AQUATIC PESTICIDE USE IN NEW JERSEY – 2004

In 1983 the NJDEP Pesticide Control Program (PCP) implemented an Aquatic Pesticide Use Permit Program. The specific purpose of this program was to identify and control what pesticides are being applied to New Jersey's waterbodies. Recently, the PCP was able to gather data from Records of Actual Treatment (RAT) and compute the total amount of each aquatic pesticide used during the aquatic permit season, which runs from April to October. Furthermore, this total usage has also been broken down into active ingredient by County and by Watershed Management Area.

All aquatic applicators are responsible for submitting their RAT forms to the department by November 15 of each treatment year. These records are then entered into the permits database, and checked for any errors or misapplications. Once corrected, the data is analyzed and the pounds of active ingredient used calculated for each treatment record. This data is then transposed to display the different permutations listed above.

Table 1 lists the chemicals and their amounts in pounds of active ingredient (A.I.) used during the 2004 Aquatic Pesticide Permit season. The most widely used trade names corresponding with these chemicals are also included.

Table 2 lists the chemicals and their A.I. amounts applied by county for the 2004 season.

Table 3 lists the chemicals and their A.I. amounts applied by Watershed Management Areas for the 2004 season. For more information on Watershed Management Areas, please visit <u>http://www.nj.gov/dep/watershedmgt</u>, or contact the NJDEP Division of Watershed Management.

This information will help us address the impacts, if any, of specific aquatic pesticides to the environment in a particular area, as well as track the use of these pesticides. We must however, keep in mind that aquatic ecosystems are extremely dynamic and may change significantly from year to year and this may effect the trend of such pesticide usage over time. Another factor is the adoption of IPM (Integrated Pest Management). One of the goals of the PCP is pesticide use reduction, and IPM is a tool that can be used to achieve this. Although aquatic IPM practices are currently few, many lake management associations have already incorporated some techniques into their management programs.

The following data has been gathered and charted, as there is a general interest in the trends of aquatic pesticide use for the control of aquatic weeds throughout the state of New Jersey. All information is based on permitted sites only.

| Active Ingredient | Brand Name Products | Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2, 4-D | AquaKleen, Navigate | 683.10 |
| Copper | Cutrine Plus, Cutrine Ultra, Captain | 5,203.27 |
| Copper Sulfate | Chem-One, Phelps-Dodge | 130,067.60 |
| Diquat | Reward | 4,725.75 |
| Endothall | Aquathol-K | 2,185.19 |
| Fluridone | Sonar AS, Avast AS | 4,043.96 |
| Glyphosate | Glypro, Rodeo | 2,830.77 |
| Imazapyr | Habitat | 8.25 |
| Sodium Carbonate (Hydrogen Dioxide) | GreenClean | 124.20 |
| Triclopyr | Renovate3 | 51.38 |
| Grand Total | | 149,923.47 |

Table 1. Compounds used during the 2004 Aquatic Pesticide Permit season and their amounts (pounds of active ingredient). The list of brand names consists of the most widely used products only, and is not intended to be comprehensive.

Table 2. Pesticide amounts (in pounds of active ingredient) used during the 2004 Aquatic Pesticide Permit season by county.

| County | 2, 4-D | Copper | Copper Sulfate | Diquat | Endothall | Fluridone | Glyphosate | lmazapyr | Sodium Carbonate | Triclopyr | Pounds of A.I. | Percent |
|-------------|--------|----------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| Atlantic | 254.10 | 77.87 | 55.44 | 115.08 | | 4.00 | 100.00 | | | | 606.48 | 0.40% |
| Bergen | | 148.48 | 46,351.70 | 93.63 | 145.77 | 25.20 | 50.63 | | | | 46,815.39 | 31.23% |
| Burlington | 181.50 | 538.03 | 378.58 | 383.78 | 11.84 | 52.21 | 103.82 | | | 9.75 | 1,659.52 | 1.11% |
| Camden | | 45.94 | 116.10 | 23.00 | | 34.90 | .38 | | | | 220.32 | 0.15% |
| Cape May | | 7.56 | | 2.50 | | 4.28 | 173.63 | | | | 187.97 | 0.13% |
| Cumberland | | | | | | | 270.10 | | | | 270.10 | 0.18% |
| Essex | | 464.82 | 8,651.23 | 67.21 | 6.35 | .50 | 13.00 | | | | 9,203.10 | 6.14% |
| Gloucester | | 118.26 | 173.25 | 400.50 | | .50 | 33.30 | | | | 725.81 | 0.48% |
| Hudson | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.00% |
| Hunterdon | | 54.58 | 356.43 | 62.52 | 1.10 | 156.04 | 1.15 | | | | 631.81 | 0.42% |
| Mercer | | 324.81 | 965.25 | 36.33 | | 3.35 | | | | | 1,329.73 | 0.89% |
| Middlesex | | 72.27 | 232.16 | 21.46 | | .90 | 8.97 | | | | 335.75 | 0.22% |
| Monmouth | | 2,061.26 | 4,747.67 | 91.90 | 298.09 | 5.80 | 82.82 | 3.00 | | | 7,290.54 | 4.86% |
| Morris | | 518.69 | 13,633.38 | 1,187.67 | 357.37 | 273.42 | 35.47 | .01 | 124.20 | | 16,130.21 | 10.76% |
| Ocean | | 80.66 | 58.81 | 27.20 | | 72.68 | 211.35 | 3.00 | | | 453.70 | 0.30% |
| Passaic | | 83.38 | 10,724.86 | 539.37 | 98.98 | 120.93 | 1.34 | | | 18.75 | 11,587.61 | 7.73% |
| Salem | | | | 17.88 | | | 1,641.60 | | | | 1,659.48 | 1.11% |
| Somerset | | 252.22 | 840.33 | 93.40 | 106.47 | 7.35 | .62 | 2.00 | .00 | .00 | 1,302.39 | 0.87% |
| Sussex | | 127.13 | 41,718.90 | 1,441.41 | 1,100.05 | 3,271.34 | 79.12 | | | 15.38 | 47,753.32 | 31.85% |
| Union | | 26.67 | 784.89 | 24.09 | 25.33 | 6.30 | 19.30 | | | 7.50 | 894.08 | 0.60% |
| Warren | 247.50 | 200.65 | 278.64 | 96.83 | 33.84 | 4.27 | 4.20 | .25 | | | 866.16 | 0.58% |
| Grand Total | 683.10 | 5,203.27 | 130,067.60 | 4,725.75 | 2,185.19 | 4,043.96 | 2,830.77 | 8.25 | 124.20 | 51.38 | 149,923.47 | |

| WMA | 2, 4-D | Copper | Copper Sulfate | Diquat | Endothall | Fluridone | Glyphosate | lmazapyr | Sodium Carbonate | Triclopyr | Pounds of A.I. | Percent |
|-------------|--------|----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|
| 1 | 247.50 | 291.34 | 6,560.81 | 1,206.92 | 550.49 | 127.50 | 74.32 | .25 | | 12.00 | 9,071.13 | 6.06% |
| 2 | | 34.16 | 36,053.89 | 460.32 | 498.08 | 3,130.50 | 9.00 | | | 3.38 | 40,189.33 | 26.83% |
| 3 | | 195.02 | 11,004.55 | 651.62 | 359.97 | 190.77 | 13.19 | | | 18.75 | 12,433.86 | 8.30% |
| 4 | | 56.61 | 4,839.71 | 294.46 | 3.17 | 23.95 | .88 | | | | 5,218.79 | 3.48% |
| 5 | | 54.06 | 45,251.06 | 31.27 | 7.23 | 2.48 | 50.63 | | | | 45,396.73 | 30.31% |
| 6 | | 610.76 | 8,880.56 | 663.73 | 302.38 | 215.58 | 23.03 | .01 | | | 10,696.04 | 7.14% |
| 7 | | 450.88 | 9,448.46 | 73.69 | 2.12 | 6.30 | 38.82 | | | 7.50 | 10,027.77 | 6.70% |
| 8 | | 113.11 | 974.74 | 163.41 | 134.94 | 162.38 | 1.65 | | 124.20 | | 1,674.42 | 1.12% |
| 9 | | 161.03 | 239.53 | 36.51 | 16.87 | 6.51 | 11.33 | 3.00 | | | 474.77 | 0.32% |
| 10 | | 226.58 | 750.42 | 65.27 | | 4.67 | | 2.00 | | | 1,048.95 | 0.70% |
| 11 | | 212.24 | 431.15 | 25.30 | | 2.66 | | | | | 671.35 | 0.45% |
| 12 | | 1,911.57 | 1,125.56 | 79.30 | 298.09 | 1.50 | 73.32 | | | | 3,489.33 | 2.33% |
| 13 | | 78.32 | 3,599.05 | 32.20 | | 72.68 | 211.35 | 3.00 | | | 3,996.59 | 2.67% |
| 14 | | 15.09 | 19.80 | 112.40 | | | 200.00 | | | | 347.29 | 0.23% |
| 15 | 254.10 | 77.87 | 55.44 | 15.08 | | 4.00 | .75 | | | | 407.23 | 0.27% |
| 16 | | 7.56 | | 2.50 | | 4.28 | 172.88 | | | | 187.22 | 0.13% |
| 17 | | 81.81 | 173.25 | 349.88 | | | 1,914.70 | | | | 2,519.64 | 1.68% |
| 18 | | 254.42 | 69.40 | 93.45 | | 45.73 | 31.30 | | | 3.00 | 497.29 | 0.33% |
| 19 | 181.50 | 259.60 | 405.48 | 365.43 | 11.84 | 38.55 | 3.20 | | | 6.75 | 1,272.35 | 0.85% |
| 20 | | 64.22 | 81.87 | | | 1.88 | .45 | | | | 148.42 | 0.10% |
| Grand Total | 683.10 | 5,156.24 | 129,964.74 | 4,722.73 | 2,185.19 | 4,041.90 | 2,830.77 | 8.25 | 124.20 | 51.38 | 149,768.50 | |

Table 3. Pesticide amounts (in pounds of active ingredient) used during the 2004 Aquatic Pesticide Permit season by Watershed Management Area (WMA). *Note: Some records were omitted from this analysis as they incorporated several WMA's in a single treatment. This omission represents a very small percentage (0.11%) of the overall dataset.