

Use of illegal amphetamines in breach of drug rehabilitation contract with school board was unbecoming and warranted tenured teacher's dismissal. Matter of Yanniello Tenure Hearing, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 262.

Inability to do assigned tasks of engineering technician warranted termination when psychological disability from which employee was suffering could not be accommodated. Sallie v. Department of Transportation, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (CSV) 100.

Board of education reasonably accommodated alcoholic teacher; dismissal. State Operated School District of Jersey City v. Howard. 93 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 556.

Turnpike Authority unlawfully discriminated against employee on basis of his handicap. Troxell v. New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 5.

13:13-2.6 Wages and fringe benefits

(a) An employer's wage scale must be unrelated to the disability of its employees, except where permitted by State or Federal law.

(b) Occupational training and retraining programs, including, but not limited to, guidance programs, apprentice training programs and executive training programs, shall not be conducted in such a manner as to discourage or otherwise discriminate against people with disabilities.

(c) It is an unlawful practice for any employer to discriminate against people with disabilities, with regard to fringe benefits provided either directly by an employer or through contracts with insurance carriers. Fringe benefits as used in this section include, but are not limited to, medical, hospital, accident and life insurance, retirement benefits, profit sharing and bonus plans, and leave. This subsection does not, for example, prohibit any employer from providing medical insurance which does not cover the cost of any medical condition arising out of preexisting illnesses, which costs are incurred following an employee's date of hire. Rather, whatever medical insurance is made available to non-disabled employees must be equally available to employees with disabilities.

(d) Regulations promulgated pursuant to the Law Against Discrimination shall supersede any inconsistent term of a collective bargaining agreement.

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

In (a), substituted "disability of its employees" for "existence of handicap"; in (b), substituted "people with disabilities" for "persons possessing handicaps"; and in (c), substituted "against people with disabilities" for "between persons who are handicapped and those who are not", substituted a reference to non-disabled employees for a reference to non-handicapped employees, and substituted a reference to employees with disabilities for a reference to handicapped employees.

13:13-2.7 Labor organizations

(a) It is unlawful for any labor organization to exclude or expel any individual from membership or from any apprenticeship program because that individual is a person with a disability.

(b) It is an unlawful employment practice for any labor organization to discriminate on the basis of disability with respect to hiring, tenure, promotion, transfer, compensation, terms, conditions or privileges of employment, representation, grievances or any other matter directly or indirectly related to membership in or employment by such an organization.

(c) It is unlawful for a labor organization to cause or to attempt to cause an employer to discriminate against an individual because that individual is a person with a disability.

(d) It is unlawful to engage in any activity proscribed by (a), (b), or (c) above notwithstanding that activity is authorized or required by the constitution or by-laws of a labor organization or by a collective bargaining agreement or other contract to which the labor organization is a party.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.

See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

In (a), substituted "is a person with a disability" for "possesses a handicap" at the end; in (b), substituted "disability with" for "a person's handicap in" following "basis of"; and in (c), substituted "that individual is a person with a disability" for "of a handicap" at the end.

13:13-2.8 Exception

(a) It shall be lawful to take any action otherwise prohibited under this section where it can reasonably be determined that an applicant or employee, as a result of the individual's disability, cannot presently perform the job even with reasonable accommodation.

1. Refusal to refer, admit to membership, hire, or transfer a person with a disability may be lawful where the nature or extent of the individual's disability presently reasonably precludes the performance of the particular employment. Such a decision, however, must be based upon an objective standard supported by factual evidence rather than on the basis of general assumptions that a particular disability would interfere with the individual's ability to perform the duties of the job.

2. Refusal to select a person with a disability may be lawful where it can be demonstrated that the employment of that individual in a particular position would presently be hazardous to the safety or health of such individual, other employees, clients or customers. Such a decision must be based upon an objective standard supported by factual or scientifically validated evidence, rather than on the basis of general assumptions that a particular disability would create a hazard to the safety or health of such individual, other employees, clients or customers. A "hazard" to the person with a disability is a materially enhanced risk of serious harm.

3. The burden of proof is upon the employer, employment agency or labor organization to demonstrate in each case that the exception relied upon is based upon an objective standard supported by factual evidence, but no exception shall be based on:

i. A refusal to select a person with a disability because of the preferences of co-workers, clients, customers or the employer.

ii. A refusal to select a person with a disability because of the increased cost of insurance whether actual or anticipated, under a group or employee insurance plan provided in accordance with the law or as a fringe benefit.

iii. A refusal to select a person with a disability because of an assumption not supported by factual documented proof that such individual will incur a high rate of absenteeism in the future.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.

See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

Amended by R.2000 d.273, effective July 3, 2000.

See: 32 N.J.R. 1155(a), 32 N.J.R. 2445(a).

Substituted references to persons with disabilities for references to handicapped individuals throughout.

Case Notes

Municipality was not required to provide second opportunity for rehabilitation to firefighter who tested positive for cocaine and whose reinstatement after first testing positive was conditioned upon abstain-

ing from use of drugs. *Matter of Jackson*, 294 N.J.Super. 233, 683 A.2d 203 (A.D.1996).

Employer may not base his decision to discharge a handicapped employee for safety reasons on subjective evaluations or conclusory medical reports. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Employer does not have good cause to terminate a public employee on basis of a physical limitation unless limitation either prevents employee from adequately performing job or creates substantial risk of serious injury. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Possible consequences of an injury to police trainee who had limited vision in his right eye did not constitute good cause for trainee's dismissal. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Police Training Commission did not have good cause to dismiss trainee who had limited vision in his right eye from police training program. *Greenwood v. State Police Training Center*, 127 N.J. 500, 606 A.2d 336 (1992).

Alleged different treatment of information regarding condition of surgeon who was patient at his own hospital and was diagnosed as having acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) would not support cause of action under the New Jersey law against discrimination. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In determining whether surgeon with AIDS may legitimately be restricted in his surgical privileges, test to be applied is whether continuation of surgical privileges causes reasonable probability of substantial harm to others, including co-workers and patients. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

Where physician is being treated at his own hospital, it is imperative that hospital take reasonable steps to insure confidentiality not only of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) test result, but also of disease diagnosis which is conclusive of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In context of informed consent, risk of surgical accident involving AIDS-positive surgeon would be legitimate concern to surgical patient, warranting disclosure of risk. *Estate of Behringer v. Medical Center at Princeton*, 249 N.J.Super. 597, 592 A.2d 1251 (L.1991).

In deciding whether nature and extent of employee's handicap reasonably precludes job performance, employer may consider whether handicapped person can do his or her work without posing serious threat of injury to health and safety of himself or herself or other employees. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

To invoke safety defense as justification for otherwise unlawful handicap discrimination employer must reasonably conclude that employee's handicap poses materially enhanced risk of serious injury. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Employer's decision not to employ handicapped person must be justified by a "probability" rather than a "possibility" of injury to handicapped person or others. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Opinion by employer's medical experts that epileptic employee employed as meatcutter would probably suffer another seizure at work did not support conclusion that such a seizure would probably result in harm to employee or others. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682 (1988), on remand.

Epileptic supermarket employee was reasonably precluded from performance of duties of meat cutter; decision of employer to terminate employee was reasonably arrived at and sufficiently supported by independent medical testimony that there was a reasonable probability of future seizures. *Jansen v. Food Circus Supermarkets, Inc.*, 214 N.J.Super. 51, 518 A.2d 486 (App.Div.1986), reversed 110 N.J. 363, 541 A.2d 682.

Fire department did not illegally discriminate in refusing to hire 53-year-old applicant for firefighter position. *McKeever v. Kirkwood Board of Fire Commissioners*, 96 N.J.A.R.2d (CRT) 79.

Alcoholism which initially led to excessive absenteeism did not warrant tenured teacher's removal once she successfully completed school district's rehabilitation program. *Jersey City School District v. Howard*, 95 N.J.A.R.2d (EDU) 301.

SUBCHAPTER 3. REAL PROPERTY

13:13-3.1 Application

This subchapter on discrimination in real property applies to vendors and lessors of property and their agents, real estate brokers, agents and salespersons, lending institutions and other persons. For the purpose of this subchapter lending institutions include banks, building and loan associations, insurance companies and any other enterprise whose business consists in whole or in part in the making of commercial loans or other forms of financial assistance for the acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, repair or maintenance of housing accommodations.

Amended by R.1995 d.243, effective May 15, 1995.
See: 26 N.J.R. 1942(a), 27 N.J.R. 2005(a).

13:13-3.2 Advertising and solicitation

(a) This section applies to real property, public housing and the rental of:

1. A single apartment or flat in a two-family dwelling, the other occupancy unit of which is occupied by the owner as a residence or the household of the owner's family at the time of such rental; and
2. A room or rooms to another person or persons by the owner or occupant of a one-family dwelling occupied by the owner or occupant as a residence or the household of the owner's or occupant's family at the time of such rental.

(b) It is unlawful for any person to make, print or publish or cause to be made, printed or published any notice, listing statement or advertisement with respect to the sale, rental or lease of real property which indicates any preference, limitation, specification or otherwise discriminates based upon disability.