

NOTICE TO THE BAR

Re: Defaults Scheduled for Review by the Disciplinary Review Board

The following matters have been certified to the Disciplinary Review Board as defaults, in accordance with R. 1:20-4(f):

In the Matter of Kenneth H. Brookman  
Docket No. DRB 11-162  
District Docket No. XIV-2010-0152E

In the Matter of Daniel N. Shapiro  
Docket No. DRB 11-172  
District Docket No. IIB-2010-0005E

In the Matter of Daniel N. Shapiro  
Docket No. DRB 11-173  
District Docket No. IIB-2010-0016E

In the Matter of Daniel N. Shapiro  
Docket No. DRB 11-174  
District Docket No. IIB-2010-0015E

In the Matter of Kevin Joseph Carlin  
Docket no. DRB 11-194  
District Docket No. VII-2011-0004E

In the Matter of Ralph V. Furino, Jr.  
Docket No. DRB 11-205  
District Docket No. VIII-2010-0054E

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main  
Docket No. DRB 11-203  
District Docket No. IIIB-2010-0025E

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main  
Docket No. DRB 11-207  
District Docket No. VII-2011-0007E

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main  
Docket No. DRB 11-208  
District Docket No. VII-2010-0037E

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main  
Docket No. DRB 11-209  
District Docket No. VII-2010-0049E

Notice to the Bar  
Scheduled: September 15, 2011

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main

Docket No. DRB 11-210

District Docket No. VII-2010-0046E

In the Matter of Kevin H. Main

Docket No. DRB 11-211

District Docket No. VII-2010-0034E

In the Matter of Kenneth Paul Sirkin

Docket No. DRB 11-229

District Docket No. XIV-2010-0202E

These matters are scheduled to be reviewed by the Board on **Thursday, September 15, 2011**. R. 1:20-4(f) provides that an attorney-respondent's failure to timely file an answer "shall be deemed an admission that the allegations of the complaint are true and . . . provide sufficient basis for the imposition of discipline." Although chances for a successful motion are limited, a motion to vacate the default may be by filed with the Board **by no later than August 8, 2011. MOTIONS RECEIVED AFTER THE DEADLINE WILL NOT BE REVIEWED BY THE BOARD.** The motion should specify why the attorney-respondent failed to file a timely answer (including lack of notice) and should set forth any claimed meritorious defenses to the ethics charge. The motion must also be simultaneously served on the Director of the Office of Attorney Ethics and, where appropriate, the district ethics committee responsible for the underlying ethics matter. A certification regarding that service must accompany any documents filed with the Board.

Respondents are hereby advised that, generally, in a default matter, the discipline is enhanced to reflect a respondent's failure to cooperate with disciplinary authorities as an aggravating factor. In re Kivler, 193 N.J. 332, 338 (2008).

Respondents may communicate with the Board by contacting the Office of Board Counsel at:

**P.O. Box 962, Trenton, NJ 08625, Telephone: 609-292-1012**

Julianne K. DeCore  
Chief Counsel  
Disciplinary Review Board

Dated: July 15, 2011

by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Lillian Lewin