

How to use this report:


- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- · Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports



School Contact Info

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	GLOUCESTER
District	NATIONAL PARK BORO
Principal Name	MRS. BITTNER
Address	516 LAKEHURST AVE NATIONAL PARK, NJ 08063-1534
Phone Number	(856)845-6876
Email Address	CBITTNER@NPELEM.COM
Website	http://www.npelem.com
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/NationalParkSchool
Twitter	https://twitter.com/NatParkSchool

Staff



NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050)Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018

Report Key:

Accountability

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display

Narrative

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
44	42	41
37	37	42
35	36	35
27	32	38
30	23	33
31	29	26
34	29	28
40	34	28
278	262	271
	44 37 35 27 30 31 34 40	44 42 37 37 35 36 27 32 30 23 31 29 34 29 40 34

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	46.4%	50.0%	51.3%
Male	53.6%	50.0%	48.7%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44.2%	43.1%	42.4%
Students with Disabilities	19.1%	16.4%	17.7%
English Learners	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Homeless Students		6.1%	7.4%
Students in Foster Care		1.5%	0.4%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	88.1%	86.6%	86.0%
Hispanic	5.4%	6.9%	6.3%
Black or African American	0.4%	1.5%	3.7%
Asian	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Two or More Races	5.8%	4.6%	3.3%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	44	42	41
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	37	37	42

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	99.6%
Other Languages	0.4%



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35

Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65


and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	59	59	50	Met Standard	57	57	50	Met Standard
White	59	59	50	Met Standard	61	61	51	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	*	*	49	**	*	*	48	**
Black or African American	*	*	44	**	*	*	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	61	**	*	*	61	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	52	**	N	N	53	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	51	**
Economically Disadvantaged	49.5	49.5	48	Met Standard	48	48	47	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	37	37	41	**	14	14	43	**
English Learners	N	N	54	**	N	Ν	51	**



Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.








English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	6 of Testers % of Testers ^P et/Exceeded Met/Exceeded		2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	109	99.1	51.3	51.3	56.7	51.3	43.7	Met Target
White	95	99.0	54.8	54.8	65.6	54.8	45.5	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	37.3	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.3	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	52.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	54	100.0	57.4	57.4	64.5	57.4		
Male	55	98.3	45.5	45.5	49.4	45.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	52	100.0	30.8	30.8	38.5	30.8	38.4	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	57	98.3	70.1	70.1	67.5	70.1		
Students with Disabilities	23	96.3	13.0	13.0	21.6	13.0	15.7	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	86	100.0	61.6	61.6	63.9	61.6		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	27.3	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	109	99.1	51.3	51.3	59.4	51.3		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	26.3	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.1	N		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	751	751	750	*	*	30%	*	*	45%	52%
White	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Hispanic	N	Ν	N	736	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	N	N	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	Ν	Ν	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	16	741	741	756	*	*	*	*	*	44%	57%
Male	17	759	759	744	*	*	*	*	*	47%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	736	736	733	*	*	*	*	*	20%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	763	763	762	*	*	*	*	*	67%	64%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Non-English Learners	33	751	751	753	*	*	30%	*	*	45%	55%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%



- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	26	756	756	756	*	*	*	*	*	58%	58%
White	22	760	760	764	*	*	*	*	*	64%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	782	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	Ν	758	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Female	14	767	767	762	*	*	*	*	*	71%	63%
Male	12	743	743	751	*	*	*	*	*	42%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	734	734	740	*	*	*	*	*	36%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	782	782	767	*	*	*	*	*	83%	70%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	Ν	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	26	756	756	759	*	*	*	*	*	58%	61%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	28	738	738	755	*	*	*	39%	0%	39%	58%
White	24	741	741	763	*	*	*	46%	0%	46%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	Ν	780	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	Ν	752	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	53%
Two or More Races	Ν	N	Ν	763	N	N	N	N	N	N	65%
Female	15	740	740	762	*	*	*	*	*	40%	66%
Male	13	737	737	749	*	*	*	*	*	38%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	724	724	739	*	*	*	*	*	23%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	751	751	766	*	*	*	*	*	53%	71%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	11%
Non-English Learners	28	738	738	757	*	*	*	39%	0%	39%	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	Ν	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	Ν	Ν	756	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6


This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).


Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	27	747	747	754	*	0%	*	*	*	56%	56%
White	20	753	753	761	*	0%	*	*	*	60%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	Ν	N	751	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	13	760	760	761	*	0%	*	*	*	62%	64%
Male	14	736	736	748	*	0%	*	*	*	50%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	733	733	739	*	0%	*	*	*	33%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	765	765	764	*	0%	*	*	*	83%	68%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	712	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Non-English Learners	27	747	747	755	*	0%	*	*	*	56%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	Ν	N	732	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	36%



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.





Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.


Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	109	99.1	44.1	44.1	45.0	44.1	34	Met Target
White	95	99.0	50.5	50.5	54.1	50.5	35.5	Met Target
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	29.2	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	23.4	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	77.0	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N	42.5	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	54	100.0	48.2	48.2	46.0	48.2		
Male	55	98.3	40.0	40.0	43.9	40.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	52	100.0	32.7	32.7	26.6	32.7	23.2	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	57	98.3	54.4	54.4	55.9	54.4		
Students with Disabilities	23	96.3	*	*	17.1	*	10.5	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	86	100.0	*	*	50.5	*		
English Learners	N	N	N	N	24.6	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	109	99.1	44.1	44.1	46.9	44.1		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	16.2	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.7	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.

2017-2018


This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	33	746	746	752	*	*	*	*	*	52%	53%
White	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Hispanic	N	Ν	N	739	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	780	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	Ν	N	757	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Female	16	737	737	752	*	*	*	*	*	44%	53%
Male	17	754	754	751	*	*	*	*	*	59%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	733	733	736	*	*	*	*	*	40%	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	757	757	762	*	*	*	*	*	61%	66%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
English Learners	Ν	Ν	N	726	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Non-English Learners	33	746	746	754	*	*	*	*	*	52%	56%
Homeless Students	Ν	Ν	N	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%




This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	26	754	754	748	*	*	*	*	*	62%	49%
White	22	758	758	755	*	*	*	*	*	73%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	774	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	14	765	765	748	*	*	*	*	*	71%	50%
Male	12	742	742	748	*	*	*	*	*	50%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	736	736	733	*	*	*	*	*	36%	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	776	776	758	*	*	*	*	*	92%	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	N	N	Ν	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	16%
Non-English Learners	26	754	754	750	*	*	*	*	*	62%	52%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%



This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	28	730	730	748	*	*	39%	*	*	25%	49%
White	24	735	735	756	*	*	46%	*	*	29%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	Ν	N	N	777	N	N	N	N	N	N	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	15	733	733	749	*	*	*	*	*	33%	50%
Male	13	727	727	747	*	*	*	*	*	15%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	714	714	733	*	*	*	*	*	15%	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	745	745	758	*	*	*	*	*	33%	62%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Non-English Learners	28	730	730	750	*	*	39%	*	*	25%	51%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%



This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).


Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	27	733	733	744	*	*	*	*	*	30%	44%
White	20	737	737	751	*	*	*	*	*	40%	54%
Hispanic	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	744	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Female	13	735	735	745	*	*	*	*	*	31%	45%
Male	14	730	730	742	*	*	*	*	*	29%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15	725	725	729	*	*	*	*	*	27%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	742	742	753	*	*	*	*	*	33%	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
English Learners	N	Ν	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	27	733	733	745	*	*	*	*	*	30%	45%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%



Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

Climate and Environment Staff



NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050)

(15-3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	*	*
5	N	N
6	Ν	Ν

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	N	N	N
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

100%

Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	21	9.2	8.9	Not Met
White	16	8.2	8.9	Met
Hispanic	2	13.3	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	Ν
Two or More Races	3	30.0	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	12.7	8.9	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	9	23.1	8.9	Not Met
English Learners	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν

80% of Students 60% Percentage 38% 40% 25% 22% 15% 20% 0% 0% 1-5 0 6-10 11-15 Over 15 Number of Absences

Days Absent


This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	3
Total Unique Incidents	3
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.11

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police			
Violence	0			
Weapons	0			
Vandalism	0			
Substances	0			
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1			
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0			



The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

Student	Discipl	Inary F	Removals	5

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	2	2
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	3		3

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%	Suspensions
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*	
Any Suspension	*	*	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:30 AM
Typical End Time	3:15 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 45 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 45 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	2 Hrs. 45 Mins.

Device Ratios


This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1.4:1

Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$686	\$15,239	\$15,925



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	27	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	14.7	12.0
Average years experience in district	13.6	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	74.1%	75.5%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	5	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	21.6	16.0
Average years experience in district	6.8	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	80.0%	76.2%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	10:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	68:1	54:1
Teachers to Administrators	7:1	5:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		Ν
Students to Nurses		271:1
Students to Counselors		Ν
Students to Child Study Team		136:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).


Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	88.9%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	86.2%

Faculty Attendance


This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	95.8%

Bachelor's Degree



Admin 0%



Key terms for staff data:


Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	77.8%	100.0%
Male	22.2%	0.0%
White	92.6%	100.0%
Hispanic	7.4%	0.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Comprehensive Status


This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Yes	Yes	No

Targeted Status

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022		
Any Student Groups	Yes	Yes	No		
White	Yes				
Hispanic	No				
Black or African American	No				
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No				
American Indian or Alaska Native	No				
Two or More Races	No				
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No				
Students with Disabilities	No				
English Learners	No				




Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	27.01	17.5%
Mathematics Proficiency	32.11	17.5%
English Language Arts Growth	74.88	25.0%
Mathematics Growth	70.80	25.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	**	**
Chronic Absenteeism	27.88	15.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	50.95	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	51.01	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	Ν	Not Met	No
White	54.16	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	50.81	14.08	No	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	No	Met Target†	Not Met	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

Overview	Demographic Student Growth	Academic Achievement Clin	mate and Environment	Staff A	Accountability	Narrative			
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018			 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table 				
			chool Narrative				· · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	allows schools and districts to sha If there are questions about the ir					vities, and ser	vices that are offered in their		
	Highlights:	 One to One Chromebo Daily SKILL Club RTI I STEAM Program for P 	Program for Grades 1-6						
	Mission, Vision, Theme:	At National Park School stude National Park students are 21 ideas. The students of Nation assessments and classroom	1st century learners, able nal Park School will exhib	e to probler bit individua	m-solve and ap al growth as ind	ply technology licated on star	y skills to process their own dardized grade level		
	Awards, Recognition Accomplishments:	National Park School is a thre to improve physical engagem				nunity Grant R	ecipients, receiving \$30,000		

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018			** Acco N No D	is not displayed ountability calculated is available	in order to protect student privacy ations require 20 or more students to display specific note,see note below table
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important inform narrative section, please conta			ivities, and se	ervices that are offered in their
	Courses Instruct	s, Curriculum ion:	Program places an em emphasizes real world social studies programs	eled after the New Jersey Stuc phasis on reading, writing, spe applications embedded in dail s as well. Student participate i e multiple performance measu	eaking a y instruc n Music,	nd listening exper ction. We are prou STEAM, Physica	iences. Our r id to offer cor il Education/F	mathematics program mprehensive science and Health and Library at least
C	Clubs a	nd Activities:	learning envioronment. graders, students are a Grades 4-6 and studen	ol students are provided with a Starting in Grade 3, students able to take on leadership roles its in Grades 2-6 have the opp of fundraising activities for our	have the by joini ortunity	e option of joining ing the Safety Pat to participate in a	Student Cou rol. A Girl Po Yoyo/Skill To	ncil and Choir. As 6th wer Club is available for by Club. In addition, students

Overview	Demographic S	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative			
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMA REPORT		NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018			Report Key:* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy** Accountability calculations require 20 or more studentsN No Data is available to display† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table				
				School Narrative						
				s, and other important informa arrative section, please conta			vities, and services that are offered ir	1 their		
	Before an School Pr			ol program is provided to stud						
2	Staff and Learning:	Professiona	school year. Through gu discuss the learning new that address their profes	rade level meetings, faculty m	eetings, F ether to en	PLCs and Gatew hance instructio	opment opportunities throughout the ay Group meetings, teachers are abl n. Teachers are able to attend works videnced by data.			

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT		-	NAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050) des Offered: PK-06 2017-2018		Report Key:* Data is not displayed in order to protect st** Accountability calculations require 20 or nN No Data is available to display† This indicates a table specific note,see not		
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important informa parrative section, please contact			vities, and se	ervices that are offered in their
	Student Service	t Supports and s:	school counseling, rem Study Team services a improvement to provide	rk with students who need spe edial math, reading and writing nd I&RS. Our daily SKILL Club additional academic support.	g, speec o for Gra	h therapy, occupa des 1-6 is design	tional therap ed to target	specific areas in need of
Ċ	Student Wellnes	t Health and ss:	their education. To sup Communities Network	of we believe that teaching our port these efforts, we have be and were gifted \$30,000 over t roduce each month, and purch	en fortur hree yea	nate to receive a g ars to implement a	rant from th Childrens (e New Jersey Healthy Community Garden, participate
	Parent a Involver	and Communi ment:	with staff in promoting e school, parent participa Home and School Asso for school pages	ationships in a childs life begin education. From parent-teache tion is extremely important to pociation committed to providing	er confer student :	ences, to concerts success. National	s and specia Park Schoo	I programs offered in our I benefits from an active

Demographic Stu	udent Growth	cademic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff Accounta	ability Narrative
NJ SCHOOL PERFORMAN REPORT	NCE		(15-3490-050)		 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table
			School Narrative		
		Is a Climate Survey Use	ed: Yes; Who is surveyed: Stu	dents, Parents, Ad	ministrators, Teachers
				s, both through for	mal survey tools and through informal methods
Climate Su	rveys:				
Facilities:		dedicated each year to	carpet replacement, new lighti		
School Saf		main entrance of the sc students and staff and p	hool which is closely monitore prepared to respond appropria	d by school staff. N tely in an emergen	Monthly drills are conducted to ensure that both acy situation. A School Resource Officer visits the
	allows schools and If there are question Climate Su Facilities:	A SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT allows schools and districts to share if there are questions about the infor Climate Surveys: Facilities:	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements If there are questions about the information provided in the number Is a Climate Survey Use Climate surveys are con- such as verbal feedback Climate Surveys: Climate Surveys: Facilities: The school is outfitted winding environment The school is outfitted winding environment students and staff and p student regularly and the	NU SCHOOL DERFORMANCE REPORT Its 3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018 School Narrative School Narrative allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information provided in the narrative section, please contact If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact Ulimate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Stu Climate surveys are conducted in a variety of manner such as verbal feedback from all stakeholders. Climate Surveys: Originally built in 1955, National Park School has a nu dedicated each year to carpet replacement, new lightive welcoming environment. Facilities: The school is outfitted with 36 cameras within and arc main entrance of the school which is closely monitore students and staff and prepared to respond appropria student regularly and the West Deptford Police Depared	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT National Park School Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018 allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about program if there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school dired if there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school dired Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students, Parents, Ad Climate surveys are conducted in a variety of manners, both through for such as verbal feedback from all stakeholders. Climate Surveys: Originally built in 1955, National Park School has a number of additions dedicated each year to carpet replacement, new lighting, painting and g welcoming environment. Facilities: The school is outfitted with 36 cameras within and around the perimeter main entrance of the school which is closely monitored by school staff. I students and staff and prepared to respond appropriately in an emerger student regularly and the West Deptford Police Department is a great su

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative		
Ş	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		NATIONAL PARK SCHOOL (15-3490-050) Grades Offered: PK-06 2017-2018			 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student priv * Accountability calculations require 20 or more stude N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below to 			
				School Narrative					
				s, and other important informa arrative section, please contained			vities, and se	rvices that are offered in their	
~	Technol STEM:	ogy and	students develop critica expertly interwoven. In	e a STEAM program to all stud I thinking skills through a prob Pre-K & Kindergarten students room has a SmartBoard or int ional Park School.	lem base s have ac	ed learning oppor ccess to iPads an	tunities in wh d we are one	nich each area of STEAM is e-on-one Chromebooks in	
BC	Early Cr Educatio	nildhood on:	for three and four year	ffers a four year old Pre-K half olds based for special educati a variety of play based experie	on stude			disabled program is available primary curriculum and	

