

Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

- Report Key:

 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display

 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Type	Contact Information
County	Passaic
District	Ringwood School District
Principal Name	Ms. Nancy Dondero
Address	266 SLOATSBURG ROAD RINGWOOD, NJ 07456
Phone Number	973-962-7015
Email Address	donderon@njrps.org
Website	http://www.njrps.org
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/RingwoodPublicSchools
Twitter	https://twitter.com/ringwoodschools



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
4	117	134	131
5	141	118	137
Total	261	253	268

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	46.0%	47.4%	48.1%
Male	54.0%	52.6%	51.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5.7%	7.5%	7.1%
Students with Disabilities	21.5%	21.3%	19.8%
English Learners	0.8%	0.8%	1.5%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	83.5%	84.2%	84.0%
Hispanic	9.2%	7.5%	9.0%
Black or African American	1.9%	2.0%	0.7%
Asian	2.3%	2.4%	1.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.9%	2.8%	1.9%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.8%	2.6%

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students				
English	98.1%				
Other Languages	1.9%				




(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	ELA	ELA	ELA	Math	Math	Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	43	51	45	50.5	52	55
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	45	43.5	50	Met Standard	55	55.5	50	Met Standard
White	45	43	50	Met Standard	53.5	55	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	53.5	41	49	**	68	58.5	47	**
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	73	59	**	*	69	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	37.5	56	**	*	*	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	*	*	52	**
Female	44	45.5	53	N	57	57	50	N
Male	45	41	47	N	54	54	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	36.5	48	**	60	52	46	**
Students with Disabilities	53.5	41	43	Met Standard	60.5	53	45	Exceeds Standard
English Learners	*	*	52	**	*	*	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	N	*	42	N	N	*	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Level 1

Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35


Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19



statewide assessment growth.

Student Growth by Grade


These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.



Level 3

2017-18 PARCC Level

Level 5





(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05


2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	96.6%	96.0%	96.9%	97.0%	96.0%	96.6%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	70.5%	75.3%	62.5%	65.7%	70.6%	65.1%
Annual Target	61.4%	62.4%	63.3%	64.7%	65.5%	66.3%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target†
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	253	96.9	62.5	66.4	57.9	62.5	63.3	Met Target†
White	215	96.4	63.7	68.3	66.9	63.7	64.4	Met Target†
Hispanic	22	100.0	59.1	55.6	43.9	59.1	50.2	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	40.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	82.9	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	46.2	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	41.7	64.4	*	**	**
Female	123	96.9	71.5	76.4	64.8	71.5		
Male	130	97.0	53.8	57.7	51.3	53.8		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	100.0	38.9	31.9	40.0	38.9	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	235	96.7	64.3	68.7	67.9	64.3		
Students with Disabilities	44	95.7	40.9	27.3	22.7	40.9	31.7	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	209	97.2	67.0	75.9	65.1	67.0		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	124	755	755	755	*	*	35%	41%	12%	53%	57%
White	104	757	757	763	*	*	37%	43%	13%	56%	67%
Hispanic	13	745	745	743	0%	*	*	*	*	46%	44%
Black or African American	N	N	N	739	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	779	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	62	760	760	760	*	*	29%	*	*	63%	62%
Male	62	750	750	750	*	*	42%	*	*	44%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	765	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Students with Disabilities	24	735	735	725	*	*	*	*	*	38%	25%
Students without Disabilities	100	760	760	761	*	*	*	*	*	57%	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	130	765	765	756	*	*	24%	60%	12%	72%	58%
White	112	766	766	764	0%	*	27%	*	*	71%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	781	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	61	771	771	761	*	*	16%	*	*	80%	64%
Male	69	760	760	750	*	*	30%	*	*	64%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	752	752	740	*	*	*	*	*	55%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	119	766	766	766	*	*	*	*	*	73%	69%
Students with Disabilities	21	745	745	724	*	*	*	*	*	48%	23%
Students without Disabilities	109	769	769	762	*	*	*	*	*	76%	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	730	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	252	96.6	65.1	63.6	44.5	65.1	66.3	Met Target†
White	214	96.0	67.3	65.3	54.1	67.3	67.5	Met Target†
Hispanic	22	100.0	54.5	55.6	28.8	54.5	50.2	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	20.0	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	76.5	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	38.5	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	50.0	53.3	*	**	**
Female	123	96.9	59.3	65.2	44.9	59.3		
Male	129	96.3	70.5	62.2	44.2	70.5		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	100.0	38.9	23.4	26.3	38.9	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	234	96.3	67.1	66.2	54.9	67.1		
Students with Disabilities	44	95.7	29.5	25.5	17.4	29.5	24.5	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	208	96.7	72.6	72.8	50.0	72.6		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05


2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	123	758	758	749	*	*	27%	*	*	64%	51%
White	103	759	759	757	*	*	29%	*	*	66%	62%
Hispanic	13	756	756	737	0%	*	*	*	*	62%	36%
Black or African American	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	776	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	62	755	755	749	*	*	29%	*	*	58%	50%
Male	61	760	760	749	*	*	25%	*	*	70%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Students with Disabilities	24	738	738	726	*	*	46%	*	*	29%	25%
Students without Disabilities	99	762	762	754	*	*	22%	*	*	73%	56%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	130	758	758	747	*	*	25%	54%	12%	66%	47%
White	112	759	759	755	*	*	26%	58%	11%	69%	58%
Hispanic	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Black or African American	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	775	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	61	756	756	747	*	*	33%	*	*	61%	47%
Male	69	760	760	747	*	*	19%	*	*	71%	47%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	742	742	732	*	*	*	*	*	45%	27%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	119	760	760	757	*	*	*	*	*	68%	59%
Students with Disabilities	21	738	738	725	*	*	*	*	*	33%	19%
Students without Disabilities	109	762	762	752	*	*	*	*	*	72%	52%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	716	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
4	N	N
5	N	N

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	N	N	N
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 5

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	8	53	28	11
White	4	57	28	12
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	5	52	34	8
Male	10	53	23	14
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	73	9	0
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	7	51	30	13
Students with Disabilities	24	62	10	5
Students without Disabilities	5	51	32	13
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	10	4.0	7.5	Met
White	4	1.9	7.5	Met
Hispanic	2	9.1	7.5	Not Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	7	5.6		
Male	3	2.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	3	15.0	7.5	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	4	10.3	7.5	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(31-4400-050)

Grades Offered: 04-05

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the NJDOE School Performance webpage. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	3
Total Unique Incidents	3
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.12

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	1	1	2
Disability	1	1	2
Other	4	2	6
No Identified Nature	0		0

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police		
Violence	0		
Weapons	0		
Vandalism	0		
Substances	0		
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0		
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0		

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	*	*
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	*	*
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	9:15 AM		
Typical End Time	3:15 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 0 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 20 Mins		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 20 Mins.		

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	6.0:1



(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field is also available by school and district.

Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	29	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	10.2	12.1
Average years experience in district	8.3	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	75.9%	75.3%

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	9	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	18.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	6.8	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	55.6%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	134:1	129:1
Teachers to Administrators	15:1	12:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		386:1
Students to Nurses		289:1
Students to Counselors		386:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		289:1



(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	48.1%	89.7%	50.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	51.9%	10.3%	50.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	84.0%	96.6%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	9.0%	3.4%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education


This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree

Admin



Doctoral Degree

reacher	0%
Admin	0%

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	84.0%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	95.9%



Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt (31-4400-050)

Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the User Friendly Budget and the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage includes a <a href="List of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted support and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on exit criteria for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	70.5%	75.3%	62.5%
Math Proficiency	65.7%	70.6%	65.1%
ELA Growth	43	51	45
Math Growth	50	52	55
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	5.0%	3.6%	4.0%

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019 * Data is not

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target†	Met Target†	Met Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target†	Met Target†	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Student Growth

Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Highlights:	 E.G. Hewitt is known for school-wide activities that foster a school climate conducive to academic success. A variety of technology solutions are consistently utilized by teachers for instruction and student application. Regular teacher articulation meetings support continuity of instruction and strengthens curriculum initiatives.
 Mission, Vision, Theme:	The Ringwood Board of Education is dedicated to creating life-long learners by providing students with the educational programs, life experiences, and appropriate facilities necessary for success in the world of today and the world of tomorrow. As an intermediate school, the E.G. Hewitt faculty and support staff focuses on the unique needs of developing adolescents, while "bridging" them from elementary school and preparing them for the demands of middle school.



Student Growth

Academic **Achievement**

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative


This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Centered on the New Jersey Student Learning Standards, the curriculum is comprehensive and emphasizes increased academic rigor and higher-order thinking skills. Lessons are presented in a variety of ways to inspire students to become lifelong learners. Teachers reach students through different learning styles to maximize individual potential. All core subjects include technology components to encourage the ever-expanding digital classroom, including individual student accounts for use at



While bus transportation limits after-school activities, a number of student-interest clubs are offered on a rotational basis during recess. Some offerings have included: Green Team/Recycling, Team-Building, Animal Lovers, Chess, Book Club, Art, Community Service, Makers Space, and Brain Games.





Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Staff and Professional Learning:

Faculty continuously engages in professional development opportunities. In addition to many individual workshops and conferences specific to an individual assignment, the district has offered training on topics and certifications including: Orton Gillingham, Handle with Care, Dr. Gravity Goldberg partnership for Writing Workshop methods. There are also many examples of regularly-occurring peer-to-peer professional development work based on grade-level and subject-specific assignments.



Student Growth

Academic **Achievement**

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05


2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.





Student Growth Academic Achievement

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050) Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Facilities:

The original school building was erected in 1937. Since then, it has undergone addition and renovation projects. The most recent and significant one took place in 2012 and was the result of a referendum vote. Updates were made to the existing building and an addition added many new features, including a magnificent media center, music room, computer lab, classrooms, elevator, lobby and main office. The building also boasts a therapy room for occupational, physical and speech therapy.



Student Growth

Academic **Achievement**

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Eleanor G. Hewitt

(31-4400-050)Grades Offered: 04-05 2018-2019

found on the Ringwood Public Schools website: www.njrps.org.

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display


† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Other Information





Student Growth Academic Achievement



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- · Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- · Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports

ľ	Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:				
l					
l					
l					
l					



Demographic

Student Growth

Academic Achievement

College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Passaic
District	Ringwood School District
Principal Name	Mr. Eric Erler
Address	130 VALLEY ROAD RINGWOOD, NJ 07456
Phone Number	973-962-7063
Email Address	erlere@njrps.org
Website	http://www.njrps.org
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/RingwoodPublicSchools
Twitter	https://twitter.com/ringwoodschools



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
6	133	144	119
7	156	136	151
8	137	152	145
Total	426	432	415

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	47.9%	46.8%	45.8%
Male	52.1%	53.2%	54.2%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	7.7%	5.6%	7.0%
Students with Disabilities	16.9%	18.3%	21.4%
English Learners	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%
Homeless Students	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	88.5%	87.3%	84.8%
Hispanic	5.6%	6.9%	8.4%
Black or African American	1.2%	1.2%	1.7%
Asian	0.5%	0.7%	1.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.9%	1.4%	1.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.6%	1.6%	1.7%
Two or More Races	0.7%	0.9%	1.0%

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students					
English	96.6%					
Polish	1.2%					
Other Languages	2.2%					




(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measures by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.





Performance Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	ELA	ELA	ELA	Math	Math	Math
Median Student Growth Percentile	58	56	42	61	53	56
Met Standard (40-59.5)?	Met	Met	Met	Exceeds	Met	Met
	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
Statewide: Median Student Growth Percentile	50	50	50	50	50	50



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35 Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65 High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the **median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP)** for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40 -59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40 -59.5)
Schoolwide	42	43.5	50	Met Standard	56	55.5	50	Met Standard
White	42.5	43	50	Met Standard	57	55	52	Met Standard
Hispanic	39	41	49	Not Met	50.5	58.5	47	Met Standard
Black or African American	*	*	45	**	*	*	43	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	73	59	**	*	69	60	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	37.5	56	**	*	*	51.5	**
Two or More Races	*	*	49	**	N	*	52	**
Female	46	45.5	53	N	57	57	50	N
Male	39	41	47	N	55	54	51	N
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21	36.5	48	Not Met	42	52	46	**
Students with Disabilities	34	41	43	Not Met	45	53	45	Met Standard
English Learners	*	*	52	**	*	*	50	**
Homeless Students	N	N	43	N	N	N	44	N
Students in Foster Care	*	*	42	N	*	*	44	N
Military-Connected Students	N	N	49	N	N	N	51	N
Migrant Students	N	N	47	N	N	N	51	N



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level


These graphs show the percentage of students by 2017-18 statewide assessment performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2018-19

statewide assessment growth.

Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.








(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	96.0%	96.5%	95.6%	96.3%	96.5%	95.1%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	63.6%	70.3%	67.3%	58.1%	57.8%	58.7%
Annual Target	56.9%	58.1%	59.3%	55.7%	57.0%	58.2%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	394	95.6	67.3	66.4	57.9	67.3	59.3	Met Target
White	333	95.7	70.0	68.3	66.9	70.0	61.2	Met Target
Hispanic	34	94.4	52.9	55.6	43.9	52.6	50.9	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	40.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	100.0	80.0	*	82.9	80.0	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	46.2	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	41.7	64.4	*	**	**
Female	178	93.7	78.1	76.4	64.8	77.0		
Male	216	97.3	58.3	57.7	51.3	58.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21	80.8	28.6	31.9	40.0	24.3	33.8	Met Target†
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	373	96.6	69.4	68.7	67.9	69.4		
Students with Disabilities	81	93.1	18.5	27.3	22.7	18.1	23.1	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	313	96.3	79.9	75.9	65.1	79.9		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	29.3	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	60.6	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	27.6	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	57.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	115	758	758	754	*	*	30%	51%	11%	63%	56%
White	97	760	760	762	0%	*	29%	*	*	66%	65%
Hispanic	11	744	744	743	0%	*	*	*	*	45%	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	760	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Female	54	764	764	762	*	*	28%	*	*	69%	64%
Male	61	753	753	748	*	*	33%	*	*	57%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	26	730	730	722	*	*	54%	*	*	15%	19%
Students without Disabilities	89	766	766	761	*	*	24%	*	*	76%	64%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	115	758	758	756	*	*	30%	51%	11%	63%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	25%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	144	769	769	761	*	*	15%	32%	40%	72%	63%
White	117	771	771	769	*	*	15%	32%	40%	72%	72%
Hispanic	16	764	764	747	*	0%	*	*	*	75%	50%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	790	*	*	*	*	*	*	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	768	N	N	N	N	N	N	68%
Female	66	782	782	769	*	*	*	33%	48%	82%	71%
Male	78	759	759	753	*	*	*	31%	32%	63%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	771	*	*	*	*	*	*	73%
Students with Disabilities	27	722	722	720	*	*	*	*	*	19%	22%
Students without Disabilities	117	780	780	769	*	*	*	*	*	84%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	34%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	135	761	761	762	*	*	18%	47%	19%	67%	63%
White	119	764	764	770	*	*	15%	51%	20%	71%	72%
Hispanic	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	794	*	*	*	*	*	*	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	69%
Female	58	779	779	771	0%	*	*	*	*	83%	71%
Male	77	748	748	753	16%	*	*	*	*	55%	55%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	772	*	*	*	*	*	*	72%
Students with Disabilities	28	725	725	721	*	*	*	*	*	21%	22%
Students without Disabilities	107	771	771	770	*	*	*	*	*	79%	71%
English Learners	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	392	95.1	58.7	63.6	44.5	58.7	58.2	Met Target
White	331	95.1	60.7	65.3	54.1	60.7	60.7	Met Target
Hispanic	34	94.4	50.0	55.6	28.8	49.7	36.8	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	20.0	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	10	100.0	80.0	*	76.5	80.0	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	38.5	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	50.0	53.3	*	**	**
Female	177	93.2	63.3	65.2	44.9	62.1		
Male	215	96.8	54.9	62.2	44.2	54.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	21	80.8	*	23.4	26.3	*	33.8	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	371	96.1	*	66.2	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	80	92.0	17.5	25.5	17.4	16.9	23.1	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	312	96.0	69.2	72.8	50.0	69.2		
English Learners	*	*	*	*	25.0	*	**	**
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*	46.5	*		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	17.1	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	46.4	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	113	758	758	741	*	*	22%	51%	14%	65%	41%
White	95	761	761	749	*	*	21%	53%	16%	68%	51%
Hispanic	11	748	748	729	0%	*	*	*	*	55%	24%
Black or African American	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	769	*	*	*	*	*	*	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Female	53	758	758	742	*	*	26%	*	*	64%	42%
Male	60	758	758	740	*	*	18%	*	*	67%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	25	728	728	716	*	*	*	*	*	16%	12%
Students without Disabilities	88	766	766	746	*	*	*	*	*	80%	46%
English Learners	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	113	758	758	743	*	*	22%	51%	14%	65%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	12%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	140	757	757	744	*	*	24%	50%	14%	64%	42%
White	116	759	759	751	*	*	21%	53%	15%	68%	53%
Hispanic	14	747	747	733	*	0%	*	*	*	43%	26%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	768	*	*	*	*	*	*	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	742	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	65	761	761	744	*	*	22%	*	*	72%	42%
Male	75	754	754	743	*	*	27%	*	*	57%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Students with Disabilities	27	722	722	718	*	*	*	*	*	15%	13%
Students without Disabilities	113	766	766	749	*	*	*	*	*	76%	48%
English Learners	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	746	N	N	N	N	N	N	44%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	84	733	733	728	15%	20%	39%	25%	0%	25%	29%
White	71	735	735	737	*	*	41%	25%	0%	25%	38%
Hispanic	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	714	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Female	33	740	740	731	*	*	48%	30%	0%	30%	31%
Male	51	728	728	726	*	*	33%	22%	0%	22%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Students with Disabilities	28	721	721	707	*	*	*	*	*	21%	10%
Students without Disabilities	56	739	739	734	*	*	*	*	*	27%	35%
English Learners	*	*	*	706	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	15%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	701	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	49	767	767	744	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	42%
White	46	766	766	752	0%	*	*	78%	0%	78%	53%
Hispanic	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Black or African American	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	775	N	N	N	N	N	N	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	51%
Female	24	764	764	745	0%	*	*	*	*	79%	44%
Male	25	770	770	743	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	41%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	49	767	767	752	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	52%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Students without Disabilities	49	767	767	748	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	47%
English Learners	N	N	N	710	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	49	767	767	745	0%	*	*	*	*	80%	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	43%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	707	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, it does not exclude students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Note: 2018-19 results do not include students in grade 11, therefore results may not be comparable to prior years when students in grade 11 were included.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
White	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Hispanic	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Black or African American	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	14%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	736	N	N	N	N	N	N	37%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Female	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Male	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Students with Disabilities	N	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	739	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	19%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
6	N	N
7	N	N
8	N	N

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	N	N	N



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8 Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.



NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade 8

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
Schoolwide	17	59	16	7
White	15	62	15	8
Hispanic	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*
Female	7	71	21	2
Male	25	51	13	12
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	39	54	7	0
Students without Disabilities	11	61	19	9
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	N
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards can be found on the NJDOE website.

Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
6	0	0	119
7	3	1	147
8	47	5	93
Total	50	6	359

World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
6	94	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	124	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	118	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	336	0	0	0	0	0	0



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:


- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table


Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.




Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes





Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	34	8.2	9.1	Met
White	24	6.9	9.1	Met
Hispanic	3	8.3	9.1	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	0	0	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	16	8.4		
Male	18	8.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	8	27.6	9.1	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	15	16.9	9.1	Not Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the NJDOE School Performance webpage. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	2
Substances	2
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	13
Total Unique Incidents	17
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	4.10

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	1	1
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	1	0	1
Gender	0	1	1
Sexual Orientation	2	2	4
Disability	0	2	2
Other	9	10	19
No Identified Nature	8		8

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	2

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	
In-School Suspensions	41	9.9%	
Out-of-School Suspensions	18	4.3%	
Any Suspension	50	12.0%	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	7:50 AM
Typical End Time	2:20 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 30 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 39 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 39 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	4.2:1



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field is also available by school and district.

Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State	Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State	Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Total Number of teachers	39	118,214	Total Number of administrators	Total Number of administrators 9 9,530		Students to Teachers	11:1	11:1
Average years experience in public schools	13.2	12.1	Average years experience in public schools	18.4	16.0	Students to Administrators	104:1	129:1
Average years experience in district	9.9	10.8	Average years experience in district	6.8	12.0	Teachers to Administrators	10:1	12:1
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or nore years experience in the	76.9%	.9% 75.3%	· · ·	55.6%	76.9%	Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		386:1
district			district	district		Students to Nurses		289:1
						Students to Counselors		386:1
						Students to Child Study Team Members		289:1



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	45.8%	79.5%	25.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	54.2%	20.5%	75.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	84.8%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree

Teacher

Admin	0%

0%

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	84.0%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	96.8%



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage includes a <a href="List of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted support and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on exit criteria for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
ELA Proficiency	63.6%	70.3%	67.3%	
Math Proficiency	58.1%	57.8%	58.7%	
ELA Growth	58	56	42	
Math Growth	61	53	56	
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N	
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*	
Chronic Absenteeism	6.6%	6.5%	8.2%	

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	**	Met	No
White	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	Met Target	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Highlights:	 Offering students standards based challenging courses including Algebra, Geometry, and Language Arts. Ryerson Middle School is a Teachers College Readers and Writers Project (TCRWP) school. Ryerson's band and chorus continue to impress their audiences and impress at regional competitions.
Mission, Vision, Theme:	The Martin J Ryerson Middle School is dedicated to the goal of preparing the students of Ringwood to meet academic requirements in a timely and thorough manner, be prepared for a high quality secondary education, assume a worthwhile position in the workplace of the twenty-first century, and become thoughtful and contributing citizens of our society.



Demographic

Student Growth Academic Achievement College and Career Readiness

Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Martin J. Ryerson School


(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.





Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Staff and Professional Learning:

All Language Arts teachers have attended institutes at the Teachers College Reading and Writing Project. This along with on site training and routine programs at the TCRWP has Ryerson teachers employing the most current strategies in balanced literacy instruction.



Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

	Ryerson Middle School offers students and parents the following Multi-Tiered Support Services; Intervention and Referral Services (IR&S), 504, School Counseling through our school's Counseling Department, Child Study Team, and a full-time reading specialist.
Student Supports and Services:	
Student Health and Wellness:	Student health and wellness is supported through the District's Wellness Committee and through the food service provider's, Pomptonian, Student Food Service Advisory Council. Additional support is provided through Health classes and the school's nurse.
Parent and Community Involvement:	Our school's Parent Teacher Organization and the Ringwood Education Foundation continues to support our students, teachers and schools through grant offerings. Through our District's Special Services Department the Special Education Parent Advisory Group continues to collaborate with the District to help enhance our special eduction process and offerings.



Martin J. Ryerson School

(31-4400-053) Grades Offered: 06-08 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Facilities:

The Ryerson Middle School boarders Ringwood State Park lands in Passaic County. The school sits on fifteen acres of land and houses grades 6th, 7th and 8th, with an enrollment of approximately 435 students. The building contains two full computer labs, band room, art, gym, an auditorium, and 36 academic classrooms.





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- · Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

- Report Key:

 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Passaic
District	Ringwood School District
Principal Name	Mr. Timothy Johnson
Address	54 ROGER COURT RINGWOOD, NJ 07456
Phone Number	973-835-5844
Email Address	johnsont@njrps.org
Website	http://www.njrps.org
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/RingwoodPublicSchools
Twitter	https://twitter.com/ringwoodschools



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK	24	17	19
KG	65	55	60
1	60	70	51
2	71	58	68
3	54	69	57
Total	274	269	255

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	42.7%	44.2%	42.4%
Male	57.3%	55.8%	57.6%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	2.9%	2.6%	5.1%
Students with Disabilities	21.5%	20.8%	23.9%
English Learners	0.7%	1.5%	0.8%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	87.2%	85.1%	82.7%
Hispanic	7.3%	7.4%	11.4%
Black or African American	0.7%	1.9%	1.2%
Asian	0.4%	0.7%	1.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Two or More Races	3.6%	4.1%	2.7%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
PK - Half Day	1	1	2
PK - Full Day	23	16	17
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	65	55	60

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	95.3%
Spanish	2.0%
Polish	1.6%
Other Languages	1.2%




(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	96.3%	94.3%	100.0%	96.3%	94.3%	100.0%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	73.9%	64.6%	81.4%	84.8%	64.6%	86.4%
Annual Target	77.0%	77.1%	77.3%	77.0%	77.1%	77.3%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Goal	Met Goal	Not Met	Met Goal
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	59	100.0	81.4	66.4	57.9	81.4	77.3	Met Goal
White	50	100.0	80.0	68.3	66.9	80.0	79.3	Met Goal
Hispanic	*	*	*	55.6	43.9	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	40.0	38.5	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	82.9	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	46.2	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	41.7	64.4	*	**	**
Female	28	100.0	92.9	76.4	64.8	92.9		
Male	31	100.0	71.0	57.7	51.3	71.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	31.9	40.0	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	68.7	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	13	100.0	38.5	27.3	22.7	38.5	**	**
Students without Disabilities	46	100.0	93.5	75.9	65.1	93.5		
English Learners	N	N	N	*	29.3	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	59	100.0	81.4	*	60.6	81.4		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-055)Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	775	766	748	*	*	*	63%	18%	80%	50%
White	49	773	765	757	*	*	*	*	*	80%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	773	N	N	N	N	N	N	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	27	783	774	753	*	*	*	*	*	93%	55%
Male	29	767	758	743	*	*	*	*	*	69%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	10	727	727	719	*	*	*	*	*	20%	24%
Students without Disabilities	46	785	774	754	*	*	*	*	*	93%	56%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	56	775	766	751	*	*	*	63%	18%	80%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	59	100.0	86.4	63.6	44.5	86.4	77.3	Met Goal
White	50	100.0	84.0	65.3	54.1	84.0	77.5	Met Goal
Hispanic	*	*	*	55.6	28.8	*	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	*	20.0	23.0	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	76.5	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	38.5	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	50.0	53.3	*	**	**
Female	28	100.0	100.0	65.2	44.9	100.0		
Male	31	100.0	74.2	62.2	44.2	74.2		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	23.4	26.3	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	66.2	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	13	100.0	46.2	25.5	17.4	46.2	**	**
Students without Disabilities	46	100.0	97.8	72.8	50.0	97.8		
English Learners	N	N	N	*	25.0	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	59	100.0	86.4	*	46.5	86.4		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	56	773	767	752	0%	*	*	59%	27%	86%	55%
White	49	771	767	760	0%	*	*	59%	24%	84%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	778	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Female	27	776	768	751	0%	*	*	*	*	100%	54%
Male	29	770	766	752	0%	*	*	*	*	72%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	10	745	742	731	0%	*	*	*	*	30%	31%
Students without Disabilities	46	779	773	756	0%	*	*	*	*	98%	60%
English Learners	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Non-English Learners	56	773	767	754	0%	*	*	59%	27%	86%	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	*	*	*

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	*	*	*
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

3

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

00%	-
80%	-
60%	LEVEL
40%	LEVEL LEVEL
20%	-
0%	_

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group %	Level 1 % Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
-----------------	-------------------	-----------	-----------



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	4	1.7	9.6	Met
White	4	2.0	9.6	Met
Hispanic	0	0	9.6	Met
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Female	1	1.0		
Male	3	2.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	0	0	**	**
Students with Disabilities	0	0	9.6	Met
English Learners	*	*	**	**
Homeless Students	N	N		
Students in Foster Care	N	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.



Achievem

NJ SCHOOL

PERFORMANCE

REPORT

Peter Cooper School (31-4400-055)

Grades Offered: PK-03

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the NJDOE School Performance webpage. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Total Unique Incidents	N
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	N

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	N	N	N
Religion	N	N	N
Ancestry	N	N	N
Gender	N	N	N
Sexual Orientation	N	N	N
Disability	N	N	N
Other	N	N	N
No Identified Nature	N		N

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	N
Weapons	N
Vandalism	N
Substances	N
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	N
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	N

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	N	N
Out-of-School Suspensions	N	N
Any Suspension	N	N
Removal to other education program	N	N
Expulsion	N	N
Arrest	N	N

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	8:45 AM		
Typical End Time	2:50 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 5 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 20 Mins		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 20 Mins.		

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



(31-4400-055)Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field is also available by school and district.

Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	27	118,214
Average years experience in public schools	10.7	12.1
Average years experience in district	7.4	10.8
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	55.6%	75.3%

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	9	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	18.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	6.8	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	55.6%	76.9%

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	128:1	129:1
Teachers to Administrators	14:1	12:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		386:1
Students to Nurses		289:1
Students to Counselors		386:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		289:1



Climate and Environment



Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055)Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	42.4%	100.0%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	57.6%	0.0%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	82.7%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	11.4%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree

reacher	0 70
Admin	0%

00/

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	84.0%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present		
2018-19	96.4%		



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the User Friendly Budget and the CAFR) and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.



(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage includes a <a href="List of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted support and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on exit criteria for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	N
Category of Identification	N
Year Eligible to Exit Status	N
Student Group Status: White	N
Student Group Status: Hispanic	N
Student Group Status: Black or African American	N
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	N
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	N
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	N
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	N
Student Group Status: English Learners	N

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



(31-4400-055)Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	73.9%	64.6%	81.4%
Math Proficiency	84.8%	64.6%	86.4%
ELA Growth	N	N	N
Math Growth	N	N	N
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		*	*
Chronic Absenteeism	3.3%	1.2%	1.7%

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Met Goal	Met Goal	**	**	**	Met	No
White	Met Goal	Met Goal	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Highlights:	 Teachers are trained in the Orton-Gillingham literacy program. Additionally, a workshop model has been deployed in a school-wide effort towards balanced literacy. Since 2018-2019 academic year, a writer's workshop instructional model was employed school-wide. This year, 2019-2020, reader's workshop has included the addition of classroom libraries.
Mission, Vision, Theme:	The Ringwood Board of Education is dedicated to creating life-long learners by providing students with the educational programs, life experiences, and appropriate facilities necessary for success in the world of today and the world of tomorrow.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



The Peter Cooper Elementary School is first and foremost a community dedicated to the development of the whole child. Who our students are becoming, as people, is just as important to us as what and how they are learning. Our curriculum and academic programs are designed to attend to the academic, social, emotional and physical needs of our students. The Cooper School is resolute in its commitment to creating an atmosphere built on scholarship and character.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School

(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Staff and Professional Learning:

Our faculty and staff participate in professional development opportunities that are designed to improve student achievement and teacher instruction. Collaborative opportunities and professional learning communities focus on addressing student needs.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School


(31-4400-055) Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Peter Cooper School


(31-4400-055)Grades Offered: PK-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.







Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- · Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports

Notes from the New Jersey Department of Education:					





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

- Report Key:

 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Contact Information

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Passaic
District	Ringwood School District
Principal Name	Mr. Gregg Festa
Address	88 ERSKINE ROAD RINGWOOD, NJ 07456-2150
Phone Number	973-962-7026
Email Address	festag@njrps.org
Website	http://www.njrps.org
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/RingwoodPublicSchools
Twitter	http://www.twitter.com/ErskineSchool



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
KG	57	49	49
1	64	46	50
2	57	63	53
3	79	59	67
Total	257	217	219

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years.

Student Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Female	47.1%	47.9%	51.1%
Male	52.9%	52.1%	48.9%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	12.1%	13.4%	12.3%
Students with Disabilities	21.0%	23.0%	23.7%
English Learners	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
White	86.4%	85.7%	86.3%
Hispanic	6.6%	7.4%	5.9%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
Asian	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3.5%	2.8%	1.8%
Two or More Races	2.3%	3.7%	4.6%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
KG - Half Day	0	0	0	
KG - Full Day	57	49	49	

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students		
English	100.0%		



Robert Erskine School


(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the statewide assessment (NJSLA for 2018-19 and PARCC for 2016-17 and 2017-18) and the DLM alternate assessment. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. NJSLA/PARCC results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, annual targets and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.





Performance Measure	2016-17 ELA	2017-18 ELA	2018-19 ELA	2016-17 Math	2017-18 Math	2018-19 Math
Participation Rate	97.5%	93.7%	100.0%	97.5%	93.7%	100.0%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	66.7%	54.3%	63.5%	70.7%	50.9%	66.7%
Annual Target	74.8%	75.1%	75.3%	61.8%	62.7%	63.7%
Met Annual Target?	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	Met Target
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	54.9%	56.7%	57.9%	43.5%	45.0%	44.5%

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English Language Arts both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	63	100.0	63.5	66.4	57.9	63.5	75.3	Not Met
White	59	100.0	66.1	68.3	66.9	66.1	77.4	Not Met
Hispanic	*	*	*	55.6	43.9	*	**	**
Black or African American	N	N	N	40.0	38.5	N	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	82.9	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	46.2	56.0	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	41.7	64.4	N	**	**
Female	31	100.0	71.0	76.4	64.8	71.0		
Male	32	100.0	56.3	57.7	51.3	56.3		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	31.9	40.0	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	68.7	67.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	12	100.0	25.0	27.3	22.7	25.0	**	**
Students without Disabilities	51	100.0	72.5	75.9	65.1	72.5		
English Learners	N	N	N	*	29.3	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	63	100.0	63.5	*	60.6	63.5		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	29.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	27.6	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	57.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.4	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School


(31-4400-060)Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the statewide assessment for English Language Arts (ELA) for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.





(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the English Language Arts (ELA) section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	63	758	766	748	*	16%	17%	*	*	63%	50%
White	59	759	765	757	*	*	17%	*	*	66%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Black or African American	N	N	*	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	773	N	N	N	N	N	N	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	31	765	774	753	*	*	*	*	*	71%	55%
Male	32	750	758	743	*	*	*	*	*	56%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	33%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Students with Disabilities	12	728	727	719	*	*	*	*	*	25%	24%
Students without Disabilities	51	765	774	754	*	*	*	*	*	73%	56%
English Learners	N	N	N	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Non-English Learners	63	758	766	751	*	16%	17%	*	*	63%	54%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	727	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for Mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM assessments. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 10. Students that were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. A student is considered to have met or exceeded expectations if he/she scores at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA assessment or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.

This table shows both the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long term goal of 80% proficiency by 2030. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see our accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2018-19 Annual Target	Met 2018-19 Annual Target
Schoolwide	63	100.0	66.7	63.6	44.5	66.7	63.7	Met Target
White	59	100.0	67.8	65.3	54.1	67.8	68.7	Met Target†
Hispanic	*	*	*	55.6	28.8	*	**	**
Black or African American	N	N	N	20.0	23.0	N	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	*	76.5	N	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	38.5	42.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	N	N	N	50.0	53.3	N	**	**
Female	31	100.0	67.7	65.2	44.9	67.7		
Male	32	100.0	65.6	62.2	44.2	65.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	23.4	26.3	*	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	66.2	54.9	*		
Students with Disabilities	12	100.0	41.7	25.5	17.4	41.7	**	**
Students without Disabilities	51	100.0	72.5	72.8	50.0	72.5		
English Learners	N	N	N	*	25.0	N	**	**
Non-English Learners	63	100.0	66.7	*	46.5	66.7		
Homeless Students	N	N	N	N	17.1	N		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	17.1	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	*	46.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.3	N		

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval.




(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end-of-course exam on the statewide assessment for Mathematics for the past three years. 2018-19 data is from the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and 2016-17 and 2017-18 data is from the PARCC assessment.



^{† 2018-19} results for Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II do not include students in grade 11, but 2016-17 and 2017-18 results do include students in grade 11. Therefore, trend data for these assessments may not be comparable.



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows performance on the Mathematics section of the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA). The performance results in this table include all students that took the NJSLA assessment, which includes students that were enrolled less than half a year.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	63	762	767	752	*	*	19%	*	*	67%	55%
White	59	764	767	760	0%	*	20%	*	*	68%	66%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Black or African American	N	N	*	735	N	N	N	N	N	N	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N	N	N	778	N	N	N	N	N	N	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	62%
Female	31	761	768	751	*	*	*	*	*	68%	54%
Male	32	763	766	752	*	*	*	*	*	66%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	67%
Students with Disabilities	12	739	742	731	*	*	*	*	*	42%	31%
Students without Disabilities	51	767	773	756	*	*	*	*	*	73%	60%
English Learners	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Non-English Learners	63	762	767	754	*	*	19%	*	*	67%	58%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	724	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	754	N	N	N	N	N	N	56%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's expected growth is based on the student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2018-19 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	N	N	N

[†] Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	N	N	N
3-4	N	N	N
5 or more	N	N	N





Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the NJSLA-Science website for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment, visit the assessment reports page for DLM results.

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade Summary

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

00%	_
80%	_
60%	LEVEL 4
40%	LEVEL 3 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 1
20%	_
0%	_

NJSLA Science Assessment: Grade

This table shows how students performed this year on the NJSLA Science assessment, both overall and by student group. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are considered proficient.

Student Group % Level 1 % Level 2 % Level 3 % Level	Student Group	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3	% Level 4
---	---------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of Students Chronically Absent	Percent of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average?
Schoolwide	15	6.8	9.6	Met
White	6	3.2	9.6	Met
Hispanic	2	15.4	**	**
Black or African American	*	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	*	*	**	**
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	2	18.2	**	**
Female	9	8.1		
Male	6	5.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	8	30.8	9.6	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	5	9.6	9.6	Met
English Learners	N	N	N	N
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	N	N		

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019


Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.



NJ SCHOOL

REPORT

PERFORMANCE

Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03

2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), starting in 2017-18, which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. Comparing current data to data from 2016-17 and earlier could lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. In addition to the 2018-19 data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available CRDC data, which can be found on the NJDOE School Performance webpage. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents		
Violence	0		
Weapons	0		
Vandalism	0		
Substances	0		
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0		
Total Unique Incidents	0		
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.00		

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	0	1
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	0		0

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	0	0.0%
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability |

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:45 AM
Typical End Time	2:50 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 5 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 20 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs. 20 Mins.

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3 through 10 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3 through 10. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2018-19	1:1



(31-4400-060)Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of teachers assigned to this school and across the state. No teachers in New Jersey are teaching under emergency or provisional credentials as defined the United States Department of Education. Information on the percentage of teachers identified as potentially teaching out-of-field is also available by school and district.

Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	9	9,530
Average years experience in public schools	18.4	16.0
Average years experience in district	6.8	12.0
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	55.6%	76.9%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State	Category
Total Number of teachers	24	118,214	Total Number of administrators
Average years experience in public schools	11.8	12.1	Average years experience in public schools
Average years experience in district	9.2	10.8	Average years experience in district
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	75.0%	75.3%	Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	11:1
Students to Administrators	219:1	129:1
Teachers to Administrators	24:1	12:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		386:1
Students to Nurses		289:1
Students to Counselors		386:1
Students to Child Study Team Members		289:1



Climate and Environment



Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060)Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	51.1%	95.8%	0.0%	48.4%	77.1%	54.9%
Male	48.9%	4.2%	100.0%	51.6%	22.9%	45.1%
White	86.3%	100.0%	100.0%	42.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Hispanic	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	29.9%	7.3%	7.2%
Black or African American	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	6.6%	13.9%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	2.0%	1.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.2%



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree



Doctoral Degree

reacher	070
Admin	0%

00/

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2017-18 that were still assigned to this district in 2018-19. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2017-18 Teachers: Same district 2018-19	84.0%	90.5%
2017-18 Administrators: Same district 2018-19	75.0%	87.9%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2018-19	97.0%



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source

The link below produces a table summarizing the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts shown include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities.

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the User Friendly Budget and the CAFR) and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs).

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

Link to District Summary of 2018-19 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source.

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.

NJ SCHOOL

REPORT

PERFORMANCE

Robert Erskine School (31-4400-060)

Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

ESSA Accountability Status

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2020-21 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the NJDOE ESSA Accountability webpage includes a <a href="List of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted support and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on exit criteria for identified schools.

Status for 2020-21 School Year	N
Category of Identification	N
Year Eligible to Exit Status	N
Student Group Status: White	N
Student Group Status: Hispanic	N
Student Group Status: Black or African American	N
Student Group Status: Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	N
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	N
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	N
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	N
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	N
Student Group Status: English Learners	N

[†] This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Targeted Support and Improvement.



2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

ESSA Accountability Progress

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years. ELA and Math Proficiency represent the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA/PARCC or DLM). ELA and Math Growth represent the median student growth percentile. Four- and five-year graduation rates represent the adjusted cohort graduation rates. Progress toward English Language Proficiency, which was first available in 2017-18, shows the percentage of English Learners that demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism represents the percentage of students that were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

ESSA Acountability Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
ELA Proficiency	66.7%	54.3%	63.5%
Math Proficiency	70.7%	50.9%	66.7%
ELA Growth	N	N	N
Math Growth	N	N	N
4-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
5-Year Graduation Rate†	N	N	N
Progress toward English Language Proficiency		N	N
Chronic Absenteeism	6.2%	5.0%	6.8%

[†] This table shows 2018-19 graduation data for reference, but 2017-18 graduation data is used for accountability calculations for 2018-19.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Every three years (last identification in 2019, next identification in 2022), NJDOE will identify schools in the following three federal categories:

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Overall Low Performing: Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement - Low Graduation Rate: High schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less

Targeted Support and Improvement - Low Performing Student Group: Schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

Targeted Support and Improvement - Consistently Underperforming Student Group: Schools with one or more students group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2018-19 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. During the three-year federal status period, schools receive additional resources and support. NJDOE will next identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement in 2022.

This year accountability indicator scores and summative ratings are not used to identify schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, so these data are not included in the School Performance Reports. Review the previous two pages to see a school's current status, in the ESSA Accountability Status table, and to monitor a school's school progress on each accountability indicator over the last three years using the new ESSA Accountability Progress table.



(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2018-19 School Year

This table shows whether student groups in this school met annual ESSA accountability targets and standards for each indicator. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16 or the first year data was available. The standard for student growth is a median student growth percentile of 40-59.5. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide chronic absenteeism rate based on students enrolled in the grades served by the school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

The accountability system will not be used to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement – Low Performing Student Group for 2018-19, so student group summative scores are not included in this table this year.

Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	Not Met	Met Target	**	**	N	Met	No
White	Not Met	Met Target†	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Black or African American	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	**	**	**	**	No

[†] Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Highlights:	 Teachers are trained in the Orton-Gillingham literacy program. Additionally, a workshop model has been deployed in a school-wide effort towards balanced literacy. Since 2018-2019 academic year, a writer's workshop instructional model was employed school-wide. This year, 2019-2020, reader's workshop has included the addition of classroom libraries.
Mission, Vision, Theme:	Robert Erskine Elementary School's mission is to develop the foundational social, emotional and academic skills our students need to be successful as they progress through their education and enter a career of their choice.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Our curriculum and instruction is driven by the New Jersey Student Learning Standards and the New Generation Science Standards and their expected learning outcomes. We continually assess our students learning progress and differentiate instruction to meet individual student needs.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



Staff and Professional Learning:

Our teachers engage in peer-to-peer professional development while also attending district and regional conferences related to their professional learning needs. In addition, our teachers are currently engaged in intensive training in the Writer's Workshop Instructional Model as well as the Orton-Gillingham literacy program.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060) Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

Stu	dent Supports and Services:	Our school offers our students several support programs to meet their individual needs including: ESL instructional program, child study team, intervention and referral services, a school counselor, and 504 plans.
Lan.	Parent and Community Involvement:	Parents and community members engage in our school and district by participating in a strong PTO, the Ringwood Education Association, the school climate and safety team and the district special education parent advisory group. In addition, parents and community members are also invited to attend several informational workshops throughout the year including topics such as PARCC and gifted and talented education.



Climate and Environment

Staff

Per-Pupil Expenditures

Our school building is approximately 50 years old and houses 16 instructional spaces, a library, art/music room, technology lab,

Accountability

Narrative



Robert Erskine School

(31-4400-060)Grades Offered: KG-03 2018-2019

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.

all purpose room and seven offices. All instructional spaces are air conditioned.



Facilities: