4 | 500.00 | | | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 87 | 22,340.15 |
| Mercer | 425 | 181,994.07 | 50 | 12,549.10 | 42 | 5,282.75 | | | 1 | 101.06 | 1 | 517 | 199,926.98 |
| Middlesex | 639 | 245,295.98 | 70 | 16,962.34 | 47 | 2,954.38 | 4 | 157.19 | | | 1 | 759 | 265,369.89 |
| Monmouth | 555 | 240,894.95 | 103 | 32,571.19 | 28 | 3,121.19 | 7 | 261.16 | 49 | 18,077.07 | 26 | 716 | 294,925.56 |
| Morris | 357 | 109,246.11 | 38 | 24,333.72 | 33 | 3,214.82 | 1 | 25.00 | 12 | 2,009.72 | 7 | 484 | 138,829.57 |
| Ocean | 187 | 91,163.20 | 40 | 15,476.00 | 11 | 956.99 | | | | | | 238 | 107,596.19 |
| Passaic | 888 | 342,432.69 | 163 | 44,948.96 | 33 | 4,000.85 | 12 | 500.00 | | | 2 | 1094 | 391,882.50 |
| Salem | 51 | 16,334.52 | 6 | 785.00 | 9 | 775.00 | | | | | | 66 | 17,894.52 |
| Somerset | 188 | 66,637.00 | 34 | 7,606.44 | 16 | 1,256.42 | | | | | 1 | 237 | 75,499.86 |
| Sussex | 171 | 39,060.05 | 13 | 2,000.00 | 4 | 210.00 | | | 2 | 405.01 | 1 | 189 | 41,665.06 |
| Union | 547 | 277,226.03 | 139 | 53,751.20 | 56 | 6,348.55 | 17 | 809.44 | 2 | 750.00 | 3 | 758 | 338,885.22 |
| Warren | 146 | 39,716.29 | 17 | 3,093.12 | 23 | 2,392.81 | 1 | 200.00 | 3 | 313.19 | 2 | 188 | 45,715.41 |
| Totals | 9462 | \$3,964,194.92 | 1870 | \$638,213.04 | 675 | \$71,638.20 | 167 | \$7,046.46 | 88 | \$26,015.37 | 66 | 12196 | \$4,707,107.99 |

Erwin B. Hock, Commissioner.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward K. Leuzarder, Adm. Inspector

11. APPELLATE DECISIONS - UNION COUNTY RETAIL LIQUOR STORES ASSOCIATION v. ROSELLE AND EIDELMAN.

| | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| UNION COUNTY RETAIL LIQUOR STORES ASSOCIATION, |) | |
| |) | |
| Appellant, |) | ON APPEAL |
| |) | |
| v. |) | CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER |
| |) | |
| MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF ROSELLE, and LEONARD EIDELMAN, t/a LEN'S LIQUOR STORE, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondents. |) | |
| ----- |) | |

Julius R. Pollatschek, Esq., Attorney for Appellant.
 Guy W. Gordon, Esq., Attorney for Respondent Mayor and Council.
 William V. Heim, Esq., Attorney for Respondent Leonard Eidelman.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

This appeal is from the granting of a plenary retail distribution license to respondent Leonard Eidelman for premises at 1169 St. George Avenue, Roselle. Since this license was granted prior to May 15, 1947, the provisions of P. L. 1947, Chapter 94, do not apply.

Five grounds for reversal were alleged but evidence was presented on but one question - that of public convenience and necessity. The other grounds may be deemed to have been abandoned, and I shall consider only the question of public convenience and necessity.

The evidence herein discloses that the Borough's population, according to the 1940 Federal census, was 13,597; that before respondent Eidelman's license was granted on February 27, 1947, there were eleven plenary retail distribution and nine plenary retail consumption licenses in Roselle; that the Borough is essentially a suburban, residential community; that respondent's premises are the only licensed premises in the block; that issuance of respondent's license increased the number of distribution licenses in the particular business section from five to six but that the section is the largest and most active retail business center of the Borough; and that a petition favoring the granting of respondent's license was signed by more than four hundred neighbors, including the operators of all stores in the vicinity except other alcoholic beverage licensees.

The determination as to the number of licensed places to be permitted in any particular area is a matter confided, in the first instance, to the sound discretion of the issuing authority. Segal, et al. v. Clifton, Bulletin 732, Item 5 and bulletin items cited therein. The burden to show that an issuing authority's discretion was unreasonably exercised rests with the appellant. Segal, et al. v. Clifton, supra.

The State Commissioner's function in appeals of this type is not to substitute his personal opinion for the issuing authority's but rather to determine whether reasonable cause exists for its

opinion and, if so, to affirm. Mulcahy et al. v. Maplewood, Bulletin 658, Item 4 and bulletin items cited therein.

After a full consideration of all the evidence -- particularly the business character of the neighborhood, and the apparent fact that the nature and characteristics of the municipality were deemed to present a need for more exclusively package store establishments than retail consumption licenses -- I cannot hold that the action of respondent issuing authority was so unreasonable as to require a reversal of its action.

The action of respondent Mayor and Council is affirmed.

Accordingly, it is, on this 23rd day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that the appeal herein be and the same is hereby dismissed.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

12. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS - ILLICIT LIQUOR - PREVIOUS RECORD - LICENSE SUSPENDED FOR 25 DAYS, LESS 5 FOR PLEA.

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings against
LOUISE VOLINO & ANN MAHEL TZ,
317 Market Street,
Paterson, New Jersey,
Holders of Plenary Retail Consumption License C-340, issued by the Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Paterson.

CONCLUSIONS
AND
ORDER

Louise Volino & Ann Maheltz, Defendant-Licensees, Pro Ses.
William F. Wood, Esq., Appearing for Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

BY THE COMMISSIONER:

The defendants pleaded non vult to a charge alleging that, on June 12, 1947, they possessed three bottles of illicit alcoholic beverages, to wit, two 4/5 quart bottles of "Mount Vernon Brand Rye Whiskey A Blend", and a 4/5 quart bottle of "Old Jordan Kentucky Straight Bourbon Whiskey", all of which were not genuine as labeled, in violation of R.S. 33:1-50.

The previous record of these defendants includes a net penalty of twenty days, imposed in May, 1945, upon a non vult plea to charges of sales of alcoholic beverages and failing to keep the interior of the licensed premises open to public view during prohibited hours, and also hindering an investigation. See Bulletin 666, Item 11.

The penalty herein will be fixed at twenty-five days, less five days for the plea, leaving a net penalty of twenty days. Cf. Re English, Bulletin 752, Item 8.

Accordingly, it is, on this 23rd day of July, 1947,

ORDERED that Plenary Retail Consumption License C-340, issued by the Board of Alcoholic Beverage Control of the City of Paterson to Louise Volino and Ann Maheltz, 317 Market Street, Paterson, be and the same is hereby suspended for a period of twenty (20) days, commencing at 3 A. M., July 29, 1947, and terminating at 3 A. M., August 18, 1947

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

13. STATE LICENSES - NEW APPLICATIONS FILED.

Frontera Products Corp.
139-41 Main Street (also designated as 5 Needs Lane)
Lodi, N. J.

Application for Plenary Winery License with retail privileges
filed July 16, 1947.

Charles Pierrot
S.E. Cor. Union Ave. & Adamsville Rd.
Bridgewater Twp., N. J.

Application for State Beverage Distributor's License filed
July 16, 1947.

Rudolph Bonanni
t/a Bond Beverages
Rear 40 College St.
Trenton, N. J.

Application filed July 17, 1947 for transfer of State Beverage
Distributor's License SBD-4 from Carl Nami.

Edmund Anthony Wolkowicz
Hurffville Road
Almonesson, N. J.

Application filed July 18, 1947 for State Beverage Distribu-
tor's License.

Ralph A. Church and Michael Vulpio, Jr.
t/a Ralph Church's Beer Distributing Agency
714 Third St.
Florence, N. J.

Application for State Beverage Distributor's License filed
July 18, 1947.

ERWIN B. HOCK
Commissioner.

14.

RECAPITULATION OF ACTIVITY BY QUARTERLY PERIODS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1946-47

| | 1st Quarter | | 2nd Quarter | | 3rd Quarter | | 4th Quarter | | Total |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|---------|
| | July | Aug. Sept. | Oct. | Nov. Dec. | Jan. Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May June | |
| <u>ARRESTS:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Licenseses and employees | | 6 | | 2 | | 7 | | 19 | 34 |
| Boofleggers | | 46 | | 34 | | 27 | | 19 | 126 |
| Personating an ABC Officer | | - | | 1 | | 1 | | - | 2 |
| Total number of persons arrested | | 52 | | 37 | | 35 | | 38 | 162 |
| <u>SEIZURES:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of stills seized | | 3 | | 11 | | 2 | | 2 | 18 |
| Total number of motor vehicles seized | | 12 | | 7 | | 1 | | 6 | 26 |
| Brewed malt alcoholic beverages (beer, ale, etc.)-gallons | | 512.63 | | 65.43 | | 116.69 | | 2175.63 | 2870.38 |
| Illicit alcohol - gallons | | 390.43 | | 13.34 | | 126.12 | | 28.65 | 558.54 |
| Wine - gallons | | 241.02 | | 539.61 | | 345.20 | | 5888.48 | 7014.31 |
| Distilled alcoholic beverages (whiskéy, brandy, etc.)-gallons | | 424.97 | | 199.85 | | 14.07 | | -- | 638.89 |
| Mash - gallons | | 7.14 | | 665.00 | | 4464.02 | | 300. | 5436.16 |
| <u>RETAIL LICENSEES:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of premises inspected | | 3378 | | 3218 | | 2726 | | 2954 | 12276 |
| Total number of premises where alcoholic beverages were gauged | | 2099 | | 2275 | | 2217 | | 2061 | 8652 |
| Total number of bottles gauged | | 29425 | | 34755 | | 36421 | | 32271 | 132872 |
| Total number of premises where violations were found | | 426 | | 360 | | 210 | | 168 | 1164 |
| Total number of violations found | | 557 | | 506 | | 292 | | 210 | 1565 |
| <u>Type of violations found:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Regulations 38 sign not posted | | 123 | | 71 | | 56 | | 50 | 300 |
| Illicit liquor-bottles | | 90 | | 135 | | 59 | | 50 | 334 |
| Unqualified employees | | 168 | | 71 | | 69 | | 35 | 343 |
| Other mercantile business | | 10 | | 35 | | 26 | | 23 | 94 |
| Probable fronts | | 20 | | 19 | | 15 | | 8 | 62 |
| Improper beer taps | | 11 | | 15 | | 1 | | 5 | 32 |
| Gambling devices | | 51 | | 68 | | 26 | | 10 | 155 |
| Price pamphlet not displayed | | 33 | | 11 | | 5 | | 1 | 50 |
| Disposal permit necessary | | 27 | | 12 | | 16 | | 4 | 59 |
| Prohibited signs | | 16 | | 51 | | 7 | | 2 | 76 |
| Other violations | | 8 | | 18 | | 12 | | 24 | 62 |
| <u>STATE LICENSEES:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Premises inspected | | 20 | | 49 | | 29 | | 30 | 128 |
| License applications investigated | | 69 | | 65 | | 65 | | 816 | 1015 |
| <u>COMPLAINTS:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Complaints assigned for investigation | | 1228 | | 854 | | 1061 | | 881 | 4024 |
| Complaints investigated, reviewed and closed | | 1171 | | 1145 | | 948 | | 873 | 4137 |
| Investigations completed, not closed administratively | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | 10* |
| Investigations assigned, not yet completed | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | 240* |
| <u>LABORATORY:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis made | | 365 | | 459 | | 327 | | 354 | 1505 |
| "Shake-up" cases (alcohol water and artificial coloring) | | 32 | | 24 | | 21 | | 16 | 93 |
| Liquor found to be not genuine as labeled | | 88 | | 149 | | 61 | | 42 | 340 |
| <u>IDENTIFICATION BUREAU:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Criminal fingerprint identifications made | | 57 | | 65 | | 45 | | 50 | 217 |
| Persons fingerprinted for non-criminal purposes | | 743 | | 537 | | 574 | | 742 | 2596 |
| Identification contacts made with other enforcement agencies | | 762 | | 590 | | 474 | | 644 | 2470 |
| Motor vehicle identifications via N.J. State Police Teletype | | 50 | | 34 | | 27 | | 19 | 130 |

*Pending at end of fiscal year

| | 1st Quarter Jul. Aug. Sept. | | | 2nd Quarter Oct. Nov. Dec. | | | 3rd Quarter Jan. Feb. Mar. | | | 4th Quarter Apr. May June | | | Total |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases transmitted to municipalities | 49 | | | 48 | | | 32 | | | 44 | | | 173 |
| <u>Violations involved:</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sale to minors | 11 | | | 7 | | | 8 | | | 15 | | | 41 |
| Sale during prohibited hours | 18 | | | 16 | | | 14 | | | 14 | | | 62 |
| Permitting bookmaking | 5 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 17 |
| Permitting brawls | - | | | 2 | | | - | | | 2 | | | 4 |
| Possessing contraceptives | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 |
| Punchboards | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Sale outside scope of license | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Lewdness | 1 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 5 |
| Mislabeled beer taps | 8 | | | 10 | | | - | | | 2 | | | 20 |
| Fraud in application | 1 | | | 2 | | | - | | | - | | | 3 |
| Unqualified employees | 3 | | | 2 | | | - | | | - | | | 5 |
| Pin-ball machines | - | | | 3 | | | - | | | - | | | 3 |
| Gambling (cards) | 2 | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 3 |
| Hostesses | 1 | | | 2 | | | - | | | - | | | 3 |
| Sale to non-members by club | 2 | | | 1 | | | 4 | | | - | | | 7 |
| Gambling (dice) | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Gambling (numbers) | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Noise | 1 | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 2 |
| Drinks served other than ordered | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Hindering investigation | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Licensee working while drunk | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Persons of ill-repute on premises | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Sale to intoxicated persons | - | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | 4 |
| Conducting business as nuisance | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Permitting prostitutes on premises | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Gambling | - | | | - | | | 3 | | | 1 | | | 4 |
| Sale on Election Day | - | | | - | | | - | | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Cases instituted at Department | 70 | | | 66 | | | 70 | | | 49* | | | 255 |
| (5* cases also involve cancellation proceedings) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Violations involved:</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fraud and Front | 14 | | | 10 | | | 6 | | | 15* | | | 45 |
| Possessing illicit liquor | 31 | | | 31 | | | 27 | | | 11 | | | 100 |
| Sale under fair trade | 1 | | | 8 | | | 11 | | | 6 | | | 26 |
| Purchase from improper source | 11 | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | 2 | | | 17 |
| Sale outside scope of license | 7 | | | 3 | | | 2 | | | - | | | 12 |
| Number writing | 1 | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Improper transportation | 1 | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 2 |
| Minor holding more than 10% of stock | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Sale contrary to conditions of permit | 1 | | | 2 | | | - | | | - | | | 3 |
| Mislabeled beer taps | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Business conducted as nuisance | - | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | - | | | 3 |
| Aiding illegal activity | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Improper bottling by retailer | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | - | | | 2 |
| Immoral activity | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | - | | | 2 |
| Licensee working while drunk | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Failure to afford view into premises during prohibited hours | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Bookmaking | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Sale of lottery tickets | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Permitting lottery activity | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Sale to intoxicated persons | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 2 | | | 3 |
| Loss of Federal Permit | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Employment of Solicitor by Retailer | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Possessing chilled beer (DL Licensee) | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Sale at discount | - | | | - | | | 6 | | | - | | | 6 |
| Permitting hostesses on premises | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Possessing contraceptives on premises | - | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 |
| Possessing indecent advertising matter | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Transportation in unlicensed vehicle | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Slot machines | 1 | | | 0 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | 4 |
| Issuance in excess of quota | 2 | | | 0 | | | - | | | - | | | 2 |
| Storage off licensed premises | 2 | | | - | | | - | | | - | | | 2 |
| Sale during prohibited hours | 3 | | | 4 | | | 7 | | | 7 | | | 21 |
| Sale to minors | 4 | | | 9 | | | 8 | | | 3 | | | 24 |
| Sale to non-members by clubs | - | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | | 7 |
| Filing false tax report | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Permitting gambling | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Unqualified employees | 4 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | 10 |
| Hindering investigation | 2 | | | 3 | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | 8 |
| Brawls | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | - | | | 3 |
| Cases brought by municipalities on own initiative and reported to Department | 14 | | | 10 | | | 36 | | | 16 | | | 76 |
| <u>Violations involved:</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sale to minors | 5 | | | 4 | | | 20 | | | 8 | | | 37 |
| Employing unregistered bartender | - | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Hindering investigation | - | | | - | | | 5 | | | 2 | | | 7 |
| Permitting bookmaking | 1 | | | - | | | - | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Permitting brawls | 3 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 14 |
| Sale during prohibited hours | 3 | | | 2 | | | 9 | | | 2 | | | 16 |
| Sale to intoxicated person | - | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | 1 | | | 5 |
| Premises conducted as nuisance | 1 | | | - | | | 3 | | | 1 | | | 5 |
| Inadequate view into premises during closing hours | 1 | | | - | | | 3 | | | - | | | 4 |
| Persons of ill-repute on premises | 1 | | | - | | | 2 | | | - | | | 3 |
| Licensee working while drunk | 1 | | | 1 | | | 4 | | | - | | | 6 |

| | 1st Quarter | | 2nd Quarter | | 3rd Quarter | | 4th Quarter | | Total |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------|
| | July | Aug. Sept. | Oct. | Nov. Dec. | Jan. Feb. | Mar. | Apr. May | June | |
| <u>DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED: (CONT'D)</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases brought by municipalities and reported to Department: | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Violations involved:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Permitting prostitutes on premises | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Noise | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Failure to advise issuing authority | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| of change of facts | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Unqualified employees | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| Permitting gambling | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Furthering illegal activity | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Permitting hostesses on premises | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Permitting persons of ill-repute on premises | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Permitting known criminals on premises | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| <u>CANCELLATION PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED:</u> | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| <u>Violations involved:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance in excess of quota | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Minor holding more than 10% of stock | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Fraud and front | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Licensee unqualified as to citizenship | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Premises not qualified - other mercantile business conducted thereon | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| <u>HEARINGS HELD AT DEPARTMENT:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of hearings held: | 166 | 109 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 596 |
| Appeals | 50 | 10 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 165 |
| Disciplinary proceedings | 60 | 65 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 257 |
| Eligibility | 22 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 91 |
| Seizures | 25 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 49 |
| Application for license | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 31 |
| Tax revocations | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 11 |
| <u>PERMITS ISSUED:</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Total number of permits issued | 6643 | 4129 | 2639 | 2639 | 2639 | 2591 | 2591 | 2591 | 16002 |
| Employment | 2023 | 519 | 346 | 346 | 346 | 353 | 353 | 353 | 3241 |
| Solicitors | 2357 | 258 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 384 | 384 | 384 | 3470 |
| Social affairs | 796 | 1057 | 883 | 883 | 883 | 1195 | 1195 | 1195 | 3951 |
| Disposal of alcoholic beverages | 512 | 485 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 418 | 418 | 418 | 1930 |
| Special wine | 106 | 1185 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1688 |
| Miscellaneous permits | 849 | 325 | 328 | 328 | 328 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 1742 |

Erwin B. Hock

ERWIN B. HOCK
COMMISSIONER