The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

## Cedar Hill School

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KG | 79 | 83 | 74 |
| 1 | 87 | 77 | 85 |
| 2 | 105 | 89 | 81 |
| 3 | 97 | 118 | 88 |
| 4 | 101 | 101 | 124 |
| 5 | 111 | 101 | 100 |
| Ungraded | 30 | 42 | 42 |
| Total | 610 | 611 | 594 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $46 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Male | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| English Learners | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | $\%$ of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $66.5 \%$ |
| Asian | $21.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $6.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $1.5 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.7 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | $86.7 \%$ |
| Chinese | $4.2 \%$ |
| Spanish | $1.7 \%$ |
| Tamil | $1.3 \%$ |
| Russian | $1.2 \%$ |
| Other | $4.9 \%$ |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 272 | 86.8 | 75.30 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 68.7 | 62.6 | Met Target |
| White | 185 | 82.8 | 73.00 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 63.4 | 58.8 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 17 | 85.0 | 70.50 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 63.2 | N | N |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 59 | 100.0 | 86.40 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 86.4 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 127 | 88.4 | 81.10 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 75.8 |  |  |
| Male | 145 | 85.5 | 70.30 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 62.8 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 15 | 100.0 | 40.00 | 31.80 | 36.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 257 | 86.2 | 77.40 | 82.10 | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 51 | 87.1 | 47.00 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 43.6 | 44 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Students without Disabilities | 221 | 86.8 | 81.90 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 74.5 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 83 | 763 | 769 | 749 | * | * | 24\% | 55\% | * | 65\% | 50\% |
| White | 55 | 761 | 766 | 759 | 0\% | * | 29\% | 55\% | * | 62\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 17 | 783 | 783 | 775 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 65\% | * | 88\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| Female | 38 | 762 | 777 | 754 | * | * | * | 58\% | * | 68\% | 55\% |
| Male | 45 | 763 | 763 | 745 | * | * | * | 53\% | * | 62\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 | 743 | 747 | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 67 | 768 | 774 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 70\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 709 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | 83 | 763 | 770 | 752 | * | * | 24\% | 55\% | * | 65\% | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 720 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |

## Cedar Hill School

## 2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met <br> Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 110 | 769 | 770 | 753 | * | * | 16\% | 49\% | 26\% | 76\% | 56\% |
| White | 71 | 764 | 765 | 762 | * | * | 20\% | 45\% | 23\% | 68\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 30 | 780 | * | 777 | * | * | * | 53\% | 37\% | 90\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 56 | 778 | 775 | 758 | * | * | * | 45\% | * | 82\% | 61\% |
| Male | 54 | 760 | 766 | 749 | * | * | * | 54\% | * | 69\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 25 | 752 | 753 | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 85 | 774 | 774 | 759 | * | * | * | * | * | 82\% | 62\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 711 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

## Cedar Hill School

## 2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 89 | 781 | 778 | 756 | * | 0\% | * | 65\% | 24\% | 89\% | 59\% |
| White | 65 | 777 | 772 | 763 | * | 0\% | * | 69\% | 17\% | 86\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 743 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 16 | 801 | * | 779 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | * | * | 100\% | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 40 | 789 | 782 | 761 | * | * | * | 63\% | * | 95\% | 66\% |
| Male | 49 | 774 | 774 | 750 | * | * | * | 67\% | * | 84\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 89 | 781 | 778 | 765 | * | 0\% | * | 65\% | 24\% | 89\% | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 66\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 89 | 781 | * | 757 | * | 0\% | * | 65\% | 24\% | 89\% | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

## Cedar Hill School

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Cedar Hill School

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance





 these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 <br> Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 270 | 86.0 | 77.80 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 70.1 | 64.6 | Met Target |
| White | 183 | 81.6 | 75.90 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 65 | 58.8 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 17 | 85.0 | 52.90 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 47.4 | N | N |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 59 | 100.0 | 89.90 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 89.9 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 126 | 87.2 | 78.50 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 72.4 |  |  |
| Male | 144 | 84.9 | 77.10 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 68.3 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 13 | 86.7 | 30.80 | 23.20 | 25.10 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 257 | 85.9 | 80.10 | 79.80 | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 51 | 87.1 | 49.00 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 45.4 | 44 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 219 | 85.7 | 84.40 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 75.7 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 81 | 776 | 776 | 751 | * | * | 15\% | 49\% | 30\% | 79\% | 53\% |
| White | 53 | 772 | 770 | 759 | 0\% | * | * | 51\% | 25\% | 76\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 17 | 795 | 794 | 779 | 0\% | 0\% | * | * | * | 94\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Female | 37 | 769 | 779 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 57\% | * | 76\% | 52\% |
| Male | 44 | 783 | 774 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 43\% | * | 82\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 | 769 | 761 | 729 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 56\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 65 | 778 | 780 | 755 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | 81 | 776 | 777 | 753 | * | * | 15\% | 49\% | 30\% | 79\% | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |

## Cedar Hill School

## 2016-2017

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 110 | 770 | 773 | 747 | * | * | 12\% | 59\% | 19\% | 78\% | 47\% |
| White | 71 | 763 | 765 | 755 | * | * | 14\% | 56\% | 16\% | 72\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 30 | 786 | * | 774 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 70\% | * | 97\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 56 | 774 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 61\% | * | 84\% | 47\% |
| Male | 54 | 766 | 773 | 747 | * | * | * | 57\% | * | 72\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 25 | 750 | 751 | 724 | * | * | * | 44\% | * | 56\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 85 | 776 | 777 | 751 | * | * | * | 64\% | * | 85\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 716 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 723 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |

## Cedar Hill School

## 2016-2017

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 89 | 775 | 774 | 747 | * | * | 12\% | 58\% | 26\% | 84\% | 46\% |
| White | 65 | 771 | 768 | 754 | * | * | * | 65\% | 19\% | 83\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 735 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 16 | 800 | * | 774 | * | * | * | * | 69\% | 100\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 40 | 775 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 65\% | * | 83\% | 47\% |
| Male | 49 | 775 | 776 | 746 | * | * | * | 53\% | * | 86\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 732 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 89 | 775 | 774 | 756 | * | * | 12\% | 58\% | 26\% | 84\% | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 89 | 775 | * | 748 | * | * | 12\% | 58\% | 26\% | 84\% | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## Cedar Hill School

Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends
This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Cedar Hill School

## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students <br> Tested | \% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5 | \% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | * | * |
| 2 | * | * | * |
| 3 | N | N | N |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| 5+ | N | N | N |

## Cedar Hill School

 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $69 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| White | $62 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | $79 \%$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Students with Disabilities | $57 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{*}$ | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


## Cedar Hill School

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: <br> District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 65 | 47 | 50 | Exceeds Target | 69 | 53 | 50 | Exceeds Target |
| White | 62 | 45 | 50 | Exceeds Target | 65 | 51 | 52 | Exceeds Target |
| Hispanic | * | 44 | 49 | ** | * | 46.5 | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | * | 41.5 | 45 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 81 | * | 60 | Exceeds Target | 81 | * | 59 | Exceeds Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | 55 | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | * | 53 | 47 | ** | * | 48 | 46 | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | 69 | 38.5 | 41 | Exceeds Target | 54.5 | 40 | 43 | Met Target |
| English Learners | * | 46 | 53 | ** | * | 48 | 51 | ** |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

## Cedar Hill School

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.


## Cedar Hill School

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> $2016-17$ <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 2.70 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| White | 2.60 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 4.90 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 1.60 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | 9.10 | 8.40 | Not Met |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 5.30 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |

[^0]
## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.
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## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.
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## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Typical End Time | $3: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 6 Hrs. 30 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | $*$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 0 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 0 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.00 |

Student Expulsions
This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Cedar Hill Schoo
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## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |

## Cedar Hill School

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 58 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 9.3 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 9.3 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $10: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $119: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

## Cedar Hill School

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $98 \%$ |
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## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

| Accountability Indicator | Indicator Score | Indicator Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts Proficiency | 72.5 | 17.5\% |
| Mathematics Proficiency | 85.6 | 17.5\% |
| English Language Arts Growth | 94.5 | 25.0\% |
| Mathematics Growth | 92.8 | 25.0\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 84.0 | 15.0\% |
| Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018) | XIA | $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ |
| Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights |  | 87.1 |
| Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score |  | 96.7 |
| Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile |  | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.

## NJ SCHOOL <br> PERFORMANCE REPORT
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## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 87.1 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| White | 75.8 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | N | N | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 83.3 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Not Met | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 90.2 | 11.9 | No | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Met Target | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^1]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.
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## School General Info

| Principal: | Mr. Ciempola | Email Address: | jmollica@bernardsboe.com |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 100 PEACHTREE ROAD <br> BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920 | Website: | hwitte://cedarhill.bernardsboe.com/ |
| Phone: | $(908) 204-2633$ |  |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|}\hline & -95 \% \text { of parents feel that our school staff encourages students to recognize and respect each other's differences. } \\ \bullet 97 \% \text { of parents feel that our Cedar Hill teachers have built a strong relationship with their child. }\end{array}\right\}$

## Cedar Hill School

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | Language arts builds comprehension, fluency, and vocabulary through fiction and nonfiction, guided reading/writing, <br> and workshops models. Teachers implement guided math through GO MATH. Science is based on the Next Generation <br> Science Standards connecting STEM subjects. In social studies, students explore the connections between their lives <br> and the past and present world. Students study art, music, computer and library technology, physical education, health, <br> and a gift-and-talented math program. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sports and Athletics: | Cedar Hill students participate in physical education twice a week. Students learn about their bodies, the importance of <br> physical activity for their health, and good sportsmanship. Cedar Hill offers three seasons of after-school intramural <br> activities for its students. |
| Clubs and Activities: | Cedar Hill has a student council for its third, fourth, and fifth graders. Cedar Hill's fifth graders may join the yearbook <br> committee and drama club. Drama club produces an annual musical. Music opportunities include band, chorus, and <br> orchestra. Fourth and fifth graders may join our peer mentor program working with special education students. <br> Character Crusaders focuses on building empathy through social and character skill building. We offer a variety of fall <br> and spring mini unit courses. |
| Before and After | Cedar Hill offers before- and after-school care for its students. This is a subscription service starting at 7:00 AM and <br> running until school begins. After-school care begins immmediately after school ends and runs until 6:00 PM. This <br> service is run in-house by teachers, instructional aides, and high school students. Students have snacks, work on <br> homework, play games, and play on the playground under supervision. |
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2016-2017
Grade Span KG-05
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100 PEACHTREE ROAD
BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional | Cedar Hill's ScIP committee meets monthly to discuss and plan for professional development needs. In conjunction with <br> the district professional development committee, faculty and staff members participate in district staff college courses, <br> hear guest speakers at in-service workshops, and attend in-district and out-of-district workshops. Faculty members <br> turnkey new strategies and methodologies learned at grade-level meetings, faculty meetings, staff college, and other <br> district inservices. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and |  |
| Services: | Students supports include a school counselor. Cedar Hill has 504 and I\&RS committees. Based on need, students <br> access ESL, mathematics and literacy supports, a gifted-and-talented program, and special services including speech, <br> occupational and physical therapies via the child-study team. Grade one students were assessed for dyslexia. During <br> the 17-18 school year, students in need will participate in the WIN (What I Need) program, practicing early literacy <br> phonemic awareness and phonics skills. |
| Wellness: | The school counselor works with individual students, groups, and whole classes for impromptu counseling, as well as <br> planned lessons on a variety of age appropriate topics. Teachers hold morning meetings regularly to discuss topics to <br> build empathy in students, reduce stress, and treat each other respectfully. A monthly whole-school assembly explores <br> character traits and related topics. Students bring a daily snack and can purchase or bring a lunch. Families can apply <br> for free/ reduced lunch. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | Parent and community involvement is strong at Cedar Hill. Teachers regularly have parents volunteer in classrooms <br> during centers or to assist with various activities. Parents help chaperone field trips on different grade levels. The PTO <br> provides funding for cultural assemblies, guest authors, social events, and fundraising events all to enhance students' <br> experiences at Cedar Hill. Via the PTO, parents serve on event committees and represent constituents on a Parent <br> Advisory Council. |

## Cedar Hill School

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | Is a Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: <br> As part of our district goal on improving student social, emotional, health and well-being, all stakeholders were <br> surveyed. The surveys contained NJDOE mandated questions and another section with locally developed questions. <br> Survey results showed overall parent satisfaction with the levels of instruction. When parents commented on the best of <br> Cedar Hill, common themes were a warm and friendly "family" environment, an amazing teaching staff, and our school's <br> promotion of good characte |
| :--- | :--- |
| Facilities: | Cedar Hill's original building was constructed for an opening in 1958. Two major additions added a gymnasium, <br> addititonal classrooms, and some storage rooms. All teaching areas contain interactive LCD projectors. There is air <br> conditioning in all regular classrooms small-group classrooms, offices, and the library. The library was renovated six <br> years ago and during the 16-17 school year, the playground added additional equipment, basketball hoops, a storage <br> shed, and an outdoor fountain. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.


Cedar Hill Elementary School is a Kindergarten through fifth grade school currently serving just under 600 students. There are 93 faculty and staff members. A typical school day consists of instruction in English language arts, mathematics, and content, (social studies, science, health, Latin). Latin is taught in grades 4 and 5 . Students have one special-area classes each day: physical education, music, art, computers, and library. Students have lunch, recess, and snack daily. Cedar Hill students in grades $3-5$ have one-to-one technology via Chromebooks. Grades K, 1, and 2 have 4 -5 chromebook in each class often utilized in centers. Cedar Hill has a thirty-minute zero period built into its schedule for teachers to hold morning meetings with their classes. During the 16-17 school year, there were four Kindergarten through third-grade classes. Fourth and fifth grades had five classes each. There are six self-contained special education classes: 2 LLD, 1 ABA (autistic), and 3 BD (behavior disabilities) classes. Parent communication occurs through email and phone calls, as well as electronic Friday Folder newsletters and monthly teacher newsletters. In addition, teachers utilize websites and a parent portal for report cards and attendance. The school maintains a building website linked to the district and the PTO maintains its own site. During the 16-17 school year, Cedar Hill began monthly school-wide assemblies as an opportunity to bring the entire school population together to focus on character traits, celebrate students' accomplishments, recognize student and staff members' birthdays, and to highlight important awarenesses. As the school year progressed, Cedar Hill students, primarily the Character Crusaders, have taken more and more ownership in conducting these assemblies. Cedar Hill opened this year with a newly renovated office suite and an inclement weather blacktop playground was developed utilizing an existing small parking lot

The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

## Liberty Corner School <br> 2016-2017

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KG | 85 | 72 | 89 |
| 1 | 81 | 86 | 86 |
| 2 | 85 | 73 | 87 |
| 3 | 84 | 87 | 77 |
| 4 | 99 | 88 | 93 |
| 5 | 91 | 98 | 101 |
| Ungraded | 31 | 31 | 21 |
| Total | 556 | 535 | 554 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Male | $52 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| English Learners | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $66.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $23.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $6.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $0.2 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.6 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language |
| :--- |
| English |
| Chinese |
| Korean |
| Hindi |
| Spanish |
| Other |$|$|  |
| :---: |

## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 245 | 97.9 | 78.00 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 78 | 70.8 | Met Target |
| White | 164 | 97.3 | 73.10 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 73.1 | 66.5 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 14 | 100.0 | 71.40 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 71.4 | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 60 | 98.6 | 90.00 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 90 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 118 | 98.6 | 80.50 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 80.5 |  |  |
| Male | 127 | 97.2 | 75.60 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 75.6 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 36.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 47 | 92.6 | 38.30 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 37.1 | 32 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 198 | 99.1 | 87.40 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 87.4 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 79 | 771 | 769 | 749 | * | * | 14\% | 66\% | * | 76\% | 50\% |
| White | 49 | 767 | 766 | 759 | * | * | * | 61\% | * | 69\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 21 | 778 | 783 | 775 | 0\% | * | * | 76\% | * | 91\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| Female | 33 | 781 | 777 | 754 | * | * | * | 64\% | * | 79\% | 55\% |
| Male | 46 | 763 | 763 | 745 | * | * | * | 67\% | * | 74\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 79 | 771 | 770 | 762 | * | * | 14\% | 66\% | * | 76\% | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 15 | 749 | 747 | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 64 | 776 | 774 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 81\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 720 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 94 | 766 | 770 | 753 | * | * | 15\% | 62\% | 17\% | 79\% | 56\% |
| White | 60 | 759 | 765 | 762 | 0\% | * | 20\% | 62\% | * | 70\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 737 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 28 | 779 | * | 777 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 61\% | * | 93\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 50 | 769 | 775 | 758 | 0\% | * | * | 64\% | * | 84\% | 61\% |
| Male | 44 | 764 | 766 | 749 | 0\% | * | * | 59\% | * | 73\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 737 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 94 | 766 | 771 | 764 | * | * | 15\% | 62\% | 17\% | 79\% | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 745 | 753 | 725 | 0\% | * | * | * | 0\% | 33\% | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 82 | 769 | 774 | 759 | 0\% | * | * | * | 20\% | 85\% | 62\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 711 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 101 | 773 | 778 | 756 | * | * | * | 66\% | 18\% | 84\% | 59\% |
| White | 66 | 767 | 772 | 763 | * | * | * | 71\% | * | 82\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | 10 | 761 | 768 | 743 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 70\% | 44\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 22 | 791 | * | 779 | 0\% | * | 0\% | 59\% | * | 96\% | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 52 | 772 | 782 | 761 | * | * | * | 67\% | * | 83\% | 66\% |
| Male | 49 | 774 | 774 | 750 | * | * | * | 65\% | * | 86\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 765 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 18 | 740 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 83 | 780 | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | 94\% | 66\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 101 | 773 | * | 757 | * | * | * | 66\% | 18\% | 84\% | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

Liberty Corner School
2016-2017
Grade Span KG-05

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance





 these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 <br> Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 244 | 97.5 | 70.90 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 70.9 | 74.6 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| White | 163 | 96.7 | 63.80 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 63.8 | 69.4 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Hispanic | 14 | 100.0 | 57.20 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 57.2 | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 60 | 98.6 | 90.00 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 90 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 118 | 98.6 | 69.50 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 69.5 |  |  |
| Male | 126 | 96.6 | 72.20 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 72.2 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 25.10 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 46 | 90.7 | 32.60 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 30.9 | 32 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Students without Disabilities | 198 | 99.1 | 79.80 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 79.8 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 79 | 774 | 776 | 751 | * | * | 22\% | 38\% | 35\% | 73\% | 53\% |
| White | 49 | 768 | 770 | 759 | * | * | 27\% | 39\% | 27\% | 65\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 21 | 787 | 794 | 779 | 0\% | 0\% | * | * | 48\% | 91\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Female | 33 | 785 | 779 | 751 | * | * | * | 33\% | 49\% | 82\% | 52\% |
| Male | 46 | 766 | 774 | 751 | * | * | * | 41\% | 26\% | 67\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 736 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 79 | 774 | 777 | 761 | * | * | 22\% | 38\% | 35\% | 73\% | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 15 | 757 | 761 | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 64 | 778 | 780 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 80\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |

## Liberty Corner School
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 93 | 771 | 773 | 747 | * | * | 17\% | 67\% | 14\% | 81\% | 47\% |
| White | 59 | 764 | 765 | 755 | * | * | 22\% | 68\% | * | 75\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 28 | 783 | * | 774 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 64\% | * | 89\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 50 | 770 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 70\% | * | 82\% | 47\% |
| Male | 43 | 773 | 773 | 747 | * | * | * | 63\% | * | 79\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 732 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 93 | 771 | 774 | 757 | * | * | 17\% | 67\% | 14\% | 81\% | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 742 | 751 | 724 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 46\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 82 | 775 | 777 | 751 | * | * | * | * | 16\% | 85\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 716 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 723 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |

## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 101 | 763 | 774 | 747 | * | * | 24\% | 42\% | 25\% | 66\% | 46\% |
| White | 66 | 758 | 768 | 754 | * | * | 30\% | 41\% | 18\% | 59\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | 10 | 744 | 755 | 735 | * | 0\% | * | * | * | 50\% | 30\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 22 | 785 | * | 774 | 0\% | * | * | 46\% | 46\% | 91\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 52 | 756 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 46\% | * | 60\% | 47\% |
| Male | 49 | 771 | 776 | 746 | * | * | * | 37\% | * | 74\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 756 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 18 | 732 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 28\% | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 83 | 770 | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | 75\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 101 | 763 | * | 748 | * | * | 24\% | 42\% | 25\% | 66\% | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | N | N |

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance
This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students Tested | \% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5 | $\%$ Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | * | * |
| 2 | * | * | * |
| 3 | N | N | N |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| 5+ | N | N | N |

 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $66 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| White | $62 \%$ | $37 \%$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | N | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Black or African American | N | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | ${ }^{*}$ | $24 \%$ | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Students with Disabilities | $41 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| English Learners | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.

## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: School Median | ELA: <br> District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 53 | 47 | 50 | Met Target | 50 | 53 | 50 | Met Target |
| White | 51 | 45 | 50 | Met Target | 49 | 51 | 52 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | * | 44 | 49 | ** | * | 46.5 | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 52 | * | 60 | Met Target | 54 | * | 59 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | 55 | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | * | 53 | 47 | ** | * | 48 | 46 | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | 45 | 38.5 | 41 | Met Target | 42 | 40 | 43 | Met Target |
| English Learners | * | 46 | 53 | ** | * | 48 | 51 | ** |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.


## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K - 12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> $2016-17$ <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 5.30 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| White | 5.20 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 0 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 6.20 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | 10.00 | 8.40 | Not Met |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 8.60 | 8.40 | Not Met |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


## Liberty Corner School <br> 2016-2017

## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017

## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | 8:45AM |
| Typical End Time | 3:15PM |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 6 Hrs. 30 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | ${ }^{*}$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 1 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 1 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.18 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Liberty Corner School

Grade Span KG-05

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1.1: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 35 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 10.4 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 10.4 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $69 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $16: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $554: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

## Liberty Corner School

2016-2017
Grade Span KG-05

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $98 \%$ |

## Liberty Corner School

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

| Accountability Indicator | Indicator Score | Indicator Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts Proficiency | 81.6 | 17.5\% |
| Mathematics Proficiency | 81.8 | 17.5\% |
| English Language Arts Growth | 51.0 | 25.0\% |
| Mathematics Growth | 43.7 | 25.0\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 60.1 | 15.0\% |
| Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018) |  | $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ |
| Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights |  | 61.3 |
| Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score |  | 68.2 |
| Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile |  | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.

## Liberty Corner School <br> 2016-2017

## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 61.3 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target† | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| White | 55.2 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 43.0 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Not Met | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 65.6 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Not Met | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^2]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

Demographic
Academic Achievement
Student Growth

## School General Info

| School General Info |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: | Dr. Oliver | Email Address: | joliver@bernardsboe.com |
| Address: | 61 CHURCH STREET LIBERTY CORNER, NJ 07938 | Website: | http://libertycorner.bernardsboe.com |
| Phone: | (908)204-2550 |  |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| - State School of Character |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| - National School of Character |  |
| - National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence |  |
| Awards, Recognition, | Character is what makes up a person. At LCS, we strive to always improve our character. Everybody can make a <br> difference in this school. Whether it's standing up to bullies or coming up with new ideas, there is strength in numbers, <br> so we encourage bystanders to speak up. Everybody in this school is equal, regardless of age, grade or ability. At <br> LCS, everybody is important and we make the school what it is today. |
| Accomplishments: | 2015 National School of Character \& 2015 National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence |

## Liberty Corner School <br> 2016-2017 <br> Grade Span KG-05

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Courses, Curriculum, <br> Instruction: | Our literature-based reading program is a balance of shared reading, guided reading and phonetic integration. Process <br> writing is a strong component of our language arts program. Our math program, Go Math! is a high interest, hands-on, <br> inquiry-directed approach that complements our district curriculum goals and objectives. All students are exposed to art, <br> music, technology and physical education, and all staff members integrate the various curricular areas whenever <br> possible. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clubs and Activities: | Clubs include intramurals, Acting, Girls on the Run, and Mini Units |
| Before and After <br> School Programs: | Before care $7: 00-8: 30$ \& Aftercare 3:15-6:00 Our school staff run both of these clubs. These programs are for students <br> in grades $\mathrm{K}, 1,2,3,4,5$. |

## Liberty Corner School <br> 2016-2017

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional <br> Learning: | All teachers have grade level common planning periods which allows for collaborative planning. Staff also engage in <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) two times a month where they focus on assessment data to help plan and <br> meet individual student needs. During the school year, there are 4 scheduled $1 / 2$ day professional development days run <br> by district administrators. We also provide staff with two full in-service days at the start of the school year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and <br> Services: | LCS has supports and services for students that are struggling. These programs include, reading and writing <br> instructional support taught by three reading specialist. We also have a math instructional support program and an ESL <br> program for students who speak English as a second language. We also have eight teachers who provide special <br> education to students with disabilitites. As a school, we utilize the Intervention and Referral Services and 504 <br> committees to develop action plans as needed. |
| Student Health and | At LCS, we provide a focus on health and wellness in all classrooms through our Health Curriculum and use of the <br> Body Shop curriculum. We also provide PE two times a week for all students and recess for 25 minutes per day for <br> each grade level. Our school nurse also provides staff trainings. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | The parent community of LCS is dedicated to the success of all students. The parents are very supportive of what we <br> do at LCS. We are fortunate to have an active parent groups such as our PTO and P. E.C.. Throughout the school year <br> after school events are organized, such as Pizza Night and Trunk-or-Treat. Our PTO also funds enrichment <br> opportunities for each grade level such as Wizards of Words. We provide parents with curriculum presentations to gain <br> a deeper understanding of our curriculum. |

The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PK | 31 | 33 | 32 |
| KG | 78 | 76 | 65 |
| 1 | 80 | 85 | 81 |
| 2 | 99 | 89 | 90 |
| 3 | 112 | 101 | 90 |
| 4 | 112 | 114 | 103 |
| 5 | 121 | 118 | 115 |
| Ungraded | 54 | 61 | 67 |
| Total | 687 | 677 | 643 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Male | $52 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| English Learners | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Asian | $55.2 \%$ |
| White | $33.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $7.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $0.8 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $2.2 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| English | $68.0 \%$ |
| Chinese | $8.6 \%$ |
| Spanish | $4.5 \%$ |
| Korean | $2.8 \%$ |
| Hindi | $2.2 \%$ |
| Other | $14.3 \%$ |

## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 282 | 99.0 | 85.50 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 85.5 | 80 | Met Goal |
| White | 83 | 97.6 | 72.30 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 72.3 | 69.2 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 21 | 100.0 | 76.20 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 76.2 | 73.1 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 168 | 100.0 | 92.20 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 92.2 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 151 | 100.0 | 90.00 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 90 |  |  |
| Male | 131 | 97.9 | 80.20 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 80.2 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 36.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 34 | 94.7 | 58.80 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 58.4 | 52.9 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 248 | 99.6 | 89.10 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 89.1 |  |  |
| English Learners | 16 | 100.0 | 68.80 | 62.00 | 25.20 | 68.8 | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | 266 | 99.0 | 86.50 | 80.90 | 57.40 | 86.5 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 89 | 777 | 769 | 749 | 0\% | * | 16\% | 72\% | * | 82\% | 50\% |
| White | 24 | 765 | 766 | 759 | 0\% | * | * | 67\% | 0\% | 67\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 55 | 785 | 783 | 775 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 73\% | * | 89\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| Female | 51 | 785 | 777 | 754 | 0\% | * | * | 80\% | * | 96\% | 55\% |
| Male | 38 | 767 | 763 | 745 | 0\% | * | * | 61\% | * | 63\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 10 | 758 | 747 | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 79 | 780 | 774 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 720 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |

# Mount Prospect Elementary School 

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 99 | 778 | 770 | 753 | * | * | 14\% | 51\% | 34\% | 85\% | 56\% |
| White | 24 | 770 | 765 | 762 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 46\% | * | 71\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 62 | 782 | * | 777 | 0\% | * | * | 55\% | 37\% | 92\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 52 | 778 | 775 | 758 | * | * | * | 54\% | 29\% | 83\% | 61\% |
| Male | 47 | 778 | 766 | 749 | * | * | * | 47\% | 40\% | 87\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 737 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 99 | 778 | 771 | 764 | * | * | 14\% | 51\% | 34\% | 85\% | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 775 | 753 | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 75\% | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 87 | 778 | 774 | 759 | * | * | * | * | * | 86\% | 62\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 711 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

# Mount Prospect Elementary School 

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 111 | 785 | 778 | 756 | * | * | * | 57\% | 33\% | 90\% | 59\% |
| White | 34 | 774 | 772 | 763 | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 79\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 743 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 66 | 792 | * | 779 | * | * | * | 56\% | 39\% | 96\% | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 60 | 785 | 782 | 761 | * | * | * | 55\% | 33\% | 88\% | 66\% |
| Male | 51 | 786 | 774 | 750 | * | * | * | 59\% | 33\% | 92\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 111 | 785 | 778 | 765 | * | * | * | 57\% | 33\% | 90\% | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 754 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 46\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 100 | 789 | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | 95\% | 66\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 <br> Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 282 | 99.0 | 88.30 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 88.3 | 80 | Met Goal |
| White | 83 | 97.8 | 73.50 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 73.5 | 72.8 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 21 | 100.0 | 71.40 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 71.4 | 77.4 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 168 | 100.0 | 97.60 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 97.6 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 151 | 100.0 | 88.00 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 88 |  |  |
| Male | 131 | 97.9 | 88.60 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 88.6 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 25.10 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 34 | 94.7 | 55.90 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 55.6 | 57.3 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| Students without Disabilities | 248 | 99.6 | 92.80 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 92.8 |  |  |
| English Learners | 16 | 100.0 | 81.30 | 75.40 | 23.30 | 81.3 | N | N |
| Non-English Learners | 266 | 99.0 | 88.70 | 78.10 | 45.20 | 88.7 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 90 | 784 | 776 | 751 | * | * | 12\% | 37\% | 47\% | 83\% | 53\% |
| White | 24 | 765 | 770 | 759 | 0\% | * | * | 42\% | * | 67\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 56 | 795 | 794 | 779 | 0\% | * | * | 38\% | 59\% | 96\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Female | 52 | 785 | 779 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 42\% | 42\% | 85\% | 52\% |
| Male | 38 | 782 | 774 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 29\% | 53\% | 82\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 10 | 758 | 761 | 729 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 60\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 80 | 787 | 780 | 755 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 86\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5 : Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 102 | 784 | 773 | 747 | * | * | 11\% | 54\% | 34\% | 88\% | 47\% |
| White | 27 | 768 | 765 | 755 | * | 0\% | * | 44\% | * | 67\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 62 | 793 | * | 774 | * | * | * | 57\% | 42\% | 98\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 54 | 780 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 54\% | 32\% | 85\% | 47\% |
| Male | 48 | 788 | 773 | 747 | * | * | * | 54\% | 38\% | 92\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 732 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 102 | 784 | 774 | 757 | * | * | 11\% | 54\% | 34\% | 88\% | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 771 | 751 | 724 | * | 0\% | * | * | * | 67\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 90 | 785 | 777 | 751 | * | 0\% | * | * | * | 91\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 716 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 723 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 113 | 788 | 774 | 747 | 0\% | * | * | 45\% | 49\% | 94\% | 46\% |
| White | 35 | 776 | 768 | 754 | 0\% | * | * | 57\% | * | 83\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 735 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 67 | 798 | * | 774 | * | * | * | 33\% | 66\% | 99\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 60 | 783 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 48\% | 42\% | 90\% | 47\% |
| Male | 53 | 794 | 776 | 746 | * | * | * | 42\% | 57\% | 98\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 732 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 113 | 788 | 774 | 756 | 0\% | * | * | 45\% | 49\% | 94\% | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 767 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 64\% | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 102 | 791 | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | 97\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 748 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District \begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c|}
\# Students <br>
Tested

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score <br>
Below 4.5

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score of <br>
4.5 and above

$|$

\hline 1 \& 14 \& $71.4 \%$ \& $28.6 \%$ <br>
\hline 2 \& $*$ \& $*$ \& N <br>
\hline 3 \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline 4 \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline $5+$ \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017
 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $71 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| White | $60 \%$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N |
| Students with Disabilities | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ | N |
| English Learners | ${ }^{*}$ | N | ${ }^{*}$ |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


## Mount Prospect Elementary School <br> 2016-2017

 de Span PK-05This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: <br> District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 55 | 47 | 50 | Met Target | 65 | 53 | 50 | Exceeds Target |
| White | 48 | 45 | 50 | Met Target | 73 | 51 | 52 | Exceeds Target |
| Hispanic | 54 | 44 | 49 | ** | 54 | 46.5 | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | * | 41.5 | 45 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 57 | * | 60 | Met Target | 60.5 | * | 59 | Exceeds Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | 55 | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Students with Disabilities | 60 | 38.5 | 41 | Exceeds Target | 62 | 40 | 43 | Exceeds Target |
| English Learners | * | 46 | 53 | ** | * | 48 | 51 | ** |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.


## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K - 12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> $2016-17$ <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 2.80 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| White | 3.40 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 2.20 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 2.70 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 7.60 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| English Learners | 0 | 8.40 | Met Target |

[^3]
## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Typical End Time | $3: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 5 Hrs. 40 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | * |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 0 |
| Vandalism | 2 |
| Weapons | 1 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 2 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.31 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1.3: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 63 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 10.1 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 10.1 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $10: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $322: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $97 \%$ |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 85.8 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| White | 73.3 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 63.1 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 91.9 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | N | Met Target | ** | ** | No |

[^4]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## School General Info

| Principal: | Ms. Hozeny | Email Address: | jhozeny@bernardsboe.com |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 111 HANSOM RD | Website: | http://mountprospect.bernardsboe.com/ |
| BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920 | Twitter: | https://twitter.com/BernardsTwpMPS |  |
| Phone: | $(908) 470-1600$ |  |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | - Recipient of 2014 National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence <br> day <br> - Ranked \#9 in Best Public Elementary Schools of New Jersey |
| :--- | :--- |
| Awards, Recognition, | Vision - Mount Prospect will prepare learners for the twenty-first century and promote the joy of learning in a diverse <br> and nurturing environment through school and community partnerships. Mission - Mount Prospect is dedicated to <br> fostering the development of creative thinking, collaboration, problem solving, high ethical standards and respect for <br> individual differences. |
| Accomplishments: | Recipient of 2014 Blue Ribbon School of Excellence; Ranked \#9 in Best Public Elementary Schools in New Jersey. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Courses, Curriculum, Instruction: | We use a balanced literacy program, process writing approach and phonetic integration. Go Math is a high interest, inquiry based program which aligns to District objectives and Common Core Standards. Our science curriculum meets Next Gen Standards. Students' individual needs are met through services such as enrichment programming, after school activities, ESL, literacy support and special education. All students are exposed to art, music, technology, media skills and $P E$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Clubs and Activities: | After School Enrichment Course, Intramurals, Girls on the Run, Chorus, Band Orchestra |
| Before and After School Programs: | Our Before Care is open 7:00-8:15 AM and After Care 3:30-6:00 PM. It is run by District Staff and high school student volunteers. The program serves students in grades kindergarten through grade 5 . |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional <br> Learning: | The faculty at Mount Prospect School takes pride in balancing a developmentally appropriate program with high <br> expectations and opportunities for challenges and enrichment on all levels. We provide a nurturing environment which <br> encourages students to problem-solve in real-life situations, make decisions to foster independence and willingly take <br> risks. Staff collaborate in Professional Learning Communities. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and <br> Services: | Our school offers supplemental services such as ESL for English Language Learners, Instructional Support Services in <br> ELA and Math, WIN Intervention periods, Gifted and Talented Program, Special Education services and tutoring <br> recommendations. Intervention and Support Services are designed to assist students who are experiencing learning, <br> behavioral or health difficulties. |
| Wellness: | Our health curriculum is the Great Body Shape. Students in Grade 5 are taught the Life Begins curriculum. The school <br> nurse trains teachers and students in many health and wellness topics. Parent workshops are offered in mindfulness, <br> nutrition and integrative health topics. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | We possess a strong partnership between the school and home. Parents volunteer in the school. The PTO is a highly <br> active organization, which sponsors student programs and assemblies such as International Day, visiting authors and <br> writers in residence. They sponsor community events such as book fairs, family fun days, Field Day, and parent socials. <br> Parents are involved in fundraising activities. The PTO is dedicated to the success of the students and have <br> representatives on the PAC. |

## Mount Prospect Elementary School

2016-2017

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ${ }^{* *}$ ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

## Oak Street School

 2016-2017
## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KG | 66 | 79 | 55 |
| 1 | 90 | 73 | 77 |
| 2 | 96 | 96 | 78 |
| 3 | 103 | 95 | 105 |
| 4 | 98 | 106 | 100 |
| 5 | 126 | 98 | 105 |
| Ungraded | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 579 | 547 | 520 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Male | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| English Learners | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $1 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $79.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $8.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $7.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $1.5 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.7 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | $91.2 \%$ |
| Spanish | $2.3 \%$ |
| Chinese | $1.7 \%$ |
| Other | $5.0 \%$ |

Staff

## Oak Street School

 2016-2017
## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 256 | 88.2 | 75.00 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 69.2 | 62.6 | Met Target |
| White | 213 | 87.7 | 78.00 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 71.7 | 62 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 16 | 89.5 | 50.10 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 46.7 | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 17 | 90.9 | 70.50 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 66.3 | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 53.70 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 115 | 86.9 | 83.50 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 75.4 |  |  |
| Male | 141 | 89.3 | 68.10 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 64 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 36.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 47 | 90.6 | 38.30 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 36.4 | 13.8 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 209 | 87.7 | 83.30 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 76.3 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 26.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 97 | 767 | 769 | 749 | * | * | 21\% | 58\% | 11\% | 69\% | 50\% |
| White | 77 | 768 | 766 | 759 | * | * | 18\% | 60\% | * | 71\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 10 | 780 | 783 | 775 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 70\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| Female | 40 | 776 | 777 | 754 | * | * | * | 60\% | * | 78\% | 55\% |
| Male | 57 | 760 | 763 | 745 | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 63\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 20 | 744 | 747 | 720 | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 77 | 773 | 774 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 77\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 720 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 82 | 767 | 770 | 753 | * | * | 15\% | 59\% | 18\% | 77\% | 56\% |
| White | 67 | 771 | 765 | 762 | 0\% | * | * | 61\% | 21\% | 82\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 777 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 43 | 772 | 775 | 758 | 0\% | * | * | 51\% | * | 79\% | 61\% |
| Male | 39 | 762 | 766 | 749 | 0\% | * | * | 67\% | * | 74\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 741 | 753 | 725 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 39\% | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 69 | 772 | 774 | 759 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 84\% | 62\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | 82 | 767 | 771 | 755 | * | * | 15\% | 59\% | 18\% | 77\% | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 94 | 772 | 778 | 756 | * | * | 14\% | 57\% | 20\% | 78\% | 59\% |
| White | 82 | 772 | 772 | 763 | * | * | 12\% | 59\% | 20\% | 78\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 743 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 44\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 779 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 43 | 785 | 782 | 761 | * | * | * | 58\% | * | 91\% | 66\% |
| Male | 51 | 761 | 774 | 750 | * | * | * | 57\% | * | 67\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 765 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 737 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 27\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 83 | 776 | * | 762 | * | * | * | * | 23\% | 84\% | 66\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 94 | 772 | * | 757 | * | * | 14\% | 57\% | 20\% | 78\% | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

## Oak Street School

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


## Oak Street School

## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 257 | 88.5 | 77.90 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 72.1 | 65.3 | Met Target |
| White | 214 | 88.1 | 79.50 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 73.4 | 65.9 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 16 | 89.5 | 50.00 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 46.8 | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 17 | 90.9 | 76.40 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 71.8 | N | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | * | 42.50 | N | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 114 | 86.2 | 82.40 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 73.8 |  |  |
| Male | 143 | 90.5 | 74.10 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 70.6 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 25.10 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 48 | 92.5 | 45.80 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 44.5 | 33.5 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 209 | 87.7 | 85.20 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 78 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | * | 16.40 | * |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 97 | 771 | 776 | 751 | * | * | * | 56\% | 28\% | 84\% | 53\% |
| White | 77 | 771 | 770 | 759 | * | * | * | 58\% | 26\% | 84\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 10 | 800 | 794 | 779 | 0\% | 0\% | * | * | * | 90\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Female | 40 | 776 | 779 | 751 | * | * | * | 63\% | 28\% | 90\% | 52\% |
| Male | 57 | 768 | 774 | 751 | * | * | * | 51\% | 28\% | 79\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 20 | 760 | 761 | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 77 | 774 | 780 | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 90\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |

## Oak Street School

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 83 | 765 | 773 | 747 | * | * | 17\% | 61\% | 12\% | 74\% | 47\% |
| White | 68 | 767 | 765 | 755 | * | * | 16\% | 68\% | * | 78\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 774 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 43 | 763 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 65\% | * | 74\% | 47\% |
| Male | 40 | 766 | 773 | 747 | * | * | * | 58\% | * | 73\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 744 | 751 | 724 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 46\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 70 | 769 | 777 | 751 | * | * | * | * | 14\% | 79\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 83 | 765 | 773 | 749 | * | * | 17\% | 61\% | 12\% | 74\% | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | * | * | * | 723 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |

## Oak Street School

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 94 | 768 | 774 | 747 | * | * | 15\% | 54\% | 22\% | 77\% | 46\% |
| White | 82 | 769 | 768 | 754 | * | * | 15\% | 54\% | 23\% | 77\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 735 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 774 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 42 | 774 | 772 | 747 | * | * | * | 52\% | * | 81\% | 47\% |
| Male | 52 | 764 | 776 | 746 | * | * | * | 56\% | * | 73\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 756 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 730 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 25\% | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 82 | 774 | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | 26\% | 84\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 94 | 768 | * | 748 | * | * | 15\% | 54\% | 22\% | 77\% | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

## Oak Street School 2016-2017

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


Oak Street School 2016-2017

## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | N | N |
| 5 | $*$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students <br> Tested | \% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5 | \% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | * | * |
| 2 | * | * | * |
| 3 | * | * | * |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| 5+ | N | N | N |

## Oak Street School

 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $63 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| White | $65 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Black or African American | N | N | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $33 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| English Learners | N | N | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


## Oak Street School

This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 39 | 47 | 50 | Not Met | 49.5 | 53 | 50 | Met Target |
| White | 40 | 45 | 50 | Met Target | 49 | 51 | 52 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 42 | 44 | 49 | ** | 54 | 46.5 | 47 | ** |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | 60 | ** | * | * | 59 | ** |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | 55 | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | * | 53 | 47 | ** | * | 48 | 46 | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | 29.5 | 38.5 | 41 | Not Met | 33 | 40 | 43 | Not Met |
| English Learners | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.


## Oak Street School 2016-2017

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> $2016-17$ <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 4.40 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| White | 4.60 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 5.00 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 4.70 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 4.70 | 8.40 | Met Target |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |

[^5]
## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## Oak Street School

## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Typical End Time | $3: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 30 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 5 Hrs. 40 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | * |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 2 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 2 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 1 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 5 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.96 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Oak Street School
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## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity | Met <br> Recommended <br> Connectivity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber | Yes |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |

## Oak Street School

 2016-2017This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.
Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 43 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 11.3 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 11.3 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $84 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $12: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $173: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

## Oak Street School

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $97 \%$ |

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

| Accountability Indicator | Indicator Score | Indicator Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Arts Proficiency | 61.2 | 17.5\% |
| Mathematics Proficiency | 84.2 | 17.5\% |
| English Language Arts Growth | 11.1 | 25.0\% |
| Mathematics Growth | 35.5 | 25.0\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | 71.3 | 15.0\% |
| Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018) | WA | $\mathrm{NA}$ |
| Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights |  | 47.8 |
| Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score |  | 46.1 |
| Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5th percentile |  | No |

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.
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## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 47.8 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | No |
| White | 50.5 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | ** | ** | No | N | N | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 52.2 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Not Met | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^6]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

Demographic
Academic Achievement
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## School General Info

| Principal: | Dr. Costa | Email Address: | costa@bernardsboe.com |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 70 W OAK ST | Website: | www.oakstreet.bernardsboe.com |
| BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920-1735 | Twitter: | https://twitter.com/oaksteagles |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | - Helping children develop qualities of good character is central to all that we do. |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Our goal is to ensure that ALL students are provided with the tools to work towards maximizing their potential. |  |
| - Technology is infused throughout the instructional day through the use of Chromebooks and Interactive Whiteboards. |  |
| Mishlights: | At Oak Street School, we believe that each child is an iindividual who learns through a dynamic process. Learning will <br> take place in an enriching, safe, and fun environment that will promote individual success while also devleoping <br> responsible learners and contributing members of a democratic society. We expect our students to use their academic <br> and social skills to meet the challenges and opportunities they will experience living and working in a rapidly changing <br> and diverse society. |

## Oak Street School 2016-2017

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Courses, Curriculum, <br> Instruction: | The Go Math program is the central focus of our K-5 mathematics program. The mathematics curriculum integrates <br> applicable mathematical content with problem solving, reasoning and technology. Our Language Arts program <br> emcompases a balanced reading and writing approach which includes shared and guided reading, book clubs and <br> independent reading ,author studies and writing portfolios. The K-5 science curriculum closely aligns with the Next Gen <br> Science standards. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clubs and Activities: |  | | A variety of enrichment classes and cultural arts activities support our regular curriculum. In the winter and spring, OSS |
| :--- |
| teachers offer a variety of fee based after school activities known as mini units. Courses included poetry, creative |
| writing, chess and theater. Once a month during zero period, teachers run clubs such as virtural reality, juggling, |
| newspaper, mindfulness, kindness and environmental. Students can also apply for a variety of student leadership |
| positions within the school. |

## Oak Street School

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional <br> Learning: | Staff development is of vital importance at OSS. Many of the teachers are enrolled in graduate level college courses as <br> well at district sponsored staff college courses. Teachers also hone their craft through ongoing participation in <br> Professional Learning Communities. The administrators also recognize the value of improving and growing their <br> instructional and leadership compentencies through attendance at conferences and workshops. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and <br> Services: | A variety of services are offered to students who need academic assistance such as instructional support for math, <br> reading and writing. Special Education services include resource center, In class support, OT/PT, speech and adaptive <br> PE. Supports and services are also provided for our ELL students. The Intervention and Referral Committee meets on <br> a regualr basis to design intervention plans for identified students. |
| Wellness: | Student wellness is stressed at OSS through our physical education and health programs. Students also particpate in <br> daily recess as part of the 50 minute lunch/recess period. Healthy lunch options are offered in our cafeteria and fresh <br> fruit and vegtables are a mainstay. Workout Wednesday also encourages our students and staff to focus on staying <br> active and fit. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | OsS is very fortunate to have a very involved and dedicated PTO that works hand in hand with teachers and <br> adminstration. They sponsor several cultural arts assemblies throughout the year, including presentations from noted <br> chidren's authors and illustrators. They also award mini-grants for teachers to purchase special instructional items for <br> the classroom. The PTO also sponsors two book fairs, The Science Fair, Pizza Night, Movie Matinee and Halloween <br> events. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

# Oak Street School 2016-2017 

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.


Stephen Covey's "The Habits of Highly Effective People" have become the common language of Oak Street School and serves as a natural outcome of staff members integrating the habits into lessons, using the language in class meetings, activities, hallway displays and Leadership Assemblies. The entire staff sends messages that say, "This is a place where expectations are high and where learning can be fun." OSS's Vision Statement is "To Live, To Love, To Learn, To Leave a Legacy." We recognize that all students are leaders and we provide numerous opportunities for individual leadership skills to grow and develop. The staff firmly believes that we are growing tomorrow's leaders. Our goal is to ensure that all students are provided with the tools to work towards maximizing their potential while also learning and appreciating the importance of demonstrating kindness each and every day. "Treat others the way you want to be treated" is not just a motto but a mindset for the entire Oak Street School Community. School Safety is very important to all of us. On a monthly basis we practice several types of security drills including Fire, Evaucation/Reverse Evacuation, Shelter in Place, MERT and Lockdowns. A Crisis Managment Committee meets on a monthly basis to continually assess our security procedures. Timely information is shared with the OSS community through the OSS Friday Folder. The Honeywell Alert System notifies parents and staff of school cancellations or delayed openings /early dismissals. Computer literacy is taught to all students in grades K-5. In addition, instrumental music is offered to students in grades $3,4 \& 5$ in addition to chorus for students in grades $4 \& 5$. A Gifted and Talented Math program is provided for identified students in grade $3,4 \& 5$ in addition to math enrichment opportunties for students in grades K-5.
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The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov

NJ SCHOOL

## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.
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## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 452 | 469 | 455 |
| 10 | 490 | 441 | 467 |
| 11 | 463 | 481 | 446 |
| 12 | 450 | 453 | 484 |
| Ungraded | 35 | 32 | 36 |
| Total | 1889 | 1876 | 1888 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Male | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| English Learners | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment Trends by Full and Shared Time

This table shows the number of full and shared time students. The full time equivalent is the number of full time students plus half the number of shared time students.

| Enrollment Status | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Full Time Students | 1878 |
| Shared Time Students | 17 |
| Full Time Equivalent | 1887 |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $68.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $24.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $5.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $0.8 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $1.5 \%$ |
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 520 | 59.1 | 80.60 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 49.3 | 37.3 | Met Target |
| White | 335 | 55.6 | 79.10 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 46.1 | 27.8 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 27 | 62.5 | 59.20 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 38.3 | 35.7 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 144 | 66.5 | 88.80 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 59.9 | 63.3 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 53.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 241 | 56.2 | 83.80 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 48.4 |  |  |
| Male | 279 | 62.0 | 77.80 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 50.1 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 18 | 79.2 | 33.30 | 31.80 | 36.20 | * | N | N |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 502 | 58.6 | 82.30 | 82.10 | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 82 | 59.4 | 34.10 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 21.2 | 14.2 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 438 | 59.1 | 89.30 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 54.4 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 25.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 57.40 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 9
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 426 | 777 | 777 | 749 | * | * | 13\% | 49\% | 34\% | 82\% | 52\% |
| White | 279 | 773 | 773 | 757 | * | * | 17\% | 52\% | 28\% | 80\% | 62\% |
| Hispanic | 23 | 764 | 764 | 733 | * | * | * | 44\% | * | 70\% | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 730 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 111 | 792 | 792 | 777 | * | * | * | 38\% | 54\% | 92\% | 80\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 746 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 212 | 781 | 781 | 756 | * | * | 14\% | 43\% | 41\% | 83\% | 60\% |
| Male | 214 | 773 | 773 | 741 | * | * | 13\% | 55\% | 27\% | 82\% | 43\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 758 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 62\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 60 | 739 | 739 | 714 | * | * | 45\% | 27\% | * | 33\% | 13\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 366 | 783 | 783 | 754 | * | * | 8\% | 52\% | * | 90\% | 58\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 690 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 719 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 746 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 45\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 705 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 10

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 117 | 778 | 778 | 743 | * | * | 15\% | 38\% | 38\% | 75\% | 46\% |
| White | 61 | 771 | 771 | 749 | * | * | 16\% | 46\% | 28\% | 74\% | 52\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 728 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 774 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 74\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 740 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 42\% |
| Female | 45 | 776 | 776 | 752 | * | * | * | 49\% | 36\% | 84\% | 54\% |
| Male | 72 | 779 | 779 | 734 | * | * | * | 31\% | 39\% | 69\% | 39\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 726 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 54\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 17 | 725 | 725 | 704 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 24\% | 12\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 100 | 787 | 787 | 749 | * | * | * | * | 44\% | 84\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 681 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 694 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |

## Ridge High School <br> 2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 11**
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 34 | 760 | 760 | 736 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 71\% | 38\% |
| White | 28 | 754 | 754 | 738 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 64\% | 40\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 756 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Female | 12 | 752 | 752 | 744 | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% | 46\% |
| Male | 22 | 765 | 765 | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 77\% | 31\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 32\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 34 | 760 | 760 | 740 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 71\% | 42\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 11 | 723 | 723 | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | 27\% | 12\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 23 | 778 | 778 | 741 | * | * | * | * | * | 91\% | 43\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 699 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 34 | 760 | 760 | 737 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 71\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 24\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 19\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 723 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 24\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


[^7]
## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 <br> Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 473 | 58.2 | 75.10 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 45.6 | 32.5 | Met Target |
| White | 323 | 54.0 | 71.80 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 41 | 23.2 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 24 | 55.1 | 66.60 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 39.1 | 23.5 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 116 | 73.6 | 86.20 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 64.9 | 66.3 | Met Target $\dagger$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 42.50 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 225 | 55.6 | 75.10 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 43.2 |  |  |
| Male | 248 | 60.9 | 75.00 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 48 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 16 | 70.8 | 31.30 | 23.20 | 25.10 | * | N | N |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 457 | 57.9 | 76.50 | 79.80 | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 74 | 54.7 | 27.00 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 15.7 | 11.8 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 399 | 58.9 | 83.90 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 51.4 |  |  |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * | 23.30 | * | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | * | 45.20 | * |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 141 | 748 | 776 | 743 | * | 14\% | 32\% | 49\% | * | 50\% | 42\% |
| White | 111 | 748 | 769 | 751 | * | 14\% | 35\% | 48\% | * | 49\% | 52\% |
| Hispanic | 13 | 737 | 759 | 728 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 46\% | 24\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 13 | 759 | 799 | 774 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 69\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 736 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 741 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 41\% |
| Female | 70 | 745 | 771 | 744 | * | * | 34\% | 49\% | * | 49\% | 43\% |
| Male | 71 | 751 | 781 | 741 | * | * | 30\% | 49\% | * | 52\% | 40\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 727 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 751 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 50 | 737 | * | 714 | * | * | 36\% | 26\% | * | 28\% | 10\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 91 | 754 | * | 747 | * | * | 30\% | 62\% | * | 63\% | 47\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 708 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 742 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 37\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |

## Ridge High School

 2016-2017Grade Span 09-12

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met <br> Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 255 | 764 | 768 | 734 | * | * | 11\% | 75\% | 12\% | 87\% | 30\% |
| White | 181 | 763 | 764 | 740 | * | * | 12\% | 77\% | 9\% | 86\% | 38\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 722 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 14\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 719 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 55 | 769 | 776 | 758 | 0\% | * | * | 71\% | 20\% | 91\% | 65\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 730 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Female | 127 | 763 | 767 | 735 | * | * | 11\% | 79\% | 9\% | 87\% | 31\% |
| Male | 128 | 765 | 769 | 733 | * | * | 10\% | 72\% | 15\% | 87\% | 30\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 721 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 16 | 734 | 734 | 711 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 31\% | * |
| Students without Disabilities | 239 | 766 | 770 | 738 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 91\% | * |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 735 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 704 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |

## Ridge High School

 2016-2017Grade Span 09-12

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra II


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers <br> Met/ <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 108 | 783 | 784 | 725 | * | * | 13\% | 43\% | 34\% | 77\% | 28\% |
| White | 46 | 757 | 757 | 731 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 63\% | 33\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 14\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 703 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 60 | 804 | * | 761 | * | 0\% | * | 38\% | 50\% | 88\% | 62\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 25\% |
| Female | 48 | 779 | 779 | 725 | * | * | * | 44\% | 31\% | 75\% | 27\% |
| Male | 60 | 786 | * | 725 | * | * | * | 42\% | 37\% | 78\% | 29\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 708 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 13\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 10 | 682 | 682 | 692 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Students without Disabilities | 98 | 793 | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 692 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 108 | 783 | * | 726 | * | * | 13\% | 43\% | 34\% | 77\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 702 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 692 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 702 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Alternate Assessments - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | N | N |
| 10 | N | N |
| 11 | ${ }^{*}$ | $*$ |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District $\left.$\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c|}
\# Students <br>
Tested

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score <br>
Below 4.5

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score of <br>
4.5 and above

 \right\rvert\, 

\hline 1 \& 13 \& $69.2 \%$ \& $30.8 \%$ <br>
\hline 2 \& $*$ \& $*$ \& $*$ <br>
\hline 3 \& $*$ \& $*$ \& $*$ <br>
\hline 4 \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline $5+$ \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Ridge High School

2016-2017
 assessment has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## Biology Assessment - Performance

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $16 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $46 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| White | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $21 \%$ |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | $71 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | N | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $6 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

## Biology Assessment - Proficiency Trends

This graph displays the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three schoo years.


## Ridge High School

2016-2017
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This section contains information about participation and performance on college entrance examinations. For more information about how participation and performance on the PSAT, SAT, and ACT tests are calculated and how these calculations account for recent changes to the SAT test, please see our Reference Guide.

## PSAT/SAT/ACT - Participation

This table shows the percentage of 10th and 11th graders that took the PSAT 10 or PSAT NMSQT exams in 2016-17. This table also shows the percentage of 12 th graders that have taken the SAT or ACT this year or in prior years.

| Test | $\%$ of <br> Students in <br> School | $\%$ of <br> Students in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of students taking the PSAT | $69.5 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ |
| Percentage of students taking the SAT | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| Percentage of students taking the ACT | $73.0 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |

## PSAT/SAT/ACT - Performance

This table shows the average test score, based on highest scoring test, for the PSAT, SAT, and ACT tests by subject area for students in the school and across the state. This table also shows the percentage of students at or above College Readiness Benchmarks for each test. Students that score at or above these benchmarks have a high chance of success in credit-bearing college courses.

| Test | School <br> Average <br> Score | State <br> Average <br> Score | College <br> Readiness <br> Benchmarks | School - \% of <br> Students <br> scoring at or <br> above <br> Benchmark | State - \% of <br> Students <br> scoring at or <br> above <br> Benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSAT - Reading and <br> Writing | 580 | 481 | Varies By <br> Grade | $94 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| PSAT - Math | 594 | 483 | Varies By <br> Grade | $85 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| SAT - Reading and <br> Writing | 637 | 551 | 480 | $98 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| SAT - Math | 641 | 552 | 530 | $89 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| ACT - Reading | 27 | 24 | 22 | $85 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| ACT - English | 27 | 24 | 18 | $94 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| ACT - Math | 27 | 24 | 22 | $84 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| ACT - Science | 26 | 23 | 23 | $75 \%$ | $54 \%$ |

Students in high schools begin to demonstrate college readiness behaviors long before they actually graduate from high school. Among those behaviors are participating in advanced course work such as Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and exams and dual enrollment courses where high school students can enroll in college courses for credit.

## AP/IB Coursework - Participation and Performance

This chart shows the percentage of students out of all 11th and 12th grade students in the school and across the state, who enrolled in one or more AP or IB course, the percentage that took one or more AP or IB exam, and the percentage of students taking one or more exams who received at least one score of at least 3 on AP exams or 4 on IB exams.


## Dual Enrollment Coursework - Participation

This graph shows the percentage students enrolled in at least one dual enrollment course in the school and across the state. Dual enrollment courses allow high school students to enroll in college courses for credit prior to their high school graduation.

| Students enrolled in one <br> or more dual enrollment <br> course | School | $2.9 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | State |  | $17.3 \%$ |

## AP/ IB Courses Offered

This table shows the students enrolled in AP/IB courses and the corresponding exams that were taken. Students do not need to take a course to take the exam and not all students enrolled in a course take the exam. The last two rows show the total number of exams taken and the number of exams with scores of at least 3 on AP exams or 4 on IB exams. In the last two rows, students taking multiple exams are counted more than once.

| AP/IB Course | Students Enrolled | Students Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AP Biology | 91 | 82 |
| AP Calculus AB | 85 | 85 |
| AP Calculus BC | 60 | 58 |
| AP Chemistry | 36 | 32 |
| AP Chinese Language and Culture | 0 | 3 |
| AP Computer Science A | 121 | 113 |
| AP English Language and Composition | 77 | 76 |
| AP English Literature and Composition | 94 | 65 |
| AP Environmental Science | 122 | 80 |
| AP European History | 25 | 18 |
| AP French Language and Culture | 17 | 17 |
| AP Human Geography | 22 | 90 |
| AP Italian Language and Culture | 0 | 22 |
| AP Macroeconomics | 112 | 147 |
| AP Microeconomics | 0 | 101 |
| AP Physics 1 | 25 | 109 |
| AP Physics 2 | 137 | 22 |
| AP Physics B | 55 | 0 |
| AP Physics C | 0 | 0 |
| AP Physics C: Electricity and Magnetism |  | 47 |


| AP/IB Course | Students Enrolled | Students Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AP Physics C: Mechanics | 0 | 45 |
| AP Psychology | 204 | 183 |
| AP Spanish Language | 30 | 23 |
| AP Statistics | 52 | 50 |
| AP Studio Art-Drawing Portfolio | 25 | 22 |
| AP Studio Art-Three-Demensional | 2 | 1 |
| AP Studio Art-Two-Demensional | 2 | 2 |
| AP U.S. Government and Politics | 161 | 150 |
| AP U.S. History | 56 | 52 |
| Total Exams Taken |  | 1695 |
| Exams with scores of at least 3 on AP exams or 4 on <br> IB exams |  | 1614 |

This section contains information about participation in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and Structured Learning Experiences. For more information about CTE in New Jersey, please visit http://www.nj.gov/education/cte/.

## Career and Technical Education Participation

The chart below shows the percentage of students within the school and state who were enrolled in courses in approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs.
Students enrolled in shared-time CTE programs in a county vocational school district are included in both the county vocational school and their sending school.

## CTE Participants

(completed only one course in an approved CTE program)

| School | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State | $7.6 \%$ |

## CTE Concentrators

(completed two or more courses in a single approved CTE program and/or completed the entire CTE program)

## School *



## Structured Learning Experiences Participation

The graph below displays the percentage of students within the school and state who participated in Structured Learning Experiences (SLEs). SLEs can include work-based learning programs, internships, apprenticeships, and service learning experiences and can be paid or unpaid.

## Structured Learning Experiences

| School | $0.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| State | $2.5 \%$ |

## Industry-Valued Credentials Earned

This table shows the number of students that earned at least one industryvalued credential and the number of credentials earned in each Career Cluster® and overall for the 2016-17 school year. Students earning credentials in more than one Career Cluster are counted in multiple rows. Students earning multiple credentials in one Career Cluster are counted more than once in the Industry credentials total.

| Career Cluster | Students with at <br> least one <br> credential earned | Industry <br> credentials earned |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total non-duplicated number of <br> students** | 0 |  |
| Total number of credentials earned in <br> all clusters |  | 0 |

**Students may earn credentials in more than one Career Cluster

## Ridge High School 2016-2017

This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards on our website and more details about the data in this section in our Reference Guide. English course participation is not included because students are required to take four years of English in high school.

## Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in Mathematics courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. The bottom row of the table shows the number of students that enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) math course by subject area.

| Grade | Algebra I | Geometry | Algebra II | Pre-Calculus | Calculus | Statistics | Other Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 168 | 239 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 10 | 5 | 175 | 225 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 37 |
| 11 | 4 | 6 | 131 | 249 | 58 | 9 | 37 |
| 12 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 41 | 277 | 86 | 134 |
| Schoolwide | 179 | 421 | 419 | 354 | 345 | 95 | 250 |
| Enrolled in AP/IB Course |  |  |  |  | 145 | 52 | 0 |

## Science - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in Science courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one science course. The bottom row of the table shows the number of students that enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) science course by subject area.

| Grade | Biology | Chemistry | Earth and <br> Space Science | Environmental <br> Science | Physics | Other Science |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 13 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | 43 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 433 | 8 |
| 12 | 82 | 27 | 0 | 192 | 89 | 149 |
| Schoolwide | 598 | 514 | 0 | 196 | 524 | 157 |
| Enrolled in AP/IB Course | 91 | 36 |  | 122 | 213 | 0 |

This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards on our website and more details about the data in this section in our Reference Guide. English course participation is not included because students are required to take four years of English in high school.

## Social Studies and History - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in Social Studies and History courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one social studies or history course. The bottom row of the table shows the number of students that enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) social studies or history course by subject area.

| Grade | World History | US History I \& II | Economics | Psychology | Sociology | Other Social <br> Studies or History |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 457 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 10 | 9 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| 11 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 150 | 0 | 440 |
| 12 | 0 | 131 | 110 | 170 | 0 | 233 |
| Schoolwide | 469 | 611 | 112 | 320 | 0 | 881 |
| Enrolled in AP/IB Course | 0 | 56 | 112 | 204 | 0 | 285 |

## World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in World Languages courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom rows of the table show students enrolled in at least one Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) language course, students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV), and the number of students that earned a Seal of Biliteracy by language.

| Grade | Spanish | French | Italian | Latin | German | Chinese | Other Languages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 212 | 77 | 64 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 10 | 234 | 73 | 88 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 11 | 174 | 49 | 50 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| 12 | 72 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 190 |
| Schoolwide | 692 | 225 | 232 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrolled in AP/IB Course | 30 | 17 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher | 334 | 108 | 111 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earned Seal of Biliteracy | N | N | N | N | N | N | 0 |

## Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.

## Grades 9-12:

Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes


Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:


## Ridge High School
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This section contains information about graduation rates and dropout rates for students in grades 9-12. Graduation rates are calculated based on the adjusted cohort graduation rate calculation. You can find more about how these rates are calculated here.

## Graduation Rates

This table shows 4 -year and 5 -year Graduation Rates for the school and each student group with comparisons to statewide rates. Annual ESSA accountability targets for the Class of 20164 -year rate and the Class of 20155 -year rates are included with a flag for whether the school and each student group met those targets.

| Student Group | School Class of 2017: 4 Year Rate | State Class of 2017: 4 Year Rate | School Class of 2016: 5 Year Rate | State Class of 2016: 5 Year Rate | Class of 2016: 4 Year Rate | Class of 2016: 4 Year Target | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Met } \\ & \text { Target? } \end{aligned}$ | Class of 2015: 5 Year Rate | Class of 2015: 5 Year Target | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Met } \\ & \text { Target? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 98.0\% | 90.5\% | 98.3\% | 91.8\% | 97.4\% | N | Met Goal | 98.1\% | N | Met Goal |
| White | 98.4\% | 94.5\% | 98.3\% | 95.1\% | 97.5\% | N | Met Goal | 98.6\% | N | Met Goal |
| Hispanic | * | 84.3\% | 92.3\% | 86.3\% | 84.6\% | ** | ** | 100.0\% | ** | ** |
| Black or African American | * | 83.4\% | * | 85.3\% | * | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 98.0\% | 96.6\% | 98.9\% | 97.5\% | 98.9\% | N | Met Goal | 94.7\% | 96.0\% | Not Met |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | 92.3\% | N | 86.6\% | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | 100.0\% | 91.9\% | * | 93.7\% | * | ** | ** | * | ** | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | 83.9\% | * | 85.6\% | * | ** | ** | 93.3\% | ** | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | 89.7\% | 78.8\% | 92.3\% | 82.1\% | 90.8\% | 88.4\% | Met <br> Target | 88.1\% | 92.3\% | Not Met |
| English Learners | * | 76.1\% | * | 79.7\% | * | ** | ** | N | ** | ** |
| Homeless Students | N | 73.2\% | N | 74.4\% | N | N | N | N |  |  |

## Graduation Rate Trends

This table shows the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates by graduating class.

| Class of | 4-Year Rate | 5-Year Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $98.0 \%$ | - |
| 2016 | $97.4 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | $98.1 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ |

## Dropout Rate Trends

This table shows the percentage of students in grades 9 12 that dropped out during each of the last three school years for the school and the state.

| School Year | School Rate | State Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-2017$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $2015-2016$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $2014-2015$ | $0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |

[^8]
## Ridge High School

 2016-2017This section contains information about students enrolling in colleges and universities after graduation from high school. Postsecondary enrollment information is collected from the National Student Clearinghouse, which collects data from at least $95 \%$ of higher education institutions nationwide.

## Postsecondary Enrollment Rates: Fall

This table shows the enrollment rates of Class of 2017 high school graduates into 2-year or 4-year institutions the fall after high school graduation. The following columns show the percentage of these enrolled students by 2-year or 4 -year institution.

| Student Group | \% Enrolled <br> in Any <br> Institution | \% Enrolled <br> in 2-Year <br> Institution | \% Enrolled <br> in 4-Year <br> Institution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $71.1 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $86.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ |
| White | $87.4 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $81.3 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | $87.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska <br> Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $92.6 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| English Learners | $*$ | $*$ | $0 \%$ |

## Postsecondary Enrollment Rates: 16 month

This table shows the enrollment rates of Class of 2016 high school graduates into 2 -year or 4 -year institutions 16 months after high school graduation for the school and each student group. The following columns show the percentage of these enrolled students by 2-year or 4-year institution, public or private institution, or in-state or out-of-state institution.

| Student Group | \% Enrolled in Any Institution | \% Enrolled in 2-Year Institution | \% Enrolled in 4-Year Institution | \% Enrolled in Public Institution | \% Enrolled in Private Institution | \% Enrolled in In-State Institution | \% Enrolled in Out-ofState Institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | 76.1\% | 33.6\% | 66.5\% | 73.6\% | 26.4\% | 65.5\% | 34.6\% |
| Schoolwide | 87.1\% | 7.4\% | 92.6\% | 53.9\% | 46.2\% | 22.8\% | 77.2\% |
| White | 86.8\% | 8.8\% | 91.2\% | 55.6\% | 44.4\% | 20.3\% | 79.7\% |
| Hispanic | 100\% | 8.3\% | 91.7\% | 33.3\% | 66.7\% | 16.7\% | 83.3\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 85.2\% | 1.3\% | 98.7\% | 49.3\% | 50.7\% | 32\% | 68\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Students with Disabilities | 96.6\% | 22.8\% | 77.2\% | 50.9\% | 49.1\% | 36.8\% | 63.2\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
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This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> 2016-17 <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 6.60 | 14.30 | Met Target |
| White | 7.40 | 14.30 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 9.60 | 14.30 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | $* *$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 3.70 | 14.30 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | 6.70 | 14.30 | Met Target |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | 28.10 | 14.30 | Not Met |
| Students with Disabilities | 16.20 | 14.30 | Not Met |
| English Learners | N | $* *$ | $* *$ |

## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.
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## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | $7: 35 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Typical End Time | $2: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 50 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 5 Hrs. 36 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | 2 Hrs. 40 Mins. |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.7 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.7 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 1 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 4 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 4 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 9 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.48 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1.2: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber |
| Recommended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connectivity? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total $(2015-2016)$ | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |
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This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 147 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 8.6 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 8.5 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $69 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $13: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $145: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $98 \%$ |

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.


[^10]$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.
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## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | Graduation Rate - 4-Year | Graduation <br> Rate - 5-Year | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 67.0 | 6.2 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Goal | Met Goal | No |
| White | 67.9 | 6.2 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Goal | Met Goal | No |
| Hispanic | ** | ** | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 39.8 | 6.2 | No | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target $\dagger$ | Met Target | Met Goal | Not Met | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | N | N | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | Met Target | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | N | N | Not Met | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 74.6 | 6.2 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | Not Met | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^11]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## School General Info

| Principal: | Mr. Krause | Email Address: | dkrause@bernardsboe.com |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 268 SO FINLEY AVE | Website: | www.bernardsboe.com |
| Bhone: | BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920-9439 | Twitter: | https://twitter.com/ridge_high |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| ) Highlights: | - Ridge offers a rigorous academic program with multiple AP and Honors level courses and a STEAM Program <br> - Award-winning Forensics Team, Marching Band, A Cappella Choir, Art, Dance, and Drama Groups. <br> - Offering 34 varsity sports teams which perennially win conference, sectional, and group championships |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | The Ridge High School Mission is to provide a superior education which results in academic excellence, responsible behavior, good citizenship, and fosters social-emotional development so that ultimately each student will be able to maximize his/her potential, become a contributing member of society, and maintain a commitment to life-long learning. |
| Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments: | In April 2017, U.S. News \& World Report ranked Ridge as number 15 in New Jersey and number 318 nationally. In August 2016, Newsweek ranked Ridge number 71 of 500 high schools nationally in "preparing students for college." In 2016, NJ Monthly magazine ranked Ridge number 14 on the list of NJ's Top Public High Shools. In 2017, Ridge had 10 National Merit Scholarship Semifinalists and 40 more students receiving Commendations. Ridge far exceeds State and national averages for SAT \& ACT performance. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | Ridge offers a rigorous and comprehensive academic program to students in all subject areas, from fine and practical <br> arts to advanced science and mathematics courses. 26 Advanced Placement offerings and 32 Honors courses provide <br> students with expansive opportunities to challenge themselves and prepare for college. The students benefit from <br> working with faculty who are current with pedagogical practices through graduate-level coursework and in-District Staff <br> Instruction: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sporliculum offerings. |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional Learning: | Ridge faculty engage in a variety of professional development opportunities, including District and school in-service programs and the District's Staff College program. Faculty also participate in PLCs by subjet area. A mentoring program is also in place to assist new staff members and help their transition to Ridge. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Postsecondary Information: | $97 \%$ of the Class of 2017 furthered their education, with $92 \%$ attending 4 year colleges and $5 \%$ attending a 2 year college. The School Counseling Office hosts Financial Aid events, Parent Nights for upperclassmen, and a College Fair. College representatives regularly visit with students at school. Ridge students have access to SAT and ACT course materials through their Naviance account. Ridge graduates attend some of the most prestigious colleges in the nation, including many Ivy League schools. |
| Student Supports and Services: | ESL classesare offered for students who need this service. The Special Services staff provides assistance through inclass support and pullout replacement courses. There is a CBAP program and vocational skills/CBI classes for students based upon needs identified in IEPs. The I\&RS program assists students who may have learning, behavior, and/or health difficulties. The ESS program provides counseling/therpeutic services onsite for students. Tutroial periods assist students academically. |
| Student Health and Wellness: | Physical Education and Health are curricular components, which includes units on mindfulness. Food service is offered throughout the day and all students are required to have a lunch period in their schedules. Ridge has a Mindfulness Club aimed at helping to alleviate student stress. The District has a Social-Emotinal Learning (SEL) committee focused on programming and student wellness. |
| Parent and Community Involvement: | The PTO organization coordinates with school adminstration to provide programming and other services. The Parent Advisory Council (PAC) and Parents for Exceptional Children (PEC) meet regularly with school administration for the same purpose. The Parent Portal is available through the Aspen SIS program. Ridge works with the local community to offer joint programming on issues of importance such as violence prevention, suicide awareness, drug prevention, and community service offerings. |
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## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.


Ridge follows a 9-period day schedule. Ridge implements the Standard Response Protocol (SRP) adopted by Somerset County and has a school safety team in place to assist in the process. There is a School Resource Officer (SRO) dedicated to the high school. Ridge has mutliple computer devices for student use as well as computer labs, and classrooms are outfitted with interactive LCD projectors. Ridge is a BYOD campus so students may bring their own device and connect to our network. All instructional staff and administrators are provided with laptops for professional use. Ridge uses various forms of communication, including an instant alert system, Friday Folder, school website, daily announcements, outdoor LCD message board, and Naviance.

The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 453 | 447 | 425 |
| 7 | 443 | 461 | 448 |
| 8 | 466 | 460 | 454 |
| Ungraded | 20 | 23 | 29 |
| Total | 1382 | 1391 | 1356 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Male | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| English Learners | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | $63.1 \%$ |
| Asian | $27.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $5.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $0.7 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.1 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $3.5 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | \% of Students |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | $88.6 \%$ |
| Chinese | $3.0 \%$ |
| Spanish | $1.9 \%$ |
| Other | $6.3 \%$ |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 1132 | 86.9 | 82.40 | 80.60 | 54.90 | 75.2 | 66.7 | Met Target |
| White | 673 | 81.3 | 77.60 | 76.70 | 63.90 | 66.2 | 57.9 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 58 | 88.0 | 65.50 | 65.30 | 39.80 | 59.7 | 55.6 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 350 | 98.4 | 93.70 | 91.30 | 80.70 | 93.7 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 53.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | 41 | 93.7 | 95.10 | 92.40 | 54.90 | 93.3 | 80 | Met Goal |
| Female | 526 | 85.8 | 87.40 | 85.50 | 62.20 | 78.9 |  |  |
| Male | 606 | 88.0 | 78.10 | 76.20 | 48.10 | 72 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 34 | 100.0 | 26.50 | 31.80 | 36.20 | * | N | N |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 1098 | 86.6 | 84.20 | 82.10 | 65.80 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 163 | 82.0 | 33.20 | 38.20 | 20.50 | 28.4 | 22.9 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 969 | 87.8 | 90.70 | 88.40 | 61.90 | 83.7 |  |  |
| English Learners | 15 | 88.9 | 53.30 | 62.00 | 25.20 | 49.4 | ** | ** |
| Non-English Learners | 1117 | 86.9 | 82.80 | 80.90 | 57.40 | 75.5 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { State Mean } \\ \text { Scale } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 357 | 770 | 770 | 752 | 3\% | 4\% | 15\% | 52\% | 26\% | 78\% | 54\% |
| White | 198 | 766 | 766 | 758 | * | * | 22\% | 51\% | 20\% | 71\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | 25 | 751 | 751 | 740 | * | * | * | 44\% | * | 56\% | 38\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 115 | 782 | 782 | 776 | * | * | * | 53\% | 40\% | 93\% | 81\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Two or More Races | 16 | 773 | 773 | 753 | * | 0\% | 0\% | 63\% | * | 94\% | 56\% |
| Female | 159 | 777 | 777 | 758 | * | * | 11\% | 47\% | 37\% | 84\% | 61\% |
| Male | 198 | 764 | 764 | 746 | * | * | 18\% | 56\% | 18\% | 73\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 52 | 737 | 737 | 722 | * | * | 31\% | 29\% | * | 37\% | 17\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 305 | 776 | 776 | 758 | * | * | 13\% | 56\% | * | 85\% | 61\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 55\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |
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English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 386 | 785 | 785 | 756 | * | * | 7\% | 36\% | 53\% | 88\% | 59\% |
| White | 226 | 779 | 779 | 764 | * | * | 8\% | 43\% | 44\% | 86\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | 17 | 765 | 765 | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | 65\% | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 38\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 122 | 799 | 799 | 784 | * | * | * | 25\% | 71\% | 96\% | 85\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 59\% |
| Two or More Races | 19 | 799 | 799 | 757 | 0\% | * | 0\% | * | 68\% | 95\% | 59\% |
| Female | 168 | 793 | 793 | 764 | * | * | * | 30\% | 63\% | 93\% | 68\% |
| Male | 218 | 779 | 779 | 749 | * | * | * | 40\% | 45\% | 85\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 739 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 766 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 70\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 41 | 737 | 737 | 719 | * | * | 32\% | 27\% | * | 34\% | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 345 | 791 | 791 | 763 | * | * | 4\% | 37\% | * | 95\% | 67\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 701 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 386 | 785 | 785 | 758 | * | * | 7\% | 36\% | 53\% | 88\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 64\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 405 | 778 | 778 | 757 | * | * | 12\% | 55\% | 29\% | 84\% | 59\% |
| White | 247 | 774 | 774 | 764 | * | * | 17\% | 56\% | 24\% | 80\% | 68\% |
| Hispanic | 22 | 764 | 764 | 742 | * | * | * | 55\% | * | 73\% | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 121 | 789 | 789 | 786 | * | * | * | 50\% | 44\% | 94\% | 86\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Two or More Races | 10 | 781 | 781 | 758 | 0\% | * | 0\% | * | * | 90\% | 60\% |
| Female | 214 | 783 | 783 | 766 | * | * | 10\% | 50\% | 36\% | 86\% | 68\% |
| Male | 191 | 772 | 772 | 749 | * | * | 14\% | 60\% | 21\% | 81\% | 50\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 739 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 766 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 46 | 741 | 741 | 718 | * | * | 37\% | 28\% | * | 35\% | 18\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 359 | 783 | 783 | 764 | * | * | 9\% | 58\% | * | 90\% | 67\% |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 701 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 405 | 778 | 778 | 759 | * | * | 12\% | 55\% | 29\% | 84\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 756 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 61\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |

## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 1152 | 88.2 | 78.60 | 78.10 | 43.50 | 72.8 | 61.9 | Met Target |
| White | 691 | 83.4 | 72.80 | 72.90 | 52.40 | 63.7 | 52.2 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 60 | 87.0 | 56.70 | 59.30 | 27.60 | 51.8 | 44.3 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 350 | 98.7 | 94.00 | 92.60 | 75.60 | 94 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 42.50 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | 41 | 93.7 | 85.40 | 88.30 | 44.90 | 83.7 | 69.1 | Met Goal |
| Female | 539 | 87.6 | 79.40 | 78.90 | 44.10 | 73.3 |  |  |
| Male | 613 | 88.8 | 77.80 | 77.40 | 42.90 | 72.4 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 35 | 100.0 | 14.30 | 23.20 | 25.10 | * | N | N |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 1117 | 87.9 | 80.50 | 79.80 | 54.30 | * |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 162 | 82.4 | 26.60 | 34.70 | 16.50 | 22.9 | 16.7 | Met Target |
| Students without Disabilities | 990 | 89.3 | 87.00 | 86.10 | 48.80 | 81.8 |  |  |
| English Learners | 18 | 95.7 | 66.60 | 75.40 | 23.30 | 66.6 | N | N |
| Non-English Learners | 1134 | 88.1 | 78.80 | 78.10 | 45.20 | 72.9 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | * | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | N | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 357 | 769 | 769 | 743 | 3\% | 3\% | 17\% | 53\% | 24\% | 77\% | 44\% |
| White | 197 | 762 | 762 | 751 | * | * | 24\% | 56\% | 14\% | 70\% | 54\% |
| Hispanic | 26 | 744 | 744 | 731 | * | * | * | 39\% | * | 54\% | 27\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 115 | 785 | 785 | 771 | * | * | * | 52\% | 41\% | 93\% | 77\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 744 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | 16 | 775 | 775 | 745 | * | 0\% | * | * | * | 88\% | 46\% |
| Female | 158 | 771 | 771 | 745 | * | * | 19\% | 50\% | 27\% | 77\% | 45\% |
| Male | 199 | 767 | 767 | 742 | * | * | 16\% | 56\% | 21\% | 77\% | 43\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 728 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 24\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 52 | 736 | 736 | 717 | * | * | 35\% | 27\% | * | 33\% | 13\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 305 | 774 | 774 | 748 | * | * | 14\% | 58\% | * | 84\% | 50\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 719 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\%$ of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 316 | 763 | 763 | 741 | * | * | 20\% | 59\% | 16\% | 75\% | 40\% |
| White | 209 | 761 | 761 | 748 | * | * | 22\% | 60\% | 12\% | 72\% | 49\% |
| Hispanic | 16 | 747 | 747 | 730 | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% | 23\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 726 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 73 | 774 | 774 | 764 | * | * | * | 64\% | 27\% | 92\% | 72\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | 741 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 45\% |
| Two or More Races | 16 | 765 | 765 | 740 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 69\% | 39\% |
| Female | 147 | 765 | 765 | 743 | * | * | 20\% | 59\% | 16\% | 76\% | 41\% |
| Male | 169 | 761 | 761 | 740 | * | * | 19\% | 59\% | 16\% | 75\% | 38\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 749 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 41 | 728 | 728 | 716 | * | * | 42\% | * | * | 20\% | 11\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 275 | 768 | 768 | 746 | * | * | 16\% | * | * | 83\% | 45\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 712 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 742 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 43\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |

[^13]
## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { State Mean } \\ \text { Scale } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ | \% Level 1 : <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 97 | 739 | 739 | 728 | * | 24\% | 29\% | 39\% | * | 39\% | 28\% |
| White | 80 | 740 | 740 | 736 | * | 19\% | 31\% | 41\% | * | 41\% | 35\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 721 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 715 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 15\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 51\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 28\% |
| Female | 48 | 741 | 741 | 730 | * | 25\% | 33\% | 38\% | * | 38\% | 30\% |
| Male | 49 | 736 | 736 | 725 | * | 22\% | 25\% | 41\% | * | 41\% | 26\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 719 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 34\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 39 | 724 | 724 | 705 | * | * | * | * | * | 21\% | * |
| Students without Disabilities | 58 | 748 | 748 | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | 52\% | * |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 703 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 11\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 705 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 12\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
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## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 325 | 788 | 776 | 743 | * | * | 3\% | 72\% | 25\% | 96\% | 42\% |
| White | 197 | 781 | 769 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 82\% | 14\% | 95\% | 52\% |
| Hispanic | 13 | 781 | 759 | 728 | * | * | * | 92\% | * | 100\% | 24\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 103 | 804 | 799 | 774 | * | * | * | 50\% | 50\% | 99\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 736 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 741 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 41\% |
| Female | 165 | 782 | 771 | 744 | * | * | * | 78\% | 16\% | 95\% | 43\% |
| Male | 160 | 795 | 781 | 741 | * | * | * | 65\% | 33\% | 98\% | 40\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 325 | 788 | * | 751 | * | * | 3\% | 72\% | 25\% | 96\% | 52\% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | * | 714 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10\% |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 708 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 742 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 37\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 75 | 783 | 768 | 734 | * | * | * | 53\% | 45\% | 99\% | 30\% |
| White | 10 | 778 | 764 | 740 | 0\% | 0\% | * | * | * | 90\% | 38\% |
| Hispanic | * | * | * | 722 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 14\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 719 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 60 | 783 | 776 | 758 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 45\% | 100\% | 65\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 730 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Female | 38 | 783 | 767 | 735 | * | * | * | 50\% | 50\% | 100\% | 31\% |
| Male | 37 | 783 | 769 | 733 | * | * | * | 57\% | 41\% | 97\% | 30\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 75 | 783 | * | 740 | * | * | * | 53\% | 45\% | 99\% | 39\% |
| Students with Disabilities | N | N | N | 711 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students without Disabilities | 75 | 783 | 770 | 738 | * | * | * | 53\% | 45\% | 99\% | * |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | 75 | 783 | * | 735 | * | * | * | 53\% | 45\% | 99\% | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 15\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 704 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra II


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 28\% |
| White | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 33\% |
| Hispanic | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Black or African American | N | N | N | 703 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | * | * | * | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 62\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 715 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 718 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 25\% |
| Female | N | N | N | 725 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Male | * | * | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 29\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 35\% |
| Students with Disabilities | N | N | N | 692 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students without Disabilities | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| English Learners | N | N | N | 692 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 726 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 702 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 692 | N | N | N | N | N | N | * |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 710 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 702 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


[^15]Alternate Assessments - Participation
This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 12 | 12 |
| 7 | 10 | $*$ |
| 8 | $*$ | $*$ |

## English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

| Years in District | \# Students <br> Tested | \% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5 | \% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | * | * |
| 2 | N | N | N |
| 3 | N | N | N |
| 4 | N | N | N |
| 5+ | N | N | N |

This section shows performance on the NJASK science test which measures students' ability to recall information and to solve problems by applying science concepts. The assessment is given to students in grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 8

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $27 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $60 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| White | $56 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | $74 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | N | ${ }^{*}$ | N |
| Students with Disabilities | N | N | ${ }^{*}$ |
| English Learners | N | ${ }^{*}$ | N |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 8

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: School Median | Math: <br> District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 40 | 47 | 50 | Met Target | 48 | 53 | 50 | Met Target |
| White | 38 | 45 | 50 | Not Met | 48 | 51 | 52 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 28 | 44 | 49 | Not Met | 40.5 | 46.5 | 47 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | 41.5 | 45 | ** | * | * | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 43 | * | 60 | Met Target | 51 | * | 59 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | * | 55 | 51 | Met Target | * | * | 52 | Not Met |
| Economically Disadvantaged | * | 53 | 47 | ** | * | 48 | 46 | ** |
| Students with Disabilities | 32.5 | 38.5 | 41 | Not Met | 30.5 | 40 | 43 | Not Met |
| English Learners | 49 | 46 | 53 | ** | 43 | 48 | 51 | ** |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

## William Annin Middle School

35-0350-055

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.


This section shows the types of courses that students were enrolled in by grade level for the 2016-17 school year. You can find more information about New Jersey Student Learning Standards on our website and more details about the data in this section in our Reference Guide.

## Mathematics - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in Mathematics courses by subject area. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one math course. Students counted in the other math category may be enrolled in grade level math courses, intervention courses, or other advanced math courses.

| Grade | Algebra I | Geometry | Grade Level and Other Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 440 |
| 7 | 67 | 2 | 464 |
| 8 | 272 | 74 | 121 |
| Schoolwide | 340 | 76 | 1025 |

## World Languages - Course Participation

This table shows the number of students by grade that were enrolled in World Languages courses by language. Students are counted more than once if they are enrolled in more than one language course. The bottom row of the table shows students enrolled in a level 3 or higher language course (such as French III or IV).

| Grade | Spanish | French | Italian | Latin | German | Chinese | Other Languages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 213 | 65 | 43 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 222 | 56 | 52 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 190 | 66 | 58 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolwide | 625 | 187 | 153 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrolled in Level 3 or Higher | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |

## Visual and Performing Arts - Course Participation

The top graphs below show the percentages of students that were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes within the school and across the state. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines within the school and across the state.

## Grades 6-8:

Students enrolled in one or more visual and performing arts classes


Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:

| MUSIC | School | 59\% | 75\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  |
| DANCE | School | 0\% |  |
|  | State | 2\% |  |
| DRAMA | School | 2\% |  |
|  | State | 5\% |  |
| VISUAL ARTS | School |  | 75\% |
|  | State |  | 80\% |

This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | 2016-17 <br> Target | Met <br> $2016-17$ <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 4.60 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| White | 6.10 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 2.70 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | N | $* *$ | $* *$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 2.20 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | $* *$ | $* *$ |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 8.70 | Met Target |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | N | $* *$ | $* *$ |
| Students with Disabilities | 9.70 | N | $* .70$ |
| English Learners | Not Met |  |  |
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## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.

## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | 7:45AM |
| Typical End Time | $2: 20 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 35 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 5 Hrs. 33 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | ${ }^{*}$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.0 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $1.0 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $1.0 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 5 |
| Vandalism | 2 |
| Weapons | 2 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 12 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 20 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 1.47 |

## Student Expulsions

This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $1: 1$ | 180.1 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber |
| Recommended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connectivity? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 254$ | $\$ 13,822$ | $\$ 14,076$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers <br> in School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 129 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 10.7 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 10.7 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $83 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 31 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 11.9 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $97 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $11: 1$ | $12: 1$ |
| Administrators | $339: 1$ | $179: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $926: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $793: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $347: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $278: 1$ |

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | N |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $98 \%$ |

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.

## William Annin Middle School

35-0350-055
2016-2017
Grade Span 06-08

## BERNARDS TWP

70 QUINCY ROAD
BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920-2245

## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 49.2 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| White | 39.0 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | No |
| Hispanic | 51.3 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Met Target | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 48.6 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Met Target | Met Target | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | 61.6 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | ** | ** | No | N | N | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 41.7 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Not Met | Not Met | No |
| English Learners | ** | ** | No | ** | N | ** | ** | ** | No |

[^17]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

Demographic
Academic Achievement

## School General Info

|  | School General Info |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Principal: | Ms. Hudock | Email Address: | khudock@bernardsboe.com |
| Address: | 70 QUINCY ROAD | Website: | www.williamannin.bernardsboe.com |
| Phone: | BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920-2245 |  |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | - Schools To Watch Designation 2016-2019 |
| :--- | :--- |
| - William Annin Middle School is ranked as the \# 6 public middle school in New Jersey. |  |
| - Holocaust Day was named "Best Promising Practice" |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | Content is a major consideration in achieving an excellent, balanced middle school. Our school has strong academic <br> programs in language arts, English, mathematics, social studies and science. Complementing these academic courses <br> are world language offerings in Spanish, French, Latin and ltalian taken for a full year in grades six through eight. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Instruction: |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Staff and Professional <br> Learning: | The professional staff at WAMS are constantly engaged in professional learning opportunities that are provided by the <br> district or organizations. Bernards Township School District has a staff college program that helps to organize <br> professional development classes for our teachers based upon needs, interest and district initiatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student Supports and <br> Services: | WAMS has a comprehensive I\&RS/504 team, Child Study Team, Student Assistant Counsleors and School Resource <br> Officer on site to support the middle grade student. In additon, we have instructional support classes to assist those <br> students who may fall below the district/state proficency levels. |
| Wellness: | Mindful of the balance needed, special area courses in physical education/health, the related arts and technology are <br> key to our comprehensive program. Sudents have physical education class daily at the middle school for 40 minutes. <br> During these classes, students are taught about healthy choices that can assist them for their life-time. |
| Parent and Community |  |
| Involvement: | WAMS has a very active PTO, PEC and WAMS AO program to support the diverse needs of our student population. <br> These groups were in conjunction to promote the mission of the middle school. |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

|  | WAMS was built in 1969. It has had several extensive additions as thestudent population grew. Most recently, WAMS <br> foods room went under an extensive remodel which now allows for a farm to table exploration and hydroponics growing <br> of food. In the next several years, will be equipped with air condition in instructional classrooms. |
| :--- | :--- |


[^0]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^1]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^2]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^3]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students

[^4]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^5]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^6]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^7]:    ${ }^{* *}$ Grade 11 students who take AP/IB English are exempt from taking the PARCCELS exam and their performance may not be reflected in the results.

[^8]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students

[^9]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students

[^10]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students

[^11]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students

[^12]:    **Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

[^13]:    **Grade 7 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^14]:    **Grade 8 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^15]:    **Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

[^16]:    ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^17]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students

