

Wiretapping

REPORT ON
THE NEW JERSEY WIRETAPPING AND
ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE CONTROL ACT
L. 1968, c.409

WILLIAM F. HYLAND
Attorney General

September 16, 1974

New Jersey State Library

C.1

NJ
10
C5813
1974

Wiretapping

REPORT ON
THE NEW JERSEY WIRETAPPING AND
ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE CONTROL ACT
L. 1968, c.409

WILLIAM F. HYLAND
Attorney General

September 16, 1974

New Jersey State Library

C.1

NJ
10
C5815
1974

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Letter of Transmittal
- II. The Operation of the Act
- III. An Assessment
- IV. Recommendations
- V. Appendices
 - A. Proposed Revised Act
 - B. Statistical Report 1969 - 1973

To: The Honorable Brendan T. Byrne
Governor of the State of New Jersey

The Honorable Members of the Senate and
Assembly of the State of New Jersey

Dear Governor and Members of the Legislature:

In 1968, as one of a number of responses to public demands for more effective law enforcement, the Legislature enacted the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act, (L. 1968, c. 409) and wisely, provided a six year period during which the operation of the Act, and its efficacy as a law enforcement tool, could be evaluated. That test period ends on December 31, 1974, and a review of the Act at this time is in order. I have accordingly prepared this report for your use, describing the current operation of the law, assessing its impact, and detailing my recommendations.

I have not assumed this responsibility lightly. Every technique, every tool of law enforcement must be examined in theory and in operation for the possibility of abuse. Whenever society arms an officer of the law, it must do so in the sure knowledge that it has properly safeguarded individual rights, and has balanced those rights with the right of its citizens as a whole to be protected from lawlessness.

No law is better than the public officials entrusted with administering it, except to the extent that automatic checks and balances operate to forestall abuse. In this connection I note that the most notorious cases of unwarranted electronic surveillance, often cited by those who oppose all such activity, have

occurred under the provisions of federal law which in certain instances permit surveillance without prior judicial review and approval. In my view, that omission of a vital check on the system is devastating to our civil liberties; not only is the opportunity lost for a complete review of the entire application by a fresh and disinterested mind, but also, the applicants miss the rewarding self-discipline of being put to their proofs.

Fortunately this is not the case in New Jersey, where every "non-consent" electronic surveillance conducted by law enforcement officers must be court sanctioned. As detailed in Section II of this report, we have also devised extensive internal review procedures to assure that only proper applications are made to the court. I can truthfully assert that some proposed applications never reach the courts because in our judgement the high legal standard of probable cause may not be met or other normal investigative procedures may not have been utilized to the extent required by the Act. I would urge you then to disassociate those notorious instances of uncontrolled surveillance from the carefully regulated statutory scheme in this state.

I have, however, found our present Act to be wanting in several respects. As detailed in this report, I am dissatisfied with the absence of a statutory requirement for showing probable cause that particular wire facilities or places are to be used to communicate an otherwise interceptable communication. While I have imposed this restriction administratively, I prefer that it be made a permanent part of the Act. Similarly, I have found the Act deficient in not delimiting the "special need" required for interception of communications involving doctors, lawyers and clergyman, and in not delimiting the "minimize

and eliminate" requirement to insure the privacy of non-pertinent conversations.

Furthermore, I am distressed by the lack of redress for aggrieved citizens in the Act's provisions for "consent" interceptions by private parties. I have accordingly proposed more restrictive amendatory language than is contained in the present Act.

Finally, I have sought to balance carefully the legitimate needs of law enforcement, as evidenced by our six year experience with the Act, with the right of individual citizens to be secure in their communications. Because of the particularity which the Act should require in applications for surveillance, I have concluded that the maximum permissible period for surveillance should also be reduced from thirty to twenty days. In cases where a longer surveillance is needed, and there are such cases, an application must be filed meeting the same requirements as the original, as well as an explanation of results or failure of the previous surveillance, as under the present Act. This limited duration, when taken with the other requirements for particularity which are in the present Act or which I have proposed, are proper limitations within which the law enforcement community must function in order to better protect our citizenry.

Any effort to assess this Act may be beset by serious pitfalls, to which I must here make reference. Both proponents and opponents of electronic surveillance have been hasty in seizing upon statistics which support their respective positions without applying the necessary interpretive caveats. Although we have complied in every respect with federal reporting requirements, as evidenced by the tables in the appendix to this report, these figures often do not tell the full story. For example, the total number of people overheard may seem large


unless it is recognized that identities are often not known and individuals are frequently counted more than once. In a bookmaking operation, for instance, the bookmaker is counted as one but customers who call in more than once may be counted as a separate individual on the occasion of each call. There are similar difficulties in relating arrests and convictions to particular surveillances, where multiple and separate crimes are involved with overlapping investigations. Likewise, conviction rates are difficult to strike in a meaningful way, since many of the cases growing out of surveillance conducted during the past few years of our trial period are still pending.

I have asked for statutory authorization to develop a more complete reporting system for New Jersey. But for purposes of our assessment at this time, I urge you to consider, as I have done in Section III of this report, the individual prosecutions which the Act has made possible. It is only by reference to these cases that the utility of the Act may be seen.

My review of our files in these cases has led me to conclude that the capability of court-ordered electronic surveillance is an appropriate tool of the law enforcement officer. I am satisfied that, with the amendments I have suggested, the civil rights of our citizens are amply insured. I have, accordingly, recommended that the Act as modified be extended for another six year period.

I wish to acknowledge the assistance of the many individuals, especially the county prosecutors, whose thoughtful suggestions contributed to this report.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. F. Hyland", written in a cursive style.

WILLIAM F. HYLAND
Attorney General

II. THE OPERATION OF THE ACT

The operation of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act (L.1968, c.409; N.J.S. 2A:156A-1 et seq.) can be conveniently discussed under four headings: the prohibitions and sanctions of the Act, the process of court ordered interceptions, the Act's reporting requirements, and citizen's rights and remedies.

A. Prohibitions and Sanctions

With four exceptions noted below, the Act prohibits the "interception", or aural acquisition, of the contents of any oral or wire communication through the use of any electronic, mechanical or other device. Under the Act, it is a misdemeanor, with a maximum penalty of \$10,000 or imprisonment of 5 years or both, to willfully intercept, endeavor to intercept, or procure any person to intercept or to attempt an interception. Again, with the four exceptions noted below, the Act prohibits the willful disclosure or use of the contents of any wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, by any person knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire or oral communication.

The first exception to these blanket prohibitions pertains to the operator of a switchboard and officers and employees of a communication common carrier while engaged in any activity which is a necessary incident to the rendition of service or to the protection of the rights or property of the carrier. However, a common carrier is prohibited from utilizing service observing or random monitoring except for mechanical or service quality control checks.

The second exception permits a non-law enforcement officer to intercept

a wire or oral communication where that person is a party to the communication or where one of the parties gives prior consent to the interception. However, such private "consent" interception is prohibited if it is for the purpose of committing any criminal, tortious or otherwise injurious Act.

The third and fourth exceptions pertain to law enforcement officers. One permits a person acting under color of law to intercept a wire or oral communication where the person is a party to the communication or where one of the parties has given prior consent to such interception. The final exception pertains to court ordered interceptions, which will be described below.

The Act further prohibits the willful possession, sale, distribution, manufacture or advertisement of any intercepting device, the design of which renders it primarily useful for the purpose of surreptitious interception of a wire or oral communication. Violation is a misdemeanor with the same punishment prescribed for the prohibitions listed above. Exceptions are made for common carriers, law enforcement officers, and persons under contract with them. As a further sanction, any devices manufactured or possessed in violation of the Act are declared to be a nuisance and may be seized and forfeited to the State.

Finally, the Act makes it a misdemeanor for any person to use or disclose the existence of a court order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication or the contents of or information concerning an intercepted communication except according to the express procedures and circumstances set forth in the Act, as discussed in the following section.

B. Court Ordered Interceptions

The Attorney General, a county prosecutor, or the Chairman of the State Commission of Investigation when authorized by a majority of that Commission, may make written application to a court, requesting an order which authorizes the interception of a wire or oral communication. Only judges specifically designated by the Chief Justice may receive such applications. An application may be made only where the interception may provide evidence of the commission of one of the following particular offenses; murder, kidnapping, gambling, robbery, bribery, extortion, loansharking, dealing in narcotic drugs, marijuana, or other dangerous drugs, arson, burglary, embezzlement, forgery, receiving stolen property, escape, alteration of motor vehicle identification numbers, larceny punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, or conspiracy to commit any of these offenses.

The Act extensively details the information which must be contained in the application, requiring among other things identification of the particular wire communication facilities involved or the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted, and, where more than one communication is to be intercepted, a particular statement of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will occur after the first has been intercepted. Furthermore, it is necessary to present facts showing that other investigative procedures with respect to the offense involved have been tried and have failed, or that such procedures reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or are too dangerous to employ.

Before an application by the Attorney General ever reaches a court,

it must survive an exhaustive internal review process within the Department of Law and Public Safety. Similar procedures are employed by County Prosecutors. In brief outline, the following steps are taken before a State application is perfected.

1. A State Police investigator who identifies a situation meeting the statutory criteria for utilization of a court ordered interception must request in writing that the officer in charge of his unit initiate an application.

2. If the unit head agrees, he must in turn secure the written approval of his superior. The request is then forwarded to a totally separate and independent bureau for evaluation.

3. State Police personnel in that bureau make their own assessment of the situation and forward recommendations to their bureau head.

4. If the recommendation is affirmative and the bureau head agrees, he forwards all pertinent information to the deputy attorneys general in charge of the Organized Crime and Special Prosecutions Section of the Division of Criminal Justice. The case is assigned to a deputy attorney general in that section for evaluation.

5. The deputy assigned to the particular investigation reviews the work product of the State Police. If he is satisfied that electronic surveillance is justified, the deputy prepares recommendations to the deputies in charge. The deputies in charge independently review the material available and reevaluate the application, which at this point is still informal in character, with a view toward assessing probable cause in the context of electronic surveillance. If the deputies in charge determine that the extraordinary investigative measure of electronic surveillance is justified in the particular case, they authorize the deputy assigned to the investigation to

prepare a formal application complete with all supporting affidavits.

6. Upon completion of a petition and supporting documents by the deputy attorney general assigned to the investigation, the deputies in charge again review the now formal application both as to substance and form.

7. If they approve, the application is presented to the Director of the Division of Criminal Justice.

8. The Director reviews the application as to both substance and form, and if he approves, presents the matter to the Attorney General.

9. The Attorney General personally reviews the entire application and if he approves, authorizes a petition to be filed with the court.

The Act details several findings of probable cause which a court must make before it can authorize an interception, including a finding relating to the qualifications of the law enforcement officers or agency to execute the interception. The extraordinary statutory requirement of "special need" must in addition be found where the wire communication to be intercepted is from a public facility, such as a pay telephone. Likewise, "special need" must be found for the interception of any wire or oral communication from a facility or place used by a licensed physician, an attorney-at-law, a practicing clergyman, or a place used primarily for habitation by a husband and wife. The Act further provides that no otherwise privileged communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of the Act shall lose its privileged character.

The Act requires particularity in any judicial order authorizing the interception of any wire or oral communication, including the precise period of

time during which interception may be made and a statement as to whether or not the interception must automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained. Every order must require that interceptions begin and terminate as soon as practicable and be conducted in such a manner as to "minimize or eliminate" the interception of such communications not otherwise subject to interception under the Act. No interception may be authorized for a period in excess of thirty days. Courts may require intermediate reports as to the success or failure of the surveillance and the need for continued interception.

The Act requires that any communications intercepted under judicial order be recorded on tape, that the original tape be returned to the judge who issued the order, and that the tape be sealed and stored under his custody for at least ten years. At the state level, the product of an interception is reviewed daily by the head of the State Police unit which has responsibility for monitoring, the unit detective who is involved in the investigation and the deputy attorney general who is in charge of the particular investigation. This evaluation is made with a view toward limiting the hours and, in some instances, the days of monitoring so that non-pertinent conversations are eliminated wherever feasible.

The Act requires that within 90 days after the termination of surveillance the judge who authorized it must cause to be served on the person named in the order and such other parties to the intercepted communication as the judge in his discretion may determine in the interest of justice, an inventory including the period of authorized interception and the fact that during the period wire or oral communications were or were not intercepted. The Court may in its discretion and upon

motion make available to such person or his attorney for inspection such portions of the intercepted communications, applications and orders as the court determines to be in the interest of justice.

The Act provides for disclosure of legally intercepted communications within law enforcement agencies, at a trial or other criminal proceeding provided that the parties to the action have been served with inventory at least ten days before such disclosure, and otherwise but only upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction. Any unlawful disclosure or use is, as noted above, a misdemeanor.

C. Reporting Requirements

Within thirty days of the expiration of a surveillance order, the issuing judge must submit an extensive report to the Administrative Director of the Courts. Annual reports are also required of all judges designated to hear applications under the Act. (There have normally been six judges of Superior Court so designated.) The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court must annually report to the Governor and the Legislature on the operation of the Act. Both the judiciary and prosecuting agencies must also comply with federal reporting requirements.

D. Citizens' Rights and Remedies

In addition to the protections of receiving notice of interceptions, and the possibility of bringing criminal action against those who violate its provisions, the Act establishes a civil cause of action for any person whose wire or oral communication is illegally intercepted, disclosed or used. Statutory recovery includes actual damages, but not less than liquidated damages computed at the rate of \$100.00 per day for each day of violation with a minimum recovery of

\$1,000.00, plus punitive damages, and attorney's fees and other expenses of litigation.

Beyond this civil remedy, any aggrieved person may move to suppress the contents of any intercepted wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, on the grounds that the communication was unlawfully intercepted, the order was insufficient on its face, or the interception was not made in conformity with the order of authorization.

III. AN ASSESSMENT

As noted above, any purely statistical assessment of the operation of the Act must be made cautiously, and with continued reference to the actual prosecutions which the use of electronic surveillance has made possible. Likewise, it must be recognized that as an investigative tool, electronic surveillance is particularly suited to certain types of inquiry into criminal activity just as other techniques, such as book audits, search warrants, lineups, and immunity grants, are more particularly suited to other types of investigations.

Electronic surveillance has enabled law enforcement to prosecute and to convict many high echelon organized crime figures in situations where all other investigative techniques had previously proved unsuccessful. In addition, the wiretap procedure has provided evidence not only vital to conviction, but to continuing investigations. Evidence so obtained has aided in the discovery of several criminal combinations once undetectable. As may be expected, many, but not all of these gains have been made in the context of investigating the crimes of gambling, loansharking, and drug dealing.

In conjunction with its value as an investigative tool, electronic surveillance has assisted in meting out speedy and certain justice. Of those who have elected to go to trial, only 11 individuals have been acquitted in cases involving electronic surveillance conducted by the Attorney General. At the state level alone, four hundred and eighty-six (486) individuals have been convicted to date by the Attorney General using electronic surveillance, and many cases are pending.

A. In 1969, the first year the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act was in effect, there were 45 court ordered electronic surveillances. Of these, the State Attorney General conducted 34, and the County

Prosecutors 11.

Among the investigations involving electronic surveillance by the Attorney General, 25 were authorized for gambling offenses, 5 were ordered for "property"* crimes, 2 for drug related offenses, and 2 for murder investigations. A total of 136 arrests in this jurisdiction resulted; in addition, some 28 out-of-state arrests can be attributed to these court authorized electronic surveillances. Convictions, with the following breakdown have thus far been obtained:

	<u>No. Individuals Convicted</u>	<u>No. Counts of Convictions**</u>
Gambling and related offenses	76	151
Narcotic offenses	2	4
Official Misconduct	<u>13</u>	<u>20</u>
	91	175

Of the 11 electronic surveillances conducted by the County Prosecutors, seven were authorized for gambling investigations and four for drug related offenses. A total of 50 arrests within this State, as well as seven in other jurisdictions, can be attributed to these court authorized electronic surveillances.

Perhaps the most significant contribution of electronic surveillance in 1969 and 1970 was the indictment and conviction of Joseph Zicarelli along with several major political and law enforcement officials in Hudson County. The Mayor of West New York, the Republican county chairman as well as an official in the county prosecutor's office were convicted along with Zicarelli. In addition, information obtained as a result of the original wiretap (authorized for a gambling investigation)

* "property" offenses herein include hijacking, receiving stolen property and larceny offenses.

** These figures do not reflect convictions for miscellaneous offenses, cases still pending, or those matters dismissed, either by reasons of the defendant's death or at the election of the prosecuting agency. The same is true of all the yearly breakdowns of convictions.

led to the arrest and conviction of many other individuals involved in the Zicarelli network.

B. In 1970, there were 132 authorized interceptions. Twelve of these were not used. Of those electronic surveillances conducted, the State Attorney General participated in 76, and the County Prosecutors 44.

Among the 76 actual investigations involving electronic surveillance by the Attorney General, 65 were ordered for gambling offenses; 270 arrests resulted from these surveillances. It is interesting to note that over 40 of the court ordered interceptions relating to gambling offenses involved continuing investigations in which more than one such surveillance was conducted. Seven court ordered interceptions for "property" crimes were authorized; all were involved in one large scale investigation. Some 27 individuals were arrested as a result of these surveillances. One loansharking investigation involving two wiretaps resulted in three arrests. Finally, two electronic interceptions were authorized for drug related offenses; eight arrests resulted from these investigations. Convictions have thus far been obtained as follows:

	<u>No. Individuals Convicted</u>	<u>No. Counts of Conviction</u>
Gambling and related offenses	156	281
Property offenses	17	17
Loansharking	<u>7</u>	<u>21*</u>
	180	319

Of the 44 electronic surveillance investigations actually conducted by the County Prosecutors, 32 were authorized for gambling offenses; 143 arrests resulted. Some 60 arrests can be attributed to six court ordered interceptions for drug related offenses. In addition, three such surveillances were authorized for

* Most of these loansharking and related convictions resulted from information received from electronic surveillance authorized for gambling offenses.

gambling and other offenses, e.g. loansharking and "property" offenses; 15 arrests resulted from these investigations. The remaining three interceptions were for miscellaneous offenses.

In 1970, twelve major gambling enterprises were disrupted; each such investigation involved the arrest and conviction of at least one major organized crime figure. One investigation, a cooperative effort by federal and State authorities, resulted in the destruction of a major interstate gambling enterprise. Among those convicted were two individuals who had been under investigation by both federal and State authorities for several years without success. In addition, one gambling investigation uncovered a major loansharking operation in Morris and Essex counties; the ten individuals involved were arrested and convicted.

C. In 1971, the State Attorney General obtained 87 court orders authorizing electronic interception; six of these were not used. Of those remaining, 69* were authorized for gambling investigations, seven for drug-related crimes and one for bribery. The remaining four were for miscellaneous offenses. The gambling investigations resulted in 206 arrests. Some 24 arrests can be attributed to the drug-related investigation; and the bribery surveillance resulted in two arrests. Convictions thus far obtained are as follows:

	<u>No. Individuals Convicted</u>	<u>No. Counts of Convictions</u>
Gambling offenses	142	195
Drug-related offenses	14	14
Official Misconduct	2	2
	<u>158</u>	<u>211</u>

Of the 100 court orders authorizing electronic interception obtained by the County Prosecutors, 7 were not used. Gambling investigations involving

* At least 30 of these were involved in investigations in which more than one authorized interception was conducted.

74 authorized interceptions resulted in 323 arrests. Investigations pertaining to drug-related offenses involving 15 authorized interceptions resulted in 57 arrests. There were four interceptions for miscellaneous offenses.

The State's crackdown on syndicated gambling combinations continued in 1971. Several major gambling networks were uncovered, resulting in arrest and conviction of key organized crime figures in Passaic and Camden Counties. One such investigation spread into the police department of a major city resulting in the conviction of a police official for misconduct. Another involved gambling activity carried on by a public officer in a county courthouse. Equally significant was the arrest of 15 individuals involved in a major drug distribution network in southern New Jersey; 14 of these individuals were convicted and one remains a fugitive. A major loansharking figure was indicted; he was murdered before he could be brought to trial. Perhaps the most significant investigation of the year, however, involved a series of thefts and resale of construction equipment involving millions of dollars.* Investigation into this highly sophisticated interstate "steal to order" organization continued into 1972, and resulted in conviction of 24 individuals on some 87 charges.**

* Investigation into this criminal activity began in 1968; however, no progress was made until electronic surveillance began.

** The magnitude of this criminal conspiracy is best illustrated by the frequency of heavy equipment thefts prior to the arrest of these individuals. Five to seven thefts per month having an average value of \$20,000 each had been reported up to April of 1971. Since that time, there have been a total of only ten such thefts reported.

D. In 1972, the Attorney General's office obtained 69 court orders authorizing electronic surveillance; two of these were not used. Of the remaining, 36* were authorized for gambling offenses, and two for gambling in connection with other crimes, e.g. drug-related or property offenses; these resulted in 68 arrests. Drug-related investigation involved 16 interceptions and resulted in 31 arrests. There were 12 interceptions for miscellaneous crimes, including 8 for "property" offenses. Convictions thus far obtained are as follows:

	<u>No. Individuals Convicted</u>	<u>No. Counts of Conviction</u>
Gambling offenses	21	25
Drug-related offenses	15	16
Official Misconduct	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
	41	46

At the county level, 168 court orders authorizing electronic surveillance were obtained; 15 of these were not used. Of the 116 interceptions authorized for gambling offenses, over 25 were involved in investigations in which more than one interception was authorized; two others were authorized for gambling and other offenses. Some 545 arrests resulted from this electronic surveillance activity. There were 28 interceptions authorized for drug-related offenses. These resulted in 149 arrests. The remaining eight authorized interceptions included five for "property" offenses and two for loansharking investigations.

The crackdown on syndicated crime continued at all levels. Significant inroads were made against drug distribution networks throughout the State. However, what appeared to be a minor prosecution in this year set the tone of enforcement with

* Of this number, at least 10 were involved in investigations in which more than one authorized interception was conducted.

respect to the prohibition against unauthorized electronic surveillance. A private detective was indicted for a violation of N.J.S. 2A:156A-3. Since that time, three additional prosecutions for illegal surveillance involving nine individuals have been instituted. One such prosecution resulted from the combined efforts of State and federal authorities, and involved an attorney and a police official engaged in an attempt to subvert the federal loansharking trial of several noted underworld figures.

The deterrent value of such enforcement cannot be calculated. By virtue of the statute, law enforcement has the means to enforce the right of privacy through the criminal courts, and has unhesitatingly accepted its responsibility in this regard. The New Jersey State Police have been commissioned to investigate every complaint received through a communications common carrier. The County Prosecutors have similarly responded to complaints of illegal electronic eavesdropping within their respective jurisdictions. This combined effort provides assurance to the citizenry of this State that their rights of privacy will be safeguarded.

E. In 1973, the Attorney General's office obtained 47 court orders authorizing electronic surveillance.* Of these, 22 interceptions were authorized for gambling operations; at least ten of these were involved in investigations in which more than one interception was required. An additional seven involved gambling in combination

* The number of requests has diminished chiefly due to the selective use of the electronic surveillance technique. Most recent investigations involving electronic interception by the Attorney General's office have been lengthy due to the integrated criminal offenses and sophisticated combinations involved. In some measures, the operations of syndicated crime have been altered due to the State's early success against several major criminal combinations. High echelon figures are alerted that they may no longer act with impunity. Their associates are selected with extreme care, and their operations are becoming more surreptitious. As a result, investigation has grown more difficult, even with the effective tool of electronic surveillance.

with other offenses, e.g. bribery, murder and loansharking. A total of 57 arrests resulted. Seven interceptions were authorized for loansharking investigations; two of these involved other offenses. These investigations resulted in 8 arrests. Nine electronic surveillance investigations were authorized for drug-related crimes; 55 arrests resulted from these investigations. The final two authorized interceptions were for "property" offenses; these resulted in 5 arrests. Convictions thus far obtained are broken down as follows:

	<u>No. Individuals Convicted</u>	<u>No. Counts of Convictions</u>
Gambling	13	17
Drug-related	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
	16	21

At the county level, 168 court orders authorizing electronic surveillance were obtained; 15 of these were not used. There were 89 interceptions authorized for gambling investigations; 372 arrests resulted. Some 47 interceptions were authorized for drug-related offenses, resulting in 311 arrests. Four interceptions were authorized for investigations involving the offense of murder; eight arrests resulted. Six interceptions were authorized for loansharking investigations; 26 arrests resulted. Three electronic surveillance investigations were authorized for property offenses; 37 arrests resulted. There were four interceptions authorized for miscellaneous offenses.

Among the most significant investigations of 1973 was the disruption of a major gambling network operating in Morris and Passaic counties involving corruption of law enforcement officials. One police captain and one county detective were indicted for bribery and misconduct. Also significant was the apprehension of the hijacking ring responsible for the \$250,000 "mink" theft in Sussex County.

This investigation is continuing; it is expected that the information ultimately obtained will result in a most significant prosecution.

F. There have been 14 court authorized interceptions thus far obtained by the Attorney General in 1974.* Most are involved in continuing investigations, and therefore detailed information may not be disclosed. However, one significant case involving an interstate ring dealing in stolen property has been completed. On the basis of electronic surveillance, information was obtained on a burglary in Philadelphia resulting in the destruction of a building by arson. As a result of the information developed by State authorities, both state and federal indictments have been returned against at least four individuals for these offenses.

* This figure is through August 1, 1974

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

As indicated earlier in this report and demonstrated by the results of its operation, the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act has proven to be a well-structured mechanism which places an important tool in the hands of law enforcement officers while carefully and rigorously limiting its use and preventing abuse. In several respects, however, the provisions of the Act should be further tightened. Most of the recommendations which follow serve to further limit authorized electronic surveillance. The additional amendments reflect appropriate technical clarification and operational changes which on balance are necessary to proper use of the Act. All recommendations for legislative amendment are incorporated in the draft bill appended to this report.

A. Section 12 of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act imposes judicial supervision over a wiretap order. The order must describe the type of the communication to be intercepted and the particular offense to which it relates. The period of time during which an interception is authorized may not be for a longer period than necessary under the circumstances; it may not exceed 30 days unless a renewal is granted. Further, the order must direct that the "interception begin and terminate as soon as practicable and be conducted in such a manner as to minimize or eliminate the interception of such communications not otherwise subject to interception."

The New Jersey Supreme Court in State v. Dye, 60 N.J. 518 (1972), upheld the constitutionality of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance

Control Act. Id. at 535.* In reaching its conclusion, it reviewed Section 12 of the Act and approved both that provision and the procedures used in that case; however, the Court failed to provide any guidance with regard to the steps considered necessary to achieve minimization within the meaning of the provision. As a result, there exists several different interpretations as to the gravamen of the "minimize and eliminate" requirement. It is suggested that a uniform understanding of the provision be adopted.

Designed to meet the minimum requirements of Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (18 U.S.C. § 2510 et seq.), the New Jersey provision commands that nonsubject communications be minimized, and if possible eliminated. N.J.S. 2A:156A-12(f). The comparable federal provision treating nonsubject communications requires that their interception be "minimized" (18 U.S.C. § 2518 (5)); however, it does not prescribe that such communications be "eliminated." The model state statute is stricter than the Federal Omnibus Act in that it proposes a restriction upon the interception of nonsubject communications substantially similar to N.J.S. 2A:156A-12(f). See Blakey and Hancock, "A Proposed Electronic Surveillance Control Act," 43 Notre Dame Lawyer 657, 674 (1968). However, neither the model act nor the federal provision offers an explanation of minimization, nor provides any standards or guides to achieve it.

It is therefore suggested that Section 12(f) be amended so as to make uniform, insofar as practical, the operating procedures utilized in this State. There are circumstances in which the hours of interception specified in the court's order

* See also State v. Christy, 112 N.J. Super 48 (L. Div. 1970).

may, after a reasonable review, be unnecessarily long. A policy should be followed to determine, whenever possible, the hours during which there is the greatest likelihood that the surveillance will result in interception of incriminating or evidential material. Monitoring then should be restricted to that time of the day when the incidence of conversations subject to interception under the Act will be the highest, irrespective of the authorization contained in the court's order.

It is accordingly recommended that Section 12 should be amended to read in pertinent part as follows.

Every order entered under this section shall require that such interception begin and terminate as soon as practicable and be conducted in such a manner as to minimize or eliminate the interception of such communications not otherwise subject to interception under this act (.) by making reasonable efforts to reduce the hours of interceptions whenever possible.

Such a commandment requires an affirmative effort by the intercepting authority to minimize or to eliminate nonsubject conversations. Failure to assume this responsibility on the part of the intercepting authority would result in suppression of the entire interception obtained in violation of the statutory mandate. See N.J.S. 2A:156A-21. In conjunction with the foregoing standard, the Attorney General, empowered to develop uniform procedures statewide with regard to electronic surveillance (see N.J.S. 52:17B-103), will, in cooperation with the County Prosecutors, promulgate operating procedures to ensure that individual privacy is secured insofar as possible, both prior to and after authorization for electronic surveillance has been granted.

B. Since difficulty has been encountered in obtaining relevant information about the State's electronic surveillance activity, and inasmuch as

data at the federal level is not as complete as would be hoped, State reporting requirements should be expanded. Thus, in addition to the reports required pursuant to federal law, the Attorney General should be responsible for an annual report on the operation of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act. In this way the Attorney General of the State can maintain an overview of the electronic surveillance activity within New Jersey, and make available to the public reliable information concerning use of the Act. Accordingly it is recommended that Section 23 of the Act be amended to read in pertinent part as follows:

The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Attorney General shall annually report to the Governor and the Legislature on such aspects of the operation of this act as (he) they respectively deem(s) appropriate...

C. Currently, the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act authorizes interception for a maximum of 30 days. The Attorney General has utilized court authorized electronic surveillance since promulgation of the Statute. Experience indicates that it is generally unnecessary to continue interception for that length of time; in fact, administratively, most applications for interceptions have been limited to a request of 15 to 20 days. It is suggested that the maximum time for interception without an extension be limited to 20 days. In those unusual circumstances where interception beyond that time is necessary, a court ordered extension could be sought. Prior to any such application, the request for an extension would be scrutinized in the same manner as an original request. Such safeguards will assure maximum protection of individual rights of privacy without any sacrifice of the effectiveness of electronic surveillance as a law enforcement tool.

D. The New Jersey Bell Telephone Company has been reluctant to provide the technical assistance requested by the State's prosecutors. This policy is based upon the company's concern for the privacy of its subscribers, combined with its conclusion that it is not legally required to assist law enforcement in the area of electronic surveillance. The single most important service that can be furnished to law enforcement by the telephone company is that of an in-progress trace. With the equipment available to law enforcement officials, it is impossible to determine the telephone facilities from which an incoming call has been placed. Through an in-progress trace, however, the telephone company is able to ascertain this information. No other technical assistance is considered indispensable. While the view of the telephone company must be respected, there are extraordinary circumstances where their cooperation is of the utmost importance.

A review of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act reveals no provision which compels the telephone company to render technical assistance to law enforcement officials, even under the most compelling circumstances. In 1970, a United States Court of Appeals dealt with the question under the Federal Act (18 U.S.C. Section 2510, et seq.), in the case of Application of the United States for Relief, 427 F.2d 639 (Cir. 1970), wherein the Federal Bureau of Investigation attempted to have the court order the Central Telephone Company of Nevada to furnish, at established rates, the assistance required to effectuate interception. The court held that the Act itself made no provision for such an order, and concluded that the omission was either purposeful, or unexplained in any way which would warrant recognition of the power in the courts to compel cooperation by the telephone company. The court concluded that the proper remedy could be obtained only by way of legislation. To that end, on July 29, 1970,

Congress amended the Federal Act in 18 U.S.C. Section 2518 (4); that statute give law enforcement officials the right to incorporate, as part of the order entered in each application for electronic surveillance, authorization to assure the cooperation of the telephone company.

Although the proposal made herein is modeled after the federal Act, the requirements of the State's prosecutors are less broad. Unlike the Federal authorization, it is recommended that no similar obligation to cooperate be placed upon any person or corporation not a communication common carrier. In addition, the applicant, in order to obtain the assistance of a communication common carrier, must show "special need" for such services as a prerequisite to obtaining such an order. The federal Statute contains no such requirement. The suggested amendment, more restrictive than that contained in the federal code, is an attempt to accommodate the policy of the telephone company with the requirements of law enforcement officials. Accordingly, it is recommended that the following paragraph be added to Section 12 of the Act:

An order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication shall, upon a showing of special need by the applicant, direct that a communication common carrier use its best efforts to furnish forthwith the applicant with all information, facilities, and technical assistance necessary to accomplish an in-progress trace or interception. This assistance shall be provided unobtrusively, and with a minimum of interference with the services that such carrier is affording the person whose communications are to be intercepted. Said order shall limit the hours that the carrier shall be obligated to provide said assistance, and shall specify the circumstances under which an obligation to provide assistance shall arise. Any communication common carrier furnishing such facilities or technical assistance shall be compensated for the costs of any assistance rendered to the applicant. Said carrier shall be immune from civil liability for any assistance rendered to law enforcement pursuant to this section.

E. The existing law, read literally, prohibits the communication of information derived from legal electronic surveillance to law enforcement agencies of other states or of the United States. If information is received which pertains to criminal activity falling under the jurisdiction of an out-of-state investigative or law enforcement agency, it should be made available. Often, receipt of such information can prevent or minimize the effects of serious or violent crime. Moreover, the existing restriction fosters a spirit of competition rather than cooperation between state and federal law enforcement agencies. Likewise, cooperative interstate investigations are often made less practical.

It should be noted that the restriction on dissemination in the existing statute was not intentional. The New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act was patterned after Title 18, Chapter 119 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. § 2510 et seq.). Specifically, Section 17(a) of the New Jersey law is a verbatim duplication of § 2517 (1) of the federal Act. The difference in application results from the differing definitions of "investigative or law enforcement officer."

The New Jersey Act provides:

"f. 'Investigative or law enforcement officer' means any officer of the State of New Jersey or of a political subdivision thereof who is empowered by law to conduct investigations of, or to make arrest for, any offense enumerated in section 8 of this act and any attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the prosecution of any such offense;"

whereas the federal law provides:

"(7) 'Investigative or law enforcement officer' means any officer of the United States or of the State or political subdivision thereof, who is empowered by law to conduct investigations of or make arrests for offenses enumerated in this chapter, and any attorney authorized by law to prosecute or participate in the prosecution of such offense;"

and, the difference in the foregoing definitions has led to the unintentional and cumbersome result described above.

Section 17 (a) as currently contained in the Act may also be interpreted to preclude private citizens who possess lawfully taped communications revealing evidence of crime from disclosing same to law enforcement authorities. This unintentional result should also be corrected.

Accordingly, Section 17 (a) should be amended to read in pertinent part as follows:

a. Any investigative or law enforcement officer or other person who, by any means authorized by this act, has obtained knowledge of the contents of any wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, may disclose or use such contents or evidence to another investigative or law enforcement officers of this or another state, any of its political subdivisions, or of the United States to the extent that such disclosure or use is appropriate to the proper performance of his official duties.

F. The present statute (N.J.S. 2A:156A-9) which specifies the information which must be presented to the court, fails to require a showing of probable cause that a communication subject to interception under the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Control Act will occur over a particular telephone or at a specific location. Although it has been the practice of both the Attorney General and the County Prosecutors to make such a showing, it is suggested that this requirement be codified to avoid any misunderstanding as to its importance. In this way, the public can be assured that its rights of privacy are secured, and that the extraordinary procedure of electronic surveillance will be used only when appropriate. It is accordingly recommended that Section 9 of the act be amended to read in pertinent part as follows:

Each application for an order of authorization to intercept a wire or oral communication shall be made in writing upon oath or affirmation and shall state:

- a. The authority of the applicant to make such application;
- b. The identity and qualifications of the investigative or law enforcement officers or agency for whom the authority to intercept a wire or oral communication is sought and the identity of whoever authorized the application;
- c. A particular statement of the facts relied upon by the applicant, including; (1) the identity of the particular person, if known, committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted; (2) the details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about to be committed; (3) the particular type of communication to be intercepted, and a showing that there is probable cause to believe that such communication will be communicated on the wire facility involved or at the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted; (4) the character and location of the particular wire communication facilities involved or the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted; (5) a statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained; if the character of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been first obtained, a particular statement of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will occur thereafter; (6) a particular statement of facts showing that other normal investigative procedures with respect to the offense have been tried and have failed to reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous to employ;

G. Although Section 11 of the New Jersey Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance Act (N.J.S.A. 2A:156A-11) requires a showing of "special need" as a prerequisite to authorization to intercept wire communications over certain facilities, that term is not defined. Under certain circumstances, "special need" cannot be defined except through a presentation of the complex facts involved; no less

particularity could suffice. Applications involving public telephone facilities present such a situation. Cf. State v. Sidoti, 120 N.J. Super 11 (App Div 1972).

On the other hand, with respect to requests for electronic surveillance involving professional privilege, a more specific definition of the term "special need" would be appropriate. Again, the right of privacy must be weighed with the necessities of law enforcement. In situations involving interception of communications of practicing clergymen, attorneys, physicians, psychologists or newspapermen, "special need" should be defined to require a showing that the professional is personally involved in the criminal activity.* Such a restriction is not intended to protect the professional; rather, the requirement would further insulate privileged communications from unintended disclosure. Only under the most aggravated circumstances, that is, a showing of personal involvement on the part of the professional, should the privilege of those conferring with the professional be compromised in any way.

The husband-wife privilege stands on a somewhat different footing. Unquestionably, most applications involving electronic surveillance of a residence are predicated upon the personal involvement of the principals to the privilege. However, that criterion may not be determinative in every situation. Upon application for electronic surveillance in such a case, the scope of the privilege involved is known and its claimants are identifiable; compromise of the privilege could be minimized by restricting the hours of the surveillance and its location within the residence itself. If under the circumstances presented the court is satisfied that the need for information available only through electronic surveillance outweighs the expectation of privacy, authorization can be granted with restrictions

* The "special need" provision does not currently apply to psychologists or newspapermen, although the privilege of their communications is otherwise recognized by law. This proposal for the first time brings them under the Act's "special need" restriction.

appropriate to safeguard, insofar as possible, the marital privilege. No more specific definition of "special need" must be incorporated in the statute with respect to the marital privilege.

It is therefore recommended that Section 11 of the Act be amended to read in pertinent part as follows:

If the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire or oral communications are to be intercepted are being used, or are about to be used, or are leased to, listed in the same of, or commonly used by, a licensed physician, a licensed practicing psychologist, an attorney at law, a practicing clergyman, or a newspaperman, or is a place used primarily for habitation by a husband and wife, no order shall be issued unless the court, in addition to the matters provided in section 10 above, determines that there is a special need to intercept wire or oral communications over such facilities or in such places. Special need as used in this paragraph shall require in addition to the matters required by section 10 of P.L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-10), a showing that the licensed physician, licensed practicing psychologist, attorney at law, practicing clergyman or newspaperman is personally engaging in or was engaged over a period of time as a part of a continuing criminal activity or is committing, has or had committed or is about to commit an offense as provided in section 8 of the act. No otherwise privileged wire or oral communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of, the provisions of this act, shall lose its privileged character.

H. Section 8 of the Act (N.J.S. 2A:156A-8) enumerates those offenses for which electronic surveillance could be authorized. This section should be updated to reflect recent changes in New Jersey law.

The present language "dealing in narcotic drugs, marijuana or other dangerous drugs" does not comport with the terminology used in the current law, the Controlled Dangerous Substances Act (N.J.S. 24A:21-1 et seq.). In addition, the term "dealing" does not necessarily include such acts as manufacturing, distributing or dispensing, or possession with intent to commit these act. Unquestionably, these are crimes which are "dangerous to life, limb or property," and are therefore within the province of the State to enumerate. See 18 U.S.C. § 2516 (2).

A limitation of the right to wiretap for the offense of receiving stolen property is made necessary by the enactment of L. 1972, c.160 (N.J.S. 2A:170-41.1). That statute declares that receipt of stolen property valued at less than \$200 is a disorderly persons offense. Thus, a limitation, similar to that used for the offense of larceny, is necessary to conform Section 17 with the mandate of 18 U.S.C. § 2516 (2).

The offenses of unlawful manufacture, purchase, use or transfer of firearms, or unlawful possession or use of bombs or explosives should be added to those crimes for which electronic surveillance could be authorized. These offenses pose grave threats to the safety of persons and property, and often have consequences beyond those of the immediate offense. Most important, the electronic surveillance capability could be effective in preventing wholesale destruction and terrorism.

Accordingly, it is recommended that Section 8 of the Act be amended to read as follows:

The Attorney General, a county prosecutor or the chairman of the State Commission of Investigation when authorized by a majority of the members of that commission, or a person designated to act for such an official and to perform his duties and during his actual absence or disability, may authorize, in writing, an ex parte application to a judge designated to receive the same for an order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication by the investigative or law enforcement officers or agency having responsibility for an investigation when such interception may provide evidence of the commission of the offense of murder, kidnapping, gambling, robbery, bribery, extortion, loansharking, (dealing in narcotic drugs, marijuana or other dangerous drugs,) violations of Section 19 of the Controlled Dangerous Substances Act, arson, burglary, embezzlement, forgery, receiving stolen property punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, alteration of motor vehicle identification numbers, larceny punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, unlawful manufacture, purchase use, or transfer of firearms, or unlawful possession

or use of bombs or explosives, or any conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing offenses or which may provide evidence aiding in the apprehension of the perpetrator or perpetrators of any of the foregoing offenses.

I. Section 4 (c) of the Act (N.J.S. 2A:156A-4(c)) makes it unlawful for a person to intercept a communication with the consent of one of the parties to the communication where the communication is intercepted for the purpose of committing a criminal or tortious act. In most cases it is extremely difficult for an aggrieved party to the communication to prove that at the time of the interception, the prohibited purpose or intent existed. Private consent interceptions may serve a legitimate purpose; it is the use of such interceptions which can create substantial harm to lives and reputations and must therefore be controlled. By prohibiting such harmful use and providing a substantial civil as well as criminal cause of action against the perpetrator, the security of our citizens will be better assured.

It is accordingly recommended that Section 4 (c) of the Act be amended to read as follows:

It shall be unlawful under this act for...

c. A person not acting under color of law to intercept a wire or oral communication, where such person is a party to the communication or one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception unless such communication is intercepted or used for the purpose of committing any criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or of this State or for the purpose of committing any other injurious act. Any person who unlawfully intercepts or uses such communication as provided in this paragraph shall be subject to the civil liability established in section 24 of L. 1968, c. 409 as amended, in addition to any other criminal or civil liability imposed by law.

J. The Act was designed to terminate on a date certain to assure a thorough legislative and executive review of its operations. The wisdom of this approach is amply demonstrated by the revisions which have been urged in

the preceding paragraphs. On the whole, however, the rigorous provisions of the Act have proven to be effective in providing an important tool to New Jersey's law enforcement officers while assuring that the constitutional rights of our citizens have been protected. That crucial balance between productive law enforcement and individual security has largely been achieved.

But rather than assume that future history will have no more to teach, it is recommended that the Act, with the amendments described herein, be extended for another six year period.

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED LEGISLATION

AN ACT to amend and to reenact "An act concerning the interception of wire and oral communications, authorizing interception in certain cases under court order and prescribing procedures therefor, prohibiting unauthorized interception, use or disclosure of wire and oral communications, prescribing penalties for violations and repealing N.J.S. 2A:146-1," approved January 14, 1969 (L. 1968, c.409) .

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

1. Section 4 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-4) is amended to read as follows:

4. It shall not be unlawful under this act for:

a. An operator of a switchboard, or an officer, agent or employee of a communication common carrier, whose facilities are used in the transmission of a wire communication, to intercept, disclose or use that communication in the normal course of his employment while engaged in any activity which is a necessary incident to the rendition of his service or to the protection of the rights or property of the carrier of such communication. No communication common carrier shall utilize service observing or random monitoring except for mechanical or service quality control checks;

b. A person acting under color of law to intercept a wire or oral communication, where such person is a party to the communication or one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception; or

c. A person not acting under color of law to intercept a wire or oral communication, where such person is a party to the communication or one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent to such interception unless such communication is intercepted or used for the purpose of committing any criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or of this State or for the purpose of committing any other injurious act. Any person who unlawfully intercepts or uses such communication as provided in this paragraph shall be subject to the civil liability established in section 24 of L. 1968, c. 409 as amended, in addition to any other

criminal or civil liability impose by law.

2. Section 8 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-8) is amended to read as follows:

8. The Attorney General, a county prosecutor or the chairman of the State Commission of Investigation when authorized by a majority of the members of that commission, or a person designated to act for such an official and to perform his duties in and during his actual absence or disability, authorize, in writing, an ex parte application to a judge designated to receive the same for an order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication by the investigative or law enforcement officers or agency having responsibility for an investigation when such interception may provide evidence of the commission of the offense of murder, kidnapping, gambling, robbery, bribery, extortion, loansharking, [dealing in narcotic drugs, marijuana or other dangerous drugs,] violations of Section 19 of the Controlled Dangerous Substances Act, arson, burglary, embezzlement, forgery, receiving stolen property punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, alteration of motor vehicle identification numbers, larceny punishable by imprisonment for more than one year, unlawful manufacture, purchase, use, or transfer of firearms, or unlawful possession or use of bombs or explosives, or any conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing offenses or which may provide evidence aiding in the apprehension of the perpetrator or perpetrators of any of the foregoing offenses.

3. Section 9 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-9) is amended to read as follows:

10. Each application for an order of authorization to intercept a wire or oral communication shall be made in writing upon oath or affirmation and shall state:

- a. The authority of the applicant to make such application;
- b. The identity and qualifications of the investigative or law enforcement officers or agency for whom the authority to intercept a wire or oral communication is sought and the identity of whoever authorized the application.
- c. A particular statement of the facts relied upon by the applicant, including; (1) the identity of the particular person, if known, committing the offense and whose communications are to be intercepted; (2) the details as to the particular offense that has been, is being, or is about to be committed; (3) the particular type of communication to be intercepted; and a showing that there is probable cause to believe that such communication will be

communicated on the wire communication facility involved or at the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted;

(4) the character and location of the particular wire communication facilities involved or the particular place where the oral communication is to be intercepted; (5) a statement of the period of time for which the interception is required to be maintained; if the character of the investigation is such that the authorization for interception should not automatically terminate when the described type of communication has been first obtained, a particular statement of facts establishing probable cause to believe that additional communications of the same type will occur thereafter; (6) a particular statement of facts showing that other normal investigative procedures with respect to the offense have been tried and have failed to reasonably appear to be unlikely to succeed if tried or to be too dangerous to employ;

d. Where the application is for the renewal or extension of an order, a particular statement of facts showing the results thus far obtained from the interception, or a reasonable explanation of the failure to obtain such results;

e. A complete statement of the facts concerning all previous application, known to the individual authorizing and to the individual making the application, made to any court for authorization of the same facilities or places specified in the application or involving any person whose communication is to be intercepted and the action taken by the court on each such application; and

f. Such additional testimony or documentary evidence in support of the application as the judge may require.

4. Section 11 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-11) is amended to read as follows:

11. If the facilities from which a wire communication is to be intercepted are public, no order shall be issued unless the court, in addition to the matter provided in section 10 above, determines that there is a special need to intercept wire communications over such facilities.

If the facilities from which, or the place where, the wire or oral communications are to be intercepted are being used, or are about to be used, or are leased to, listed in the name of, or commonly used by, a licensed physician, a licensed practicing psychologist, an attorney at law, [or] a practicing clergyman, or a newspaperman, or is a place used primarily for habitation by a husband and wife, no order shall be issued unless

the court, in addition to the matters provided in section 10 above, determines that there is a special need to intercept wire or oral communications over such facilities or in such places. Special need as used in this paragraph shall require in addition to the matters required by section 10 of this Act, a showing that the licensed physician, licensed practicing psychologist, attorney-at-law, practicing clergyman or newspaperman is personally engaging in or was engaged over a period of time as a part of a continuing criminal activity or is committing, has or had committed or is about to commit an offense as provided in section 8 of the act. No otherwise privileged wire or oral communication intercepted in accordance with, or in violation of, the provisions of this act, shall lose its privileged character.

5. Section 12 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-12) is amended to read as follows:

12. Each order authorizing the interception of any wire or oral communication shall state:

- a. The judge is authorized to issue the order;
- b. The identity of, or a particular description of, the person, if known, whose communications are to be intercepted;
- c. The character and location of the particular communication facilities as to which, or the particular place of the communication as to which, authority to intercept is granted;
- d. A particular description of the type of the communication to be intercepted and a statement of the particular offense to which it relates:
- e. The identity of the investigative or law enforcement officers or agency to whom the authority to intercept a wire or oral communication is given and the identity of whoever authorized the application; and
- f. The period of time during which such interception is authorized, including a statement as to whether or not the interception shall automatically terminate when the described communication has been first obtained.

No order entered under this section shall authorize the interception of any wire or oral communication for a period of time in excess of that necessary under the circumstances. Every order entered under this section shall require that such interception begin and terminate as soon as practicable and be conducted in such a manner as to minimize or eliminate the interception of such communication not otherwise subject to interception under this act by making reasonable efforts, whenever possible, to

reduce the hours of interception authorized by said order. In no case shall an order entered under this section authorize the interception of wire or oral communications for any period exceeding ~~[30]~~ 20 days. Extensions or renewals of such an order may be granted for periods of not more than ~~[30]~~ 20 days. No extension or renewal shall be granted unless an application for it is made in accordance with this section, and the court makes the findings required by section 10, 11 and this section.

Whenever an order authorizing an interception is entered, the order may require reports to be made to the judge who issued the order showing what progress has been made toward achievement of the authorized objective and the need for continued interception. Such reports shall be made at such intervals as the court may require.

An order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication shall, upon a showing of special need by the applicant, direct that a communication common carrier use its best efforts to furnish forthwith the applicant with all information, facilities and technical assistance necessary to accomplish an in-progress trace or interception. This assistance shall be provided unobtrusively, and with a minimum of interference with the services that such carrier is affording the person whose communications are to be intercepted. Said order shall limit the hours that the carrier shall be obligated to provide said assistance, and shall specify the circumstances under which an obligation to provide assistance shall arise. Any communication common carrier furnishing such facilities or technical assistance shall be compensated for the costs of any assistance rendered to the applicant. Said carrier shall be immune from civil liability for any assistance rendered to the applicant pursuant to this section.

6. Section 17 of L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-17) is amended to read as follows:

a. Any investigative or law enforcement officer or other person who, by any means authorized by this act, has obtained knowledge of the contents of any wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, may disclose or use such contents or evidence to ~~[another]~~ investigative or law enforcement officers of this or another state, any of its political subdivisions, or of the United States to the extent that such disclosure or use is appropriate to the proper performance of his official duties.

b. Any person who, by any means authorized by this act, has obtained any information concerning any wire or oral communication or evidence derived therefrom intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this act, may disclose the contents of such communications derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation on any criminal proceeding in any court of this or another State or of the United States or before any Federal or State grand jury.

c. The contents of any intercepted wire or oral communication, or evidence derived therefrom, may otherwise be disclosed or used only upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction.

7. Section 23 P.L. 1968, c. 409 (C.2A:156A-23) is amended to read as follows:

23. In addition to reports required to be made by applicants pursuant to Federal law, all judges of the superior court authorized to issue orders pursuant to this act shall make annual reports on the operation of this act to the Administrative Director of the Courts. The reports by the judges shall contain (1) the number of applications made; (2) the number of orders issued; (3) the effective periods of such orders; (4) the number and duration of any renewals there thereof; (5) the crimes in connection with which the conversations were sought; (6) the name of the applicants; and (7) such other and further particulars as the Administrative Director of the Courts may require.

The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the Attorney General shall annually report to the Governor and the Legislature on such aspects of the operation of this act as [he] they respectively deem s appropriate including any recommendations [he]they may care to make as to legislative changes or improvements to effectuate the purposes of this act and to assure and protect individual rights.

8. Section 28 of P.L. 1968, c. 409 is amended to read as follows:

28. This Act shall take effect January 1, 1969 and remain in effect until December 31, [1974]1980.

9. This Act shall take effect immediately.

APPENDIX B

Statistical Reports For the Period January 1, 1969 to
December 31, 1969

NOTE

The following three tables cover the calendar year noted above:

TABLE A - Reports of State Judges

TABLE B - Reports of State Prosecuting Officials

TABLE C - Supplemental Reports Updating Information
in Tables A and B

Each electronic surveillance is listed numerically, so that information reported in Table A, for example, on 1969, #1, Essex is supplemented by information opposite the same numerical designation in Tables B & C.

REPORT ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING OR APPROVING
THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1969 TO
DECEMBER 31, 1969

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE
UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1969

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual period device in operation ¹	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
Maryland										
6 Baltimore	Thomas	Moylan	Bribery	M/E	10-27-69	3 hrs.	none	3 hrs.	3 hrs.	Office
7 Baltimore	Carter	Moylan	Attempted bribery	M/E	11-6-69	3 1/2 hrs.	none	3 1/2 hrs.	3 1/2 hrs.	Police station
8 Baltimore	Harris	Moylan	Attempted bribery	M/E	11-9-69	10 hrs.	none	2 hrs.	10 hrs.	Courthouse
9 Baltimore	Harris	Moylan	Bribery and extortion	PW	12-1-69	1	none	1	1	Apartment
10 Baltimore	Harris	Moylan	Bribery and extortion	PW	12-2-69	1	none	1	1	Outside a building
11 Prince George's	Powers	Marshall	Gambling	PW	4-21-69	5	1 for 1 day	6	6	Apartment
12 Prince George's	Powers	Marshall	Bribery	M/E	5-2-69	1	none	1	1	Inside automobile
13 Prince George's	Diggs	Marshall	Gambling and lottery	PW	6-19-69	8	none	2 hrs.	8	Apartment
14 Prince George's	Powers	Marshall	Gambling	PW	10-17-69	5	none	1	5	Residence
15 Prince George's	Powers	Marshall	Gambling	PW	11-10-69	5	none	4 hrs.	5	Residence
New Jersey										
1 Essex	Guiliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-14-69	30	none	12	30	Residence
2 Essex	Guiliano	Lordi	Bookmaking and lottery	PW	9-10-69	30	none	9	30	Barber shop
3 Essex	Guiliano	Lordi	Bookmaking and lottery	PW	11-11-69	30	none	4	30	Residence
4 Essex	Guiliano	Lordi	Bookmaking and lottery	PW	11-19-69	30	none	2	30	Apartment
5 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Narcotics	PW	4-26-69	30	none	16	30	Multiple Dwelling NJ
6 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	5-15-69	30	none	16	30	
7 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	5-20-69	30	none	2	30	Apartment
8 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	5-20-69	30	none	11	30	Residence
9 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-4-69	30	1	58	60	Residence
10 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-6-69	30	none	2	30	Apartment
11 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-6-69	30	none	2	30	Apartment
12 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-6-69	30	none	8	30	Residence
13 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-19-69	30	none	20	30	Residence
14 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-30-69	30	none	20	30	Residence
15 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	6-30-69	30	none	10	30	Residence
16 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	7-3-69	30	none	20	30	Residence
17 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	7-3-69	30	none	20	30	Residence
18 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	7-11-69	30	none	9	30	Residence
19 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	7-11-69	30	none	9	30	Residence
20 Mercer	Giuliano	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	7-23-69	30	none	3	30	Residence
21 Mercer	Giuliano	Sills	Narcotics	PW	7-23-69	30	none	26	30	Residence
22 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Hijacking	PW	8-28-69	30	none	29	30	Residence
23 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	8-28-69	30	none	2	30	Residence
24 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Murder, arson and conspiracy	M/E	9-16-69	30	1	51	60	Car Agency
25 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	9-18-69	30	none	1	30	Residence

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

NI-Not Indicated

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519, Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications, Calendar Year 1969

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
Maryland													
6 Baltimore	Moylan	Oral	1	1	1	none	\$ 100.00	\$ -	\$ 100.00	none	-	-	-
7 Baltimore	Moylan	Oral	1 per hr.	4	2	2	160.00	-	160.00	2	Still pending	-	none
8 Baltimore	Moylan	Oral	2 per hr.	4	4	1	200.00	-	200.00	2	Still pending	-	none
9 Baltimore	Moylan	Phone call	1	1	1	1	40.00	-	40.00	1	none	none	none
10 Baltimore	Moylan	Oral	1	1	1	1	40.00	-	40.00	1	none	none	none
11 Prince George's	Marshall	Phone call	NI	1	9	9	300.00	-	300.00	2	1	none	1
12 Prince George's	Marshall	Oral	1	2	1	1	125.00	-	125.00	1	1	none	1
13 Prince George's	Marshall	Phone call	7 in 2 hrs.	5	13	8	54.00	60.00	114.00	3	2	none	3
14 Prince George's	Marshall	Phone call	NI	1	1	none	100.00	-	100.00	none	none	none	none
15 Prince George's	Marshall	Phone call	10 per hr.	10	123	121	55.00	375.00	430.00	12	Still pending	-	none
New Jersey													
1 Essex	Lordi	Phone call	25	Unknown	303	152	3192.00	1,368.00	4560.00	5	NI	NI	NI
2 Essex	Lordi	Phone call	27	Unknown	244	73	756.00	324.00	1080.00	(Contributed to 5 arrests in other jurisdictions)	-	-	-
3 Essex	Lordi	Phone call	29	Unknown	119	95	91.00	78.00	169.00	none	-	-	-
4 Essex	Lordi	Phone call	43	Unknown	87	71	112.00	96.00	208.00	3 (Includes 2 in other jurisdictions)	-	-	-
5 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	9	41	145	5	3163.00	18.00	3181.00	6	NI	NI	NI
6 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	34	42	553	414	2264.00	52.00	2316.00	6	NI	NI	NI
7 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	10	8	20	18	508.00	7.00	515.00	4	NI	NI	NI
8 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	14	17	153	144	1895.00	33.00	2028.00	2	NI	NI	NI
9 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	27	46	1,585	1,096	10263.00	199.00	10462.00	(Contributed to 6 arrests shown in number 6 above)	-	-	-
10 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	14	13	29	29	688.00	10.00	698.00	4	NI	NI	NI
11 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	32	22	65	64	979.00	11.00	992.00	(Contributed to 4 arrests shown in number 10 above)	-	-	-
12 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	23	16	188	168	493.00	16.00	509.00	5	NI	NI	NI
13 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	6	11	133	131	3180.00	45.00	3225.00	8	NI	NI	NI
14 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	13	43	265	104	2449.00	59.00	2508.00	(Contributed to 8 arrests shown in number 13 above)	-	-	-
15 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	59	35	593	579	933.00	94.00	1027.00	1	NI	NI	NI
16 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	37	26	753	634	2061.00	62.00	2123.00	13	NI	NI	NI
17 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	36	22	727	505	2361.00	72.00	2433.00	(Contributed to 13 arrests shown in number 16 above)	-	-	-
18 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	28	18	257	218	1392.00	321.00	1713.00	(Contributed to 13 arrests shown in number 16 above. One motion to suppress made.)	-	-	-
19 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	18	14	161	127	1357.00	20.00	1377.00	(Contributed to 8 arrests shown in number 13 above. One motion to suppress made.)	-	-	-
20 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	71	60	213	197	414.00	16.00	430.00	2	NI	NI	NI
21 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	5	52	142	32	6722.00	20.00	6742.00	2	NI	NI	NI
22 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	7	45	204	127	4141.00	194.00	4335.00	none	-	-	-
23 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	19	21	38	9	766.00	67.00	833.00	1	NI	NI	NI
24 Mercer	Sills	Oral	4	37	200	90	13761.00	430.00	14191.00	3	NI	NI	NI
25 Mercer	Sills	Phone call	3	5	3	none	185.00	53.00	238.00	(Contributed to 1 arrest shown in number 23 above.)	-	-	-

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.
NI-Not Indicated

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1969

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (C) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period detected in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercept*	Place
New Jersey										
26 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Gambling	PW	9-18-69	30	none	3	30	Residence
27 Mercer	Guiliano	Sills	Lottery	PW	9-29-69	30	none	10	30	Residence
28 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	10-3-69	30	none	2	30	Residence
29 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Hijacking	PW	10-5-69	30	none	29	30	Trucking Company
30 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Hijacking	PW	10-5-69	30	none	29	30	Trucking Company
31 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Hijacking	M	10-5-69	30	none	26	30	Trucking Company
32 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	10-8-69	30	none	14	30	Residence
33 Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking	PW	10-28-69	15	none	1	15	Residence
34 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	10-29-69	30	none	29	30	Residence
35 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	10-29-69	30	1	36	30	Residence
36 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	11-18-69	30	none	11	30	Apartment
37 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	M/E	11-18-69	30	none	8	30	Apartment
38 Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking	PW	12-3-69	30	none	2	30	Residence
39 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Conspiracy to commit murder	PW	12-19-69	4	none	2	4	Residence
40 Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Larceny, Re- ceiving stolen property, con- spiracy	PW	8-28-69	30	none	No report	30	Apartment
41 Hudson	Pashman	Tumulty	Narcotics	PW	8-15-69	30	1 (7 days)	No report	37	Catereria
42 Middlesex	Kingfield	Dolan	Narcotics	PW	8-13-69	30	none	No report	30	Multiple dwelling
43 Middlesex	Kingfield	Dolan	Narcotics	PW	8-27-69	30	none	No report	30	Residence
44 Somerset	Kingfield	Imbriani	Bookmaking and Conspiracy	PW	4-29-69	30	none	No report	30	Business
45 Union	Kingfield	Kaplowitz	Bookmaking and Conspiracy	PW	11-5-69	20	none	No report	20	Residence
Rhode Island										
1 Providence	Mullen	DeSimone	Gambling	PW	11-16-69	30	1	47	30	Residence

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

†As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1969

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	
		Type	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost					
New Jersey														
26	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	13	17	39	26	\$ 440.00	\$ 32.00	\$ 472.00	1	NI	NI	NI
27	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	7	9	119	74	1013.00	39.00	1052.00	none	-	-	-
28	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	99	97	199	165	255.00	13.00	268.00	5	NI	NI	NI
29	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	7	29	219	44	2661.00	100.00	2761.00	(Installed in conjunction with numbers 30 and 31 below)			
30	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	4	30	118	20	2661.00	93.00	2754.00	(See number 29 above)			
31	Mercer	Sills	Oral	1	7	37	10	2114.00	62.00	2176.00	(See number 29 above)			
32	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	15	53	218	206	1868.00	431.00	2299.00	18	NI	NI	NI
33	Mercer	Tanaro	Phone call	Constant	Several	Several	Several	73.87	428.00	501.87	5	pending	-	-
34	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	20	22	596	559	2009.00	263.00	2272.00	(Contributed to 18 arrests shown in number 32 above.)			
35	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	14	41	530	520	1496.00	94.00	1590.00	(Contributed to 18 arrests shown in number 32 above.)			
36	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	8c	69	953	953	1235.00	170.00	1395.00	(Contributed to 18 arrests shown in number 32 above.)			
37	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	6	48	481	471	1084.00	51.00	1135.00	(Contributed to 18 arrests shown in number 32 above.)			
38	Mercer	Panaro	Phone call	Constant	Numerous	Numerous	Numerous	147.74	20.00	167.74	1	pending	-	-
39	Mercer	Sills	Phone call	11	9	23	13	476.00	13.00	489.00	2	NI	NI	NI
40	Mercer	Sills		No prosecutor report received										
41	Hudson	Tumulty		No prosecutor report received										
42	Middlesex	Dolan		No prosecutor report received										
43	Middlesex	Dolan		No prosecutor report received										
44	Somerset	Imbriano		No prosecutor report received										
45	Union	Kaplowitz		No prosecutor report received										
Rhode Island														
1	Providence	DeSimone	Phone call	17	55	790	503	5640.00	175.00	5815.00	none	-	-	-

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.
NI-Not Indicated

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1970
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1970)

United States District Court	Reporting Number in 1969 Report	Nature of Intercept	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1970					
				Cost	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	Offense
Ohio, Northern	2	Phone Call	4-1-69	-	-	-	-	2	Forgery (Securities)
New Jersey	4	Phone Call	6-3-69	-	8	-	-	-	6 for trans. wagering information and gambling 2 for conspiracy
Oklahoma, Eastern	5	Phone Call	6-11-69	-	1	1	1 later withdrawn	-	Perjury
Florida, Southern	7	Phone Call	6-17-69	-	9	-	13 denied	-	Gambling
District of Columbia	9	Phone Call	7-9-69	\$105,473	30	1	1 denied	6	Narcotics
District of Columbia	12	Phone Call	8-1-69	66,010	related to the arrests and convictions noted				D.C. #69-9 above
New York, S.	15	Phone Call Oral	8-11-69	-	15 indict- ments	-	-	-	Trans. wagering information, Gambling, Conspiracy
New Jersey	17	Phone Call	9-19-69	-	-	-	-	14	Gambling, Conspiracy
Ohio, Northern	19	Phone Call	10-2-69	-	related to 15 arrests, noted in				Ohio, N. #69-27 below

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1970
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1970)

United States District Court	Reporting Number in 1969 Report	Nature of Intercept	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1970					
				Cost	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	Offense
Pennsylvania, E.	20	Phone Call	10-6-69	\$ 614	13	-	-	-	Gambling
Connecticut	23	Phone Call	10-20-69	-	related to 10 arrests as result of subsequent applications dated 10-24-69 (N.J. #69-25) and dated 3-16-70 (N.J. #70-88)				
New Jersey	24	Phone Call	10-24-69	-	related to 18 arrests noted in Pa., E. (#69-29) below				
New Jersey	25	Phone Call	10-24-69	-	related to 10 arrests as a result of applications dated 10-20-69 (Conn. #69-23) and dated 3-16-70 (N.J. #70-88)				
New Jersey	26	Phone Call	11-3-69	-	2	-	-	-	Conspiracy
Ohio, Northern	27	Phone Call	11-6-69	-	16	-	-	-	15 Gambling, Conspiracy, 1 Firearms
Pennsylvania, E.	28	Phone Call	11-14-69	870	2	-	-	-	Gambling, Perjury
Pennsylvania, E.	29	Phone Call	11-24-69	-	18	-	5 pending	-	Gambling, Conspiracy
Florida, Southern	30	Phone Call	12-1-69	-	2	-	-	-	Trans. wagering information, Conspiracy

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1970
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1970)

State, County	Reporting Number in 1969 Report	Nature of Intercept	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1970					
				Cost	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	Offense
<u>New Jersey</u>									
Essex	1	Phone Call	4-14-69	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy
Mercer	6	Phone Call	5-15-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Mercer	8	Phone Call	5-20-69	-	3	-	-	-	Bookmaking
Mercer	9	Phone Call	6-4-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Mercer	10	Phone Call	6-6-69	-	1	-	-	-	Lottery
Mercer	12	Phone Call	6-6-69	-	3	-	-	-	Bookmaking
Mercer	13	Phone Call	6-19-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Mercer	14	Phone Call	6-30-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Mercer	16	Phone Call	7-3-69	-	1	-	1 pending	-	Bookmaking
Mercer	17	Phone Call	7-3-69	-	-	-	1 pending	-	-
Mercer	18	Phone Call	7-11-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Mercer	19	Phone Call	7-11-69	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1970
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1970)- Concluded

State, County	Reporting Number in 1969 Report	Nature of Intercept	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1970					
				Cost	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	Offense
<u>New Jersey</u>									
Mercer	22	Phone Call	8-28-69	\$ 25	-	-	-	-	-
Mercer	32	Phone Call	10-8-69	-	4	-	1 pending	-	Bookmaking
Mercer	33	Phone Call	10-28-69	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking
Mercer	34	Phone Call	10-29-69	-	-	-	1 pending	-	-
Mercer	35	Phone Call	10-29-69	-	-	-	1 pending	-	-
Mercer	38	Phone Call	12-3-69	-	-	-	-	1	Lottery
Somerset	44	Phone Call	4-29-69	800	1	1	1 denied	1	Bookmaking, Conspiracy
Union	45	Phone Call	11-5-69	2,180	8	-	-	-	-

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by the Department of Justice in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
New Jersey	4	6/3/69	-	-	-	-	1	Gambling, transmission of wagering information, conspiracy
Florida, Southern	7	6/17/69	-	-	2	2	6	Transmission of wagering information, conspiracy
District of Columbia	9	7/9/69	-	-	2	50 denied	16	Narcotics
New York, Southern	15	8/11/69	-	31 (occurred during calendar year 1970)				
New Jersey	17	9/19/69	-	-	-	-	34	Conspiracy
Ohio, Northern	19	10/2/69	-	-	-	-		Related to 13 convictions noted in number 27.
New York, Northern	21	10/13/69	-	-	-	-		Related to 34 convictions noted in number 17.
New Jersey	22	10/14/69	-	-	-	-		Related to 34 convictions noted in number 17.
Connecticut	23	10/20/69	-	-	-	-		Related to report number 88 of 1970 report
New Jersey	24	10/24/69	-	-	-	-		Related to report number 88 of 1970 report

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by the Department of Justice in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
Ohio, Northern	27	11/6/69	-	-	-	-	13	Gambling, conspiracy
Pennsylvania, Eastern	28	11/14/69	-	-	-	-	1 1	Gambling Perjury
Pennsylvania, Eastern	29	11/24/69	-	-	3	5	13	Gambling, conspiracy
Florida, Southern	30	12/1/69	-	-	1	7	5	Transmission of wagering information, conspiracy
Missouri, Western	31	12/3/69	-	-	1	-	12	Gambling, conspiracy
New Jersey	32	12/4/69	-	-	-	-	Related to number 17	34 convictions noted in number 17
Illinois, Northern	33	12/5/69	-	-	1	-	1	Gambling, conspiracy

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 reports	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey</u> --								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	13	6/19/69	-	-	-	-	6 2	Bookmaking, conspiracy Bookmaking, conspiracy, lottery
State Attorney General (Mercer)	14	6/30/69	-	-	1	-	Related to number 13	8 arrests noted in
State Attorney General (Mercer)	18	7/11/69	-	-	-	-	Related to number 13	8 arrests noted in
State Attorney General (Mercer)	19	7/11/69	-	-	-	-	Related to number 13	8 arrests noted in
State Attorney General (Mercer)	24	9/16/69	-	-	2	-	3 7 2 1	Bribery Conspiracy, misconduct in office Obstruction of justice, bribery Conspiracy to obstruct justice
Essex	1	4/14/69	-	-	1	-	1	Narcotics

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	33	10/28/69	\$ 84	1	-	-	1	Bookmaking
Mercer	38	12-3-69	96	1	-	-	1	Bookmaking
<u>New York</u>								
Erie	1	2/4/69	1,440	-	2	4 denied	-	-
Erie	3	9/9/69	2,640	-	-	4 granted appeal pending	-	-
Queens	1	7/29/68	-	-	-	-	1	Possession of dangerous drugs
Queens	4	12/9/68	-	-	-	-	1	Grand larceny
Queens	5	11/13/68	-	-	-	-	3	Possession of dangerous drugs
Queens	7	1/2/69	-	-	2	-	1	Possession gambling records

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	6	5-15-69	-	-	-	1 denied	5	Bookmaking, conspiracy
State Attorney General	7	5-20-69	-	-	-	1 denied	13	Bookmaking, conspiracy, lottery
State Attorney General	8	5-20-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 13	convictions in No. 7
State Attorney General	10	6-6-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 13	convictions in No. 7
State Attorney General	11	6-6-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 13	convictions in No. 7
State Attorney General	16	7-3-69	-	-	-	1 denied	6	Bookmaking, conspiracy, lottery
State Attorney General	17	7-3-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 6	convictions in No. 16
State Attorney General	32	10-8-69	-	-	2	1 denied	3	Bookmaking, con- spiracy, obstruction of justice
							9	Bookmaking, con- spiracy
State Attorney General	34	10-29-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 12	convictions in No. 32
State Attorney General	35	10-29-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 12	convictions in No. 32
State Attorney General	36	11-18-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 12	convictions in No. 32
State Attorney General	37	11-18-69	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 12	convictions in No. 32

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Essex	1	4-14-69	-	-	-	-	3	Conspiracy, posses- sion of narcotics
Essex	4	11-19-69	-	-	1	-	3	Conspiracy, book- making
<u>New York</u>								
Erie	1	2-4-69	-	-	-	2 denied	-	-
Erie	3	9-9-69	-	-	-	6 denied	2	Promoting gambling
Queens	36	7-22-69	-	-	-	-	5	Kidnapping, robbery, grand larceny
Queens	47	10-1-69	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy
<u>Rhode Island</u>								
State Attorney General (Providence)	1	11-18-69	-	-	-	-	3	Conspiracy

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1969
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1969 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	5	4-28-69	-	-	1	1 denied	3	Conspiracy and narcotics
State Attorney General	7	5-20-69	-	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making and lottery
State Attorney General	8	5-20-69	-	-	1	-	2	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	10	6-6-69	-	-	Related to trial and conviction in No. 7			
State Attorney General	11	6-6-69	-	-	Related to trial and conviction in No. 7			
State Attorney General	12	6-6-69	-	-	1 denied Related to trial and convictions in No. 8			
State Attorney General	37	11-18-69	-	-	Related to trial, motion and conviction in No. 37 (1970)			
<u>New York</u>								
Erie	1	2-4-69	-	-	1	1 granted	-	-
Erie	3	9-9-69	-	-	-	3 denied	3	Gambling
Nassau	4	11-7-69	-	-	-	-	1	Gambling

APPENDIX B

Statistical Reports for the Period January 1, 1970 to
December 31, 1970

NOTE

The following three tables cover the calendar year noted above:

TABLE A - Reports of State Judges

TABLE B - Reports of State Prosecuting Officials

TABLE C - Supplemental Reports Updating Information
in Tables A and B

Each electronic surveillance is listed numerically, so that information reported in Table A, for example, on 1969, #1, Essex is supplemented by information opposite the same numerical designation in Tables B & C.

REPORT ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING OR APPROVING
THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1970, TO
DECEMBER 31, 1970

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE
UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized ¹	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Sills	Bookmaking	PW	12-31-69	30	None	12	30	Multiple dwelling
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	2-17-70	15	None	3	15	Multiple dwelling
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Bookmaking	PW	1-9-70	30	None	7	30	Residence
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	1-22-70	15	None	6	15	House trailer
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking Lottery	PW	3-5-70	15	None	13	15	Multiple dwelling
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Bookmaking	PW	2-4-70	15	None	12	15	Residence
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Bookmaking	PW	2-4-70	15	None	11	15	Residence
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-2-70	15	None	4	15	Multiple dwelling
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	1-28-70	15	None	11	15	Apartment
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	2-17-70	15	None	9	15	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking Lottery	PW	2-13-70	15	None	10	15	Business
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-12-70	15	None	8	15	Residence
13. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-17-70	15	None	7	15	Multiple dwelling
14. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-18-70	15	None	7	15	Business
15. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-18-70	15	None	11	15	Multiple dwelling
16. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-9-70	15	None	3	15	Residence
17. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-17-70	15	1	12	30	Residence
18. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-21-70	15	None	3	15	Residence
19. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-21-70	15	1	23	30	Residence
20. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-21-70	15	None	6	15	Residence
21. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-28-70	15	None	13	15	Residence
22. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	5-7-70	15	None	7	15	Apartment
23. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, Receipt and Disposition of Stolen Property	PW	5-13-70	30	None	30	30	Residence

*Days unless otherwise indicated.
¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 16, United State Code, Section 2519,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.													
1. Mercer	Sills	PC	51	73	560	428	\$1,977	\$ 94	\$2,071	Related to 16 arrests noted in number 4 below.			
2. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	13	11	1	789	10	799	Related to 4 arrests noted in number 13 below.			
3. Mercer	Schauer	PC	17	21	120	88	993	73	1,066	Related to 16 arrests noted in number 4 below.			
4. Mercer	Kugler	PC	27	27	163	162	682	87	769	16	-	-	-
5. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	52	293	238	1,062	77	1,139	Related to 4 arrests noted in number 13 below.			
6. Mercer	Schauer	PC	24	30	290	282	945	76	1,021	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 7 below.			
7. Mercer	Schauer	PC	17	28	169	168	740	114	854	3	-	1 pending	-
8. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	28	74	57	779	51	830	1	-	-	-
9. Mercer	Kugler	PC	55	24	602	547	1,607	42	1,649	Related to 15 arrests noted in number 11 below.			
10. Mercer	Kugler	PC	20	21	175	143	1,091	29	1,120	Related to 15 arrests noted in number 11 below. 1 pending			
11. Mercer	Kugler	PC	26	34	258	12	2,250	33	2,283	15	-	-	-
12. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	10	90	79	614	51	665	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 15 below.			
13. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	27	192	165	828	23	851	4	-	-	-
14. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	4	29	26	1,003	63	1,066	None	-	-	-
15. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	29	204	192	828	128	956	12	-	1 pending	-
16. Mercer	Kugler	PC	2	6	7	4	731	71	802	None	-	-	-
17. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	12	100	52	2,065	164	2,229	7	-	-	-
18. Mercer	Kugler	PC	2	9	7	None	526	10	536	None	-	-	-
19. Mercer	Kugler	PC	5	8	112	18	1,529	75	1,604	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 24 below.			
20. Mercer	Kugler	PC	78	52	468	454	643	162	805	11	-	-	-
21. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	13	137	100	779	142	921	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 24 below.			
22. Mercer	Kugler	PC	44	23	305	228	896	77	973	8	-	-	-
23. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	42	227	None	20,522	98	20,620	None	-	-	-

¹PC - phone call
*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/R) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercept*	Place
<i>New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.</i>										
24. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	5-15-70	15	None	7	15	Residence
25a. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	6-1-70	15	1	19	30	Multiple dwelling
25b. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	6-1-70	15	1	19	30	Multiple dwelling
26. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	6-9-70	15	1	25	30	Multiple dwelling
27. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	6-9-70	15	None	3	15	Multiple dwelling
28. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Narcotics	PW	6-22-70	15	1	28	30	Apartment
29. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Lottery	PW	6-19-70	21	None	3	21	Multiple dwelling
30. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Bookmaking	PW	7-1-70	15	None	6	15	Multiple dwelling
31. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Lottery	PW	7-1-70	15	1	5	45	Residence
32. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Lottery	PW	7-3-70	15	None	12	15	Residence
33. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Lottery	PW	7-3-70	15	None	12	15	Residence
34. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Lottery	PW	7-3-70	15	None	3	15	Multiple dwelling
35. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-8-70	15	None	11	15	Multiple dwelling
36. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Grand Larceny	PW	7-8-70	15	None	9	15	Residence
37. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-10-70	15	None	7	15	Residence
38. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-14-70	15	None	15	15	Apartment
39. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Lottery	PW	7-16-70	15	None	12	15	Residence
40. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-24-70	15	None	15	15	Apartment
41. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-24-70	15	None	3	15	Multiple dwelling
42. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-29-70	15	None	13	15	Residence
43. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7-29-70	15	1	14	22	Residence
44. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	7-31-70	15	1	16	30	Residence
45. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	8-5-70	15	None	5	15	Multiple dwelling
46. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	8-15-70	15	None	11	15	Residence

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercept		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Number of Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Interminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total					
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.														
24. Mercer	Kugler	PC	5	8	36	5	\$ 857	\$ 73	\$ 930	3	-	-	-	
25a. Mercer	Kugler	PC	36	37	678	628	2,542	88	2,630	Related to 19 arrests noted in number 25b below.				
25b. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	64	631	712	2,542	68	2,610	19	-	-	-	
26. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	15	414	383	3,721	180	3,901	Related to 10 arrests noted in number 40 below.				
27. Mercer	Kugler	PC	34	33	39	None	516	111	627	None	-	-	-	
28. Mercer	Schauer	PC	15	37	423	311	7,402	321	7,723	6	-	-	-	
29. Mercer	Schauer	PC	37	27	112	87	292	114	406	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 34 below.				
30. Mercer	Schauer	PC	74	51	441	437	594	23	617	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 59 below.				
31. Mercer	Schauer	PC	17	24	83	67	711	129	840	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 34 below.				
32. Mercer	Schauer	PC	7	38	83	16	2,094	39	2,133	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 44 below.				
33. Mercer	Schauer	PC	12	27	145	6	2,328	42	2,370	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 44 below.				
34. Mercer	Schauer	PC	21	19	63	54	526	44	570	11	-	-	-	
35. Mercer	Kugler	PC	44	29	447	430	760	192	952	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 59 below.				
36. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	90	111	22	1,490	121	1,611	4	-	-	-	
37. Mercer	Kugler	PC	86	40	603	594	1,227	26	1,253	9	-	-	-	
38. Mercer	Kugler	PC	109	40	1,632	1,586	2,416	173	2,589	Related to 10 arrests noted in number 40 below.				
39. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	57	186	51	2,279	42	2,321	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 44 below.				
40. Mercer	Kugler	PC	109	55	1,639	1,630	2,114	232	2,346	10	-	-	-	
41. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	3	38	38	750	126	876	2	-	-	-	
42. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	27	175	73	896	80	976	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 46 below.				
43. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	18	173	154	925	159	1,084	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 46 below.				
44. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	21	253	210	3,438	147	3,585	12	-	-	-	
45. Mercer	Kugler	PC	No interceptions					244	73	317				
46. Mercer	Kugler	PC	37	23	406	374	1,140	125	1,265	13	-	-	-	

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.										
47. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy to Commit Burglary, Larceny, Receiving Stolen Property	PW	8-6-70	14	1	33	44	Apartment
48. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy to Commit Burglary, Larceny	PW	8-6-70	14	1	36	44	Apartment
49. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	8-7-70	15	None	9	15	Residence
50. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	8-14-70	15	None	4	15	Apartment
51. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	8-22-70	15	None	5	15	Multiple dwelling
52. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	8-26-70	15	None	4	15	Social Club
53. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	8-28-70	15	1	20	30	Residence
54. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-2-70	15	None	No interception	15	Residence
55. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-2-70	15	None	No interception	15	Residence
56. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-14-70	15	1	20	30	Apartment
57. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-14-70	15	1	21	30	Apartment
58. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-21-70	15	None	11	15	Multiple dwelling
59. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-21-70	15	None	4	15	Multiple dwelling
60. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, Receiving Stolen Property	PW	9-22-70	15	None	15	15	Residence
61. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	9-22-70	15	None	13	15	Apartment
62. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, Lottery, Conspiracy	PW	9-22-70	15	None	13	15	Apartment
63. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, Receiving Stolen Property	PW	9-25-70	15	None	10	15	Residence
64. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	10-2-70	15	None	5	15	Apartment

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title IV, United States Code, Sec. 2512,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost					
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.														
47. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	297	619	147	\$11,522	\$ 365	\$11,887	None	-	-	-	
48. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	227	561	125	11,542	353	11,895	None	-	-	-	
49. Mercer	Kugler	PC	7	8	68	None	672	70	742	None	-	-	-	
50. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	18	43	42	448	28	276	Related to 14 arrests noted in number 51 below.				
51. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	18	64	61	409	66	475	14	-	-	-	
52. Mercer	Kugler	PC	35	43	141	52	672	43	715	None	-	-	-	
53. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	41	333	179	2,065	100	2,165	7	-	-	-	
54. Mercer	Kugler	PC	No interceptions					312	30	342				
55. Mercer	Kugler	PC	No interceptions					312	35	347				
56. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	52	315	314	1,373	65	1,438	Related to 15 arrests noted in number 57 below.				
57. Mercer	Kugler	PC	49	62	1,029	1,028	1,412	78	1,490	15	-	-	-	
58. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	18	248	238	1,081	36	1,117	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 59 below.				
59. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	31	113	113	614	103	717	12	-	-	-	
60. Mercer	Kugler	PC	31	157	465	308	3,799	78	3,877	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 65 below.				
61. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	43	351	315	536	66	602	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 62 below.				
62. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	45	361	344	584	51	635	7	-	-	-	
63. Mercer	Kugler	PC	26	151	289	170	3,847	52	3,899	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 65 below.				
64. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	15	63	62	536	76	612	Related to 9 arrests noted in number 73 below.				

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercept*	Place
New Jersey reports from the State Attorney General cont.										
65. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, Receiving Stolen Property	PW	10-7-70	15	None	15	15	Multiple dwelling
66. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	10-7-70	15	1	41	45	Apartment
67. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	10-14-70	15	None	3	15	Residence
68. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	10-14-70	15	None	3	15	Residence
69. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	10-20-70	15	None	5	15	Business
70. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, Bookmaking	PW	10-22-70	15	1	6	30	Multiple dwelling
71. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	10-26-70	15	None	11	15	Residence
72. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	10-27-70	15	1	11	30	Residence
73. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	11-4-70	15	None	15	15	Multiple dwelling
74. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking	PW	11-11-70	15	None	No interception	15	Social Club
75. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking	PW	11-11-70	15	1	22	45	Business
76. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	11-18-70	15	None	10	15	Residence
77. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	11-19-70	15	1	21	35	Residence
78. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	11-23-70	15	None	12	15	Multiple dwelling
79. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	11-23-70	15	None	5	15	Multiple dwelling
80. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-25-70	21	None	23	30	Business
81. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking	PW	11-25-70	30	None	23	30	Business

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 2A, Section 107, of the New Jersey Statutes, Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications, Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted	
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost					
New Jersey, reports from the State Attorney General cont.														
65. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	129	358	156	\$ 3,409	\$ 107	\$ 3,516	2	-	-	-	
66. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	21	311	42	14,737	244	14,981	2	-	-	-	
67. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	20	33	29	545	35	580	Related to 21 arrests noted in number 70 below.				
68. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	8	12	10	633	35	668	Related to 21 arrests noted in number 70 below.				
69. Mercer	Kugler	PC	3	12	17	17	623	141	764	Related to 9 arrests noted in number 73 below.				
70. Mercer	Kugler	PC	90	63	625	622	565	195	659	21	-	-	-	
71. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	40	450	391	2,737	102	2,839	3	-	-	-	
72. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	11	70	43	828	144	972	Related to 6 arrests noted in number 77 below.				
73. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	20	40	40	429	80	509	9	-	-	-	
74. Mercer	Kugler	PC	No interceptions					156	None	156				
75. Mercer	Kugler	PC	32	177	784	563	2,766	104	2,870	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 81 below.				
76. Mercer	Kugler	PC	104	50	1,045	1,033	1,169	36	1,195	Related to 10 arrests noted in number 80 below.				
77. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	64	230	198	1,149	147	1,296	6	-	-	-	
78. Mercer	Kugler	PC	44	25	535	463	156	165	321	Related to 6 arrests noted in number 79 below.				
79. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	29	31	1	1,442	126	1,568	6	-	-	-	
80. Mercer	Kugler	PC	49	40	696	608	1,062	102	1,164	10	-	-	-	
81. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	133	388	337	2,026	135	2,161	3	-	-	-	

¹PC - phone call
*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
New Jersey, Camden										
1. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Lottery	PW	4-8-70	30	None	5	30	Business
2. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Escape	PW	6-25-70	30	None	None	30	Residence
3. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Murder	PW	6-30-70	30	None	9	30	Business
4. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Lottery	NI	10-9-70	30	None	No prosecutor's report	30	Residence
New Jersey, Bergen										
1. Bergen	Pashman	Calissi	Loansharking	PW	3-18-70	30	None	20	30	Residence
2. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Bookmaking, Gambling	PW	4-8-70	30	None	6	30	Apartment
3. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Bookmaking, Gambling	PW	4-10-70	30	None	1	30	Apartment
4. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Bookmaking, Gambling	PW	4-20-70	30	None	12	30	Business
5. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Possession & Sale of Nar- cotics, Im- pairing the morals of minors	PW, M/E	5-1-70	30	None	20 2	30	Apartment
6. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Bookmaking, Gambling	PW	5-5-70	30	None	7	30	NI
7. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Gambling, Bookmaking, Loansharking	PW	6-10-70	30	None	11	30	Residence
8. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Burglary, Gambling	PW	8-8-70	30	None	15	30	Business
9. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Burglary, Gambling, Conspiracy	PW	9-17-70	30	2	75	80	Business
10. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Narcotics	PW	10-23-70	30	None	30	30	Apartment

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
New Jersey, Camden													
1. Camden	Bigley	PC	NI	4	NI	51	\$2,400	\$100	\$2,500	4	-	-	-
2. Camden	Bigley	PC	No interceptions				200	-	200	None	-	-	-
3. Camden	Bigley	PC	NI	1	3	1	3,500	10	3,510	1	-	-	-
4. Camden	Bigley	NI	No prosecutor's report										
New Jersey, Bergen													
1. Bergen	Calissi	PC	Daily (12 hours)	4	Approx. 56	4	2,760	35	2,795	2	-	-	1
2. Bergen	Dilts	PC	6 hours	35	154	138	550	35	585	2	-	-	-
3. Bergen	Dilts	PC	30 min.	12	13	12	9	5	14	2	Unknown		-
4. Bergen	Dilts	PC	3 hours	Approx. 50	65	6	828	25	853	None	-	-	-
5. Bergen	Dilts	PC, Oral	20 days 4 hrs. per dy 2 dys(a) 8hrs.	20 6	250	5	920	45	965	8	-	-	-
					Conversations								
6. Bergen	Dilts	PC	6 hours	24	30	30	966	20	986	none	-	-	-
7. Bergen	Dilts	PC	12 hours	42	227	25	1,012	45	1,057	4	-	-	-
8. Bergen	Dilts	PC	8 hours	Approx. 500	604	3	2,070	200	2,270	1	-	-	-
9. Bergen	Dilts	PC	8 hours	Unknown	Approx. 1,600	25	3,450	150	3,600	6 (plus 4 in other counties)	-	-	-
10. Bergen	Dilts	PC	16 hours	37	278	105	5,520	100	5,620	1	-	-	-

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - not indicated

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
<u>New Jersey, Essex County</u>										
1. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	1-22-70	30	None	2	30	Residence
2. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	1-29-70	30	None	14	30	Business
3. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, Conspiracy	PW	2-25-70	30	Never installed			Social Club
4. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery, Conspiracy	PW	2-26-70	30	None	18	30	Residence
5. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-8-70	30	None	Never used, related to order Number 6.	30	Apartment
6. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-14-70	30	None	21	30	Apartment
7. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	5-28-70	30	None	12	30	Public pay phone
8. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	6-17-70	30	None	13	30	Social Club
9. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-15-70	30	None	10	30	Residence
10. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Breaking and entry, Lar- ceny	PW	11-4-70	5	Never installed			Residence
11. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	11-25-70	30	None	6	30	Apartment
12. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	12-3-70	30	None	6-1/2	30	Residence
13. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	12-4-70	30	None	3	30	Business
<u>New Jersey, Hudson County</u>										
1. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Bookmaking, Conspiracy	PW	10-23-70	30	None	7	30	Apartment

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title III, United States Constitution, Section 2511
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1979

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
New Jersey, Essex County													
1. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	16	None	\$ 166	\$ 168	\$ 334	None	-	-	-
2. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	168	144	798	40	838	13	-	-	-
3. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
4. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	1,154	1,066	9,800	50	9,850	11	-	-	-
5. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never used. Order Number 6 contains information for both applications.										
6. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	174	29	12,000	160	12,760	9	-	-	-
7. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	158	58	443	40	483	Related to 9 arrests noted in Number 9 below.			-
8. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	818	549	819	90	909	9	-	-	-
9. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	1,008	980	690	150	840	6	-	-	-
10. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
11. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	219	202	45	240	285	2	-	-	-
12. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	190	33	60	1,700	1,760	3	-	-	-
13. Essex	Lordi	PC	-	-	89	26	60	235	295	2	-	-	-
New Jersey, Hudson County													
1. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	Daily	3	225	225	960	58	1,018	None	-	-	-

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2510,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications,
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Fund (1W) Phone Wire (M/W) Microphone (O) Other	Days of Application	Original Intercept Authorized*	Number of Interceptions	Days Intercepted ¹ by Interceptor	Total Authorized Number of Interceptions*	Place
New Jersey, Mercer County										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	1-16-70	30	None	2	30	Residence
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	2-20-70	30	None	3	30	Residence
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	3-11-70	30	None	2	30	Apartment
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	4-10-70	30	None	6	30	Residence
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Panaro	Lottery, Conspiracy	PW	4-21-70	30	None	2	30	Apartment
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	8-3-70	30	None	5	30	Business
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-4-70	30	None	No Interceptions	30	Multiple dwelling
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-15-70	30	None	No Interceptions	30	Apartment
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-17-70	30	None	3	30	Residence
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	9-30-70	30	None	11	30	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, Lottery	PW	10-28-70	30	None	3	30	Residence
New Jersey, Middlesex County										
1. Middlesex	Kingfield	Cronin	Narcotics, Conspiracy	PW	9-13-69	30	None	7	30	Apartment
New Jersey, Somerset County										
1. Somerset	Kingfield	Imbriani	Robbery, Conspiracy	M/E	2-10-70	30	None	30	30	County Jail cell
2. Somerset	Kingfield	Imbriani	Bookmaking, Conspiracy	PW	2-17-70	30	None	7	30	Apartment

*Days unless otherwise indicated.

¹As reported by prosecuting officials.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers, Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
New Jersey, Mercer County													
1. Mercer	Panaro	PC	Constant	Approx. 6	30	30	\$200	\$ 31	\$231	1	-	-	-
2. Mercer	Panaro	PC	Constant	10	30	30	200	54	254	1	-	-	-
3. Mercer	Panaro	PC	Constant	10	30	-	100	21	121	1	-	-	-
4. Mercer	Panaro	PC	Constant	15	150	150	400	108	508	2	-	-	-
5. Mercer	Panaro	PC	2 per hour	2	6	6	100	16	116	2	-	-	-
6. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	Approx. 7	120	120	300	27	327	3	-	-	-
7. Mercer	Schragger	PC	No interceptions				150	40	190	None	-	-	-
8. Mercer	Schragger	PC	No interceptions				150	40	190	None	-	-	-
9. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	Approx. 5	10	10	100	90	190	1	-	-	-
10. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	Approx. 15	Approx. 500	Approx. 500	300	252	552	2	-	-	-
11. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	Approx. 10	Approx. 400	Approx. 400	150	242	392	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Middlesex County													
1. Middlesex	Cronin	PC	Daily	10	50	35	560	-	560	None	-	-	-
New Jersey, Somerset County													
1. Somerset	Imbriani	Oral	Varied	1	Unknown	Unknown	850	-	850	3	-	-	3
2. Somerset	Imbriani	PC	6	1	41	3	200	-	200	None	-	-	-

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A. Reports by State Judges Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519,
on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Judge	Applicant	Offense Specified	Kind (PW) Phone Wire (M/E) Microphone/ Eavesdrop (O) Other	Date of Application	Original Period Authorized*	Number of Extensions	Actual Period ¹ Device in operation*	Total Authorized Length of Intercepts*	Place
New Jersey, Union										
1. Union	Kingfield	Koplowitz	Bookmaking,	PW	12-11-69	30	None	5	30	Business
2. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking	PW	1-5-70	30	-	5	30	Residence
3. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking	PW	5-6-70	30	None	8	30	Residence
4. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking	PW	8-21-70	30	None	11	30	Apartment
5. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Narcotics, Conspiracy	PW	10-20-70	15	None	7	15	Residence
6. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking	PW	10-28-70	30	None	9	30	Residence
7. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, Conspiracy	PW	11-19-70	30	None	8	30	Residence
8. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, Conspiracy	PW	12-14-70	30	None	5	30	Apartment

*Days unless otherwise indicated.
¹As reported by prosecuting officials.
NI-Not indicated.

Table B. Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Pursuant to Title 18, in any State or Court, Since 1970,
Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications.
Calendar Year 1970

State, County and Reporting Number	Name of Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts	Number of Persons Convicted
		Type ¹	Average Frequency*	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other Resources	Total Cost				
New Jersey, Union													
1. Union	Kapowitz	PC	.5 per hour	2	94	4	\$ 390	\$230	Approx. \$ 620	Related to 4 arrests	noted in Number 2 below.		
2. Union	Asch	PC	1 per 1.6 hr.	3	82	35	Approx. 440	Approx. 300	Approx. 740	4	-	-	-
3. Union	Asch	PC	NI	3	436	512	Approx. 730	Approx. 280	Approx. 1,010	19	-	1 denied	-
4. Union	Asch	PC	1 per hour	2	53	9	Approx. 680	Approx. 120	Approx. 800	None	-	-	-
5. Union	Asch	PC	1 per 3 hrs.	3	199	52	Approx. 840	Approx. 140	Approx. 980	12	-	-	-
6. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hour	1	31	2	Approx. 320	Approx. 180	Approx. 500	None	-	-	-
7. Union	Asch	PC	1 per 9 hrs.	2	199	170	Approx. 700	Approx. 280	Approx. 980	16	-	-	-
8. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hour	3	109	35	Approx. 440	Approx. 210	Approx. 630	9	-	-	-

¹PC - phone call

*Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - not indicated.

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by the Department of Justice in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
Michigan, Eastern	76	9/23/70	-	Related to 1 arrest noted in number 79				
Michigan, Eastern	79	11/12/70	-	1	-	-	-	-
Michigan, Eastern	80	11/30/70	-	9	-	-	-	-
Michigan, Eastern	81	11/30/70	-	Related to number 120 in 1971 report				
Michigan, Eastern	82	11/30/70	-	Related to numbers 80, 81 (1970 report) and 118 and 144 (1971 report)				
Missouri, Western	83	1/7/70	-	3	-	-	-	-
Nebraska	86	12/2/70	-	3	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	87	3/5/70	-	2	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	100	6/29/70	-	16	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	110	11/19/70	-	8	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	111	11/30/70	-	10	-	-	-	-
New York, Eastern	115	7/1/70	-	-	-	-	1 dismissed	-
New York, Eastern	117	9/17/70	-	3	1	-	3	Narcotics

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Hampshire</u>								
State Attorney General (Hillsborough)	1	7/10/70	-	6	-	6	-	-
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	6	2/4/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	7	2/4/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	9	1/28/70	-	-	-	1 denied	13	Conspiracy, bookmaking, lottery
State Attorney General (Mercer)	10	2/17/70	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to number 9	13 arrests noted in number 9
State Attorney General (Mercer)	12	3/12/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	15	3/18/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	25a	6/1/70	-	-	1	1 denied	5 2 4	Conspiracy, bookmaking Conspiracy, bookmaking, loansharking, using threat to collect debt, Lottery, conspiracy

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	25b	6/1/70	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to	11 arrests noted in 25a..
State Attorney General (Mercer)	40	7/24/70	-	-	-	1 denied	5	Conspiracy, bookmaking
State Attorney General (Mercer)	42	7/29/70	-	2	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	43	7/29/70	-	Related to 2 arrests noted in			number 42	
State Attorney General (Mercer)	50	8/14/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	51	8/22/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	53	8/28/70	-	-	-	1 granted	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	60	9/22/70	-	7	-	1 denied	1	Larceny, receiving stolen motor vehicles

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	63	9/25/70	-	Related to 7 arrests and 1 conviction noted in number 60 1 denied				
State Attorney General (Mercer)	65	10/7/70	-	Related to 7 arrests and 1 conviction noted in number 60 1 denied				
State Attorney General (Mercer)	61	9/22/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	64	10/2/70	-	-	-	-	6 2	Conspiracy Conspiracy, lottery
State Attorney General (Mercer)	67	10/14/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	68	10/14/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	69	10/20/70	-	-	-	-	1	Receiving stolen property
State Attorney General (Mercer)	72	10/27/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
State Attorney General (Mercer)	77	11/19/70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	78	11/23/70	-	1	-	1 denied	1	Conspiracy, bookmaking
State Attorney General (Mercer)	79	11/23/70	-	Related to 1 arrest and 1 conviction noted in number 78				
Camden	1	4/8/70	-	2	-	-	-	-
Camden	3	6/30/70	-	-	1	-	1	Murder
Essex	2	1/29/70	-	-	5	-	2	Conspiracy, maintaining and possession of gambling slips
Essex	4	2/26/70	-	1	3	-	-	-
Essex	8	6/17/70	-	5	4	-	2	Bookmaking, maintaining and possession of lottery slips
Mercer	1	1/16/70	\$268	-	1	1 denied	1	Bookmaking, possession of lottery paraphernalia, keeping gambling resort
Mercer	2	2/20/70	170	-	1 (entered plea of guilty during trial)	-	1	Bookmaking

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	3	3/11/70	\$170	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking
Mercer	4	4/10/70	48	-	-	-	1	Working for lottery busi- ness
Mercer	5	4/21/70	48	-	-	-	1	Permitting lottery on premises, working for lottery, conspiracy
Mercer	6	8/3/70	154	-	-	-	1	Working for a lottery
Mercer	7	9/4/70	Related to costs and conviction noted in number 9					
Mercer	8	9/15/70	Related to costs and conviction noted in number 9					
Mercer	9	9/17/70	390	-	1	-	1	Permitting lottery and bookmaking
Mercer	10	9/30/70	390	-	1	-	2	Conspiracy, possession of lottery slips, bookmaking, permitting a lottery on premises

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1971
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1971)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1971					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	11	10/28/70	\$390	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy, working for a lottery, permitting a lottery on premises
Union	1	12/11/69	-	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 2				
Union	2	1/5/70	-	3	-	3	1	Bookmaking
Union	3	5/6/70	-	7	-	2	-	-
Union	5	10/20/70	-	6	-	-	3 3	Conspiracy to sell narcotics Disorderly person
Union	7	11/19/70	-	8	-	8	10 1	Conspiracy, bookmaking Disorderly person
<u>New York</u>								
Bronx	3	12/19/69	-	-	-	-	1	Perjury
Bronx	4	1/2/70	-	-	-	1 granted	-	-

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>Georgia</u>								
Fulton	3	8-21-70	-	-	3	-	3	Burglary
Fulton	5	9-15-70	-	-	Related to 3 trials and convictions in No. 3			
Fulton	6	10-2-70	-	-	Related to 3 trials and convictions in No. 3			
<u>Massachusetts</u>								
State Attorney General (Suffolk)	1	2-27-70	-	-	3	-	3	Gaming
State Attorney General	2	3-27-70	-	-	2	-	2	Gaming
State Attorney General	3	3-27-70	-	-	1	-	1	Gaming
State Attorney General	5	7-2-70	-	-	1	-	1	Gaming
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	5	3-5-70	-	-	1	1 denied	3	Bookmaking, conspiracy, obstruction of justice
							5	Bookmaking, lottery
State Attorney General	6	2-4-70	-	-	-	-	7	Bookmaking, lottery
State Attorney General	7	2-4-70	-	-	-	-	Related to 7 convictions in No. 6	
State Attorney General	12	3-12-70	-	-	1	-	10	Lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	15	3-18-70	-	-	-	-	Related to 10 convictions in No. 12	

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	17	4-17-70	-	-	-	-	7	Lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	20	4-21-70	-	-	1	1 denied	3	Lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	50	8-14-70	-	-	-	-	13	Lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	51	8-22-70	-	-	-	-	Related to 13	convictions in No. 50
State Attorney General	60	9-22-70	-	14	4	-	11	Larceny, receiving stolen motor vehicles, conspiracy
							1	Threatening to kill
State Attorney General	61	9-22-70	-	-	1	-	3	Bookmaking, conspiracy
							6	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	62	9-22-70	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to 9	convictions in No. 61
State Attorney General	63	9-25-70	-	Related to arrests, trials and convictions in number 60				
State Attorney General	65	10-7-70	-	Related to arrests, trials and convictions in number 60				
State Attorney General	70	10-22-70	-	-	1	1 denied	15	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	76	11-18-70	-	-	4	1 denied	23	Bookmaking, lottery conspiracy
							2	Bookmaking, lottery

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	78	11-23-70	-	-	2	-	6	Bookmaking, conspiracy
State Attorney General	79	11-23-70	-	-	Related to trials and convictions in number 78			
State Attorney General	80	11-25-70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
					Related to trials and convictions in number 76			
State Attorney General	81	11-25-70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	-
Bergen	1	3-18-70	-	-	1	-	-	-
Bergen	2	4-8-70	-	-	1	1	1	Conspiracy, book- making
Bergen	5	5-1-70	-	-	8	-	6	Conspiracy, dangerous weapon
							2	Conspiracy to commit assault and battery
Bergen	7	6-10-70	-	-	1	1	1	Conspiracy
Bergen	8	8-8-70	-	-	1	-	1	Manufacture, possession and sale of inter- cepting devices
Bergen	9	9-17-70	-	-	2	-	6	Bookmaking, lottery paraphernalia
Bergen	10	10-23-70	-	-	1	1	1	Possession and sale of narcotic drugs

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Camden	3	6-30-70	-	1	1	-	1	Murder
Essex	2	1-29-70	-	-	-	-	10	Conspiracy, book- making, gambling
Essex	4	2-26-70	-	-	-	-	9	Conspiracy, book- making, lottery
Essex	6	4-14-70	-	2	-	-	9	Sale and possession of narcotics
Essex	8	6-17-70	-	5	1	-	13	Bookmaking, lottery
Essex	9	9-15-70	-	-	1	-	4	Conspiracy, book- making, lottery
Essex	11	11-25-70	-	-	-	-	2	Bookmaking, con- spiracy
Essex	12	12-3-70	-	-	-	-	2	Bookmaking, conspiracy, lottery
Mercer	6	8-3-70	-	-	-	-	2	Possession of lottery slips
<u>New York</u>								
Bronx	9	4-29-70	-	-	-	-	1	Possession of dan- gerous drugs
Bronx	12	5-15-70	-	-	2	-	6	Narcotics (Federal)

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1970
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1970 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	37	7-10-70	-	-	1	1 denied	1	Bookmaking
State Attorney General	60	9-22-70	-	1	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General	70	10-22-70	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	72	10-27-70	-	-	1	1 denied	4	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	77	11-19-70	-	-	-	1 denied Related to trial and convictions	-	in No. 72
Essex	6	4-14-70	-	-	-	-	1	Gambling
Essex	9	9-15-70	-	-	-	-	2	Gambling
<u>New York</u>								
Bronx	3	12-19-69	-	-	-	-	2	Usury
Bronx	17	7-22-70	-	-	-	-	2	Possession of explosives
Bronx	18	8-17-70	-	5	-	-	2 4 1 3	Usury Conspiracy Counterfeiting Perjury

APPENDIX B

Statistical Reports for the Period January 1, 1972 to
December 31, 1972

NOTE

The following three tables cover the calendar year noted above:

TABLE A - Reports of State Judges

TABLE B - Reports of State Prosecuting Officials

TABLE C - Supplemental Reports Updating Information
in Tables A and B

Each electronic surveillance is listed numerically, so that information reported in Table A, for example, on 1969, #1, Essex is supplemented by information opposite the same numerical designation in Tables B & C.

REPORT ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING OR APPROVING
THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1972 to
DECEMBER 31, 1972

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE
UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period of operation ²	Place
						Original period ³	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General										
1. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	11-17-71	30	1	NI	47	Apartment
2. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Narcotics	M/E	11-17-71	30	-	30	30	Apartment
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12-20-71	15	-	15	13	Residence
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12-28-71	15	-	15	10	Residence
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12-28-71	15	-	15	10	Residence
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	12-28-71	15	-	15	10	Apartment
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	1-4-72	15	-	15	13	Residence
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	1-12-72	15	-	15	7	Residence
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1-12-72	15	-	15	7	Multiple dwelling
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	1-17-72	15	-	15	13	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1-20-72	15	1	45	26	Apartment
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	1-20-72	30	1	60	59	Residence
13. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	1-25-72	30	-	30	30	Residence
14. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1-28-72	15	-	15	2	Apartment
15. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	2-3-72	15	-	15	10	Residence
16. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	M/E	2-4-72	30	-	30	11	Apartment
17. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	2-8-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
18. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property	PW	2-15-72	15	1	30	23	Mobile Home
19. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property	PW	2-15-72	15	1	30	23	Mobile Home
20. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property	M/E	2-15-72	15	1	30	NI	Mobile Home
21. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property	M/E	2-15-72	15	1	30	NI	Mobile Home

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

NI - Not Indicated.

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of				
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
New Jersey, State Attorney General														
1. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	71	410	12	\$ 6,572	\$ 204	\$ 6,776	Related to arrests noted in numbers 1971-78 and 1972-2				
2. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	19	63	593	30	12,489	214	12,703	Related to arrests noted in number 1971-78				
3. Mercer	Kugler	PC	26	60	339	324	1,945	155	2,100	15	-	-	-	
4. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	10	80	54	1,613	82	1,695	8	-	-	-	
5. Mercer	Kugler	PC	30	20	411	384	1,714	35	1,749	Related to arrests noted in number 4				
6. Mercer	Kugler	PC	37	48	376	353	565	70	635	2	-	-	-	
7. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	28	296	264	1,300	90	1,390	4	-	-	-	
8. Mercer	Kugler	PC	27	19	190	187	806	48	854	1	-	-	-	
9. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	7	198	112	1,159	73	1,232	1	-	-	-	
10. Mercer	Kugler	PC	20	34	266	212	2,218	92	2,310	2	-	-	-	
										Related to 1 arrest noted in number 14				
11. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	12	706	573	3,246	140	3,386	2	-	-	-	
12. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	254	1,377	62	19,606	761	20,367	16	-	-	-	
										(Federal)				
13. Mercer	Kugler	PC	9	75	285	63	11,179	394	11,573	1	-	-	-	
14. Mercer	Kugler	PC	3	8	4	-	675	57	732	1	-	-	-	
15. Mercer	Kugler	PC	30	20	303	269	1,885	71	1,956	1	-	-	-	
16. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	10	15	111	34	2,218	89	2,307	-	-	-	-	
17. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	38	25	9	504	35	539	-	-	-	-	
18. Mercer	Kugler	PC	52	50	1,197	131	5,060	168	5,228	2	-	-	-	
19. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	15	343	63	4,697	133	4,830	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 18				
20. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	Related to statistics noted in number 19							Related to 2 arrests noted in number 18				
21. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	Related to statistics noted in number 18							Related to 2 arrests noted in number 18				

¹Phone call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)										
22. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	2-15-72	30	-	30	28	Residence
23. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	2-17-72	15	-	15	10	Residence
24. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	2-18-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
25. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	2-23-72	15	-	15	7	Residence
26. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Hijacking, bookmaking, lottery, larceny	PW	3-1-72	21	1	42	39	Residence
27. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property	PW	3-2-72	15	-	15	8	Mobile Home
28. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-3-72	15	-	15	5	Residence
29. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3-3-72	15	-	15	9	Residence
30. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	3-6-72	15	-	15	12	Residence
31. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	3-7-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
32. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3-21-72	15	-	15	9	Residence
33. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-27-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
34. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	3-29-72	15	-	15	7	Residence
35. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3-30-72	15	-	15	12	Residence
36. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Receiving stolen property	PW	4-17-72	30	-	30	9	Apartment
37. Mercer	Pashman	Schauer	Narcotics	PW	4-18-72	15	-	15	5	Apartment
38. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	4-20-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
39. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4-26-72	15	-	15	8	Apartment
40. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	4-26-72	15	1	30	15	Residence
41. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	4-26-72	15	-	15	9	Apartment
42. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Receiving stolen property	PW	4-28-72	30	-	30	25	Business

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)										
43. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	M/E	5-4-72	21	-	21	21	Residence
44. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	M/E	5-4-72	21	-	21	Not implemented	Business
45. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	5-18-72	15	-	15	Installed but not implemented	Apartment
46. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	5-24-72	15	-	15	14	Residence
47. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	5-24-72	15	-	15	14	Residence
48. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Receiving stolen property	M/E	5-31-72	30	-	30	26	Business
49. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking	PW	6-7-72	30	-	30	30	Business
50. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Escape	PW	6-24-72	15	-	15	15	Residence
51. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	8-21-72	15	-	15	15	Apartment
52. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	8-23-72	30	-	30	28	Apartment
53. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	8-29-72	15	-	15	12	Residence
54. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	8-29-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
55. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics, lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-15-72	21	1	51	45	Residence
56. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Homicide, robbery	PW	9-21-72	15	-	15	12	Residence
57. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	10-16-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
58. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10-25-72	15	-	15	7	Multiple dwelling
59. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	10-26-72	15	-	15	15	Multiple dwelling
60. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	10-27-72	15	1	30	30	Residence
61. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Murder	PW	10-31-72	96 hours	-	96 hrs.	4	Residence
62. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-8-72	15	1	45	37	Residence
						Hours extended by order of 11-28-72.				

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and Reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cqst			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)													
43. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	5	30	98	35	\$17,085	\$ 462	\$17,547	-	-	-	-
44. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	Not implemented										
45. Mercer	Kugler	PC	Installed but not implemented				161	45	206				
46. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	31	65	14	10,100	149	10,249	-	-	-	-
47. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	40	118	10	10,120	152	10,272	-	-	-	-
48. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	10	7	263	33	4,092	128	4,220	-	-	-	-
49. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	90	723	12	12,015	142	12,157	1	-	-	-
50. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	21	114	6	3,286	49	3,335	-	-	-	-
51. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	20	56	8	6,784	52	6,836	-	-	-	-
52. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	44	354	67	10,292	119	10,411	1	-	-	-
53. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	92	165	12	2,594	347	3,301	2	-	-	-
54. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	86	144	5	2,752	156	2,908	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 53			
55. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	25	477	70	14,293	210	14,503	-	-	-	-
56. Mercer	Kugler	PC	25	15	307	52	3,004	79	3,083	-	-	-	-
57. Mercer	Kugler	PC	33	11	132	73	645	61	708	1	-	-	-
58. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	15	138	51	1,079	69	1,148	3	-	-	-
59. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	43	360	238	1,028	94	1,122	2	-	-	-
60. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	102	1,150	485	16,229	207	16,436	5	-	-	-
61. Mercer	Kugler	PC	2	20	10	1	1,240	93	1,333	-	-	-	-
62. Mercer	Kugler	PC	41	10	1,409	154	11,552	123	11,675	1	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)										
63. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Bookmaking	PW	11-10-72	15	-	15	9	Business
64. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	11-14-72	15	-	15	14	Multiple dwelling
65. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	11-20-72	15	1	30	24	Residence
66. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-28-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
67. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Narcotics	PW	12-4-72	21	-	21	10	Apartment
68. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-7-72	15	-	15	14	Business
New Jersey, Bergen County										
1. Bergen	Pashman	Schauer*	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-10-72	15	-	15	7	Residence
2. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3-6-72	15	-	15	1 1/2	Residence
3. Bergen	Pashman	Schauer	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-16-72	15	1	20	19	Residence
4. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-29-72	15	-	15	8	Apartment
5. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-17-72	15	-	15	11	Apartment
6. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-15-72	15	1	20	16	Residence
New Jersey, Camden County										
1. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Bookmaking	PW	1-7-72	30	-	30	4	Residence
2. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Lottery	PW	3-15-72	30	-	30	3	Residence
3. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	5-15-72	30	-	30	4	Residence
4. Camden	Schalick	Bigley	Lottery	PW	5-26-72	30	-	30	9	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

*The position of Bergen County Prosecutor was vacant during 1972 and all wiretap applications were made by the Attorney General and Acting Attorney General of the State of New Jersey.

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)													
63. Mercer	Schauer	PC	5	8	16	15	\$ 494	\$ 146	\$ 640	-	-	-	-
64. Mercer	Kugler	PC	34	45	474	358	877	71	948	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 59			
65. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	74	542	169	6,199	203	6,402	6	-	-	-
66. Mercer	Kugler	PC	1	4	5	2	534	60	594	-	-	-	-
67. Mercer	Schauer	PC	15	30	250	52	8,528	32	8,560	7	-	-	-
68. Mercer	Kugler	PC	22	135	180	53	1,966	95	2,061	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Bergen County													
1. Bergen	Schauer*	PC	4 hrs.	240	120	74	400	105	505	4	3	3 denied	3
2. Bergen	Kugler	PC	4 1/2 hrs.	114	57	47	100	45	145	2	2	-	2 (6 counts)
3. Bergen	Schauer	PC	5 hrs.	410	205	149	1,320	160	1,480	6	-	-	-
4. Bergen	Kugler	PC	5 hrs.	554	277	226	1,160	135	1,295	8	1	-	1
5. Bergen	Kugler	PC	7 hrs.	226	113	49	1,054	110	1,164	3	-	-	-
6. Bergen	Kugler	PC	6 hrs.	78	39	23	1,200	105	1,305	3	-	-	-
New Jersey, Camden County													
1. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (6 hrs.)	20	88	80	300	300	600	1	1	-	1
2. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (5 hrs.)	6	36	32	275	185	460	5	-	-	-
3. Camden	Bigley	PC	6 hrs.	12	72	72	425	250	675	2	-	-	-
4. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (6 hrs.)	30	433	321	1,360	1,900	3,260	29	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

*The position of Bergen County Prosecutor was vacant during 1972 and all wiretap applications were made by the Attorney General and Acting Attorney General of the State of New Jersey.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County										
1. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-13-71	15	1	30	7	Multiple dwelling
1a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-16-71	15	-	15	7	Apartment
1b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-3-72	15	-	15	6	Apartment
2. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-7-72	21	-	21	6	Apartment
3. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-11-72	15	-	15	7	Apartment
4. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-19-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
5. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-20-72	21	-	21	10	Multiple dwelling
6. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1-24-72	15	-	15	11	Multiple dwelling
7. Not Assigned										
8. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	2-1-72	20	-	20	4	Apartment
9. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Possession of stolen property	PW	2-7-72	20	1	30	15	Business
10. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Larceny, receiving stolen goods	PW	2-7-72	20	1	30	30	Residence
11. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	2-9-72	15	-	15	7	Multiple dwelling
12. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	2-15-72	15	-	15	Never installed	Residence
13. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	2-24-72	21	-	21	3	Residence
14. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	3-9-72	21	-	21	10	Residence
15. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	3-17-72	21	-	21	18	Residence
16. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Conspiracy, receiving and selling stolen property	PW	3-23-72	15	-	15	7	Apartment
17. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Forgery, embezzlement	PW	3-22-72	20	-	20	7	Residence
18. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	3-29-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
19. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	3-29-72	20	-	20	Never installed	Apartment

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other. Days, unless otherwise indicated.

²As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of				
		Type ¹	Average Frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Inculminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercept	Persons convicted	
New Jersey, Essex County														
1. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	170	166	\$ 810	\$ 150	\$ 960	Related to 22 arrests noted in number 1b				
1a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	254	197	945	100	1,045	Related to 22 arrests noted in number 1b				
1b. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	284	279	480	50	530	22	-	-	-	
2. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	31	9	255	50	305	Related to 26 arrests noted in number 11				
3. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	301	285	960	25	985	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 6				
4. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	65	56	840	25	865	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 6				
5. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	216	167	555	50	605	Related to 26 arrests noted in number 11				
6. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	275	203	1,920	100	2,020	13	-	-	-	
7. Not Assigned														
8. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	25	5	1,560	50	1,610	1	-	-	-	
9. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	254	14	4,560	75	4,635	Related to 9 arrests noted in number 10				
10. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	164	80	8,640	100	8,740	9	-	-	-	
11. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	158	93	510	25	535	26	-	-	-	
12. Essex	Lordi	PC	Not installed											
13. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	55	50	120	25	145	2	-	-	-	
14. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	251	159	660	150	810	3	-	-	-	
15. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	122	59	3,615	25	3,640	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 22				
16. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	186	-	2,790	150	2,940	-	-	-	-	
17. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	40	1	1,448	50	1,498	-	-	-	-	
18. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	31	4	315	100	415	1	-	-	-	
19. Essex	Lordi	PC	Not installed											

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not Indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)										
20. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	3-30-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
21. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	3-30-72	20	-	20	18	Residence
22. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-10-72	21	-	21	5	Apartment
23. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-10-72	21	-	21	10	Business
24. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-13-72	15	-	15	6	Business
25. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-13-72	15	-	15	4	Apartment
26. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-13-72	20	-	20	13	Apartment
27. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-18-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
28. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-24-72	15	-	15	6	Business
29. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-24-72	15	-	15	2	Residence
30. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-26-72	20	-	20	11	Residence
31. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-27-72	20	-	20	10	Business
32. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	4-27-72	20	-	20	18	Multiple dwelling
33. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	4-27-72	15	-	15	11	Apartment
34. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	5-11-72	20	-	20	5	Multiple dwelling
35. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	5-16-72	20	-	20	20	Multiple dwelling
36. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	5-31-72	15	1	20	20	Apartment
37. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6-6-72	20	1	25	14	Residence
38. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6-6-72	15	-	15	11	Apartment
39. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6-16-72	15	-	15	1	Multiple dwelling

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1977

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercept		Number of			Cost			Result			
		Type ¹	Average Frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Including intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Not found to support intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)													
20. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	21	-	\$ 210	\$ 25	\$ 235	Related	to 12 arrests noted in number 22		
21. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	434	130	6,165	25	6,190	4	-	-	-
22. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	19	11	465	25	490	12	-	-	-
23. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	151	66	915	25	940	Related	to 17 arrests noted in number 33		
24. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	103	23	435	25	460	Related	to 8 arrests noted in number 28		
25. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	57	-	510	25	535	-	-	-	-
26. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	481	115	3,765	25	3,790	2	-	-	-
27. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	28	20	285	25	310	Related	to 17 arrests noted in number 33		
28. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	103	58	315	90	405	8	-	-	-
29. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	9	-	135	50	185	Related	to 17 arrests noted in number 33		
30. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	96	-	6,510	25	6,535	-	-	-	-
31. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	425	24	3,600	25	3,625	Related	to 6 arrests noted in number 34		
32. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	140	35	6,120	25	6,145	2	-	-	-
33. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	605	590	1,365	25	1,390	17	-	-	-
34. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	163	88	1,470	50	1,520	6	-	-	-
35. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	286	60	14,400	25	14,425	11	-	-	-
36. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	273	120	1,590	100	1,690	Related	to 14 arrests noted in number 37		
37. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	285	189	1,050	50	1,100	14	-	-	-
38. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	224	206	1,230	25	1,255	Related	to 10 arrests noted in number 40		
39. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	77	75	60	50	110	Related	to 10 arrests noted in number 40		

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²For any unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not Indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communication Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ³	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)										
40. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6-20-72	15	-	15	4	Multiple dwelling
41. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	7-7-72	20	-	20	3	Apartment
42. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	7-12-72	20	-	20	15	Residence
43. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	7-17-72	15	-	15	10	Multiple dwelling
44. Essex	Giuliano	Ronco	Gambling	PW	8-4-72	15	-	15	Never installed	Multiple dwelling
45. Essex	Kingfield	Ronco	Gambling	PW	8-11-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
46. Essex	Kingfield	Ronco	Gambling	PW	8-11-72	15	1	35	13	Residence
47. Essex	Kingfield	Ronco	Gambling	PW	8-15-72	15	-	15	3	Apartment
48. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	9-13-72	15	-	15	15	Residence
49. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	9-19-72	15	-	15	4	Multiple dwelling
50. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	9-25-72	20	-	20	15	Multiple dwelling
51. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	9-27-72	20	-	20	5	Apartment
52. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	9-27-72	15	-	15	3	Multiple dwelling
53. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	10-3-72	15	-	15	6	Residence
54. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	10-5-72	21	-	21	18	Residence
55. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	10-5-72	20	-	20	20	Apartment
56. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	10-3-72	21	-	21	8	Residence
57. Essex	Giuliano	Miele	Gambling	PW	10-11-72	15	-	15	4	Apartment
58. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	10-17-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
59. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	10-30-72	20	-	20	18	Multiple dwelling

¹Type/Wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.
²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)													
40. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	208	109	\$ 270	\$ 25	\$ 295	10	-	-	-
41. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	37	22	360	25	385	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 43			
42. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	86	6	1,290	25	1,315	-	-	-	-
43. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	524	477	1,050	25	1,075	7	-	-	-
44. Essex	Ronco	PC	Never installed										
45. Essex	Ronco	PC	NI	NI	303	165	930	50	980	4	-	-	-
46. Essex	Ronco	PC	NI	NI	327	67	3,885	150	4,035	8	-	-	-
47. Essex	Ronco	PC	NI	NI	92	79	1,380	50	1,430	6	-	-	-
48. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	248	230	465	100	565	Related to 9 arrests noted in number 52			
49. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	33	6	225	100	325	Related to 9 arrests noted in number 52			
50. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	397	307	1,800	50	1,850	Related to 15 arrests noted in number 56			
51. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	89	22	1,865	100	1,465	Related to 6 arrests noted in number 54			
52. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	188	170	240	50	290	9	-	-	-
53. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	63	14	1,155	50	1,205	Related to 23 arrests noted in number 58			
54. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,025	96	12,210	100	12,310	6	-	-	-
55. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	241	70	14,400	50	14,450	Related to 24 arrests noted in number 59			
56. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	70	19	360	50	410	15	-	-	-
57. Essex	Miele	PC	NI	NI	179	176	310	25	335	Related to 23 arrests noted in number 58			
58. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	130	109	225	50	275	23	-	-	-
59. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	262	43	12,660	50	12,710	24	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (FC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not Indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ³	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County (concluded)										
60. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	11-13-72	15	-	15	7	Apartment
61. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	11-30-72	15	-	15	4	Multiple dwelling
62. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-5-72	15	-	15	10	Apartment
63. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-6-72	15	-	15	6	Apartment
64. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-7-72	15	-	15	6	Apartment
65. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12-11-72	15	-	15	2	Apartment
New Jersey, Hudson County										
1. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Narcotics	PW	1-17-72	20	-	20	19	Business
2. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	2-14-72	20	-	20	Never used	Apartment
3. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	2-23-72	20	-	20	3	Apartment
4. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	3-1-72	20	-	20	8	Apartment
5. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	5-25-72	20	-	20	12	Multiple dwelling
6. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	6-19-72	20	-	20	20	Multiple dwelling
7. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	6-26-72	30	-	30	24	Multiple dwelling
8. Hudson	Giuliano	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	7-12-72	20	-	20	6	Multiple dwelling
9. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	10-13-72	20	-	20	16	Apartment
10. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	10-19-72	30	-	30	10	Apartment
11. Hudson	Pashman	Storti	Gambling	PW	11-3-72	20	-	20	5	Multiple dwelling
12. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	11-15-72	30	-	30	3	Multiple dwelling

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other. Days, unless otherwise indicated.

²As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (concluded)													
60. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	172	93	\$2,280	\$ 50	\$2,330	5	-	-	-
61. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	21	10	255	25	280	Related to 4 arrests noted in number 63			
62. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	789	442	2,125	150	2,275	6	-	-	-
63. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	111	91	810	50	860	4	-	-	-
64. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	89	29	1,020	50	1,070	Related to 5 arrests noted in number 65			
65. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	78	73	68	50	118	5	-	-	-
New Jersey, Hudson County													
1. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	3,556	2,150	11,150	330	11,480	14	-	-	-
2. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	Never used				-	15	15				
3. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	169	NI	509	509	636	90	726	-	-	-	-
4. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	NI	NI	1,696	142	1,838	17	-	-	-
5. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	238	158	2,304	70	2,374	7	-	-	-
6. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	178	134	1,688	48	1,736	4	-	-	-
7. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	849	693	2,304	130	2,434	19	-	-	-
8. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	56	30	650	52	702	6	-	-	-
9. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	597	578	2,110	108	2,218	10	-	-	-
10. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	137	122	960	52	1,012	3	-	-	-
11. Hudson	Stern	PC	NI	NI	390	390	960	77	1,037	8	-	-	-
12. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	56	51	298	27	325	1	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.
 NI - Not Indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Mercer County										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	12-22-71	15	-	15	9	Business
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery policy	PW	1-7-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking	PW	1-14-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Loan sharking	PW	1-21-72	15	1	45	3	Business and living quarters
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Loan sharking	PW	1-21-72	15	1	NI	14	Business
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery policy	PW	2-15-72	15	-	15	4	Residence
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	4-19-72	15	-	15	3	Residence
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bribery, embracery	PW	5-3-72	5	1	15	15	Residence
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	7-27-72	15	-	15	8	Residence
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	8-9-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	8-17-72	20	-	20	14	Apartment
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	8-21-72	15	-	15	5	Residence
13. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Distribution of controlled dangerous substance	PW	8-31-72	20	-	20	16	Residence
14. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	9-5-72	15	-	15	14	Residence
15. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	9-15-72	15	-	15	11	Residence
16. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	9-22-72	15	-	15	5	Residence
17. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	9-28-72	15	-	15	12	Residence
18. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery policy	PW	10-18-72	20	-	20	never used	Residence
19. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Larceny	M/E	11-16-72	5	-	5	1	Automobile
20. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Larceny, alteration of motor vehicle ID numbers	M/E	12-18-72	15	-	15	2	Business

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

NI - Not indicated

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts			Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
New Jersey, Mercer County														
1. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	140	13	\$ 572	\$ 90	\$ 662	2	-	-	2	
2. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	161	123	173	90	263	2	-	-	2	
3. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	14	14	104	25	129	1	-	-	1	
4. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	14	-	316	60	376	2	-	-	-	
5. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	126	15	316	50	366	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 4				
6. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	173	138	239	85	324	3	-	-	-	
7. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	4	17	-	224	75	299	-	-	-	-	
8. Mercer	Schrager	PC	infrequent	2	94	5	460	30	490	2	-	-	-	
9. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	400	327	405	57	462	4	-	-	-	
10. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	3	276	105	572	90	662	5	-	-	-	
11. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	798	637	1,311	128	1,439	20	-	-	-	
12. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	13	6	495	30	525	1	-	-	-	
13. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	122	4	1,714	95	1,809	2	-	-	-	
14. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	365	246	1,139	100	1,239	8	-	-	-	
15. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	2	189	104	710	95	805	4	-	-	-	
16. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	143	77	345	80	425	2	-	-	-	
17. Mercer	Schrager	PC	frequent	1	595	539	1,006	55	1,061	10	-	-	-	
18. Mercer	Schrager	PC	Never used											
19. Mercer	Schrager	oral	constant	2	5	1	368	120	488	2	-	-	-	
20. Mercer	Schrager	oral	constant	4	25	1	851	65	916	-	-	-	-	

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

New Jersey State Library

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Middlesex County										
1. Middlesex	Kingfield	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy to commit same	PW	7-7-72	30	-	30	22	Business
2. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession and distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy to commit same	PW	11-9-72	30	-	30	3	Residence
New Jersey, Morris County										
1. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-10-72	15	-	15	12	Business
2. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Lottery, narcotics, conspiracy	PW	1-27-72	15	-	15	14	Multiple dwelling
3. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Lottery, narcotics, conspiracy	PW	3-30-72	15	-	15	15	Residence
4. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-25-72	15	-	15	7	Apartment
5. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-20-72	15	-	15	11	Apartment
6. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-29-72	15	-	15	2	Multiple dwelling
7. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-29-72	15	-	15	6	Apartment
8. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-29-72	15	-	15	Never installed	Apartment

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Middlesex County													
1. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	12 hrs. daily	12	640	105	\$6,000	\$ 130	\$6,130	13	-	-	-
2. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	15	66	33	1,440	40	1,480	6	-	-	-
New Jersey, Morris County													
1. Morris	Collester	PC	1 per hour	54	77	1	400	3,225	3,625	-	-	-	-
2. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 16 min.	12	446	169	375	7,875	8,250	12	-	3 denied	9
3. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 1 1/2 hr.	47	241	91	150	6,750	6,900	2	1	-	1
4. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 50 min.	30	47	2	350	1,275	1,625	-	-	-	-
5. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 1 1/2 hr.	2	69	31	500	6,300	6,800	5	-	-	-
6. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 1/2 hr.	4	4	3	150	210	360	Related to 5 arrests noted in number 5			
7. Morris	Collester	PC	1 every 1 hour and 15 min.	22	26	1	200	1,420	1,620	-	-	-	-
8. Morris	Collester	PC	Never installed										

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Ocean County										
1. Ocean	Giuliano	Anton	Sale and distribution of narcotics (heroin)	PW	6-21-72	15	-	15	10	Residence
2. Ocean	Kingfield	Anton	Material witness to homicide	PW	6-23-72	30	-	30	12	Motel room
3. Ocean	Kingfield	Kelaheer	Gambling	PW	12-5-72	30	-	30	8	Business
4. Ocean	Kingfield	Kelaheer	Gambling	PW	12-5-72	30	-	30	8	Apartment
New Jersey, Somerset County										
1. Somerset	Kingfield	Arnold	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-9-72	30	-	30	20	Apartment
New Jersey, Union County										
1. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-4-72	30	-	30	5	Residence
2. Union	Giuliano	Stamler	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW, M/E	1-7-72	20	-	20	9	Motel room
3. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-20-72	20	1	35	23	Residence
4. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-20-72	20	-	20	13	Residence
5. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-20-72	20	-	20	12	Residence
6. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-20-72	20	-	20	3	Residence
7. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	2-7-72	20	-	20	6	Apartment
8. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	2-7-72	20	-	20	7	Apartment
9. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2-8-72	15	-	15	8	Residence
10. Union and Morris	Giuliano	Asch and Collester	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW	2-7-72	25	-	25	Never installed	Residence
11. Union and Morris	Giuliano	Asch and Collester	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW	2-7-72	25	-	25	Never installed	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Ocean County													
1. Ocean	Anton	PC	45.9	43	459	145	\$3,659	\$ 655	\$4,314	5	-	-	3
2. Ocean	Anton	PC	2	8	25	9	2,430	516	2,946	-	-	-	-
3. Ocean	Kelاهر	PC	7	27	59	33	1,332	474	1,806	-	-	-	-
4. Ocean	Kelاهر	PC	1.8	12	14	1	5	164	169	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Somerset County													
1. Somerset	Arnold	PC	10	2	189	66% of total	400	50	450	2 (7 persons in other countries)	-	-	-
New Jersey, Union County													
1. Union	Frigola	PC	5	2	26	-	150	4	154	-	-	-	-
2. Union	Stamler	PC, Oral	-	1	-	-	702	-	702	1	-	1 denied	-
3. Union	Asch	PC	12	2	301	-	1,242	14	1,256	-	-	-	-
4. Union	Asch	PC	10	2	146	-	702	4	706	-	-	-	-
5. Union	Asch	PC	6	2	72	-	648	4	652	-	-	-	-
6. Union	Asch	PC	7	1	22	1	84	12	96	-	-	-	-
7. Union	Asch	PC	14	6	87	16	288	8	296	1	-	-	-
8. Union	Asch	PC	12	8	86	50	537	12	549	6	-	-	3
9. Union	Asch	PC	2	2	17	-	576	4	580	-	-	-	-
10. Union and Morris	Asch and Collester	PC	Never installed										
11. Union and Morris	Asch and Collester	PC	Never installed										

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
12. Union and Essex	Giuliano	Asch and Lordi	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW	2-7-72	25	-	25	Never installed	Residence
13. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	2-25-72	25	-	25	5	Pay phone
14. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	2-25-72	25	-	25	2	Business
15. Union and Essex	Giuliano	Asch and Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3-8-72	20	1	30	19	Residence
16. Union and Essex	Giuliano	Asch and Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3-8-72	20	-	20	7	Residence
17. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Conspiracy, lottery	PW	3-15-72	20	-	20	7	Residence
18. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	4-4-72	15	1	30	22	Residence
19. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	4-17-72	15	-	15	10	Residence
20. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	4-17-72	20	-	20	4	Residence
21. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	4-20-72	20	-	20	15	Apartment
22. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	5-16-72	15	-	15	10	Business
23. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	5-16-72	15	-	15	8	Residence
24. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	6-7-72	15	-	15	5	Residence
25. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-13-72	20	-	20	8	Residence
26. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and distribution of controlled substances, conspiracy	PW	6-20-72	20	1	40	23	Apartment
27. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-22-72	20	-	20	8	Multiple dwelling
28. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	7-6-72	20	-	20	8	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 19, Section 106, Code of Laws, 1949

Calendar year 1977

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Ratio of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Including intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Persons whose intercepts were denied	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (continued)													
12. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	Never installed										
13. Union	Asch	PC	6	1	29	-	\$ 90	4	94	-	-	-	-
14. Union	Asch	PC	2	1	4	-	48	4	52	-	-	-	-
15. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	22	5	418	322	1,276	44	1,320	4	-	1 denied	2
16. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	No interceptions				378	4	382	-	-	-	-
17. Union	Asch	PC	32	9	227	112	547	32	579	8	-	-	7
18. Union	Frigola	PC	32	12	704	440	1,267	88	1,355	6	-	-	-
19. Union	Frigola	PC	18	11	189	147	576	36	612	6	-	-	-
20. Union	Frigola	PC	9	3	39	36	230	8	238	2	-	-	-
21. Union	Frigola	PC	10	20	154	55	2,160	28	2,188	18	-	-	1
22. Union	Asch	PC	12	4	123	38	288	16	304	3	-	-	3
23. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	9	3	78	-	528	12	540	-	-	-	-
24. Union	Asch	PC	12	4	62	7	240	4	244	-	-	-	-
25. Union	Asch	PC	20	11	162	70	460	16	476	9	-	-	-
26. Union	Asch	PC	2	5	47	7	1,656	12	1,668	-	-	-	-
27. Union	Asch	PC	35	13	280	224	537	44	581	9	-	-	-
28. Union	Asch	PC	3	6	106	89	537	44	581	6	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
29. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Frigola and Lordi	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-30-72	20	-	20	12	Business
30. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-30-72	30	-	30	18	Multiple dwelling
31. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-30-72	30	-	30	18	Multiple dwelling
32. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-30-72	30	-	30	19	Multiple dwelling
33. Union	Kingfield	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-30-72	30	-	30	19	Multiple dwelling
34. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-7-72	30	1	60	35	Business
35. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-7-72	30	-	30	27	Multiple dwelling
36. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-7-72	20	-	20	2	Residence
37. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-7-72	20	-	20	5	Residence
38. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-13-72	30	-	30	16	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of				
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
New Jersey, Union County (continued)														
29. Union and Essex	Frigola and Lordi	PC	32	6	364	27	\$ 864	\$ 20	\$ 884	-	-	-	-	
30. Union	Frigola	PC	4	10	63	27	1,036	8	1,044	10	-	-	-	
31. Union	Frigola	PC	18	19	325	253	1,209	52	1,261	12	-	-	-	
32. Union	Frigola	PC	14	14	275	184	1,276	36	1,312	2	-	-	1	
33. Union	Frigola	PC	No interceptions					798	4	802	-	-	-	-
34. Union	Asch	PC	25	20	875	136	5,040	64	5,104	15	-	-	-	
35. Union	Asch	PC	7	9	205	61	2,430	545	2,975	-	-	-	-	
36. Union	Asch	PC	8	2	17	-	144	8	152	-	-	-	-	
37. Union	Asch	PC	1	2	6	-	480	4	484	-	-	-	-	
38. Union	Asch	PC	12	5	176	40	2,304	12	2,316	3	-	-	-	

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
39. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11-20-72	30	-	30	25	Residence
40. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-20-72	20	-	20	7	Residence
41. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-27-72	20	-	20	6	Multiple dwelling
42. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	12-4-72	30	-	30	11	Residence
43. Union and Middlesex	Kingfield	Asch and Kuhlthau	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	12-4-72	30	-	30	11	Residence
44. Union and Middlesex	Kingfield	Asch and Kuhlthau	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	12-4-72	30	-	30	10	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1972

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (continued)													
39. Union	Asch	PC	15	12	375	108	\$3,600	\$ 32	\$3,632	10	-	-	-
40. Union	Asch	PC	16	12	114	69	396	28	424	11	-	-	-
41. Union	Asch	PC	2	5	15	15	345	12	357	1	-	-	-
42. Union	Asch	PC	55	19	715	110	1,584	68	1,652	1	-	-	-
43. Union and Middlesex	Asch and Kuhlthau	PC	19	11	218	48	1,584	212	1,796	6	-	-	-
44. Union and Middlesex	Asch and Kuhlthau	PC	8	8	80	18	1,440	370	1,810	1	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>Maryland</u>								
Baltimore County	1	1-10-72	-	-	10	-	7	-
Baltimore County	2	1-19-72	-	-	20	20 denied	20	-
Baltimore County	3	2-1-72	-	-	10	10 denied	9	-
Baltimore County	4	7-13-72	-	-	8	8 denied	7	-
Baltimore County	5	8-7-72	-	-	7	7 denied	6	-
Baltimore County	6	8-25-72	-	-	1	1 denied	1	-
Baltimore County	7	9-13-72	-	-	5	1 denied	4	-
Baltimore County	8	9-17-72	-	-	1	1 denied	1	-
Baltimore County	9	9-25-72	-	-	2	2 denied	-	-
Cecil	1	5-9-72	-	1	-	1 denied	1	Narcotics
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	36	4-17-72	-	23	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General	37	4-19-72	-	6	1	1 denied	4	Conspiracy to distribute a con- trolled dangerous substance
State Attorney General	38	4-20-72	-	-	1	1 denied	1	Conspiracy, receiving stolen property

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General	42	4-28-72	-	Related to arrests in No. 36				
Bergen	1	1-10-72	-	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy, lottery
Bergen	3	6-16-72	-	-	2	-	1	Maintaining gam- bling resort, book- making, conspiracy
							1	Possession of lottery papers
Bergen	6	9-15-72	-	-	2	-	1	Conspiracy, lottery, maintaining gam- bling resort
							1	Conspiracy, lottery
Essex	1B	1-3-72	-	-	1	-	3	Gambling
							9	Gambling
Essex	6	1-24-72	-	-	1	-	3	Gambling
							8	Gambling
Essex	10	2-7-72	-	-	-	-	2	Receiving stolen goods
Essex	11	2-9-72	-	1	-	-	8	Gambling
Essex	14	3-9-72	-	-	-	-	2	Gambling
Essex	21	3-30-72	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
Essex	22	4-10-72	-	1	-	-	3	Gambling

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Essex	28	4-24-72	-	-	-	-	8	Gambling
Essex	32	4-27-72	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
Essex	33	4-24-72	-	-	1	-	1 14	Gambling Gambling
Essex	34	5-11-72	-	-	-	-	6	Narcotics
Essex	35	5-16-72	-	-	-	-	6	Narcotics
Essex	37	6-6-72	-	-	-	-	9	Gambling
Essex	40	6-20-72	-	2	-	-	9	Gambling
Essex	43	7-17-72	-	-	-	-	7	Gambling
Essex	45	8-11-72	-	-	1	-	4	Gambling
Essex	46	8-11-72	-	-	-	-	4	Gambling and narcotics
Essex	47	8-15-72	-	-	-	-	2	Gambling
Essex	52	9-27-72	-	-	-	-	5	Gambling
Essex	54	10-5-72	-	-	-	-	2	Narcotics
Essex	56	10-3-72	-	-	-	-	10	Gambling
Essex	58	10-17-72	-	-	-	-	17	Gambling

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Essex	59	10-30-72	-	-	-	-	3	Narcotics
Essex	63	12-6-72	-	-	-	-	3	Gambling
Essex	65	12-11-72	-	-	-	-	3	Gambling
Mercer	6	2-15-72	-	-	3	-	1	Conspiracy, bookmaking, working for lottery business, possession of lottery slips
							2	Working for lottery, conspiracy
Mercer	8	5-3-72	-	-	1	-	-	-
Mercer	9	7-27-72	-	-	3	-	3	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	10	8-9-72	-	-	5	-	1	Conspiracy, working for lottery
							4	Possession of lottery slips

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	11	8-17-72	-	-	18	-	16	Possession of lottery slips
							1	Conspiracy, working for lottery
							1	Conspiracy, working for lottery, possession of lottery slips, book- making, keeping gambling records
Mercer	12	8-21-72	-	-	1	-	1	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	13	8-31-72	-	-	1	-	1	Possession of narcotics
Mercer	14	9-5-72	-	-	7	-	1	Conspiracy, working for lottery, possession of lottery slips, permitting lottery on premises
							5	Possession of lottery slips
							1	Conspiracy, working for lottery

Table C. - Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	15	9-15-72	-	-	3	-	1	Conspiracy, working for lottery
							1	Conspiracy, working for lottery, bookmaking
							1	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	16	9-22-72	-	-	2	-	2	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	17	9-28-72	-	-	10	-	1	Conspiracy, working for lottery, possession of lottery slips
							9	Possession of lottery slips
Middlesex	1	7-7-72	-	-	-	-	10	Conspiracy to violate the narcotic laws
Middlesex	2	11-9-72	-	-	-	-	4	Conspiracy to violate the narcotic laws
Morris	2	1-27-72	-	-	1	1 denied	3	Conspiracy, lottery
							1	Possession of controlled dangerous substances with intent to dis- tribute, conspiracy, possession of a weapon, lottery, conspiracy

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1972
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1972 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Morris	5	9-2-72	-	-	1	3 denied	1 2	Working for a lottery Working for a lottery conspiracy
Ocean	1	6-21-72	-	-	-	-	2	Narcotics
Ocean	3	12-5-72	-	1	1	-	1	Gambling
Ocean	4	12-5-72		Related to arrest, trial and conviction in No. 3				
Union	2	1-7-72	-	-	1	-	-	-
Union	7	2-7-72	-	-	6	6 denied	6	Lottery, conspiracy
Union	15	3-8-72	-	-	4	3 denied	4	Bookmaking, conspiracy
Union	17	3-15-72	-	-	7	8 denied	(The convictions were reported in 1972)	
Union	21	4-20-72	-	-	18	18 denied	16	Controlled dangerous substances
Union	22	5-16-72	-	-	2	2 denied	2	Bookmaking, conspiracy
Union	40	11-20-72	-	-	11	11 denied	11	Lottery, conspiracy

APPENDIX B

Statistical Reports for the Period January 1, 1971 to
December 31, 1971

NOTE

The following three tables cover the calendar year noted above:

TABLE A - Reports of State Judges

TABLE B - Reports of State Prosecuting Officials

TABLE C - Supplemental Reports Updating Information
in Tables A and B

Each electronic surveillance is listed numerically, so that information reported in Table A, for example, on 1969, #1, Essex is supplemented by information opposite the same numerical designation in Tables B & C.

REPORT ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING OR APPROVING
THE INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1971 TO
DECEMBER 31, 1971

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE
UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	4/20/70	30	-	30	Never installed	Multiple dwelling
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking, larceny	M/E	12/14/70	30	-	30	6	Business
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking, larceny	M/E	12/14/70	30	-	30	17	Business
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12/29/70	15	-	15	5	Business
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	12/29/70	30	-	30	10	Residence
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	1/11/71	30	-	30	4	Residence
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/11/71	15	1	30	12	Residence
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/11/71	15	1	30	21	Residence
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery	PW	1/11/71	15	1	30	26	Residence
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1/13/71	15	1	30	15	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	1/21/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	1/21/71	15	-	15	3	Apartment
13. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/21/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
14. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/21/71	15	-	15	4	Apartment
15. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/28/71	15	-	15	6	Multiple dwelling
16. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1/29/71	15	-	15	13	Residence
17. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2/17/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
18. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2/23/71	15	-	15	7	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of						
		Type ¹	Average Frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted			
New Jersey, State Attorney General																
1. Mercer	Kugler	PC	Never installed													
2. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	No interceptions				\$ 423	\$ -	\$ 423				Related to 3 arrests noted in number 81 in 1970 report.			
3. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	14	28	141	140	1,193	42	1,235				Related to 3 arrests noted in number 81 in 1970 report.			
4. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	51	84	38	854	87	941	1	-	-	-			
5. Mercer	Kugler	PC	72	25	670	648	1,202	140	1,342	12	-	-	-			
6. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	10	55	55	460	9	469				Related to 12 arrests noted in number 5			
7. Mercer	Kugler	PC	4	16	52	35	535	78	613	7	-	-	-			
8. Mercer	Kugler	PC	21	21	461	389	1,117	118	1,235				Related to 7 arrests noted in number 7			
9. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	43	505	322	3,343	158	3,501	14	-	-	-			
10. Mercer	Kugler	PC	43	37	649	630	1,521	102	1,623	11	-	-	-			
11. Mercer	Kugler	PC	57	18	342	331	901	17	918				Related to 12 arrests noted in number 5			
12. Mercer	Kugler	PC	49	16	146	144	507	40	547				Related to 12 arrests noted in number 5			
13. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	40	305	298	667	112	779	13	-	-	-			
14. Mercer	Kugler	PC	68	53	273	255	657	44	701	7	-	-	-			
15. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	14	36	1	948	51	999	-	-	-	-			
16. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	17	138	87	1,060	50	1,130	1	-	-	-			
17. Mercer	Kugler	PC	43	45	479	441	911	140	1,051	4	-	-	-			
18. Mercer	Kugler	PC	25	48	175	106	657	69	726				Related to 4 arrests noted in number 17			

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General										
19. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2/23/71	15	-	15	5	Residence
20. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3/3/71	15	-	15	8	Business
21. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3/4/71	15	1	30	21	Residence
22. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/8/71	15	-	15	11	Apartment
23. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/9/71	15	-	15	3	Residence
24. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/11/71	15	-	15	8	Residence
25. Mercer	Kingfield	Schauer	Conspiracy, lottery	PW	3/16/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
26. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3/16/71	15	-	15	13	Residence
27. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/24/71	15	-	15	6	Business
28. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/24/71	20	-	20	10	Apartment
29. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/24/71	15	-	15	2	Residence
30. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	3/24/71	30	-	30	30	Residence
31. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/25/71	15	-	15	4	Apartment
32. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/25/71	15	-	15	4	Apartment
33. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	3/31/71	15	-	15	2	Residence
34. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/7/71	20	1	30	16	Apartment
35. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/7/71	15	-	15	never used	Apartment
36. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/14/71	15	-	15	9	Multiple dwelling
37. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	4/14/71	30	1	60	60	Residence
38. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	4/14/71	30	1	60	60	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercept		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General													
19. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	8	74	61	\$ 629	\$ 40	\$ 669	7	-	-	-
20. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	10	46	21	451	69	520	2	-	-	-
21. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	65	496	223	2,723	165	2,888	9	-	-	-
22. Mercer	Kugler	PC	57	20	622	603	1,333	90	1,423	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 19			
23. Mercer	Kugler	PC	43	30	130	129	329	46	375	Related to 2 arrests noted in number 20			
24. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	28	157	142	1,024	71	1,095	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 14			
25. Mercer	Schauer	PC	19	26	207	178	1,455	70	1,525	7	-	-	-
26. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	14	78	46	1,653	64	1,717	1	-	-	-
27. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	6	88	31	488	37	525	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 19			
28. Mercer	Kugler	PC	7	19	71	64	4,085	131	4,216	7	-	-	-
29. Mercer	Kugler	PC	3	6	6	4	188	42	230	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 14			
30. Mercer	Kugler	PC	10	74	311	25	12,723	115	12,838	-	-	-	-
31. Mercer	Kugler	PC	34	54	318	315	329	66	395	11	-	-	-
32. Mercer	Kugler	PC	94	63	321	321	291	21	312	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 31.			
33. Mercer	Kugler	PC	2	6	4	-	160	30	190	-	-	-	-
34. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	25	374	372	1,540	73	1,613	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			
35. Mercer	Kugler	PC	Never used				150	-	150	-	-	-	-
36. Mercer	Kugler	PC	18	25	168	138	516	71	587	2	-	-	-
37. Mercer	Kugler	PC	27	53	1,650	878	19,718	362	19,780	14	-	-	-
38. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	48	1,401	614	18,188	174	18,362	Related to 14 arrests noted in number 37			

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)										
39. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/14/71	15	-	15	never used	Residence
40. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/14/71	15	-	15	7	Multiple dwelling
41. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	4/15/71	15	-	15	never used	Multiple dwelling
42. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/15/71	20	-	20	8	Apartment
43. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bribery, extortion, conspiracy	PW	4/16/71	18	1	48	27	Residence
44. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/19/71	15	-	15	14	Residence
45. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/21/71	15	-	15	7	Apartment
46. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/21/71	15	-	15	9	Apartment
47. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/23/71	15	-	15	9	Apartment
48. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	5/3/71	15	-	15	7	Residence
49. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	5/5/71	30	-	30	30	Residence
50. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/6/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
51. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/10/71	20	-	20	11	Business
52. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/10/71	20	-	20	11	Business
53. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/18/71	15	-	15	10	Business
54. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/25/71	15	-	15	15	Multiple dwelling
55. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/25/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
56. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/25/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
57. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5/27/71	15	-	15	13	Residence
58. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	6/4/71	15	-	15	8	Apartment
59. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	6/4/71	15	-	15	7	Apartment

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)													
39. Mercer	Kugler	PC	never used				\$ 150	\$ 22	\$ 172	-	-	-	-
40. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	20	171	149	620	66	686	5	-	-	-
41. Mercer	Kugler	PC	never used				150	23	173	-	-	-	-
42. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	15	88	22	714	48	762	related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			
43. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	153	301	68	3,437	125	3,562	2	-	1 denied	-
44. Mercer	Kugler	PC	74	70	987	934	2,066	103	2,169	8	-	-	-
45. Mercer	Kugler	PC	129	90	666	664	958	125	1,083	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 31			
46. Mercer	Kugler	PC	85	77	764	764	1,023	59	1,082	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 31			
47. Mercer	Kugler	PC	74	29	666	655	798	110	908	Related to 5 arrests noted in number 40			
48. Mercer	Kugler	PC	5	10	32	29	686	66	752	Related to 8 arrests noted in number 44			
49. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	56	209	8	9,578	73	9,651	-	-	-	-
50. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	32	264	221	704	63	767	8	-	-	-
51. Mercer	Kugler	PC	7	15	85	56	686	172	858	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			
52. Mercer	Kugler	PC	2	4	4	4	685	12	697	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			
53. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	18	123	109	535	52	587	Related to 8 arrests noted in number 50			
54. Mercer	Kugler	PC	20	14	547	362	1,765	78	1,843	6	-	-	-
55. Mercer	Kugler	PC	25	43	155	144	451	60	511	4	-	-	-
56. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	43	143	138	526	14	540	Related to 4 arrests noted in number 55			
57. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	32	555	533	1,127	74	1,201	Related to 8 arrests noted in number 50			
58. Mercer	Kugler	PC	5	13	39	29	413	127	540	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			
59. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	6	8	8	385	2	387	Related to 7 arrests noted in number 28			

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)										
60. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, lottery, bookmaking	PW	6/7/71	15	-	15	6	Business
61. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, larceny	PW	6/22/71	15	-	15	10	Residence
62. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7/12/71	15	-	15	5	Business
63. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	7/14/71	15	-	15	6	Business
64. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	7/22/71	15	-	15	14	Business
65. Mercer	Schalick	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	7/22/71	15	-	15	7	Apartment
66. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Gambling	PW	7/27/71	15	-	15	10	Business
67. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Gambling	PW	8/3/71	15	-	15	10	Business
68. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Gambling	PW	8/6/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
69. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Grand larceny, narcotics, conspiracy	PW	8/12/71	15	1	30	22	Business
70. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	8/16/71	15	-	15	3	Apartment
71. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking	PW	8/23/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
72. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	8/23/71	15	-	15	5	Residence
73. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy, lottery	PW	8/25/71	15	-	15	13	Residence
74. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	9/2/71	15	-	15	3	Apartment
75. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	9/2/71	15	-	15	7	Residence Business
76. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	10/4/71	15	-	15	8	Apartment
77. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	10/7/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
78. Mercer	Pashman	Kugler	Narcotics	PW	10/8/71	15	-	15	15	Apartment

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)													
60. Mercer	Kugler	PC	22	8	122	7	\$1,024	\$ 39	\$1,063	Related to 6 arrests noted in number 54			
61. Mercer	Kugler	PC	25	126	248	65	1,962	60	2,022	-	-	-	-
62. Mercer	Kugler	PC	9	14	49	3	723	12	735	-	-	-	-
63. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	12	76	69	451	70	521	3	-	-	-
64. Mercer	Kugler	PC	29	27	417	171	1,916	85	2,001	1	-	-	-
65. Mercer	Kugler	PC	40	35	290	289	507	91	598	Related to 3 arrests noted in number 63			
66. Mercer	Kugler	PC	54	30	541	410	1,352	81	1,433	17	-	-	-
67. Mercer	Kugler	PC	62	30	619	538	1,155	42	1,197	Related to 17 arrests noted in number 66			
68. Mercer	Kugler	PC	26	10	157	108	526	39	565	Related to 17 arrests noted in number 66			
69. Mercer	Kugler	PC	36	50	812	7	3,747	83	3,830	-	-	-	-
70. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	17	39	16	845	29	874	6	-	-	-
71. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	7	175	98	1,202	131	1,333	2	-	-	-
72. Mercer	Kugler	PC	60	152	218	211	263	46	309	11	-	-	-
73. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	12	492	264	986	74	1,060	Related to 11 arrests noted in number 72			
74. Mercer	Kugler	PC	63	58	74	57	451	66	517	-	-	-	-
75. Mercer	Kugler	PC	69	47	359	354	460	50	510	5	-	-	-
76. Mercer	Kugler	PC	33	41	263	252	1,061	104	1,165	1	-	-	-
77. Mercer	Kugler	PC	20	26	113	106	573	64	637	2	-	-	-
78. Mercer	Kugler	PC	30	49	463	83	5,362	93	5,455	1	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.
 NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)										
79. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	10/22/71	15	-	15	6	Business
80. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11/8/71	15	-	15	12	Residence
81. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11/8/71	15	-	15	8	Residence
82. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11/16/71	15	-	15	6	Business
83. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, bookmaking lottery	PW	11/23/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
84. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11/29/71	15	-	15	4	Business
85. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, lottery, bookmaking	PW	11/29/71	15	-	15	12	Apartment
86. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, lottery	PW	12/6/71	15	-	15	9	Business
87. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, lottery	PW	12/6/71	15	-	15	never used	Residence
New Jersey, Bergen County										
1. Bergen	Pashman	Genton	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1/15/71	30	-	30	7	Apartment
2. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Gambling	PW	2/2/71	30	-	30	6	Multiple dwelling
3. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Controlled dangerous substances	PW	2/10/71	30	-	30	24	Apartment
4. Bergen	Pashman	Dilts	Gambling	PW	4/19/71	30	-	30	15	Residence
5. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	9/24/71	15	-	15	15	Business
6. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	10/21/71 Amended on 11/3/71 to extend hours	15	1	20	18	Apartment
7. Bergen	Pashman	Kugler	Controlled dangerous substances	PW	11/18/71	15	1	30	28	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

NI-Not indicated

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercept		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Including intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (cont.)													
79. Mercer	Kugler	PC	25	33	159	11	554	14	568	3	-	-	-
80. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	51	226	159	1,014	30	1,044	3	-	-	-
81. Mercer	Kugler	PC	10	21	82	3	817	44	861	1	-	-	-
82. Mercer	Kugler	PC	3	6	20	16	1,164	64	1,228	-	-	-	-
83. Mercer	Kugler	PC	22	15	235	185	1,756	50	1,806	5	-	-	-
84. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	11	69	1	911	34	945	1	-	-	-
85. Mercer	Kugler	PC	52	70	622	611	2,019	117	2,136	-	-	-	-
86. Mercer	Kugler	PC	55	24	625	404	1,869	65	1,934	Related to 5 arrests noted in number 83			
87. Mercer	Kugler	PC	never used				150	-	150	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Bergen County													
1. Bergen	Genton	PC	10 hrs.	89	1,110	1,080	\$1,050	\$180	\$1,230	12	-	-	-
2. Bergen	Dilts	PC	4 hrs.	20	30	6	150	5	155	1	-	-	-
3. Bergen	Dilts	PC	16 hrs.	75	223	2	4,800	105	4,905	2	-	-	-
4. Bergen	Dilts	PC	10 hrs.	27	486	455	1,500	85	1,585	2	-	-	-
5. Bergen	Kugler	PC	8 hrs.	711	711	2	750	165	915	-	-	-	-
6. Bergen	Kugler	PC	7 hrs.	1,075	1,075	1,075	900	240	1,140	7	-	-	-
7. Bergen	Kugler	PC	24 hrs. per day	50	277	3	5,600	90	5,690	-	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.
 NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Camden County										
1. Camden	Shalick	Bigley	Escape	PW	1/8/71	30	-	30	24	Residence
2. Camden	Shalick	Bigley	Lottery	PW	4/6/71	30	-	30	8	Residence
3. Camden	Shalick	Bigley	Bookmaking, gambling	PW	6/18/71	30	-	30	3	Residence
New Jersey, Essex County										
1a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	12/17/70	30	-	30	26	Apartment
1b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	1/12/71	30	-	30	9	Apartment
2. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	2/19/71	30	-	30	10	Apartment
3. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	2/26/71	30	-	30	18	Multiple dwelling
4. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	3/17/71	30	-	30	15	Multiple dwelling
						Amended by court order of 4/7/71				
5. Essex	Kingfield	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	4/12/71	20	-	20	11	Multiple dwelling
6. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	4/19/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
7. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	4/21/71	15	-	15	6	Multiple dwelling
8. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	5/6/71	20	-	20	18	Apartment
						Amended by court order of 5/12/71 changing the phone number at the location				
9. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	5/12/71	15	-	15	5	Business-public telephone
10. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	5/21/71	15	-	15	8	Multiple dwelling
11a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6/3/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
11b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	6/3/71	15	-	15	2	Residence
12. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	6/14/71	15	-	15	14	Business
13. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	6/24/71	15	-	15	7	Apartment
14. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	6/25/71	15	-	15	5	Multiple dwelling

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Camden County													
1. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (16 hrs.)	10	63	-	\$1,900	NI	\$1,900	-	-	-	-
2. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (6 hrs.)	15	133	99	700	250	950	3	-	-	-
3. Camden	Bigley	PC	Daily (4 hrs.)	10	24	16	270	200	470	2	-	-	-
New Jersey, Essex County													
1a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	934	769	2,180	60	2,240	56	-	-	22 6 dismissals
1b. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	474	446	610	30	640	Related 56 arrests noted in 1a.			
2. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	339	303	1,070	45	1,115	3	-	-	-
3. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	135	35	680	90	770	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 4			
4. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,267	356	10,970	80	11,050	13	-	-	7
5. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	678	623	1,935	60	1,995	16	-	-	-
6. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	272	158	1,148	60	1,208	Related to 16 arrests noted in number 5			
7. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	115	103	863	60	923	Related to 16 arrests noted in number 5			
8. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	536	19	12,000	30	12,030	-	-	-	-
9. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	43	5	330	160	490	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
10. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	293	172	1,095	80	1,175	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
11a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	86	2	1,880	40	1,920	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
11b. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	-	-	380	15	395	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
12. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	915	894	2,520	80	2,600	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
13. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	147	130	620	15	635	Related to 33 arrests noted in number 14			
14. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	20	10	730	90	820	33	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County										
15. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	7/12/71	20	-	20	10	Multiple dwelling
16a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	7/29/71	15	-	15	7	Business
16b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	7/29/71	15	-	15	6	Business
17a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	8/12/71	15	-	15	12	Multiple dwelling
17b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Gambling	PW	8/12/71	15	-	15	14	Multiple dwelling
18. Essex	Pashman	Lordi	Gambling	PW	8/17/71	15	-	15	3	Multiple dwelling
19. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	8/25/71	15	-	15	5	Apartment
20. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	9/13/71	15	-	15	7	Residence
21. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	9/22/71	15	-	15	8	Business
22. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	9/30/71	20	-	20	14	Multiple dwelling
23. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	9/30/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
24. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10/1/71	15	-	15	never installed	Apartment
25a. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10/8/71	15	-	15	8	Apartment
25b. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10/8/71	15	-	15	never installed	Apartment
26. Essex	Pashman	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	10/26/71	20	-	20	5	Multiple dwelling
27. Essex	Pashman	Lordi	Narcotics	PW	10/26/71	20	-	20	5	Multiple dwelling
28. Essex	Pashman	Lordi	Gambling	PW	11/11/71	15	-	15	7	Residence
29. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12/3/71	15	-	15	never installed	Multiple dwelling
30. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12/9/71 Amended by court order of 2/14/71	15	-	15	11	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (cont.)													
15. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	58	5	\$2,720	\$ 25	\$2,745	-	-	-	-
16a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	120	5	885	25	910	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 19			
16b. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	115	2	1,005	25	1,030	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 19			
17a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	98	9	4,800	100	4,900	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 19			
17b. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	62	18	3,840	25	3,865	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 19			
18. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	19	-	285	25	310	Related to 12 arrests noted in number 19			
19. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	30	18	330	100	430	12	-	-	-
20. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	71	47	345	50	395	Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
21. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	155	19	930	100	1,030	Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
22. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	138	76	4,808	25	4,833	7	-	-	-
23. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	621	458	2,280	250	2,530	Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
24. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed							Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
25a. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	596	580	450	100	550	Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
25b. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed							Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7, Hudson County			
26. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	58	1	1,395	25	1,420	-	-	-	-
27. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	139	3	1,395	25	1,420	-	-	-	-
28. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	188	134	525	75	600	7	-	-	-
29. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
30. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,584	1,450	1,695	100	1,795	6	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Hudson County										
1. Hudson	Pashman	Tumulty	Narcotics	PW	8/15/69	30	1	37	9	Business
2. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Lottery, gambling	PW	1/8/71	30	-	30	27	Business
3. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3/24/71	20	-	20	14	Business-Residence
4. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling, loansharking	PW	7/27/71	20	-	20	14	Apartment
5. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	7/30/71	20	-	20	4	Apartment
6. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	8/6/71	20	-	20	6	Apartment
7. Hudson (In conjunction with Essex County, Lordi)	Giuliano	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	9/24/71	15	-	15	13	Multiple dwelling
8. Hudson	Giuliano	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	10/2/71	15	-	15	13	Residence
9. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Gambling	PW	11/5/71	15	-	15	12	Apartment
New Jersey, Mercer County										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1/6/71	15	-	15	10	Multiple dwelling
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	1/27/71	15	-	15	7	Residence
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	2/11/71	15	-	15	3	Residence
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	2/11/71	15	-	15	2	Residence
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	3/5/71	15	-	15	3	Business-club
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	9/26/71	15	-	15	NI	Residence
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10/8/71	15	-	15	NI	Residence
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	10/26/71	15	-	15	11	Residence
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Mathesius	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	11/10/71	15	-	15	2	Apartment
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	11/17/71	15	-	15	9	Residence
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery	PW	11/30/71	15	-	15	3	Residence
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery	PW	12/13/71	15	-	15	5	Residence

¹ Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

² Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³ As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

NI - Not indicated

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of				
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
New Jersey, Hudson County														
1. Hudson	Tumulty	PC	1 per 14 hrs.	6	30	numerous	\$1,525	\$300	\$1,825	2	-	-	-	
2. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	number not specified	1,045	167	3,505	119	3,624	-	-	-	-	
3. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	286	222	2,922	116	3,038	5	-	-	-	
4. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	420	378	2,466	94	2,560	13	-	-	-	
5. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	96	85	279	46	325	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 4				
6. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	42	18	209	38	247	Related to 13 arrests noted in number 4				
7. Hudson (in conjunction with Essex County, Lordi)	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	363	338	2,179	156	2,335	45	-	-	-	
8. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	490	465	3,400	333	3,733	Related to 45 arrests noted in number 7				
9. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	NI	NI	1,274	1,244	4,276	197	4,473	22	-	-	-	
New Jersey, Mercer County														
1. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	15	300	300	\$914	\$ 97	\$1,011	2	-	-	-	
2. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Frequent	4	70	70	317	97	414	2	-	-	-	
3. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Frequent	20	60	150	277	47	324	1	-	-	-	
4. Mercer	Schragger	PC	No interceptions					135	47	182	1	-	-	-
5. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Few	3	7	7	157	47	204	1	-	-	-	
6. Mercer	Schragger	PC	NI	NI	NI	NI	302	40	342	-	-	-	-	
7. Mercer	Schragger	PC	NI	NI	NI	NI	302	30	332	-	-	-	-	
8. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	18	170	160	302	120	422	3	-	-	-	
9. Mercer	Mathesius	PC	Constant	14	32	32	70	119	189	1	-	-	-	
10. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	10	90	90	336	119	455	7	-	-	-	
11. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Constant	10	54	54	134	120	254	3	-	-	-	
12. Mercer	Schragger	PC	Frequent	30	300	300	328	89	417	-	-	-	-	

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).

²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Middlesex County										
1. Middlesex	Kingfield	Cronin	Narcotics, possession of stolen property	PW, M/E	12/29/70	30	-	30	29	Apartment
2. Middlesex	Kingfield	Cronin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	1/12/71	30	-	30	14	Apartment
3. Middlesex	Kingfield	Kuhlthau	Murder, arson, obstruction of justice, concealing a crime, compounding a crime	PW, M/E	10/7/71	30	-	30	PW-6 M/E-7	Hospital room
New Jersey, Morris County										
1. Morris	Kingfield	Egan	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1/13/71	30	-	30	never installed	Residence
2. Morris	Kingfield	Egan	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	10/21/71	15	-	15	6	Residence
New Jersey, Somerset County										
1. Somerset	Kingfield	Imbriani	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4/15/71	15	-	15	5	Business-Residence
2. Somerset	Kingfield	Imbriani	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	6/21/71	30	-	30	7	Multiple dwelling

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercept		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Middlesex County													
1. Middlesex	Cronin	PC, Oral	16 hrs. daily	25	779	432	\$3,693	\$ 170	\$3,863	20	-	-	-
2. Middlesex	Cronin	PC	1 out of 5	32	146	134	2,800	1,200	4,000	11	-	-	-
3. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC, Oral	NI NI	11 17	29 37	- -	1,466	16	1,482	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Morris County													
1. Morris	Egan	PC	never installed				\$260		\$260				
2. Morris	Egan	PC	1 per 5 min.	45	93	61	780	\$103	883	2	-	-	-
New Jersey, Somerset County													
1. Somerset	Imbriani	PC	every other day	2	41	3	NI	NI	NI	-	-	-	-
2. Somerset	Imbriani	PC	Daily	2	210	100	NI	\$30	\$30	3	-	1 denied	1

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.
 NI - Not indicated

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ¹	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County										
1. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy lottery	PW	2/3/71	30	-	30	23	Residence
2. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy lottery	PW	2/3/71	30	-	30	23	Residence
3. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy lottery	PW	2/3/71	30	-	30	23	Residence
4. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy lottery	PW	3/3/71	15	-	15	13	Apartment
5. Union	Giuliano	Stamler	Bookmaking, counterfeiting	PW	3/6/71	15	-	15	5	Residence
6. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3/9/71	30	-	30	18	Residence
7. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3/9/71	30	-	30	18	Residence
8. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/9/71	30	-	30	21	Apartment
9. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3/9/71	30	-	30	8	Residence
10. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	4/27/71	20	-	20	10	Apartment
11. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	5/10/71	20	-	20	never used	Apartment
12. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	5/11/71	20	-	20	8	Apartment
13. Union	Pashman	Asch	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7/15/71	30	-	30	11	Apartment
14. Union	Pashman	Asch	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7/22/71	30	-	30	5	Apartment
15. Union	Pashman	Asch	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, lottery, conspiracy	PW	7/22/71	20	-	20	12	Residence
16. Union	Pashman	Asch	Gambling	PW	8/9/71 (Related to order number 17)	30	-	30	11	Apartment

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County													
1. Union	Asch	PC	.3 per hr.	3	38	33	\$500	\$140	\$640	14	-	1 withdrawn	14
2. Union	Asch	PC	.7 per hr.	5	82	31	500	155	655			Related to 1 withdrawn in number 1	
												Related to 14 arrests and convictions noted in number 1	
3. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hr.	8	140	56	550	160	710			Related to 1 withdrawn in number 1	
												Related to 14 arrests and convictions noted in number 1	
4. Union	Asch	PC	NI	2	26	14	160	60	220			1 arrest and conviction included in the 14 arrests and convictions noted in number 1	
5. Union	Stamler	PC	3 per hr.	3	138	85	275	160	435	3	-	3 denied	3
6. Union	Asch	PC	.7 per hr.	5	57	52	450	140	590	11	-	-	-
7. Union	Asch	PC	4 per hr.	5	306	83	450	90	540			Related to 11 arrests noted in number 6	
8. Union	Asch	PC	3 per hr.	5	434	73	750	160	910	5	-	-	1
9. Union	Asch	PC	5 per hr.	6	375	157	475	180	655	5	-	-	1
10. Union	Asch	PC	6 per hr.	3	572	499	575	185	760	12	-	-	-
11. Union	Asch	PC	no interceptions				75	50	125			Related to 11 arrests noted in number 17	
12. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hr.	3	57	25	190	150	340	1	-	-	-
13. Union	Asch	PC	.4 per hr.	3	41	6	800	165	965	1	-	-	-
14. Union	Asch	PC	.02 per hr.	2	2	-	450	190	640	-	-	-	-
15. Union	Asch	PC	4 per hr.	4	273	214	415	100	515	11	-	-	-
16. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hr.	3	196	161	550	110	660	-	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table A - STATE Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (cont.)										
17. Union	Pashman	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8/9/71	30	-	30	10	Apartment
18. Union	Pashman	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	8/17/71	15	-	15	3	NI
19. Union	Kingfield	Stamler	Conspiracy to murder	PW	9/21/71	30	-	30	25	Residence
20. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	9/30/71	20	-	20	10	Residence
21. Union	Pashman	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	10/30/71	30	-	30	10	Residence
22. Union	Pashman	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy, bookmaking	PW	11/3/71	30	-	30	7	Business
23. Union	Pashman	Asch	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11/3/71	30	-	30	7	Residence
24. Union	Pashman	Asch	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11/3/71	30	-	30	7	Residence
25. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	11/29/71	30	-	30	21	Multiple dwelling
26. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW	12/14/71	20	-	20	4	Residence
27. Union	Kingfield	Stamler	Bookmaking, maintaining premises, conspiracy	PW	12/16/71	20	-	20	5	Residence

¹Type/wiretap: (PW), phone wire; (M/E), microphone-eavesdrop; (O), other.

²Days, unless otherwise indicated.

³As reported by prosecuting officials (days unless otherwise indicated.)

TABLE B - STATE Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1971

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (cont.)													
17. Union	Asch	PC	.7 per hr.	3	38	10	\$325	\$120	\$445	11	-	-	-
18. Union	Asch	PC	no intercepts				140	90	230	-	-	-	-
19. Union	Stamler	PC	3 per hr.	5	775	117	1,375	185	1,560	2	-	-	-
20. Union	Asch	PC	6 per hr.	4	271	245	350	105	455	20	-	1 pending	3 (1 defendant deceased)
21. Union	Asch	PC	1 per hr.	4	37	2	225	140	365	-	-	-	-
22. Union	Asch	PC	2 per hr.	5	57	-	225	140	365	-	-	-	-
23. Union	Asch	PC	.2 per hr.	4	7	-	225	80	305	-	-	-	-
24. Union	Asch	PC	1 per hr.	4	41	-	225	60	285	-	-	-	-
25. Union	Asch	PC	1 per hr.	4	187	3	1,560	130	1,690	1	-	-	-
26. Union	Asch	PC	.6 per hr.	3	77	72	200	105	305	1	-	-	-
27. Union	Stamler	PC	2 per hr.	3	50	-	190	100	290	-	-	-	-

¹Phone Call (PC), Other (O).
²Per day unless otherwise indicated.

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>Florida, cont.</u>								
Department of Law Enforcement (Leon) cont.							23	Operation of illegal gambling business
							21	Conspiracy to operate illegal gambling business
Note: These intercepts were related to activities in 8 Florida counties and at least three other states.								
<u>Georgia</u>								
Fulton	2	6-17-71	-	1	1	-	1	Narcotics
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	3	12-14-70	-	-	-	1 denied	-	
State Attorney General	7	1-11-71	-	-	2	1 denied	5	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
							1	Lottery
State Attorney General	8	1-11-71	-	-		1 denied		Related to trials and convictions in number 7
State Attorney General	9	1-11-71	-	-	-	1 denied	1	Lottery
State Attorney General	10	1-13-71	-	-	-	1 denied	27	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	13	1-21-71	-	-	-	1 denied		Related to trials and convictions in number 7

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General	14	1-21-71	-	-	2	1 denied	3	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
							4	Bookmaking, con- spiracy
State Attorney General	19	2-23-71	-	-	-	-	7	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	22	3-8-71	-	-	-	-	Related to 7 convictions in No. 19	
State Attorney General	24	3-11-71	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to trials and convictions in number 14	
State Attorney General	27	3-24-71	-	-	-	-	Related to convictions in number 19	
State Attorney General	29	3-24-71	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to trials and convictions in number 14	
State Attorney General	37	4-14-71	-	-	1	1 denied	14	Narcotics, conspiracy
State Attorney General	38	4-14-71	-	-	-	1 denied	Related to trials and convictions in number 37	
State Attorney General	39	4-14-71	-	-	1	-	1	Bribery
State Attorney General	45	4-21-71	-	-	1	1 denied	5	Bookmaking, con- spiracy, misconduct in office
							1	Bookmaking

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General	46	4-21-71	-	-		1 denied		
						Related to trial and convictions in number 45		
State Attorney General	50	5-6-71	-	-	-	1 denied	8	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	53	5-18-71	-	-	-	1 denied		Related to convictions in number 50
State Attorney General	57	5-27-71	-	-	-	1 denied		Related to convictions in number 50
State Attorney General	71	8-23-71	-	-	-	-	10	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	72	8-23-71	-	-	-	-		Related to convictions in number 71
State Attorney General	73	8-26-71	-	-	-	-	9	Lottery, conspiracy
State Attorney General	75	9-2-71	-	-	-	-		Related to convictions in number 71
Bergen	1	1-15-71	-	-	1	1	1	Bookmaking, gambling
Bergen	2	2-2-71	-	1	-	-	2	Gaming
Bergen	3	2-10-71	-	-	1	1	1	Possessing stolen credit card, possessing dangerous substance
Bergen	4	4-19-71	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking, gambling
Bergen	6	10-21-71	-	-	-	2	-	-

New Jersey State Library

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Camden	2	4-6-71	-	2	2	-	2	Lottery
Camden	3	6-18-71	-	2 (one deceased)	-	-	-	-
Essex	1a	1-12-71	-	-	-	-	3	Conspiracy to violate gambling laws, main- taining and possession (lottery)
Essex	1b	1-12-71	-	-	1	-	7	Conspiracy to violate gambling laws, main- taining and possession (lottery)
Essex	2	2-19-71	-	-	-	-	2	Conspiracy to violate gambling laws, main- taining and possession (lottery)
Essex	4	3-17-71	-	-	-	-	2	Conspiracy to violate narcotics laws
Essex	5	4-12-71	-	-	1	-	12	Conspiracy, book- making, maintaining (lottery)
Essex	14	6-25-71	-	-	-	-	30	Conspiracy, book- making, maintaining (lottery)

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Essex	19	8-25-71	-	2	1	-	13	Conspiracy, book- making, maintaining (lottery)
Essex	22	9-30-71	-	-	-	-	6	Conspiracy to violate narcotics laws
Essex	25a	10-8-71	-	-	1	-	33	Bookmaking, con- spiracy, maintaining (lottery)
Mercer	1	1-6-71	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making
Mercer	2	1-27-71	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making
Mercer	3	2-11-71	-	-	-	-	1	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	4	2-11-71	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking
Mercer	5	3-5-71	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking
Mercer	6	9-26-71	\$ 25	-	-	-	Related to number 8	
Mercer	7	10-8-71	25	-	-	-	Related to number 8	
Mercer	8	10-26-71	-	5	-	-	4	Possession of lottery slips
							1	Conspiracy, book- making, working for a lottery

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Mercer	9	11-10-71	-	1	-	-	1	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	10	11-17-71	-	3	-	-	1	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	11	11-30-71	-	4	-	-	3	Possession of lottery slips
							1	Working for a lottery
Middlesex	1	12-29-70	-	-	-	-	14	Narcotics conspiracy, receiving stolen property
Middlesex	3	10-7-71	-	2	1	1 denied	-	-
Morris	2	10-21-71	-	-	-	2 denied	2	Bookmaking
Union	5	3-6-71	-	-	-	denied	11	Bookmaking and lottery
Union	6	3-9-71	-	-	-	Related to	motions and convictions noted in number 5	
Union	7	3-9-71	-	-	-	denied	-	-
Union	8	3-9-71	-	-	-	Related to	motions noted in number 7	
Union	10	4-27-71	-	-	-	denied	12	Bookmaking and lottery

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1972
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1972)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1972					Offense for which convicted
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Union	12	5-11-71	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking and lottery
Union	13	7-15-71	-	-	-	-	1	Possession of narcotics
Union	14	7-22-71	-	-	-	-	10	Bookmaking and lottery
Union	16	8-9-71	-	-	-	-	Related to convictions noted in number 14	
Union	19	9-21-71	-	-	1	denied	-	-
Union	20	9-30-71	-	-	1	denied	1	Nonfeasance of police officer
							14	Bookmaking
Union	26	12-14-71	-	-	-	denied	1	Bookmaking
<u>New York</u>								
Albany	1	2-5-71	-	-	-	-	4	Possession of gambling records
Albany	8	5-26-71	-	-	2	1	2	Possession of weapons, possession of gambling records
Albany	10	6-29-71	-	-	-	-	3	Possession of stolen property, possession of gambling records

Table C. Supplementary Report
Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
(Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>Nevada</u>								
Washoe	1	9-20-71	-	-	1	1 granted	-	-
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General (Mercer)	5	12-29-70	-	-	Related to trial, motions and convictions in Nos. 11 and 12			
State Attorney General	7	1-11-71	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking and lottery
State Attorney General	8	1-11-71	-	-	Related to trial and conviction in No. 7			
State Attorney General	9	1-11-71	-	-	1	-	3 6	Conspiracy Lottery
State Attorney General	11	1-21-71	-	-	1	1 denied	3 4 2 3	Conspiracy Bookmaking Lottery Disorderly conduct
State Attorney General	12	1-21-71	-	-	Related to trial and convictions in No. 11			
State Attorney General	13	1-21-71	-	-	1	-	1	Lottery, aiding and abetting
State Attorney General	28	3-24-71	-	-	1	1 denied	6	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	34	4-7-71	-	-	Related to trial and convictions in No. 28			

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General	42	4-15-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	convictions	in No. 28
State Attorney General	51	5-10-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	convictions	in No. 28
State Attorney General	52	5-10-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	convictions	in No. 28
State Attorney General	58	6-4-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	convictions	in No. 28
State Attorney General	59	6-4-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	convictions	in No. 28
State Attorney General	44	4-19-71	-	-	1	1 denied	1	Conspiracy and lottery
State Attorney General	48	5-3-71	-	-	Related	1 denied to trial and	conviction	in No. 44
State Attorney General	53	5-18-71	-	-	1	-	2	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	54	5-25-71	-	-	1	1 denied	1	Conspiracy and bookmaking
							2	Lottery
State Attorney General	55	5-25-71	-	-	1	1 denied	3	Conspiracy, book- making and lottery

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
State Attorney General	56	5-25-71	-	-	-	1 denied	-	Related to trial and convictions in No. 55
State Attorney General	60	6-7-71	-	-	1	1 denied	2	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	63	7-14-71	-	-	1	1 denied	2	Conspiracy and bookmaking
State Attorney General	64	7-22-71	-	-	1	1 denied	1	Bookmaking
State Attorney General	65	7-22-71	-	-	-	1 denied	-	Related to trial and convictions in No. 63
State Attorney General	83	11-23-71	-	2	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General	86	12-6-71	-	-	-	-	-	Related to arrests in No. 83
Bergen	6	10-21-71	-	-	7	1 denied 1 granted	2	Conspiracy, maintaining gambling resort
							2	Conspiracy, bookmaking, lottery
							2	Conspiracy
							1	Conspiracy, lottery
Essex	4	3-17-71	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
Essex	14	6-25-71	-	-	-	-	1	Gambling
Essex	28	11-11-71	-	-	-	-	2	Gambling

Table C. Supplementary Report
 Additional Arrests, Trials, and Convictions Reported by Prosecutors in 1973
 as a Result of Intercepts Installed in Calendar Year 1971
 (Report as of December 31, 1973)

State, County	Reporting number in 1971 report	Date of application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1973					
			Cost	Persons arrested	Trials completed	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted	Offense for which convicted
<u>New Jersey, cont.</u>								
Essex	30	12-9-71	-	-	-	-	4	Gambling
Mercer	1	1-6-71	-	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making
Mercer	2	1-27-71	-	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making
Mercer	8	10-26-71	-	-	3	-	2	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	10	11-17-71	-	-	6	-	1	Conspiracy
Mercer	10	11-17-71	-	-	6	-	5	Possession of lottery slips
Mercer	10	11-17-71	-	-	6	-	1	Conspiracy, book- making, working for lottery
Middlesex	2	1-12-71	-	-	-	-	10	Conspiracy to violate narcotics laws
Union	7	3-9-71	-	-	-	-	5	Receiving stolen property, conspiracy
Union	8	3-9-71	-	-	-	-	Related to	convictions in No. 7
Union	19	9-21-71	-	-	2	-	-	-

APPENDIX B

**REPORT
ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING
OR APPROVING THE
INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS**

for the period January 1, 1973 to
December 31, 1973

**ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF
THE UNITED STATES COURTS**

Washington, D. C.

TABLE A -- STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-21-72	30	1	60	51	Single family dwelling
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-21-72	30	1	60	55	Single family dwelling
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-20-72	15	-	15	10	Business
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-5-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-5-73	15	-	15	15	Apartment
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	1-5-73	30	1	60	55	Single family dwelling
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking, conspiracy, lottery, bookmaking	PW	1-15-73	30	2	90	90	Single family dwelling
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-16-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, loansharking, lottery, bookmaking	PW	1-19-73	30	2	90	90	Single family dwelling
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-26-73	15	-	15	8	Single family dwelling
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Conspiracy, lottery, bribery	PW	2-1-73	30	-	30	20	Single family dwelling
12. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2-5-73	21	-	21	18	Business
13. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	2-9-73	15	-	15	11	Single family dwelling
14. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy, larceny, receiving stolen property, loansharking	PW	2-13-73	15	1	45	41	Private club
15. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Murder, lottery, bribery, conspiracy	PW	2-21-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling
16. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Murder, lottery, bribery, conspiracy	PW	2-21-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A -- STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General													
1. Mercer	Kugler	PC	22	36	1,131	22	\$ 9,751	\$ 256	\$10,007	Related	to 25 arrests in No.	26	
2. Mercer	Kugler	PC	11	38	635	39	9,902	269	10,071	Related	to 25 arrests in No.	26	
3. Mercer	Kugler	PC	32	185	316	112	1,654	110	1,764	-	-	-	-
4. Mercer	Kugler	PC	17	15	261	17	868	129	997	-	-	-	-
5. Mercer	Kugler	PC	18	23	274	274	1,871	127	1,998	-	-	-	-
6. Mercer	Kugler	PC	14	87	755	189	27,804	504	28,308	12	-	-	-
7. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	40	1,108	225	22,789	329	23,118	Related	to 2 arrests in No.	21	
8. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	18	389	36	4,839	150	4,989	Related	to 25 arrests in No.	26	
9. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	26	582	460	22,293	294	22,587	Related	to 2 arrests in No.	21	
10. Mercer	Kugler	PC	19	12	148	147	414	166	580	-	-	-	-
11. Mercer	Kugler	PC	24	20	494	12	3,650	118	3,768	Related	to 25 arrests in No.	26	
12. Mercer	Kugler	PC	20	110	360	11	1,851	137	1,988	-	-	-	-
13. Mercer	Kugler	PC	33	58	366	159	7,321	125	7,446	12	-	-	-
14. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	45	1,544	61	7,993	168	8,161	1	-	-	-
15. Mercer	Kugler	PC	9	15	280	29	4,550	147	4,696	Related	to 1 arrest in No. 36 and 1 arrest in No. 39		
16. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	12	451	24	4,063	141	4,204	Related	to 25 arrests in No.	26	

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)										
17. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, receiving stolen property, loansharking, conspiracy	M/E	2-28-73	30	-	30	30	Private club
18. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	15	-	15	14	Apartment
19. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, bribery, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling
20. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	3-6-73 Amended to change telephone number	30	-	30	16	Single family dwelling
21. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Loansharking, conspiracy	PW	3-7-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling
22. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, receiving stolen property, lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-20-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
23. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Larceny, receiving stolen property, lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	M/E	3-20-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
24. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, bribery, conspiracy	M/E	3-26-73	21	-	21	18	Business
25. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, bribery, conspiracy	PW	4-12-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
26. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, bribery, conspiracy	M/E	4-12-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
27. Mercer	Rizzi	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-7-73	15	1	22	22	Multiple dwelling
28. Mercer	Rizzi	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-7-73	15	-	15	14	Multiple dwelling
29. Mercer	Rizzi	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-7-73	15	-	15	10	Single family dwelling
30. Mercer	Rizzi	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-17-73	15	-	15	13	Apartment
31. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-22-73	15	-	15	13	Apartment
32. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	6-1-73	15	-	15	7	Business

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)													
17. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	5	10	163	9	\$ 7,610	\$ 146	\$ 7,756	-	-	-	-
18. Mercer	Kugler	PC	46	73	644	312	362	159	521	Related to 5 arrests in No. 22			
19. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	15	685	19	7,465	147	7,612	Related to 25 arrests in No. 26			
20. Mercer	Kugler	PC	13	64	206	101	8,044	92	8,136	2	-	-	-
21. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	29	357	12	7,414	134	7,548	2	-	-	-
22. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	137	1,124	724	8,107	200	8,307	5	-	-	-
23. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	1.6	12	50	29	6,369	175	6,544	-	-	-	-
24. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	.4	2	7	-	972	23	995	Related to 25 arrests in No. 26			
25. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	50	440	57	7,693	137	7,830	Related to 25 arrests in No. 26			
26. Mercer	Kugler	Oral	.4	4	13	5	7,631	137	7,768	25	1	1 denied	1
27. Mercer	Kugler	PC	70	66	1,533	1,352	2,016	142	2,158	7	Related to 4 arrests in No. 29 and 1 arrest in No. 30		
28. Mercer	Kugler	PC	14	32	613	574	1,437	87	1,524	Related to 4 arrests in No. 29 and 1 arrest in No. 30			
29. Mercer	Kugler	PC	34.7	46	347	147	848	77	925	4	Related to 1 arrest in No. 30		
30. Mercer	Kugler	PC	38	39	497	402	1,137	106	1,243	1	-	-	-
31. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	20	299	289	1,013	115	1,128	4	-	-	-
32. Mercer	Kugler	PC	36	22	249	63	1,024	63	1,087	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

 Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
<i>New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)</i>										
33. Mercer	Rizzi	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	6-5-73	15	1	45	45	Apartment
34. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Receiving stolen property	PW	6-29-73	21	-	21	18	Single family dwelling
35. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	7-17-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling
36. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	7-17-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling
37. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	7-24-73	30	1	60	58	Single family dwelling
38. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	7-24-73	30	1	60	58	Business
39. Mercer	Kingfield	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-9-73	15	-	15	9	Apartment
40. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	8-31-73	30	-	30	20	Single family dwelling
41. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-11-73	15	1	30	25	Multiple dwelling
42. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-25-73	15	-	15	13	Single family dwelling
43. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-25-73	15	-	15	13	Single family dwelling
44. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	10-3-73	5	-	5	3	Business
45. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	10-11-73	15	-	15	14	Single family dwelling
46. Mercer	Schoch	Kugler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	10-16-73	15	3	60	58	Single family dwelling
47. Mercer	Schoch	Skillman	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	11-16-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, State Attorney General (continued)													
33. Mercer	Kugler	PC	9	18	403	130	\$23,596	\$ 355	\$23,951	5	-	-	-
34. Mercer	Kugler	PC	28	36	502	48	3,443	269	3,712	5	-	-	-
35. Mercer	Kugler	PC	47	15	706	174	1,272	72	1,344	Related to 1 arrest in No. 36 and 1 arrest in No. 39			
36. Mercer	Kugler	PC	16	10	235	120	1,158	89	1,247	1	Related to 1 arrest in No. 39		
37. Mercer	Kugler	PC	23	80	1,344	1,013	29,645	504	30,149	Related to 8 arrests in No. 40			
38. Mercer	Kugler	PC	15	30	869	253	29,190	357	29,547	Related to 8 arrests in No. 40			
39. Mercer	Kugler	PC	52	12	472	404	569	91	660	1	-	-	-
40. Mercer	Kugler	PC	46	92	930	384	9,699	181	9,880	8	-	-	-
41. Mercer	Kugler	PC	9	15	232	88	3,712	121	3,833	7	Related to 2 arrests in No. 45		
42. Mercer	Kugler	PC	37	78	479	56	1,747	85	1,832	Related to 3 arrests in No. 43			
43. Mercer	Kugler	PC	8	18	110	97	1,758	82	1,840	3	-	-	-
44. Mercer	Kugler	PC	6	15	18	5	165	42	207	-	-	-	-
45. Mercer	Kugler	PC	21	10	298	35	2,130	84	2,214	2	-	-	-
46. Mercer	Kugler	PC	12	25	668	245	14,342	659	15,001	16	-	-	-
47. Mercer	Skillman	Oral	1.4	8	22	20	4,260	226	4,486	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ⁴	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Bergen County										
1. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-3-73	15	-	15	9	Single family dwelling
2. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-12-73	15	1	25	19	Single family dwelling
3. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-17-73	15	-	15	12	Single family dwelling
4. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-23-73	15	-	15	6	Single family dwelling
5. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-25-73	15	-	15	6	Single family dwelling
6. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4-30-73	10	-	10	7	Apartment
7. Bergen	Pashman	Lester	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	7-18-73	30	-	30	10	Business
8. Bergen	Trautwein	Lester	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	7-21-73	30	-	30	22	Business, single dwelling
New Jersey, Camden County										
1. Camden	Rizzi	Shusted	Murder, narcotics	PW	5-31-73	30	-	30	24	Single family dwelling
2. Camden	Rizzi	Shusted	Murder, narcotics	PW	6-6-73	30	-	30	21	Single family dwelling
3. Camden	Rizzi	Shusted	Narcotic trafficking	PW	9-20-73	30	1	45	40	Apartment
4. Camden	Rizzi	Shusted	Lottery	PW	10-31-73	30	-	30	9	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Bergen County													
1. Bergen	Lester	PC	5 1/2 hrs.	634	317	194	\$1,330	\$135	\$1,465	6	-	-	-
2. Bergen	Lester	PC	9 hrs.	474	237	33	2,464	145	2,609	4	-	-	-
3. Bergen	Lester	PC	9 1/4 hrs.	1,612	806	748	1,596	139	1,735	1	-	-	-
4. Bergen	Lester	PC	5 hrs.	194	97	27	3,430	65	3,495	1	-	-	-
5. Bergen	Lester	PC	10 1/2 hrs.	172	86	11	1,393	90	1,483	1	-	-	-
6. Bergen	Lester	PC	12 hrs.	254	126	-	658	175	833	-	-	-	-
7. Bergen	Lester	PC	14 hrs.	682	341	-	2,310	96	2,406	-	-	-	-
8. Bergen	Lester	PC	24 hrs.	808	404	-	6,230	317	6,547	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Camden County													
1. Camden	Shusted	PC	daily	24	309	43	2,500	400	2,900	2	-	-	-
2. Camden	Shusted	PC	daily	15	123	1	2,100	150	2,250	2	-	-	-
3. Camden	Shusted	PC	daily	30	694	90	4,500	300	4,800	2	-	-	-
4. Camden	Shusted	PC	daily - 3 hrs.	12	99	52	525	100	625	5	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex										
1. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Loansharking, conspiracy	PW	1-8-73	30	-	30	26	Business
2. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale and possession of a controlled dangerous substance	PW	1-11-73	20	-	20	11	Multiple dwelling
3. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-23-73	15	-	15	7	Multiple dwelling
4. Essex	Giuliano	Miele	Sale and distribution of a controlled dangerous substance	PW	1-24-73	15	-	15	15	Apartment over a business
5. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	1-29-73	15	-	15	14	Multiple dwelling
6. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	1-30-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling
7. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	2-7-73	15	-	15	5	Apartment
8. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	2-7-73	15	-	15	11	Apartment
9. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	2-20-73	15	-	15	3	Multiple dwelling
10. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale and possession of controlled dangerous substance	PW	2-22-73	20	1	41	37	Single family dwelling
11. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	2-27-73	15	-	15	8	Multiple dwelling
12. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale and possession of controlled dangerous substance	PW	3-12-73	20	-	20	17	Business
13. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	3-9-73	20	-	20	20	Multiple dwelling
14. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	3-22-73	15	-	15	9	Apartment
15. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	3-26-73	20	-	20	10	Social club
16. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale, distribution and possession of controlled dangerous substance	PW	3-28-73	20	-	20	7	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County													
1. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	283	NI	\$ 1,297	\$ 50	\$ 1,347	7	-	-	-
2. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	37	10	6,990	50	7,040	3	-	-	-
3. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	87	78	450	100	550	Related to 13 arrests in No. 7			
4. Essex	Miele	PC	NI	NI	248	117	40,080	100	40,180	12	-	-	-
5. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	279	255	652	100	752	Related to 14 arrests in No. 8			
6. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	250	219	990	200	1,190	Related to 13 arrests in No. 7			
7. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	141	81	660	50	710	13	-	-	-
8. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	141	106	911	25	936	14	-	-	-
9. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	42	30	285	50	335	4	-	-	-
10. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,481	212	12,840	100	12,940	Related to 13 arrests in No. 16			
11. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	383	367	1,290	100	1,390	14	-	-	-
12. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,017	89	4,020	50	4,070	Related to 13 arrests in No. 16			
13. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	423	240	2,550	50	2,600	Related to 19 arrests in No. 15			
14. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	212	58	2,430	100	2,530	Related to 12 arrests in No. 17			
15. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	190	9	1,230	50	1,280	19	-	-	-
16. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	451	13	4,455	200	4,655	13	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

NI - Not indicated

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)										
17. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	3-30-73	15	-	15	12	Apartment
18. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	4-6-73	20	-	20	Never installed	Apartment
19. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	4-6-73	20	-	20	4	Apartment
20. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	4-6-73	20	-	20	4	Multiple dwelling
21. Essex	Kingfield	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	4-19-73	20	-	20	7	Multiple dwelling
22. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	4-24-73	20	-	20	8	Multiple dwelling
23. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	5-1-73	15	-	15	7	Business
24. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	5-10-73	20	-	20	4	Apartment
25. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	5-11-73	20	-	20	3	Single family dwelling
26. Essex	Pashman	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	5-16-73	20	-	20	7	Multiple dwelling
27. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	5-23-73	20	-	20	9	Business
28. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	6-5-73	15	-	15	9	Single family dwelling
29. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	6-13-73	20	-	20	8	Multiple dwelling
30. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	6-16-73	15	-	15	13	Business
31. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	6-20-73	20	-	20	9	Multiple dwelling
32. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	6-21-73	20	-	20	10	Multiple dwelling
33. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	7-6-73	15	-	15	10	Business
34. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	8-23-73	20	-	20	Never installed	Multiple dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)													
17. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	664	656	\$ 2,340	\$ 50	\$ 2,390	12	-	-	-
18. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
19. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	80	53	570	50	620	Related to 11 arrests in No. 21			
20. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	128	53	960	50	1,010	Related to 3 arrests in No. 22			
21. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	380	290	1,110	50	1,160	11	-	-	-
22. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	77	68	570	50	620	3	-	-	-
23. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	152	8	615	25	640	-	-	-	-
24. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	16	-	135	50	185	-	-	-	-
25. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	27	-	180	25	205	-	-	-	-
26. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	665	619	727	50	777	7	-	-	-
27. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	122	38	525	500	1,025	Related to 7 arrests in No. 28			
28. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	65	63	450	50	500	7	-	-	-
29. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	93	45	667	25	692	Related to 18 arrests in No. 32			
30. Essex	Lbrdi	PC	NI	NI	388	181	1,095	25	1,120	Related to 8 arrests in No. 31			
31. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	159	69	735	25	760	8	-	-	-
32. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	363	206	975	25	1,000	18	-	-	-
33. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	101	26	1,850	50	1,900	7	-	-	-
34. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

NI - Not indicated

TABLE A - STATE

 Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New York, Essex County (continued)										
35. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	8-23-73	20	-	20	Never installed	Single family dwelling
36. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	9-27-73	21	-	21	16	Single family dwelling
37. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale, possession and distribution of a controlled dangerous substance	PW	10-1-73	20	-	20	5	Apartment
38. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	10-1-73	30	-	30	Never installed	Business
39. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	10-3-73	15	-	15	8	Multiple dwelling
40. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	10-9-73	15	-	15	10	Social club
41. Essex	Giuliano	Ronco	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	10-15-73	20	-	20	10	Apartment
42. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale, possession and distribution of a controlled dangerous substance	PW	10-16-73	20	1	40	36	Multiple dwelling
43. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	10-24-73	15	-	15	Never installed	Apartment
44. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	10-26-73	20	-	20	9	Apartment
45. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Sale, possession and distribution of a controlled dangerous substance	PW	10-31-73	20	-	20	20	Business
46. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	11-7-73	15	-	15	4	Single family dwelling
47. Not Assigned										
48. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	11-16-73	15	-	15	9	Single family dwelling
49. Essex	Giuliano	Lordi	Lottery, bookmaking	PW	12-5-73	15	-	15	5	Apartment

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Essex County (continued)													
35. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
36. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	623	535	\$ 1,092	\$ 50	\$ 1,142	3	-	-	-
37. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	55	-	2,760	50	2,810	-	-	-	-
38. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
39. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,066	1,023	1,875	25	1,900	7	-	-	-
40. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	17	17	165	50	215	5	-	-	-
41. Essex	Ronco	PC	NI	NI	300	279	937	25	962	2	-	-	-
42. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	1,218	204	12,495	75	12,570	Related to 8 arrests in No. 45			
43. Essex	Lordi	PC	Never installed										
44. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	254	242	570	50	620	10	-	-	-
45. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	899	103	6,840	75	6,915	8	-	-	-
46. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	126	80	510	75	585	Related to 15 arrests in No. 48			
47. Not assigned													
48. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	299	206	780	75	855	15	-	-	-
49. Essex	Lordi	PC	NI	NI	13	7	255	50	305	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

NI - Not indicated

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Hudson County										
1. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Conspiracy to violate narcotic laws	PW	12-13-72	30	-	30	27	Multiple dwelling
2. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-11-73	21	1	28	19	Apartment
3. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-25-73	20	-	20	Never installed	Single family dwelling
4. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	3-14-73	21	-	21	NI	Apartment
5. Hudson	Pashman	Gaulkin	Conspiracy to violate narcotic laws	PW	4-12-73	30	-	30	26	Business
6. Hudson	Kingfield	Stern	Gambling	PW	6-18-73	20	-	20	12	Single family dwelling
7. Hudson	Kingfield	Stern	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	7-2-73	20	-	20	16	Single family dwelling
8. Hudson	Trautwein	Stern	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-17-73	20	-	20	8	Single family dwelling
9. Hudson	Trautwein	Stern	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	9-17-73	20	-	20	9	Single family dwelling
10. Hudson	Trautwein	Stern	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	10-4-73	20	-	20	8	Single family dwelling
11. Hudson	Gerofsky	Stern	Illegal trafficking in controlled dangerous substances	PW	10-17-73	30	-	30	14	Single family dwelling
12. Hudson	Gerofsky	Stern	Illegal trafficking in controlled dangerous substances	PW	10-17-73	30	-	30	14	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE
NI - Not indicated.

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Hudson County													
1. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	27	NI	407	100	\$12,680	\$ 296	\$12,976	6	-	-	-
2. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	55	NI	1,050	1,530	1,500	60	1,560	29	-	-	-
3. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	Never installed										
4. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	No interceptions				NI	NI	NI	(Subject arrested, not as a result of this order)			
5. Hudson	Gaulkin	PC	40	NI	1,040	30	1,212	288	1,500	2	-	-	-
6. Hudson	Stern	PC	76	381	NI	349	500	60	560	Related to 24 arrests in No. 7			
7. Hudson	Stern	PC	83	NI	1,335	1,248	1,600	60	1,660	24	-	-	-
8. Hudson	Stern	PC	18	NI	147	84	400	42	442	4	-	-	-
9. Hudson	Stern	PC	11	NI	103	-	450	35	485	-	-	-	-
10. Hudson	Stern	PC	10	NI	82	40	450	45	495	9	-	-	-
11. Hudson	Stern	PC	11	NI	150	100	2,800	96	2,896	17	-	-	-
12. Hudson	Stern	PC											

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

NI - Not indicated

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Mercer County										
1. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-16-73	25	-	25	23	Apartment
2. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-16-73	25	-	25	Never used	Apartment
3. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-16-73	25	-	25	10	Single family dwelling
4. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-16-73	25	-	25	24	Single family dwelling
5. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-23-73	30	-	30	Never used	Business
6. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-23-73	30	-	30	Never used	Private Club
7. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Distribution of controlled dangerous substances	PW	4-24-73	30	-	30	28	Apartment
8. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Distribution of controlled dangerous substances	PW	4-24-73	30	-	30	28	Business
9. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Distribution of controlled dangerous substance, conspiracy	PW	4-24-73	30	-	30	29	Single family dwelling
10. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Loansharking, conspiracy	PW	4-26-73	30	-	30	27	Business
11. Mercer	Kingfield	Schragger	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	7-23-73	30	-	30	11	Outdoor public pay phone
New Jersey, Middlesex County										
1. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Lottery, working for a lottery, conspiracy	PW	1-23-73	30	-	30	8 7	Business Multiple dwelling
2. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	5-22-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
3. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	6-19-73	30	1	60	60	Apartment

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ¹	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Mercer County													
1. Mercer	Schrager	PC	39	2	897	-	\$3,449	\$103	\$3,552	-	-	-	-
2. Mercer	Schrager	PC	No interceptions				25	36	61	-	-	-	-
3. Mercer	Schrager	PC	3	1	30	-	1,338	41	1,379	-	-	-	-
4. Mercer	Schrager	PC	15	1	360	-	3,173	82	3,255	-	-	-	-
5. Mercer	Schrager	PC	No interceptions				25	-	25	-	-	-	-
6. Mercer	Schrager	PC	No interceptions				25	-	25	-	-	-	-
7. Mercer	Schrager	PC	27	1	756	-	3,815	79	3,894	-	-	-	-
8. Mercer	Schrager	PC	34	1	952	-	3,815	84	3,899	-	-	-	-
9. Mercer	Schrager	PC	5	1	145	87	3,985	59	4,044	-	-	-	-
10. Mercer	Schrager	PC	14	1	378	1	3,630	50	3,680	-	-	-	-
11. Mercer	Schrager	PC	4	1	11	-	268	49	317	-	-	-	-
New Jersey, Middlesex County													
1. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	10 hrs. daily	3	196	27	2,460	62	2,522	3	-	3 denied	-
		PC	10 hrs. daily	3	68	1	2,040	42	2,082	3	-	3 denied	-
2. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	11	199	26	15,080	35	15,115	11	-	-	-
3. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	29	594	212	30,580	111	30,691	29	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2619

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ¹	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Middlesex County (continued)										
4. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances conspiracy	PW	7-16-73	30	-	30	30	Single family dwelling
5. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7-16-73	30	1	60	47	Apartment
6. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7-25-73	30	-	30	30	Multiple dwelling
7. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	8-27-73	30	-	30	17	Single family dwelling
8. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	10-10-73	30	-	30	10	Business
9. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Possession, sale, distribution and use of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	9-5-73	30	-	30	30 30	Business Single family dwelling
10. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Gambling, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-8-73	30	-	30	14	Single family dwelling
11. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Gambling, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-8-73	30	-	30	14	Single family dwelling
12. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Gambling, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-15-73	30	-	30	9	Business
13. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Loansharking, conspiracy	PW	11-15-73	30	-	30	15	Single family dwelling
14. Middlesex	Gerofsky	Kuhlthau	Gambling, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-4-73	30	-	30	17	Business

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

 Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress Intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Middlesex County (continued)													
4. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	20	593	136	\$13,440	\$ 86	\$13,526	20	-	-	-
5. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	21	290	57	15,080	35	15,115	21	-	-	-
6. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	18	255	39	13,440	24	13,464	18	-	-	-
7. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	12	42	2	4,760	5	4,765	1	-	-	-
8. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	16 hrs. daily	1	106	-	4,800	45	4,845	-	-	-	-
9. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	24 hrs. daily	11	300	14	8,240	32	8,272	5	-	-	-
		PC	24 hrs. daily	4	150	9	3,520	15	3,535	4	-	-	-
10. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	7 hrs.	20	157	18	1,344	5	1,349	4	-	-	-
11. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	7 hrs.	20	157	18	1,344	5	1,349	4	-	-	-
12. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	5 hrs. daily	3	5	5	810	5	815	5	-	-	-
13. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	10 hrs. daily	2	3	-	450	5	455	1	-	-	-
14. Middlesex	Kuhlthau	PC	8 hrs.	5	9	8	1,876	5	1,881	4	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A -- STATE

 Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2510

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Monmouth County										
1. Monmouth	Schoch	Carton	Escape, aiding or assisting certain criminals	PW	9-6-73	15	-	15	14	Single family dwelling
2. Monmouth	Schoch	Carton	Escape, aiding or assisting certain criminals	PW	9-6-73	15	-	15	11	Single family dwelling
New Jersey, Morris County										
1. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Possession with intent to distribute controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	1-8-73	30	-	30	20	Single family dwelling
2. Morris	Kingfield	Collester	Possession of stolen property, conspiracy	PW	4-12-73	30	-	30	23	Apartment
New Jersey, Ocean County										
1. Ocean	Kingfield	Anton	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-12-73	20	-	20	12	Apartment
New Jersey, Somerset County										
1. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	12-22-72	30	-	30	12	Single family dwelling
2. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	12-22-72	30	-	30	12	Apartment
3. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	6-19-73	30	-	30	10	Single family dwelling
4. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-19-73	30	-	30	3	Apartment
5. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	6-19-73	30	-	30	3	Apartment
6. Somerset	Kingfield	Champi	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7-17-73	30	-	30	4	Single family dwelling
7. Somerset	Schoch	Champi	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	8-21-73	30	-	30	4	Apartment
8. Somerset	Schoch	Champi	Possession and distribution of controlled dangerous substances	PW	9-24-73	30	-	30	15	Single family dwelling
9. Somerset	Schoch	Champi	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	10-11-73	30	1	60	21	Single family dwelling
10. Somerset	Schoch	Champi	Conspiracy to commit murder	PW	12-5-73	30	-	30	7	Apartment

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A -- STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Monmouth County													
1. Monmouth	Carton	PC	5	8	73	1	\$ -	\$ 34	\$ 34	1	-	-	-
2. Monmouth	Carton	PC	10	31	112	2	-	34	34	Related to arrest in No. 1			
New Jersey, Morris County													
1. Morris	Collester	PC	10.5	131	213	106	26,500	250	26,750	29	-	-	-
2. Morris	Collester	PC	10 minutes	52	621	307	16,050	210	16,260	19	-	1 denied	15
New Jersey, Ocean County													
1. Ocean	Anton	PC	18	23	216	76	2,934	700	3,634	1	-	-	-
New Jersey, Somerset County													
1. Somerset	Champi	PC	23	15	282	110	1,500	-	1,500	5	1	-	5
2. Somerset	Champi	PC	22	15	258	200	1,500	-	1,500	Related to arrests, trial and convictions in No. 1.			
3. Somerset	Champi	PC	10	10	96	20	1,500	-	1,500	4	-	4 denied	-
4. Somerset	Champi	PC	No interceptions				34	68	102				
5. Somerset	Champi	PC	No interceptions				34	68	102				
6. Somerset	Champi	PC	35	12	141	2/3 of total	1,100	-	1,100	4	-	-	-
7. Somerset	Champi	PC	6	unknown	24	-	153	7	160	-	-	-	-
8. Somerset	Champi	PC	12	7	128	29	1,050	100	1,150	2	-	-	-
9. Somerset	Champi	PC	7	13	144	113	2,355	35	2,390	11	-	-	-
10. Somerset	Champi	PC	23	12	161	10	1,300	-	1,300	4	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE I

TABLE A - STATE

 Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
 Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County										
1. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	1-2-73	30	-	30	6	Apartment
2. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled substances, conspiracy	PW	1-5-73	30	-	30	9	Apartment
3. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Purchase, possession and sale of stolen property, conspiracy	PW	1-17-73	30	-	30	7	Single family dwelling
4. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-19-73	30	-	30	17	Single family dwelling
5. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	1-19-73	30	-	30	22	Single family dwelling
6. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	1-26-73	30	-	30	11	Apartment
7. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Purchase, possession and sale of stolen property, conspiracy	PW	1-29-73	30	-	30	3	Multiple dwelling
8. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Lottery, bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	2-5-73	30	-	30	5	Single family dwelling
9. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	2-14-73	30	-	30	30	Apartment
10. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Armed robbery and murder	PW	2-22-73	30	-	30	12	Single family dwelling
11. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	2-26-73	30	-	30	16	Single family dwelling
12. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	Never installed	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE (Page 00)

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County													
1. Union	Asch	PC	17	30	108	14	\$ 504	\$ 339	\$ 843	-	-	-	-
2. Union	Asch	PC	13	9	123	7	786	541	1,327	-	-	-	-
3. Union	Asch	PC	8	7	125	13	678	409	1,087	-	-	-	-
4. Union	Asch	PC	16	3	280	3	1,278	849	2,127	1	-	-	1
5. Union	Asch	PC	7	3	140	23	1,680	1,094	2,774	1	-	-	1
6. Union	Asch	PC	17	7	184	10	1,190	807	1,997	-	-	-	-
7. Union	Asch	PC	4	2	14	-	216	171	387	-	-	-	-
8. Union	Asch	PC	3	3	15	4	360	278	638	1	-	-	1
9. Union	Asch	PC	13	14	403	56	1,905	1,263	3,168	18	-	-	-
10. Union	Asch	PC	24	8	293	-	1,404	960	2,364	-	-	-	-
11. Union	Asch	PC	3	14	45	12	996	672	1,668	18	-	-	-
12. Union	Asch	PC	Never installed				-	36	36	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
13. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	Never installed	Single family dwelling
14. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	6	Apartment
15. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	6	Single family dwelling
16. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	3-1-73	30	-	30	1	Single family dwelling
17. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	3-15-73	30	-	30	21	Business and living quarters
18. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	4-23-73	30	1	45	33	Single family dwelling
19. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	4-23-73	30	1	45	33	Single family dwelling
20. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	4-23-73	30	-	30	11	Apartment
21. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	4-23-73	30	-	30	9	Apartment
22. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking and conspiracy	PW	4-23-73	30	-	30	19	Single family dwelling
23. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	5-2-73	30	-	30	6	Apartment
24. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Bookmaking, lottery and conspiracy	PW	5-10-73	30	-	30	2	Apartment
25. Union and Hudson	Kingfield	Asch and Gaulkin	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	5-10-73	30	-	30	15	Multiple dwelling
26. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Sale and possession of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	5-10-73	30	-	30	26	Single family dwelling
27. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Sale and possession of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	5-10-73	30	-	30	24	Business

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (continued)													
13. Union	Asch	PC	Never installed				\$ -	\$ 36	\$ 36	-	-	-	-
14. Union	Asch	PC	70	13	450	430	270	249	519	1	-	-	-
15. Union	Asch	PC	50'	13	320	300	270	237	507	1	-	-	-
16. Union	Asch	PC	45	10	45	45	42	109	151	1	-	-	-
17. Union	Asch	PC	15	20	322	51	1,950	1,269	3,219	18	-	-	-
18. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	6	27	181	82	3,024	1,910	4,934	8	-	8 denied	3
19. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	25	50	830	312	3,024	1,975	4,999	13	-	3 denied	-
20. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	2	2	22	6	805	548	1,353	-	-	-	-
21. Union	Asch	PC	5	5	48	-	763	523	1,286	-	-	-	-
22. Union	Asch	PC	11	10	216	7	1,477	970	2,447	3	-	3 pending	-
23. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	33	12	201	178	318	279	597	3	-	-	-
24. Union	Asch	PC	51	8	103	81	70	86	156	8	-	-	2
25. Union and Hudson	Asch and Gaulkin	PC	14	13	202	38	1,407	927	2,334	7	-	2 denied	-
26. Union	Asch	PC	10	12	256	11	2,635	1,670	4,305	3	-	-	-
27. Union	Asch	PC	14	10	348	1	2,079	1,331	3,410	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ²	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
28. Union and Essex	Kingfield	Asch and Lordi	Bookmaking, lottery, conspiracy	PW	5-22-73	30	-	30	11	Apartment
29. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances	PW	5-31-73	30	-	30	29	Single family dwelling
30. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances	PW	5-31-73	30	-	30	16	Business
31. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Extortion and conspiracy	M/E	6-14-73	20	-	20	9	Business
32. Union	Kingfield	Asch	Extortion and conspiracy	PW	6-14-73	20	-	20	19	Business
33. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances	PW	7-18-73	30	-	30	9	Multiple dwelling
34. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	7-18-73	30	-	30	9	Business
35. Union	Giuliano	Asch	Bookmaking and conspiracy	PW	8-7-73	30	-	30	22	Single family dwelling
36. Union	Giuliano	Frigola	Bookmaking and conspiracy	PW	8-20-73	30	-	30	9	Business
37. Union	Giuliano	Frigola	Bookmaking and conspiracy	PW	8-20-73	30	-	30	9	Business
38. Union	Giuliano	Frigola	Bookmaking and conspiracy	PW	8-20-73	30	-	30	8	Single family dwelling
39. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	9-19-73	30	1	50	44	Single family dwelling
40. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	9-19-73	30	1	50	44	Single family dwelling
41. Union	Schoch	Asch	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	9-21-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling
42. Union	Schoch	Asch	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	9-21-73	15	-	15	15	Single family dwelling

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A -- STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of Intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (continued)													
28. Union and Essex	Asch and Lordi	PC	51	16	565	559	\$ 434	\$ 377	\$ 811	8	-	-	-
29. Union	Asch	PC	14	24	415	35	2,782	1,767	4,549	12	-	-	-
30. Union	Asch	PC	19	9	303	3	1,260	838	2,098	1	-	-	-
31. Union	Asch	Oral	9	6	82	1	486	334	820	-	-	-	-
32. Union	Asch	PC	25	12	485	5	1,092	766	1,858	-	-	-	-
33. Union	Asch	PC	12	25	114	-	700	487	1,187	-	-	-	-
34. Union	Asch	PC	20	52	188	-	700	486	1,186	-	-	-	-
35. Union	Asch	PC	31	21	700	61	1,498	1,008	2,506	1	-	-	-
36. Union	Frigola	PC	29	22	263	21	563	421	984	1	-	-	-
37. Union	Frigola	PC	15	3	140	10	525	380	905	-	-	-	-
38. Union	Frigola	PC	20	7	160	5	630	444	1,074	1	-	-	-
39. Union	Asch	PC	10	29	447	95	4,441	2,823	7,264	9	-	-	-
40. Union	Asch	PC	7	26	287	201	4,441	2,841	7,282	8	-	-	-
41. Union	Asch	PC	14	13	209	-	714	499	1,213	1	-	-	-
42. Union	Asch	PC	3	4	48	-	714	495	1,209	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE

TABLE A - STATE

Reports by State Judges on Applications for Court Orders to Authorize the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Judge	Applicant	Offense specified	Type ¹	Date of application	Authorized length of interception			Actual period in operation ³	Place
						Original period ²	Number of extensions	Total length		
New Jersey, Union County (continued)										
43. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	10-4-73	30	-	30	20	Apartment
44. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	10-15-73	30	-	30	12	Single family dwelling
45. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Lottery and conspiracy	PW	10-15-73	30	-	30	12	Single family dwelling
46. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Possession and sale of controlled dangerous substances, conspiracy	PW	10-15-73	20	-	20	17	Single family dwelling
47. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-2-73	20	-	20	20	Single family dwelling
48. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-2-73	20	-	20	20	Apartment
49. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Numbers lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-9-73	15	-	15	12	Business
50. Union	Gerofsky	Frigola	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	11-16-73	15	1	25	15	Business
51. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Sale and possession of controlled dangerous substances	PW	11-20-73	30	-	30	29	Apartment
52. Union and Cape May	Gerofsky	Asch and Corino	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	11-28-73	30	-	30	16	Single family dwelling
53. Union and Cape May	Gerofsky	Asch and Corino	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-6-73	30	-	30	8	Business
54. Union and Cape May	Gerofsky	Asch and Corino	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-6-73	30	-	30	8	Single family dwelling and business
55. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	12-12-73	15	-	15	10	Single family dwelling
56. Union	Gerofsky	Asch	Lottery, conspiracy	PW	12-17-73	11	-	11	4	Public telephone

See footnotes at the end of TABLE A - STATE

TABLE B - STATE

Reports by State Prosecuting Officers Concerning Court Authorized Intercepts of Wire or Oral Communications
Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sec. 2519

Calendar Year 1973

State, county and reporting number	Prosecutor	Nature of intercepts		Number of			Cost			Number of			
		Type ¹	Average frequency ²	Persons	Intercepts	Incriminating intercepts	Manpower	Other resources	Total	Persons arrested	Trials	Motions to suppress intercepts	Persons convicted
New Jersey, Union County (continued)													
43. Union	Asch	PC	10	4	200	-	\$2,016	\$1,302	\$3,318	-	-	-	-
44. Union	Asch	PC	5	2	60	4	854	578	1,432	2	-	-	-
45. Union	Asch	PC	25	8	288	75	1,036	727	1,763	6	-	-	-
46. Union	Asch	PC	16	18	267	63	1,620	1,063	2,683	8	-	-	-
47. Union	Asch	PC	10	4	200	65	1,372	902	2,274	2	-	-	-
48. Union	Asch	PC	10	3	200	95	1,295	861	2,156	2	-	-	-
49. Union	Asch	PC	15	8	180	45	665	497	1,162	2	-	-	-
50. Union	Frigola	PC	10	8	149	55	826	594	1,420	6	-	-	-
										(In conjunction with Hudson County)			
51. Union	Asch	PC	17	20	517	200	3,024	1,992	5,016	11	-	-	-
52. Union and Cape May	Asch and Corino	PC	23	20	376	202	1,036	766	1,802	5	-	-	-
53. Union and Cape May	Asch and Corino	PC	29	20	237	47	602	470	1,072	5	-	-	-
54. Union and Cape May	Asch and Corino	PC	18	20	144	129	574	453	1,027	5	-	-	-
55. Union	Asch	PC	11	1	110	-	749	536	1,285	-	-	-	-
56. Union	Asch	PC	6	1	24	3	35	84	119	1	-	-	-

See footnotes at the end of TABLE B - STATE