

(c) When notice of termination is served following the last day of the working test period pursuant to N.J.A.C. 4A:2-4.1(c), the working test period shall end on the date of service of the notice.

(d) Persons appointed to entry level law enforcement, correction officer, juvenile detention officer and firefighter titles shall serve a 12-month working test period. A law enforcement title is one that encompasses use of full police powers.

1. In local service, law enforcement officers who are required by N.J.S.A. 52:17B-66 et seq. (Police Training Act) to complete a police training course shall not begin their working test period until notification is received by the appointing authority from the Police Training Commission of the successful completion of the police training course. However, major disciplinary procedures applicable to employees serving in a working test period (see N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2) shall also be applicable to such officers from the date of appointment until completion of police training. Upon successful completion of the working test period, the date of appointment from the eligible list shall be recorded as the date of regular appointment.

i. Law enforcement officers who have successfully completed the police training course prior to appointment shall begin their working test period on the date of regular appointment.

2. Appeals from failure to successfully complete the police training course shall be in accordance with procedures established by the Police Training Commission. See N.J.A.C. 13:1-11.

(e) An approved leave of absence including a furlough extension leave or a voluntary furlough shall extend the completion of the working test period for a period of time equal to that leave or voluntary furlough.

1. A paid leave of absence for a correction officer or juvenile detention officer for the purpose of training required by N.J.S.A. 52:17B-68.1 shall not extend the length of the working test period unless the course in which the appointee is enrolled is scheduled to end after the one year period.

Amended by R.1989 d.569, effective November 6, 1989.

See: 21 N.J.R. 1766(a), 21 N.J.R. 3448(b).

In (d): added "juvenile detention officer."

In (d)1: added text, "However . . . regular appointment." Added 1. to subsection (e) regarding a paid leave of absence.

Amended by R.1993 d.270, effective June 7, 1993.

See: 25 N.J.R. 1085(b), 25 N.J.R. 2509(a).

Revised (b)2.

Amended by R.1995 d.12, effective January 3, 1995.

See: 26 N.J.R. 4126(a), 27 N.J.R. 145(a).

Case Notes

Working test period extended 30 days to compensate for four weeks of approved leave and vacation. *Regrut v. Warren County*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 460.

Food service worker on approved medical leave was entitled to additional working test period. *Singletary v. Bergen Pines County Hospital*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 370.

Working test period for public employee was extended by approved leave of absence. *Wilczynski v. Water Pollution Control*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 378, reversed 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 300.

Food service worker on approved medical leave was entitled to additional working test period. *Singletary v. Bergen Pines County Hospital*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 370.

Supervisor was entitled to two-month extension of second working test period. *McClellan v. Department of Community Affairs*, 94 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 19.

4A:4-5.3 Progress reports

(a) The appointing authority shall prepare a progress report on the employee at the end of two months and a final report at the conclusion of the working test period. If the Commissioner has extended the working test period in State service pursuant to N.J.A.C. 4A:4-5.2(b)2, the appointing authority shall also prepare a progress report at the end of five months and a final report at the conclusion of the extended working test period.

(b) For entry level law enforcement, correction officer and firefighter titles, the appointing authority shall prepare a progress report on the employee at the end of six months and a final report at the conclusion of the working test period.

(c) The appointing authority shall furnish the employee with a copy of all reports.

(d) In State service, the appointing authority shall:

1. Retain all reports for an employee in his or her individual personnel file;

2. When an employee is being separated or returned to his or her permanent title due to unsatisfactory performance, submit to the Department of Personnel, within five days following the last day of the working test period, copies of all progress reports and the final report and the written notice of separation or return to his or her permanent title;

3. Retain all progress reports and related material for the length of an employee's service and for six years following his or her separation from State service, if applicable; and

4. Retain for auditing any other records so identified by the Department of Personnel.

(e) In local service, the appointing authority shall furnish working test period progress reports to the Department of Personnel upon request.

Amended by R.1993 d.270, effective June 7, 1993.

See: 25 N.J.R. 1085(b), 25 N.J.R. 2509(a).

Revised (c); added new (d) and (e).

Case Notes

Evaluation of probationary police officer's performance during working test period was deficient. *Love v. Irvington Township Police Department*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 482.

Failure to give employee written progress report during working test period; bad faith. *Sokolowsky v. Township of Freehold Dept. of Code Enforcement*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 155.

Employee was entitled to new working test period. *Richardson v. Department of Corrections, New Jersey State Prison*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 63.

4A:4-5.4 Working test period appeals

(a) An employee may be separated for unsatisfactory performance at the end of the working test period. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-4 for procedures.

(b) An employee may be disciplined during the working test period. See N.J.A.C. 4A:2-2 and 3 for procedures.

Case Notes

Improperly terminated public employee with some work deficiencies was entitled to new working test period instead of permanent appointment to position. *Saleem v. Department of Citizen Services*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 204.

4A:4-5.5 Restoration to eligible list or former title

(a) An employee who, either during or at the end of a working test period, resigns in good standing or is separated due to unsatisfactory performance may, upon request, be restored to an eligible list, if the Commissioner determines that the employee is suitable for appointment to another position.

1. The Commissioner may consider:
 - i. Whether the list can be certified to another appointing authority;
 - ii. The recommendation of the employee's former appointing authority; and
 - iii. Any other relevant factors.
2. Any employee who has been removed for disciplinary reasons shall not be restored to an eligible list.
3. Any employee who has filed an appeal pursuant to N.J.A.C. 4A:2-4 shall have his or her request for restoration held in abeyance pending the appeal.

(b) An employee who is laid off during the working test period shall be restored to the eligible list from which he or she was appointed.

(c) A permanent employee serving a working test period in another title shall continue to accrue seniority in his or her permanent title for the duration of the working test period. See N.J.A.C. 4A:4-1.9 for procedures on restoration to a former title.

Amended by R.1989 d.570, effective November 6, 1989.
See: 21 N.J.R. 2429(a), 21 N.J.R. 3451(a).

Added new (b) and relettered old (b) as new (c).
Amended by R.1990 d.553, effective November 19, 1990.
See: 22 N.J.R. 2629(a), 22 N.J.R. 3482(b).

Provision insures that permanent employee accrues seniority in permanent title during working test period in another title.

SUBCHAPTER 6. EXAMINATION AND SELECTION DISQUALIFICATION AND APPEALS

4A:4-6.1 Examination and selection disqualification

(a) A person may be denied examination eligibility or appointment when he or she:

1. Lacks the job requirements;
2. Is ineligible, by law, for employment in the title;
3. Is physically or psychologically unfit to perform effectively the duties of the title. However, an injury incurred in the armed forces shall not be considered a disqualification unless the Commissioner considers the condition incapacitating;
4. Has failed to pass examination procedures;
5. Has been removed from the public service for disciplinary reasons after an opportunity for a hearing;
6. Has made a false statement of any material fact or attempted any deception or fraud in any part of the selection or appointment process;
7. Has a prior employment history which relates adversely to the title; or
8. Has failed to pay the required application processing fee in a timely manner, or for open competitive examination, has failed to provide documentation of exemption from the application processing fee in a timely manner; or
9. Other sufficient reasons.

(b) Except where precluded by law, a person who is disqualified pursuant to (a)5 and 7 above may, for good cause, be admitted to an examination and, with the appointing authority's concurrence, certified for appointment.

(c) Any action specified in this section shall be effective upon receipt of written notice of disqualification.

Amended by R.1993 d.270, effective June 7, 1993.
See: 25 N.J.R. 1085(b), 25 N.J.R. 2509(a).

Added new (a)8; redesignated (a)8 to (a)9.

Law Review and Journal Commentaries

Arrests—Civil Service—Police. *Judith Nallin*, 133 N.J.L.J. No. 6, 57 (1993).

Case Notes

Arrest justifies removal of applicant's name from eligibility list where circumstances surrounding arrest adversely relate to the employment sought, and agency must state specifically its reasons for removal. *Tharpe v. City of Newark Police Dept.*, 261 N.J.Super. 401, 619 A.2d 228 (A.D.1992).

Remand was required where administrative record from Merit System Board did not disclose basis for Board's equation of police officer candidate's personality traits with finding that candidate was mentally unfit. *Matter of Vey*, 124 N.J. 534, 591 A.2d 1333 (1991).

Removal of provisional juvenile detention officer from eligible list because of prior employment history was improper without hearing by Merit System Board. *Matter of Wiggins*, 242 N.J.Super. 342, 576 A.2d 932 (A.D.1990).

Modification of removal to resignation in good standing was warranted where employee underwent successful rehabilitation after arrest on drug charges. *Beachum v. Vineland City Board of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 312.

Fact that drug screening guidelines were not strictly followed during unannounced screening did not require removal of positive test result from state police recruit's record. In the *Matter of the Appeal of Wayne Paterno*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (POL) 5.

Modification of removal to resignation in good standing was warranted where employee underwent successful rehabilitation after arrest on drug charges. *Beachum v. Vineland City Board of Education*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 312.

Fact that drug screening guidelines were not strictly followed during unannounced screening did not require removal of positive test result from state police recruit's record. In the *Matter of the Appeal of Wayne Paterno*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (POL) 5.

Removal of dismissed provisional police officer's name from eligibility list was justified by poor past performance. *Grafje v. Hudson County Sheriff*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 476.

Advanced information about contents of promotional examination disqualified public employee from eligibility for promotion. *Motiani v. State Department of Transportation*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 121.

Removal of assistant engineer traffic's name from list for senior engineer traffic appropriate; employee attempted to get knowledge about examination. *Motiani v. State Department of Transportation*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 121.

Removal from eligibility list for fire lieutenant not warranted. *Brown v. City of Gloucester*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 464.

History of erratic emotional instability warranted removal of applicant's name from eligible list for family service specialist position. *Calhoun v. Division of Youth and Family Services*, 93 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 268.

County was justified in removing applicant's name from open competitive list. *Strasser v. Camden County*, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 497.

4A:4-6.2 Actions against disqualified persons

(a) A disqualification under N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.1 may result in:

1. Rejection of examination application;
2. Refusal to test an individual;
3. Refusal to place a candidate's name on an eligible list;
4. Refusal to certify an eligible's name;
5. Removal of an eligible's name from the eligible list;
6. Removal from employment; or

7. Other appropriate action.

(b) Major disciplinary procedures shall be applicable to removal of an employee who is permanent or serving in a working test period.

Case Notes

Remand was required where record did not disclose basis for agency's equation of police officer candidate's personality traits with finding that candidate was mentally unfit. *Matter of Vey*, 124 N.J. 534, 591 A.2d 1333 (1991).

Process servers have no statutory right to tenure (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-8.14). *New Jersey District Court Assoc., Inc., v. New Jersey Superior Court*, 205 N.J.Super. 582, 501 A.2d 596 (App.Div. 1985) affirmed 208 N.J.Super. 527, 506 A.2d 742 (1986), certification denied 104 N.J. 386, 517 A.2d 393, certiorari denied 107 S.Ct. 1289, 479 U.S. 1086, 94 L.Ed.2d 146.

Absent contractual, statutory or implied right to continued employment, employment termination invokes no substantive due process protection of a property interest (citing former rule N.J.A.C. 4:1-8.14). *N.J. District Court Associates, Inc., v. N.J. Superior Court*, 205 N.J.Super. 582, 501 A.2d 596 (Law Div.1985) affirmed 209 N.J.Super. 527, 506 A.2d 742 (App.Div.1986), certification denied 104 N.J. 386, 517 A.2d 393, certiorari denied 107 S.Ct. 1289, 479 U.S. 1086, 94 L.Ed.2d 146.

Interest in liberty protected by due process clause; right to post-termination evidentiary hearing (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-8.14). *Campbell v. Atlantic Cty. Bd. of Freeholders*, 145 N.J.Super. 316, 367 A.2d 912 (Law.Div.1976) affirmed per curiam 158 N.J.Super. 14, 385 A.2d 311 (App.Div.1978).

Appellant suspended and subsequently removed from title of Senior Systems Analyst reinstated to duties appropriate to his permanent title; appointing authority failed to support charges of falsifying residency address, falsely signing affidavit with intent to defraud county and failing to complete assignments timely and correctly (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-6.4). *Valluzzi v. Bergen County*, 10 N.J.A.R. 89 (1988), adopted—*Merit System Bd.*, App.Div. A-3269-87, 3/3/88.

Discretion of Bd. of Trustees to refund contributions to pension fund after employee was dismissed for delinquent performance of his duties. Refund granted (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-8.14). *Maddox v. Bd. of Trustees*, 2 N.J.A.R. 372 (1980).

4A:4-6.3 Examination and selection appeals

(a) Appeals may be made on:

1. Examination items, scoring and administration (see N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.4);
2. Disqualification for medical or psychological reasons (see N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.5); and
3. Examination related matters other than (a)1 and (a)2 above (see N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.6) including:
 - i. Disqualifications under N.J.A.C. 4A:4-6.1;
 - ii. List extension or revival; and
 - iii. Denial of veterans preference.

(b) The appellant shall have the burden of proof, except for medical or psychological disqualification appeals, where the appointing authority shall have the burden of proof.

(c) Unless ordered by the Commissioner, the filing of an appeal shall not affect the promulgation of a list, a certifica-

tion or an appointment. See N.J.A.C. 4A:4-1.4 for conditional appointments.

(d) A person who has filed an appeal concerning an examination disqualification may, where appropriate, be admitted to the examination. However, the person's examination results will not be processed while the review is pending.

(e) All appeals shall be in writing and include the examination title and symbol number where appropriate, the action being appealed, the specific objections and requested relief.

(f) A party to an appeal must serve copies of all materials on every other party.

Amended by R.1989 d.569, effective November 6, 1989.
See: 21 N.J.R. 1766(a), 21 N.J.R. 3448(b).

In (a)1: Made stylistic revisions.

Case Notes

Merit System Board bears burden of establishing job-validity of its psychological tests. Matter of Vey, 124 N.J. 534, 591 A.2d 1333 (1991).

Appointing authority has discretion under "rule of three" statute to appoint any of top three candidates while statute mandating preference for residents in the event of tie scores were pertinent in placing three people on list (citing former N.J.A.C. 4:1-9.6; 4:2-9.1; 4:3-9.1). Gallagher v. Irvington, 190 N.J.Super. 394, 463 A.2d 969 (App.Div. 1983).

Claim of failure to promote due to anti-union animus. City of Hackensack v. Winner, 162 N.J.Super. 1, 392 A.2d 187 (App.Div.1978). Modified and affirmed 82 N.J. 1, 410 A.2d 1146 (1980).

Jurisdiction, Civil Service Commission and PERC. City of Hackensack v. Winner, 162 N.J.Super. 1, 392 A.2d 187 (App.Div.1978) modified 82 N.J. 1, 410 A.2d 1146.

4A:4-6.4 Review of examination items, scoring and administration

(a) Candidates for multiple choice examinations shall be permitted to review the scoring key for a period of five business days beginning on the second business day after the examination has been held.

1. Within the review period set forth in (a) above, candidates may file an appeal against the scoring key and/or examination items.

2. The appeal shall be in writing, specify the question(s) being challenged and must be received by the Department of Personnel by the end of the review period.

3. All questions under appeal shall be reviewed by the Department of Personnel and, when necessary, appropriate action taken to modify the examination scoring.

4. No appeal relating to the scoring key or a multiple choice examination shall be permitted after the review period.

(b) Candidates for tests other than multiple choice may review their examination papers and the scoring key and may file an appeal in writing of examination items and scoring 20 days after the Department of Personnel provides notification of examination results. Candidates for multiple choice examinations may review their examination papers and the scoring key during this 20 day period, but may file appeals during this period only with respect to the scoring of their test papers. See (a) above for multiple choice scoring key and/or examination item appeals.

(c) An examination candidate wishing to challenge the manner in which the examination was administered may file an appeal in writing for a period of five business days beginning on the second business day after the examination has been held.

(d) Candidates shall not be permitted to copy any of the questions and answers, but shall be allowed to make such limited notes as the Department of Personnel permits.

(e) In order to maintain the security of the examination process, the Commissioner may, on a particular examination, modify or eliminate the review of examination questions and answers.

(f) The appropriate section of the Department to which the appeal is assigned shall review the appeal and render a written decision and include notification of a right of appeal to the Merit System Board.

(g) A party may appeal the first level decision to the Board within 20 days of its receipt.

1. The appeal shall contain all information which was presented to the first level, plus a copy of the decision below and shall be forwarded to the Merit System Board, CN 312, Trenton, New Jersey 08625.

2. The Board shall decide any appeal on the written record or such other proceeding as the Board deems appropriate.

(h) The Board may bypass any other level of appeal for its direct review.

Amended by R.1989 d.569, effective November 6, 1989.

See: 21 N.J.R. 1766(a), 21 N.J.R. 3448(b).

Added "administration" to section title.

Added new (c) and relettered old (c)-(h) as (d)-(i) with no change in text.

Amended by R.1993 d.162, effective April 5, 1993.

See: 24 N.J.R. 4467(a), 25 N.J.R. 1511(b).

Revised (f); deleted existing (g); redesignated existing (h)-(i) to (g)-(h) and revised text.

Petition for Rulemaking: Notice of Receipt of and Action on Petition.
See: 29 N.J.R. 510(a).

Case Notes

Regulation governing civil service examinee's challenge to his examination score was not arbitrary, capricious, or unreasonable; procedures accommodated competing goals of security and fairness. Brady v. Department of Personnel, 149 N.J. 244, 693 A.2d 466 (1997).