

State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PO BOX 110 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0110

DAVID J. SOCOLOW Commissioner

February 27, 2008

Mr. Albert Porroni Executive Director Office of Legislative Services P.O. Box 068 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Porroni:

JON S. CORZINE

GOVERNOR

SERVICES

SERVICES

I am pleased to submit the 2006 Annual Report on public projects covered by Project Labor Agreements. The report is required by the PLA Act (PL 2002, Chapter 44).

To date, the impact of the PLA Act has been mixed, in terms of the frequency of PLA usage and its effect on the performance indicators measured. To the extent possible, this report provides a comparative analysis of the overall effectiveness of the implementation of the PLA Act and provides recommendations to better effectuate its purpose.

For the next report, we will be analyzing projects which have been completed through June 30, 2007. As required by statute, the 2007 report will also include recommendations for improving the PLA Act.

Sincerely,

COMMISSIONER

Enclosure



2006 Annual Report to the Governor and Legislature

Project Labor Agreement (PLA) Act P.L. 2002, Chapter 44 (C.52:38-et seq.)

New Jersey Department of Labor And Workforce Development January 2008

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	3
Introduction	5
Presentation of Available Data	6
Use of Project Labor Agreements in Public Projects	6
Project Awards	7
Employment Work Hours for Minorities, Females and Apprentices	10
Employment Work Hours for Minorities and Apprentices by Construction Trade	13
Employment Utilization Rates for Minorities by Race/Ethnicity	16
Construction Duration	17
LWD Apprenticeship Training Efforts and Results	17
PLA Improvement Recommendations	18
Appendix I: Data Sources and Methodology	20
Appendix II: Socioeconomic and Demographic Characteristics of Communities with Completed New School Projects	24
Appendix III : Information on Cost, Size, Student Capacity for Completed New Schools	30
Appendix IV: Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation Rates for All Projects	35
Appendix V: Minority Employment Participation Based on County Goal Obligations for New Construction Projects	43
Appendix VI: Project Duration and Completion Timeliness Includes All Projects	45
Appendix VII: Project Completion Timeliness, Non-PLA Projects	50

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes 2006 data on the impact of the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) Act (P.L. 2002, Chapter 44) on public works projects in New Jersey. The PLA Act, which was signed into law on July 25, 2002, specifies that beginning December 31, 2003, an annual report evaluating the effectiveness of projects utilizing Project Labor Agreements is to be prepared by the Commissioner of Labor and Workforce Development. PLA public works projects are to be compared with non-PLA projects related to performance indicators, such as: cost; shares of employment for minorities, females and apprentices; construction duration and timeliness. Because to date, only school construction projects have been completed with a PLA, the comparative analysis in this report focuses on completed school projects. The following are the major findings of this year's report:

- 1. There is no measurable or statistically significant increase in construction costs associated with PLA projects. When taking into account differences that may influence costs, such as type and location of the school construction projects, there was no statistical evidence of cost differentials due to the existence of a PLA. While square foot costs for PLA projects are on average higher, this may be due to regional differences in labor cost. A formal linear regression statistical model capable of controlling for these and other factors confirms this hypothesis.
- 2. Eighty-six percent (86%) of the 220 projects completed between July 2002 and June 2006 did not involve the use a project labor agreement.
- 3. The average adjusted cost per square foot for new elementary schools implemented without a PLA was \$195.60, compared with \$215.55 for PLA projects, a 10.2% difference. For new middle schools, the cost per square foot for non-PLA projects was \$169.61, versus \$204.38 for PLA projects, a 20.5% differential. However, the average represents a generalization of the data and therefore, interpretation of its value must be done with care or else the value can be misleading; as noted above, factors other than the presence or absence of a PLA explain these differentials.
- 4. Projects completed in Northern New Jersey cost more than projects completed in other parts of the state. Holding the effects of PLA and type of construction constant, the difference due to location is statistically significant.
- 5. PLA projects exceeded their goals for minority employment, and these goals entailed higher percentages of minority employment than those for non-PLA projects. The actual employment work hours (participation rate) attained for minorities on PLA projects was above the county goal obligation (26.0% achieved vs. 24.1% goal). The actual participation rate achieved on non-PLA projects was slightly above the county target (15.6% achieved vs. 14.4% goal). The actual apprentice participation rate on PLA projects was slightly higher than on non-PLA projects.
- 6. Among PLA/Schools Development Authority (SDA) projects, only 6 out of 20 trades (asbestos workers, bricklayers/masons, laborers, painters, primers, and roofers) achieved a higher participation rate than the county goal. Among the non-PLA projects, only five construction occupations were above the county goal.
- 7. The use of apprentices varied from less than 6% for truck drivers, asbestos workers, iron workers, laborers, bricklayers/masons, operating engineers and primers to a high of 26.7% and 22.0% for electricians on PLA and non-PLA projects, respectively.

- 8. The overall minority utilization rates (persons employed for each month on a construction project) declined between 2004 and 2006 on PLA and non-PLA projects, despite efforts to attract and use more minorities on construction projects. The same trend is evident for the participation rates (hours worked) for minorities.
- 9. The differences in types of construction may have contributed to variances in the length of construction time. The average duration for new school projections was 95.1 weeks for PLA/SDA projects, and 89.6 weeks for non-PLA projects. Given the limitations of the available data, these differences cannot be explained.
- 10. Since its inception in March 2002 through August 2006, the Construction Trades Training Program for Women and Minorities (CTTP-WM) had enrolled a total of 1,465 participants in training with 1,079 completions (73.7%). Of the individuals that completed the program, 362 (33.5%) obtained a union apprenticeship and 234 (21.7%) obtained non-union apprenticeships or other construction placements. So far, the program achieved a successful 55.2% placement rate.

INTRODUCTION

On July 25, 2002, the "Project Labor Agreement Act" (P.L. 2002, Chapter 44) was signed into law. The law authorizes all public agencies (state, county, municipal, others) in New Jersey to include project labor agreements (PLAs) in all public works projects for the construction, reconstruction, demolition or renovation of buildings (other than pumping stations and water/sewage treatment plants) at public expense, for which the total cost of the project, exclusive of land acquisition cost, will equal or exceed \$5 million.

Project labor agreements (PLAs) are a form of pre-hire collective bargaining agreements permitted under federal law between contractors, or owners on behalf of contractors, and labor unions in the construction industry. PLAs cover project terms and conditions of employment for construction trade workers, and are often used for major, multi-year construction projects. PLAs typically require contractors to hire employees through the union hall referral systems. In return for this advantage, the unions agree to a no strike and no work stoppage provision. The use of project labor agreements in general, and the use of a statewide project labor agreement for the original \$8.6 billion school construction program in particular, is a recent occurrence in New Jersey.

A standard public works project labor agreement between the New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA), formerly the Schools Construction Corporation (SCC), the New Jersey Building and Construction Trades Council and several construction trade unions was completed on February 28, 2003.

The PLA Act spells out New Jersey's compelling interest in carrying out public works projects to meet certain beneficial business and public policy performance objectives. PLA projects are expected to: advance public interests with respect to costs; efficiency; quality; timeliness of completion; the use of skilled labor; guarantees against strikes, work stoppages, or similar actions; and the effective resolution of jurisdictional and labor disputes. These projects also require contractors to have an apprenticeship program and to implement set-aside goals for women and minority owned businesses. The PLA Act also requires each agreement to achieve employment and apprenticeship shares for minorities and women in conformance with applicable requirements, as well as to allow the contracting agency or another State agency to monitor the amount and share of work performed by minorities and women and their progression into apprentice and journey worker positions.

The PLA Act requires the Commissioner of Labor and Workforce Development (LWD) to annually provide an analysis and comparison of the effectiveness of PLA and non-PLA projects. The 2006 PLA Report shall include an analysis of the overall effectiveness of the implementation of the PLA Act and recommendations deemed necessary to better effectuate its purpose. To date, only school projects were completed with a PLA; therefore, the comparative analysis focuses on completed school projects. The data sources and methodology for this report are presented in Appendix I.

PRESENTATION OF AVAILABLE DATA

Use of Project Labor Agreements in Public Projects

Since the enactment of the PLA Act to June 30, 2006¹, statewide a total of 220 identifiable construction projects have been completed, of all the types covered by the Act. A comparison of construction projects with a PLA agreement and those without a PLA is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Projects Type and PLA/Non-PLA Designation

School Projects (152)	PLA <u>Projects</u>	Non-PLA <u>Projects</u>
New School Construction	15	28
New School Addition	4	7
School Renovation and Addition	$9^{(1)}$	84
School Renovation	3	2
Total Number of School Projects	31	121
Non-School Projects (68)		
University/College/Tech. Institute Research & Education	- -	21
County/Municipal/Police/Public Works/Social Services	· -	9
Student Housing (College/University)	-	6
Library	- *	6
Parking Garage/Deck	-	6
Sports/Recreation/Community/Youth Center	-	6
Railroad/Ferry Terminal		4
Other ⁽²⁾	· - ·	10
Total Non-School Projects	0	68
Total School, Non-School & Other Projects	31	189
Grand Total of Projects		220

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts (DCC); and New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA).

Note: The above construction projects were started and completed for the period from July 25, 2002 to June 30, 2006.

(1) Includes two non-Abbott schools implemented by the SDA with a PLA.

¹A June 30 cut-off date allows for the receipt and inclusion of all field reports, information entry and transfer, data analysis, report writing and report issuance.

(2) "Other" includes: 2 Veterans Affairs/Long Term Care Facilities; 2 Court House/Justice Centers; and 1 each Theater, Children Center, Armory, Cemetery Building, River Boathouse, and Health Care Center.

As shown in Table 1, 189 (85.9%) of the 220 projects were completed without a PLA agreement. The majority (64%) of the non-PLA construction projects were school construction projects. All the PLA construction projects were school construction projects completed in Abbott² districts, except for the Manchester School District in Ocean County.

Table 2 shows the completion timeline for the 152 school construction projects completed during the reference period. There were 31 PLA projects compared to 121 non-PLA projects and of the 43 completed new schools, 15 were PLAs compared to 28 non-PLAs.

New Schools Only Total New All School Projects **Total All** Schools Time Period PLA/SDA Non-PLA PLA/SDA Non-PLA Only Schools 7/02 to 9/04 12 40 52 6 6 12 10/04 to 9/05 5 29 34 3 16 19 10/05 to 6/06 14 52 66 6 6 12 43 **Total Projects** 31 152 15 28 121

Table 2. Completed Schools Projects by Time Period

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts (DCC); and, New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA).

In Appendix II, the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the communities in which the 43 new school projects were completed, are presented.

Project Awards

The award amount (the term used in the DCC database³) and the construction award (the term used in the SDA database) are essentially synonymous, and can be defined as the dollar amount originally approved by the awarding agency or project owner (e.g., Board of Education, Township, College/University, SDA) at the beginning of a construction project. It is the originally anticipated costs for a particular construction project and the dollar amount awarded to the prime contractor. The award amount does not include: the costs of land acquisition; architectural design; engineering; project management; change orders, deviations and upgrades from the original design and construction plan; or cost-overruns. The award amount is not the final, total or complete actual costs of a construction project.

²Abbott refers to the 1998 New Jersey Supreme Court decision finding the State responsible for funding school facilities in special needs districts. Today there are 31 special needs districts in New Jersey. All Abbott schools are built by the New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA) with a PLA in effect.

³ Much of the data used in this report is derived from administrative records maintained by the Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts, New Jersey Department of the Treasury (DCC), the New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA), and the New Jersey State Department of Education (DOE). For further information, please consult Appendix I: Primary Data Sources.

A truly valid and fair "apple to apple" cost comparison between different school projects is not easy. For instance, projects vary in terms of type and size (early childhood center versus high school), location (inner city in the North versus rural area in the South), construction design (one-story versus multi-level), materials used, and year of construction. Labor costs also vary by geographical location. To illustrate, Table 3 presents the hourly prevailing wage rates (wages and benefits) for certain construction occupations in Hudson County (northern county) and Burlington County (southern county).

Table 3. Hourly Prevailing Wage/Benefit Rates for Selected Trades in Burlington and Hudson Counties, 2006/2007

			<u>Dollar</u>	<u>Percent</u>	
Trades Burlington County		Hudson County	(Difference)		
Electrician	\$68.22 North/\$65.06 South	\$68.21	\$3.15	4.8%	
Plumber	\$62.88 North/\$60.11 South	\$64.25	\$4.14	6.9%	
Sheet Metal Worker	\$64.30	\$68.78	\$4.48	7.0%	
Structural Iron Worker	\$61.53	\$64.04	\$2.51	4.1%	
Roofer	\$46.10	\$57.80	\$11.70	25.4%	
Sprinkler Fitter	\$53.65	\$61.11	\$7.46	13.9%	
Tiler	\$54.35	\$63.11	\$8.76	16.1%	

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Prevailing Wage Rate Determination. The contract durations vary among trades, they range from January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007.

The following analysis consists of 43 new schools, of which 15 or 35% were built using PLAs, and includes school construction projects that were started and completed between July 2002 and June 2006. We excluded all non-school construction projects from the analysis because PLAs were not used in other construction projects. Due to the limited availability of data, we further excluded all projects that were not considered new construction.

LWD obtained the building size (square footage) and student capacity for all 43 completed new schools from the State of New Jersey Department of Education (DOE). This information, which for previous reports was not available, was used to calculate the cost per square foot and the cost per student for each project. In order to compare the school construction costs of PLAs with non-PLAs, it was first necessary to adjust for the rising construction costs during the reference period, so that all costs could be expressed in 2006 prices. Specifically, we constructed a cost index that included both the trend in construction labor costs and the trend in materials costs between 2002 and 2006. The indexed cost per square foot was calculated using the Building Cost Index History (1915-2007) from McGraw Hill Construction. The Building Cost Index is based on a monthly 20-city average of four components: the cost of cement, the cost of 2 x 4 lumber, the cost of structural steel, and the cost of skilled labor. The indexed cost per square foot for each project is calculated by applying the monthly changes in the building cost index from each project's completion date to June 2006. Information on cost, size and student capacity for the 43 completed new schools is listed in Appendix III.

It would be technically incorrect to measure the effects of a PLA agreement on project costs by comparing the average cost per square foot or cost per student of PLA projects versus the costs of non-PLA projects. The average is a mathematically computed value which represents a central value of a given data set. The average represents a generalization of the data and therefore, interpretation of its value must be done with care or else the value can be misleading. Also note that the average is influenced by extremes in the data. In other words, in a data set having extremely high or low data values, the average

tends to be "pulled" in the direction of those outliers and therefore can misrepresent the data's central tendency. To demonstrate why this would be inappropriate, consider the data in Table 4: the indexadjusted cost per square foot for the new elementary schools PLA projects was \$215.55 or 10.2 percent more expensive than the \$195.60 for non-PLA projects. For the new middle schools, the cost per square foot for non-PLA projects was \$169.61 versus \$204.38 or 20.5 percent more expensive than for PLA projects. In an analysis of the 43 new school construction projects undertaken in New Jersey since 2002, our initial findings suggest that on average PLA projects cost more than non-PLA projects. PLA projects are systematically different as evidenced by the varying labor and material costs for different areas. Given the differences between PLA and non-PLA projects, sorting out the effects of differences in school characteristics between PLA and non-PLA projects from pure PLA cost effects is central to understanding the cost impact of PLAs.

Table 4. New School Project Construction Cost per Square Foot and per Student

	Indexed Cost Per Square Foot		Indexed Cost Per Student	
	PLA	Non-PLA	PLA	Non-PLA
Early Childhood Centers				
(5 PLA Projects)	\$229.62	N/A	\$33,551	N/A
Primary Schools				
(4 Non-PLA Projects)	N/A	\$181.81	N/A	\$24,547
Elementary Schools				
(11 Non-PLA / 6 PLA Projects)	\$215.55	\$195.60	\$43,308	\$33,100
Middle Schools				
(7 Non-PLA / 3 PLA Projects)	\$204.38	\$169.61	\$42,350	\$25,327
High Schools				
(6 Non-PLA / 1 PLA Project)	\$343.16	\$150.21	\$61,414	\$40,597

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey State Department of Education (DOE).

N/A: Not Applicable

In economics, one way to determine if differences in PLA projects versus non-PLA projects are robust is by using a statistical technique called regression analysis; a standard method for measuring the effect one factor has upon another controlling for other things such location, the project size and project type.

A regression analysis will control for the effects of such influences as the size of the project, the type of school, and the geographical location. Generally we would expect elementary schools (including early childhood centers and primary schools) to be cheaper (per square foot) and projects in the northern region of the state to be more expensive⁴. Only after controlling for these effects can one hope to isolate the true costs of the project and whether those costs were affected by the existence or absence of a PLA arrangement. A more complete model would control for other factors such as the number of stories and whether the projects are new constructions or renovations. (At the time of this report, that data was not available.)

In our regression analysis, the dependent variable is the indexed cost per square foot of construction (in 2006 prices). The independent variable of most interest to us is a dummy variable that is set equal to 1 for PLA projects and to 0 otherwise. We control for whether the project is constructed in a high labor cost

⁴ Schools for the lower grades tend to be single story buildings and consist of basic classrooms (rather than science labs, athletic facilities, etc.), which are less expensive to construct.

area by including a dummy variable set equal to 1 for northern counties⁵ and to 0 otherwise. To capture the effect of the project size we include a measure of whether the project is an elementary school. The ordinary least squares regression results are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Regression Estimates

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	p-value
Constant	150.88	14.00	0.00
PLA	15.34	17.74	0.39
Location	48.02	16.46	0.01
Elementary	21.91	15.19	0.16
	and sample size is 4:	3.	

Source: Author's calculations.

Our regression results show that PLA projects add an estimated \$15.34 per square foot, controlling for whether or not the project is located in the north or is a smaller project like an elementary school. However, the coefficient is not statistically significant at any conventional significance level⁶. The equation also shows that projects completed in the north add an estimated \$48.02 per square foot. Given the p-value of .001, this means that there is a 99.9 percent probability that we have not accidentally found that projects completed in the north are more expensive. After considering other factors, the difference in construction costs, on average, appears to be associated with location in the higher cost areas of the state, rather that the existence of a PLA. Surprisingly, the equation shows that projects involving the construction of elementary schools cost \$21.91 more per square foot. With an adjusted R² of .25, the equation explains 25 percent of the variation in the cost per square foot.

Employment Work Hours for Minorities, Females, and Apprentices

This section discusses the total cumulative work hours and the share of the total work hours for minorities, females, and apprentices for all of the 220 completed projects. Appendix IV provides the details of the information for all projects. As with the other data, this information is also self-reported by the various contractors based on payroll records and other records.

The State of New Jersey has established minority⁷ and female employment goal obligations for construction contractors and subcontractors for each county. Both the Office of Diversity and Emerging Business Markets of the SDA and the Department of Treasury's Division of Contract Compliance & Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts (DCC) use these goal obligations as guidelines.

The minority and female goals for each county are determined by the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Affirmative Action Office. The methodology takes into account the actual availability of

⁵ For the purpose of the regression analysis, Mercer, Monmouth and all counties north of them (for a total 13) are considered "northern".

⁶ When regression analysis was used to take into account the type of project and the fact that more PLA projects were in high-cost areas, the difference in costs between PLA and non-PLA projects become smaller and statistically insignificant. That is, there is a high probability that the difference in the average costs due to a PLA occurred by chance.

⁷ The term "minority" includes all minority males and all minority females. The category female is defined as both minority females as well as non-minority females. In other words, minority females are counted twice in the cumulative total employment statistics: once under females and a second time under minorities. The double count of minority females is inconsequential since their participation rate in the construction trades at the present time is extremely low.

qualified minorities and females utilizing decennial Census data for affirmative action programs. It should be noted that these are goals, and not quotas. Therefore these goals do not have to be strictly satisfied if the contractor attempted in good faith to reach the applicable targets. Table 6 shows the established minority goal obligation rates for each county based on the 1990 and 2000 Census figures. The female employment goal obligation for all counties in New Jersey is 6.9%.

While there are some limitations in comparing project costs, this is not the case for evaluating the participation rates for minorities, females and apprentices. Minority employment does not depend on the type and size of the construction projects.

The participation rate of minorities, females and apprentices in the construction industry is of interest to many policy makers. To evaluate the extent to which minority, female and apprentice workers are included in these construction projects, the analysis uses two different measurements. The first is the actually-achieved participation rate on a project. The second measurement considers the established minority employment goal obligation for the county in which the project is located. This is done because of the substantial differences in the racial composition of the counties.

Table 6. Minority Goal Obligation Percentage by County Based on 1990 and 2000 Census

	Minori	ty Goal		Minori	ty Goal
	1990	2000		1990	2000
County	Census	Census	County	Census	Census
Atlantic	20%	18%	Mercer	19%	30%
Bergen	10%	22%	Middlesex	16%	24%
Burlington	16%	15%	Monmouth	11%	15%
Camden	16%	19%	Morris	7%	16%
Cape May	8%	5%	Ocean	6%	7%
Cumberland	21%	27%	Passaic	24%	36%
Essex	42%	53%	Salem	15%	10%
Gloucester	10%	9%	Somerset	8%	20%
Hudson	38%	60%	Sussex	5%	4%
Hunterdon	5%	3%	Union .	24%	45%
			Warren	5%	5%

Source: New Jersey State Department of the Treasury, Division of Contract Compliance & EEO in Public Contracts, Affirmative Action Office, Goals for construction contractors and subcontractors, Revised 02/05.

Depending on the construction start date, the 2006 report uses the minority county goals based on either the 1990 or the 2000 Census. The year 2000 Census-based minority targets, which in some counties changed significantly, did not become available to Treasury's DCC until December 2004. The updated targets were revised in February 2005 and applied to new projects, which began in and after March 2005. Projects already underway prior to March 2005 continue to be subject to the 1990 Census-based minority targets. All but 6 of the 220 completed projects took place prior to the issuance of the 2000 Census-based guidelines.

Table 7 shows that both the actual PLA and non-PLA minority participation rates exceeded the weighted county goals. The female participation rates actually achieved on school construction projects are low for

both PLA and non-PLA projects; however, the hours worked by females on PLA projects (1.5%) was more than double that on non-PLA projects (0.6%). Overall, completed PLA projects demonstrated better minority, female and apprentice participation rates compared to completed non-PLA projects.

Table 7. Participation Rate for Minorities, Females, Apprentices

	PLA Projects (31)		Non-PLA Projects (121)		
	Achieved	Goal ⁽¹⁾	<u>Achieved</u>	Goal ⁽¹⁾	
Minority	26.0%	24.1%	15.6%	14.4%	
Female	1.5%	6.9%	0.6%	6.9%	
Apprentice	11.3%	-	11.0%	-	

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of Treasury. The sample includes 152 school projects of which 31 are PLA and 121 are non-PLA.

(1) Weighted State Average Minority Goal is determined by multiplying each county's total work hours by the respective county minority goal percentage divided by the total statewide work hours.

Table 8 shows the minority participation rate (total hours worked by minorities) in the years 2004, 2005 and 2006. The work hours data (participation) collected by Treasury only provide an aggregate number for all minorities (Black, Hispanic, American Indian, Asian). The purpose is to determine the fluctuation of the minority work hours (participation rate) over time. Despite the efforts made to attract more minority workers into the construction trades, the participation results are lacking.

Table 8. Annual Minority Participation Rates

·	PLA P	rojects	
	į	Total	i
Fiscal	Total	Minority	Minority
Year	Hours	Hours	Percent
2005	1,381,827	361,172	26.14%
2006	1,940,321	504,912	26.02%

Note: 2004 data are not shown because only one project was completed.

Non-PLA Projects					
		Total			
Fiscal	Total	Minority	Minority		
Year	Hours	Hours	Percent		
2004	919,177	143,569	15.62%		
2005	4,359,829	745,341	17.10%		
2006	5,204,454	878,468	16.88%		

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of Treasury, DCC

Note: Minority percentages may not add up due to rounding.

2004 = July 1, 2003 to June, 30 2004; 2005 = July 1, 2004 to June, 30 2005; 2006 = July, 1 2005 to June 30, 2006.

Appendix V provides the actual weighted minority participation rates for the 43 <u>new</u> schools (15 PLAs and 28 non-PLAs) and compares them with the established county goals. Ten out of 15 PLA projects and 14 out of 28 non-PLA projects were above the relevant minority county goal.

Employment Work Hours for Minorities and Apprentices by Construction Trade

This section of the report presents the participation rates for minorities and apprentices for the different construction trades or occupations. There are no set trade-specific minority county goal obligations, but the minority work hours for <u>all</u> trades combined should reach or exceed the established minority county goal obligation percentage. Table 9 shows the achieved minority participation rate for each construction trade and compares it with the work-hour-based weighted eleven county-wide goal obligations.

The data are based on 107 school construction projects (new, addition, renovation, or addition and renovation) both for PLA (29) and non-PLA (78) school projects in the 11 counties with at least one PLA and one non-PLA project. The analysis is limited to school projects because they have a greater similarity in the occupational mix used than with non-school type projects, such as a parking deck (no roofers), a railroad terminal, or a theater.

The non-PLA analysis includes the following 19 trades or crafts: Asbestos Worker, Bricklayer or Mason, Carpenter, Electrician, Glazier, HVAC Mechanic, Ironworker, Laborer, Operating Engineer, Painter, Plumber, Primer, Roofer, Sheet Metal Worker, Sprinkler Fitter, Steamfitter, Surveyor, Tiler, and Truck Driver. Residual trades are reported as "Other".

The 11 counties with at least one PLA and one non-PLA project are: Bergen (1 PLA/14 non-PLA projects); Camden (1 PLA/4 non-PLA); Essex (1 PLA/6 non-PLA); Hudson (5 PLA/3 non-PLA); Mercer (2 PLA/11 non-PLA); Middlesex (1 PLA/9 non-PLA); Monmouth (6 PLA/13 non-PLA); Ocean (2 PLA/11 non-PLA); Passaic (4 PLA/4 non-PLA); Union (5 PLA/1 non-PLA); and Warren (1 PLA/2 non-PLA). Cumberland County has only PLA school projects; while the other 9 counties have no PLA school projects.

Of the 20 trades that worked on PLA projects, only six occupations (asbestos worker, bricklayer/mason, laborer, painter, primer, and roofer) achieved a minority participation rate above the goal obligation. For non-PLA projects, five trades (asbestos worker, bricklayer/mason, laborer, painter, and roofer) out of 19 scored above the county goal obligations. Overall, all trades combined exceeded the goal.

Table 9. Minority Participation in School Projects by Construction Trade

PLA	/SDA School Pro	ojects		Non-	PLA School Pro	jects
	Weighted				Weighted	
Actual	Minority	Above/Below		Above/Below	Minority	Actual
Minority	County Goal	County Goal		County Goal	County Goal	Minority
Participation	Obligation	Obligation	Construction Trade	Obligation	Obligation	Participation
74.5%	19.0%	Above	Asbestos Worker	Above	13.0%	65.1%
30.8%	22.7%	Above	Bricklayer/Mason	Above	16.6%	22.9%
16.5%	23.2%	Below	Carpenter	Below	17.7%	11.4%
15.8%	24.2%	Below	Electrician	Below	16.8%	8.1%
14.5%	28.1%	Below	Glazier	Below	19.8%	9.6%
18.9%	22.2%	Below	HVAC	Below	18.3%	4.9%
17.4%	25.4%	Below	Iron Worker	Below	19.3%	10.5%
48.2%	25.0%	Above	Laborer	Above	19.4%	36.9%
11.8%	22.1%	Below	Operating Engineer	Below	15.4%	13.2%
24.9%	28.2%	Below	Other	Below	16.2%	11.2%
47.9%	26.7%	Above	Painter	Above	17.3%	20.9%
22.2%	23.1%	Below	Plumber	Below	16.2%	8.5%
50.5%	24.0%	Above	Primer	INA	INA	INA
29.3%	21.8%	Above	Roofer	Above	17.5%	22.0%
20.1%	22.5%	Below	Sheet Metal	Below	20.0%	10.1%
14.0%	25.3%	Below	Sprinkler	Below	17.4%	9.3%
4.9%	35.6%	Below	Steam Fitter	Below	36.5%	4.3%
0.4%	25.1%	Below	Surveyor	Below	14.8%	5.9%
10.9%	27.5%	Below	Tiler	Below	16.2%	8.8%
11.9%	20.3%	Below	Truck Driver	Below	12.6%	6.3%
		6 Above		5 Above		
26.3%	24.1%	14 Below	11 counties	14 Below	17 .7%	17.8%

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of Treasury, DCC.

Note: The sample includes 29 PLA and 78 non-PLA School Projects in 11 Counties with at least 1 PLA and 1 non-PLA Project)

INA: Information Not Available

Overall, for the eleven counties, the actual minority work hour participation rate for all trades exceeded the weighted minority county goal for both PLA project (26.3% compared to 24.1%) and non-PLA (17.8% compared to 17.7%) projects. The PLA projects showed a greater positive percentage difference: 9.1% for PLAs compared to 0.6% for non-PLAs.

Table 10 presents data on the extent to which the different trades use apprentices on PLA and non-PLA projects. The apprentice participation by trade is expressed as a percentage of the actual total work hours for all workers of the same trade. As mentioned, there are no specific trade goals set for the use of apprentices in New Jersey.

Table 10. Apprentice Participation by Construction Trade (includes all 220 Projects)

PLA-	SDA		No	n-PLA
Actual				Actual
Apprentice				Apprentice
Participation	Ranking	Construction Trade	Ranking	Participation
3.0%	14	Asbestos Worker	19	0.0%
5.9%	13	Bricklayer	14	5.0%
8.8%	11	Carpenter	10	11.1%
26.7%	1	Electrician	1	22.0%
10.5%	9	Glazier	12	8.6%
17.1%	5	HVAC	2	21.4%
2.3%	16	Iron Worker	16	2.1%
3.0%	15	Laborer	15	2.7%
0.4%	17	Operating Engineer	17	1.5%
7.7%	12	Other	11	9.2%
9.6%	10	Painter	13	7.2%
15.5%	8	Plumber	6	16.5%
0.0%	18	Primer	INA	INA
16.8%	6	Roofer	8	14.4%
17.4%	4	Sheet Metal	7	15.9%
20.4%	3	Sprinkler	5	18.6%
15.9%	. 7	Steam Fitter	3	19.4%
0.0%	18	Surveyor	4	18.8%
22.3%	2	Tiler	9	14.1%
0.0%	18	Truck Driver	18	0.5%
,				
11.2%	-	Statewide	-	10.6%

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, DCC.

The ranking of 1 represents the highest proportion of work hours by apprentices in a trade. Electricians had the largest percentage of apprentices.

INA: Information Not Available

Surprisingly, the rankings in the use of apprentices by the same trades are very similar for PLA and non-PLA projects. This is also true for the statewide weighted averages (11.2% for PLAs and 10.6% for non-PLAs). The work-hour based use of apprentices for a particular trade varies only slightly between PLA and non-PLA designated projects, other than surveyors.

However, there are substantial differences of how extensive the different trades use apprentices; this ranges from a high of 26.7% (PLA) and 22.0% (non-PLA) for electricians to a low of 6% or less for asbestos workers, truck drivers, laborers, primers, operating engineers, bricklayers, and iron workers.

Employment Utilization Rate of Minorities by Race/Ethnicity

The term employment utilization is different than the term employment participation. The minority employment participation rate refers to the total hours worked by a minority group or sub-group as a percent of the total work hours for all employees on construction projects. Employment minority utilization rate refers to the number of minority persons, such as Blacks or Hispanics, expressed as a percent of the number of all workers employed for each month on the monitored construction projects. For instance, a minority painter employed on a construction project for 10 months is counted 10 times, regardless of the total hours worked each month.

There are no established county or state utilization goals for minorities, females, or apprentices. The minority utilization data provides an overall employment profile over an extended period. The information can form the basis for determining the existence of possible systemic discrimination or minority underutilization. Underutilization can be defined as having fewer minorities on particular projects than would reasonably be expected by their availability.

Table 11 presents the total number of all workers counted monthly during selected construction periods and the minority utilization percentage for Blacks, Hispanics, American Indians, and Asians. The statistics include all construction projects identified with a firm completion date. PLA projects are located in the urban centers with large minority populations, while non-PLA projects are implemented in the suburbs with fewer minorities.

Table 11. Employment Utilization Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Selected Periods
PLA Projects

			L LIZZ I TOJECE,	<u>3</u>		
Fiscal Total				American	1	
<u>Year</u>	Workers*	Minority	Black	<u>Hispanic</u>	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>
2005	27,663	29.8%	11.4%	17.5%	0.3%	0.7%
2006	32,776	26.4%	12.2%	13.8%	0.2%	0.2%

Year 2004 data are not shown since there was only one completed project

		<u>No</u>	<u>n-PLA Proje</u>	<u>ects</u>		
Fiscal		Total			American	
<u>Year</u>	Workers*	Minority	Black	Hispanic	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Asian</u>
2004	14,553	18.3%	6.9%	10.6%	0.6%	0.2%
2005	60,509	18.2%	7.0%	10.6%	0.3%	0.2%
2006	78,176	16.4%	6.4%	9.1%	0.4%	0.6%

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, DCC.

*Sum of workers employed in each month by the year indicated. Minority percentages may not add up due to rounding.

2004= July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004 2005= July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005

2006= July, 1 2005 to June 30, 2006

The data in Table 11 reveal that during the reference periods, the total utilization rates for minorities (actual number of minorities employed per month) declined from 29.8% in 2005 to 26.4% in 2006 for PLA projects, and from 18.3% in 2004 to 16.4% in 2006 for non-PLA projects.

Construction Duration

The final performance factor measured is the construction duration for all PLA and non-PLA projects. Construction duration information was not available for 4 non-PLA projects.

The SDA and DCC databases define construction start and construction completion slightly differently. The SDA's construction start is called "Construction Notice to Proceed" (NTP) and the completion date is called "Substantial Completion." It is understood that it may take a contractor several weeks after receiving the NTP certificate before actually starting the work on the construction site. Substantial completion means that the project essentially is completed, but finishing and clean-up activities may still be ongoing. For the DCC, the "Award Date" is used as the official construction start date, even though the contractor may take several more weeks before actually beginning the work. The "Closed Date" is the official construction end date, which usually is recorded at approximately 90 percent of the actual construction completion. Thus, construction duration is the time difference in weeks between the notice to proceed and the substantial completion dates for SDA projects, and the difference between award date and closed date for DCC monitored non-PLA projects.

There are a myriad of factors that influence the construction duration. Variables, such as project size and complexity, permitting, financing, material availability and delivery, change order requests, staffing and available resources, weather, unanticipated circumstances and more, play a crucial role in determining the projected and actual start and completion times of a construction project. Further, authorities with several school projects under construction may shift the priority from one construction site to another to accommodate the school calendar.

The length of time indicated for the construction duration is an approximation based on how the start and completion dates are recorded. There are disparities and variations in how projects are recorded. As a consequence, the findings should not be rigidly interpreted.

The average construction duration for all 152 projects, for which data were available, was 82.9 weeks. The average construction duration for the PLA school projects was an average of 95.1 weeks, versus an average of 89.6 weeks for the non-PLA school projects based on available data.

Timeliness data for the non-PLA projects are shown in Appendix VII. These data are not available for PLA projects.

THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (LWD) APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING EFFORTS AND RESULTS

LWD, together with its partner agencies, is actively engaged in promoting and expanding registered apprenticeships and other work-based learning initiatives. In addition, through the schools construction initiative, LWD is strongly committed to orientation and outreach activities to promote apprenticeship training for female and minority residents primarily in the Abbott districts.

The goal of the Construction Trades Training Program for Women and Minorities (CTTP-WM) is to place program graduates into full-time registered apprenticeship programs in the building and

construction trades. The program is considered a success if there is a 50 percent placement rate, among program graduates, into registered apprenticeship programs.

Since its inception in March 2002 through August 31, 2006, the CTTP-WM program has enrolled a total of 1,465 participants in training with 1,079 completions (73.7%) and 386 dropouts (26.3%). Of the 1,079 students who successfully completed the academic training, one-third (362) obtained a union apprenticeship, and 234 (21.7%) obtained non-union construction placements. This 55.2 percent rate of new personnel entering construction occupations surpasses the 50 percent goal and can be considered a success.

Table 12. Construction Trades Training Program for Women and Minorities (CTTP-WM)

March 2002 to August 2006

Summary Statistics

Completers

1,46	5 (100%)		1,079 (73.7%)	386 (26.3%)
Out	comes for	the	1079 Completers	
362	(33.5%)	-	Obtained Union Apprenticeship	
234	(21.7%)	-	Obtained Non-Union Apprenticeship o	r
			Other Construction Placements	
483	(44.8%)	-	Awaiting Apprenticeship Testing, Other	er Career Options, or
Unkı	nown Outco	me		- '

Drop Outs

Source: Author's calculations using data provided by the New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Office of the CTTP-WM.

LWD continues to meet with all construction trades unions and program operators to encourage their participation and commitment in the recruitment of apprentices and in the preparation of the individuals currently in the training programs.

PLA IMPROVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Participants

The PLA Act of July 25, 2002 stipulates that the PLA report issued for the year 2006 "...shall include an analysis of the overall effectiveness of the implementation of the act from the time of its enactment and any recommendations regarding legislation to make changes in the act deemed necessary by the commissioner to better effectuate those purposes."

The Act does not mandate but authorizes and encourages the use of PLAs for certain public works projects. The overall goals addressed in the legislation are reasonable, worthy, and satisfy economic, social, business, and public policy objectives. Many of the goals are universal and sensible for any construction business. The overriding goal and benefit are the preservation of labor harmony on complex and multi-year projects.

Overall, the impact of the PLA Act is rather mixed, both in terms of the frequency of use, as well as the effect on the performance indicators measured. Most construction project owners in New Jersey do not use PLAs. Only the SDA uses PLAs on their school construction projects. So far, the SDA completed 29

school projects in Abbott districts, and two school projects in Manchester Township, Ocean County, a non-Abbott district. Of the 220 qualifying projects, only 31 or 14.1% used a PLA.

The following recommendations are offered to improve the PLA Act:

- Establish incentives for the achievement of certain goals. Currently, there are no incentives or penalties for non-performance.
- Strengthen oversight and inspections of contractors and workers to ensure that the data provided are accurate. Self-reporting is problematic.
- Designate a unit or person to initiate appropriate follow-up actions when the report findings are below expected standards, such as the minority hiring by some construction trades/crafts, and the declines in the minority utilization rates from 2004 to 2006.
- Modify the annual reporting requirement to every two years.
- Stipulate that the SDA, Treasury and DOE provide LWD with their data within three months of a June 30 cut-off date. This will allow LWD to conduct the necessary validations, formatting, analysis, report writing, review and report issuance by the next year.
- Clearly identify and code all construction contracts. Treasury should also track the
 race/ethnicity for the relevant employment work hours. The present data coding by
 Treasury of construction contracts makes it challenging and time-consuming for LWD to
 merge contracts into the appropriate projects.
- Request that the SDA keep timeliness data on PLA projects.

DATA SOURCES

The obligation to evaluate and report on the effectiveness of the PLA Act entails, first and foremost, a considerable data collection effort and a comprehensive retrospective analysis of the many different public construction projects in New Jersey. When LWD research staff began to plan ways to compile the information needed for the annual reports, it was reasoned that it would not be in the best interest of New Jersey to create a new, costly, unfunded, computerized database if LWD could get access to appropriate existing data collection systems at other State agencies. Consequently, various State agencies were contacted to identify the availability and accessibility of suitable operational data collection systems, which could serve the needs of LWD. After careful consideration, it was concluded that the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts (DCC) and the New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA), previously called the Schools Construction Corporation (SCC), and the New Jersey Department of Education (DOE) could be of valuable assistance as primary data sources. LWD believes that the use of these primary data providers is the best way to systematically, routinely, comprehensively and cost-effectively collect PLA and non-PLA project information.

Neither the DCC nor the SDA tracking system was originally designed with the objective to monitor the implementation of the PLA Act. The DCC database primarily functions as a workforce compliance and equal employment opportunity in public contracts monitoring system. The SDA tracking system mainly serves as a school construction planning and management tool. Therefore, project-specific information on: safety; strikes, lockouts or other similar actions; specific contractor and subcontractor apprenticeship programs; set-aside goals for contracts which should be issued to minority- and women-owned businesses; and other project performance indicators, such as final construction costs, efficiency, quality and in, some instances, timeliness will not be available.

Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts, New Jersey Department of the Treasury

The Division of Contract Compliance and Equal Employment Opportunity in Public Contracts (DCC) tracks certain information on all State construction contracts and has become a significant contributor of raw data. To formalize this critical relationship, a Memorandum of Understanding was negotiated and signed on February 11, 2004 between the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, the Office of Information Technology, and the New Jersey Department of Labor and Workforce Development. DCC agreed to modify its tracking forms to include the designation of all projects as PLA or non-PLA. Of great benefit is their information on the use of minority, female and apprentice employees in public works contracts. If the private construction contractors correctly and responsibly fill out the required reports, it should be possible to analyze this important public policy issue. Appropriate access to the DCC database has been established which gives LWD the capabilities to review the monitored non-PLA projects.

LWD received electronically the most recent updated database from DCC covering all public works projects in New Jersey through June 2006, which became the cut-off date for the analysis. Several screens and hundreds of individual examinations, validations and queries were subsequently applied to obtain relevant information for the 189 Treasury-monitored non-PLA projects included in this analysis.

New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA) (Previously named: Schools Construction Corporation (SCC) On July 29, 2002, Governor James E. McGreevey signed Executive Order No. 24, creating the New Jersey Schools Construction Corporation, as a subsidiary corporation of the New Jersey Economic Development Authority. Executive Order No. 24 spelled out several objectives, with the essential purpose to ensure that the State's \$8.6 billion schools construction program, required by the New Jersey Supreme Court's 1998 Abbott decision, is implemented in an efficient and timely manner. On February 7, 2006, Governor Jon S. Corzine signed Executive Order No. 3 creating a new working group to oversee a full review of the schools construction program. The group issued an initial written report on March 15, 2006, recommending various reforms.

On August 6, 2007, legislation creating the New Jersey Schools Development Authority (SDA) was signed into law by Governor Jon S. Corzine. The SDA, an independent authority in but not of the Department of Treasury, is the successor to the New Jersey Schools Construction Corporation (SCC). The SDA is no longer a subsidiary of the EDA, though the EDA retains its role to provide financing for the SDA if new bonding authorization is approved by the legislature. After a short interim period until the Senate reconvenes in November 2007, the legislation mandates that the Governor appoint and the Senate confirm new SDA members with backgrounds directly relevant to the Authority's mission. These members collectively function as a board. Other reforms include creating a process that will allow Abbott districts to take on the responsibility to manage and construct their own projects, if they demonstrate the eligibility and capacity, with the SDA retaining ultimate responsibility for the project.

The SDA is responsible for financing, designing, and constructing all of the school facilities projects: in the 31 Abbott districts (special needs districts); in districts which receive 55 percent or more in State funding for education; and in the districts that are in level II State monitoring (districts that failed to show sufficient educational progress and are required to develop and implement a remedial plan). In the Abbott districts, the State provides 100 percent of the funding without the need for a voter referendum and without any financial, operational or management responsibility by local stakeholders. All school projects in these districts are constructed by the SDA under a PLA. In addition, the SDA is responsible for providing grants to fund the State share of school facilities projects approved by the Department of Education in districts with a district aid percentage of less than 55 percent (Section 15 districts). Those districts, which receive less than 55 percent funding may elect to have the SDA undertake the financing and/or construction of their school facilities projects.

In the past, the DCC tracked all public works projects including schools. The monitoring of school projects was transferred to the SDA in November 2003, and the SDA elected to develop its own data monitoring system to track all school projects under its oversight. In response to a March 10, 2004 letter from the Commissioner of Labor and Workforce Development to the SCC Chief Executive Officer, the SDA agreed to provide LWD appropriate access to its computerized database. The SDA supplied LWD with updated data with a cut-off date of June 30, 2006. Following the application of various edits, a cumulative total of 31 SDA completed school projects remained for this LWD analysis and report.

The SDA is the only organization using PLAs. Twenty-nine (29) of the SDA's completed school projects were in Abbott districts. Two (2) school projects in Manchester Township, Ocean County, which selected the SDA as their construction oversight agency, were in a non-Abbott district.

New Jersey Department of Education (DOE)

⁹Stipulated in the New Jersey Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act which became law on July 18, 2000.

To enhance the 2006 report, LWD was interested in conducting a comparative cost analysis of PLA and non-PLA school projects. DOE was to determine the availability of information regarding the size (square footage) and student capacity from the identified completed new schools. After various interactions, LWD received the requested information together with explanations and definitions on August 22, 2007. The DOE data has assisted in elucidating the building cost aspects of PLA and non-PLA schools.

The definitions used by DOE for student capacity are as follows: "Student capacity" means the ideal number of full-time equivalent students for which the school is designed in order to have sufficient space for the building to be educationally adequate for the delivery of programs and services necessary for student achievement of the Core Curriculum Content Standards. Student capacity is 100 percent of maximum capacity in the case of early childhood centers, 90 percent of maximum capacity in the case of elementary schools and middle schools, and 85 percent of maximum capacity in the case of high schools. The DOE also points out that the data are self-reported by the school districts and not necessarily validated.

METHODOLOGY

To complete the evaluation on the effectiveness of the PLA Act required the identification of the appropriate public works projects in New Jersey. Therefore, edits were applied to the DCC and SDA databases to eliminate all projects awarded prior to July 25, 2002, and all projects not completed by the cut-off date of June 30, 2006. Other screens eliminated all pumping stations and water/sewerage treatment plants, as well as all non-buildings, such as roads (improvements, re-surfacing, paving and drainage), tunnels, bridges, and golf courses. Following this, projects with less than \$5 million in estimated total costs were excluded. At the end of this process, there were a total of 220 projects which were organized by project type and by PLA and non-PLA designations.

In most cases, the analysis evaluated cumulative data. Some statistics have been examined on an annual basis. In order to inflation-adjust the cost of projects completed in different years, the analysis applied the 20-city building cost index purchased from McGraw Hill. All projects were indexed up to June 2006.

All information entered into the databases are self-reported and provided by the construction contractors themselves. The information was not audited. SDA and Treasury field representatives may occasionally catch an obvious error and question certain data, but in the end, the responsibility for and ownership of the information's accuracy and quality rests with the reporting contractors. LWD checks the records and attempts to validate the information for reasonableness.

Comparing a sufficient number of PLA and non-PLA projects with similar characteristics, such as location, type of project (elementary school, municipal building), construction mode (new, renovation or addition) and building size, was challenging. Additional concerns include the fact that all PLA projects except two are in Abbott districts and all are implemented by only one agency, the SDA. The SDA does not execute any non-PLA projects. This makes it difficult to carve out the impact of PLAs on the many performance factors, such as cost, employment, construction duration, and timeliness. For instance, higher or lower award amount costs may not be due to the fact that a PLA is in place, but due to the operating practices of the construction oversight organization. It would be desirable to have several organizations implement comparable PLA and non-PLA projects. This would allow for a more meaningful PLA versus non-PLA comparison once there are sufficient numbers of projects.

All Abbott and "fifty-five (55) percent plus" school district projects must be covered by PLAs. Because districts differ with respect to population and occupational characteristics and workforce readiness, geographic location, cost (urban vs. suburban, North versus South Jersey) and construction work site

environment/logistics (congested inner city versus open suburban space), differences between projects with and without PLAs could certainly be due to factors other than the use of PLAs. The difficulty increases with non-school projects where there are even less similarities among projects.

APPENDIX II

Socioeconomic and Demographic Characteristics of Communities with Completed New School Projects

It is useful to provide some insights into the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the population in the communities in which the schools were built. While each location is obviously different, there are generally two distinct and acknowledged types of construction sites: locations in the economically disadvantaged inner cities called Abbott¹⁰ or PLA districts, and locations in the generally wealthier suburbs and rural areas which mostly complete their school projects without a PLA.

Table A presents highlights of selected socioeconomic and demographic differences between cities with completed PLA (Abbott) and non-PLA (non-Abbott) new school building projects. Variations between New Jersey's northern, central and southern regions are also shown. The analysis includes the 37 municipalities (some locations had more than one project) with completed new schools: 15 PLA projects (12 North, 3 Central, 0 South); and 28 non-PLA projects (7 North, 10 Central, 11 South). The percentage numbers for the minority population, individuals below the poverty line, home ownership and student enrollment, are calculated from the total population in the municipalities in the respective geographic areas. The median income ranges indicate the lowest and highest median income of only the municipalities with new school construction that are located in the respective region. As shown in Table A, the median income for all locations is identical with the one for the North region of New Jersey.

¹⁰According to the State of New Jersey Department of Education (DOE), "Abbott" is the shorthand description of a series of New Jersey Supreme Court decisions growing out of litigation filed in 1981 on behalf of children residing in New Jersey's most economically disadvantaged municipalities. "Abbott" is the first-named plaintiff, but the name is now used to distinguish the 31 school districts selected by the Court and the Legislature to benefit from state financial assistance and to implement specific remedies mandated by the Court. Under the Abbott decisions, Abbott districts receive state aid that is calculated to provide them with the same per-pupil operating budget as would be found in New Jersey's wealthiest school districts. Called "Abbott parity aid", this funding is adjusted annually to reflect spending and enrollment in wealthy districts.

Table A. Socioeconomic and Demographic Indicators of the Aggregated 43 New School Building Construction Locations

	PLA	Non-PLA	New Jersey
Total Population		·	
Locations with Projects	823,851	895,496	8,521,427
North	671,387	459,234	
Central	152,464	352,365	
South	-	83,897	
Minority Population			
Locations with Projects	55.1%	32.8%	29.0%
North	54.5%	50.7%	
Central	57.3%	14.2%	
South	-	13.2%	
Unemployment Rate			
Locations with Projects	10.1%	5.9%	6.3%
North	9.7%	7.9%	U.J /0
Central	11.7%	4.0%	
South	11.//0	3.5%	
эоиш	-	3.5%	
Individuals Below Poverty			
Locations with Projects	18.6%	11.5%	8.2%
North	18.6%	18.3%	
Central	18.7%	4.4%	
South	-	4.0%	·
Home Ownership			
Locations with Projects	32.6%	63.6%	65.6%
North	28.8%	44.9%	U3.U /0
Central	48.1%	84.0%	
South	70.1/0	79.1%	
Journ	-	/7.170	
Median Income Range			
Locations with Projects	\$32,345 - \$56,037	\$30,665 - \$135,649	\$61,672
North	\$32,345 - \$56,037	\$30,665 - \$135,649	
Central	\$34,356 - \$46,250	\$32,134 - \$118,850	
South	-	\$39,988 - \$83,790	
Nursery School Enrollment			
Locations with Projects	2.5%	2.4%	2.2%
North	2.6%	2.6%	A.4 / U
Central	2.1%	2.2%	
South	2.170	2.4%	

Table A. Socioeconomic and Demographic Indicators of the Aggregated 43 New School Building

Construction Locations

(Continued)

	PLA	Non-PLA	New Jersey
Kindergarten Enrollment			
Locations with Projects	1.5%	1.5%	1.4%
North	1.5%	1.7%	
Central	1.5%	1.3%	
South	-	1.8%	
Elementary Enrollment			
Locations with Projects	11.1%	11.6%	11.1%
North	10.6%	11.7%	
Central	12.8%	11.2%	
South	-	12.5%	
High School Enrollment			
Locations with Projects	6.7%	5.5%	6.0%
North	6.7%	6.0%	
Central	6.6%	4.8%	
South	-	5.5%	

Source: American Community Survey (ACS), and decennial Census. Numbers are based on the 2005 ACS for big cities, and based on 2000 Census for smaller cities. Student enrollments are self-reported by surveyed households, and may vary from the actual school district enrollments.

North, Central, South Regions include municipalities in the following counties: North: Sussex, Passaic, Bergen, Warren, Morris, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Union.

Central: Somerset, Middlesex, Mercer, Monmouth, Ocean.

South: Burlington, Camden, Gloucester, Salem, Atlantic, Cumberland, Cape May.

As expected, there are substantial differences between PLA (10) and non-PLA (27) locations with completed <u>new</u> school projects, in terms of minority population, unemployment rate, individuals below the poverty level, home ownership, and median income. PLA/Abbott municipalities compared with non-PLA locations, by definition, are poorer, with a much higher percentage of minorities (55.1% vs. 32.8%), a higher unemployment rate (10.1% vs. 5.9%), a higher number of people living below the poverty level (18.6% vs. 11.5%), and with a much lower rate of homeownership (32.6% vs. 63.6%). Furthermore, while the statewide New Jersey median income was \$61,672, the median income range in the poorer PLA/Abbott locations with completed new schools was between \$32,345 and \$56,037. The median income range in the more affluent non-PLA locations was between \$30,665 and \$135,649. This represents a 142.1% differential at the higher end of the median income range.

Table A also depicts the school enrollment percentages for the State of New Jersey and for the PLA and non-PLA areas. Despite the difficult socioeconomic circumstances for the people living in the PLA/Abbott locations, the percentage of students enrolled in nursery school, kindergarten, elementary

and high school are not substantially different from that in the more affluent suburban and rural areas. The numbers are also relatively consistent between the three regions.

In order to obtain a more specific and local view of the income and poverty situation, Table B provides an overview of the median income and the percentage of its population below the poverty line for counties and municipalities in which new schools were completed. Some municipalities had multiple projects.

Table B. Median Income and Percentage of Population Below the Poverty Line in Counties and Municipalities with New School Construction

	.	2004	
County/District	Project	Median	Percent Below
Board of Education	Type	Income	Poverty Level
A /1 /4			***************************************
Atlantic		\$44,782	10.0%
Hamilton	Non-PLA		6.6%
Bergen		\$66,637	5.7%
Garfield	PLA		7.8%
Burlington		\$63,354	5.5%
Bordentown Twp.	Non-PLA	\$U3,33 4	2.8%
Burlington Twp.	Non-PLA		5.0%
Florence	Non-PLA		6.1%
Medford	Non-PLA		1.9%
North Hanover	Non-PLA		5.3%
Cape May		\$44,528	8.5%
Dennis	Non-PLA		5.5%
Essex		\$44,528	8.5%
Newark	Non-PLA	411,020	28.4%
West Orange	Non-PLA		5.6%
OT OTTOTAL			
GLOUCESTER		\$59,516	6.2%
Kingsway	Non-PLA		INA
Woolwich	Non-PLA		2.9%
HUDSON		\$40,311	14.4%
Jersey City	PLA		18.6%
Union City	PLA		21.4%
West New York	PLA		18.9%
HUNTERDON		CQ7 7A1	2 10/
Flémington	Non-PLA	\$87,701	3.1% 6.9%
Tewksbury	Non-PLA		2.7%
1 CWRSDUI y	INUIFFLA		4.170

Table B. Median Income and Percentage of Population Below the Poverty Line in Counties and Municipalities with New School Construction

(Continued)

	(Contr		
Ctu-/Di-dui-t	D .	2004	n . n 1
County/District Board of Education	Project	Median	Percent Below
MERCER	Туре	Income	Poverty Level
	NT. DI A	\$57,705	8.1%
W. Windsor/Mercer	Non-PLA		2.5%
Trenton	PLA .		21.1%
Washington	Non-PLA		3.7%
MIDDLESEX		\$60,987	6.9%
Perth Amboy	PLA		17.6%
South River	Non-PLA		4.9%
MONMOUTH		\$71,464	5.9%
Freehold Twp.	Non-PLA	472,101	3.9%
Neptune	PLA		3.570
MORRIS		\$82,173	4.1%
Washington	Non-PLA	302,1/3	
w asimigton	Non-FLA		2.3%
OCEAN		\$51,009	7.6%
Berkeley	Non-PLA		5.4%
Jackson	Non-PLA		3.7%
Plumsted	Non-PLA		5.0%
Stafford	Non-PLA		4.0%
Toms River	Non-PLA		INA
PASSAIC		\$47,861	12.0%
Clifton	Non-PLA		6.3%
Paterson	PLA		22.2%
Wayne	Non-PLA		2.8%
COMEDCET		070 77	4.00
SOMERSET	NI DI A	\$79,567	4.3%
Franklin	Non-PLA		5.1%
Montgomery	Non-PLA		1.5%
UNION		\$55,247	9.1%
Elizabeth	PLA		17.8%
WARREN		\$61,281	5,4%
Phillipsburg	PLA	WOI-JEGI	13.4%

Sources: Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 12/06. U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.

INA: Information Not Available

Table B clearly indicates that Abbott designated municipalities in which the new schools were completed with a PLA have a much higher percentage of individuals below the poverty line compared to the more affluent suburban townships. A case in point is the difference between three Passaic County municipalities: Paterson, an Abbott/PLA district has 22.2% of the population under the poverty line, compared to the non-PLA districts of Clifton and Wayne, which have only 6.3% and 2.8%, respectively, below the poverty level.

Appendix III. Cost Information for all 43 Completed New School Projects.

Z	Z	Z	Z	Prim		*		<		<	Earl	PLA
Ocean	Middlesex	Ocean	Cape May	Primary Schools		Warren	Middlesex	Bergen	Union	Union	Early Childhood Centers	County
Stafford	South River	Plumstead	Dennis	-		Phillipsburg	Perth Amboy	Garfield	Elizabeth	Elizabeth	enters	Location
The Primary Learning Center	South River Primary School	New Egypt Primary School	Primary School			Phillipsburg Early Childhood Center	Early Childhood Center	Early Childhood Center	Early Childhood Center #45	Early Childhood Center #44		Project Description
12/1/05	2/4/05	9/26/03	9/28/05			12/23/05	8/1/04	7/15/04	9/28/05	9/1/04		End Date
49,263	53,026	39,382	45,321		289,312	89,829	68,396	37,057	46,675	47,355		Square Footage
339	445	261	340	-	1,980	524	540	316	300	300		Student Capacity
\$6,575,705	\$11,053,456	\$6,873,300	\$7,513,814			\$19,340,000	\$11,922,535	\$8,875,000	\$11,064,000	\$11,377,736		Award Amount
\$6,618,404	\$11,666,342	\$8,025,322	\$7,687,400		\$66,431,799	\$20,357,895	\$12,894,045	\$9,598,181	\$11,319,604	\$12,262,075		Index Award Amount
\$134.35	\$220.01	\$203.78	\$169.62		\$229.62	\$226.63	\$188.52	\$259.01	\$242.52	\$258.94		Index Cost per Square Foot
\$19,523	\$26,216	\$30,748	\$22,610		\$33.551	\$38,851	\$23,878	\$30,374	\$37,732	\$40,874	манра анада	Index Cost per Student

				MICHEL STREET STREET,	The second secon					
			, , , ,	1					Index Cost per	Index Cost
PLA	County	Location	Project Description	End Date	Square Footage	Student Capacity	Award Amount	Index Award Amount	Square Foot	per Student
				-	186 992	1 385		£33 007 460	910101	
Elem	Elementary Schools				100,774	1,505		000,77/,409	2181.81	824,547
			5-6 Elementary				-			
Z	Ocean	Berkeley	School	10/7/04	75,300	567	\$15,443,753	\$16,339,807	\$217.00	\$28.818
,	•		K-5 Elementary						***	\$20,0
Z	Passaic	Clifton	School	6/7/04	82,010	420	\$12,139,881	\$13.318.272	\$162.40	\$31 710
			West Freehold					9 1 2 9 1 2 4	4.02.10	Ψ.J., /
Z	Mannauth	T 1 . 1	Elementary							
1	ITIDOLIHIOTAL	Licelloid	School	7/27/04	82,025	622	\$15,506,203	\$16,769,729	\$204.45	\$26.961
			North 70		-					-
			Flementary						and decidence and	
z	Burlington	Medford	School	12/30/04	57.963	423	\$11 584 056	\$12 104 601	©310 30	900
			Chairville - South				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 1	## I O. J)	\$20,027
Z	Burlington	Medford	School	7/30/04	59 766	451	€10 4/3 037	\$11 202 000	9)
		West Windsor			5 - 5 -	Ę.	#±0,110,007	Ψ11,473,770	\$100.77	\$25,042
		C. Special	Elementary							
z	Mercer	Services	School	6/6/05	88,421	333	\$25,303,940	\$26,216,066	\$296.49	\$78.727
			Belmont Runyon Flementary							7. 29.
z	Essex	Newark	School	5/20/04	112,001	536	\$19,989,000	\$21.929.287	\$195.80	\$40 013
Z	Burlington	North Handra	Upper Elementary			·			1	# 10,0
1:	2 di inigroni	TAOTHI TIGHTOACI	3011001	4/2//06	124,934	472	\$24,376,432	\$24,404,548	\$195.34	\$51,705
			lewksbury Elementary							
Z	Hunterdon	Tewksbury	School	1/1/05	63,662	375	\$12.361.777	\$13 012 397	\$304.40	e2/ 7/
		·	B. Cucinella Flementary			-		# 2 0 9 0 4 mg 0 0 0 4	ΨΕΟΤ.ΤΟ	φυτ, /00
z	Morris	Washington	School	9/19/05	86,640	683	\$18.427.557	\$18.853.276	\$217.60	677 KD
							4 2 29 1 2 1 900 1	@ 1 0,000 John 10	Φ21/100	\$47,004

Z	Z	2	Z	Midd	→	<	~	<	X	~		z	PLA
Gloucester	Atlantic	Hunterdon	Burlington	Middle Schools	Mercer	Passaic	Monmouth	Hudson	Union	Union		Gloucester	County
Kingsway	Hamilton	Flemington	Burlington		Trenton	Paterson	Neptune	Jersey City	Elizabeth	Elizabeth		Woolwich	Location
Kingsway Middle School	William Davies Middle School	Flemington - Raritan Middle School	Burlington Middle School	No. of the	Mott Elementary School	Roberto Clemente School	Summerfield Elementary School	PS3 Elementary School	Ronald Reagan Academy	Dr. Albert Einstein Academy, PreK-8	2	Elementary School	Project Description
2/2/06	9/6/05	8/16/05	4/27/06		6/14/05	4/6/05	4/15/06	12/30/05	6/15/06	11/25/05		9/25/03	End Date
96,196	162,533	155,165	181,700	657,405	64,944	117,820	106,750	117,939	125,380	124,572	930,722	98,000	Square Footage
921	1,071	848	1,293	3,272	315	591	432	490	722	722	5,500	618	Student Capacity
\$18,780,398	\$21,013,160	\$30,028,912	\$16,342,850		\$7,056,000	\$26,598,000	\$21,804,700	\$25,100,000	\$27,987,000	\$31,250,000		\$6,609,675	Award Amount
\$18,802,059	\$21,662,022	\$32,362,920	\$16,361,700	\$141,704,200	\$7,299,890	\$27,970,758	\$21,829,850	\$25,163,779	\$27,987,000	\$31,452,922	\$182,049,573	\$7,717,511	Index Award Amount
\$195.46	\$133.28	\$208.57	\$90.05	\$215.55	\$112.40	\$237.40	\$204.50	\$213.36	\$223.22	\$252.49	\$195.60	\$78.75	Index Cost per Square Foot
\$20,415	\$20.226	\$38,164	\$12.654	\$43,308	\$23,174	\$47.328	\$50,532	\$51,355	\$38,763	\$43,564	\$33,100	\$12,488	Index Cost per Student

i	1	1		1 .	1		1.		1.	1				1	Free 2	
Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	High		Y	Y	Y		Z	Z	Z	FLA	7
Mercer	Somerset	Ocean	Somerset	Burlington	Burlington	High Schools		Hudson	Hudson	Hudson		Essex	Passaic	Ocean	County	
Washington	Montgomery	Jackson	Franklin	Florence	Bordentown			West New York	Union City	Jersey City		West Orange	Wayne	Toms River	Location	
Washington High School	Montgomery High School	Jackson High School	Franklin High School	Florence High School	Bordentown High School			Middle School	Jose Marti Middle School	Middle School #4		Liberty Middle School	Anthony Wayne Middle School	Intermediate School South	Description	Project
5/13/05	6/10/05	9/30/05	3/8/05	4/27/06	4/27/06			7/30/04	7/30/04	12/30/05		9/13/05	9/15/05	7/8/05	Date	End
224,681	321,932	299,805	319,083	120,791	175,619		473,277	171,281	132,318	169,678	959,839	106,880	95,808	161,557	Footage	Square
1,142	796	1,033	1,316	408	714		2,284	872	602	810	6,428	540	588	1,167	Capacity	Student
\$12,808,478	\$57,464,805	\$48,003,581	\$50,585,800	\$8,685,800	\$31,170,900			\$29,794,000	\$24,749,000	\$37,644,000		\$21,935,000	\$22,015,300	\$27,524,160	Amount	Award
\$13,337,043	\$59,536,227	\$49,112,575	\$53,338,769	\$9,645,950	\$34,616,608		\$96,727,099	\$32,221,769	\$26,765,677	\$37,739,653	\$162,800,465	\$22,612,328	\$22,523,904	\$28,475,531	Amount	Index Award
\$59.36	\$184.93	\$163.82	\$167.16	\$79.86	\$197.11		\$204.38	\$188.12	\$202.28	\$222.42	\$169.61	\$211.57	\$235.09	\$176.26	Foot	Index Cost per Square
\$11,679	\$74,794	\$47,544	\$40,531	\$23,642	\$48,483		\$42.350	\$36,952	\$44,461	\$46,592	\$25,327	\$41,875	\$38,306	\$24,401	Student	Index Cost per

<	1	 	-	ы
Cor				PLA
Y: Constructed with a PLA		Passaic		County
PLA		Paterson		Location
N: Not constructed with a PLA		PANTHER Academy		Project Description
vith a PLA		8/1/04		End Date
	26,666	26,666	1,461,911	Square Student Footage Capacit
	149	149	5,409	Student Capacity
		149 \$8,461,200		Award Amount
	\$9,150,662	\$9,150,662	\$219,587,171	Index Award Amount
	\$343.16	\$343.16	\$150.21 \$40,597	Index Cost per Square Foot
	\$343.16 \$61,414	\$61,414	\$40,597	Index Cost per Student

APPENDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(All 220 Projects)

		The state of the s				
District/Board of Education	Project Name	Total Project	Minority	Minority	Female	Apprentice
Atlantic	Jess 11000	STROIT VIOAA	raiucipauon	Obligation	Participation	Participation
Dept Of Veterans/Military Affairs	Atlantic City Armory	3.226	38 7%	20 Oc	0 00%	رم د ا
Hamilton	William Davies Middle School	131 465	14 60%	30.00%	1 00/0	17.070
Richard Stockton College	F. Wing (Academic)	200,700	10.070	20.0%	1.0%	16.7%
Richard Stockton College	Student Housing / Academia	50,002	19.5%	20.0%	0.0%	17.1%
Ventnor City	Ventor Library	33,134	18.5%	20.0%	1.5%	13.8%
Bergen	• Simol Libial y	34,4/3	4./%	20.0%	1.8%	15.5%
Bergen County	Parking Deck	15.550	6 9%	10.0%	0 00%	۸ ۸۵
Demarest	Northern Valley Regional High School	38 335	7 80%	10.0%	0.00	V.#./0
Edgewater	Eleanor Van Gelder Elementary School	11 958	18 10%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fort Lee Borough	Community Center	47 700	10.170	10.0%	0.0%	14.9%
Franklin Lakes	Colonial Road Flamentary School	4/,/00	0.2%	10.0%	1.1%	2.0%
*Garfield	Farly Childhood Center	2,932	2.4%	10.0%	0.0%	4.7%
Ho-Ho-Kus	Ho-Ho-King Flamonton: School	26,230	18.0%	10.0%	0.3%	14.0%
New Jersey Transit	Modern Meinternary School	27,072	9.3%	10.0%	0.0%	10.3%
Northern Valley	Meadows Maintenance Complex	279,247	11.5%	10.0%	0.4%	3.7%
Northern Valley	Notified valley Regional High School	23,807	15.5%	10.0%	0.4%	28.7%
Pamana Callaca	Old Lappan High School	19,159	23.9%	10.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Ramano College	Sports & Recreation Center	114,418	13.9%	10.0%	0.5%	9.5%
Pamana Indian Utilla	Student Housing	92,579	16.4%	10.0%	1.1%	10.5%
Ramano Indian Lilla	mulan Hills High School	70,115	16.8%	10.0%	0.0%	6.3%
Rameav	Ramapo High School	93,692	17.3%	10.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Rutherford	John 1. Dater Elementary School	30,588	2.2%	10.0%	0.0%	10.1%
Rutherford	Part of Trief Si	108,838	7.8%	10.0%	0.1%	9.3%
Rutherford	Rullerlord High School	28,594	8.9%	10.0%	1.3%	5.6%
Saddle Brook	washington Elementary School	108,838	7.8%	10.0%	0.1%	9.3%
Woodcliff I aba	Saudie Brook Middle/High School	45,611	17.9%	10.0%	0.1%	13.0%
Burlington	w oodenn Middle School	38,094	37.5%	10.0%	0.2%	13.8%
Bordentown	Bordentown High School	86.861	15.7%	16.0%	0 7%	1 5 00/
Burlington County /Soc. Services	Westampton Complex	41,045	15.6%	16.0%	0.0%	14 3%
Indicates PLA Project						,

APPENDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(Continued)

	Continued)					
District/Books of Tidenation		Total Project	Minority	Minority	Female	Apprentice
Burlington County Institute of Took	Wortenate Country Name	Work Hours	Participation	Obligation	Participation	Participation
Burlington County Institute of Tech	Westampton Campus	146,628	20.6%	16.0%	1.1%	9.8%
Durlington County Histitute Of Lech	Parallington Middle Col.	75,418	17.3%	16.0%	0.1%	8.7%
Dant Of Watergraf/Military Affician	Burlington Middle School	9,393	5.0%	15.0%	0.0%	15.5%
Dept Of Veterans/Military Affairs	Doyle Veterans Memorial Cemetery B.	9,464	16.5%	16.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Horence	Florence Township High School	24,488	8.5%	15.0%	0.0%	15.5%
Mediord	North 70 Elementary School	58,786	9.8%	16.0%	0.3%	12.8%
Medford	South 70 Elementary School	41,382	10.7%	16.0%	2.3%	21.0%
Moorestown	Moorestown High School	84,594	9.2%	16.0%	1.1%	17.1%
North Hanover	Upper Elementary School	89,627	9.2%	16.0%	2.2%	13.1%
Riverside	Riverside Elementary School	43,769	10.4%	16.0%	0.2%	17 10%
Riverside	Riverside Middle / High School	49,214	12.4%	16.0%	2.0%	10.1%
Camden	1		. !		1	17:5/6
Audubon	Audubon Junior / Senior High School	55,032	9.6%	16.0%	1.2%	13 7%
Barrington	Avon Elementary School	38,159	14.1%	16.0%	0.1%	8.3%
Berlin Borough	Berlin Community Elementary School	42,211	14.7%	16.0%	0.0%	13.8%
Cherry Hill	Cherry Hill Library	82,627	12.9%	16.0%	0.5%	14.3%
County of Camden	Camden County Youth Center	23,552	8.9%	16.0%	0.0%	10.2%
County of Camden	Cooper River Boathouse	13,543	18.4%	16.0%	0.0%	18.1%
Eastern Camden	Eastern Regional High School	59,695	17.2%	16.0%	0.9%	14.5%
Gane May	Cold Springs Elementary School	57,629	11.6%	16.0%	0.2%	13.1%
Ĉape May	Atlantic Cape Community College	69 154	12 0%	0 00 V	0 00	10 70
Avalon Board	Avalon Community School	46.304	10.0%	8 00%	1 40%	14.3%
Dennis	Primary School	37.119	21 5%	× 0%	0.0%	14.2%
Lower Cape May	Lower Cape May Regional High School	79 168	10.6%	8 00%	0.0%	13.2%
Ocean City	Public Works / Engineering Center	24,259	3.0%	8.0%	0.0%	18 80%
Cumberland		•	•			10.070
*Bridgeton	Buckshutem Road Elementary School	62,593	17.8%	21.0%	0.8%	19.3%
Vinaland / Dant of Victorian Age:	Cumberland County College Library	59,681	12.1%	21.0%	2.1%	14.2%
*Millyilla District	VA Memorial Home (Vineland)	304,701	10.1%	21.0%	1.3%	14.1%
Essex	Lakeside Middle School	118,066	22.8%	21.0%	1.6%	17.2%
Bloomfield	Bloomfield High School	37.3 5.00	26 40/			
County Of Essex	Essex County Court House	66 014	20,00/	42.0%	5.7%	11.1%
*Indicates PLA Project		00,014	23.070	42.0%	3./%	6.8%
andicates a real at office						

APPENDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(Continued)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Total Project	Minority	Minority	Female	Apprentice
County Of Essex	Essex County Court House	66,014	29.0%	42.0%	5.7%	7 8 M
County Of Essex	South Mountain Arena Parking	58,863	27.6%	42.0%	0.2%	8 4%
East Orange City	East Orange Police Facility	51,143	17.2%	42.0%	0.6%	10.2%
*East Orange	Campus 9 High School (Clifford Scott)	105,965	47.7%	42.0%	3.9%	16.0%
Glen Ridge	Glen Ridge High School	32,864	30.6%	42.0%	0.0%	10.7%
Livingston	Livingston Public Library	56,176	14.0%	42.0%	0.0%	10.7%
Montclair State University	Academic Building	262,798	14.8%	42.0%	1.7%	11.1%
Montclair State University	Alexander Kasser Theater	63,118	22.3%	42.0%	0.8%	10.7%
Montclair State University	Children's Center	26,659	27.8%	42.0%	0.7%	16.1%
Montclair State University	Student Resident Facility	160,994	17.5%	42.0%	1.3%	6.7%
Montclair Parking Authority	Crescent Parking Deck	24,521	29.4%	42.0%	11.0%	1.0%
New Jersey Transit	Bayhead Yard	22,049	19.0%	42.0%	0.3%	1.3%
Newark	Belmont Runyon Elementary School	77,037	25.0%	42.0%	1.2%	3.8%
North Caldwell	Grandview Elementary School	20,220		42.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Rulgers University	Life Science Building / Olson Hall	40,213	29.9%	42.0%	1.0%	15.2%
Ruigers University	University Square Housing	46,759	42.4%	53.0%	1.3%	10.9%
CIVIDAL	Ambulatory Care Center	225,179	29.0%	42.0%	0.1%	12.7%
CINIDAL	Cancer Research Center	361,749	24.7%	42.0%	0.5%	11.2%
What Bass Basiness	Science Center	41,572	10.5%	42.0%	4.0%	13.4%
West Orange	West Essex High School	90,892	13.4%	42.0%	0.3%	13.8%
Gloucester	Elocity Middle School	39,381	29.7%	42.0%	0.1%	9.8%
Clearview Regional	Clearview Regional High School	111,563	10.5%	10.0%	0.0%	8 5%
Clearview Regional	Clearview Regional Middle	64,803		10.0%	1.1%	19.2%
Gateway Regional	Gateway Regional High School	59,383	13.6%	10.0%	0.3%	14.5%
Classoporo	Glassboro Intermediate School	23,336	2.1%	10.0%	0.0%	23.0%
Vincester County Vo-Tech/Deptord	Gloucester County Inst. of Technology	65,391	7.7%	10.0%	0.8%	17.2%
Monroe Monroe	Kingsway Middle School	98,532	13.4%	10.0%	4.9%	9.3%
Power College	Williamstown Middle School	151,814	13.5%	10.0%	0.9%	12.6%
Rowan College	College of Education Building	124,131		10.0%	0.5%	10.9%
Monan Conego	Suddent Modular / Lownhome Housing	211,158	17.9%	10.0%	0.9%	12.1%
*Indicatos DI A Project						

APPEDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(Continued)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Total Project Work Hours	Minority Participation	Minority Obligation	Female Participation	Apprentice Participation
Washington	Chestnut Ridge Middle School	27 474	۲ راد د م	10.00/	0 10/	15 10/
Washington	Orchard Valley Middle School	29,916	8 7%	10.0%	0.17	13.170
Woolwich	Elementary School	31,660	13.5%	10.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Hudson						
Hudson County	Hudson County Community College	14,994	26.7%	38.0%	2.9%	19.8%
Hudson County Community College	Culinary Arts School	185,629	30.3%	38.0%	0.5%	9.0%
*Tersey City	Freshman Academy at Lincoln HS	101,080	41.0%	38.0%	3.2%	6.9%
*I crisey City	Middle School #4	570,053	28.0%	38.0%	1.9%	10.9%
or Division of Break of Break	PS3 Elementary School	458	29.9%	38.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Now Paracia City The instance of Property	Liberty State Park Railroad Terminal	44,531	29.1%	38.0%	0.0%	10.2%
New Jersey City Ulliversity	University Academy Charter H. School	7,420	26.9%	38.0%	0.0%	0.0%
New Jersey Cry Offiversity	Arts & Science Building	94,556	29.5%	38.0%	0.4%	8.8%
North Barran	Hoboken Ferry Terminal	42,664	19.0%	38.0%	0.0%	2.3%
Carancias Colecti	Coordinate of the control of the con	13,590	31.1%	38.0%	1.2%	14.6%
*Union City	Secarcus High / Middle School	53,442	26.2%	38.0%	0.0%	9.6%
*West New York	Jose Marti Middle School	111,629	20.4%	38.0%	0.9%	13.6%
Hunterdon	Middle School	182,082	24.9%	38.0%	0.9%	12.1%
Hunterdon County	Hunterdon County Main Library	19.750	7 9%	\$ 00%	0 10%	0.70%
Flemington - Raritan	Flemington - Raritan Middle School	183,769	11.8%	5.0%	0.3%	10.1%
North-Voornees	North Hunterdon High School	50,258	6.1%	5.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Pendington	Three Bridges Elementary School	28,565	13.0%	5.0%	1.1%	13.3%
Readington	Whitehouse Elementary School	38,677	18.2%	5.0%	0.0%	8.4%
Tewkehury	Middle School	23,354	30.0%	5.0%	0.5%	2.4%
Mercer	Elementary School	73,882	5.5%	5.0%	0.2%	7.6%
East Windsor Regional	Hightstown High School	42,890	17.3%	19.0%	6.4%	5 2%
East Windsor Regional	Rogers Elementary School	30,228	14.7%	19.0%	0.0%	20.4%
Hamilton	Hamilton High School West	27,344	17.1%	19.0%	0.0%	17.3%
Taurence	Steinert High School	19,408	8.6%	19.0%	0.0%	21.3%
Marcar County Special Samisas	Lawrence High School	87,013	22.6%	19.0%	0.0%	8.3%
*Indicates BI A Busines	Elementary School	164,970	13.7%	19.0%	0.6%	7.0%
"Indicates PLA Project					1 1 1	1.070

APPENDIX IV
Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project
(Continued)

*Indicates PLA Project	*Asbury Park	Aberdeen	Monmouth	Spotswood	South River	South Brunswick	South Brunswick	Sayleville	Rulgers University	Rutgers University	Rutgers University	Rutgers University	Fern Amboy	Fern Amboy	Old Bridge	North Brunswick	Dunellen	Cranbury	County of Middlesex	Middlesex	Washington	*Trenton	Firenton	The College of NJ	The College of NJ	The College of NJ	Princeton	Princeton Regional	Princeton Regional	Princeton Regional	Princeton Regional	Princeton Borough	N.J. Division of Purchase & Property	District Board of Education	District/Boots of Education
	Bradley Primary School	Matawan Regional High School		Spotswood Elementary School	South River Primary School	Greenbrook Elementary School	Acres Elementary School	Samsel Upper Elementary School	Genetics & Biomaterial Life Sciences C.	Hale Center (Athletic Center)	Biomedical Engineering Building	Administration Service Building II	Ignacio Cruz Early Childhood Center	Perth Amboy Public Safety Complex	Old Bridge High School	North Brunswick High School	Lincoln Middle School	Cranbury Elementary / Middle School	Long Term Care Facility (Roosevelt)		High School	P.J.Hill Elementary School	Mott Elementary School	Student Apartments	Metzger Parking Deck	Athletic Field Complex	Princeton Library	Princeton High School	Johnson Park Elementary School	John Witherspoon Middle School	Community Park Elementary School	Spring Street Garage & Plaza	Hughes Justice Complex	Project Name	
0,000	3 0 8 K	39,962		41,981	21,464	8,632	8,129	53,305	4,041	36,247	61,059	20,613	84,848	65,488	89,263	70,163	13,198	27,336	140,926	9	72,636	54,339	47,974	65,467	14,698	27,538	21,618	94,928	14,265	129,530	14,847	43,996	35,443	Work Hours	Total Project
17,1/0	10 10/	16.2%		19.0%	34.7%	16.6%	16.6%	2.9%	1.1%	24.0%	8.4%	7.5%	29.1%	19.5%	17.2%	26.6%	23.5%	16.9%	21.1%		5.9%	24.8%	23.7%	20.9%	14.0%	15.7%	14.1%	18.4%	12.8%	13.1%	12.8%	12.2%	29.4%	Participation	Minority
11.070	11 00/	11.0%		16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%		19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	Obligation	Minority
2.9%	2007	0.1%		0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	1.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.5%	1.4%	1.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	4.0%	Participation	Female
13.0%	13.00	7.1%		7.6%	6.8%	11.1%	11,1%	18.7%	0.0%	8.4%	12.1%	12.8%	13.1%	12.8%	8.3%	11.1%	5.0%	4.2%	7.3%	22:0/0	22.6%	10.3%	7.2%	7.4%	5.8%	7.0%	11.2%	9.2%	8.9%	15.1%	8.9%	4.4%	12.4%	Participation	Apprentice

APPENDIX IV
Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project
(Continued)

	Commed				
District/Board of Education	Project Name	Total Project Work Hours	Minority Participation	Minority Obligation	Female Participation
		OTH TIOUT	r an acibanon	Congation	т аппстранс
Freehold Regional	Freehold Borough High School	24,603	5.6%	11.0%	0.0%
Freehold	West Freehold Elementary School	84.567		11 0%	1 30%
Henry Hudson Regional	H. Hudson Reg. Middle/High School	44,674	22.2%	11.0%	0.0%
Holmdel	Holmdel High School	21,379	12.9%	11.0%	0.1%
Holmdel	Village Elementary School	59,374	24.7%	11.0%	0.0%
Little Silver	Markham Place Middle School	70,832	20.2%	11.0%	0.7%
Matawan-Aberdeen Regional	Matawan Middle School	85,104	18.6%	11.0%	0.6%
Monmouth County	Biotechnology High School	91,015	12.0%	11.0%	0.3%
*Neptune	Green Grove Elementary School	93,700	28.6%	11.0%	0.7%
Neptune	Neptune Early Childhood Center	47,700	18.8%	11.0%	0.0%
Neptune	Neptune Middle School	122,467	22.3%	11.0%	3.4%
"Neptune	Shark River Hills Elementary School	56,669	22.0%	11.0%	0.7%
Nepune	Summerfield Elementary School	155,344	23.4%	11.0%	1.4%
Ocean	Ocean Township Intermediate School	33,235	24.5%	11.0%	0.0%
Ked Bank Kegional	Red Bank Regional High School	23,846	8.0%	11.0%	2.0%
Spring Lake	Spring Lake Heights Elementary School	19,163	21.3%	11.0%	0.0%
What I am Bernal	Allentown High School	56,551	16.2%	11.0%	0.0%
Morris Morris	Frank Antonides Middle School	2,072	12.0%	11.0%	0.0%
Dover	East Dover Elementary School	14,145	13.7%	7.0%	1.6%
Flornam Park	Ridgedale Middle School	27,754	11.6%	7.0%	0.0%
Jerreison	High School	77,617	21.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Viscolor Domest	Stanlick Elementary School	13,524	0.5%	7.0%	1.3%
Mandham Baranah	Stonybrook Elementary School	30,152	14.2%	7.0%	0.0%
Mandham	Mendham Middle School	23,804	21.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Mendham	Mendham Elementary School	22,027	24.4%	7.0%	0.0%
Morris	Morristown High School	49,906	15.6%	7.0%	0.0%
Morris County College	Student Community Center	74,673	10.3%	7.0%	0.0%
Morris County Vo-Tech.	Morris County Vocational School	29,492	6.8%	7.0%	0.1%
Mountain Lakes	Mountain Lakes High School	28,722	14.8%	16.0%	0.1%
*Indicates PLA Project					

APPENDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(Continued)

Madison Station / Morris & Essex Line Police Headquarters/Municipal Court B. Benedict A. Cucinella Elem. School Bayville Elementary School Clara B. Worth Elementary School John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Manchester Middle School Ocean County Library Technology Center New Egypt Elementary School Memorial Middle School Point Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School		Continued	Total Project	Minority	2	Minority	linority Female
Jersey Transit Jersey Transit Madison Station / Morris & Essex Line Police Headquarters/Municipal Court B. Benedict A. Cucinella Elem. School Beyelley John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Ocean County Library Technology Center Sted Memorial Middle School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional River Regional Machester High School Memorial Middle School New Egypt Primary School Point Pleasant High School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School Roberto Clemente School Roborto Clemente School Roborto Clemente School Roborto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	District/Board of Education	Project Name	Work Hours	ours	٠,	Participation (Participation Obligation Pa
pany-Troy Hills Police Headquarters/Municipal Court B. Benedict A. Cucinella Elem. School Bey Bey Bey Bayville Elementary School Clara B. Worth Elementary School Pley Clara B. Worth Elementary School Jackson High School John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Manchester High School Manchester Middle School Manchester Middle School Manchester Middle School Memorial Middle School New Egypt Primary School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional Memorial Middle School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South Memorial Middle School Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School Roberto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	New Jersey Transit	Madison Station / Morris & Essex Line	26,021	21		49.6%	49.6% 7.0%
lley ley ley ley ley ley ley ley ley ley	Parsippany-Troy Hills	Police Headquarters/Municipal Court B.	39,473	73			9.7%
sley sley sley Step Step Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step River Regional Step Step Step River Regional Step Step River Regional Step Step Step River Regional Step Step River Regional Step Step River Regional Step Step Step Step Step Step Step Step	Wasnington Ocean	Benedict A. Cucinella Elem. School	44,273	73		10.2%	10.2% 7.0%
sley sley clara B. Worth Elementary School clara B. Worth Elementary School John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Manchester High School Manchester High School Ocean County Library Technology Center New Egypt Elementary School New Egypt Primary School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional n ic County County River Regional Anthony Wayne Middle School Passaic Chool Roberto Clemente School Pant Anthony Wayne Middle School	Berkeley	5-6 Elementary School	72.	72.063		19.1%	19 1% 60%
clara B. Worth Elementary School Jackson High School John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Manchester High School Coean County Library County County County County County County Coean County Library Technology Center New Egypt Primary School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional Memorial Middle School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Berkeley	Bayville Elementary School	_	6.890		4 7%	4.7% 6.0%
on Wood Wood John J. Franklin Public Works Complex Municipal Complex Municipal Complex Manchester High School Manchester High School Manchester Middle School Ocean County Library Technology Center New Egypt Primary School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional Memorial Middle School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Berkeley	Clara B. Worth Elementary School		5,802		4.7%	4.7% 6.0%
Egg Harbor Municipal Complex New Egypt Primary School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Number 8, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 9, Municipal Complex Manthony Wayne Middle School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Jackson	Jackson High School	2	292,613	<u></u>	13.8%	13.8% 6.0%
hester hester hester hester Amnchester High School Manchester High School Ocean County Library County County Technology Center New Egypt Elementary School Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant River Regional River Regional River Regional River Regional River Regional Anthony Wayne Middle School PANTHER Academy High School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Lakewood	John J. Franklin Public Works Complex		40,579	29	29.7%	29.7% 7.0%
hester hester County 1 County	*Manchartan	Municipal Complex		17,179		7.3%	7.3% 6.0%
County County County County County County Coean County Library Coean County Library Technology Center New Egypt Elementary School New Egypt Primary School Memorial Middle School Pleasant Point Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County River Regional K-5 Elementary School Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	*Manchester	Manchester High School		96,120			17.2%
r County Flementary School r County Flementary School r County r County r County Flementary School r County Flementary School r County r County Flementary School r County Flementary S	Ocean County	vialicilester ivindale school		62,546		18.0%	18.0% 6.0%
sted Sted New Egypt Elementary School New Egypt Primary School New Egypt Primary School New Egypt Primary School Memorial Middle School Pleasant Point Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County ic County Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Ocean County	Technology Contary		60,736		12.0%	12.0% 6.0%
Sted New Egypt Primary School Memorial Middle School Pleasant Point Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) ic ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Antthony Wayne Middle School	Plumsted	New Egynt Flementary School		14,424	14,424 5.5% 16,500 4.50/	2.5%	5.3% 6.0% 4.5% 60%
Pleasant Pleasant Pleasant Point Pleasant High School Point Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School PANTHER Academy High School Antthony Wayne Middle School	Plumsted	New Fount Primary School		43,550		30.68/	20 69/
Pleasant High School Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South River Regional K-5 Elementary School ic County Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School ic Roberto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Point Pleasant	Memorial Middle School	1	11 757	. 22	20.0%	1 10/
Stafford Township Intermediate School The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School ic Roberto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Point Pleasant	Point Pleasant High School		13 434		2 30/	2 30/
River Regional The Primary Learning Center Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County ic County ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School ic Roberto Clemente School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Stafford	Stafford Township Intermediate School) 170 170		0.00%	0.0%
River Regional Intermediate School South K-5 Elementary School ic County Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) ic Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School ic Number 7, Grant Elementary School ic Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School e Anthony Wayne Middle School	Stafford	The Primary Learning Center	-	11 283		0.0%	0.0% 0.0%
Roberto Clementery School Roberto Clementer School Roberto Mayne Middle School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Toms River Regional	Intermediate School South		10.055		7.4% 41 08/	7.4% /.0% /1 00/
Representations (Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Passaic			,,,,,,,	4	71.7/0	41:576 0:0%
Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne) Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Clifton	K-5 Elementary School		70,269		12.4%	12.4% 24.0%
Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Passaic County	Passaic County Tech. Institute (Wayne)	<u>-</u>	116,536	17	17.4%	17.4% 24.0%
Number 7, Grant Elementary School Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Passaic	Number 3, Mario J Drago Elem. School	5	55,154	5,154 21.8%	21	21.8%
Roberto Clemente School PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	*Passaic	Number 7, Grant Elementary School	ယ္ဆ	36,028	6,028 13.7%	13	13.7% 24.0%
PANTHER Academy High School Anthony Wayne Middle School	Passaic	Roberto Clemente School	18	180,062	30	30.2%	30.2%
Anthony Wayne Middle School	*Faterson	PANTHER Academy High School	4	48,569	8,569 26.7%	26.7%	26.7% 24.0%
	*Indicates PLA Project	Anthony Wayne Middle School	47	47,411	7,411 17.7%		17.7% 24.0%

APPENDIX IV

Minority, Female, Apprentice Construction Employment Participation by Project

(Continued)

	Contined					
District/Board of Education	Project Name	Total Project Work Hours	Minority Participation	Minority Obligation	Female Participation	Apprentice Participation
Wayne	Wayne Hills High School	45.232	8 6%	24 0%	0.0%	10 40%
Wayne Somerset	Wayne Valley High School	21,139	26.1%	24.0%	0.2%	5.9%
Franklin	Franklin High School	305,137	18.9%	8.0%	0.1%	8.3%
Montgomery	Montgomery High School	262,637	5.2%	8.0%	1.0%	12.8%
Watchung Borough	Bayberry Elementary School	55,502	27.7%	8.0%	0.0%	11.8%
watching Hills Regional Sussex	Valley View Middle School	26,498	14.2%	8.0%	0.0%	16.5%
Newton	Merriam Elementary School	5,874	0.9%	5.0%	3.4%	5.9%
Sparia Union	Municipal Building	31,839	118.4%	5.0%	2.7%	2.4%
*Elizabeth	Albert Einstein Academy/Pre K-8	236,320	28.4%	24.0%	0.8%	8.9%
Elizabeth	Early Childhood Center #44	116,411	20.6%	24.0%	1.5%	6.3%
*Elizabeth	Early Childhood Center #45	75,473	31.5%	24.0%	1.7%	10.7%
*Disinfold	Ronald Reagan Academy Elem. School	191,994	36.2%	24.0%	1.8%	11.5%
Pohyar Parking Anthonia	Clinton Elementary School	67,297	20.0%	24.0%	0.2%	12.7%
Kanway Farking Authority	Rahway Transp. C. Parking Garage	27,175	24.6%	24.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Warren	Union High School	44,293	16.9%	24.0%	0.0%	15.5%
Hackettstown	Hackettstown High School	40,503	59.8%	5.0%	0.0%	3.0%
*Phillinghurg	Okilling Fash, Child 16	40,061	15.9%	5.0%	1.1%	16.1%
*Indicates PLA Project	Filmpsburg Early Childhood Center	122,662	15.4%	5.0%	1.8%	7.0%
Anticutes 1 122x x 10 Jeel						

Appendix V. Mimority Employment Participation Based on County Goal Obligations for New School Construction Projects (43 projects: 15 PLAs / 28 Non-PLAs)

Atlantic Burlington	County	Warren			Union	•	Passaic	Monmouth	Middlesex	Mercer				Hudson	Bergen	County		
Hamilton Bordentown Burlington* Florence* Medford	District/Board of Education	Phillipsburg	Elizabeth	Elizabeth	Elizabeth	Paterson	Paterson	Neptune	Perth Amboy	Trenton	West New York	Union City	Jersey City	Jersey City	Garfield	of Education	District/Board	
Williams Davies Middle School Bordentown High School Burlington Middle School Florence Township High School North 70 Elementary School	Non-PLA Projects (28)	Early Childhood Center	Early Childhood Center #45 R. Reagan Elementary Academy	Early Childhood Center #44	Albert Einstein Academy, PreK-8	PANTHER Academy High School	R. Clemente Elementary School	Summerfield Elementary School	I. Cruz Early Childhood Center	Mott Elementary School	Middle School	Jose Marti Middle School	PS3 Elementary School	Middle School #4	Early Childhood Center	PLA/SDA Projects (15)		
7.0% 9.5% 30.6% 13.3% 2.7%	Minority Percentage	6.7%	51.0% 51.0%	51.0%	51.0%	69.0%	69.0%	42.7%	49.1%	67.6%	33.3%	38.5%	63.6%	63.6%	14.6%	Percentage 11	Minority	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
20% 16% 15% 15% 16%	County Goal Obligation	5%	24% 24%	24%	24%	24%	24%	11%	16%	19%	38%	38%	38%	38%	10%	Obligation	County Goal	!
14.6% 15.7% 5.0% 8.5% 9.8%	Actual Participation	15.4%	31.5% 36.2%	20.6%	28.4%	26.7%	30.2%	23.4%	29.1%	23.7%	24.9%	20.4%	29,9%	28.0%	18.0%	Participation	Actual	
5.4 Below Goal 0.3 Below Goal 11.0 Below Goal 7.5 Below Goal 6.2 Below Goal	Percentage Point Difference	10.4 Above Goal	7.5 Above Goal	3.4 Below Goal	4.4 Above Goal	2.7 Above Goal	6.2 Above Goal	12.4 Above Goal	13.1 Above Goal	4.7 Above Goal	13.1 Below Goal	17.6 Below Goal	8.1 Below Goal	10.0 Below Goal	8.0 Above Goal	Difference	Percentage Point	

¹¹The column labeled "minority percentage" presents data on the percentage of the population that is considered minority (non-white) leach of the named municipalities.

Appendix V. Minority Employment Participation Based on County Goal Obligations for New School Construction Projects (43 projects: 15 PLAs / 28 Non-PLAs) (Continued)

Source: US C	2	Somerset	2	Passaic					Ocean	Morris	Monmouth	Middlesex	<u>:</u>	Mercer		Hunterdon	•	Cloucester	2	Essex	Cape May) 		County		
ensus 2000. Population by	Montgomery	Franklin	Wayne	Clifton	Ioms River	Stattord*	Plumsted	Jackson	Berkeley	Washington	Freehold	South River	Washington	Mercer Cnty Sp.Ser.	Tewksbury	Flemington - Raritan	Woolwich	Kingsway Regional	West Orange	Newark	Dennis	North Hanover	Medford	Education	District/Board of	
Source: US Census 2000. Population by Race & Hispanic or Latino Origin for New Jersey Municipalities: 2000	Montgomery High School	Franklin High School	Anthony Wayne Middle School	K-5 Elementary School	Intermediate School South	The Primary Learning Center	New Egypt Primary School	Jackson High School	5-6 Elementary School	B.A. Cucinella Elementary School	West Freehold Elementary School	South River Primary School	Washington High School	Elementary School	Tewksbury Elementary School	Flemington-Raritan Middle School	Elementary School	Kingsway Middle School	Liberty Middle School	Belmont Runyon Elementary School	Primary School	Upper Elementary School	South 70 Elementary School	Non-PLA Projects (32)		
ew Jersey Mun	14.3%	43.5%	8.7%	20.1%	INA	2.4%	4.6%	7.7%	2.3%	3.3%	11.8%	13.8%	8.1%	27%	2.7%	INA	7.8%	7.8%	30.5%	77.7%	2.1%	16.6%	2.7%	Fercentage	Minority	
icipalities: 200	8%	8%	24%	24%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	11%	16%	19%	19%	5%	5%	10%	10%	42%	42%	8%	16%	16%	Obligation	County	
Ō.	5.2%	18.9%	17.7%	12.4%	41.9%	9.4%	20.6%	13.8%	19.1%	10.2%	28.5%	34.7%	5.9%	13.7%	5.5%	11.8%	13.5%	13.4%	29.7%	25.0%	21.5%	9.2%	10.7%	Participation	Actual	
	2.8 Below Goal	10.9 Above Goal	6.3 Below Goal	7.6 Below Goal	35.9 Above Goal	2.4 Above Goal	14.6 Above Goal	7.8 Above Goal	13.1 Above Goal	3.2 Above Goal	17.5 Above Goal	18.7 Above Goal	13.1 Below Goal	5.3 Below Goal	0.5 Above Goal	6.8 Above Goal	3.5 Above Goal	3.4 Above Goal	12.3 Below Goal	17.0 Below Goal	13.5 Above Goal	6.8 Below Goal	5.3 Below Goal	Difference	Percentage Point	

Appendix VI. Project Construction Durations and Completion Timeliness Includes all 152 School Projects PLA Projects are Marked with an Asterisk (*)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Construction Duration (Weeks)	<u>Timeliness</u>
Atlantic County			
Hamilton	William Davies Middle School	83	1 week late
Bergen County			
Demarest	Northern Valley Regional High	75	31 weeks late
Edgewater	Eleanor Van Gelder Elementary	72	2 weeks late
Franklin Lakes	Colonial Road Elementary	81	17 weeks late
*Garfield	Early Childhood Center	50	INA
Ho-Ho-Kus	Ho-Ho-Kus Elementary School	47	18 weeks early
Northern Valley	Northern Valley Regional High	76	INA
Northern Valley	Old Tappan High School	72	3 weeks late
Ramapo Indian Hills	Indian Hills High School	187	8 weeks late
Ramapo Indian Hills	Ramapo High School	91	On Time
Ramsey	John Y. Dater Elementary	78	14 weeks early
Rutherford	Lincoln Elementary School	65	21 weeks early
Rutherford	Rutherford High School	133	3 weeks early
Rutherford	Washington Elementary School	65	21 weeks early
Saddle Brook	Saddle Brook Middle / High	73	3 weeks early
Woodcliff Lake	Woodcliff Middle School	60	20 weeks early
Burlington County			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Bordentown	Bordentown High School	108	6 weeks late
Burlington	Burlington Middle School	54	74 weeks early
Florence	Florence Township High School	57	14 weeks early
Medford	North 70 Elementary School	82	15 weeks late
Medford	South 70 Elementary School	64	On Time
Moorestown	Moorestown High School	107	35 weeks early
North Hanover	Upper Elementary School	108	30 weeks late
Riverside	Riverside Elementary School	48	10 weeks early
Riverside	Riverside Middle / High School	- 78	On Time
Camden County			
Audubon	Audubon Junior / Senior H.S.	89	20 weeks early
Barrington	Avon Elementary School	67	6 weeks late
Berlin Borough	Berlin Community Elementary	56	27 weeks early
Eastern Camden	Eastern Regional High School	133	41 weeks late
*Gloucester (Camden)	Cold Springs Elementary School	86	INA
Cape May County			
Avalon Board	Avalon Community School	64	1 week late
Dennis	Primary School	156	86 weeks late
Lower Cape May	Lower Cape May Regional H.S.	129	17 weeks late

Appendix VI. Project Construction Durations and Completion Timeliness Includes all 152 School Projects PLA Projects are Marked with an Asterisk (*)

(Continued)

	(Continuea)	1 6	
District/Board of Education	Project Name	Construction Duration (Weeks)	Timeliness
Cumberland County			
*Bridgeton	Buckshutem Road Elem. School	92	INA
*Millville District	Lakeside Middle School	105	INA
Essex County			
Bloomfield	Bloomfield High School	111	41 weeks early
*East Orange	Campus 9 H.S. (Clifford Scott)	48	INA
Glen Ridge	Glen Ridge High School	63	2 weeks late
Newark	Belmont Runyon E.S.	82	6 weeks early
North Caldwell	Grandview Elementary School	60	4 weeks late
West Essex Regional	West Essex High School	88	INA
West Orange	Liberty Middle School	76	6 weeks late
Gloucester County			
Clearview Regional	Clearview Regional High School	66	33 weeks early
Clearview Regional	Clearview Regional Middle	67	2 weeks early
Gateway Regional	Gateway Regional High School	53	11 weeks early
Glassboro	Glassboro Intermediate School	68	26 weeks early
Kingsway Regional	Kingsway Middle School	131	5 weeks late
Monroe	Williamstown Middle School	120	21 weeks early
Washington	Chestnut Ridge Middle School	27	4 weeks early
Washington	Orchard Valley Middle School	27	4 weeks early
Woolwich	Elementary School	53	5 weeks early
Hudson County			
*Jersey City	Freshman Acad. at Lincoln H.S.	80	INA
*Jersey City	Middle School #4	147	INA
*Jersey City	PS3 Elementary School	168	INA
New Jersey City University	University Acad. Charter H.S.	60	10 weeks late
North Bergen	Lincoln School	61	INA
Secaucus	Secaucus High / Middle School	65	25 weeks early
*Union City	Jose Marti Middle School	99	INA
*West New York	Middle School	99	INA
Hunterdon County			
Flemington - Raritan	Flemington - Raritan M.S.	89	6 weeks early
North-Voorhees	North Hunterdon High School	45	9 weeks early
Readington	Three Bridges E.S.	47	26 weeks early
Readington	Whitehouse Elementary School	45	29 weeks early
Readington	Middle School	115	41 weeks late
Tewksbury	Elementary School	112	9 weeks late

Appendix VI. Project Construction Durations and Completion Timeliness Includes all 152 School Projects PLA Projects are Marked with an Asterisk (*) (Continued)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Construction Duration (Weeks)	<u>Timeliness</u>
Mercer County			
East Windsor Regional	Hightstown High School	77	8 weeks early
East Windsor Regional	Rogers Elementary School	90	36 weeks late
Hamilton	Hamilton High School West	54	11 weeks late
Hamilton	Steinert High School	96	48 weeks late
Lawrence	Lawrence High School	88	48 weeks early
Mercer County Special Services	Elementary School	60	8 weeks early
Princeton Regional	Community Park Elem. School	98	48 weeks late
Princeton Regional	J. Witherspoon Middle School	. 117	32 weeks late
Princeton Regional	Johnson Park Elementary School	98	48 weeks late
Princeton Regional	Princeton High School	123	8 weeks early
*Trenton	Mott Elementary School	80	INA
*Trenton	P.J.Hill Elementary School	116	INA
Washington	High School	110	17 weeks late
Middlesex County	Construction (ASIAN CALL)		
Cranbury Dunellen	Cranbury Elem. / Middle School	81	30 weeks late
North Brunswick	Lincoln Middle School	74	15 weeks late
	North Brunswick High School	159	37 weeks late
Old Bridge *Perth Amboy	Old Bridge High School	114	12 weeks early
Sayreville	I. Cruz Early Childhood Center	85	INA
South Brunswick	Samsel Upper E.S.	93	9 weeks early
South Brunswick	Acres Elementary School	46	58 weeks early
South River	Greenbrook Elementary School	46	58 weeks early
Spotswood	South River Primary School	88	20 weeks early
Monmouth County	Spotswood Elementary School	58	2 weeks early
Aberdeen	Matawan Regional High School	88	54 weeks late
*Asbury Park	Bradley Primary School	79	INA
Freehold Regional	Freehold Borough High School	36	6 weeks late
Freehold	West Freehold E.S.	80	17 weeks late
Henry Hudson Regional	H. Hudson Reg. Middle/H.S.	111	11 weeks early
Holmdel	Holmdel High School	80	24 weeks late
Holmdel	Village Elementary School	96	5 weeks late
Little Silver	Markham Place Middle School	73	14 weeks early
Matawan-Aberdeen Regional	Matawan Middle School	79	11 weeks early
*Neptune	Green Grove Elementary School	103	INA
*Neptune	Neptune Early Childhood Center	51	INA
*Neptune	Neptune Middle School	83	INA
*Neptune	Shark River Hills E.S.	72	INA

Appendix VI. Project Construction Durations and Completion Timeliness Includes all 152 School Projects PLA Projects are Marked with an Asterisk (*) (Continued)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Construction Duration	<u>Timeliness</u>	
		(Weeks)		
*Neptune	Summerfield Elementary School	91	INA	
Ocean	Ocean Township Inter. School	90	4 weeks early	
Red Bank Regional	Red Bank Regional High School	68	3 weeks late	
Spring Lake	Spring Lake Heights E.S.	85	26 weeks early	
Upper Freehold Regional	Allentown High School	119	17 weeks late	
West Long Branch	Frank Antonides Middle School			
Morris County				
Dover	East Dover Elementary School	60	24 weeks early	
Florham Park	Ridgedale Middle School	42	18 weeks early	
Jefferson	High School	100	8 weeks late	
Jefferson	Stanlick Elementary School	78	2 weeks early	
Kinnelon Borough	Stonybrook Elementary School	56	8 weeks late	
Mendham Borough	Mendham Middle School	71	4 weeks late	
Mendham	Mendham Elementary School	71	4 weeks late	
Morris	Morristown High School	159	7 weeks late	
Mountain Lakes	Mountain Lakes High School	50	21 weeks early	
Washington	Benedict A. Cucinella E.S.	73	8 weeks early	
Ocean County				
Berkeley	5-6 Elementary School	67	5 weeks late	
Berkeley	Bayville Elementary School	69	8 weeks late	
Berkeley	Clara B. Worth Elem, School	69	8 weeks late	
Jackson	Jackson High School	123	4 weeks late	
*Manchester	Manchester High School	108	INA	
*Manchester	Manchester Middle School	55	INA	
Plumsted	New Egypt Elementary School	100	2 weeks late	
Plumsted	New Egypt Primary School	55	9 weeks early	
Point Pleasant	Memorial Middle School	93	15 weeks late	
Point Pleasant	Point Pleasant High School	117	15 weeks late	
Stafford	Stafford Township Inter. School	65	23 weeks early	
Stafford	The Primary Learning Center	INA	INA	
Toms River Regional	Intermediate School South	88	8 weeks early	
Passaic County				
Clifton	K-5 Elementary School	90	12 weeks early	
*Passaic	Number 3, Mario J Drago E.S.	81 INA		
*Passaic	Number 7, Grant E.S.	118 INA		
*Paterson	Roberto Clemente Elem. School	89 INA		
*Paterson	PANTHER Academy H.S.			
Wayne	Anthony Wayne Middle School			
Wayne	Wayne Hills High School	49	5 weeks early	
Wayne	Wayne Valley High School	50	9 weeks early	

Appendix VI. Project Construction Durations and Completion Timeliness-Includes all 152 School Projects

PLA Projects are Marked with an Asterisk (*) (Continued)

District/Board of Education	Project Name	Construction Duration (Weeks)	<u>Timeliness</u>	
Somerset County				
Franklin	Franklin High School	97	12 weeks early	
Montgomery	Montgomery High School	148	1 week late	
Watchung Borough	Bayberry Elementary School	104	6 weeks early	
Watchung Hills Regional	Valley View Middle School	65	32 weeks early	
Sussex County				
Newton	Merriam Elementary School	63	4 weeks late	
Union County				
*Elizabeth	Einstein Academy/Pre K-8	95	INA	
*Elizabeth	Early Childhood Center #44	69	INA -	
*Elizabeth	Early Childhood Center #45	101	INA	
*Elizabeth	Reagan Academy Elem. School	104	INA	
*Plainfield	Clinton Elementary School	85	INA	
Union	Union High School	70	2 weeks late	
Warren County				
Hackettstown	Hackettstown High School	102	4 weeks early	
Oxford	Oxford Central Elem./Middle S.	60	3 weeks early	
*Phillipsburg	Phillips. Early Childhood Center	80	INA	

INA: Information Not Available

Appendix VII. Project Completion Timeliness Non-PLA Projects

Timeliness data are only available for non-PLA projects. Timeliness data provide a measure of how accurate the planners were in projecting the actual construction completion date of a specific project.

For project completion timeliness, there are 179 non-PLA projects available for analysis. There were no data for any of the 31 PLA projects and for 10 of the non-PLA projects. As shown below, ninety-one projects or 50.8 percent were completed early or on time, and 88 projects were late, 21 of which were late by 4 weeks or less. For the 27 non-PLA new school construction projects, 14 were late (3 of which were late 4 weeks or less); 12 were early; and 1 was on time. No information was available for PLA projects.

Construction Completion Timeliness (179 Various Non-PLA Projects* including 27 Non-PLA New School Projects)

·	Early	On Time	Late
All Non-PLA Projects (179)	87	4	88 (21 by 4 weeks or less)
All Non-PLA New Schools (27)	12	1	14 (3 by 4 weeks or less)

Source: Raw data obtained from the New Jersey Department of the Treasury, DCC.

^{*}No information was available for the SDA/PLA projects.