- 5. A statement of the issue(s);
- 6. A factual discussion;
- 7. Factual findings;
- 8. A legal discussion;
- 9. Conclusions of law;
- 10. A disposition;
- 11. A list of exhibits admitted into evidence; and
- 12. The following statement: "This recommended decision may be adopted, modified or rejected by (the head of the agency), who by law is empowered to make a final decision in this matter. However, if (the head of the agency) does not so act in 45 days and unless such time limit is otherwise extended, this recommended decision shall become a final decision in accordance with N.J.S.A. 52:14B–10."

#### Case Notes

Evidence that failed to particularize foundation failed to support decision that sergeant was totally and permanently disabled. Crain v. State Dept. of the Treasury, Div. of Pensions, 245 N.J.Super. 229, 584 A.2d 863 (A.D.1991).

Administrative law judge delayed petitioner's application to the DEP for approval of construction of a mobile home park. Petitioner may meet with DEP to formulate method of testing for nitrates acceptable to both parties within 30 days of order. Normally, once an initial decision is rendered, it is returned in its entirety to the agency for final disposition. The OAL would retain sufficient jurisdiction, with the permission of the agency, to resolve disputes arising out of the development and implementation of the testing program (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.3 and 4). Andover Mobile Home Park v. DEP, 4 N.J.A.R. 420 (1981).

# 1:1-18.4 Exceptions; replies

- (a) Within 13 days from the date the judge's initial decision was mailed to the parties, any party may file written exceptions with the agency head. A copy of the exceptions shall be served on all other parties and the judge. Exceptions to orders issued under N.J.A.C. 1:1–3.2(c)4 shall be filed with the Director of the Office of Administrative Law.
  - (b) The exceptions shall:
  - 1. Specify the findings of fact, conclusions of law or dispositions to which exception is taken;
  - 2. Set out specific findings of fact, conclusions of law or dispositions proposed in lieu of or in addition to those reached by the judge;
  - 3. Set forth supporting reasons. Exceptions to factual findings shall describe the witnesses' testimony or documentary or other evidence relied upon. Exceptions to conclusions of law shall set forth the authorities relied upon.
- (c) Evidence not presented at the hearing shall not be submitted as part of an exception, nor shall it be incorporated or referred to within exceptions.

- (d) Within five days from receipt of exceptions, any party may file a reply with the agency head, serving a copy thereof on all other parties and the judge. Such replies may include cross-exceptions or submissions in support of the initial decision.
- (e) In all settlements, exceptions and cross-exceptions shall not be filed, unless permitted by the judge or agency head.

Amended by R.1987 d.462, effective November 16, 1987.

See: 19 N.J.R. 1592(a), 19 N.J.R. 2131(b).

(a) substantially amended.

Amended by R.1990 d.483, effective September 17, 1990.

See: 22 N.J.R. 2067(a), 22 N.J.R. 3003(b).

Change at (a) from ten to thirteen days. Amended by R.1991 d.44, effective February 4, 1991.

See: 22 N.J.R. 3278(b), 23 N.J.R. 293(a).

In (a) and (d): deleted filing of documents with the Clerk and added text indicating which documents shall be filed with the judge.

#### Case Notes

State Interscholastic Athletic Association regulation excluding males from female athletic teams did not violate federal equal protection, State Constitution, or statute prohibiting sex discrimination in education. B.C. v. Cumberland Regional School District, 220 N.J.Super. 214, 531 A.2d 1059 (App.Div.1987).

Within 10 days from the receipt of the judge's initial decision, any party may file written exceptions with the agency head and with the clerk (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.4). De Vitis v. New Jersey Racing Commission, 202 N.J.Super. 484, 495 A.2d 457 (App.Div.1985), certification denied 102 N.J. 337, 508 A.2d 213 (1985).

Error in failing to serve jockey in administrative proceeding was harmless. Moiseyev v. New Jersey Racing Com'n, 239 N.J.Super. 1, 570 A.2d 988 (A.D.1989).

# 1:1-18.5 Motions to reconsider and reopen

- (a) Motions to reconsider an initial decision are not permitted.
- (b) Motions to reopen a hearing after an initial decision has been filed must be addressed to the agency head.
- (c) Motions to reopen the record before an initial decision is filed must be addressed to the judge and may be granted only for extraordinary circumstances.

### Case Notes

Commissioner's adoption of the administrative law judge's recommended decision had the effect of denying the request to reopen the record (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.4(e)). Dep't. of Labor v. Titan Construction Co., 102 N.J. 1, 504 A.2d 7 (1985).

### 1:1-18.6 Final decision; stay of implementation

(a) Within 45 days after the receipt of the initial decision, or sooner if an earlier time frame is mandated by Federal or State law, the agency head may enter an order or a final decision adopting, rejecting or modifying the initial decision. Such an order or final decision shall be served upon the parties and the Clerk forthwith.

- (b) The agency head may reject or modify conclusions of law, interpretations of agency policy, or findings of fact not relating to issues of credibility of lay witness testimony, but shall clearly state the reasons for so doing. The order or final decision rejecting or modifying the initial decision shall state in clear and sufficient detail the nature of the rejection or modification, the reasons for it, the specific evidence at hearing and interpretation of law upon which it is based and precise changes in result or disposition caused by the rejection or modification.
- (c) The agency head may not reject or modify any finding of fact as to issues of credibility of lay witness testimony unless it first determines from a review of a record that the findings are arbitrary, capricious or unreasonable, or are not supported by sufficient, competent, and credible evidence in the record.
- (d) An order or final decision rejecting or modifying the findings of fact in an initial decision shall be based upon substantial evidence in the record and shall state with particularity the reasons for rejecting the findings and shall make new or modified findings supported by sufficient, competent and credible evidence in the record.
- (e) If an agency head does not reject or modify the initial decision within 45 days and unless the period is extended as provided by N.J.A.C. 1:1–18.8, the initial decision shall become a final decision.
- (f) When a stay of the final decision is requested, the agency shall respond to the request within 10 days.

Amended by R.2001 d.180, effective June 4, 2001 (operative July 1, 2001)

See: 33 N.J.R. 1040(a), 33 N.J.R. 1926(a).

Rewrote (b); added new (c) and (d), and recodified existing (c) and (d) as (e) and (f).

### Case Notes

Refusal to grant nursing home an open-ended lease pass-through was protected by qualified immunity. Stratford Nursing and Convalescent Center, Inc. v. Kilstein, D.N.J.1991, 802 F.Supp. 1158, affirmed 972 F.2d 1332.

Exercise of quasi-judicial function in application of state appellate court decision to specific years encompassed therein; judicial immunity from civil rights liability. Stratford Nursing and Convalescent Center, Inc. v. Kilstein, D.N.J.1991, 802 F.Supp. 1158, affirmed 972 F.2d 1332.

Commissioner has 45 days to affirm, modify or reverse an administrative law judge's decision (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.5(a)). Wichert v. Walter, 606 F.Supp. 1516 (D.N.J.1985).

Three month delay in providing findings and legal conclusions for decision itself untimely; equitable factor against reconsideration of administrative law judge's (ALJ) decision. Mastro v. Board of Trustees, Public Employees' Retirement System, 266 N.J.Super. 445, 630 A.2d 289 (A.D.1993).

Inherent power to reconsider decision. Mastro v. Board of Trustees, Public Employees' Retirement System, 266 N.J.Super. 445, 630 A.2d 289 (A.D.1993).

Initial decision of administrative law judge (ALJ) shall be "deemed adopted". Mastro v. Board of Trustees, Public Employees' Retirement System, 266 N.J.Super. 445, 630 A.2d 289 (A.D.1993).

Board of Trustees of Public Employee Retirement System failed to make showing justifying setting aside decision. Mastro v. Board of Trustees, Public Employees' Retirement System, 266 N.J.Super. 445, 630 A.2d 289 (A.D.1993).

Evidence that failed to particularize foundation failed to support decision that sergeant was totally and permanently disabled. Crain v. State Dept. of the Treasury, Div. of Pensions, 245 N.J.Super. 229, 584 A.2d 863 (A.D.1991).

Agency decision was not invalid for failure to include findings and conclusions within 45 day limit. DiMaria v. Board of Trustees of Public Employees' Retirement System, 225 N.J.Super. 341, 542 A.2d 498 (A.D.1988), certification denied 113 N.J. 638, 552 A.2d 164.

Civil Service Commission had no duty to review findings of administrative law judge prior to acceptance or rejection of judge's findings and recommendations (citing N.J.A.C. 4:1–5.4). In the Matter of Morrison, 216 N.J.Super. 143, 523 A.2d 238 (App.Div.1987).

Decision was affirmed despite the absence of findings in support of determination as required by N.J.A.C. 1:1–18.6 (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.5(b)). O'Toole v. Forestal, 211 N.J.Super. 394, 511 A.2d 1236 (App.Div.1986).

Within 45 days after the receipt of the initial decision, the agency head may enter an order or final decision adopting, rejecting or modifying the initial decision (former rule cited N.J.A.C. 1:16.4 and 16.5). De Vitis v. New Jersey Racing Commission, 202 N.J.Super. 484, 495 A.2d 457 (App.Div.1985), certification denied 102 N.J. 337, 508 A.2d 213 (1985).

After an initial decision by administrative law judge, the agency head may enter an order or a final decision adopting, rejecting or modifying the initial decision (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.5). Kurman v. Fairmount Realty Corp., 8 N.J.A.R. 110 (1985).

Granting of partial summary judgement is not effective until a final agency review has been rendered on an issue, either upon interlocutory review pursuant to a request by respondent or at end of the contested case (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–9.7 and 1:1–16.5). Kurman v. Fairmount Realty Corp., 8 N.J.A.R. 110 (1985).

# 1:1-18.7 Remand; procedure

- (a) An agency head may enter an order remanding a contested case to the Office of Administrative Law for further action on issues or arguments not previously raised or incompletely considered. The order of remand shall specifically state the reason and necessity for the remand and the issues or arguments to be considered. The remand order shall be attached to a N.J.A.C. 1:1–8.2 transmittal form and returned to the Clerk of the Office of Administrative Law along with the case record.
- (b) The judge shall hear the remanded matter and render an initial decision.

#### Case Notes

Administrative law judge without authority to refuse to comply with an order of remand of an agency head (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.5(c)). In Re Kallen, 92 N.J. 14, 455 A.2d 460 (1983).

Order of remand signed by assistant director; valid. O.F. v. Hudson County Welfare Agency, 92 N.J.A.R.2d (DEA) 57.

Order for remand by Director of agency rejected by administrative law judge since Department had ample opportunity to develop proofs at prior hearing; Director rejected ALJ's decision and reopened case (citing former N.J.A.C. 1:1–16.5). Cash Services, Inc., v. Dep't of Banking, 5 N.J.A.R. 103 (1981).